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Abstract

Let $G$ be a permutation group on a set $\Omega$. A subset of $\Omega$ is a base for $G$ if its pointwise stabilizer in $G$ is trivial. By $b(G)$ we denote the size of the smallest base of $G$. Every permutation group with $b(G) = 2$ contains some regular suborbits. It is conjectured by Burness-Giudici in [4] that every primitive permutation group $G$ with $b(G) = 2$ has the property that if $\alpha^g \not\in \Gamma$ then $\Gamma \cap \Gamma^g \neq \emptyset$, where $\Gamma$ is the union of all regular suborbits of $G$ relative to $\alpha$. An affirmative answer of the conjecture has been shown for many sporadic simple groups and some alternative groups in [4], but it is still open for simple groups of Lie-type. The first candidate of infinite family of simple groups of Lie-type we should work on might be $\text{PSL}(2, q)$, where $q \geq 5$. In this manuscript, we show the correctness of the conjecture for all the primitive groups with socle $\text{PSL}(2, q)$, see Theorem 1.3.

1 Introduction

A base for a finite permutation group $G$ on a set $\Omega$ is a subset $\Delta \subset \Omega$ whose pointwise stabilizer is trivial. The definition of a base for finite permutation group is natural generalization of a base for vector spaces. The base size of $G$ on $\Omega$, denoted by $b(G)$, is the minimal cardinality of a base for $G$. A base size set $\Delta$ for a finite permutation group $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$, is a base for $G$ on the set $\Omega$ with $|\Delta| = b(G)$.

First observation is that a transitive group with $b(G) = 1$ is just a regular group. So we are interested in the groups $G$ with $b(G) \geq 2$.

The study of bases for finite permutation groups mainly includes two aspects: determining the base size for a finite permutation group and determining the base size set for a finite permutation group. In 1992, Blaha [3] proved that finding the base size for a permutation group $G$ is NP-hard. After that there are many researchers working on three conjectures on $b(G)$, raised by Cameron, Kantor [9], Babai [1] and Pyber [20]. Among of them, Pyber’s conjecture was solved in 2018 [10]. All these conjectures deal with the bounds of $b(G)$ for certain primitive permutation groups, while some researchers also give descriptions of base size set of such groups, see [5, 6, 7, 8, 15, 16, 18], for example.

Bases for a permutation group play an important role in the development of permutation group theoretic algorithms [21]. Many of them are related to combinatorial
structure, for an excellent survey article we refer to [2]. Recently, Burness and Giudici [4]
introduced a graph, called the \textit{Saxl graph} which is related to bases of a permutation group
with $b(G) = 2$. Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ be a permutation group on $\Omega$ with $b(G) = 2$. The vertex
set of a Saxl graph $\Sigma(G)$ (denoted by $\Sigma$, simply) of $G$ on $\Omega$ is just $\Omega$ and two vertices
are adjacent if and only if they form an base size set. Clearly, if $G$ is a transitive permutation

group, then the Saxl graph graph $\Sigma(G)$ is a vertex-transitive graph. Moreover, if $G$ is primitive, then $\Sigma(G)$ is connected, but the converse is not true. In [4], the authors
discussed the valency, connectivity, hamiltonicity and the independence number of $\Sigma(G)$,
and proposed the following conjecture about the Saxl graph of a primitive permutation

group.

\textbf{Conjecture 1.1} [4] Let $G$ be a finite primitive permutation group with $b(G) = 2$ and Saxl

\textit{graph} $\Sigma(G)$. Then either $G$ is a Frobenius group and $\Sigma(G)$ is complete, or the diameter

of $\Sigma(G)$ is 2.

From now on we assume $G$ is transitive on $\Omega$. Fix a point $\alpha \in \Omega$, the orbits of
$G_\alpha$ are called \textit{suborbits} of $G$ related to $\alpha$, where $\{\alpha\}$ is said to be trivial. The orbits
of $G$ on $\Omega \times \Omega$ are called \textit{orbitals} and each orbital $\Delta$ corresponds an orbital digraph
for $G$ with the vertex set $\Omega$ and arc set $\Delta$. Each orbital $\Delta$ corresponds to a suborbit
$\Delta(\alpha) = \{\beta \in \Omega \mid (\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta\}$ and this corresponding between suborbits and orbitals is
one to one.

Now $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is a base for $G$ if and only if $G_\alpha$ acts regularly on the suborbit containing $\beta$.
Therefore, the neighborhood $\Sigma_1(\alpha)$ of $\alpha$ in $\Sigma(G)$ is the union $\Gamma$ of the regular suborbits of
$G$ relative to $\alpha$. Let $d(\Sigma)$ denote the diameter of the graph $\Sigma$. Note that $G$ is a Frobenius

\textit{group} if and only if $d(\Sigma) = 1$. In this case, $\Omega \setminus \{\alpha\} = \Gamma$ and for any $\alpha^g \not\in \Gamma$, we have either

$\alpha^g = \alpha$, $\Gamma^g = \Gamma$, or $\Gamma \cap \Gamma^g \neq \emptyset$. If $d(\Sigma) = 2$, then for any $\alpha^g \not\in \Gamma$, since the neighborhood

of $\alpha^g$ is $\Gamma^g$, we have either $\alpha^g = \alpha$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma^g$ or $\alpha^g \neq \alpha$ and there exists some point $\beta$
in $\Gamma \cap \Gamma^g$, that is $\Gamma \cap \Gamma^g \neq \emptyset$. Conversely, suppose that for any $\alpha^g \not\in \Gamma$, we have $\Gamma \cap \Gamma^g \neq \emptyset$.

Then for any vertex $\beta = \alpha^g \not\in \Gamma$, $\Sigma_1(\alpha) \cap \Sigma_1(\beta) = \Gamma \cap \Gamma^g \neq \emptyset$, so $d(\Sigma) \leq 2$. Therefore,

\textbf{Conjecture 1.2} Every primitive permutation group $G$ with $b(G) = 2$ has the property

that if $\alpha^g \not\in \Gamma$ then $\Gamma \cap \Gamma^g \neq \emptyset$, where $\Gamma$ is the union of all regular suborbits of $G$ relative
to a point $\alpha$.

Using a probabilistic approach, Burness and Giudici in [4] show the conjecture for
some families of almost simple group. For example, the conjecture holds when $G = S_n$
or $G = A_n$ (with $n > 12$) and the point stabilizer of $G$ is a primitive group. Applying

Theorem 1.4 in [12] and Theorem 5.0.2 in [11], they also show the conjecture for some
diagonal type and twisted wreath products primitive groups with sufficiently large order.
By Magma database they verified the Conjecture 1.1 for all primitive groups of degree at
most 4095. Also, the conjecture is shown for many sporadic simple groups in [4].
So far this conjecture is still open for simple groups of Lie-type. The first candidate of infinite family of this kinds of groups we should work on might be \( \text{PSL}(2, q) \). Therefore, the main goal of this manuscript is to show the correctness of Conjecture 1.2 for all the primitive permutation representations of the groups with the socle \( \text{PSL}(2, q) \).

Now we are ready to state the main theorem of this manuscript.

**Theorem 1.3** Let \( q = p^n \geq 5 \) for a prime \( p \). Let \( G \) be a primitive group with socle \( \text{PSL}(2, q) \) such that \( b(G) = 2 \). Then \( \Gamma \cap \Gamma^g \neq \emptyset \) if \( \alpha^g \notin \Gamma \), where \( \Gamma \) is the union of all regular suborbits of \( G \) relative to \( \alpha \).

After this introductory section, some preliminary results in group theory will be given in Section 2 and Theorem 1.3 will be proved in Section 3.

## 2 Preliminary Results

Let \( G \) be a transitive permutation group on finite set \( \Omega \). For a subset \( B \subseteq \Omega \), denote by \( G_B \) and \( G(B) \) the subgroup of \( G \) fixing \( B \) set-wise and point-wise, respectively. If \( B \) is a singleton \( \{v\} \), then write \( G_v = \{g \in G \mid v^g = v\} \), and call it the stabilizer of \( v \) in \( G \). For \( v \in \Omega \), the orbit of \( G \) containing \( v \) is the subset \( v^G := \{v^g \mid g \in G\} \). Recall that \( |v^G| = |G : G_v| \). If \( G \) has only one orbit then \( G \) is said to be transitive. The permutation group \( G \) is semiregular if \( G_v = 1 \) for all \( v \in \Omega \), and regular if further \( G \) is transitive on \( \Omega \). Let \( H \) be a subgroup of \( G \). By \( N_G(H) \) and \( C_G(H) \) we denote the normalizer and centralizer of \( H \) in \( G \), respectively. By \( |a| \) we denote the smallest integer no less than \( a \).

For any group \( G \), set \( C(G) \) denote the center of \( G \).

**Proposition 2.1** \[22, Chapter 3, Theorem 6.25\] Let \( q = p^n \geq 5 \) be a power of the prime \( p \) and \( d = (2, q - 1) \). Then a subgroup of \( \text{PSL}(2, q) \) is isomorphic to

(i) \( \text{PSL}(2, p^m) \) where \( m \mid n \);

(ii) \( \text{PGL}(2, p^m) \) where \( 2m \mid n \) and \( p \) is odd;

(iii) \( D_{2(q+1)/d} \) and their subgroups;

(iv) \( \mathbb{Z}_p^n : \mathbb{Z}_{p^{-1}} \) and their subgroups;

(v) \( A_5, q(q^2 - 1) \equiv 0(\text{mod } 5) \);

(vi) \( S_4, q \equiv 1(\text{mod } 8) \);

(vii) \( A_4, \) either \( p = 2 \) and \( n \) is even or \( q \) is odd.

**Proposition 2.2** \[22, Chapter 3, Ex.7, page 417\] Let \( q = p^n \geq 5 \) be a power of the prime \( p \) and \( d = (2, q - 1) \). Then every maximal subgroup of \( \text{PSL}(2, q) \) is one of the following:
(i) $D_{2(q+1)/d}$, where $q \neq 7, 9$;
(ii) $\text{PSL}(2, p^m)$ where either $\frac{n}{m}$ is an odd prime or $p = 2$ and $n = 2m$;
(iii) $D_{2(q-1)/d}$, where $q \neq 5, 7, 9, 11$;
(iv) $A_5, q \equiv \pm 1 (\text{mod } 5)$ is a prime; or $q = p^2 \equiv -1 (\text{mod } 5)$ where $p$ is an odd prime;
(v) $S_4, q \geq 5$ is an odd prime and $q \equiv \pm 1 (\text{mod } 8)$;
(vi) $A_4, q \geq 5$ is a prime and $q \equiv 3, 13, 27, 37 (\text{mod } 40)$;
(vii) $\mathbb{Z}_p^n : \mathbb{Z}_{2^{q-1}}$;
(viii) $\text{PGL}(2, p^m)$ where $n = 2m$ and $p$ is an odd prime.

**Proposition 2.3** [22, p.407,(6.19)] Let $T = \text{PSL}(2, q)$ and $G = \text{PGL}(2, q)$. Set $d = (2, q - 1)$.

1. Let $Z$ be the center of the group $\text{SL}(2, q)$. Then $x^2 \in Z$ (resp. $x^3 \in Z$) if and only if the trace $\text{Tr}(x)$ of $x$ is 0 (resp. $\pm 1$).
2. If $q$ is odd, then for any involution $x \in T$, $C_T(x) \cong D_{q+1}$ and $C_G(x) \cong D_{2(q+1)}$, for $q \equiv \pm 1 (\text{mod } 4)$.
3. Acting on the projective line $\text{PG}(1, q)$, every point-stabilizer of $T$ (resp. $G$) is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p^n : \mathbb{Z}_{2^{q-1}}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}_p^n : \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$). Every element in a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p^n : \mathbb{Z}_{2^{q-1}}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}_p^n : \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$) of $T$ (resp. $G$) fixes two points.

**Proposition 2.4** [22, Chapter 3, Ex 6, page 417] If $q \equiv \pm 1 (\text{mod } 8)$, then $\text{PSL}(2, q)$ has two conjugacy classes of subgroups isomorphic to $A_4$ (resp $S_4$). If $q \equiv 3, 5 (\text{mod } 8)$, then all the subgroups isomorphic to $A_4$ are conjugate.

**Proposition 2.5** [17] Let $G$ be a transitive group on $\Omega$ and let $H = G_{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in \Omega$. Suppose that $K \leq G$ and at least one $G$-conjugate of $K$ is contained in $H$. Suppose further that the set of $G$-conjugates of $K$ which are contained in $H$ form $t$ conjugacy classes of $H$ with representatives $K_1, K_2, \cdots , K_t$. Then $K$ fixes $\sum_{i=1}^{t} |N_G(K_i) : N_H(K_i)|$ points of $\Omega$.

**Proposition 2.6** (Hilbert’s Satz 90) [14, Theorem 4.29,4.30,4.33] Let $E/F$ be cyclic with Galois group $G = \langle \eta \rangle$. Let $d_1, d_2 \in E$ such that the trace of $d_1$ is 0 and the norm of $d_2$ is 1. Then there exist $c_1, c_2 \in E$ such that $d_1 = c_1 - \eta(c_1)$ and $d_2 = c_2\eta(c_2)^{-1}$.

**Proposition 2.7** (Hilbert’s Satz 90) [14, Theorem 4.36] Let $F$ be a field of characteristic $p \neq 0$ and let $E/F$ be a $p$-dimensional cyclic extension with Galois group $G = \langle \eta \rangle$. Then $E = F(c)$, where $\eta(c) = 1 + c + c^p - c \in F$.  
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The following $p$-group is well-known with a property easy to check.

**Lemma 2.8** Let $p$ be an odd prime and $G$ be a finite nonabelian $p$-group one of whose maximal subgroup is cyclic. Then $G$ has the only one subgroup of order $p^2$ and all the noncenter subgroups of order $p$ are conjugate.

The following result about Saxl graphs is clear, which might be appeared somewhere.

**Lemma 2.9** Let $G$ be transitive group on $\Omega$ and $b(G) = 2$. Suppose that the Saxl graph $\Sigma(G)$ has diameter 2. Then for any transitive subgroup $G_1$ on $\Omega$, we have $b(G_1) = 2$ and the Saxl graph of $G_1$ has diameter 2 too.

**Proof** Let $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ be a base of $G$. Then $(G_1)_{(\alpha, \beta)} \leq G_{(\alpha, \beta)} = 1$ and so $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is a base of $G_1$, that is $b(G_1) = 2$. Let $\Gamma$ (resp. $\Gamma_1$) be the respective union of all regular suborbits of $G$ and $G_1$ relative to $\alpha$. Then $\Gamma$ is also a union of some regular suborbits of $G_1$ and so $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_1$. For any $\beta \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma$, since $G_1$ is transitive on $\Omega$, there exists $g \in G_1 \leq G$ such that $\beta = \alpha g$. Now, $\emptyset \neq \Gamma \cap \Gamma g \subset \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma g$, equivalently the Saxl graph of $G_1$ has diameter 2 too. $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

From now on, let $q = p^2 \geq 5$ for a prime $p$ and an integer $n$, and let $\mathbf{F}_q$ be a finite field of order $q$. Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ defined by $\sigma(x) = x^p$, for any $x \in \mathbf{F}_q$. Let $T = \text{PSL}(2, q)$, a nonabelian simple group and $T \leq G \leq \text{PGL}(2, q) = (\text{PSL}(2, q) : \langle \delta \rangle) : \langle f \rangle$, where $f$ is induced by $\sigma$ and $\langle \delta \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2, q-1)}$.

To show Theorem 1.3 let $M$ be any maximal subgroup of $G$, let $\Omega = [G : M]$ be the right cosets of $M$ in $G$ and consider the right multiplication action of $G$ on $\Omega$, which is primitive. Then the Saxl graph $\Sigma$ of $G$ is connected. As before, by $\Gamma$ we denote the union of regular suborbits of $G$ relative to $\alpha = M$. To prove Theorem 1.3 it suffices to show the diameter $d(\Sigma)$ of $\Sigma$ is 2. What we need to do is to analysis the permutation group $G$ on $\Omega$ relative to every maximal subgroup $M$ up to conjugacy. Denoted by $M_G$ the kernel of this action. Suppose that $M_G \neq 1$. Then $T \leq M_G$ and $G/M_G$ is abelian. In this case, $b(G/M_G) = 1$. Therefore, we assume $M_G = 1$, that is $T \not\leq M$. Set $M_0 = M \cap T$. Then it is proved in [13] that $M_0$ is maximal in $T$, except for the groups $G$ either that for $q = 7, 9, 11$ or $G = \text{PGL}(2, p)$, $p \equiv \pm 11, 19(\text{mod } 40)$ and $N_G(A_4) = S_4$. These groups will be also discussed in the corresponding subsections. In what follows, the eight cases in Proposition 2.2 will be treated in the following three subsections, separately.

#### 3.1 $M_0 = D_2^{(2,(q+1)/4)}$ where $d = (2, q - 1)$

The main result of this subsection is the following Theorem 3.1.
Theorem 3.1 Suppose that $T = \text{Soc}(G) = \text{PSL}(2, q)$ where $q \geq 5$ and $M$ a maximal subgroup of $G$ with $M_0 = M \cap T = D_{q+1}$. Consider the primitive permutation representation of $G$ on $\Omega = [G : M]$. Then

(i) $b(G) = 2$ if and only if either $G = \text{P} \Sigma \text{L}(2, 9)$; or $q$ is odd and $q \neq 7, 9$ and either $G = T : \langle f^i \rangle$ where $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ or $G = T : \langle \delta f^i \rangle$ where $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $\frac{q}{2}$ is even.

(ii) The Saxl graph $\Sigma(G)$ has diameter 2 provided $b(G) = 2$.

In Subsection 3.1.1 the action of $\text{PSL}(2, q)$ on its cosets relative to $M = D_{q+1}$ will be characterized, where $q$ is odd; in Subsection 3.1.2 it will be shown that $\Sigma(G)$ has diameter 2 where $G = \text{P} \Sigma \text{L}(2, q)$ relative to $D_{q+1}.\mathbb{Z}_n$ for odd $q$; and finally in Subsection 3.1.3, Theorem 3.1 will be proved.

3.1.1 $G = \text{PSL}(2, q)$ and $M = D_{q+1}$ for $q$ is odd

Let $G = \text{PSL}(2, q)$, where $q = p^n$ is odd. Then $G$ has one conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to $D_{2(q+1)}$, while if $q \neq 7, 9$, they are maximal. Pick up one of such subgroups $\alpha = M$ and set $\Omega = [G : M]$.

For a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, set $S^* = \mathbb{F}_q^* \cup S$ and $N = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus S$. First, we need a technical lemma proved by Prof Keqin Feng.

Lemma 3.2 Suppose that $q \geq 17$ is odd. Then for any $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \{1\}$, set $n = |(1 + N) \cap (t + N) \cap S^*|$. Then

$$n \geq \frac{1}{8}(q - 2\sqrt{q} - 7).$$

Proof Set $\eta : \mathbb{F}_q^* \to \{\pm 1\}$ by assigning the elements of $S^*$ to 1 and that of $N$ to $-1$ and moreover, set $\eta(0) = 0$. Recall three known results, while the last one depends on Weil Theorem:

(i) $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \eta(x) = 0$;

(ii) $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \eta(x^2 + Ax + B) = q - 1$ for $A^2 - 4B = 0$ or $-1$ for otherwise, where $A, B \in \mathbb{F}_q$;

(iii) Set $m := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \eta(x(x-1)(x-t))$, where $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then $|m| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$.

Set $W = (1 + N) \cap (t + N) \cap S^*$, that is

$$W = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q \mid \eta(x-1) = \eta(x-t) = -1, \eta(x) = 1\}.$$
Now let $t \neq 1$. Then by the above three formulas, we have

$$|W| = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0, 1\}} (1 + \eta(x))(1 - \eta(x - 1))(1 - \eta(x - t))$$

$$= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0, 1\}} (1 + \eta(x) - \eta(x - 1) - \eta(x - t) - \eta(x(x - 1)) - \eta(x(x - t))$$

$$+ \eta((x - 1)(x - t)) + \eta((x - 1)(x - t)x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{8}[(q - 3) + (-1 - \eta(t)) + (\eta(-1) + \eta(t - 1)) + (\eta(-t) + \eta(1 - t))$$

$$+ (1 + \eta(t(t - 1)) + (1 + \eta(1 - t)) + (-1 - \eta(t))) + m]$$

$$= \frac{1}{8}[q - 3 + m + l],$$

where

$$l = \begin{cases} 3\eta(t - 1) + \eta(t^2 - t) + 1 - \eta(t), \text{ if } \eta(-1) = 1, \\ \eta(1 - t) + \eta(t^2 - t) - 3\eta(t) - 1, \text{ if } \eta(-1) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Then $|l| \leq 4$ and

$$|W| \geq \frac{1}{8}[(q - 3) - 2\sqrt{q} - 4] = \frac{1}{8}[(\sqrt{q} - 1)^2 - 8].$$

So if $\sqrt{q} - 1 > \sqrt{8}$, that is $q \geq 17$, then we have $W \neq \emptyset$. □

**Lemma 3.3** Let $G = \text{PSL}(2, q)$ and $M \leq G$ and $M \cong D_{q+1}$ where either $q \geq 17$. Then every involution $\overline{g} \in G \setminus M$ has the following form $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix}$, where

$$a^2 + bc = -1.$$ (1)

Moreover, for any $g$, there exist at least $2\lceil \frac{1}{8}(q - 2\sqrt{q} - 7) \rceil$ noncentral involutions $\overline{m}$ in $M$ such that $|\overline{mg}| \mid \frac{q+1}{2}$ and $|\overline{mg}| > 2$.

**Proof** Let $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \{\theta\}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} = \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ where $\alpha^2 = \theta$. Take a generator $u + v\alpha$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

Up to conjugacy, set

$$M = \langle \begin{pmatrix} u & \theta v \\ v & u \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} u' & -v'\theta \\ v' & -u' \end{pmatrix} | u, v, u', v' \in \mathbb{F}_q, u^2 - v^2\theta = 1, -u'^2 + v'^2\theta = 1 \rangle \cong D_{q+1},$$

noting that all the elements in first type generate the cyclic subgroup of order $\frac{q+1}{2}$ of $M$ and all the elements in second type are all noncentral involutions of $M$. Moreover, $M$ has a central involution if and only if $q \equiv 3(\text{mod } 4)$, which is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta y \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ where $y^2 = -\theta^{-1}$.

By Proposition 2.23, every involution $\overline{g}$ in $G$ has the form in the lemma, while $g \notin M$ if and only if either $a, b + c\theta \neq 0$ or $a = 0$ but $b \pm c\theta \neq 0$. We shall find the number of noncentral involution $\overline{m} \in M$ such that $|\overline{mg}| > 2$ and $\langle \overline{m}, \overline{g} \rangle$ is contained in a group
which is isomorphic to $D_{2(q+1)}$, equivalently, such that $|mg| \geq \frac{q+1}{2}$ and $|mg| > 2$. Now every noncentral involution $m \in M$ can be written as $m = \begin{pmatrix} u & -v
\theta \\ v & -u \end{pmatrix}$, where

$$-u^2 + v^2\theta = 1.$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

Then we have

$$mg = \begin{pmatrix} ua - vc\theta & ub + va\theta \\
va - uc & vb + ua \end{pmatrix}.$$ \hspace{1cm}

Now, $\lambda E_2 - mg = \lambda^2 - x\lambda + 1$, where

$$x = \text{Tr}(mg) = 2au + v(b - c\theta).$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

Now $|mg| \geq \frac{q+1}{2}$ if and only if $mg$ has no fixed points in PG$(1,q)$, that is

$$x^2 - 4 \in N.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

Note that $|mg| > 2$ if and only if $x = \text{Tr}(mg) \neq 0$. Combining Eq (2) and Eq (3), we get

$$((c\theta - b)^2 - 4a^2\theta)v^2 + 2x(c\theta - b)v + (x^2 + 4a^2) = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

For Eq (5), since

$$4x^2(c\theta - b)^2 - 4((c\theta - b)^2 - 4a^2\theta)(x^2 + 4a^2) = -16a^2(-\theta x^2 - t') = 16a^2(\theta x^2 + t'),$$

where $t' = 4\theta a^2 - (c\theta - b)^2 \neq 0$, it follows that Eq(5) has two distinct solutions for $v$ if and only if

$$x^2 \in \frac{-t'}{\theta} + N.$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

Therefore, $|mg| \geq \frac{q+1}{2}$ and $|mg| > 2$ if and only if $x^2 \in (4 + N) \cap (\frac{-t'}{\theta} + N) \cap S^*$, that is, $(\frac{\theta}{x})^2 \in (1 + N) \cap (\frac{-t'}{\theta} + N) \cap S^*$. Since $t' \neq 0$, $\frac{-t'}{\theta} \neq 0$. Noting that $\frac{-t'}{\theta} = -a^2 + \frac{a}{\theta}(c\theta - b)^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{\theta^2}(c\theta + b)^2$, we know that $\frac{\theta}{x} = 1$ if and only if $b + c\theta = 0$ that is, $M \in M$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\frac{-t'}{\theta} \neq 0, 1$. Then by Lemma 5.2 we have at least $[\frac{1}{8}(q - 2\sqrt{q} - 7)]$ such solutions for $(\frac{\theta}{x})^2$. Furthermore, for each given $x$, we have two solutions for $m$. Therefore, we get at least $2[\frac{1}{8}(q - 2\sqrt{q} - 7)]$ such noncentral involutions $m$. \hspace{1cm} $\square$

Let $I = \{a, b \cdots\}$ and $D = \{\alpha, \beta \cdots\}$ be the set of involutions and subgroups isomorphic to $D_{q+1}$ of $G$, respectively. Note that for each $\alpha \in D$, there exists the unique $z_\alpha \in I$ such that $\alpha = C(z_\alpha)$, the centralizer of $z_\alpha$ in $G$ for $q \equiv 3$(mod $4$).

To analysis the Saxl graph of $G$, we introduce a new graph $Y$, which is the bipartite graph with partition $V = I \cup D$ where $\{a, \alpha\}$ is an edge if $a \in \alpha$. Fix $\alpha \in D$ and set $Y_i(\alpha)$ denote the set of vertices $v$ in $V$ such that $d(\alpha, v) = i$ where $d(\alpha, v) = i$ means the distance between $\alpha$ and $v$ in graph $Y$ is $i$. First we have
Lemma 3.4 The graph $Y$ is connected and of diameter 4.

Proof As above, let $\alpha := M \cong D_{q+1}$. Now $Y_1(\alpha)$ is the set of all the involutions of $M$. By Lemma 3.3, for any involution $\overline{g} \in G \setminus M$, there exists an involution $\overline{m} \in M$ such that $|\overline{mg}| \geq 2^{q+1}$. Therefore, $\{\overline{m}, \overline{g}\}$ are contained in some subgroup isomorphic to $D_{q+1}$, which is a vertex in $Y_2(\alpha)$. In other words, $\overline{g}$ is adjacent to some vertex in $Y_2(\alpha)$. This forces that for any $b \in I \setminus Y_1(\alpha)$, we have $b \in Y_3(\alpha)$, that is $d(Y) \leq 4$. Clearly, $d(Y) \neq 2$ and so $d(Y) = 4$. □

Lemma 3.5 For $q \geq 17$, set $w = w(q) = 2\lceil \frac{1}{8}(q-2\sqrt{q}-7) \rceil$ and set $n(\beta) = |Y_2(\beta)\cap Y_2(\alpha)|$. Then

(i) $q \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4)$, $q \geq 17$: $n(\beta) \geq \frac{q-1}{2}w - 2$ or $\frac{q+1}{2}w$ for $\beta \in Y_2(\alpha)$ and $\beta \in Y_4(\alpha)$, respectively.

(ii) $q \equiv 3(\text{mod } 4)$, $q \geq 17$: and for any $\beta$ such that $\beta \cap \alpha = 1$, $\beta \cap \alpha = Z_2$ and $\beta \cap \alpha = D_4$, respectively, we have

$$n(\beta) \geq \frac{q+1}{4}w, \frac{q-3}{4}w + \frac{q+1}{4}w \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{q-3}{4}w + \frac{q+1}{4}w.$$ 

In particular, $|Y_2(\beta) \cap Y_2(\alpha)| \geq \frac{q-3}{4}w$ for all cases.

Proof Case $q \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4)$.

Now the valency of $\alpha$ in $Y$ is $\frac{q+1}{2}$ and for any $\beta$, $\beta \cap \alpha$ is either 1 or $Z_2$. So there exist no cycles of length 4 in $Y$. Then $|Y_2(\alpha)| = \frac{q+1}{2}g - \frac{3}{2} = \frac{q^2 - 9}{4}$ and so $|Y_2(\alpha)| = |\Omega| - 1 - \frac{q^2 - 9}{4} = \frac{q^2 - 1}{4}$.

Let $\beta \in Y_2(\alpha)$ and set $\alpha \cap \beta = \{a_1\}$. Note two facts: (i) there are $\frac{q+1}{2} - 2$ vertices $\beta'$ in $Y_2(\alpha) \setminus \{\beta\}$ adjacent to $a_1$; (ii) by Lemma 3.3, for any involution $\overline{g} \in Y_1(\beta) \setminus \{a_1\}$, there are at least $w$ involutions in $M$ such that $|\overline{mg}| \geq 2^{q+1}$ and $|\overline{mg}| > 2$, including $a_1$. Therefore,

$$n(\beta) \geq (w - 1)\left(\frac{q+1}{2} - 1\right) + (\frac{q-1}{2} - 2) = \frac{q-1}{2}w.$$ 

Let $\beta \in Y_4(\alpha)$. Clearly, $|Y_2(\alpha) \cap Y_2(\beta)| \geq \frac{q+1}{2}w$.

Case $q \equiv 3(\text{mod } 4)$.

We prove it by the following five steps.

(1) Note that $\alpha \cong D_{q+1}$. If $|\alpha \cap \beta| > 4$, then there exists an element $g \in \alpha \cap \beta$ where $|g| \geq \frac{q+1}{2}$ and $|g| > 2$. Then $\alpha = N_G(g) = \beta$. So for any distinct $\alpha, \beta \in D$, we have $\alpha \cap \beta$ is $D_4, Z_2$ or 1. In other words, in the graph $Y$, we have $|Y_1(\alpha) \cap Y_1(\beta)| = 0, 1$ or 3 for any $\alpha, \beta$. For any $\beta \in D$, by $z_\beta$ we denote its center.
If \( z_\beta \in \alpha \cap \beta \), then \( z_\alpha \in \alpha \cap \beta \) and so \( \{ z_\alpha, z_\beta, z_\beta z_\beta \} \subset \alpha \cap \beta \), that is \( \alpha \cap \beta = D_4 \).

Let \( U = \{ C_G(a) \mid a \in I \cap (\alpha \setminus \{ z_\alpha \}) \} \) which is contained in \( Y_2(\alpha) \). Set \( U' = Y_2(\alpha) \setminus U \).

Then for any \( \beta \in U \), there exists \( b \in \alpha \setminus \{ z_\alpha \} \) such that \( \beta = C_G(b) \) and so \( |Y_1(\beta) \cap Y_1(\alpha)| = 3 \); and for any \( \beta \in U', |Y_1(\beta) \cap Y_1(\alpha)| = 1 \).

Moreover, for any \( \beta \in U \) where \( \beta = C_G(b) \) for \( b \in \alpha \setminus \{ z_\alpha \} \), let \( B = \{ b, z_\alpha, bz_\alpha \} \cup \{ C_G(b), C_G(z_\alpha), C_G(bz_\alpha) \} \). Then the induced subgraph \( Y(B) \cong K_{3,3} \).

(2) Note \( Y_1(\alpha) = I \cap \alpha \) with size \( \frac{q+3}{2} \). Considering the number of edges between \( Y_1(\alpha) \) and \( Y_2(\alpha) \), we have

\[
\frac{q+3}{2} \cdot \frac{q+1}{2} = 3|U| + |U'| = \frac{3(q+1)}{2} + |U'|,
\]

which implies

\[
|U'| = \frac{q^2 - 2q - 3}{4}.
\]

Therefore,

\[
|Y_2(\alpha)| = |U| + |U'| = \frac{q+1}{2} + \frac{q+1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-3}{2} = \frac{q^2 - 1}{4}.
\]

Since the diameter of \( Y \) is 4, except for the involutions in \( Y_1(\alpha) \), all other involution forms the set \( Y_3(\alpha) \) and so

\[
|Y_3(\alpha)| = \frac{q(q-1)}{2} - \frac{q+3}{2} = \frac{q^2 - 2q - 3}{2},
\]

which forces

\[
|Y_4(\alpha)| = \frac{q(q-1)}{2} - 1 - \frac{q^2 - 1}{4} = \frac{q^2 - 2q - 3}{4}.
\]

Since \( G \) acts transitively on \( I \) and \( D \) by conjugacy, \( \alpha \) can be any vertex in \( D \).

(3) Case \( \beta \cap \alpha = 1 \): Now \( \beta \in Y_4(\alpha) \). Consider a set

\[
W = \{ (a, b) \mid a \in \alpha \setminus \{ z_\alpha \}, b \in \beta \setminus \{ z_\beta \}, |ab| > 2, \text{ where } a, b \in \gamma, \text{ for some } \gamma \in Y_2(\alpha) \}.
\]

By Lemma 3.3 for any involution \( b \in Y_3(\alpha) \), there are at least \( w \) non-central involutions \( a \) in \( Y_1(\alpha) \) such that \( |ab| > \frac{q+1}{2} \) and \( |ab| > 2 \). Therefore \( |W| \geq \frac{q+1}{2}w \).

Remind that in graph \( Y \), for any 4-cycle: \( \delta_1, d_1, \delta_2, d_2, \delta_1 \), there is at least one of \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) is a center of either \( \delta_1 \) or \( \delta_2 \). For any \( \gamma \in Y_2(\alpha) \cap Y_2(\beta') \), where \( \beta' \in Y_2(\alpha) \) or \( Y_3(\alpha) \), we have three possibilities:

\[
\gamma \cap \alpha = D_4, \quad \gamma \cap \beta' = Z_2; \quad \gamma \cap \alpha = Z_2, \quad \gamma \cap \beta' = D_4; \quad \gamma \cap \alpha = Z_2, \quad \gamma \cap \beta' = Z_2,
\]

depending on \( z_\gamma \in Y_1(\alpha) \), \( z_\gamma \in Y_1(\beta') \) and \( z_\gamma \not\in Y_1(\alpha) \cup Y_1(\beta') \), respectively. Therefore, from the value of \( |W| \), we have \( n(\beta) = |Y_2(\beta) \cap Y_2(\alpha)| \geq \frac{q+1}{2}w \).
As in (3), by Lemma 3.3, we have
\[
W = \{ (a, b) \mid a \in \alpha \setminus \{ z_\alpha \}, b \in \beta \setminus \{ z_\beta_1, z_\beta_2, z_\beta \}, |ab| > 2, \text{ where } a, b \in \gamma, \text{ for some } \gamma \in Y_2(\alpha) \}.
\]

As in (3), by Lemma 3.3, we have
\[
|W| \geq \frac{q^3 - 3}{2} w.\quad (4)
\]

Noting a fact that any two vertices in \( U \) intersects only at \( Y_1(\alpha) \), for any \( b \in Y_1(\beta) \cap Y_3(\alpha) \), we have
\[
|Y_1(b) \cap Y_2(\alpha)| \leq |W| \leq \frac{q^3 - 3}{2} w.
\]

We have \( |Y_2(\beta) \cap Y_2(\alpha)| \geq \frac{q - 3}{4} (w - 1) + \frac{q - 1}{2} w + \frac{q + 1}{4} \).

Therefore, as in (3), from the value of \(|W|\), we have
\[
n(\beta) = |Y_2(\beta) \cap Y_2(\alpha)| \geq \frac{q + 3}{2} - 2 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{q - 3}{2} (w - 1) = \frac{q - 3}{4} w + \frac{q + 1}{4}.
\]

As in (3), by Lemma 3.3 we have \(|W| \geq \frac{q^3 - 3}{2} w\). Therefore, \(|\{ Y_2(b) \mid b \in Y_1(\beta) \cap Y_3(\alpha)\}| \geq \frac{q - 3}{4} (w - 1) + \frac{q - 1}{2} w + \frac{q + 1}{4}.

(5) Case \( \beta \cap \alpha = D_4 \): Then \( \beta \cap \alpha = \{ 1, z_\alpha, z_\beta, z_\alpha z_\beta \} \). Set \( \gamma = C(z_\alpha z_\beta) \) and \( z_\gamma = z_\alpha z_\beta \).

We have \( |Y_2(b) \cap Y_2(\alpha)| \leq |W| \leq \frac{q^3 - 3}{2} w \).

By adding the vertices \( U \setminus \{ \beta \} \), we get
\[
|Y_2(\alpha) \cap Y_2(\beta)| \geq \frac{q - 3}{4} (w - 1) + \frac{q - 1}{2} w + \frac{q + 1}{4}.
\]

3.1.2 \( G = P\Sigma L(2, q), M = D_{q+1}.Z_f \)

Let \( p \) be an odd prime and \( q = p^n \neq 7 \). Let \( G = P\Sigma L(2, p^n) \), \( M = D_{q+1} \langle fu \rangle \) for some \( u \in P\Sigma L(2, q) \), where \( f \) and \( u \) is induced by field automorphism \( \sigma : \alpha \mapsto \alpha^p \) for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^* \). Let \( T := P\Sigma L(2, p^n) \) and \( M_0 = D_{q+1} \). Set \( \alpha = M \). Set \( \Omega = [G : M] \).

Let \( \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^* = \langle \delta \rangle \) and \( \mathbb{F}_{p^m} = \mathbb{F}_{p^n}(\alpha) \) where \( \alpha^2 = \theta : \theta \mapsto \frac{\theta^{p^n - 1}}{\theta^2} \), where \( p^n - 1 = 2^k \) for an odd integer \( k \). In particular, \( |\theta| = 2^k \). Take a generator \( x + y \alpha \) of \( \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^* \). Set
\[
s = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \theta \\ y & x \end{pmatrix}, \quad t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta^{\frac{1}{2^k}} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let \( \mathbf{F}_{p^n} = \langle \delta \rangle \) and \( \mathbf{F}_{p^m} = \mathbf{F}_{p^n}(\alpha) \) where \( \alpha^2 = \theta : \theta \mapsto \frac{\theta^{p^n - 1}}{\theta^2} \), where \( p^n - 1 = 2^k \) for an odd integer \( k \). In particular, \( |\theta| = 2^k \). Take a generator \( x + y \alpha \) of \( \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^* \).

Set
\[
s = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \theta \\ y & x \end{pmatrix}, \quad t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta^{\frac{1}{2^k}} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Lemma 3.6 Up to conjugacy in \( G \), we have
\[
M_0 = \langle a, b \rangle = D_{q+1}, \quad M = N_G(M_0) = M_0(e) = D_{q+1}.Z_n,
\]
where \( a = s^2 \) and
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(i) \( p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, n \text{ is odd}: b = st, c = fw; \)

(ii) \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}: b = t, c = fw; \)

(iii) \( p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, 2 \mid n : b = t, c = fws^{\frac{n+1}{2}}. \)

Moreover, we have

(iv) \( a^c = a^p; \)

(v) \( c^n = f^n, \text{ for any odd prime divisor } r \text{ of } n; \ c^{\frac{2n}{r}} = f^{\frac{2n}{r}}; \ c^n = t. \)

**Proof**  Since \(|s| = q+1\) and \(T\) has only one conjugacy class of subgroups of order \(q+1\), we take \(a = s^2\). Note that In what follows, we find \(b \in T\) and \(c \in G\) such that \(\langle a, b \rangle = D_{q+1}\) and \(c\) normalizes \(\langle a, b \rangle\).

If \( p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \) and \( n \) is odd, then \( t \in \operatorname{PGL}(2, q) \setminus T \) and so let \( b = st \) so that \( b \in T \).

Moreover, take \( c = fw \) so that \( c\) normalizes \(\langle a, b \rangle\).

If \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \), then \( t, w \in T \) and we set \( b = t \) and \( c = fw \). Check that \( c\) normalizes \(\langle a, b \rangle\).

If \( p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \) and \( 2 \mid n \), then \( t \in T \) but \( w \in \operatorname{PGL}(2, q) \setminus T \). So we take \( b = t \) and \( c = fws^{\frac{n+1}{2}}. \)

Note that there is an injective homomorphism \( \phi: \mathbb{F}_q^* / \mathbb{F}_q^* \to \operatorname{PGL}(2, q) \), given by \((x + y \alpha) \mathbb{F}_q^* \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x & y \theta \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} \). So

\[
s^p = \phi((x + y \alpha)^p) = \phi(x^p + y^p \theta^{\frac{p-1}{2}}) = \begin{pmatrix} x^p & y^p \theta^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \\ y^p \theta^{\frac{p-1}{2}} & x^p \end{pmatrix}
\]

for all the three cases. Moreover,

\[
s^f = \begin{pmatrix} x^p & y^p \theta \theta^{-1} \\ y^p & x^p \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } s^f = \begin{pmatrix} x^p & \theta^{\frac{p-1}{2}} y^p \theta \\ \theta^{\frac{p-1}{2}} y^p & x^p \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then \( s^c = a^p. \)

Suppose that either \( p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \) and \( n \) is odd or \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \), and \( r \) is an odd prime divisor of \( n \). Then

\[
c^\frac{r}{2} = (fw)^\frac{r}{2} = f^\frac{r}{2} w f^{\frac{r-1}{2}} w f^{\frac{r-2}{2}} \cdots w f w.
\]

That is to say

\[
c^\frac{r}{2} = f^\frac{r}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta^{\frac{r-1}{2}} \theta^{-\frac{r-1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} = f^\frac{r}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = f^\frac{r}{2} t, \quad c^n = f^n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \theta^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} = t.
\]
If \( p \equiv 3 (\text{mod} \ 4) \) for \( n \) is even and \( r \) is an odd prime divisor of \( n \). Set

\[
h = ws^{\frac{n+1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z \\ \theta^{\frac{1-p}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s^{\frac{n+1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z \theta \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

for some \( z \in F_q^* \). Then

\[
c^2 = (fh)^2 = f^2h_fh = f^2 \begin{pmatrix} (\theta^{\frac{1-p}{2}}\theta^pz^{1+p} & 0 \\ 0 & (\theta^{\frac{1-p}{2}})^r\theta z^{1+p} \end{pmatrix} = f^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta^{-\frac{p}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

It follows that

\[
c^n = (c^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} = f^n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta^{-\frac{p}{2}} \end{pmatrix} = t, \quad c^n = (c^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} = f^n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta^{-\frac{p}{2}} \end{pmatrix} = t.
\]

We first need to know which regular suborbit \( \alpha^{gM_0} \) of \( T \) is fixed by a nontrivial subgroup of \( \langle cu \rangle \). Suppose that \( \alpha^{gM_0} \) is such a regular suborbit. Then it must be fixed by an element \( c'u_0 \) of prime order \( r' \), where \( c' = c^{\frac{p}{2}} \). Then the point \( \alpha \) is fixed by subgroup \( K \) of order \( r' \), where \( K \subset M \setminus M_0 \). In what follows, let \( n'(r') \) be the set of regular suborbits of \( T \) which are fixed by \( K \). The following lemma determines the conjugacy classes of \( M \) in \( G \).

**Lemma 3.7** With the above notation, we have

1. If a subgroup \( K \) in \( M \setminus M_0 \) has order a prime \( r' \), then \( r' \) is odd.
2. All the subgroups of order \( r' \) in \( M \setminus M_0 \) is conjugate to \( \langle f' \rangle \) in \( M \), where \( f' = f^{\frac{r'}{2}} \).
3. \( n'(r') \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}p^{\frac{r'}{2}}(p^{\frac{r'}{2}} - 1)/(p^{\frac{r'}{2}} + 1) \rfloor \).

**Proof** (1) Suppose that \( K \subset M \setminus M_0 \) with prime order \( r_1 \). Suppose that \( r' = 2 \). Then \( n \) is even and we are in the cases (ii) and (iii) in Lemma 3.6 and in particular \( c^n = t \). Then \( K = \langle uc' \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \), where \( u = a^i t' \in M_0 \). Then \( 1 = (a^i t')^2 = c^{2i} a^{i(-p)^{2i}+i(-1)^i(-p)^{i}} \), which implies \( c^{2i} = 1 \), that is \( c^i \in \langle t \rangle \) and \( K \leq M_0 \), a contradiction. Therefore, \( r' \) is odd.

(2) Let \( n = r^dn' \), where \( r' \) is odd and \( (r', n') = 1 \). Let \( K \) be a subgroup of order \( r' \) in \( M \). If \( r' \mid |M_0| \), then we take \( K = \langle f' \rangle \) up to conjugacy where \( f' = f^{\frac{r'}{2}} \).

Suppose that \( r' \mid |M_0| \), that is \( r' \mid \frac{n+1}{2} \). Set \( \frac{n+1}{2} = r'j \), where \( (r', i) = 1 \).

Consider a Sylow \( r' \)-subgroup \( \langle a' \rangle \langle c^{\frac{2n}{2r}} \rangle \in M_0 \langle c^{\frac{2n}{2r}} \rangle \). If

\[
(a')^{\frac{2n}{r}} a^{-i} = a^{i(\frac{2n}{r} - 1)} = 1,
\]
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then \( r^j | p^{\frac{2^p}{2}} - 1 \) and so \( r^j | p^{\frac{2^p}{2}} + 1 \). Set \( p^{\frac{2^p}{2}} = k r^j - 1 \) for some integer \( k \). Then

\[
p^n + 1 = (k r^j - 1)' + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{r^j+1},
\]
a contradiction. Therefore, \( \langle a^i \rangle : \langle c^{2^p} \rangle \) is a nonabelian Sylow \( r^j \)-subgroup, which contains a maximal cyclic subgroup. By Lemma 2.8 we may set \( K = \langle c^{2^p} \rangle \) so that we have \( K = \langle f' \rangle \), where \( f' = c^{2^p} = f^{\frac{2^p}{2}} \).

(3) Let \( K = \langle f' \rangle \). Since

\[
|\text{Fix}(K)| = \frac{|N_G(K)|}{|N_M(K)|} = \frac{|C_G(K)|}{|C_M(K)|} = \frac{p^{2^p} (p^{\frac{2^p}{2}} - 1)}{2}
\]
and

\[
|N_{M_0}(K) : K| = p^{\frac{2^p}{2}} + 1,
\]
f' fixes \( |n'(r')| \leq \frac{1}{2} p^{\frac{2^p}{2}} (p^{\frac{2^p}{2}} - 1)/(p^{\frac{2^p}{2}} + 1) \)
regular suborbits of \( T \).

**Lemma 3.8** The diameter of the Saxl graph \( \Sigma(G) \) is 2.

**Proof** Let \( n' \) be the number of regular suborbits of \( T \) which are fixed setwise by a nontrivial element of \( \langle c \rangle \). In particular, \( n' = 0 \) if \( f = 1 \), that is \( G = T \).

Suppose that \( n = 2^{m_0} n_0 \), where \( n_0 = r_1^{i_1} r_2^{i_2} \cdots r_l^{i_l} \), where \( 3 \leq r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_l \) are distinct primes. Also, \( n_0 \geq 5^{l-1} r_1 \), that is \( l \leq 1 + \log_5 \frac{n_0}{r_1} \). Then we have

\[
n' \leq \sum_{i=1}^{l} n'(r_i) \leq \max\{n'(r_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq l\} = n'(r_1) \leq \frac{1}{2} (1 + \log_5 \frac{n_0}{r_1}) p^{\frac{2^p}{2}}.
\]

Let \( Z \) be the complement of \( \Sigma(G) \). Considering the relation between of respective suborbits relative to \( M \) and \( M_0 \), for any \( \beta \in Z_i(\alpha) \), we have

\[
|Z_1(\alpha)| = |Y_2(\alpha)| + n'(q + 1), \quad Z_2(\alpha) = |Y_4(\alpha)| - n'(q + 1),
\]

\[
|Z_1(\beta) \cap Z_2(\alpha)| \leq |Y_2(\beta) \cap Y_4(\alpha)| + n'(q + 1) \leq |Y_2(\beta) \setminus \{\alpha\}| - |Y_2(\beta) \cap Y_2(\alpha)| + n'(q + 1).
\]

Therefore, \( \Sigma(G) \) has diameter 2 if and only if \( |Z_1(\beta) \cap Z_2(\alpha)| < |Z_2(\alpha)| \), but this holds provided

\[
|Y_2(\beta) \setminus \{\alpha\}| - |Y_2(\beta) \cap Y_2(\alpha)| + n'(q + 1) < |Y_4(\alpha)| - n'(q + 1),
\]

that is,

\[
2 n'(q + 1) < k := |Y_4(\alpha)| - |Y_2(\beta) \setminus \{\alpha\}| + |Y_2(\beta) \cap Y_2(\alpha)|
\]

\[
= \frac{q^2 - 2^{p-3}}{2} \pm \frac{q^{p-1}}{2} + \varepsilon + |Y_2(\beta) \cap Y_2(\alpha)|
\]
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where \( \varepsilon = 1 \) (resp 0) if \( \beta \in \Omega_2(\alpha) \) (resp \( \Omega_4(\alpha) \)). Further, we have \( k \geq \frac{q+1}{2} + n(\beta) \) for \( q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4} \) where \( n(\beta) = |\Omega_2(\beta) \cap \Omega_2(\alpha)| \). Then we have the following possibilities:

(i) \( q = 5, 9, 13 \): In this case, \( n' = 0 < k \). Note that for \( q = 9 \), \( D_{10} \) is not maximal in \( T \) but \( D_{20} \) is maximal in \( \text{PSL}(2, 9) \);

(ii) \( q = 11 \): In this case, \( |\Omega| = 55 \), we check it by Magma.

(iii) \( q = p \geq 17 \): In this case, \( n' = 0 \) and we only need to consider \( \beta \in \Omega_2(\alpha) \). By Lemma 3.9, \( n(\beta) \geq \frac{q-3}{2}w - 2 \) for \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \) and \( n(\beta) \geq \frac{q-3}{4}w + \frac{q+1}{4} \) for \( q \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \). Then we get \( k > 0 \).

(iv) \( q = p^n \geq 25 \) and \( n \geq 2 \): By Lemma 3.9 again

\[
k \geq \frac{q-3}{4}w - \frac{q+1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{16}q^2 - 2q^2 - 18q + 6q^2 + 13 \geq \frac{1}{16}q^2(1 - 2q^2 - 18q^{-1}),
\]

By Lemma 3.7 (1) and (3), for \( n = 2^a \), \( n' = 0 \); for an odd prime \( n \), \( n' = 0 \), \( n' \leq 1 \) and \( n' \leq 2 \), if \( p = 3, 5, 7 \), respectively. One may check that for these cases we have \( 2n'(q+1) < k \). So in what follows we let either \( n = 3 \) and \( p \geq 11 \) or \( n \geq 5 \). Since

\[
(1 + \log_5 \frac{n_0}{r_1})p^{\frac{w}{2}}(q+1) < (1 + \log_5 n)q^2q(1 + 3^{-e}) = 3^{-6} \cdot 730 \cdot (1 + \log_5 n)q^\frac{1}{2},
\]

it suffices to show \( 18(1 + \log_5 n) \leq p^{\frac{2w}{2}} \). If \( n = 3 \) and \( p \geq 11 \), this inequality holds. So assume \( n \geq 5 \). Then it suffices to show \( 18(1 + \log_5 n) \leq 3^{\frac{2w}{2}} \), which is clearly true for \( n \geq 5 \).

In summary, the Saxl graph has diameter 2 for any \( q \) provided \( b(G) = 2 \). \( \square \)

3.1.3 Proof of Theorem 3.1 for \( M_0 = D_{2\frac{d(q+1)}{2}} \) where \( d = (2, q - 1) \)

The first two lemmas below show \( b(G) > 2 \) for either even \( q \) or \( G = \text{PGL}(2, q) \).

Lemma 3.9 With our notation, suppose that \( q = 2^n \geq 8 \). Then \( b(G) > 2 \) for \( T \leq G \leq \text{PGL}(2, q) \).

Proof Suppose that \( q = 2^n \). It suffices to show \( b(G) > 2 \) when \( G = \text{PSL}(2, q) \) and \( M = D_{2\frac{d(q+1)}{2}} \). In the group \( G \), any two subgroups isomorphic to \( D_{2\frac{d(q+1)}{2}} \) intersect either in \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) or in \( 1 \). Let \( K \) be a subgroup of order 2 in \( G \). Then there is only one conjugacy classes isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) in \( D_{2\frac{d(q+1)}{2}} \). Since \( N_G(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_q \) and \( N_M(K) = K \), \( K \) fixes \( 2^{n-1} \) points in \( \Omega \). In each suborbit of length \( q+1 \), \( K \) fixes one point and so \( G \) has \( 2^{n-1} - 1 \) such suborbits. Observing \((q+1)(\frac{q}{2} - 1) + 1 = |\Omega|\), we know that there exists no regular suborbit, that is \( b(G) > 2 \). \( \square \)
Lemma 3.10 If \( G = \text{PGL}(2, q) \), then \( b(G) > 2 \).

Proof: By Lemma 3.9, the lemma is true for even \( q \). Next suppose that \( q \) is odd. Let \( M_1, M_2 \in G \) be two distinct subgroups which isomorphic to \( D_{2(q+1)} \). Then there exist two distinct involutions \( a_1, a_2 \in G \) such that \( C_G(a_i) = M_i \) and \( \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \cong D_{2k} \) where either \( k = p \) or \( k \) is a divisor of \( q \pm 1 \). Note that \( a_i \) has no fixed points in \( \text{PG}(1, q) \) for \( i = 1, 2 \).

Since every element in \( D_{2q} \) has diameter 2, this finishes the proof of the theorem.

Proof: By Lemma 3.9, \( b(G) > 2 \) for any \( T \leq G \leq \text{PGL}(2, q) \). Let \( q = 2 \). By Lemma 3.8, \( b(G) = b(\Sigma(G)) = 2 \). By Lemma 2.9, \( d(\Sigma(G_1)) = 2 \) where \( G_1 = T : \langle f \rangle \) where \( 0 \leq i \leq n - 1 \).

By Lemma 3.10, \( b(G) > 2 \) if \( G = \text{PGL}(2, q) \). We need to consider other groups \( T < G_2 \leq \text{PGL}(2, q) \) but \( G_2 \not\cong \text{PGL}(2, q) \). Suppose \( G_2/T \) contains \( \delta \), which implies that \( G_2 \) contains \( \text{PGL}(2, q) \) and so \( b(G_2) \neq 2 \). Suppose \( G_2/T \) is cyclic, that is \( G_2/T = \langle \delta f \rangle \). If \( |f^i| \) is odd, then \( \delta f^i \in G_2/T \) and \( G_2 \) contains \( \text{PGL}(2, q) \) again.

So \( |\delta f^i| = |f^i| = 2k \) for some integer \( k \). Let \( \Delta \) be the union of all regular suborbits \( \Delta_j \) of \( T \) such that \( \Delta_j \cap \Delta_{j}^{f^i} = 1 \) for any \( 1 \leq k \leq n - 1 \). Then \( \Delta \) is the neighbourhood of \( \alpha \) in \( \Sigma(\text{PGL}(2, q)) \). For every such suborbit \( \Delta_j \), we have \( \Delta_j^{f^i} = \Delta_j \). Therefore, \( \Delta_j \cap \Delta_j^{f^i} = 1 \) for any \( m \) such that \( \text{im} f^i \). Thus, the neighbourhood of \( \alpha \) in \( \Sigma(\text{PGL}(2, q)) \) contains \( \Delta \). So the diameter of \( \Sigma(\text{PGL}(2, q)) \) is also 2.

3.2 \( M_0 = \text{PSL}(2, p^m) \)

The main result of this section is the following Theorem 3.11.

Theorem 3.11 Suppose that \( T = \text{Soc}(G) = \text{PSL}(2, q) \) and \( M \) a maximal subgroup of \( G \) with \( M_0 = M \cap T = \text{PSL}(2, p^m) \), where \( p^m > 2 \), \( \frac{n}{m} \) is an odd prime or \( p = 2 \) and \( n = 2m \). Consider the primitive permutation representation of \( G \) on \( \Omega = [G : M] \). Then \( b(G) = 2 \) if and only if \( \frac{n}{m} \) is an odd prime and the corresponding Saxl graph \( \Sigma(G) \) has diameter 2.

Proof: In Lemma 3.14, we shall compute \( |\Gamma| \) and show \( b(G) > 2 \) for \( n = 2m \) and \( p = 2 \). Suppose \( \frac{n}{m} \) is odd. In Lemma 3.15, we shall show that \( \text{PGL}(2, q) \) merges every pair of regular suborbits of \( T \). Finally, in Lemma 3.10, we show that the saxl graph of \( \text{PGL}(2, q) \) has diameter 2. Therefore, by Lemma 2.9, for every group \( G \) with socle \( T \), its saxl graph has diameter 2. This finishes the proof of the theorem.
3.2.1 \( G = T, M = \text{PSL}(2, p^m) \)

Let \( G = T = \text{PSL}(2, q) \) where \( q = p^n \) and \( G_\alpha = M = \text{PSL}(2, p^m) \) where \( p^m > 2 \) and either \( \frac{p^m}{d} \) is an odd prime or \( p = 2 \) and \( n = 2m \). Set \( K = M_\beta \) where \( \beta \in \Omega \setminus \{\alpha\} \) and let \( \text{Fix} (K) \) be the set of fixed points of \( K \) in \( \Omega \). Then we shall determine the suborbits of \( G \) relative to \( \alpha \), according to the possibilities of the subgroups \( K \) listed in Proposition 2.1.

**Lemma 3.12** Let \( G = \text{PSL}(2, q) \) where \( q = p^n \) and \( G_\alpha = M = \text{PSL}(2, p^m) \) where either \( \frac{p^m}{d} \) is an odd prime or \( p = 2 \) and \( n = 2m \). Then we have

(i) Let \( P \) be a Sylow \( p \)-subgroup of \( M \) and \( P_1 \) a subgroup of order \( p \) of \( P \). Then \( \text{Fix} (P) = \text{Fix} (P_1) \);

(ii) Let \( L \) be a subgroup of order \( d \) where \( d = (2, q - 1) \) and \( R \) a subgroup of prime order \( r \) of \( L \). Then \( \text{Fix} (L) = \text{Fix} (R) \).

**Proof** (1) Take a Sylow \( p \)-subgroup \( P = \{a(y) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}\} \) of \( M \). Let \( P_1 = \langle a(x_1) \rangle \) and \( P_2 = \langle a(x_2) \rangle \) be any two subgroups in \( P \) with order \( p \) such that \( P_1^g = P_2 \) for some \( g \in G \). Suppose \( g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G \) such that \( P_1^g = P_2 \). Then there exists \( y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^* \) such that \( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \). Solving this equation we get \( b = 0, d = a^{-1} \) and \( dy_2 = ay_1 \). Then \( a^2 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^* \). Since \( \frac{p^m - 1}{d} \) is odd, we know the quotient group \( \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*/\mathbb{F}_{p^m}^{*d} \) is of odd order. So \( a^2 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^* \) if and only if \( a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^* \). Taking \( c = 0 \), we get that \( g \in \text{PSL}(2, p^m) \), that is \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) are conjugate in \( \text{PSL}(2, p^m) \). Now \( N_M(P_1) = P : \langle z \rangle \) and \( N_G(P_1) = \mathbb{Z}_p^m : \langle z \rangle \) for some \( \langle z \rangle \in M \). Therefore, we get \( |\text{Fix} (P_1)| = p^{n-m} \). Also, \( N_M(P) = P : \langle z' \rangle \) and \( N_G(P) = \mathbb{Z}_p^m : \langle z' \rangle \) for some \( \langle z' \rangle \in M \), we have \( |\text{Fix} (P)| = p^{n-m} \) too. This gives \( \text{Fix} (P_1) = \text{Fix} (P) \).

(2) Suppose that \( L \leq M \) such that \( L \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{d(p^m + 1)}{d}} \) and \( R \leq L \) with \( |R| = r \), where \( r \) is a prime divisor of \( \frac{1}{d}(p^m + 1) \). Then \( N_G(L) = N_G(R) \) is isomorphic to \( D_{2\frac{p^m + 1}{d}} \) for \( \frac{d}{m} \) is an odd prime and to \( D_{2\frac{p^m - 1}{d}} \) for \( n = 2m \); and \( N_M(L) = N_M(R) \cong D_{2\frac{p^m + 1}{d}} \). Note that there is only one conjugate class of subgroups of order \( a \) divisor of \( \frac{p^m + 1}{d} \) in \( M \). Therefore, \( |\text{Fix} (L)| = |\text{Fix} (R)| = \frac{p^m + 1}{p^m + 1} \) or \( \frac{p^m - 1}{p^m + 1} \) for \( \frac{d}{m} \) is an odd prime or \( n = 2m \), respectively. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.13** Suppose that \( K \) is one of the followings:

(i) \( D_4 \) where \( p \) is odd;

(ii) \( D_{2l} \) where \( l \) is odd and \( l \mid \frac{p^m + 1}{d} \);

(iii) \( \mathbb{Z}_p^* : \mathbb{Z}_d \) where \( s \leq m, t > 1 \) and \( t \mid \frac{p^m - 1}{d} \).
Then $\text{Fix}(K) = \{\alpha\}$. So there exist no nontrivial suborbits $\beta^M$ with $M_\beta = K$.

**Proof** Note that $G$ has one conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n+1}$ and $D_{2(p^n+1)}$, respectively, where $d = (p^n - 1, 2)$.

(1) $K = D_4$, where $p$ is odd.

Let $N = \langle a, b \rangle \cong D_{2(p^n+1)}$, where $|a| = \frac{p^n+1}{d}$ and $|b| = 2$. Set $a_1 = a^e$, where $e = \frac{p^n+1}{p^m+1}$, which is odd. Set $z = 1$ for either $p = 2$ or $p^n \pm 1 \equiv 2(\text{mod } 4)$ and $z = a^{(p^n+1)/4}$ for $p^n \pm 1 \equiv 0(\text{mod } 4)$. Then $C(N) = \langle z \rangle$.

Note that if $p^m \equiv 3, 5(\text{mod } 8)$, then $M$ has only one conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to $D_4$.

If $p^m \equiv 1, -1(\text{mod } 8)$ then $M$ has two conjugacy classes of subgroups isomorphic to $D_4$ with respective representatives $K_1 = \langle z, b \rangle$ and $K_2 = \langle z, ba_1 \rangle$, noting that $K_1, K_2 \leq \langle a_1, b \rangle \cong D_{2(p^m+1)}$. Suppose that there is an element $g \in G$ such that $K_1^g = K_2$. Since, for $i = 1, 2$ a subgroup isomorphic to $A_4$ of $N_G(K_i)$ is transitive on the three involutions in $K_i$, we may choose $g' \in C_G(z) = N$ such that $\{b, bz\}g' = \{ba_1, ba_1z\}$. For any $g' = b^la^k$ where $l$ and $k$ are integers, we have $b^{l+1} = ba^k$, which is impossible. Therefore, $K_1$ and $K_2$ are not conjugate in $G$ too. This means two classes of subgroups isomorphic to $D_4$ in $M$ cannot be merged in $G$.

Since $A_4 \leq N_M(K) \leq N_G(K) \leq S_4$ and $|G : M|$ is odd, $N_G(K) = N_M(K)$. By Proposition 2.20, then $|\text{Fix}(K)| = |N_G(K) : N_M(K)| = 1$, that is $\text{Fix}(K) = \{\alpha\}$.

(2) $K = D_{2l}$ where $l$ is odd and $l | \frac{p^n+1}{d}$. Then up to conjugacy, set $K = \langle a_2, b \rangle \leq M$, where $a_2 = a_1^{m+1}d$.

Note that in $G$, the normalizer of a dihedral group $D_{2l}$ is either $D_{2l}$ or $D_4$. First suppose $p = 2$. Then $l | (2^n \pm 1)$. Since both $M$ and $G$ have one class of such groups and $N_G(K) = N_M(K) = K$, we get $|\text{Fix}(K)| = 1$, that is $\text{Fix}(K) = \{\alpha\}$. Next suppose that $p$ is odd. Then $M$ has only one conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to $D_{2l}$. Since $e$ is odd, $\frac{p^n+1}{2} / l$ is even if and only if $\frac{p^n+1}{2} / l$ is even. Then $N_G(K) = N_M(K) = D_{2l}$. Thus $|\text{Fix}(K)| = 1$.

(3) $K = \mathbb{Z}^n_p : \mathbb{Z}_t$ where $s \leq m$, $t > 1$ and $t | \frac{p^m-1}{d}$.

Since $\mathbb{Z}^n_p$ is a characteristic subgroup of $K$, we have $N_G(K) \leq N_G(\mathbb{Z}^n_p) = \mathbb{Z}^n_p : \mathbb{Z}_t$, where $l | (p^m - 1)$. For any $g \in \mathbb{Z}^n_p \leq N_G(K)$, there is some element $h \in \mathbb{Z}^n_p$ such that $\mathbb{Z}^n_p = \mathbb{Z}^n_h$. Thus $gh^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^n_p \cap N_G(\mathbb{Z}_t) = 1$, noting $\mathbb{Z}^n_p : \mathbb{Z}_t$ is a Frobenius group. It follows that $g = h \in \mathbb{Z}^n_p$. Thus we have $N_G(K) = N_M(K)$ and we fix $(K) = \{\alpha\}$. □

Now we are ready to state the main lemma in this subsection.

**Lemma 3.14** Let $G = \text{PSL}(2, q)$ where $q = p^n$ and $G_\alpha = M = \text{PSL}(2, p^m)$ where either $\frac{p}{m}$ is an odd prime or $n = 2m$ and $p = 2$. Consider the primitive right multiplication.\n
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action of $G$ on $\Omega$. Then
\[
|\Gamma| = p^{n-m}p^{2n-1} p^{m-1} - 1 - (p^{n-m} - 1)(p^m + 1) - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{p^n-1}{p^m-1} - 1)p^m(p^n + 1)
- \frac{1}{2}(\frac{p^{n+(m-1)}-1}{p^m+1} - 1)p^m(p^n - 1).
\]

In particular, if $n = 2m$ and $p = 2$, then $|\Gamma| = 0$, that is $b(G) > 2$.

**Proof** From the above two lemmas, we may just consider two cases: $K = \mathbb{Z}_p^m$ and $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{2m+1}$.

Let $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^m$. By Lemma 3.12(i), $K$ fixes $p^{n-m}$ points. Since $K$ fixes $|N_M(K) : K| = \frac{p^m-1}{d}$ points in each suborbit with the point stabilizer $K$, we have $(p^{n-m} - 1)/|\mathbb{Z}_p^m| = (p^{n-m} - 1)(p^m + 1)$ points of $p$. Since each nontrivial subgroup $K_1$ of $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{2m+1}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_p^{2m+1}$ fixes the same point set with that of $K$, we do not have suborbits with a point stabilizer $H_\beta = K_1$.

Since $|\Omega| = p^{n-m} \frac{p^{2n-1}}{p^{m-1}}$, we have
\[
|\Gamma| = p^{n-m} \frac{p^{2n-1}}{p^{m-1}} - 1 - (p^{n-m} - 1)(p^m + 1) - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{p^n-1}{p^m-1} - 1)p^m(p^n + 1)
- \frac{1}{2}(\frac{p^{n+(m-1)}-1}{p^m+1} - 1)p^m(p^n - 1).
\]

Check that if $n = 2m$ and $p = 2$, then $|\Gamma| = 0$. \hfill \Box

3.2.2 $G = \text{PGL}(2, q)$, $M = \text{PGL}(2, p^m)$

**Lemma 3.15** Suppose that $G = \text{PGL}(2, q)$ and $M = \text{PGL}(2, p^m)$ with $q = p^n = p^{nr}$ for some odd prime $r$. Then $G$ merges every pair of suborbits of $T$.

**Proof** Let $G = \text{PGL}(2, q)$ and $M = \text{PGL}(2, p^m)$ and set $\Omega = [G : M]$ and $\alpha = M$. Let $\Gamma$ be the union of regular orbits of $M_0$ on $\Omega$. In what follows, we show that $G$ merges every pair of regular subgroups of $T$.

For the contrary, there exists a $\beta = \alpha^\beta \in \Omega$ where $(M_0)_\beta = 1$ but $K =: M_\beta \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $K \not\subset T$. Then $N_G(K) = D_2(p^n \pm 1)$ and $N_M(K) = D_2(p^m \pm 1)$, for $p^n \equiv \pm 1(\text{mod } 4)$. Moreover, $G$ has only one conjugate class of involutions in $G \setminus T$. Suppose that subgroups $H_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{p^m \pm 1}$, which contained in $M_0$ do not contain $K$ and subgroups $H_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{p^m \pm 1}$ contain $H_1$. Since $N_G(H_i) = N_G(K)$ and $N_M(H_i) = N_M(K)$ for $i = 1, 2$, $H_1$ and $H_2$ and $K$ have the same set of fixed points, contradicting with $(M_0)_\beta = 1$. \hfill \Box
3.2.3 \( G = \text{PGL}(2, q) \), \( M = \text{PGL}(2, p^m) : \mathbb{Z}_n \)

Suppose that \( r \geq 3 \). The main result of this subsection is

**Lemma 3.16** Let \( G = \text{PGL}(2, p^m) \), \( M = \text{PGL}(2, p^m), \mathbb{Z}_{mr} \) with \( r \) is an odd prime, \( p^m > 2 \). Consider the primitive permutation representation of \( G \) on \( \Omega = [G : M] \) with right coset multiplication action. Then the Saxl graph \( \Sigma(G) \) has diameter 2.

Let \( G = \text{PGL}(2, p^m) \), \( \alpha = M = \text{PGL}(2, p^m).\langle f \rangle \) with \( n = mr \), \( r \) is an odd prime. Let \( S := \text{PGL}(2, p^m) \) and \( M_1 = \text{PGL}(2, p^m) \). To show the lemma, we need to know which regular suborbit \( \alpha^{sM_1} \) of \( S \) is fixed by a nontrivial subgroup of \( \langle v, f \rangle \) for some \( v \in \text{PGL}(2, p^m) \). Suppose that \( \alpha^{sM_1} \) is such a regular suborbit. Then it must be fixed by an element \( uf' \) of prime order \( r' \) with some \( u \in \text{PGL}(2, p^m) \), where \( f' = f^\frac{n}{r} \). Then \( \alpha^s \) is fixed by subgroup \( K \) of order \( r' \). Since \( M_1 \) acts regular on this suborbit, \( K = \langle uf'' \rangle \) for some \( u \in M_1 \).

In what follows, let \( n'(r') \) be the set of regular suborbits of \( S \) which are fixed by \( \langle K \rangle \). We shall deal with two cases according to \( r' = r \) and \( r' \neq r \), separately.

**Lemma 3.17** Suppose that \( r' = r \). Then \( n'(r') \leq \frac{1}{4}(r' - 1)^2p^m(p^m + 1)/(p^m - 1) \).

**Proof** Suppose that \( r' = r \). Then \( r' \) is odd and \( M \geq M_1 \times \langle f' \rangle \) and we are dealing with four cases: \( r' \mid |M_1| \), \( r' = p \), \( r' \mid (q - 1) \) and \( r' \mid (q + 1) \).

1. **Case 1:** \( r' \mid |M_1| \)

   In this case, every subgroup of the form \( \langle uf' \rangle \) of order \( r' \) in \( M \) is conjugate to \( \langle f' \rangle \). Since \( N_G(\langle f' \rangle) = N_M(\langle f' \rangle) = M_1 : \langle f \rangle \), the only fixed point of \( f' \) is \( \alpha \) and \( n'(r') = 0 \).

2. **Case 2:** \( r' = p \)

   Now \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{p^m}) = \langle f' \rangle \). Since \( p \) is odd and \( \sum_{i=0}^{p-1}1f^i = 0 \), from Proposition 2.6 we have \( x \in \mathbb{F}_q \) such that \( x - x^{f'} = 1 \). Set

   \[ u_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \]

   Since all the elements of order \( p \) in \( M_1 \) are conjugate to \( u_0 \) in \( M_1 \), every subgroup of the form \( \langle uf' \rangle \) of order \( r' \) in \( M \) is conjugate to either \( K_0 = \langle f' \rangle \) or \( K_1 = \langle u_0f' \rangle \). Moreover, one may check that \( (g^{-1})^t g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x - x^{f'} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = u_0 \), that is, \( f^{u_0} = f' u_0 \).

   Now

   \[ |\text{Fix}(K_0)| = |\text{Fix}(K_1)| = \frac{|N_G(K_0)|}{|N_M(K_0)|} + \frac{|N_G(K_1)|}{|N_M(K_1)|} = \frac{|M_1|n}{|M_1|} + \frac{|M_1|n}{p^mn} = p^{2m}. \]
Since $K_0 \leq C(M)$, $K_0$ fixes pointwise in every regular suborbit of $S$ fixed by $K_0$ setwise, contradicting with $|\text{Fix}(K_0)| \leq |M|$. Therefore $n'(r') = 0$ for $K_0$.

As for $K_1$, except for $\alpha$, $K_1$ fixes $p^{2m} - 1$ points. In each regular suborbit of $S$ fixed by $K_1$, $K_1$ fixes $|N_{M_1K_1}(K_1) : K_1| = p^m$ points, and so $n'(r') \leq \frac{p^m(p^m - 1)}{p^m - 1}$.

(3) Case 3: $r' | p^m - 1$.

Set $p^m = kr' + 1$. Then $\frac{p^m - 1}{p^m - 1} = \frac{(kr' + 1)r' - 1}{kr'} \equiv 0 \pmod{r'}$. Again, we have $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{p^m}) = \langle f' \rangle$. For any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ such that $|a| = r'$, since for any $1 \leq i \leq r'$,

$$\Pi_{j=0}^{r'-1}(a^i f^{j}) = \Pi_{j=0}^{r'-1}(a^i) f^{mj} = a^{\frac{p^m - 1}{p^m - 1}} = 1,$$

by Proposition 2.6 we may pick up $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $xx^{-f'} = a^{i}f'$. Set

$$u_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad g(i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since all the subgroups of order $r'$ in $M_1$ are conjugate to $\langle u_0 \rangle$ in $M_1$, every subgroup of the form $\langle uf' \rangle$ of order $r'$ in $M$ is conjugate to either $K_0 = \langle f' \rangle$ or $K_1 = \langle u_0 f' \rangle$, where $1 \leq i \leq \frac{1}{2}(r'-1)$. Moreover, one may check that $f'^{g(i)} = u_0 f'$, which implies all subgroups of order $r'$ in $M$ are conjugate in $G$. Now

$$|\text{Fix} \langle K_0 \rangle| = |\text{Fix} \langle K_1 \rangle| = \frac{|N_{C}(K_0)|}{|N_{C}(K_0)|} + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(r'-1)} \frac{|N_{C}(K_i)|}{|N_{C}(K_i)|}.$$

With the same arguments as above, $n'(r') = 0$ for $K_0$. As for $K_1$, except for $\alpha$, $K_i$ fixes $\frac{1}{2}(r'-1)p^m(p^m - 1)$ points. In each suborbit of $S$ fixed by $K_i$, $K_i$ fixes $|N_{M_1K_i}(K_i) : K_i| = (p^m - 1)$ points and so $n'(r') \leq \frac{1}{2}(r'-1)^2p^m(p^m + 1)/(p^m - 1)$.

(4) Case 4: $r' | p^m + 1$.

(i) $p = 2$: Let $n = mr'$, where $r'$ is an odd prime. Let $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} = \langle \delta \rangle$, $\mathbb{F}_{2^2m} = \mathbb{F}_{2^m}(\alpha)$ where $\alpha^2 = \alpha + 1$ and $\alpha^2 + \alpha = \theta$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ (by Proposition 2.7). Since $\alpha \notin \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, $\mathbb{F}_{2^2m} = \mathbb{F}_{2^m}(\alpha)$. Take a generator $x + y\alpha$ of $\mathbb{F}_{2^2m}$. Then there is an injective homomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* \to \text{PGL}(2,q)$, given by

$$(x + y\alpha) \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* \mapsto s := \begin{pmatrix} x & y\theta \\ y & x + y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Set

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \theta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c = fw.$$
Check that \[\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \theta^i = \alpha^m + \alpha = 1,\] noting \(p = 2\). Then
\[
s^c = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -\theta \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
x^2 & y^2\theta \\
y^2 & x^2 + y^2
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \theta \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
x^2 + y^2\theta \\
y^2 & x^2 + y^2 + y^2\theta
\end{pmatrix} = s^2,
\]
\[
c^m = (fw)^m = f^m \left( \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \theta^i}{1} \right) = f^mt, \quad c^{2m} = f^{2m}, \quad c^n = t, \quad c^{2n} = 1.
\]

Suppose \(r' \mid 2^m+1\). Then \(r' \nmid 2^m-1\) and \(r' \mid \frac{2^m+1}{2^m-1}\). Set \(r'^j \mid (2^m+1)\). Then \(r'^{j+1} \mid (2^n+1)\).

Set \(s_0 = \frac{2^m+1}{2^m-1}\) and now \(R := \langle s_0 \rangle : \langle c^{2m} \rangle\) is a Sylow \(r'\)-subgroup of \(S : \langle c^{2m} \rangle\). Suppose that \(s_0^{2m} = s_0\). Then \((2^{2m} - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{r'^{j+1}}\), that is \((2^{2m} + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{r'^{j+1}}\), a contradiction. So \(R\) is a nonabelian \(r'\)-group which contains a cyclic maximal subgroup. By Lemma 2.3 again, all the noncentral subgroup of order \(r'\) is conjugate to \(\langle c^{2m} \rangle = \langle f' \rangle\) in \(R\), noting that \(f^{2m} = f^{2m} = c^{2m}\).

(ii) \(p\) is odd: Let \(\mathbb{F}_{p^m} = \langle \delta \rangle\) and \(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}} = \mathbb{F}_{p^m}(\alpha)\) where \(\alpha^2 = \theta := \delta^\frac{p^m-1}{2}\), where \(p^m - 1 = 2^k\) for an odd integer \(k\). In particular, \(|\theta| = 2^i\). Take a generator \(x + y\alpha\) of \(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}\). Set
\[
s = \begin{pmatrix}
x & y\theta \\
y & x
\end{pmatrix}, \quad t = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \theta^{\frac{1}{2^k}}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Set \(c = fw\). From the proof of Lemma 3.16 \(s^c = s^p; c^m = f^mt; c^{2m} = f^{2m}\); and \(c^n = t\), for any odd prime divisor \(r'\) of \(n\).

Suppose \(r' \mid p^m + 1\) and set \(r'^j \mid (p^m + 1)\). Then \(r'^{j+1} \mid (p^m + 1)\). Set \(s_0 = \frac{p^m+1}{r'^{j+1}}\) and again we consider a Sylow \(r'\)-subgroup \(R := \langle s_0 \rangle : \langle c^{2m} \rangle\) of \(S : \langle c^{2m} \rangle\). Then with a similar analyses in (i), we know that \(R\) is nonabelian and all the noncentral subgroups of order \(r'\) are conjugate to \(\langle f' \rangle\) in \(R\), where \(\langle f' \rangle = \langle f^{2m} \rangle\), as desired.

Let \(\langle u_0 \rangle\) be subgroup of order \(r'\) of \(\langle s \rangle\). Since all the subgroups of order \(r'\) in \(M_1\) are conjugate to \(\langle u_0 \rangle\) in \(M_1\), every subgroup of the form \(\langle u^n f' \rangle\) of order \(r'\) in \(M\) is conjugate to either \(K_0 = \langle f' \rangle\) or \(K_i = \langle u_0^n f' \rangle\). Moreover, by (i) and (ii), every \(K_i\) is conjugate to \(\langle f' \rangle\) in \(G\). Therefore,
\[
|\text{Fix}(K_0)| = |\text{Fix}(K_i)| = \frac{|N_G(K_0)|}{|N_{M_1}(K_0)|} + \sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{|N_G(K_i)|}{|N_{M_1}(K_i)|} = \frac{|M_1|}{|M_1|} + \frac{1}{2}(r' - 1)\frac{|M_1|}{(p^m+1)m} = \frac{1}{2}(r' - 1)p^m(p^m - 1) + 1.
\]

Similarly, \(n'(r') = 0\) for \(K_0\). As for \(K_i\), except for \(\alpha, K_i\) fixes \(\frac{1}{2}(r' - 1)p^m(p^m - 1)\) points. In each suborbit of \(S\) fixed by \(K_i, K_i\) fixes \(|N_{M_1K_i}(K_i) : K_i^*| = (p^m + 1)\) points and so \(n'(r') \leq \frac{1}{4}(r' - 1)^2p^m(p^m - 1)/(p^m + 1)\).

Taking the maximal \(n'(r')\) in the above four cases, we get the result as desired. \(\Box\)

**Lemma 3.18** Suppose that \(r' \neq r\). Then \(n'(r') \leq \frac{p^m - 1}{|p|m_{1,r'}(p^m - 1)}\), where \(m = m_1r'\).
Therefore, every subgroup of the form \langle u \rangle of order \( r' \) in \( M \) is conjugate to \langle f' \rangle where \( u \in M_1 \). Since \( N_G(\langle f' \rangle) = \text{PGL}(2,p^{m_1}) \langle f \rangle \) and \( N_M(\langle f' \rangle) = \text{PGL}(2,p^{m_1}) \langle f \rangle \), \( f' \) fixes \( \frac{p^{m_1}r(p^{2m_1} - 1)}{p^{m_1}(p^{2m_1} - 1)} \) points. In each regular suborbit of \( S \) fixed by \( f' \), \( f' \) fixes \( |N_{M_1}(f')(\langle f' \rangle)| = p^{m_1}(p^{2m_1} - 1) \) points. Therefore,
\[
n'(r') \leq \frac{p^{m_1}r(p^{2m_1} - 1)}{p^{m_1}(p^{2m_1} - 1)^2}.
\]

In fact, the conclusion is clearly true for \( r' \mid |M_1| \). So we are going to deal with three cases separately.

1. Case 1: \( r' = p \)

Note that \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m}/\mathbb{F}_{p^{m_1}}) = \langle f' \rangle \). For any \( a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m} \) with trace \( \text{Tr}(a) = 0 \), we have \( \text{Tr}(a^{r'}) = 0 \). By Proposition 2.6 there exits \( x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m} \) such that \( x - x^{f'} = a^{f'} \). Set
\[
u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Up to conjugacy, we may set \( K = \langle u f' \rangle \), for some \( a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m} \). Then \( |uf'| = p \) if and only if \( u^{f'}u^{f''}\cdots u^{f'} = 1 \), that is, \( \text{Tr}(a) = a + a^{f'} + \cdots + a^{f'-1} = 0 \). Then
\[
f^{g^{-1}}g^{f'-1}f' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x^{f'-1} - x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}f' = uf'.
\]

Therefore, every subgroup of the form \( \langle u f' \rangle \) of order \( p \) in \( M \) is conjugate to \langle f' \rangle.

2. Case 2: \( r' \mid p^m - 1 \).

We will discuss two cases where \( r' \) is either odd or even, separately.

Suppose that \( r' \) is odd. Since \( r' \mid p^{m_1}r' - 1 \), we have \( p^{m_1}r' - 1 \equiv 0(\text{mod } r') \) and then \( p^{m_1}(r' - 1)p^{m_1r} \equiv 1(\text{mod } r') \). Therefore, \( p^{m_1r} \equiv 1(\text{mod } r') \) and \( \frac{p^{m_1r} - 1}{p^{r' - 1}} \equiv 0(\text{mod } r') \). Take \( a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m} \) such that \( |a| = r' \). Since \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m}/\mathbb{F}_{p^{m_1}}) = \langle f' \rangle \) and for any \( 1 \leq i \leq r' \),
\[
\text{Norm}(a) = \Pi_{j=0}^{r'-1}(a^i)^{f_{ij}} = \Pi_{j=0}^{r'-1}(a^i)^{p^{m_1r}} = \Pi_{j=0}^{r'-1}a^{i(p^{m_1r} - 1)} = 1,
\]
by Proposition 2.6 again, there exists \( x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m} \) such that \( xx^{-f'} = a^{i} \). Set
\[
u_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad g(i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Since all the subgroups of order \( r' \) in \( M_1 \) are conjugate to \( \langle u_0 \rangle \) in \( M_1 \), every subgroup of the form \( \langle u f' \rangle \) of order \( r' \) in \( M \) is conjugate to \( K_i = \langle u_0 f' \rangle \), where \( 0 \leq i \leq \frac{1}{2}(r' - 1) \).

Moreover, one may check that \( f_{g(i)} = u_0^{i}f' \), as desired.
Suppose that \( r' = 2 \). Let \( \langle \epsilon \rangle \) be a Sylow 2-subgroup of \( \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^* \) with order \( 2^l \). Set
\[
u_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \epsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Consider the 2-group \( L = \langle \nu_0, \nu, f \rangle \). The involutions in \( L \setminus M_1 \) have the form either \( \nu_0^j f' \) or \( \nu_0^j \nu f' \).

Let \( d = v f' \), it is easy to check that \( \nu_0^d = \nu_0^{-p^\frac{d}{2}} \) and \( f' = d \). Let \( e = p^\frac{d}{2} + 1 \) and \( c' = p^\frac{d}{2} + 1 \) denote the largest 2-power divisor of \( p^\frac{d}{2} \) being odd. Note that \( \nu_0^i d \equiv 1 \) if and only if \( ei = (p^\frac{d}{2} + 1)k \) for some integer \( k \) and \( (\nu_0^i f')^2 = 1 \) if and only if \( e' f' = (p^\frac{d}{2} - 1)k' \) for some integer \( k' \). Then we may get
\[
\nu_0^{\nu_0^i d} = \nu_0^{\nu_0^i f'} = \nu_0^{\nu_0^i r} \\
\text{Thus every involution of } L \setminus M_1 \text{ is conjugate to } f'.
\]

(3) Case 3: \( r' \mid p^m + 1 \)

If \( r' = 2 \), then \( m = 2m_1 \) is even and every any Sylow 2-subgroup of \( M_1 \) is contained in a subgroup of \( M_1 \) isomorphic to \( D_{2(p^{m_1} - 1)} \), which is included in last case. Suppose that \( r' \) is odd.

(i) \( p = 2 \): As before, \( m = m_1 r' \). Let \( \mathbb{F}_{2^{m_1}} = \langle \delta \rangle \), \( \mathbb{F}_{2^{m_1}} = \mathbb{F}_{2^{m_1}} (\alpha) \) where \( \alpha^{2m_1} = \alpha + 1 \) and \( \alpha^2 = \alpha + \theta \) for some \( \theta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{m_1}} \) (by Proposition 2.7). Since \( \alpha \notin \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \), \( \mathbb{F}_{2^{m_1}} = \mathbb{F}_{2^{m_1}} (\alpha) \) and \( \mathbb{F}_{2^n} = \mathbb{F}_{2^n} (\alpha) \). As in Lemma 3.17.4, take a generator \( x + y \alpha \) of \( \mathbb{F}_{2^{m_1}} \), set
\[
s := \begin{pmatrix} x & y \theta \\ y & x + y \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \theta \\ \theta & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c = f w.
\]
Then we have \( s^c = s^2 \) and \( \sum_{i=0}^{m_1-1} \theta^{fi} = \alpha^{m_1} + \alpha = 1 \). Moreover,
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{m_1-1} \theta^{fi} = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (\sum_{i=0}^{m_1-1} \theta^{fi})^{fi/m_1} = r \cdot 1 = 1.
\]
Then
\[
c^{m_1 r} = (f w)^{m_1 r} = f^{m_1 r} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sum_{i=0}^{m_1 r-1} \theta^{fi} \right) = f^{m_1 r} t, \quad c^{2m_1 r} = f^{2m_1 r}, \quad c^n = t, \quad c^{2n} = 1.
\]
Suppose \( r' \mid 2^m + 1 \). Then \( 2^m + 1 = 2m_1 + 1 = 0 \) (mod \( r' \)), that is \( r' \mid 2m_1 + 1 \). Set \( r^j \mid (2m_1 + 1) \). Then \( r^j r^j+1 \mid (2^{m_1} + 1) \). Set \( s_0 = s^{2r^j+1} \) and \( R := \langle s_0 \rangle : \langle c^{m_1 r} \rangle \) is a
Sylow $r'$—subgroup of $M : (c_{2m_1r})$. Suppose that \([s_0, c_{2m_1r}] = 1\). Then \((2^{m_1r} - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{r'^{j+1}}\), that is \((2^{m_1r} + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{r'^{j+1}}\). However, set \(2^{m_1} = r'^jk - 1\) where \((k, r') = 1\). Then \(2^{m_1r} + 1 = (r'^jk - 1)r' + 1 = r'^jk\) for some \(k'\) coprime to \(r'\). So \([s_0, c_{2m_1r}] \neq 1\) and then \(R\) is a nonabelian \(r'\)—group which contains a cyclic maximal subgroup. By Lemma 2.8 again, all the noncentral subgroup of order \(r'\) is conjugate to \((c_{2m_1r}) = \langle f' \rangle = \langle f' \rangle\) in \(R\), noting that \(f'^2 = f^{2m_1r} = c_{2m_1r}\).

(ii) \(p\) is odd: Let \(F_{p_m}^* = \langle \delta \rangle\) and \(F_{p^m} = \langle \alpha \rangle\) where \(\alpha^2 = \theta := \delta^{-m_1-1/2}\), where \(p^m - 1 = 2^l k\) for an odd integer \(k\). In particular, \(|\theta| = 2^l\). Take a generator \(x + y\alpha\) of \(F_{p^m}^*\). Set

\[
s = \begin{pmatrix} x & y\theta \\ y & x \end{pmatrix}, \quad t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Set \(c = fw\). As in Lemma 3.6, \(s^c = s^p\). Moreover,

\[
c^{m_1r} = f^{m_1r} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & (\theta^{-1/2})^{-m_1r} \end{array} \right) = f^{m_1r} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right) = f^{m_1rt}.
\]

So, \(c^{2m_1r} = f^{2m_1r}\), \(c^p = t\), for any odd prime divisor \(r'\) of \(n\). The remaining proof is completely same as in (i), just replacing \(p = 2\) by odd \(p\).

Now we are ready to prove Lemma 3.16.

Proof of Lemma 3.16: In Lemmas 3.16 and 3.19 we already determine the number of regular suborbits of \(T = \text{PSL}(2, q)\) and \(S = \text{PGL}(2, q)\), relative to \(\alpha = \text{PGL}(2, q) : \langle f \rangle\) on \(\Omega\). In order to determine the regular suborbits of \(M\), we first get a bound for the number \(n'\), which is size of the set of regular suborbits of \(S\) which fixed by a nontrivial subgroup of \(M \setminus S\) with prime order.

If \(m \geq 2\), we set \(m = r_1^{e_1}r_2^{e_2} \cdots r_l^{e_l}\), where \(2 \leq r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_l\) are primes and \(e_i \neq 0\). Then \(m \geq 3^{l-1}r_1\), that is \(l \leq 1 + \log_3 \frac{m}{r_1}\). Then

\[
n' \leq n'(r) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} n'(r_i) \text{ for } r \parallel m, \quad n' \leq n'(r) + \sum_{r \neq r_i} n'(r_i) \text{ for } r \mid m.
\]

Particularly, we have \(n' = n'(r)\) for \(m = 1\). Moreover, noting \(p^m \geq 3\), by Lemmas 3.17 and 3.18 we have

\[
n'(r) \leq \frac{1}{4}(r - 1)^2p^m(p^m + 1)/(p^m - 1) \leq \frac{1}{2}(r - 1)^2p^m,
\]

\[
n'(r_i) \leq \frac{p^{m_n}(p^{2m_1r} - 1)}{[p^{m_n}(p^{2m_1} - 1)]^2} \leq \frac{16}{9}p^{3m_1r-6m_1} \leq \frac{16}{9}p^{3m_1r-6m_1},
\]

25
where \( m = m_i r_i \). Since \( n = r_1^{e_1} r_2^{e_2} \cdots r_i^{e_i} \), we get that for \( m = 1 \), \( n' \leq \frac{1}{2} (r - 1)^2 p^m \); and for \( m > 1 \),
\[
n' \leq \frac{1}{2} (r - 1)^2 p^m + \frac{16}{9} p^{3m_1 r - 6m_1} \leq \frac{1}{2} (r - 1)^2 p^m + (1 + \log_3 m_1) \frac{16}{9} p^{3m_1 r - 6m_1}.
\]

Let \( t_1, t \) be the number of regular suborbits of \( S \) and \( G \), respectively. Then \( t | M | \geq (t_1 - n') | M_1 | \). Now
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{t | M | - | M_1 |}{n | M | - | M_1 |} & \geq \frac{1}{2p^m(p^m-1)}(p^{3n} + 2p^{n+4m} - 2p^{n+3m} + 2p^{n+m} + p^n - 2p^{2m} - 2p^{3m} - 2p^{5m} + 2p^{4m}) \\
& = \frac{1}{2p^m(p^m-1)}(A + (p^{n+4m} - 2p^{n+3m})p^{-3n} + (p^{n+4m} + p^{n+4m} + p^n) + 2p^{4m} - 2p^{2m} \\
& - 2p^{2m} - 2p^{3m})p^{-3n} \geq \frac{1}{2p^m(p^m-1)}p^{3n} A > 0,
\end{align*}
\]
where \( A := 1 - 2n'p^{2m}(p^{2m} - 1)^2 p^{-3n} \geq 1 - 2n'p^{6m-3n} = 1 - A_1 - A_2 > 0 \), where
\[
A_1 = 2p^{6m-3n}(1 - \frac{1}{2}(r - 1)^2 p^m) = \frac{(r - 1)^2}{3^{r-7}} \leq \frac{1}{2};
\]
and either \( m = 1 \) and \( A_2 = 0 \), or \( m \geq 2 \) and
\[
A_2 = 2p^{6m-3n}(1 + \log_3 m_1) \frac{16}{9} p^{3m_1 r - 6m_1} \leq \frac{32}{9} \frac{1 + \log_3 m_1}{p^{3m_1 r - 6m_1}} < \frac{1}{2}.
\]
Therefore, \( t | M | > \frac{| M_1 |}{2} \) and so the Saxl graph of \( \text{PTL}(2, q) \) has diameter 2. \( \square \)

\[3.3 \quad M_0 \in \{ \mathbb{D}_{2(q-1)}, A_4, S_4, A_5, \mathbb{Z}_p^n : \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}, \text{PGL}(2, p^2) \} \]

The first lemma below show that \( b(G) > 2 \), for \( G \) in Proposition 2.2 (vii) and (viii).

**Lemma 3.19** Suppose that either \( M_0 = \mathbb{Z}_p^n : \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \) or \( M_0 = \text{PGL}(2, p^2) \) where \( n \) is even and \( p \) is an odd prime. Then \( b(T) \neq 2 \).

**Proof** Consider the primitive permutation representation of \( T = \text{PSL}(2, q) \) on \([T : M_0]\). Suppose that \( M_0 = \mathbb{Z}_p^n : \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \). Then the action of \( T \) on \( \Omega \) is 2-transitive and \( T_\alpha \cap T_\beta \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \), which implies \( b(T) \neq 2 \), which implies \( b(G) \neq 2 \).

Suppose \( M_0 = \text{PGL}(2, p^2) \) be a maximal subgroup of \( T \) where \( p \) is an odd prime. Consider the primitive action of \( S \) on \( \Omega \). When \( q \) is a prime, it was proved in [19] Lemma 4.1] that there exist no regular suborbits. In fact, checking [19] Lemma 4.1] we find the proof is true for any prime power \( q \). Therefore, we have \( \Gamma = \emptyset \), that is \( b(T) \neq 2 \), and so \( b(G) \neq 2 \). \( \square \)

The following lemma is essentially same with Lemma 3.8 in [4]. With this lemma we may show the Saxl graph for some groups has diameter 2 by probabilistic methods.
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Lemma 3.20 \[\text{Consider the primitive permutation representation of } G \text{ on } [G : M].\]

Let \( Q(G) := \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{|\text{Fix} (x_i)|}{|N_G(\langle x_i \rangle)|} \), where \( P^*(M) := \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\} \) is the set of representatives of conjugacy classes of elements of prime order in \( M \) and \( \text{Fix} (x_i) \) is the number of fixed points of \( x_i \) on \( \Omega \). If \( Q(G) < \frac{1}{2} \), then the Saxl graph have diameter at most 2.

We shall deal with the remaining four cases, separately.

3.3.1 \( M_0 = D_{2(q-1)} \)

Lemma 3.21 \[\text{Let } \text{soc}(G) = \text{PSL}(2, q) \text{ and } M_0 = D_{2(q-1)}, \text{ where } q \neq 7, 9. \text{ Then } d(\Sigma) = 2 \]

if and only if \( G \in \{ \text{PSL}(2, q), \text{PGL}(2, q), \text{PSL}(2, q) : \langle \delta f^2 \rangle \} \), where \( f \) is the field automorphism of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) and \( \text{PGL}(2, q) = \langle \text{PSL}(2, q), \delta \rangle \).

Proof \[\text{Let } \mathbb{F}_q^* = \langle \theta \rangle. \text{ Let } T = \text{PSL}(2, q), \text{PGL}(2, q) = T : \langle \delta \rangle \text{ and } \text{PSL}(2, q) = T : \langle f \rangle, \text{ where } f \text{ is induced by the automorphisms of } \mathbb{F}_q. \text{ Set} \]

\[
M_0 = \langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rangle, \quad \delta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Now \( \Omega \) may be identified with the set of 2-subset of \( \text{PG}(1, q) \) and \( G \) induces an natural action on \( \Omega \). The projective line \( \text{PG}(1, q) \) can be identified with \( \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{ \infty \} \) by mapping \((x, y)\) to \( \frac{x}{y} \). Let \( \Delta_1 = \mathbb{F}_q^2 \) and \( \Delta_2 = \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \Delta_1 \). Set \( \alpha = \{0, \infty\} \) and when \( q \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4) \) or \( q \equiv 3(\text{mod } 4) \), respectively, set

\[
\Gamma_1 = \{\{0, \beta\}, \{\infty, \gamma\} | \beta, \gamma \in \Delta_1\}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \{\{0, \beta\}, \{\infty, \gamma\} | \beta, \gamma \in \Delta_2\}, \text{ or} \\
\Gamma_1 = \{\{0, \beta\}, \{\infty, \gamma\} | \beta \in \Delta_1, \gamma \in \Delta_2\}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \{\{0, \beta\}, \{\infty, \gamma\} | \beta \in \Delta_2, \gamma \in \Delta_1\}.
\]

Then \( T_\alpha \) has one regular orbit \( \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \) for even \( q \) and two regular orbits \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) for odd \( q \). Moreover, both \( \delta \) and \( f \) fix \( \alpha \). \( \delta \) merges \( \Delta_1 \) and \( \Delta_2 \), but \( f \) fixes \( \Delta_i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). Since \( \text{PGL}(2, q) : T \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n \), we get that \( G \) has regular suborbits if and only if \( G \) is one of the following: \( T \) or \( \text{PGL}(2, q) \), and \( T : \langle \delta f^2 \rangle \) when \( n \) is even.

Clearly, for the above three cases, \( b(G) = 2 \) and our Saxl graph of \( G \) contains the Johnson graph as its subgraph. Therefore, \( \Sigma(G) \) has diameter 2. In addition, the case for \( G = \text{PGL}(2, q) \) is also given by Giudici in [4]. \(\square\)

3.3.2 \( M_0 = A_4 \)

Lemma 3.22 \[\text{Suppose that } \text{soc}(G) = \text{PSL}(2, q) \text{ and } M_0 = A_4. \text{ Then } q = p, b(G) = 2 \text{ if and only if } q \geq 11 \text{ and the Saxl graph has diameter } 2 \text{ if } b(G) = 2.\]
Proof Since $M_0 = A_4$, $q = p \equiv \pm 3(\text{mod } 8)$. Moreover, if $q = p \not\equiv \pm 1(\text{mod } 5)$, $M_0$ is maximal in $T$; otherwise, $M_0 \leq A_5 \leq T$. In both cases, it suffices to deal with the case: $G = PGL(2, q)$ and $M = S_4$.

Now $\mathcal{P}^*(M) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, with order 2, 2, 3, respectively. Since $N_M(\langle x_1 \rangle) \cong D_8$, $N_M(\langle x_2 \rangle) \cong D_4$ and $N_M(\langle x_3 \rangle) \cong D_6$, and $\langle x_1 \rangle$ and $\langle x_2 \rangle$ are not conjugate in $PGL(2, q)$, we have

$$
\hat{\mathcal{Q}}(G) = \left( \frac{|M|}{|N_M(x_1)|} \times |N_G(x_1)| + \frac{|M|}{|N_M(x_2)|} \times |N_G(x_2)| + \frac{|M|}{|N_M(x_3)|} \times |N_G(x_3)| \right) \frac{1}{|\Omega|}
$$

$$
\leq \left( \frac{24}{8} \times \frac{2(q+1)}{4} + \frac{24}{4} \times \frac{2(q+1)}{4} + \frac{24}{6} \times \frac{2(q+1)}{6} \right) \times \frac{24 \times 2}{q(q^2-1)} = \frac{194}{q(q-1)} < \frac{1}{2}
$$

provided for all $q \geq 17$. Therefore, $d(\Sigma) = 2$ if $q \geq 17$. Moreover, if $q = 5$, then $b(G) = 1$; if $q = 11, 13$, then by Magma we know that $b(G) = 2$ and $d(\Sigma) = 2$. \hfill \Box

3.3.3 $M_0 = S_4$

Lemma 3.23 Suppose that $soc(G) = PSL(2, q)$ and $M_0 = S_4$. Then $q = p \equiv \pm 1(\text{mod } 8)$, $b(G) = 2$ if and only if $q \geq 17$ and the Saxl graph has diameter 2 if $b(G) = 2$.

Proof In this case, $G = PSL(2, q)$ and $M = S_4$. Now $\mathcal{P}^*(M) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ with the respective order 2, 2 and 3, and $N_M(\langle x_1 \rangle) \cong D_8$, $N_M(\langle x_2 \rangle) \cong D_4$ and $N_M(\langle x_3 \rangle) \cong D_6$. Moreover, $\langle x_1 \rangle$ and $\langle x_2 \rangle$ may be conjugate in $G$ or may not, depending on $q$. So for $i = 1, 2, |\text{Fix}(x_i)| \leq \frac{q+1}{8} + \frac{q+1}{4} = \frac{3(q+1)}{8}$. Therefore,

$$
\hat{\mathcal{Q}}(G) \leq \left( \frac{24}{8} \times \frac{3(q+1)}{8} + \frac{24}{4} \times \frac{3(q+1)}{8} + \frac{24}{6} \times \frac{q+1}{6} \right) \times \frac{24 \times 2}{q(q^2-1)} = \frac{194}{q(q-1)} < \frac{1}{2}
$$

provided $q \geq 23$. As for $q = 7, 9$, $b(G) \neq 2$ because $|\Omega| < 24$. If $q = 17$, then the Saxl graph has diameter 2, checked by Magma. \hfill \Box

3.3.4 $M_0 = A_5$

Lemma 3.24 Suppose that $soc(G) = PSL(2, q)$ and $M_0 = A_5$. Then either

(i) $q = p \equiv \pm 1(\text{mod } 5)$ and $G = PSL(2, q)$; or

(ii) $q = p^2 \equiv -1(\text{mod } 5)$ for an odd prime $p$ and $G = PSL(2, q)$ or $PSL(2, q)$.

Moreover, $b(G) = 2$ if and only if $q \geq 29$, and the Saxl graph $\delta(s_i) = 2$ for $b(G) = 2$.

Proof (1) Suppose that $q = p \equiv \pm 1(\text{mod } 5)$, then $G = PSL(2, q)$. Now $\mathcal{P}^*(M) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, with the respective order 2, 2, and 5, and $N_M(\langle x_1 \rangle) \cong D_4$, $N_M(\langle x_2 \rangle) \cong D_6$ and $N_M(\langle x_3 \rangle) \cong D_{10}$. Therefore,

$$
\hat{\mathcal{Q}}(G) \leq \left( \frac{60}{4} \times \frac{q+1}{4} + \frac{60}{6} \times \frac{q+1}{6} + \frac{60}{10} \times \frac{q+1}{10} \right) \times \frac{120}{q(q^2-1)} = \frac{722}{q(q-1)} < \frac{1}{2}
$$
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provided \( q \geq 41 \). As for \( q = 9, 11, 16, 19 \), \( |\Omega| < 60 \) and so \( b(G) \neq 2 \). If \( q = 29 \) (resp 31), then \( G \) has 1 (resp 2) regular suborbits and its Saxl graph has diameter 2, checked by Magam.

(2) Suppose \( q = p^l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5} \) for \( l \geq 2 \). If \( p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5} \), then \( A_5 \leq \PSL(2, p) < \PSL(2, q) \), which is impossible. So \( p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5} \) which implies \( p^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{5} \) and so \( l = 2 \). In this case, \( f \) may fix a subgroup \( A_5 \) but \( \tau \) cannot, where \( \PGL(2, q) = \PSL(2, q) : \langle \tau \rangle \). So \( G = \PSL(2, q) \) or \( \PΣL(2, q) \). It suffices to deal with \( G = \PSL(2, p^2) \), \( M = S_5 \).

In this case, \( \mathcal{P}^*(M) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\} \), with the respective order 2, 2, 3, and 5, and \( N_M(\langle x_1 \rangle) \cong D_8 \), \( N_M(\langle x_2 \rangle) \cong D_{12} \), \( N_M(\langle x_3 \rangle) \cong D_{12} \) and \( N_M(\langle x_4 \rangle) \cong Z_5 \), \( Z_4 \). Moreover,\[
|\text{Fix} (x_i)| \leq \frac{2(p^2 - 1)}{8} + \frac{2p(p^2 - 1)}{12} = \frac{2p^3 + 3p^2 - 2p - 3}{12},
\]
for \( i = 1, 2 \). Therefore,\[
\hat{Q}(G) \leq (120 \cdot \frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{12}) \times \frac{2p^3 + 3p^2 - 2p - 3}{12} + \frac{120}{12} \times \frac{2(p^2 + 1)}{12} \times \frac{240}{20} \times \frac{2(p^2 - 1)}{p^2(p^2 - 1)} < \frac{1}{2}.
\]
provided \( p \geq 13 \). So \( d(\Sigma) = 2 \) in this case. Moreover, if \( p = 3 \), then \( b(G) \neq 2 \) because \( |\Omega| < 120 \). Finally, if \( p = 7 \), then \( |\Gamma| = 480 < \frac{|\Omega|}{2} \) but this Saxl graph still has diameter 2, checked by Magma. \( \square \)
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