arXiv:2008.03627v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 9 Aug 2020

Is the normal to superionic transformation occurring in type-II fast-ion conductors a real thermodynamic phase transition?

Claudio Cazorla[1,*] and Daniel Errandonea[2]

[1] *School of Materials Science and Engineering, UNSW Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia*
[2] *Departament de Física Aplicada, Institut de Ciència de Materials, MALTA Consolider Team, Universitat de València, Edifici d'Investigació, Burjassot 46100, Spain*

Fedorov has written a Comment on our recent paper [1] in which he suggests that the transformation from a normal (α) to a superionic (β) state occurring in type-II fast-ion conductors (e.g., CaF_2 and Li-based superionic materials [2]) cannot be regarded as a phase transition in a rigorous thermodynamic sense. Fedorov's arguments for such a criticism can be summarized as follows: (1) the available heat capacity data for the $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ transformation do not reproduce the expected behaviour for a thermodynamic phase transition, (2) there is not evidence for molar volume discontinuity during the superionic $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ transformation, and (3) the $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ transformation is symmetry preserving. Besides these three tentative arguments, Fedorov's presents a fourth one based on the binary phase diagram of CaF_2–LaF_3 solid solutions, on which we will comment by the end of this Reply.

In what follows, we demonstrate either by reproducing experimental data published by other authors or by explaining some simple solid-state arguments that the statements put forward by Fedorov are incorrect and do not correspond to reality. In fact, based on mounting experimental evidence, the normal to superionic phase transformation occurring in type-II fast-ion conductors can be rigorously considered, and should be referred to, as a phase transition. Notwithstanding, it remains less obvious to establish with generality which is the order of the $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ phase transition.

Before presenting our evidence, and to avoid possible misunderstandings, let us briefly review the thermodynamic behaviour of a pure substance that undergoes a first-order or a second-order phase transition. It is well known from thermodynamics that the heat capacity of a system at constant pressure, C_P, can be expressed as a function of the second derivative of its Gibbs free energy, G, as:

$$C_P \equiv T\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T}\right)_P = -T\left(\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial T^2}\right)_P , \qquad (1)$$

where P, T and S represent the pressure, temperature and entropy of the system, respectively, and the relation $S = -\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial T}\right)_P$ has been used. By definition, first-order phase transitions involve discontinuities in the first derivative of G at the transition point (e.g., in the system entropy). Consequently, C_P exhibits a singularity at the phase-transition point, which in practice is measured in the form of a sudden increase or "bump" (recall that "infinity" is an abstraction that in practice can never be measured). Meanwhile, and also by definition, second-order phase transitions present discontinuities in the second derivative of G at the transition point. Consequently, C_P presents a discontinuity at the phase-transition point (Eq.1). Likewise, at a fixed pressure the presence of a sudden increase or "bump" on the measured thermal expansion of a compound, defined as:

$$\kappa_P \equiv \frac{1}{V}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_P , \qquad (2)$$




FIG. 1. Experimental heat capacity of different type-II superionic materials. **a** Experimental data for CaF_2 reproduced from work [3]. The C_P curve indicates that the $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ phase transition is of second-order type. **b** Experimental data for LaF_3 reproduced from work [9]. The C_P curve indicates that the $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ phase transition is of first-order type.

* Corresponding Author




FIG. 2. **a** Experimental thermal expansion of fluorite $SrCl_2$ reproduced from work [12]. The κ_P function indicates that during the $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ phase transition the volume of the system changes discontinuously. **b** Ball-stick representation of the normal and superionic CaF_2 phases. The crystal structure of the α and β phases are different due to the presence of F interstitials in the disordered fluorite phase. Ca and F ions in regular fluorite positions are represented with blue and violet spheres; F interstitial ions in off-symmetry fluorite positions are represented with red spheres.

indicates that the volume of the system, V, changes discontinuously at the corresponding temperature.

Figure 1a shows the experimental heat capacity of CaF_2 at high temperatures and zero pressure [3]. Fluorite CaF_2 is an archetypal type-II superionic material that undergoes a normal to superionic transformation at temperature $T_s \approx 1400$ K [2–8]. As per our thermodynamic explanations above, the shape of the C_P curve measured for CaF_2 corresponds to a second-order phase transition because it displays a discontinuity at T_s. Moreover, Fig.1b shows the experimental C_P of LaF_3 [9], another well-known type-II superionic material that despite not presenting the fluorite structure appears mentioned in Fedorov's Comment. In this case, the sudden increase of the heat capacity at the superionic transition temperature indicates that the normal to superionic phase transition is of first-order type.

In the scientific literature, one can certainly find abundant examples of fluorite type-II superionic materials that display clear C_P or S discontinuities at their corresponding superionic transition temperatures. For instance, the entropies of the superionic transition reported for $SrCl_2$, PbF_2, BaF_2 and $BaCl_2$ in the experimental work [10] strongly suggest that their $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ phase transitions are of first-order type (e.g., see Figs.3–4 in [10]). A very similar experimental behaviour has been also reported for the anti-fluorite fast-ion conductor $Cu_{2-x}Se$ [11]. Therefore, Fedorov's statement (1) appears to be totally flawed and unrealistic. It is noted, however, that it does not seem possible to generalize the order of the superionic $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ phase transition.

Figure 2a shows the experimental thermal expansion of fluorite $SrCl_2$ at high temperatures and zero pressure [12]. The shape of the κ_P curve indicates the presence of a volume discontinuity at the corresponding superionic transition. Similarly, κ_P experimental data supporting the existence of a phase transition in other fluorite compounds like CaF_2, PbF_2, SrF_2 and BaF_2 can be also found in work [13]. Furthermore, in this latter reference it is explicitly shown that the observed "bumps" of the heat capacity and thermal expansion occur both at T_s [13], which is another clear phase-transition manifestation. Therefore, it can be concluded that Fedorov's statement (2) is also incorrect.

It is very well known from neutron scattering experiments [14] and atomistic computer simulations [8, 15–17] that ionic transport in fluorite type-II superionic conductors occurs via discrete anion jumps that involve occupancy of off-symmetry fluorite positions (Fig.2b). In particular, the sublattice formed by the anions in the superionic β phase is different from the simple cubic arrangement observed in the normal α phase (Fig.2b). Therefore, in a strict sense the $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ phase transition cannot be regarded as symmetry preserving because the space group of the disordered fluorite phase is not $Fm\bar{3}m$ (Fig.2b). Consequently, Fedorov's statement (3) neither is correct.

Finally, Fedorov presents an extravagant argument based on the binary phase diagram of CaF_2–LaF_3 solid solutions. LaF_3 exhibits a rhombohedral $P\bar{3}c1$ structure that differs greatly from the cubic $Fm\bar{3}m$ phase. The ionic diffusion mechanisms and miscibility properties of such non-fluorite CaF_2–LaF_3 solid solutions are far from the focus of our work [1], which is on pure fluorite superionic compounds. Therefore, due to its inadequacy, we simply ignore this argument.

In conclusion, in this Reply we have demonstrated that contrarily to Fedorov's arguments the superionic $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ transformation occurring in fluorite type-II fast-ion conductors can be considered as a phase transition in a rigorous thermodynamic sense. As a matter of fact, researchers have judiciously referred to this transformation as "superionic phase transition" for more than three decades [2–17], just as we did in our recent work [1].

[1] Cazorla, C., Sagotra, A. K., King, M. and Errandonea, D. High-pressure phase diagram and superionicity of alkaline earth metal difluorides. J. Phys. Chem. C **122**, 1267 (2018).

[2] Hull, S. Superionics: crystal structures and conduction processes. Rep. Prog. Phys. **67**, 1233 (2004).

[3] Naylor, B. F. Heat contents at high temperatures of magnesium and calcium fluorides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **67**, 150 (1945).

[4] Cazorla, C. and Errandonea, D. The high-pressure high-temperature phase diagram of CaF_2 from classical atomistic simulations. J. Phys. Chem. C **117**, 11292 (2013).

[5] Voronin, B. M. and Volkov, S. V. Ionic conductivity of fluorite type crystals CaF_2, SrF_2, BaF_2, and $SrCl_2$ at high temperatures. J. Phys. Chem. Solids **62**, 1349 (2001).

[6] Cazorla, C. and Errandonea, D. Giant mechanocaloric effects in fluorite-structured superionic materials. Nano Lett. **16**, 3124 (2016).

[7] Cazorla, C. and Errandonea, D. Superionicity and polymorphism in calcium fluoride at high pressure. Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 235902 (2014).

[8] Sagotra, A. K. and Cazorla, C. Stress-mediated enhancement of ionic conductivity in fast-ion conductors. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **9**, 38773 (2017).

[9] Krivorotov, V.F., Khabibullaev, P.K., Fridman, A. A., Charnaya, E. V. and Sharipov, Kh. T. Specific heat and enthalpy of lattice disordering of LaF_3 superionic crystals. Inorg. Mater. **46**, 1143 (2010).

[10] Schröter, W. and Nölting, J. Specific heats of crystals with the fluorite structure. Journal de Physique Colloques **41 (C6)**, C6-20 (1980).

[11] Kang, S. D., Danilkin, S. A., Aydemir, U., Avdeev, M., Studer, A. and Snyder, G. J. Apparent critical phenomena in the superionic phase transition of $Cu_{2-x}Se$. New J. Phys. **18**, 013024 (2016).

[12] Moore, J. P., Weaver, F. J., Graves, R. S. and McElroy, D. L. The thermal conductivity and expansion enhancement associated with formation of the superionic state in $SrCl_2$. Thermal Conductivity, vol. 18, pp. 105-114 (1985), ed. T. Ashworth and D. R. Smith (New York: Plenum)

[13] Roberts, R. B. and White, G. K. Thermal expansion of fluorites at high temperatures. J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. **19**, 7167 (1986).

[14] Hutchings, M. T., Clausen, K., Dickens, M. H., Hayes, W., Kjems, J. K., Schnabel, P. G. and Smith, C. Investigation of thermally induced anion disorder in fluorites using neutron scattering techniques. J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. **17**, 3903 (1984).

[15] Gillan, M. J. Collective dynamics in superionic CaF_2: I. Simulation compared with neutron-scattering experiment. J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. **19**, 3391 (1986).

[16] Gillan, M. J. Dynamics of defects in superionic fluorites. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. **86**, 1177 (1990).

[17] Dent, A., Madden, P. A. and Wilson, M. Simulation of CaF_2 in the superionic state: comparison of an empirical and realistic potential. Solid State Ionics **167**, 73 (2004).