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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AbStract. We study the quasilinear elliptic equation } \\
& \qquad-Q u=e^{u} \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\
& \text { where the operator } Q \text {, known as Finsler-Laplacian (or anisotropic Laplacian), is defined by } \\
& \qquad Q u:=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(F(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{i}}(\nabla u)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F_{\xi_{i}}=\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_{i}}$ and $F: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is a convex function of $C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}\right)$, that satisfies certain assumptions. For bounded domain $\Omega$ and for a stable weak solution of the above equation, we prove that the Hausdorff dimension of singular set does not exceed $N-10$. For the entire space, we apply Moser iteration arguments, established by Dancer-Farina and Crandall-Rabinowitz in the context, to prove Liouville theorems for stable solutions and for finite Morse index solutions in dimensions $N<10$ and $2<N<10$, respectively. We also provide an explicit solution that is stable outside a compact set in $N=2$. In addition, we provide similar Liouville theorems for the power-type nonlinearities.

## 1. Introduction and main results

We study stable weak solutions of the quasilinear Finsler-Liouville equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-Q u=e^{u} \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and the operator $Q$ is defined by

$$
Q u:=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(F(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{i}}(\nabla u)\right),
$$

where $F_{\xi_{i}}:=\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_{i}}$ and $F: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is a convex function of $C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ such that $F(t \xi)=|t| F(\xi)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. The above equation is a particular case of the quasilinear equation with nonlinearity $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-Q u=f(u) \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $F(\xi)>0$ for any $\xi \neq 0$ and for such a function $F$, there exist constant $0<a \leq b<\infty$, $0<\lambda \leq \Lambda<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a|\xi| \leq F(\xi) \leq b|\xi| \quad \text { for any } \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}|V|^{2} \leq F_{\xi_{i} \xi_{j}}(\xi) V_{i} V_{j} \leq \Lambda|V|^{2}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $V \in \xi^{\perp}$ where $\xi^{\perp}:=\left\{V \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:\langle V, \xi\rangle=0\right\}$. The operator $Q$ is known as anisotropic Laplacian or Finsler Laplacian operator in the literature. When $F(\xi)=|\xi|$, that is the isotropic case, the operator $Q$ becomes the classical Laplacian operator. As an anisotropic Laplacian, such operators have been studied vastly in the literature. In early twenty century, Wulff [36] used such operators to study crystal shapes and minimization of anisotropic surface tensions. The operator $Q$ is closely connected with a smooth, convex hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, called the Wulff shape (or equilibrium crystal shape) of $F$. The Wulff shape

[^0]was introduced and studied by Wulff in 36]. In order to provide a few references in this context, Wang and Xia in [33] extended the classical result of Brezis and Merle [4] to equation (1.1) in two dimensions. See also [32] where the authors study an overdetermined problem for anisotropic equations. Caffarelli et al. in [5] established gradient estimates and monotonicity formulae for quasilinear equations in order to study entire solutions, see also 20]. Cozzi et al. in [8, 9] proved such estimates and formulae for singular, degenerate, anisotropic equations, see also [19, 28]. We also refer interested readers to [6, 22, 31] and references therein in the context of quasilinear equations.

What follows is the definition of weak and stable solutions of (1.2).
Definition 1.1. We say that $u$ is a weak solution of (1.2), if $u \in H_{l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $f(u) \in L_{l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$, the following hold

$$
\int_{\Omega} F(\nabla u) F_{\xi}(\nabla u) \cdot \nabla \phi d x=\int_{\Omega} f(u) \phi d x
$$

for all $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.
Definition 1.2. We say that the weak solution of equation (1.2) is stable, if $f^{\prime}(u) \in L_{l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$, holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} F_{\xi_{i}}(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{j}}(\nabla u) \phi_{x_{i}} \phi_{x_{j}}+F(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{i} \xi_{j}}(\nabla u) \phi_{x_{i}} \phi_{x_{j}}-f^{\prime}(u) \phi^{2} d x \geq 0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\phi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$.
Here we provide some properties and definitions related to the operator $Q$. Let $F^{0}$ be the support function of $K:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: F(x)<1\right\}$ which is defined by

$$
F^{0}(x):=\sup _{\xi \in K}\langle x, \xi\rangle
$$

We denote $B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right):=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: F^{0}\left(x-x_{0}\right)<r\right\}$ a Wulff ball of radius $r$ with center at $x_{0}$, for convenience, we will use this notation $B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ throughout the paper. Denote $\kappa_{0}=\left|B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|$, where $\left|B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|$ is the Lebesgue measure of $B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)$. By the assumptions on $F$, one can see that the following properties hold. Some of these are discussed in detail in [22, 32].

Theorem A. We have the following properties:
(1) $|F(x)-F(y)| \leq F(x+y) \leq F(x)+F(y)$;
(2) $|\nabla F(x)| \leq C$ for any $x \neq 0$;
(3) $\langle\xi, \nabla F(\xi)\rangle=F(\xi),\left\langle x, \nabla F^{0}(x)\right\rangle=F^{0}(x)$ for any $x \neq 0, \xi \neq 0$;
(4) $\sum_{j=1}^{N} F_{\xi_{i} \xi_{j}}(\xi) \xi_{j}=0$, for any $i=1,2, \cdots, N$;
(5) $F\left(\nabla F^{0}(x)\right)=1, F^{0}(\nabla F(x))=1$;
(6) $F_{\xi_{i}}(t \xi)=\operatorname{sgn}(t) F_{\xi_{i}}(\xi)$;
(7) $F^{0}(x) F_{\xi}\left(\nabla F^{0}(x)\right)=x$.

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain. Here we provide the definition of Hausdorff dimension and singular set, see 26].
Definition 1.3. Let $A$ be a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, 0 \leq s \leq \infty$ and $0 \leq \delta \leq \infty$. Set

$$
H_{\delta}^{s}:=\inf \left\{\left.\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha(s)\left(\frac{\operatorname{diam} C_{j}}{2}\right)^{s} \right\rvert\, A \subset \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} C_{j}, \operatorname{diam} C_{j} \leq \delta\right\}
$$

where $\alpha(s)=\frac{\pi^{\frac{s}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}+1\right)}, 0 \leq s<\infty$ and $\Gamma(s)$ is the $\Gamma$-function. Let $H^{s}$ be the $s$-dimensional Hausdorff measure that is defined as

$$
H^{s}(A):=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} H_{\delta}^{s}(A)=\sup _{\delta>0} H_{\delta}^{s}(A)
$$

The Hausdorff dimension of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is defined as

$$
H_{\operatorname{dim}}(A):=\inf \left\{0 \leq s<\infty \mid H^{s}(A)=0\right\} .
$$

Here is the definition of the singular set $S$, see 34].

Definition 1.4. The singular set $S$ of a solution $u$ contain those point where in any neighborhood of this point $u$ is not bounded, its complement is the regular set of $u$.

Here is our main result addressing partial regularity of solutions of (1.1).
Theorem 1.1. Assume that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle F_{\xi}(x), F_{\xi}^{0}(y)\right\rangle=\frac{\langle x, y\rangle}{F(x) F^{0}(y)} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u$ is a stable weak solution of (1.1) with $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain, then the Hausdorff dimension of the singular set $S$ does not exceed $N-10$.

When $F(\xi)=|\xi|$, for the Laplacian operator, Da Lio [11] proved that the Hausdorff dimension of singular set of stable solution is at most 1 in dimension $N=3$. Wang 34, 35] extended this result to higher dimensions, and showed that the Hausdorff dimension does not exceed $N-10$.

We now consider $\Omega$ to the entire space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Here we list our main results for such domains. The first result is a Liouville theorem for stable solutions.

Theorem 1.2. If $N<10$, then there is no stable solution of equation (1.1).
The following is the Liouville theorem for finite Morse index solution.
Theorem 1.3. For $3 \leq N \leq 9$, under the assumption of (1.6), then equation (1.1) does not admit any solution stable outside $\bar{a}$ compact set of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. If $N=2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=-2 \log \left(1+\frac{1}{8} \lambda^{2} F^{0}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}\right)+2 \log \lambda, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\lambda>0$ and $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, is stable outside a compact set of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ of (1.1).
When $F(\xi)=|\xi|$, Farina in [18] proved an analogues of Theorem 1.2. Dancer and Farina in 12, 13] proved a counterpart of Theorem 1.3. The methods applied in here are the Moser iteration arguments developed in this context by Crandall and Rabinowitz 10$]$.

For power-type nonlinearities, we prove the following Liouville theorem for for stable solutions of (1.2). This is a counterpart of Theorem 1.2.
Theorem 1.4. The equation (1.2) does not admit positive weak stable solution if
(i) $f(u)=u^{p}$ for $p>3$ and $N<\frac{6 p+4 \sqrt{p^{2}-p}-2}{p-1}$.
(ii) $f(u)=-u^{-p}$ for $p>\frac{1}{3}$ and $N<\frac{6 p+4 \sqrt{p^{2}+p}+2}{p+1}$.

When $F(\xi)=|\xi|$, the above result is given by Farina in [17] and Esposito et al. in [15, 16] for Part (i) and Part (ii), respectively.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first recall a well-known sharp anisotropic Hardy's inequality. Then, we prove certain integral estimates using Moser iteration arguments. All of these inequalities are essential tools in next sections. In Section 3, we prove the partial regularity result, i.e., Theorem 1.1. In Section 4 , we prove Liouville theorems for stable solutions and for finite Moser index solutions, i.e., Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4. In addition, we also show the existence of the finite Moser index solutions. In the last Section [5] we discuss monotonicity formulas which are of independent interests in this context.

## 2. Integral Estimates

In this section, we provide some essential elliptic estimates and inequalities needed to establish our main results. We start with the following sharp anisotropic Hardy inequality, given in [27].

Proposition 2.1. Assume $1 \leq s<N$ or $s>N$, let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Then the following inequality

$$
\left|\frac{N-s}{s}\right|^{s} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\varphi|^{s}}{\left(F^{0}(x)\right)^{s}} d x \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{x}{F^{0}(x)} \cdot \nabla \varphi\right|^{s} d x
$$

holds true for any $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ if $1 \leq s<N$, and for any $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega \backslash\{0\})$ if $s>N$.

We now prove some integral estimates for stable solutions. The methods and ideas are inspired by Moser iteration arguments given in [10, 17, 18] and references therein.
Proposition 2.2. Assume that $N \geq 2$ and $\Omega$ is a domain (possibly unbounded) of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Let $u$ be a stable weak solution of (1.2).
(i) If $f(u)=e^{u}$ then for any integer $m \geq 10$ and any $\alpha \in(0,4)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} e^{(\alpha+1) u} \psi^{2 m} d x \leq C \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla \psi|^{2}+|\nabla \psi|^{4}\right)^{\alpha+1} d x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $f(u)=u^{p}$ with $p>3$, then for any integer $m \geq \frac{4 \sqrt{p^{2}-p}+6 p-2}{p-3}$ and $p-\sqrt{p^{2}-p}<\alpha<p+\sqrt{p^{2}-p}$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} u^{p+2 \alpha-1} \psi^{2 m} d x \leq C \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla \psi|^{\frac{2}{p-1}}+|\nabla \psi|^{\frac{4}{p-3}}\right)^{2 \alpha+p-1} d x
$$

(iii) If $f(u)=-u^{-p}$ with $p>\frac{1}{3}$, then for any integer $m \geq \max \left\{\frac{3 p+2 \sqrt{p^{2}+p}+1}{p+1}, \frac{6 p+4 \sqrt{p^{2}+p}+2}{p+3}\right\}$ and $1<\alpha<p+\sqrt{p^{2}+p}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u^{-2 \alpha-p-1} \psi^{2 m} d x \leq C \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla \psi|^{\frac{2}{p+1}}+|\nabla \psi|^{\frac{4}{p+3}}\right)^{2 \alpha+p+1} d x \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\psi$ is a test function $\psi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfying $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ in $\Omega$.
Proof. (i) If $f(u)=e^{u}$, for any $\alpha \in(0,4)$ and any $k>0$, we set

$$
a_{k}(t)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
e^{\frac{\alpha t}{2}}, & \text { if } \quad t<k \\
{\left[\frac{\alpha}{2}(t-k)+1\right] e^{\frac{\alpha k}{2}}, } & \text { if } \quad t \geq k
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

and

$$
b_{k}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{rc}
e^{\alpha t}, & \text { if } \quad t<k \\
{[\alpha(t-k)+1] e^{\alpha k},} & \text { if } \quad t \geq k
\end{array}\right.
$$

Simple calculations yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}^{2}(t) \geq b_{k}(t), \quad\left(a_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}=\frac{\alpha}{4} b_{k}^{\prime}(t), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{-2}\left(a_{k}(t)\right)^{4} \leq c_{1} e^{\alpha t}, \quad\left(a_{k}(t)\right)^{2} \leq e^{\alpha t}, \quad\left(b_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{-1}\left(b_{k}(t)\right)^{2} \leq c_{2} e^{\alpha t} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ which depends only on $\alpha$. For any $\phi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$, take $b_{k}(u) \phi^{2}$ as the test function, multiply (1.2) and integrate by parts, it follows from Theorem A, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}-\operatorname{div}\left(F(\nabla u) F_{\xi}(\nabla u)\right) b_{k}(u) \phi^{2} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(F(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{i}}(\nabla u)\right) b_{k}(u) \phi^{2} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} F(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{i}}(\nabla u) b_{k}^{\prime}(u) u_{x_{i}} \phi^{2}+F(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{i}}(\nabla u) b_{k}(u) 2 \phi \phi_{x_{i}} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} F^{2}(\nabla u) b_{k}^{\prime}(u) \phi^{2}+F(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{i}}(\nabla u) b_{k}(u) 2 \phi \phi_{x_{i}} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} e^{u} b_{k}(u) \phi^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\int_{\Omega} F^{2}(\nabla u) b_{k}^{\prime}(u) \phi^{2} d x \leq 2 C \int_{\Omega} F(\nabla u) b_{k}(u)|\phi||\nabla \phi| d x+\int_{\Omega} e^{u} b_{k}(u) \phi^{2} d x
$$

by the Cauchy inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} F^{2}(\nabla u) b_{k}^{\prime}(u) \phi^{2} d x \leq \frac{2 C}{(1-2 C \varepsilon) \varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}\left(b_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{-1} b_{k}^{2}(u)|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{1-2 C \varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} e^{u} b_{k}(u) \phi^{2} d x \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u$ is stable solution of equation (1.2), hence, for any $\varphi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} F_{\xi_{i}}(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{j}}(\nabla u) \varphi_{x_{i}} \varphi_{x_{j}}+F(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{i} \xi_{j}}(\nabla u) \varphi_{x_{i}} \varphi_{x_{j}}-e^{u} \varphi^{2} d x \geq 0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $\varphi=a_{k}(u) \phi$, easy to check $\varphi_{x_{i}}=a_{k}^{\prime}(u) u_{x_{i}} \phi+a_{k}(u) \phi_{x_{i}}$, using Theorem A and Cauchy inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} F_{\xi_{i}}(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{j}}(\nabla u) \varphi_{x_{i}} \varphi_{x_{j}} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} F_{\xi_{i}}(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{j}}(\nabla u)\left(a_{k}^{\prime}(u) u_{x_{i}} \phi+a_{k}(u) \phi_{x_{i}}\right)\left(a_{k}^{\prime}(u) u_{x_{j}} \phi+a_{k}(u) \phi_{x_{j}}\right) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(1+2 C \varepsilon_{1}\right) F^{2}(\nabla u)\left(a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{2} \phi^{2}+\left(C^{2}+\frac{2 C}{\varepsilon_{1}}\right)\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} F(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{i} \xi_{j}}(\nabla u) \varphi_{x_{i}} \varphi_{x_{j}} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} F(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{i} \xi_{j}}(\nabla u)\left(a_{k}^{\prime}(u) u_{x_{i}} \phi+a_{k}(u) \phi_{x_{i}}\right)\left(a_{k}^{\prime}(u) u_{x_{j}} \phi+a_{k}(u) \phi_{x_{j}}\right) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} F(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{i} \xi_{j}}\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{2} \phi_{x_{i}} \phi_{x_{j}} d x \leq \Lambda \int_{\Omega} F(\nabla u)\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x \\
& \leq \Lambda \varepsilon_{2} \int_{\Omega} F^{2}(\nabla u)\left(a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{2} \phi^{2} d x+\frac{\Lambda}{\varepsilon_{2}} \int_{\Omega}\left(a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{-2}\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{4} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{4}}{\phi^{2}} d x \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Combine (2.4), (2.5), (2.6), (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} e^{u}\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{2} \phi^{2} d x \leq & \frac{\alpha\left(1+2 C \varepsilon_{1}+\Lambda \varepsilon_{2}\right)}{4(1-2 C \varepsilon)} \int_{\Omega} e^{u}\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{2} \phi^{2} d x+\frac{\Lambda}{\varepsilon_{2}} c_{1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{4}}{\phi^{2}} d x \\
& +\left[\frac{2 C \alpha\left(1+2 C \varepsilon_{1}+\Lambda \varepsilon_{2}\right)}{4(1-2 C \varepsilon) \varepsilon} c_{2}+C^{2}+\frac{2 C}{\varepsilon_{1}}\right] \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha \in(0,4)$, so we can choose $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ small enough, such that

$$
\frac{\alpha\left(1+2 C \varepsilon_{1}+\Lambda \varepsilon_{2}\right)}{4(1-2 C \varepsilon)}<1
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} e^{u}\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{2} \phi^{2} d x \leq C_{1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{4}}{\phi^{2}} d x+C_{2} \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x
$$

where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are positive constants and independent of $k$. Then let $k \rightarrow+\infty$, by Fatou's lemma, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} e^{(\alpha+1) u} \phi^{2} d x \leq C_{1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{4}}{\phi^{2}} d x+C_{2} \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x .
$$

Let $\phi=\psi^{m}$ and $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, by young's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} e^{(\alpha+1) u} \phi^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega} e^{(\alpha+1) u} \psi^{2 m} d x \\
& \leq \widetilde{C_{1}} \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} e^{(\alpha+1) u} \psi^{2 m} d x+\frac{\widetilde{C_{1}}}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\psi|^{2 m-2-2 m \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}|\nabla \psi|^{2}\right)^{\alpha+1} d x \\
& \quad+\widetilde{C_{2}} \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} e^{(\alpha+1) u} \psi^{2 m} d x+\frac{\widetilde{C_{2}}}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\psi|^{2 m-4-2 m \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}|\nabla \psi|^{4}\right)^{\alpha+1} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $m \geq 10$, we have $2 m-4-2 m \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} \geq 0$ and we can choose $\varepsilon$ small such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} e^{(\alpha+1) u} \psi^{2 m} d x \leq C \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla \psi|^{2}+|\nabla \psi|^{4}\right)^{\alpha+1} d x
$$

This completes the proof of (2.1).
(ii) If $f(u)=u^{p}$, we use the same method, for any $\alpha \in\left(p-\sqrt{p^{2}-p}, p+\sqrt{p^{2}-p}\right)$ and any $k>0$, set

$$
a_{k}(t)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
t^{\alpha}, & \text { if } \quad t<k \\
{\left[\frac{\alpha^{2}}{(2 \alpha-1) k}(t-k)+1\right] k^{\alpha}, } & \text { if } \quad t \geq k
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

and

$$
b_{k}(t)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
t^{2 \alpha-1}, & \text { if } \quad t<k \\
{\left[\frac{\alpha^{2}}{(2 \alpha-1) k}(t-k)+1\right] k^{2 \alpha-1}, } & \text { if } \quad t \geq k
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

easy to check

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 \alpha-1} b_{k}^{\prime}(t) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(a_{k}(t)\right)^{2} \geq t b_{k}(t) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{-2}\left(a_{k}(t)\right)^{4} \leq C_{3} t^{2 \alpha+2}, \quad\left(a_{k}(t)\right)^{2} \leq C_{4} t^{2 \alpha} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(b_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{-1}\left(b_{k}(t)\right)^{2} \leq C_{5} t^{2 \alpha} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{3}, C_{4}$ and $C_{5}$ are positive constant and independent of $k$. For any $\phi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$, take $b_{k}(u) \phi^{2}$ as the test function, multiple (1.2) and integrate by parts, it follows from Theorem A, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} F^{2}(\nabla u) b_{k}^{\prime}(u) \phi^{2} d x \\
& \leq \frac{2 C}{(1-2 C \varepsilon) \varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}\left(b_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{-1}\left(b_{k}(u)\right)^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{1-2 C \varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} u^{p} b_{k}(u) \phi^{2} d x \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $u$ is a stable solution of equation (1.2), hence for any $\varphi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$, holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} F_{\xi_{i}}(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{j}}(\nabla u) \varphi_{x_{i}} \varphi_{x_{j}}+F(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{i} \xi_{j}}(\nabla u) \varphi_{x_{i}} \varphi_{x_{j}}-p u^{p-1} \varphi^{2} d x \geq 0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

take $\varphi=a_{k}(u) \phi$, easy to see $\varphi_{x_{i}}=a_{k}^{\prime}(u) u_{x_{i}} \phi+a_{k}(u) \phi_{x_{i}}$, by Theorem A and Cauchy inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& p \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1}\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{2} \phi^{2} d x \\
& \leq\left(1+2 C \varepsilon_{1}+\Lambda \varepsilon_{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} F^{2}(\nabla u)\left(a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{2} \phi^{2} d x \\
& \quad+\left(C^{2}+\frac{2 C}{\varepsilon_{1}}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x+\frac{\Lambda}{\varepsilon_{2}} \int_{\Omega}\left(a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{-2}\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{4} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{4}}{\phi^{2}} d x \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.10), (2.11), (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1}\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{2} \phi^{2} d x \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha^{2}\left(1+2 C \varepsilon_{1}+\Lambda \varepsilon_{2}\right)}{(2 \alpha-1)(1-2 C \varepsilon)} \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1}\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{2} \phi^{2} d x+\frac{\Lambda}{\varepsilon_{2}} C_{3} \int_{\Omega} u^{2 \alpha+2} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{4}}{\phi^{2}} d x \\
& \quad+\left[\frac{2 C \alpha\left(1+2 C \varepsilon_{1}+\Lambda \varepsilon_{2}\right)}{(2 \alpha-1)(1-2 C \varepsilon) \varepsilon} C_{5}+\left(C^{2}+\frac{2 C}{\varepsilon_{1}}\right) C_{4}\right] \int_{\Omega} u^{2 \alpha}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $p-\sqrt{p^{2}-p}<\alpha<p+\sqrt{p^{2}-p}$, we can choose $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ small enough such that

$$
p>\frac{\alpha^{2}\left(1+2 C \varepsilon_{1}+\Lambda \varepsilon_{2}\right)}{(2 \alpha-\underset{6}{1)(1-2 C \varepsilon)}}
$$

It follows that

$$
\int_{\Omega} u^{p-1}\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{2} \phi^{2} d x \leq C_{6} \int_{\Omega} u^{2 \alpha}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x+C_{7} \int_{\Omega} u^{2 \alpha+2} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{4}}{\phi^{2}} d x
$$

where $C_{5}, C_{6}$ are positive constant and independent of $k$, so let $k \rightarrow+\infty$, by Fatou's lemma we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} u^{2 \alpha+p-1} \phi^{2} d x \leq C_{6} \int_{\Omega} u^{2 \alpha}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x+C_{7} \int_{\Omega} u^{2 \alpha+2} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{4}}{\phi^{2}} d x
$$

Since $p>3$, let $\phi=\psi^{m}$ and $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, by Young's inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} u^{2 \alpha+p-1} \phi^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega} u^{2 \alpha+p-1} \psi^{2 m} d x \\
& \leq \widetilde{C}_{6} \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u^{2 \alpha+p-1} \psi^{2 m} d x+\frac{\widetilde{C}_{6}}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}\left[|\nabla \psi|^{2} \psi^{2 m-2-2 m \frac{2 \alpha}{2 \alpha+p-1}}\right]^{\frac{2 \alpha+p-1}{p-1}} d x \\
& \quad+\widetilde{C}_{7} \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u^{2 \alpha+p-1} \psi^{2 m} d x+\frac{\widetilde{C}_{7}}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}\left[|\nabla \psi|^{4} \psi^{2 m-4-2 m \frac{2 \alpha+2}{2 \alpha+p-1}}\right]^{\frac{2 \alpha+p-1}{p-3}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $m \geq \frac{6 p+4 \sqrt{p^{2}-p}-2}{p-3}$, we have

$$
2 m-4-2 m \frac{2 \alpha+2}{2 \alpha+p-1} \geq 0
$$

This finishes the proof of (2.2).
(iii) If $f(u)=-u^{-p}$, for any $\alpha \in\left(1, p+\sqrt{p^{2}+p}\right)$ and for any $k>0$, we set

$$
a_{k}(t)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
t^{-\alpha}, & \text { if } \quad \frac{1}{t}<\frac{1}{k} \\
{\left[\frac{\alpha^{2}}{(2 \alpha+1) k}(k-t)+1\right] k^{-\alpha}, } & \text { if } \quad \frac{1}{t} \geq \frac{1}{k}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

and

$$
b_{k}(t)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
t^{-(2 \alpha+1)}, & \text { if } \quad \frac{1}{t}<\frac{1}{k} \\
{\left[\frac{\alpha^{2}}{(2 \alpha+1) k}(k-t)+1\right] k^{-(2 \alpha+1)}, } & \text { if } \quad \frac{1}{t} \geq \frac{1}{k}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

easy to check

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 \alpha+1}\left|b_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right| \quad \text { and } \quad\left(a_{k}(t)\right)^{2} \geq t b_{k}(t) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{-2}\left(a_{k}(t)\right)^{4} \leq c_{3} t^{-2 \alpha+2}, \quad\left(a_{k}(t)\right)^{2} \leq c_{4} t^{-2 \alpha} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|b_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{-1}\left(b_{k}(t)\right)^{2} \leq c_{5} t^{-2 \alpha} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{3}, c_{4}$ and $c_{5}$ are positive constant and independent of $k$. For any $\phi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$, take $b_{k}(u) \phi^{2}$ as the test function, multiple (1.2) and integrate by parts, it follows from Theorem A, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} F^{2}(\nabla u)\left|b_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right| \phi^{2} d x \leq \frac{2 C}{(1-2 C \varepsilon) \varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}\left|b_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|^{-1}\left(b_{k}(u)\right)^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{1-2 C \varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} u^{-p} b_{k}(u) \phi^{2} d x \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u$ is stable solution of equation (1.2), hence for any $\varphi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} F_{\xi_{i}}(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{j}}(\nabla u) \varphi_{x_{i}} \varphi_{x_{j}}+F(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{i} \xi_{j}}(\nabla u) \varphi_{x_{i}} \varphi_{x_{j}}-p u^{-p-1} \varphi^{2} d x \geq 0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $\varphi=a_{k}(u) \phi$, easy to check $\varphi_{x_{i}}=a_{k}^{\prime}(u) u_{x_{i}} \phi+a_{k}(u) \phi_{x_{i}}$, by the Theorem A and Cauchy inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& p \int_{\Omega} u^{-p-1}\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{2} \phi^{2} d x \\
& \leq\left(1+2 C \varepsilon_{1}+\Lambda \varepsilon_{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} F^{2}(\nabla u)\left(a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{2} \phi^{2} d x \\
& \quad+\left(C^{2}+\frac{2 C}{\varepsilon_{1}}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x+\frac{\Lambda}{\varepsilon_{2}} \int_{\Omega}\left(a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{-2}\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{4} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{4}}{\phi^{2}} d x \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.15), (2.16), (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \int_{\Omega} u^{-p-1}\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{2} \phi^{2} d x \\
& \leq\left[\frac{2 C \alpha^{2}\left(1+2 C \varepsilon_{1}+\Lambda \varepsilon_{2}\right)}{(2 \alpha+1)(1-2 C \varepsilon) \varepsilon} c_{5}+\left(C^{2}+\frac{2 C}{\varepsilon_{1}}\right) c_{4}\right] \int_{\Omega} u^{-2 \alpha}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x \\
& \quad+\frac{\alpha^{2}\left(1+2 C \varepsilon_{1}+\Lambda \varepsilon_{2}\right)}{(2 \alpha+1)(1-2 C \varepsilon)} \int_{\Omega} u^{-p-1}\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{2} \phi^{2} d x+\frac{\Lambda}{\varepsilon_{2}} c_{3} \int_{\Omega} u^{-2 \alpha+2} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{4}}{\phi^{2}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha \in\left(1, p+\sqrt{p^{2}+p}\right)$, so we can choose $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ small enough such that

$$
p>\frac{\alpha^{2}\left(1+2 C \varepsilon_{1}+\Lambda \varepsilon_{2}\right)}{(2 \alpha+1)(1-2 C \varepsilon)}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} u^{-p-1}\left(a_{k}(u)\right)^{2} \phi^{2} d x \leq c_{6} \int_{\Omega} u^{-2 \alpha}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x+c_{7} \int_{\Omega} u^{-2 \alpha+2} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{4}}{\phi^{2}} d x
$$

where $c_{6}, c_{7}$ are positive constants and independent of $k$, let $k \rightarrow+\infty$, by Fatou's lemma we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} u^{-2 \alpha-p-1} \phi^{2} d x \leq c_{6} \int_{\Omega} u^{-2 \alpha}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x+c_{7} \int_{\Omega} u^{-2 \alpha+2} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{4}}{\phi^{2}} d x
$$

Let $\phi=\psi^{m}$ and $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, by Young's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} u^{-2 \alpha-p-1} \psi^{2 m} d x \leq & \widetilde{c}_{6} \int_{\Omega}\left(\psi^{2 m-2-2 m \frac{2 \alpha}{p+1+2 \alpha}}|\nabla \psi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p+1+2 \alpha}{p+1}} d x \\
& +\widetilde{c}_{7} \int_{\Omega}\left(\psi^{2 m-4-2 m \frac{2 \alpha-2}{p+1+2 \alpha}}|\nabla \psi|^{4}\right)^{\frac{p+1+2 \alpha}{p+3}} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $m \geq \max \left\{\frac{3 p+2 \sqrt{p^{2}+p}+1}{p+1}, \frac{6 p+4 \sqrt{p^{2}+p}+2}{p+3}\right\}$, so we have

$$
2 m-2-2 m \frac{2 \alpha}{p+1+2 \alpha} \geq 0
$$

and

$$
2 m-4-2 m \frac{2 \alpha-2}{p+1+2 \alpha} \geq 0
$$

This completes the proof of (2.3).

## 3. The Partial Regularity Result

To prove our regularity theorem, the level set method plays an important role, see 30, 33], in order to use this method, let us first recall the important tools: the co-area formula and isoperimetric inequality for anisotropic version. We define the total variation of $u \in B V(\Omega)$ with respect to $F$ by

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|_{F}:=\sup \left\{\int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} \sigma d x: \sigma \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right), F^{0}(\sigma) \leq 1\right\}
$$

From this definition, the perimeter of $E \subset \Omega$ is defined as

$$
P_{F}(E):=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \chi_{E}\right|_{F}
$$

where $\chi_{E}$ is the characteristic function of $E$. Then the co-area formula

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|_{F}=\int_{0}^{\infty} P_{F}(\{|u|>t\}) d t
$$

and the isoperimetric inequality

$$
P_{F}(E) \geq N \kappa_{0}^{\frac{1}{N}}|E|^{1-\frac{1}{N}}
$$

hold, and the equality holds if and only if $E$ is a Wulff ball, for the proof we refer to [1, 23]. Moreover, in [2], we know that if $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$, then

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|_{F}=\int_{\Omega} F(\nabla u) d x
$$

and the co-area formula becomes

$$
-\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\{u>t\}} F(\nabla u) d x=P_{F}(\{u>t\}),
$$

for almost every $t$.
Here we recall the definition of the Morrey space $M^{p}(\Omega)$, see [24],
Definition 3.1. A function $f \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ is said to belong to $M^{p}(\Omega), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, if there exists a constant $K$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega \cap B_{r}}|f| \leq K r^{N\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}
$$

for all $B_{r} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$, with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{M^{p}(\Omega)}=\inf \left\{K\left|\int_{\Omega \cap B_{r}}\right| f \left\lvert\, \leq K r^{N\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}\right.\right\}
$$

In order to prove the main result, we will need the following decay estimate of equation (1.1). Without loss of generality, we always assume $\Omega=B_{2}(0)$ in (1.1).

Lemma 3.1. Under the assumption of (1.6), there exist $\varepsilon_{0}>0, r \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, which depend only on the dimension $N$, such that for a stable solution $u$ of (1.1), if

$$
2^{2-N} \int_{B_{2}(0)} e^{u} d x \leq \varepsilon
$$

where $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2-N} \int_{B_{r}(0)} e^{u} d x \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, let $\alpha=1$, take $\Omega=B_{2}(0)$ and $\psi=1$ in $B_{1}(0)$, we have

$$
\int_{B_{1}(0)} e^{2 u} d x \leq \int_{B_{2}(0)} e^{2 u} \psi^{2 m} d x \leq C \int_{B_{2}(0)} e^{u}\left(|\nabla \psi|^{2}+|\nabla \psi|^{4}\right) d x
$$

hence $\left\|e^{u}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)} \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Take the decomposition $u=v+w$ in $B_{1}(0)$, where

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-Q w=0 & \text { in } \quad B_{1}(0)  \tag{3.2}\\
w=u & \text { on } \quad \partial B_{1}(0),
\end{align*}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlc}
-\widetilde{Q} v:=-(Q u-Q w) & =e^{u} \quad \text { in } \quad B_{1}(0)  \tag{3.3}\\
v & =0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial B_{1}(0) . \\
9 & &
\end{array}\right.
$$

Set $\Omega=B_{1}(0), \Omega_{t}=\{x \in \Omega \mid v>t\}$ and $\mu(t)=\left|\Omega_{t}\right|$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_{t}} e^{u} d x & =\int_{\Omega_{t}}-(Q u-Q w) d x \\
& =\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}}\left\langle F(\nabla u) F_{\xi}(\nabla u)-F(\nabla w) F_{\xi}(\nabla w), \frac{\nabla(u-w)}{|\nabla(u-w)|}\right\rangle d S \\
& \geq d_{0} \int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{F^{2}(\nabla(u-w))}{|\nabla(u-w)|} d S=d_{0} \int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{F^{2}(\nabla v)}{|\nabla v|} d S
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
d_{0}=\inf \left\{d_{X, Y} \mid X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, X \neq 0, Y \neq 0, X \neq Y\right\}
$$

with

$$
d_{X, Y}:=\frac{\left\langle F(X) F_{\xi}(X)-F(Y) F_{\xi}(Y), X-Y\right\rangle}{F^{2}(X-Y)}
$$

It is straightforward to check $\min \left\{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{b^{2}}, 1\right\} \leq d_{0} \leq 1$, where $\lambda_{1}$ is the smallest eigenvalue of $\operatorname{Hess}\left(F^{2}\right)$. By the isoperimetric inequality, the co-area formula and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N \kappa_{0}^{\frac{1}{N}} \mu(t)^{1-\frac{1}{N}} & \leq P_{F}(\{v>t\})=-\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega_{t}} F(\nabla v) d x=\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{F(\nabla v)}{|\nabla v|} d S \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{F^{2}(\nabla v)}{|\nabla v|} d S\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{1}{|\nabla v|} d S\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{d_{0}} \int_{\Omega_{t}} e^{u} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(-\mu^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
-\mu^{\prime}(t) \geq \frac{d_{0} N^{2} \kappa_{N}^{\frac{2}{N}} \mu(t)^{2-\frac{2}{N}}}{\int_{\Omega_{t}} e^{u} d x}
$$

and hence

$$
-\frac{d t}{d \mu} \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega_{t}} e^{u} d x}{d_{0} N^{2} \kappa_{N}^{\frac{2}{N}} \mu(t)^{2-\frac{2}{N}}} \leq C \frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{u} d x}{\mu(t)^{2-\frac{2}{N}}} .
$$

Integrating the above inequality over $(\mu,|\Omega|)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
t(\mu) & \leq C\left\|e^{u}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \int_{\mu}^{|\Omega|} \frac{1}{s^{2-\frac{2}{N}}} d s \\
& \leq C\left\|e^{u}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}\left(\frac{1}{\mu^{1-\frac{2}{N}}}-\frac{1}{|\Omega|^{1-\frac{2}{N}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the co-area formula again, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} v d x & =\int_{0}^{\infty} t \cdot\left(-\mu^{\prime}(t)\right) d t=\int_{0}^{|\Omega|} t(\mu) d \mu \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{|\Omega|} C\left\|e^{u}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}\left(\frac{1}{\mu^{1-\frac{2}{N}}}-\frac{1}{|\Omega|^{1-\frac{2}{N}}}\right) d \mu \\
& \leq C|\Omega|^{\frac{2}{N}}\left\|e^{u}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have $\|v\|_{L^{1}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)} \leq C \varepsilon$ and $\left\|e^{u}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)} \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$, by the elliptic estimate, we have $\|v\|_{W^{2,2}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)} \leq$ $C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then it follows from the Sobolev embedding theorem, we get $\|v\|_{L^{\frac{2 N}{N-4}}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)} \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$, by interpolation inequality between $L^{q}$ space, we have

$$
\|v\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)}=\|v\|_{L^{1}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)}^{\frac{4}{N+4}}\|v\|_{L^{\frac{2 N}{N-4}}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)}^{\frac{4}{N+4}} \leq C \varepsilon^{\alpha},
$$

where $\alpha=\frac{N+8}{2 N+8}>\frac{1}{2}$, then by interpolation inequality between Sobolev space, we get

$$
\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)} \leq C\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left\|\nabla^{2} v\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)}+\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{4}\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\|v\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)}\right) \leq C \varepsilon^{\beta}
$$

where $\beta>\frac{1}{2}$ depends only on $N$, it follows from the above inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{1}(0)} v e^{u} d x & =\int_{B_{1}(0)}-v \widetilde{Q} v d x \leq d_{0} \int_{B_{1}(0)} F^{2}(\nabla v) d x \\
& \leq d_{0} b^{2} \int_{B_{1}(0)}|\nabla v|^{2} d x \leq C \varepsilon^{2 \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

We decompose the estimate of $r^{2-N} \int_{B_{r}(0)} e^{u} d x$ into two parts: $\left\{v \leq \varepsilon^{\gamma}\right\}$ and $\left\{v>\varepsilon^{\gamma}\right\}$, where $\gamma=\frac{1}{2}(2 \beta-1)>$ 0 . Since $Q w=0$, we have

$$
Q\left(e^{w}\right)=e^{w} F^{2}(\nabla w) \geq 0
$$

under the assumption of (1.6), we have the mean-value inequality, see 22],

$$
e^{w(y)} \leq \frac{1}{\kappa_{0} r^{N}} \int_{B_{r}(y)} e^{w(x)} d x
$$

for all $B_{r}(y) \subset B_{1}(0)$. For $r \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, for any $x \in B_{r}(0)$ we have $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \subset B_{1}(0)$, hence,

$$
r^{-N} \int_{B_{r}(0)} e^{w} d x \leq 2^{N} \int_{B_{1}(0)} e^{w} d x \leq 2^{N} \int_{B_{1}(0)} e^{u} d x
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{2-N} \int_{B_{r}(0) \cap\left\{v \leq \varepsilon^{\gamma}\right\}} e^{u} d x & \leq r^{2-N} \int_{B_{r}(0) \cap\left\{v \leq \varepsilon^{\gamma}\right\}} e^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} e^{w} d x \\
& \leq r^{2} e^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{-N} \int_{B_{r}(0)} e^{w} d x \\
& \leq 2^{N} r^{2} e^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} \int_{B_{1}(0)} e^{u} d x \leq C r^{2} \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

For the second part,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{2-N} \int_{B_{r}(0) \cap\left\{v>\varepsilon^{\gamma}\right\}} e^{u} d x & \leq r^{2-N} \int_{B_{r}(0) \cap\left\{v \leq \varepsilon^{\gamma}\right\}} \frac{v}{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} e^{u} d x \\
& \leq \varepsilon^{-\gamma} r^{2-N} \int_{B_{r}(0)} v e^{u} d x \\
& \leq C r^{2-N} \varepsilon^{2 \beta-\gamma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
r^{2-N} \int_{B_{r}(0)} e^{u} d x \leq C r^{2} \varepsilon+C r^{2-N} \varepsilon^{2 \beta-\gamma}
$$

note that $2 \beta-\gamma>1$, we can choose $r$ small enough, then $\varepsilon_{0}$ small enough, such that for any $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$, holds

$$
C r^{2} \varepsilon+C r^{2-N} \varepsilon^{2 \beta-\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon
$$

we obtain the conclusion.
It follows from the above decay estimate Lemma 3.1 and the priori estimate in Morrey space, we have the following $\varepsilon$-regularity theorem.

Lemma 3.2. Under the assumption of (1.6), suppose $u$ is a stable weak solution of (1.1), if there exist $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\int_{B_{1}(0)} e^{u} d x \leq \varepsilon
$$

where $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$, then

$$
\sup _{B_{\frac{1}{4}}(0)} u<\infty
$$

Proof. We choose $\varepsilon_{0}$ small, such that for any $y \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)$, holds

$$
2^{N-2} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(y)} e^{u} \leq \varepsilon,
$$

thus we can use Lemma 3.1. by a standard induction, we get $\exists \delta>0$ and $r<\frac{1}{2}$ such that $\forall y \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)$, holds

$$
\int_{B_{r}(y)} e^{u} d x \leq C r^{N-2+\delta}
$$

this implies $e^{u} \in M^{\frac{N}{2-\delta}}$. Take the decomposition $u=v+w$, where

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-Q w=0 & \text { in } \quad B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)  \tag{3.4}\\
w=u & \text { on } \quad \partial B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0),
\end{align*}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\widetilde{Q} v:=-(Q u-Q w) & =e^{u} \quad \text { in } \quad B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)  \tag{3.5}\\
v & =0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0),
\end{align*}\right.
$$

from the elliptic estimate, we can get $w$ is bounded in $B_{\frac{1}{4}}(0)$. Next, to estimate $v$, we also use the level set method. Denote $\Omega=B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)$, set $\Omega_{t}=\{x \in \Omega \mid v>t\}$ and $\mu(t)=\left|\Omega_{t}\right|$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega_{t}} e^{u} d x=\int_{\Omega_{t}}-Q v d x=\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} F(\nabla v) F_{\xi}(\nabla v) \frac{\nabla v}{|\nabla v|} d S=\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{F^{2}(\nabla v)}{|\nabla v|} d S
$$

by the isoperimetric inequality, the co-area formula and Holder's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N \kappa_{0}^{1 / N} \mu(t)^{1-1 / N} & \leq P_{F}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)=-\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega_{t}} F(\nabla v) d x \\
& =\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{F(\nabla v)}{|\nabla v|} d S \leq\left(\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{F^{2}(\nabla v)}{|\nabla v|} d S\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}} \frac{1}{|\nabla v|} d S\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left(\int_{\Omega_{t}} e^{u} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\left(-\mu^{\prime}(t)\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
-\mu^{\prime}(t) \geq \frac{N^{2} \kappa_{0}^{2 / N} \mu_{t}^{2-2 / N}}{\int_{\Omega_{t}} e^{u} d x}
$$

Hence

$$
-\frac{d t}{d \mu} \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega_{t}} e^{u} d x}{N^{2} \kappa_{0}^{2 / N} \mu(t)^{2-2 / N}} \leq C \frac{\mu^{1-\frac{1}{\frac{N}{2-\delta}}}\left\|e^{u}\right\|_{M^{\frac{N}{2-\delta}}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)} \leq C \frac{\left\|e^{u}\right\|_{M^{\frac{N}{2-\delta}}(\Omega)}}{\mu^{2-2 / N}} . . ~ \mu^{1-\frac{\delta}{N}}}{}
$$

Integrating the above inequality over $(\mu,|\Omega|)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
t(\mu) & \leq \int_{\mu}^{|\Omega|} C \frac{\left\|e^{u}\right\|_{M^{\frac{N}{2-\delta}}(\Omega)}^{s^{1-\frac{\delta}{N}}} d s}{} \\
& \leq C\left\|e^{u}\right\|_{M^{\frac{N}{2-\delta}}(\Omega)}\left(|\Omega|^{\frac{\delta}{N}}-\mu^{\frac{\delta}{N}}\right)<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality implies that $\|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}<\infty$. Thus we get $u$ is bounded in $B_{\frac{1}{4}}(0)$.
Now, we prove our main partial regularity result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The equation (1.1) is invariant under the rescaling

$$
u^{r}(x)=u(r x)+2 \log r
$$

from (2.1), we know that $\forall p \in(1,5), \exists C>0$ such that

$$
\int_{B_{r}(x)} e^{p u} \leq C r^{N-2 p}
$$

Hence, if

$$
r^{2 p-N} \int_{B_{r}(x)} e^{p u} \leq \varepsilon
$$

by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\int_{B_{1}(x)} e^{u^{r}(y)} d y \leq \varepsilon
$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 3.2, we have $u^{r}(y)$ is bounded in $B_{\frac{1}{4}}(x)$, this implies that $u$ is bounded in $B_{\frac{r}{4}}(x)$. Thus, for any $x \in S$ and $r>0$, we have

$$
r^{2 p-N} \int_{B_{r}(x)} e^{p u}>\varepsilon
$$

From the Besicovitch covering Lemma, see [26], we have

$$
H^{N-2 p}(S)=0
$$

Since $p$ is arbitrary in $(1,5)$, we complete the proof.

## 4. The Liouville Theorems

In this section, we are mainly devoted to the proof of Liouville theorem for stable solutions and finite Morse index solutions.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By contradiction, suppose $u$ is the stable solution of equation (1.1). Proposition 2.2 tell us that we can fix an integer $m \geq 10$, and choose $\alpha \in(0,4)$ such that $N-2(\alpha+1)<0$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ consider the function $\phi_{R}(x)=\phi\left(\frac{F^{0}(x)}{R}\right)$, where $\phi \in C_{c}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ everywhere on $\mathbb{R}$ and

For every $R>0$, we have

$$
\int_{B_{R}(0)} e^{(\alpha+1) u} d x \leq \widetilde{C} R^{N-2(\alpha+1)}
$$

where $\widetilde{C}$ is a positive constant independent on $R$. Letting $R \rightarrow+\infty$, we obtain $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{(\alpha+1) u} d x=0$, a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Similarly, we can also fix $m$ and choose $\alpha$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{p+2 \alpha-1} d x=0
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{-p-2 \alpha-1} d x=0
$$

we obtain the contradiction.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. When $N=2$, without loss of generality, take $x_{0}=0$. We observe that there exists $R=R(\lambda)>1$ such that

$$
e^{u(x)} \leq \frac{1}{4 F^{0}(x)^{2} \ln ^{2}\left(F^{0}(x)\right)}
$$

for $F^{0}(x)>R$. It is straightforward to see that $v(x)=\ln ^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(F^{0}(x)\right)$ solves quasi-linear equation

$$
-Q v=\frac{1}{4 F^{0}(x)^{2} \ln ^{2}\left(F^{0}(x)\right)} v
$$

For any $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{R}\right)$, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{R}}\left|F_{\xi}(\nabla v) \cdot \nabla \phi\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{4 F^{0}(x)^{2} \ln ^{2}\left(F^{0}(x)\right)} \phi^{2} d x \geq 0
$$

From the properties of $F$, once can see that $F_{\xi}(\nabla v)=-F_{\xi}(\nabla u)$. So, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{R}}\left|F_{\xi}(\nabla u) \cdot \nabla \phi\right|^{2}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{2} F(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{i} \xi_{j}}(\nabla u) \phi_{x_{i}} \phi_{x_{j}}-e^{u} \phi^{2} d x \geq 0
$$

where we used the definition of stable solution.
We now prove nonexistence of stable outside a compact set solutions of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ when $3 \leq N \leq 9$. By contradiction, we assume $u$ is a solution of (1.1) which is stable outside a compact set of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. In order to get the contradiction, we will split it into four steps.

Step 1. There exists $R_{0}=R_{0}(u)>0$ such that
(a) for any $\alpha \in(0,4)$ and $r>R_{0}+3$ there exist positive constant $A$ and $B$ depending on $\alpha, N$ and $R_{0}$ but not r, holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{r} \backslash B_{R_{0}+2}} e^{(\alpha+1) u} d x \leq A+B r^{N-2(\alpha+1)} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) For any $B_{2 R}(y) \subset\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: F^{0}(x)>R_{0}\right\}$ and $\alpha \in(0,4)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{2 R}(y)} e^{(\alpha+1) u} d x \leq C R^{N-2(\alpha+1)} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant depending on $\alpha, N$ and $R_{0}$ but not on $R$ and $y$.
Since $u$ is stable outside a compact set of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, there exist $R_{0}>0$ such that proposition holds true with $\Omega:=\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \overline{B_{R_{0}}(0)}$, we fix $m=10$, and for every $r>R_{0}+3$, we consider the following test function $\xi_{r} \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$

$$
\xi_{r}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\theta_{R_{0}}\left(F^{0}(x)\right), & \text { if } \quad x \in B_{R_{0}+3} \\
\phi\left(\frac{F^{0}(x)}{r}\right), & \text { if } \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R_{0}+3},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\phi$ is defined in the Proof of Theorem 1.2 and for $s>0, \theta_{s}$ satisfying $\theta_{s} \in C_{c}^{1}(\mathbb{R}), 0 \leq \theta_{s} \leq 1$ everywhere on $\mathbb{R}$ and

$$
\theta_{s}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0, & \text { if } & |t| \leq s+1 \\
1, & \text { if } & |t| \geq s+2
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows from Proposition 2.2 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{r} \backslash B_{R_{0}+2}} e^{(\alpha+1) u} d x & \leq \int_{\Omega} e^{(\alpha+1) u} d x \\
& \leq C \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla \xi_{r}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla \xi_{r}\right|^{4}\right)^{\alpha+1} d x \\
& \leq C_{1}\left(\alpha, N, \theta_{R_{0}}\right)+C_{2}(\alpha, N, \phi) r^{N-2(\alpha+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence the inequality (4.1) holds.
The integral estimate (4.2) is obtained in the same way by using the test functions $\psi_{R, y}(x)=\phi\left(\frac{F^{0}(x-y)}{R}\right)$ in Proposition 2.2.

Step 2. There exist $\eta>0$ and $R_{1}=R_{1}(N, \eta, u)>R_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R_{1}}} e^{\frac{N}{2} u} d x \leq \eta^{\frac{N}{2}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha_{1}:=\frac{N-2}{2} \in(0,4)$, for $r>R_{0}+3$, by (4.1) we have

$$
\int_{B_{r} \backslash B_{R_{0}+2}} e^{\frac{N}{2} u} d x \leq \int_{B_{r} \backslash B_{R_{0}+2}} e^{\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right) u} d x \leq A+B r^{N-2\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right)}
$$

let $r \rightarrow \infty$, then we obtain the result.
Step 3. The following asymptotic limit holds,

$$
\lim _{F^{0}(x) \rightarrow \infty} F^{0}(x)^{2} e^{u(x)}=0
$$

Set $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{10}$, we observe that $\frac{N}{2-\varepsilon} \in(1,5)$. Since $3 \leq N \leq 9$, there exist $\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{2}(N) \in(0,4)$ such that $\alpha_{2}+1=\frac{N}{2-\varepsilon}$. Next we fix $\eta>0$ and observe that $w=e^{u}$ satisfies

$$
-Q w-e^{u} w \leq 0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{2 R}(y)
$$

Applying Harnack's inequality, see 31], for positive solutions of the quasi-linear equation

$$
-Q w=e^{u} w
$$

we have, for any $t>1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|w\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{R}(y)\right)} \leq C R^{-\frac{N}{t}}\|w\|_{L^{t}\left(B_{2 R}(y)\right)} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant depending on $N$ and $R^{\varepsilon}\left\|e^{u}\right\|_{L^{\frac{N}{2-\varepsilon}}\left(B_{2 R}(y)\right)}$. In order to apply the above result, we consider point $y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that $F^{0}(y)>10 R_{1}$ and set $R=\frac{F^{0}(y)}{4}, t=\frac{N}{2}>1$, hence $R_{1}>R_{0}$ is defined by step 2 . this choose yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{2 R}(y) \subset\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: F^{0}(x)>R_{0}\right\}, \\
\int_{\left\{F^{0}(x)>R_{1}\right\}} e^{\frac{N}{2} u} d x<\eta^{\frac{N}{2}},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
R^{\varepsilon}\left\|e^{u}\right\|_{L^{\frac{N}{2-\varepsilon}}\left(B_{2 R}(y)\right)}=R^{\varepsilon}\left(\int_{B_{2 R}(y)} e^{\left(\alpha_{2}+1\right) u} d x\right)^{\frac{2-\varepsilon}{N}} \leq R^{\varepsilon}\left[C R^{N-2\left(\alpha_{2}+1\right)}\right]^{\frac{2-\varepsilon}{N}} \leq C_{1}
$$

Step 4. In this step, we complete the proof. Let $v(r)=\frac{1}{N \kappa_{0} r^{N-1}} \int_{\partial B_{r}} u d S$, then

$$
v^{\prime}(r)=\frac{1}{N \kappa_{0} r^{N-1}} \int_{\partial B_{r}}\left\langle\nabla u, \frac{x}{r}\right\rangle d S,
$$

by the assumption of (1.6), we have $\langle\nabla u, x\rangle=F(\nabla u)\left\langle F_{\xi}(\nabla u), F_{\xi}^{0}(x)\right\rangle F^{0}(x)$ and $F^{0}(x)=r, \nu=F_{\xi}^{0}(x)$ on $\partial B_{r}$, integration by parts

$$
v^{\prime}(r)=\frac{1}{N \kappa_{0} r^{N-1}} \int_{\partial B_{r}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} F(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{i}}(\nabla u) \nu_{i} d S=\frac{1}{N \kappa_{0} r^{N-1}} \int_{B_{r}} Q u d x
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
-v^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{1}{N \kappa_{0} r^{N-1}} \int_{B_{r}}-Q u d x=\frac{1}{N \kappa_{0} r^{N-1}} \int_{B_{r}} e^{u} d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{N \kappa_{0} r^{N-1}}\left(\int_{B_{r}} e^{(\alpha+1) u} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}\left(\int_{B_{r}} d x\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \leq \frac{C}{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
r^{2} e^{v(r)} \geq C r
$$

By Jensen's inequality, we have

$$
\max _{\partial B_{r}}\left(F^{0}(x)^{2} e^{u(x)}\right)=r^{2} \max _{\partial B_{r}} e^{u(x)} \geq \frac{r^{2}}{N \kappa_{0} r^{N-1}} \int_{\partial B_{r}} e^{u} d S \geq r^{2} e^{v(r)} \geq C r
$$

this is a contradiction.
Here, we classify stable outside a compact set of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ if $f(u)=u^{p}$ with $p=\frac{N+2}{N-2}$. When $F(\xi)=|\xi|$, for the Laplacian operator, such classification is established by Farina in [17]. For the quasilinear setting, Ciraolo-Figalli-Roncoroni in [7] studied (1.2) for $f(u)=u^{p}$ with the critical exponent.

Theorem 4.1. If $f(u)=u^{p}$ with the critical exponent $p=\frac{N+2}{N-2}$, then $u$ is a stable outside a compact set solution of (1.2) in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ if and only if

$$
u(x)=\left(\frac{\lambda \sqrt{N(N-2)}}{\lambda^{2}+F^{0}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}
$$

for some $\lambda>0$ and $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
Proof. It is discussed in [7] that any positive weak solutions of equation (1.2) are radial and the form is

$$
u_{\lambda}(x)=\left(\frac{\lambda \sqrt{N(N-2)}}{\lambda^{2}+F^{0}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}
$$

for some $\lambda>0$ and $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Next, we will claim that $u_{\lambda}(x)$ is stable outside a compact set. Assume $x_{0}=0$, we observe that $p\left|u_{\lambda}(x)\right|^{p-1}=O\left(\left(F^{0}(x)\right)^{-4}\right)$ as $F^{0}(x) \rightarrow \infty$, therefore, we can find $R_{0}>0$ such that for any $F^{0}(x)>R_{0}$ we have

$$
p\left|u_{\lambda}(x)\right|^{p-1} \leq \frac{(N-2)^{2}}{4}\left(F^{0}(x)\right)^{-2}
$$

From Theorem A, we have $F_{\xi}\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}\right)=\frac{x}{F^{0}(x)}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} F_{\xi_{i}}\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}\right) F_{\xi_{j}}\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}\right) \phi_{x_{i}} \phi_{x_{j}}+F\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}\right) F_{\xi_{i} \xi_{j}}\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}\right) \phi_{x_{i}} \phi_{x_{j}}-p u_{\lambda}^{p-1} \phi^{2} d x \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} F_{\xi_{i}}\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}\right) F_{\xi_{j}}\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}\right) \phi_{x_{i}} \phi_{x_{j}}-p u_{\lambda}^{p-1} \phi^{2} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{x}{F^{0}(x)} \cdot \nabla \phi\right|^{2}-p u_{\lambda}^{p-1} \phi^{2} d x \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{x}{F^{0}(x)} \cdot \nabla \phi\right|^{2}-\frac{(N-2)^{2}}{4} \frac{\phi^{2}}{F^{0}(x)^{2}} d x \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

the last inequality follows by Proposition 2.1 with $s=2$. The desired result is proved.

## 5. Monotonicity Formulas

Here we state the following monotonicity formulas for the equation (1.2) with $f(u)$ is exponential-type and power-type nonlinearities. For the isotropic case, the following result (i) is given in [3], and (ii) and (iii) are given by [29] and 25], respectively.

Theorem 5.1. Let $u \in H_{l o c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a weak solution of (1.2), for $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\lambda>0$, under the assumption of (1.6).
(i) If $f(u)=e^{u}$ and assume that $e^{u} \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(u, x_{0}, \lambda\right):=\lambda^{2-n} \int_{B_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{2} F(\nabla u)^{2}-e^{u} d x+2 \lambda^{1-n} \int_{\partial B_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)}(u+2 \log \lambda) d S \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $E\left(u, x_{0}, \lambda\right)$ is a nondecreasing function of $\lambda$.
(ii) If $f(u)=u^{p}$, and $u \in L_{l o c}^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}\left(u, x_{0}, \lambda\right):=\lambda^{\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}-n} \int_{B_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{2} F(\nabla u)^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1} u^{p+1} d x+\frac{1}{p-1} \lambda^{\frac{p+3}{p-1}-n} \int_{\partial B_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)} u^{2}(x) d S, \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $E_{1}\left(u, x_{0}, \lambda\right)$ is a nondecreasing function of $\lambda$.
(iii) If $f(u)=-u^{-p}$, and $u^{1-p} \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}\left(u, x_{0}, \lambda\right):=\lambda^{\frac{2 p-2}{p+1}-n} \int_{B_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{2} F(\nabla u)^{2}+\frac{1}{1-p} u^{1-p} d x-\frac{1}{p+1} \lambda^{\frac{p-3}{p+1}-n} \int_{\partial B_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)} u^{2}(x) d S, \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $E_{2}\left(u, x_{0}, \lambda\right)$ is a nondecreasing function of $\lambda$.
Proof. (i) For $f(u)=e^{u}$, define

$$
E(\lambda):=\lambda^{2-n} \int_{B_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{2} F(\nabla u)^{2}-e^{u} d x .
$$

Set $u^{\lambda}(x)=u(\lambda x)+2 \log \lambda$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(\lambda) & =\lambda^{2-n} \int_{B_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{2} F(\nabla u)^{2}-e^{u} d x \\
& =\lambda^{2-n} \int_{B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left[\frac{1}{2} F\left(\nabla u^{\lambda}\right)^{2}-e^{u^{\lambda}}\right] \lambda^{n-2} d y \\
& =\int_{B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{2} F\left(\nabla u^{\lambda}\right)^{2}-e^{u^{\lambda}} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Theorem A and (1.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d \lambda} E(\lambda) & =\int_{B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} F\left(\nabla u^{\lambda}\right) F_{\xi_{i}}\left(\nabla u^{\lambda}\right) \frac{d}{d \lambda} \frac{\partial u^{\lambda}}{\partial x_{i}}-e^{u^{\lambda}} \frac{d u^{\lambda}}{d \lambda} d y \\
& =\int_{\partial B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} F\left(\nabla u^{\lambda}\right) F_{\xi_{i}}\left(\nabla u^{\lambda}\right) \frac{d u^{\lambda}}{d \lambda} \nu_{i} d S \\
& =\int_{\partial B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} F\left(\nabla u^{\lambda}\right)\left\langle F_{\xi}\left(\nabla u^{\lambda}\right), F_{\xi}^{0}(y)\right\rangle \frac{d u^{\lambda}}{d \lambda} d S \\
& =\int_{\partial B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left\langle\nabla u^{\lambda}, y\right\rangle \frac{d u^{\lambda}}{d \lambda} d S \\
& =\int_{\partial B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\lambda \frac{d u^{\lambda}}{d \lambda}-2\right) \frac{d u^{\lambda}}{d \lambda} d S \\
& =\int_{\partial B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} \lambda\left(\frac{d u^{\lambda}}{d \lambda}\right)^{2}-2 \frac{d u^{\lambda}}{d \lambda} d S .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define

$$
E\left(u, x_{0}, \lambda\right):=\lambda^{2-n} \int_{B_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{2} F(\nabla u)^{2}-e^{u} d x+2 \lambda^{1-n} \int_{\partial B_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)}(u+2 \log \lambda) d S,
$$

therefore, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d \lambda} E\left(u, x_{0}, \lambda\right) \geq 0
$$

(ii) If $f(u)=u^{p}$, then

$$
E_{1}(\lambda):=\lambda^{\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}-N} \int_{B_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{2} F^{2}(\nabla u)-\frac{1}{p+1} u^{p+1} d x .
$$

Set $u_{\lambda}(x)=\lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(\lambda x)$, we know that

$$
E_{1}(\lambda)=\int_{B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{2} F^{2}\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}(y)\right)-\frac{1}{p+1} u_{\lambda}(y)^{p+1} d y .
$$

Hence, it follows from Theorem A and (1.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d \lambda} E_{1}(\lambda) & =\int_{B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} F\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}(y)\right) F_{\xi_{i}}\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}(y)\right) \frac{d}{d \lambda} \frac{\partial u_{\lambda}(y)}{y_{i}}-u_{\lambda}(y)^{p} \frac{d u_{\lambda}(y)}{d \lambda} d y \\
& =\int_{\partial B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} F\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}(y)\right) F_{\xi_{i}}\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}(y)\right) \frac{d u_{\lambda}(y)}{d \lambda} \nu_{i} d S \\
& =\int_{\partial B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left\langle\nabla u_{\lambda}(y), y\right\rangle \frac{d u_{\lambda}(y)}{d \lambda} d S \\
& =\int_{\partial B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left[\lambda \frac{d u_{\lambda}(y)}{d \lambda}-\frac{2}{p-1} u_{\lambda}(y)\right] \frac{d u_{\lambda}(y)}{d \lambda} d S \\
& =\int_{\partial B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} \lambda\left(\frac{d u_{\lambda}(y)}{d \lambda}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{p-1} \frac{d u_{\lambda}^{2}(y)}{d \lambda} d S
\end{aligned}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1}\left(u, x_{0}, \lambda\right): & =\int_{B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{2} F^{2}\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}(y)\right)-\frac{1}{p+1} u_{\lambda}(y)^{p+1} d y+\int_{\partial B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{p-1} u_{\lambda}^{2}(y) d S \\
& =\lambda^{\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}-N} \int_{B_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{2} F^{2}(\nabla u)-\frac{1}{p+1} u^{p+1} d x+\frac{1}{p-1} \lambda^{\frac{p+3}{p-1}-N} \int_{\partial B_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)} u^{2}(x) d S .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d \lambda} E_{1}\left(u, x_{0}, \lambda\right) \geq 0
$$

(iii) Let $f(u)=-u^{-p}$, then

$$
E_{2}(\lambda):=\lambda^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p+1}-N} \int_{B_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{2} F^{2}(\nabla u)+\frac{1}{1-p} u^{1-p} d x
$$

Set $u_{\lambda}(x)=\lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(\lambda x)$, we know that

$$
E_{2}(\lambda)=\int_{B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{2} F^{2}\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}(y)\right)+\frac{1}{1-p} u_{\lambda}(y)^{1-p} d y
$$

Hence, it follows from Theorem A and (1.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d \lambda} E_{2}(\lambda) & =\int_{B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} F\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}(y)\right) F_{\xi_{i}}\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}(y)\right) \frac{d}{d \lambda} \frac{\partial u_{\lambda}(y)}{y_{i}}+u_{\lambda}(y)^{-p} \frac{d u_{\lambda}(y)}{d \lambda} d y \\
& =\int_{\partial B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} F\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}(y)\right) F_{\xi_{i}}\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}(y)\right) \frac{d u_{\lambda}(y)}{d \lambda} \nu_{i} d S \\
& =\int_{\partial B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left\langle\nabla u_{\lambda}(y), y\right\rangle \frac{d u_{\lambda}(y)}{d \lambda} d S \\
& =\int_{\partial B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left[\lambda \frac{d u_{\lambda}(y)}{d \lambda}+\frac{2}{p+1} u_{\lambda}(y)\right] \frac{d u_{\lambda}(y)}{d \lambda} d S \\
& =\int_{\partial B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} \lambda\left(\frac{d u_{\lambda}(y)}{d \lambda}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{p+1} \frac{d u_{\lambda}^{2}(y)}{d \lambda} d S .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{2}\left(u, x_{0}, \lambda\right): & =\int_{B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{2} F^{2}\left(\nabla u_{\lambda}(y)\right)+\frac{1}{1-p} u_{\lambda}(y)^{1-p} d y-\int_{\partial B_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{p+1} u_{\lambda}^{2}(y) d S \\
& =\lambda^{\frac{2 p-2}{p+1}-N} \int_{B_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{2} F^{2}(\nabla u)+\frac{1}{1-p} u^{1-p} d x-\frac{1}{p+1} \lambda^{1-N-\frac{4}{p+1}} \int_{\partial B_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)} u^{2}(x) d S .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d \lambda} E_{2}\left(u, x_{0}, \lambda\right) \geq 0
$$

This completes the proof.
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