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Abstract

In this note, we show that the strong Viterbo conjecture holds true on any convex toric
domain, and that the Viterbo’s volume-capacity conjecture holds for the product of a 1-
unconditional convex body $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and its polar. We also give a direct calculus proof of the
symmetric Mahler conjecture for $l_p$-balls.

1 Introduction and results

symplectic capacity
on the $2n$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with the standard symplectic structure $\omega_0$ to be
a map $c$ which associates to each subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ a number a number $c(U) \in [0, \infty)$ satisfying
the following axioms:

(Monotonicity) $c(U) \leq c(V)$ for $U \subset V \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$;

(Conformality) $c(\psi(U)) = |\alpha|c(U)$ for $\psi \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ such that $\psi^*\omega_0 = \alpha\omega_0$ with $\alpha \neq 0$;

(Nontriviality) $0 < c(B^{2n}(1))$ and $c(Z^{2n}(1)) < \infty$, where $B^{2n}(r) = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} | |z|^2 < r^2\}$
and $Z^{2n}(R) = B^2(R) \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$.

Moreover, such a symplectic capacity is called normalized if it also satisfies

(Normalization) $c(B^{2n}(1)) = c(Z^{2n}(1)) = \pi$.

(Without special statements we make conventions: 1) symplectic capacities on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ are all
concerning the symplectic structure $\omega_0$; 2) a “domain” in a Euclidean space always denotes the
closure of an open subset; 3) the notation $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ always denotes the Euclidean inner product.)

Hofer and Zehnder [12] extended the concept of a symplectic capacity to general symplectic
manifolds. The first example of a normalized symplectic capacity is the Gromov width $w_G$, which maps a 2n-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$ to

$$w_G(M, \omega) = \sup\{\pi r^2 | \exists \text{ a symplectic embedding } (B^{2n}(r), \omega_0) \hookrightarrow (M, \omega)\}. \quad (1.1)$$

In particular, for a subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ it can be easily proved that

$$w_G(U) := w_G(U, \omega_0) = \sup\{\pi r^2 | \exists \psi \in \text{Symp}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \text{ with } \psi(B^{2n}(r)) \subset U\}$$
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with the Extension after Restriction Principle for symplectic embeddings of bounded star-shaped open domains (see Appendix A in \[28\]). Clearly
\[
c^Z(U) := \sup \{ \pi r^2 \mid \exists \psi \in \text{Symp}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \text{ with } \psi(U) \subset Z^{2n}(r) \}\]
defines a normalized symplectic capacity on \(\mathbb{R}^{2n}\), the so-called cylindrical capacity. Nowadays, a variety of normalized symplectic capacities can be constructed in categories of symplectic manifolds for the study of different problems, for example, the (first) Ekeland-Hofer capacity \(c_{\text{EH}}\) (\[5\]), the Hofer-Zehnder capacity \(c_{\text{HZ}}\) (\[12\]) and Hofer’s displacement energy \(c(\[11\]), the Floer-Hofer capacity \(c_{\text{FH}}\) (\[6\]) and Viterbo’s generating function capacity \(c_V\) (\[22\]), the first Gutt-Hutchings capacity \(c_{\text{GH}}^1\) (\[8\]) coming from \(S^1\)-equivariant symplectic homology, and the first ECH capacity \(c_{\text{ECH}}^1\) in dimension 4 (\[13\]). Except the last \(c_{\text{ECH}}^1\) the others have defined for all convex domains in \((\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_0)\). As an immediate consequence of the normalization axiom we see that \(w_G\) and \(c^Z\) are the smallest and largest normalized symplectic capacities on \(\mathbb{R}^{2n}\), respectively. An important open question in symplectic topology (\[20\] \[19\]), termed the strong Viterbo conjecture (\[9\]), states that \(w_G\) and \(c^Z\) coincide on convex domains in \(\mathbb{R}^{2n}\), that is,

**Conjecture 1.1.** All normalized symplectic capacities coincide on convex domains in \(\mathbb{R}^{2n}\).

**Conjecture 1.2 (Viterbo \[32\]).** On \(\mathbb{R}^{2n}\), for any normalized symplectic capacity \(c\) and any bounded convex domain \(D\) there holds
\[
\frac{c(D)}{c(B^{2n}(1))} \leq \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(D)}{\text{Vol}(B^{2n}(1))} \right)^{1/n}
\]
(or equivalently \((c(D))^n \leq \text{Vol}(D, \omega_0^n) = n! \text{Vol}(D))\), with equality if and only if \(D\) is symplectomorphic to the Euclidean ball, where \(\text{Vol}(D)\) denotes the Euclidean volume of \(D\).

Since \([12]\) is clearly true for \(c = w_G\), Conjecture 1.2 follows from Conjecture 1.1. Some special cases of Conjecture 1.2 were proved in \([2] [15]\).

Surprisingly, Artstein-Avidan, Karasev, and Ostrover \[1\] showed that Conjecture 1.2 implies the following long-standing famous conjecture about the Mahler volume
\[
M(\Delta) := \text{Vol}(\Delta \times \Delta^o) = \text{Vol}(\Delta)\text{Vol}(\Delta^o)
\]
of a bounded convex domain \(\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n\) in convex geometry, where \(\Delta^o = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle y, x \rangle \leq 1 \ \forall y \in \Delta \}\) is the polar of \(\Delta\).

**Conjecture 1.3 (Symmetric Mahler conjecture \[18\]).** \(M(\Delta) \geq \frac{4^n}{n^n}\) for any centrally symmetric bounded convex domain \(\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n\).

The \(n = 2\) case of this conjecture was proved by Mahler \[18\]. Iriyeh and Shibata \[14\] have very recently proved the \(n = 3\) case. Some special classes of centrally symmetric bounded convex domains in \(\mathbb{R}^n\), for example, those with 1-unconditional basis, zonoids, polytopes with at most \(2n + 2\) facets, were proved to satisfy Conjecture 1.3 in \([30], [25]\) and \([17]\), respectively. Karasev \[16\] recently confirmed the conjecture for hyperplane sections or projections of \(l_p\)-balls or the Hamner polytopes. See \([29], [31]\) and the references of \[14\] for more information.

Hermann \[10\] proved Conjecture 1.1 for convex Reinhardt domains \(D\). Recall that a subset \(X\) of \(\mathbb{C}^n\) is called a Reinhardt domain (\[10\]) if it is invariant under the standard toric action \(\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n\) on \(\mathbb{C}^n\) defined by
\[
(\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_n) \cdot (z_1, \cdots, z_n) = (e^{2\pi i \theta_1}z_1, \cdots, e^{2\pi i \theta_n}z_n).
\]
This is a Hamiltonian action (with respect to the standard symplectic structure \(\omega_0\) on \(\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n}\)) with the moment map
\[
\mu : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, (z_1, \cdots, z_n) \mapsto (|z_1|^2, \cdots, |z_n|^2)
\]
after identifying the dual of the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{T}^n$ with $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$) denote the set of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (resp. $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$) such that $x_i \geq 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Given a nonempty relative open subset $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ we call Reinhardt domains

$$X_\Omega = \mu^{-1}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad X_{\overline{\Omega}} = \mu^{-1}(\overline{\Omega})$$

toric domains associated to $\Omega$ and $\overline{\Omega}$ (the closure of $\Omega$), respectively. (Both $X_\Omega$ and $X_{\overline{\Omega}}$ have volumes $\text{Vol}(\Omega)$ by [11 Lemma 2.6].) Moreover, following [8], if $\Omega$ is bounded, and

$$\overline{\Omega} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (|x_1|, \ldots, |x_n|) \in \Omega\}$$

is convex (resp. concave) in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we said $X_\Omega$ and $X_{\overline{\Omega}}$ to be convex toric domains (resp. concave toric domains). There exists an equivalent definition in [24]. An open and bounded subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a balanced region if $[-|x_1|, |x_1|] \times \cdots \times [-|x_n|, |x_n|] \subset A$ for each $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in A$. Such a set $A$ is determined by the relative open subset $|A| := A \cap \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$. For a nonempty relative open subset $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ there exists a balanced region $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\Omega = |A|$ if and only if $[0, |x_1|] \times \cdots \times [0, |x_n|] \subset \Omega$ for each $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \Omega$. The balanced region $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be convex (resp. concave) if $A$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \setminus A$) is convex in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then $X_{|A|}$ is convex (resp. concave) in the sense above if and only if the balanced region $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex (resp. concave). Clearly, the balanced regions are centrally symmetric, and any convex or concave balanced region is star-shaped. By [11 Lemma 2.5] each convex or concave toric domains is star-shaped.

By [8] Examples 1.5, 1.12], a 4-dimensional toric domain $X_\Omega$ is convex (resp. concave) if and only if

$$\Omega = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid 0 \leq x_1 \leq a, 0 \leq x_2 \leq f(x_1)\}$$

(1.4)

where $f : [0, a] \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is a nonincreasing concave function (resp. convex function with $f(a) = 0$). (Note that the concept of the present 4-dimensional convex toric domain is stronger than one in [6].)

Let $X_\Omega$ be a convex or concave toric domain associated to $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ as above, and let $\Sigma_\Omega$ and $\Sigma_{\overline{\Omega}}$ be the closures of the sets $\partial \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ and $\partial_{\overline{\Omega}} \cap \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$, respectively. (Clearly, $\Sigma_\Omega = \Sigma_{\overline{\Omega}}$.) For $v \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ we define

$$\|v\|_\Omega^* = \sup \{\langle v, w \rangle \mid w \in \Omega\} = \max \{\langle v, w \rangle \mid w \in \overline{\Omega}\} = \|v\|_{\overline{\Omega}}^*$$

(1.5)

and

$$\|v\|_\Omega = \min \{\langle v, w \rangle \mid w \in \Sigma_\Omega\} = \min \{\langle v, w \rangle \mid w \in \Sigma_{\overline{\Omega}}\} = \|v\|_{\overline{\Omega}}$$

(1.6)

(8 (1.9) and (1.13)). Then $\|v\|_\Omega \leq \|v\|_{\overline{\Omega}}$, and $\|v\|_{\overline{\Omega}}^* = r\|v\|_\Omega^*$ and $\|v\|_{\overline{\Omega}} = r\|v\|_\Omega$ for all $r > 0$.

Recently, Gutt and Ramos [9] proved that all normalized symplectic capacities coincide on any 4-dimensional convex or concave toric domain, and that $c_{\mathbb{E}H}$, $c_{\mathbb{F}H}$, $c_V$ and $w_G$ coincide on any convex or concave toric domain. Combing the latter assertion with a result in [8] we can easily obtain the first result of this note, which claims that Conjecture [12] and therefore Conjecture [12] holds true on all convex toric domains in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. More precisely, we have:

**Theorem 1.4.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ be a bounded nonempty relative open subset such that $\overline{\Omega}$ is convex in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then for any normalized symplectic capacity $c$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ convex toric domains $X_\Omega$ and $X_{\overline{\Omega}}$ have capacities

$$c(X_\Omega) = c(X_{\overline{\Omega}}) = \min \left\{\|v\|_{\overline{\Omega}}^* \mid v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}, \sum_{i=1}^n v_i = 1\right\} = \min \{\|e_i\|_{\overline{\Omega}}^* \mid i = 1, \ldots, n\},$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is the standard orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. 

\[3\]
It is unclear whether convex toric domains must be convex Reinhardt domains in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. But the following Corollary 1.5 shows that Conjecture 1.1 holds true for a class of convex domains in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ that are not necessarily Reinhardt domains.

**Corollary 1.5.** Let $X_{\Omega_1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and $X_{\Omega_2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ be convex toric domains associated with bounded relative open subsets $\Omega_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\geq 0}$ and $\Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2m}_{\geq 0}$, respectively. Then $X_{\Omega_1} \times X_{\Omega_2}$ is equal to the convex toric domain $X_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2}$, and for any normalized symplectic capacity $c$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2m}$ there holds

$$
c(X_{\Omega_1} \times X_{\Omega_2}) = \min\{c(X_{\Omega_1}), c(X_{\Omega_2})\}.
$$

The same conclusion holds true after $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$ are replaced by $\overline{\Omega_1}$ and $\overline{\Omega_2}$, respectively.

This is a direct consequence of [3, (3.8)] and Theorem 1.4. In Section 2 we shall prove it with only Theorem 1.4.

For each $p \in [1, \infty]$ let $\| \cdot \|_p$ denote the $l_p$-norm in $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$
\|x\|_p := \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^p\right)^{1/p} \quad \text{if } p < \infty, \quad \|x\|_\infty := \max_i |x_i|.
$$

Then the open unit ball $B^n_p = \{x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x\|_p < 1\}$ is a convex balanced region in $\mathbb{R}^n$. It was proved in [24, Theorem 7] that for a balanced region $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a symplectomorphism between $X_{|A|}$ and the Lagrangian product $B^n_{\infty} \times_L A$ defined by

$$
B^n_{\infty} \times_L A = \{(x_1, \cdots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in B^n_{\infty}, (y_1, \cdots, y_n) \in A\},
$$

where $4|A| = \{(4x_1, \cdots, 4x_n) \mid (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in |A|\}$. By this and Theorem 1.4 (resp. Corollary 1.3) we may, respectively, obtain two claims of the following

**Corollary 1.6.** For a convex balanced region $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and any normalized symplectic capacity $c$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ there holds

$$
c(B^n_{\infty} \times_L A) = 4 \min\{\|e_i\|_A \mid i = 1, \cdots, n\}.
$$

In particular, $c(B^n_{\infty} \times_L B^n_{\infty}) = c(B^n_{\infty} \times_L B^n_p) = 4$ for every $p \in [1, \infty]$ (since the symplectomorphism $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \ni (x, y) \mapsto (y, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ maps $B^n_{\infty} \times_L B^n_p$ onto $B^n_{\infty} \times_L B^n_p$). Moreover, for convex balanced regions $A_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $i = 1, \cdots, k$, it holds that

$$
c((B^n_{\infty} \times \cdots \times B^n_{\infty}) \times_L (A_1 \times \cdots \times A_k)) = \min_i c(B^n_{\infty} \times_L A_i).
$$

Consequently, the convex domain $(B^n_{\infty} \times \cdots \times B^n_{\infty}) \times_L (A_1 \times \cdots \times A_k)$ satisfies Conjecture 1.1 and so Conjecture 1.1 by the first claim.

Clearly, this result is a partial generalization of [2, Theorem 5.2] since $B^n_{\infty}$ is equal to $\square_n$ therein. Note that convex subsets $B^n_{\infty} \times_L B^n_p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) are not Reinhardt domains in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

Since $B^n_1$ is a convex balanced region in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and is equal to $(B^n_{\infty})^\circ$, Corollary 1.6 implies the known equality case in Mahler’s conjecture, which can also be proved by a straightforward computation because $\text{Vol}(B^n_1) = 2^n/n!$ and $\text{Vol}(B^n_{\infty}) = 2^n$ by (11.15). This and Corollary 1.6 suggest the following questions for each $p \in (1, \infty)$: Is Conjecture 1.1 for the convex domain $B^n_p \times (B^n_p)^\circ \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ true? Does Conjecture 1.3 for the ball $B^n_p$ hold true?

They are affirmative as examples of the following Theorems 1.8, 1.7 respectively.

**Theorem 1.7** (Saint-Raymond [27]). Suppose that a centrally symmetric convex domain $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is 1-unconditional. Then $\text{Vol}(K \times K^\circ) \geq \frac{4^n}{n!}$ and equality holds if $K$ is a Hanner polytope.
Recall that in \cite{27,26,30} a centrally symmetric convex domain $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called 1-unconditional if there exists a basis $\{\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that
\[
\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \xi_i \right\|_K = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i a_i \xi_i \right\|_K
\]
for all scalars $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and signs $\varepsilon_i \in \{-1,1\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $\| \cdot \|_K$ is the norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$ determined by $K$, that is, $\|x\|_K = \min\{t \geq 0 \mid x \in tK\}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the $p$-product of two centrally symmetric convex domains $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $M \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is defined by
\[
K \times_p M := \bigcup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left( (1-t)\hat{\cdot} K \times t\hat{\cdot} M \right),
\]
which is also centrally symmetric and has the corresponding norm
\[
\| (x,y) \|_{K \times_p M} = (\|x\|_K^p + \|y\|_M^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m.
\]

From this it is not hard to derive that the operator $\times_p$ is associative. Moreover, if both $K$ and $M$ are 1-unconditional, so is $K \times_p M$. Note also that $K \times_\infty M = K \times M$ and $K \times_1 M = \text{conv}\{(K \times \{0\}) \cup \{\{0\} \times M\}$). The 1-product is also called free sum.

A centrally symmetric convex domain $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a Hanner polytope if it is obtained by successively applying Cartesian products and free sums to centered line segments in arbitrary order. Hence every Hanner polytope in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is an affine image of $I \times_{p_1} \cdots \times_{p_{n-1}} I$, where $I = [-1,1]$ and $p_i \in \{1,\infty\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

It is not hard to check that both Hanner polytopes and closures of balanced regions are 1-unconditional convex domains. But a Hanner polytope is not necessarily balanced.

**Theorem 1.8.** Suppose that $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is 1-unconditional convex domain. Then $A \times L A^0$ satisfies Conjecture \cite{1,2}, precisely,
\[
c(A \times L A^0) \leq 4 \leq (n!\text{Vol}(A \times L A^0))^\frac{1}{n}
\]
for any normalized symplectic capacity $c$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

Recall that an ellipsoid in an $n$-dimensional normed space $E$ is defined as a subset $Q \subset E$ which is the image of $B_2^n$ by a line isomorphism (cf. \cite{22} page 27). We call the image of $B_p^n$ by a linear isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$ a $l_p$-ellipsoid with $p \in [1,\infty]$.

**Corollary 1.9.** For a $l_p$-ellipsoid $Q = \Upsilon(B_p^n) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ there holds
\[
c(Q \times L Q^0) = 4 \leq (n!\text{Vol}(Q \times L Q^0))^\frac{1}{n}
\]
for any normalized symplectic capacity $c$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. In particular, Conjecture \cite{1,2} holds for the convex domain $D = Q \times Q^0$.

Since the Mahler volume is affine invariant, $4 \leq (n!\text{Vol}(Q \times L Q^0))^\frac{1}{n}$ if and only if $4 \leq (n!\text{Vol}(B_p^n \times L (B_p^n))^\frac{1}{n}$. The latter follows from \cite{1,2}. In Section 2 we shall give a direct calculus proof of the inequality.

**Organization of the paper.** In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5. Next, we give proofs of Theorem 1.8 and Corollary 1.9 in Section 3. A direct proof of the Mahler conjecture for $l_p$-balls is given in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 includes some concluding remarks.
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2 Proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By [8, Theorem 1.14 & Corollary 1.16] and [9, Theorem 3.1], it holds that

\[
 w_G(X_\Omega) = \min \left\{ \|v\|_\Omega^* \mid v = (v_1, \cdots, v_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n, \sum_{i=1}^n v_i = 1 \right\}. \tag{2.1}
\]

Let \(c\) be an arbitrarily given normalized symplectic capacity on \(\mathbb{R}^{2n}\). Then \(c(X_\Omega) \geq w_G(X_\Omega)\) by the normalization axiom of the symplectic capacity. Next let us show that

\[
 c(X_\Omega) \leq \min \left\{ \|v\|_\Omega^* \mid v = (v_1, \cdots, v_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n, \sum_{i=1}^n v_i = 1 \right\}. \tag{2.2}
\]

Let \(\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n\) be the standard basis in \(\mathbb{R}^n\), where \(e_i = (0, \cdots, 0, 1, 0, \cdots, 0)\) with only the \(i\)-th component non-zero, and equal to 1, \(i = 1, \cdots, n\). Write \(L_i = \|e_i\|_\Omega^*\) and define

\[
 \Omega_i = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n \mid \langle e_i, x \rangle \leq L_i \}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n.
\]

Then for each \(i, \Omega_i \subset \Omega\) by the definition of \(\|e_i\|_\Omega^*\), and there exists an obvious symplectomorphism from \(X_{\Omega_i} = \{(z_1, \cdots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid |z_i|^2 \leq L_i \} \) onto \(\mathbb{Z}^{2n}(\sqrt{L_i/\pi})\). It follows from the monotonicity and conformality of symplectic capacities that

\[
 c(X_\Omega) \leq c(X_{\Omega_i}) = c(\mathbb{Z}^{2n}(\sqrt{L_i/\pi})) = \frac{L_i}{\pi} c(\mathbb{Z}^{2n}(1)) = L_i, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n
\]

and so \(c(X_\Omega) \leq \min_i L_i\). Note that each vector \(v = (v_1, \cdots, v_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n\) with \(\sum_{i=1}^n v_i = 1\) must have form \(e_j\) for some \(j \in \{1, \cdots, n\}\). We get (2.2) and therefore

\[
 c(X_\Omega) = \min \left\{ \|v\|_\Omega^* \mid v = (v_1, \cdots, v_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n, \sum_{i=1}^n v_i = 1 \right\} = \min\{\|e_i\|_\Omega^* \mid i = 1, \cdots, n\} \tag{2.3}
\]

since \(\|v\|_\Omega^* = \|v\|_{\Omega_i}^*\).

Finally, we also need to prove \(c(X_\Omega) = c(X_{\Omega_i})\). Clearly, \(c(X_\Omega) \leq c(X_{\Omega_i})\) by the monotonicity of symplectic capacities. Since \(X_{\Omega_i}\) is open and has the closure \(X_{\Omega_i}\), it follows from the definition of the Gromov width \(w_G\) in (1.1) that \(w_G(X_{\Omega_i}) = w_G(X_{\Omega_i})\). This, and (2.1) and (2.3) yield

\[
 c(X_{\Omega_i}) = w_G(X_{\Omega_i}) = w_G(X_{\Omega_i}) \leq c(X_\Omega)
\]

and hence \(c(X_{\Omega_i}) = c(X_{\Omega_i})\). Now the proof is complete.

\[\square\]

Remark 2.1. Let \(X_{\Omega_i}\) be a concave toric domain associated to a relative open subset \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}\). By [8, Theorem 1.14 & Corollary 1.16] and [9, Theorem 3.1], we have

\[
 w_G(X_{\Omega_i}) = c_{\text{CH}}^i(X_{\Omega_i}) = \max \left\{ [v]_{\Omega_i} \mid v = (v_1, \cdots, v_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n, \sum_{i=1}^n v_i = n \right\} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \mid w = (w_1, \cdots, w_n) \in \partial \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n \right\} = \max \{ \pi r^2 \mid B^{2n}(r) \subset X_{\Omega_i} \} = w_G(X_{\Omega_i}). \tag{2.4}
\]
For any normalized symplectic capacity $c$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, repeating the proof of Theorem 1.4 we get

$$c(X) \leq \min \left\{ \|v\|_c^* \mid v = (v_1, \cdots, v_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n, \sum_{i=1}^n v_i = 1 \right\} = \min \{\|e_i\|_c^* \mid i = 1, \cdots, n\}.$$  

Clearly, we have also $c(X) \leq c(X_{\text{conv}(\Omega)})$ and

$$c(X_{\text{conv}(\Omega)}) \leq \min \{\|\omega\|_{\text{conv}(\Omega)}^* \mid \omega = 1, \cdots, n\} = \min \{\|e_i\|_{\text{conv}(\Omega)}^* \mid i = 1, \cdots, n\}.$$  

This final equality easily follows from 1.5.

If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a concave balanced region, since the Lagrangian product $B_{\infty}^n \times_L A$ is symplectomorphic to $X_{\text{conv}(\Omega)}$ ([24, Theorem 7]), from 24 we get

$$w_G(B_{\infty}^n \times_L A) = c^H_1(B_{\infty}^n \times_L A) = 4 \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \mid w = (w_1, \cdots, w_n) \in (\partial A) \cap \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{2n} \right\}.$$  

**Proof of Corollary 1.5.** Since we can write

$$X_{\Omega_1} = \{(z_{n+1}, \cdots, z_{m+n}) \in \mathbb{C}^m \mid (\pi|z_{n+1}|^2, \cdots, \pi|z_{m+n}|^2) \in \Omega_1\},$$

then

$$X_{\Omega_1} \times X_{\Omega_2} = \{(z_1, \cdots, z_{m+n}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+m} \mid (z_1, \cdots, z_n) \in X_{\Omega_1}, (z_{n+1}, \cdots, z_{m+n}) \in X_{\Omega_2}\} = X_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2}$$

and thus $c(X_{\Omega_1} \times X_{\Omega_2}) = c(X_{\Omega_1} \times X_{\Omega_2})$. By Theorem 1.4 we get

$$c(X_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2}) = \min \{\|e_i\|_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2}^* \mid i = 1, \cdots, n + m\},$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n+m}$ is the standard orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$. But for $i = 1, \cdots, n$,

$$\|e_i\|_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} = \sup \{\langle e_i, x \rangle \mid x = (x_1, \cdots, x_{n+m}) \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2\} = \sup \{x_1 \mid x = (x_1, \cdots, x_{n+m}) \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2\} = \sup \{x_i \mid x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \Omega_1\} = \|e_i\|_{\Omega_i}.$$  

Hence we arrive at

$$\min \{\|e_i\|_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} \mid i = 1, \cdots, n\} = \min \{\|e_i\|_{\Omega_i} \mid i = 1, \cdots, n\} = c(X_{\Omega_1}).$$

Similarly, we have $\min \{\|e_i\|_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} \mid i = n+1, \cdots, n+m\} = c(X_{\Omega_2})$. Therefore

$$c(X_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2}) = \min \{c(X_{\Omega_1}), c(X_{\Omega_2})\}.$$  

This and Theorem 1.4 also lead to the second conclusion.  

\[\square\]

### 3 Proofs of Theorem 1.8 and Corollary 1.9

**Proof of Theorem 1.8.** We begin with the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** For a convex balanced region $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and any normalized symplectic capacity $c$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, there holds

$$c(A \times_L A^o) \leq 4.$$
Proof. Let $r = \max\{\|e_i\|_{A^i}^* | i = 1, \cdots, n\}$. By (1.5) we deduce that $|A| \subset [0, r]^n$. This and the definition of the balanced region imply that $A \subset rB^n_\infty$. It follows from the monotonicity and conformality of symplectic capacities that

$$c(A \times_L A^o) \leq c((rB^n_\infty) \times_L A^o) = r^2 c(B^n_\infty \times_L (\frac{1}{r} A^o)).$$  (3.5)

Next, we claim that $A^o$ is also a convex balanced region. It suffices to prove that $A^o$ is a balanced region. In fact, for any $(y_1, \cdots, y_n) \in A^o$, since $A$ is symmetric with respect to all coordinate hyperplanes, we have

$$\{y_1, -y_1\} \times \{y_2, -y_2\} \times \cdots \times \{y_n, -y_n\} \in A^o.$$  (3.6)

Moreover, for any $y, y' \in A^o$, we derive

$$\langle ty + (1 - t)y', x \rangle = t\langle y, x \rangle + (1 - t)\langle y', x \rangle \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in A, \forall 0 < t < 1,$$

that is, $A^o$ is convex set. From this and (3.6) we derive

$$[-|y_1|, |y_1|] \times [-|y_2|, |y_2|] \times \cdots \times [-|y_n|, |y_n|] \in A^o,$$

namely, $A^o$ is a balanced region.

Now from Corollary 1.6 and (3.5) we deduce

$$c(A \times_L A^o) \leq r^2 c(B^n_\infty \times_L (\frac{1}{r} A^o)) = 4r \min\{\|e_i\|_{A^i}^* | i = 1, \cdots, n\}.  \tag{3.7}$$

It remains to show that $\min\{\|e_i\|_{A^i}^* | i = 1, \cdots, n\} \leq \frac{1}{r}$. Let $r = \|e_j\|_{A^j}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$. Take $a > 0$ such that $ae_j \in |A|$. Then $\langle ae_j, x \rangle \leq 1 \forall x \in A^o$. In particular, $\langle e_j, x \rangle \leq \frac{1}{a} \forall x \in |A^o|$. This shows $\|e_j\|_{A^j}^* \leq \frac{1}{a}$. Note that $\|e_j\|_{A^j}^* > 0$ and that $a > 0$ can be chosen to be arbitrarily close to $\|e_j\|_{A^j}^*$. We get $\|e_j\|_{A^j}^* \leq \frac{1}{\|e_j\|_{A^j}^*} = \frac{1}{r}$, and therefore

$$\min\{\|e_i\|_{A^i}^* | i = 1, \cdots, n\} \leq \|e_j\|_{A^j}^* \leq \frac{1}{r}.$$  (3.7)

This and (3.7) lead to the desired result. \hfill \Box

By Theorem 1.7 if a centrally symmetric convex domain $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a balanced region, in particular a Hanner polytope, then $\text{Vol}(A \times_L A^o) \geq \frac{4^n}{n!}$ and therefore $A \times_L A^o$ satisfies Conjecture 1.2, i.e.,

$$c(A \times_L A^o) \leq 4 \leq (n! \text{Vol}(A \times_L A^o))^\frac{1}{n}.  \tag{3.8}$$

for any normalized symplectic capacity $c$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

Now assume that $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is 1-unconditional convex domain with basis $\{\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_n\}$. Let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and let $\Upsilon \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ map $\eta_i$ to $e_i$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Since $\|x\|_{\Upsilon(A)} = \|\Upsilon^{-1}x\|_A$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, a straightforward computation shows that $\Upsilon(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is 1-unconditional convex domain with basis $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$. It follows that

$$\|(x_1, \cdots, x_n)\|_{\Upsilon(A)} = \|(x_1, \cdots, x_n)\|_{\Upsilon(A)}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

which means that the convex domain $\Upsilon(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a balanced region. By (3.8) we get

$$c(\Upsilon(A) \times_L (\Upsilon(A))^o) \leq 4 \leq (n! \text{Vol}(\Upsilon(A) \times_L (\Upsilon(A))^o))^\frac{1}{n}.  \tag{3.9}$$
for any normalized symplectic capacity $c$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Denote by $\Upsilon^T$ the transpose of $\Upsilon \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then
\[ \Phi_\Upsilon : (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_0) \to (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_0), \quad (x, y) \mapsto (\Upsilon x, (\Upsilon^T)^{-1} y) \] (3.10)
is a symplectomorphism. By the definition of the polar it is easy to check that
\[ (\Upsilon(A))^o = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1 \ \forall y \in \Upsilon(A) \} = \{ (\Upsilon^T)^{-1} u \mid u \in A^o \} = (\Upsilon^T)^{-1}(A)^o. \]
Then $\Upsilon(A) \times (\Upsilon(A))^o = \Phi_\Upsilon(A \times A^o)$, $\text{Vol}((\Upsilon(A))^o) = |\det(\Upsilon^T)^{-1}| \text{Vol}(A^o)$ and so
\[ \text{Vol}(\Upsilon(A) \times (\Upsilon(A))^o) = \text{Vol}(\Upsilon(A)) \text{Vol}((\Upsilon(A))^o) = \text{Vol}(A) \text{Vol}(A^o) = \text{Vol}(A \times_L A^o). \]
From these and (3.9) we derive (1.7). Theorem 1.8 is proved. \qed

Proof of Corollary 1.9 Since every closed $l_p$-ball $B_p^n$ is a 1-unconditional convex domain with basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, for any normalized symplectic capacity $c$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ we derive from (1.7) that
\[ c(B_p^n \times_L (B_p^n)^o) \leq 4 \leq (n! \text{Vol}(B_p^n \times_L (B_p^n)^o))^\frac{1}{n} \] (3.11)
and therefore
\[ c(A \times_L A^o) \leq 4 \leq (n! \text{Vol}(A \times_L A^o))^\frac{1}{n}. \] (3.12)
If $p = 1$ or $\infty$, Corollary 1.9 has yielded $c(B_p^n \times_L (B_p^n)^o) = 4$. For $1 < p < \infty$, we have $w_G(B_p^n \times_L (B_p^n)^o) \geq 4$ by [15, Proposition 3.1]. As above these give rise to
\[ c(A \times_L A^o) \geq w_G(A \times_L A^o) = w_G(B_p^n \times_L (B_p^n)^o) \geq 4 \quad \forall p \in [1, \infty]. \]
This and the first inequality in (3.12) lead to equality in (1.8). \qed

4 A direct proof of the Mahler conjecture for $l_p$-balls

In this section we shall prove the following.

Theorem 4.1. Let $Q = \Upsilon(B_p^n) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a $l_p$-ellipsoid with $\Upsilon \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. If $n = 1$ then $\text{Vol}(Q \times Q^o) = \text{Vol}(Q) \text{Vol}(Q^o) \equiv 4$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$. If $n \geq 2$ then there holds
\[ \text{Vol}(Q \times Q^o) = \text{Vol}(Q) \text{Vol}(Q^o) \geq \frac{4^n}{n!} \] (4.13)
for all $p \in [1, \infty]$, and the equality holds if and only if $p = 1$ or $p = \infty$.

As the arguments below (4.10) we only need to prove the case $\Upsilon = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$, that is:

Claim 4.2. For $n = 1$, $\text{Vol}(B_p^n \times (B_p^n)^o) = \text{Vol}(B_1^n \times (B_1^n)^o) = 4 \forall p \in [1, \infty]$. If $n \geq 2$ then
\[ \text{Vol}(B_p^n \times (B_p^n)^o) = \text{Vol}(B_p^n) \text{Vol}((B_p^n)^o) \geq 4^n/n!, \quad \forall p \in [1, \infty], \] (4.14)
and the equality in (4.14) holds if and only if $p = 1$ or $p = \infty$.

This is a special example of Theorem 1.7 because $B_p^n$ is a centrally symmetric convex domain $\mathbb{R}^n$ with 1-unconditional basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$. However, here we give a simple calculus proof of it.

Since $(B_p^n)^o = B_q^n$ with $q = p/(p-1)$, and $[1, 2] \ni p \mapsto q = p/(p-1) \in [2, \infty]$ is a homeomorphism, by symmetry it suffices to prove Claim 4.2 for $p \in [1, 2]$. 
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By [22 (1.17)] we have

\[
\text{Vol}(B^n_p) = \left(2 \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)\right)^n \left(\Gamma \left(1 + \frac{n}{p}\right)\right)^{-1}
\]  

(4.15)

and so

\[
\text{Vol}(B^n_p)^\circ = \left(2 \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{1}{p/(p-1)}\right)\right)^n \left(\Gamma \left(1 + \frac{n}{p/(p-1)}\right)\right)^{-1}
\]

\[
= \left(2 \Gamma \left(2 - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right)^n \left(\Gamma \left(n + 1 - \frac{n}{p}\right)\right)^{-1}
\]

Taking the derivative of the function \([1, 2] \ni p \rightarrow \text{Vol}(B^n_p)\text{Vol}((B^n_p)^\circ)\) we get

\[
\frac{d}{dp}\text{Vol}(B^n_p)\text{Vol}((B^n_p)^\circ) = 4^n \left(\frac{\Gamma \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)}{\Gamma \left(1 + \frac{n}{p}\right)}\right)^n \left(\frac{\Gamma \left(2 - \frac{1}{p}\right)}{\Gamma \left(n + 1 - \frac{n}{p}\right)}\right)^{-n}
\]

\[
\left[\Gamma^\prime \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)\Gamma^\prime \left(2 - \frac{1}{p}\right) - \Gamma^\prime \left(1 + \frac{2}{p}\right)\Gamma^\prime \left(2 - \frac{2}{p}\right)\right]
\]

\[
\frac{n}{p^2} \Gamma \left(2 - \frac{1}{p}\right)\Gamma \left(1 + \frac{n}{p}\right) \Gamma \left(n + 1 - \frac{n}{p}\right)^{-1}
\]

Recall that the formula \(\Gamma^\prime(x) = \Gamma(x)\psi(x) \forall x > 0\), where \(\psi\)-function is defined by

\[
\psi(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \ln n - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{x + k} \right\}
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty [e^{-t} - (1 + t)^{-x}]t^{-1}dt \quad \text{(Gauss integral formula)}
\]

\[
= -\gamma + \int_0^1 \frac{1 - tx^{-1}}{1 - t}dt \quad \text{(Dirichlet formula)}
\]

where \(\gamma\) is Euler constant. We can immediately deduce

\[
\frac{d}{dp}\text{Vol}(B^n_p)\text{Vol}((B^n_p)^\circ)
\]

\[
= 4^n \frac{n}{p^2} \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^n \Gamma \left(2 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^n \psi^\prime \left(2 - \frac{1}{p}\right) - \psi^\prime \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) + \psi \left(1 + \frac{n}{p}\right) - \psi \left(n + 1 - \frac{n}{p}\right)
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\Gamma \left(1 + \frac{n}{p}\right)\Gamma \left(n + 1 - \frac{n}{p}\right)\Gamma \left(n + 1 - \frac{n}{p}\right)}
\]

\[
\frac{n}{p^2} \left[\psi \left(2 - \frac{1}{p}\right) - \psi \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) + \psi \left(1 + \frac{n}{p}\right) - \psi \left(n + 1 - \frac{n}{p}\right)\right] \text{Vol}(B^n_p)\text{Vol}((B^n_p)^\circ).
\]

Denote by \(\Phi_n(p)\) the function in the square brackets. Then \(\Phi_1(p) \equiv 0\) and so the first conclusion in Claim 1.2 holds true.

**Claim 4.3.** When \(n \geq 2\), \(\Phi_n(2) = 0\) and \(\Phi_n(p) > 0\) for any \(1 \leq p < 2\).

We first admit this. Then the function \([1, 2] \ni p \rightarrow \text{Vol}(B^n_p)\text{Vol}((B^n_p)^\circ)\) is strictly monotonously increasing for each integer \(n \geq 2\). Moreover, \(\text{Vol}(B^n_1) = 2^n/n!\) and \(\text{Vol}(B^n_\infty) = 2^n\). Claim 4.3 immediately leads to the second conclusion in Claim 1.2.
Proof of Claim 4.3 Since \( \psi(x + 1) = \psi(x) + \frac{1}{p} \), then \( \Phi_n(2) = 0 \) and \( \Phi_n(1) = \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \). We always assume \( 1 < p < 2 \) below. By the Dirichlet formula above we get

\[
\psi(s + 1) = -\gamma + \int_0^1 \frac{1-x^s}{1-x} dx.
\]

It follows that

\[
\psi(2 - \frac{1}{p}) - \psi(1 + \frac{1}{p}) = \int_0^1 \frac{x^{1/p} - x^{1-1/p}}{1-x} dx, \tag{4.16}
\]

\[
\psi(1 + n) - \psi(n + 1 - \frac{n}{p}) = \int_0^1 \frac{x^{-n/p} - x^{n/p}}{1-x} dx
\]

\[
= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1-y} \left( \frac{y^{1-1/p} - y^{1/p}}{1-y} \right) \, dy \tag{4.17}
\]

by setting \( x^n = y \). For convenience let \( a = 1/n \) and

\[
f(y) := \frac{1-y}{1-y^{1/n}} \frac{y^{1/n-1}}{y^{a-1}} = a \frac{1-y}{1-y^n} y^{a-1}.
\]

A straightforward computation leads to

\[
f'(y) = a \left( \frac{1-y}{1-y^n} \right)' y^{a-1} + a \frac{1-y}{1-y^n} (a-1) y^{a-2}
\]

\[
= a y^{a-1} (1-y^n) - (1-y)(-a y^{a-1}) + a \frac{1-y}{1-y^n} (a-1) y^{a-2}
\]

\[
= \frac{ay^{a-1}}{(1-y^n)^2} ((a-1)(y-1) + (1-y)(a-1)y^{-1}(1-y^n))
\]

\[
= \frac{ay^{a-1}}{(1-y^n)^2} \left( \frac{1}{y} (a-ay-1+y^n) \right).
\]

Let \( g(y) = a-ay-1+y^n \). Then \( g(0) = a-1 < 0 \), \( g(1) = 0 \) and \( g'(y) = -a+ay^{a-1} > 0 \) for all \( 0 < y < 1 \). It follows that \( g(y) < 0 \) and so \( f'(y) < 0 \) for all \( 0 < y < 1 \).

On the other hand, by L’Hospital rule, we get \( \lim_{y\to 1} f(y) = 1 \). Hence \( f(y) > 1 \) for \( 0 < y < 1 \). Using (4.16) and (4.17) we deduce that

\[
\Phi_n(p) = \psi(2 - \frac{1}{p}) - \psi(1 + \frac{1}{p}) + \psi(1 + \frac{n}{p}) - \psi(n + 1 - \frac{n}{p})
\]

\[
= \int_0^1 \frac{y^{1/p} - y^{1-1/p}}{1-y} dy + \int_0^1 \frac{y^{1-1/p} - y^{1/p}}{1-y} f(y) dy
\]

\[
= \int_0^1 \frac{y^{1-1/p} - y^{1/p}}{1-y} (f(y) - 1) dy > 0
\]

since \( y^{1-1/p} - y^{1/p} = y^{1/p}(y^{1-2/p} - 1) < 0 \) for \( 0 < y < 1 \) and \( 1 < p < 2 \). Claim 4.3 is proved.

5 Concluding remarks

Remark 5.1. For \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), \( \mathcal{X}_p = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \|x\|_p + \|y\|_p \leq 1\} \) is called the \( l_p \)-sum of two Langrangian open unit discs \( B^2_2 \), where \( \| \cdot \| \) denotes the standard Euclidean norm on
\[ g \subseteq (\text{or equivalently, } (2 \sin(\alpha/\gamma) \text{ bounded by the coordinate axes and the curve}) \]

Remark 5.2.

In fact, for \( p \in [1, \infty) \), by [21, Theorem 5] \( X_p \) is symplectomorphic to \( X_{\Omega_p} \), where \( \Omega_p \) is the relatively open set in \( \mathbb{R}^2_{>0} \) bounded by the coordinate axes and the curve \( \gamma_p \) parametrized by

\[
(2\pi v + g_p(v), g_p(v)), \quad \text{for } v \in [0, (1/4)^{1/p}],
\]

\[
(g_p(-v), -2\pi v + g_p(-v)), \quad \text{for } v \in [-1/(4)^{1/p}, 0],
\]

where \( g_p : [0, (1/4)^{1/p}] \to \mathbb{R} \) is the function defined by

\[
g_p(v) := 2\int_{\frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - v^2}^{1/p}}^{\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - v^2}^{1/p}} \sqrt{(1 - r^2)^{2/p} - \frac{v^2}{r^2}} dr.
\]

For \( p = \infty \), Theorem 3 in [23] (with the notations in [21, Theorem 6]) claimed that \( X_\infty = B_2^2 \times_L B_2^2 \) is symplectomorphic to \( X_{\Omega_\infty} \), where \( \Omega_\infty \) is the the relatively open set in \( \mathbb{R}^2_{>0} \) bounded by the coordinate axes and the curve \( \gamma_\infty \) parametrized by

\[
2(\sqrt{1 - v^2} + v(\pi - \arccos v), \sqrt{1 - v^2} - \arccos v), \quad \text{for } v \in [-1, 1]
\]

(or equivalently, \( (2 \sin(\alpha/2) - \alpha \cos(\alpha/2), 2 \sin(\alpha/2) + (2\pi - \alpha) \cos(\alpha/2)) \) with \( \alpha \in [0, 2\pi] \), see [23, Theorem 3]). Moreover, by [21, Proposition 8], we also know that the toric domain \( X_{\Omega_p} \) is convex for \( p \in [1, 2] \), and concave for \( p \in [2, \infty] \). Hence for any normalized symplectic capacity \( c \) on \( \mathbb{R}^4 \), [9, Theorem 1.4] and [21, Theorem 1] lead to the first two cases in (5.1), and the third case follows from [9, Theorem 1.4] and [8, Theorem 1.14],

\[
c(X_\infty) = \max\{[v]_{\Omega_\infty} | v \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}, \sum_i v_i = 2\}
\]

\[
= \inf \{w_1 + w_2 | w = (w_1, w_2) \in \partial \Omega_\infty \cap \mathbb{R}^2_{>0}\} = 4.
\]

Remark 5.3. The main result of [1] is \( c_{EHZ}(\Delta \times \Delta^c) = 4 \) for any bounded convex domain \( \Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \). By this and [1,7] and [1,8] it seems to be reasonable to conjecture that \( c(\Delta \times \Delta^c) = 4 \) for any normalized symplectic capacity \( c \) on \( \mathbb{R}^2n \) and any bounded convex domain \( \Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \).
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