Hydrodynamic phenomena can be observed with light thanks to the analogy between quantum gases and nonlinear optics. In this Letter, we report an experimental study of the superfluid-like properties of light in a (1+1)-dimensional nonlinear optical mesh lattice, where the arrival time of optical pulses plays the role of a synthetic spatial dimension. A spatially narrow defect at rest is used to excite sound waves in the fluid of light and measure the sound speed. The critical velocity for superfluidity is probed by looking at the threshold in the deposited energy by a moving defect, above which the apparent superfluid behaviour breaks down. Our observations establish optical mesh lattices as a promising platform for fluids of light.

The last decades have witnessed an impressive development of new conceptual links between the apparently disconnected fields of nonlinear optics and many-body physics of quantum gases [1]. The formal analogy between the paraxial light propagation in nonlinear media and the Gross-Pitaevskii equation of dilute Bose-Einstein condensates was first noticed in the 1970s and immediately suggested the transfer of concepts such as superfluidity and quantized vortices to optical systems [2–6]. This connection was further revived with the experimental observation of Bose-Einstein condensation in exciton-polariton gases in semiconductor microcavities [7], which triggered a strong interest from both the theoretical and experimental sides to address basic features of condensates such as superfluidity, hydrodynamics and topological excitations [8–10] in the new context of quantum fluids of light. Recently, a growing effort has been devoted to systems displaying strong inter-particle interactions [1, 11, 12], in the presence of a synthetic magnetic field for light [13] and/or in cavity-less propagating geometries [14–17].

In this work, we introduce a new platform for studying fluids of light by using classical light in a so-called optical mesh lattice. The idea is to encode one (or even more [18, 19]) discrete synthetic spatial dimensions in the arrival time of optical pulses that propagate along coupled optical fiber loops [20–22]. This allows for the application of arbitrary dynamical potentials to the fluid of light and for the measurement of its evolution in real time with key advantages over traditional systems. In contrast to semiconductor microcavities [1], our system provides great flexibility in the design of different lattice geometries with no fabrication effort and naturally offers site-resolved access to the temporal dynamics of the fluid without the need for sophisticated ultrafast optics tools. In contrast to bulk nonlinear systems [15–17], the nonlinearity stems from the power dependent propagation constant of optical fibers and is thus fully controllable with standard opto-electronic tools. Although the potential of

such optical mesh lattices for studies of linear and nonlinear optics has been demonstrated in many works on,
pulses, as captured by a power-dependent phase-shift for the propagating light induced losses. The significant Kerr nonlinearities lead to doped fiber amplifiers are used to compensate for round-trip depending on which loop is traversed. The round trips for each pulse and \( m, n \) keeps increasing to count each successive round trip, while the integer \( n \) increases or decreases by one at each round trip depending on which loop is traversed. The integer \( m \) is therefore interpreted as a discrete time-step, and \( n \) as a discrete position index along a “synthetic spatial dimension”, leading to an effective \((1 + 1)D\) lattice as depicted in Fig. 1(b).

To explore nonlinear phenomena, dispersion compensating fiber (DCF) spools form part of the long and the short loops. These DCFs have a narrow core size and thus a high effective nonlinear coefficient [30] of approximately \( 7/km/W \), leading to significant nonlinear effects in our experiment [31]. We use DCF spools with a length of approximately 4 km and employ peak powers on the order of 100 mW. To sustain such high power, erbium-doped fiber amplifiers are used to compensate for round-trip losses. The significant Kerr nonlinearities lead to a power-dependent phase-shift for the propagating light pulses, as captured by [23, 32, 33] and \( \phi^m_n \) are externally controlled through phase modulators inserted in each loop, and can be used, for example, to imprint defects or trapping potentials on the fluid of light.

As the equations are periodic in both position \( n \) and time-step \( m \), they can be solved in the linear regime (\( \Gamma = 0 \)) using a Floquet-Bloch ansatz [1, 22]. This gives two bands, which are \( 2\pi \) periodic in both the propagation constant or “quasi-energy” \( \theta \) and the “Bloch momentum” \( Q \) along the 1D lattice, with a dispersion relation \( \cos \theta = \cos Q/\sqrt{2} \) as shown in Fig. 1.

**Sound excitations** – The linear dynamics of small excitations, \( \delta u^m_n \) and \( \delta v^m_n \), on top of a strong field, \( u^0_n \) and \( v^0_n \), can be described within the Bogoliubov theory of dilute Bose-Einstein condensates [35, 36]. For simplicity, we consider the case where the fluid of light is spatially uniform with the same amplitude \( \sqrt{I_0} \) in both loops and is initially at rest in the \( m, n \) coordinate system. This corresponds to a condensate of density \( I_0 \) in the lower band-eigenstate at \( Q = 0 \) marked by a circle in Fig. 1(c). Analytical manipulations lead to the Bogoliubov dispersion relation [1, 37]

\[
\cos \theta = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma I_0 \cos k + \frac{1}{2} \cos k \\
\pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(\Gamma^2 I_0^2 + 2\Gamma I_0 + 1) \cos^2 k + 2 \sin^2 k - 4 \Gamma I_0},
\]

where \( \theta \) and \( k \) are respectively the quasi-energy and the Bloch momentum (both of period \( 2\pi \)) of the small perturbation. This dispersion has two positive and two negative branches as shown in Fig. 1(d) for the linear (\( \Gamma I_0 = 0 \)) and (e) a weakly nonlinear (\( \Gamma I_0 = 0.2 \)) case.

At small momenta, this Bogoliubov dispersion can be expanded as \( \theta(k) = \tilde{\theta}(\kappa) + O(|\kappa|^3) \), indicating that the long wavelength excitations are phonon-like, with a speed of sound,

\[
\tilde{v}_S = \sqrt{\Gamma I_0/(1 - \Gamma I_0)},
\]

that grows for increasing nonlinearity faster than the usual square-root dependence of a simple Gross-Pitaevskii superfluid [35]. Note that the positive sign of the nonlinear refractive index in the considered DCFs forced us to work with a negative-mass photonic band [i.e. the top of the lower band in Fig. 1(c)] to avoid dynamical modulational instabilities. An upper bound to the sound speed is imposed by further dynamical instabilities of different nature that are found for \( \Gamma I_0 > 0.5 \) [1].

**Probing the speed of sound** – As a first step, we investigate the propagation of spatially narrow excitation pulses on top of a wide field. We initially prepare the optical field at rest with a wide Gaussian profile corresponding to an equal amplitude in each loop at \( m = 0 \): \( u^0_n = v^0_n = \sqrt{I_0} e^{-n^2/\sigma_g^2} \), where \( \sigma_g \approx 8 \). Changing the input pulse power between experimental runs allows us to
plotted are grows from around zero with increasing nonlinearity. Also represented the differential intensity, \( \Delta I \), between the perturbed and unperturbed experiments. The parameters of the defect and of the fluid of light at the initial time are stated in the main text. (b) The estimated speed of sound and of the fluid of light at the initial time are stated in the Supplemental Material \([38]\). Lines are included as a guide to the eye.

study the effect of nonlinearity in detail. Note that according to the notation introduced in Eq.\((1)\) only every second lattice site is occupied as the effective lattice has diamond-like connectivity [see Fig 1(b)].

To perturb this wide fluid of light, we rapidly turn on and off a strong and spatially localized defect potential \( \varphi_d \), imprinted via the time-dependent phase shifts in \( (1) \) as

\[
\varphi_n^m = \phi_n^m = \Phi_d \equiv \varphi_d e^{-\sigma_d^m (n-n_d)^2/\sigma_m^2} e^{-\sigma^m (m-m_d)^2/\sigma_m^2}
\]

where \( \varphi_d \) is the defect amplitude, \( \sigma_n \) \( (\sigma_m) \) is related to the defect width with respect to position (time) and \( n_d \) \( (m_d) \) marks the position (time) of the defect. We choose \( \varphi_d = \pi/10 \) to ensure a sufficient contrast between the perturbed and unperturbed signal, and \( \sigma_n = 1 \) and \( \sigma_m = 2 \) so that the defect is short enough to non-adiabatically excite low-momentum perturbations. The defect peak amplitude is at \( m_d = 20 \), and the propagation is observed over a long time until \( m_{\text{max}} = 110 \). The propagation dynamics of the emitted waves is measured by the differential intensity \( \Delta I = I_{\text{pert.}} - I_{\text{unpert.}} \), where \( I_{\text{pert.}} = |\phi_n^m|^2 + |\varphi_n^m|^2 \) in the perturbed experiment, and similarly for \( I_{\text{unpert.}} \) in the unperturbed case. This is plotted in Fig. \((2a)\) for two different values of the effective nonlinearity: \( \Gamma_{\text{eff}} \propto \Gamma I_0 \), where \( I_0 \) is the initial light intensity which we can control. As can be seen, the defect emits a train of excitations which spread out over time \([39]\). We expect the slowest strong emission to be that associated with sound waves, and so we fit \( \Delta I(n) \) at each time-step to extract the position of the minima \([38]\). The corresponding average speed, \( v_M \), of the minima at late times is plotted in Fig. \((2b)\).

In order to extract the value of the speed of sound, we need to take into account the fact that the underlying fluid of light is also itself expanding during the experiment, dragging all excitations along with it. Hence, we numerically estimate a local expansion speed by applying a discretized continuity equation to the unperturbed evolution \([38]\). The corresponding average expansion speed, \( v_E \), at the position of the minima at late times is plotted in Fig. \((2b)\). By comparing these speeds, we finally obtain the estimate for the speed of sound \( v_S = v_M - v_E \) plotted in Fig. \((2b)\). Due to experimental uncertainties, we did not fit our data to the analytical sound speed (Eq. \((3)\)), but our results clearly show the expected qualitative trend as we measure \( v_S \) as being close to zero at low power, and then rising with increasing nonlinearity.

Friction on moving defect – A key advantage of the nonlinear optical mesh lattice is that we can probe in the same set-up the response to defects which are moving at arbitrary speeds along arbitrary trajectories. One definition of superfluidity \([40]\) is the existence of a non-zero critical velocity below which a weak, uniformly moving defect will not excite permanent waves in the fluid. This critical velocity can be predicted by means of the so-called Landau criterion, and for a Gross-Pitaevskii superfluid corresponds to the speed of sound \([35]\).

The situation is slightly more subtle in a lattice geometry, for which the Landau criterion predicts a vanishing critical velocity, as the \( k \)-periodicity of the Bogoliubov dispersion allows any moving defect, to emit excitations at larger momentum. In this sense, a lattice system can never truly be a superfluid, but in practice the excitation efficiency for such “Umklapp waves” is negligibly small \([1]\). On this basis, we restrict our attention to low transferred-momentum processes with \(-\pi \leq \Delta k < \pi\), for which the Landau criterion predicts a minimum defect speed below which the fluid of light should not be excited substantially; this we term “superfluid-like” behaviour. Note also that, within this restricted range of excitation processes, there is often also a maximum defect speed, above which the defect is moving too fast to excite the sonic branch of Bogoliubov waves but too slow to excite the other branches, again leading to apparent low dissipation. At even higher speeds, dissipation increases again as the defect moves fast enough to excite other branches.

In analogy with previous experiments with polariton fluids in semiconductor microcavities \([8-10]\), a natural strategy to experimentally address superfluidity in our platform would then be to look at the perturbation that is induced in the density profile by a uniformly moving defect. We also performed experiments along these lines, but had to fight serious experimental obstacles resulting from the finite size of our photon fluid. Its resulting rapid expansion together with the corresponding drop of its
density made it difficult to extract conclusive information from the observations (see Supplemental Material [38]).

To overcome this difficulty, a conceptually different scheme was adopted, so as to exploit the peculiarities of our platform. Instead of looking at the instantaneous density perturbation, our observable is the total energy that is deposited by the moving defect into the fluid during the whole excitation sequence. Related calorimetric schemes were used to detect superfluidity in atomic gases [41–43] but were never implemented in fluids of light, mostly because of the intrinsic dissipation of microcavity systems.

More specifically, we adopted a configuration in which the optical field is excited with a defect over many time-steps and the fluid is kept in place by a confining potential. For technical reasons, the trap and defect are only applied in the short loop, i.e. $\phi^m_n = 0$. The phase-shift of the short loop is the sum $\varphi^m_n = \Phi_d + \Phi_t$ of the defect contribution $\Phi_d$ given in Eq. S7 and the trap one, $\Phi_t = \varphi_t \left(1 - e^{-{(n-n_t)^2}/\sigma_t^2}\right)$. Values $\varphi_t = \pi/10$, $\sigma_t = 8$ and $n_t = 14$ of, respectively, the height, offset and half-width of the trap are chosen in order to minimize residual motion of the trapped beam, which is initialized with the Gaussian profile detailed above. The defect is kept in the central trapped region and is moved in space along the sinusoidal trajectory defined by $n_d(m) = 4 \sin(sm)$, and has $\sigma_n = 1$ and $\varphi_d = -\pi/10$. Rather than slowly switching on and off, the defect is applied with a constant amplitude from $m = 0$ until $m = 200$ so to excite the fluid of light in a more significant way.

Excitation of the optical field by the defect is investigated looking at the spatio-temporal intensity distribution shown in Fig. 3. For all velocities, light has to adapt to the constantly changing defect potential and some light ejection can be clearly observed as light bursts propagating away from the central trapped region. But, most importantly for our superfluidity purposes, for low values of the peak defect velocity ($s = 0.01$ and $s = 0.05$) the field quickly returns to an almost quiet state once the defect has disappeared. Only a faster moving defect can efficiently transfer energy to the fluid and thus permanently change its state. This heating effect is visible for $s = 0.1$ as persistent oscillations and is a clear evidence that the defect speed was large enough for superfluidity to break down.

To make this analysis more quantitative and highlight the crucial role of superfluidity over other emission processes due to the non-inertial motion of the defect [44], we estimated the deposited energy by measuring the average potential energy at late times:

$$\langle E_{pot}\rangle = \frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{m=0}^{m_{max}} \sum_{n} \sum_{j} |u_n^m|^2 \Phi_t \sum_{n} |u_n^m|^2. \tag{5}$$

where $j$ corresponds to the number of time-steps after the defect is switched off, here $j = 100$. This quantity is plotted in Fig. 3(b) for experiments in the two cases of the linear and the nonlinear regime. In the linear case, we observe that the potential energy steadily increases with the defect speed. In the nonlinear regime, we see that the potential energy starts at a higher level, caused by the repelling nonlinear interaction that pushes the field up the walls of the trap and remains approximately constant for low defect speeds. A sudden threshold is visible for speeds around $s = 0.07$, after which the potential energy begins to significantly increase. This behaviour can be reproduced numerically [38], and confirms the presence of an effective threshold speed, above which superfluidity breaks down and friction becomes important.

Conclusions – In this Letter we have reported an experimental study of superfluid light in a one-dimensional optical mesh lattice where the arrival time of pulses plays the role of a synthetic spatial dimension. The unique spatio-temporal access to the field dynamics offered by our experimental set-up was instrumental to perform the first direct measurement of the speed of sound in a fluid of light. The conservative dynamics of our fluid of light then allowed for a quantitative measurement of the friction force felt by a moving defect and of the consequent heating effect, providing unambiguous signature of superfluidity. Taking advantage of the flexibility of the set-up in designing different geometries, on-going work is extending the investigation to fluids of light in lattices with non-trivial geometrical [45] and topological properties [28, 29] in two [19] or even higher $d > 3$ dimensions [13, 46]. In the longer run, upgraded experimental set-ups where losses are mastered and amplifiers are no longer needed may open exciting new avenues to the use of optical mesh lattices as quantum simulators of complex many-body phenomena [47, 48].
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[38] The small differential intensity that is visible also before the defect is applied is due to small deviations in the preparation of the initial state.
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EXPERIMENTAL DATA ANALYSIS FOR ESTIMATING THE SPEED OF SOUND

In this section, we detail the experimental data analysis procedures used to estimate the speed of sound displayed in Figure 2(b) of the main text. In the experiment, we rapidly turn on and off a strong stationary defect in the optical mesh lattice and observe the propagation of emitted intensity waves. The excitations are distinguished from the background optical field through the differential intensity $\Delta I = I_{\text{pert.}} - I_{\text{unpert.}}$, where $I_{\text{pert.}}$ ($I_{\text{unpert.}}$) corresponds to the local intensity for the perturbed (unperturbed) evolution, as shown in Figure 2(a) of the main text. Turning on and off the defect will non-adiabatically excite the Bogoliubov dispersion [see Fig. 1 in the main text] predominately around $k = 0$ and $\theta = 0$. Within this region, the low-momenta sound waves are predicted to have the lowest group velocity, and so we associate the innermost minima in excitation evolution [see Fig. 2(a) in the main text] to them. In so doing, we assume that states which have an even lower group velocity (e.g. in the second branch or at high momentum) are very off-resonant, and do not play a significant role in the excitation propagation observed here. This will be investigated in depth in an upcoming theoretical work [S1].

Identifying the innermost minima as sound waves, we then wish to extract their positions as a function of time, in order to calculate the speed of propagation. To achieve this, we fit the data at each time-step with a function:

$$f_1(n) = (a_1 + b_1(n - c_1)) e^{-(n-c_1)^2/d_1^2} + (a_1 - b_1(n + c_1)) e^{-(n+c_1)^2/d_1^2}$$

(S1)

where $a_1$, $b_1$, $c_1$, $d_1$ are all fitting parameters. This function is chosen as it consistently reproduces the positions of the largest features of the perturbation pattern, namely the two inner minima and two outer maxima, although without necessarily capturing the overall amplitude of these peaks. Example results from this fitting procedure are shown in Fig. S1(a). The fitting works best for high effective nonlinearities and for late times, when the minima and maxima are most clearly developed. Note that we have symmetrized the experimental data with respect to $n = 0$, to facilitate the fitting procedure. Experimentally, asymmetries can arise from small imbalances in the gain and loss between the two loops or from errors in the initial state preparation, leading to an underlying overall drift of the optical field.

After fitting the data, we numerically extract the positions of the inner-most minima, as shown in Fig. S1(b). As can be seen, the position increases over time corresponding to the excitation propagating outwards from the center. There are also additional small oscillations in the position, the periodicity of which coincides with the periodicity of the optical mesh lattice. These may therefore be an artefact of fitting to alternating discrete sets of points at alternating time-steps [see Fig.1(b) in the main text]. In any case, we average over these by fitting the minima positions over the

![Figure S1](image-url)

FIG. S1. (a) Examples of cuts of the differential intensity at the final time-step $m = 110$ for different effective initial nonlinearities. Blue line represents the experimental data and black line is the fitting function (S1). (b) The extracted position of the innermost minimum over time from the fits in panel (a) for a range of different nonlinearities. (c) The estimated speed of the minimum as a function of the effective initial intensity as calculated from panel (b) by applying a linear fitting function (S2) over the last 30 time-steps. Errorbars show the standard error in the fit coefficient $a_2$. 
where \( a_2 \) and \( b_2 \) are fitting parameters. We can then associate the value of \( a_2 \) with the speed, \( v_M \), as is plotted in Fig. S1(c) and in Fig. 2(b) in the main text. Errorbars shown indicate the standard error in the fit coefficient \( a_2 \). We have also checked that other methods of extracting the positions of the minima, i.e. by using other fitting functions, are in reasonable agreement with the above approach.

As discussed in the main text, we also need to take into account the underlying expansion of the light field over the propagation time, as this will drag the perturbations outwards and increase their measured speed. To estimate this, we apply a version of the local 1D continuity equation with respect to a given position \( A \):

\[
\frac{dI_A}{dt} = -v_E \frac{I(A)}{2} + \beta I_A
\]

where \( v_E \) is the drag speed, \( \beta \) is an overall amplification factor and \( I_A = \int_A^A I(n)dn \) is the integrated local intensity in the absence of the defect. The region of integration is chosen either as \(-\infty \leq n \leq A \) when \( A > 0 \) or \( A \leq n \leq \infty \) when \( A < 0 \), to ensure that the integral always covers at least half of the light field to minimize the effects of noise. Physically, Eq. (S3) equates the rate of change of the intensity within the chosen region to the amount of light flowing in/out and the net gain within that region. Note the factor of 1/2 in the first term on the RHS takes into account that the lattice spacing is 2 in the optical mesh lattice (see Fig. 1(b) in the main text), and hence the local density of light is given by \( I(A)/2 \). In the optical mesh lattice, time is discretized into time-steps and this continuity equation can be approximated as:

\[
I_A(m) - I_A(m-\Delta m) \over \Delta m = -v_E \frac{I(A)}{2} + \beta I_A(m)
\]

where we explicitly include the dependence on the time-step. To determine the overall amplification \( \beta \), we apply this equation to the summed intensity over the entire system:

\[
I_\infty(m) - I_\infty(m-\Delta m) \over \Delta m = \beta I_\infty(m).
\]

In the experiments we used Erbium-doped amplifiers together with acousto-optical modulators to compensate for losses, thus realizing a conservative situation. Still the values of \( \beta \) are found to be non-zero and slightly positive, corresponding to small net gain in each roundtrip. The values of \( \beta \) are used to calculate \( v_E \) from (S4); this numerically-extracted drag speed is shown in Fig. S2(a), for time-step \( m = 90 \) with \( \Delta m = 4 \) [colors indicate effective nonlinearity as indicated in the legend of panel (b)]. Note that we have again symmetrized the underlying experimental data about \( n = 0 \), to be consistent in the data analysis.

![FIG. S2. (a) Example of estimated drag speed using Eq. (S4) for a range of effective initial nonlinearities as indexed by the legend of panel (b). The data is shown for \( m = 90 \) with \( \Delta m = 4 \) [colors indicate effective nonlinearity as indicated in the legend of panel (b)]. (b) The corresponding estimated drag speed at the position of the minimum extracted from Fig. S1(b), as a function of the time-step for different effective initial nonlinearities. (c) Time-average of the drag speed shown in panel (b) over the last 30 time-steps of evolution. Points are connected by lines as a guide to the eye. Errorbars show the standard deviation in \( v_E \) over the last 30 time-steps.](image-url)
In terms of validity, this method for estimating \( v_E \) is expected to break down when the intensity is too low, as it will then be more sensitive to experimental noise and discretization errors. This is observed numerically by going to larger positions \( n \), and is likely responsible for the sharp variations at the lowest nonlinearity shown in Fig. S2(a). We note that this method also assumes that the amplification \( \beta \) is uniform within a single round trip, a condition which is well realized by Erbium-doped amplifiers due to their slow response time.

To estimate the effect of the expansion on the motion of the perturbation, we track the drag speed at the position of the innermost minima from the perturbed evolution, i.e. we set \( A \) equal to the extracted position of the minimum from Fig. S1(b). Note that the latter is obtained as the minimum of a fitting function and so can take continuous values, while the former is constrained to take only certain discrete values corresponding to the available lattice sites of the optical mesh lattice [see Fig. 1(b) in the main text], and so this is an approximation. We also point out that the drag speed is calculated from the unperturbed evolution in order to provide a measure of the underlying expansion; in so doing, we assume that the excitations are not strong enough to significantly alter the effective drag speed.

The resulting drag speed at the position of the innermost minimum is plotted in Fig. S2(b). Note that as the drag speed is symmetric about \( n = 0 \), either the positive or negative minimum position can be taken. As can be seen, the behaviour of the drag speed appears to be reasonably similar for high nonlinearities as the drag speed does not vary significantly over the respective positions of the minima [see Fig. S2(a)]. There are also large oscillations in the drag speed for all nonlinearity strengths, which occur approximately every twenty time-steps and which likely correspond to small oscillations that we observe in the intensity of the unperturbed evolution. As they are not associated with the excitations of interest, we average over the oscillations during the last 30 time-steps to obtain an estimate for the average expansion speed over the period in which the speed of the minima is extracted. This averaged speed is plotted in Fig. S2(b) and in Fig. 2(b) in the main text. Errorbars show the standard deviation in \( v_E \) over the last 30 time-steps.

Finally, the speed of sound is calculated as \( v_S = v_M - v_E \) as shown in Fig. 2(b) in the main text. Errorbars in \( v_S \) are found by propagating the estimated errors in \( v_M \) and \( v_E \) as shown in Fig. S1(c) and Fig. S2(c) respectively.

As a last comment, we also note that we have plotted Fig. S1(c), Fig. S2(c) and Fig. 2(b) in the main text as a function of the initial effective nonlinearity, as defined from the initial peak intensity of the light field. In practice, the intensity and hence the effective nonlinearity can change over time as the light field expands (although this effect is reduced by the presence of gain which keeps the local intensity relatively constant). Alternative ways to plot the data are therefore as a function of the light field intensity at the position of the applied defect or at the position of the minimum, e.g. at the final time-step. We have checked that replots of the data in these ways may make quantitative differences, but does not affect the main qualitative feature of interest, i.e. that the apparent speed of sound increases from around zero with increasing nonlinearity.

**DEFECT MOVING UNIFORMLY ACROSS AN OPTICAL BEAM**

As a first attempt to investigate the superfluid-like behaviour of light in the nonlinear optical mesh lattice, we move a defect uniformly across the optical light field at different speeds. In Fig. S3, the panels in the top (bottom) row show the resulting perturbed intensity, \( I_{\text{pert.}} \), for a linear (nonlinear) experiment. The defect profile \( \varphi_d \), is imprinted via the phase shift in the short loop as

\[
\varphi_n = \phi_n = \Phi_d \equiv \varphi_d e^{-(n-n_d)^2/\sigma_n^2} e^{-(m-m_d)^2/\sigma_m^2}
\]

with \( n_d = s(m - m_d) \) and \( s \) being the constant speed. In the experiment, we take \( \varphi_d = -\pi/10 \), \( \sigma_n = 1 \), \( m_d = 35 \) and \( \sigma_m = 10 \) to ensure that the defect does not leave the central region of the light field, which is again initialised with the Gaussian profile described in the main text. As can be seen, this defect profile influences the light field in both regimes even at low speeds as the field adapts to the time-dependent potential and non-adiabatic excitation of the Bogoliubov branches may take place.

In the linear regime, the strength of the excitation does not significantly vary with defect speed, until it falls off at high speeds because of the upper critical velocity effect [S1]. We note that at high speeds, the defect quickly leaves the central high intensity region making it difficult to directly compare results. In the nonlinear regime, we observe an enhancement of excitations at intermediate speeds (around \( s = 0.3 \)), with a drop-off at lower and higher speeds. While this qualitative behaviour is in keeping with there effectively being a speed range over which the defect most efficiently excites the optical field, this set of data are not clear enough to perform a quantitative analysis of the critical velocities. As it is discussed in the main text, quantitative information on the critical velocity can be extracted by implementing a different, sinusoidal, motion of the defect.
In this section, we numerically simulate a defect moving sinusoidally in a Gaussian trap to show that we recover the qualitative features of the observed experimental behaviour shown in Fig. 4 in the main text. This is important to further confirm that the observed excitation is dominated by breakdown of superfluidity at high speeds, rather than to non-inertial effects due to the sinusoidal (instead of linear) motion of the defect.

The defect profile \( \varphi_d \), is imprinted via the phase shift in the short loop as

\[
\varphi_n = \Phi_d \equiv \varphi_d e^{-\frac{(n-n_d)^2}{\sigma^2}}
\]

where we choose \( n_m = 4 \sin(sm) \), with \( n_d = 1 \) and \( \varphi_d = -\pi/10 \). The defect is applied with this constant amplitude from \( m = 0 \) until \( m = 200 \). The Gaussian trap is also imposed through the phase-shift of the short loop: \( \varphi_n^m = \Phi_d + \Phi_t \)

where \( \Phi_t = \varphi_t \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(n-n_i)^2}{\sigma^2}}\right) \), with \( \varphi_t \), \( n_i \) and \( \sigma \) being, respectively, the height, offset and width of the trap.

Here, we take \( \varphi_t = \pi/10 \), \( \sigma = 8 \) and \( n_i = 0 \). The optical field is initially prepared, as in the experiment, with a Gaussian profile corresponding to an equal amplitude in each loop at \( m = 0 \): \( u_n^0 = \sqrt{I_0}\mod(n,2)e^{-n^2/\sigma^2} \), where we take \( \sigma_g = 8 \) as the Gaussian width. Numerically, we take \( \Gamma = 0.5 \) and then change \( I_0 \) in order to change the effective nonlinearity \( \Gamma I_0 \). We note that despite choosing experimentally-realistic parameters as much as possible, the following results are not intended to be quantitatively compared to experiments, as is not known what the absolute light intensity is experimentally nor what the appropriate gain or loss per round-trip is for each level of nonlinearity (which here, for simplicity, is set to zero).

The intensity in the short loop over the propagation time is shown in Fig. S4(a) for two different values of the nonlinearity. As can be seen, there is some residual excitation of the optical field even when \( s = 0 \), corresponding to a stationary defect, due to the non-adiabatic switching on and off of the defect potential. When the defect is moved, it can excite the optical field leading to the emission of light outside of the trap. As stated in the main text, we try to quantify this emission by estimating the average potential energy after the defect has been switched off:

\[
\langle E_{\text{pot}} \rangle = \frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{m=m_{\max}-j}^{m_{\max}} \frac{\sum_{n} |u_n^m|^2 \Phi_t}{\sum_{n} |u_n^m|^2},
\]

where \( j \) corresponds to the number of time-steps after the defect is switched off; here \( j = 100 \). This is plotted in Fig. S4 for a range of different nonlinearities. We have also plotted for comparison the numerical potential energy

FIG. S3. The perturbed intensity, \( I_{\text{pert.}} \), as a moving defect is switched on and off with various defect speeds. The initial light field is a Gaussian beam prepared in the linear (Top Row) and nonlinear (Bottom Row) regime for defect speed: (a) \( s = 0.1 \), (b) \( s = 0.3 \) and (c) \( s = 0.7 \). The initial peak light intensity in the nonlinear regime is approximately 14 times higher than in the linear regime. Within each panel, we plot the full intensity (left) and a zoom-in around the defect (right). Black solid lines represent the defect; these lines are drawn on top of the data to indicate the FWHM of the Gaussian defect profile with respect to both time-step and position. Parameters of the defect and initial light field are as stated in the text. In the linear regime, we observe strong excitation of the optical field for low defect speeds, which decreases for large defect speeds. In the nonlinear case, we observe that the excitation amplitude appears to increase at intermediate defect speeds around \( s = 0.3 \), before again falling off at larger defect speeds.
which allows for an arbitrary phase shift, the acousto-optical modulators (AOM) are used to modulate the amplitudes of the pulses in both loops.

Figure 3.2.

Sketch of the optical implementation of the Galton board based on two coupled fibre loops of different lengths di:

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

At the beginning of each measurement, a pulse ($\lambda_{\text{signal}} = 1555\text{nm}$) is shaped by the signal generator unit. To this end the signal of a DFB laser diode is cut into pulses of 50 ns width by a Mach-Zehnder Modulator (MZM). Two subsequent erbium-doped fibre amplifiers (EDFA) increase the peak power of the pulses. In the experiment, power
levels of up to 110mW are reached. Afterwards another MZM suppresses the background between two pulses for increasing the contrast between the coherent pulses and the noisy background. An acousto-optical modulator (AOM) is needed as a gate for blocking further pulses during the actual measurement. For cleaning the spectrum of the generated pulses, a tunable bandpass filter is placed after the AOM. Finally, for manually varying the height of the pulses, a variable optical attenuator (VOA) is used. The AOM can also be driven in an analogue mode allowing for an automatized sweep of the initial power and for a fine-tuning of the initial peak intensity. Due to integrated polarizers in the MZM, a polarization controller is placed in front of each, and additionally a third one is placed directly before the 50/50 input coupler to control the initial polarization of the pulses. After insertion into the longer loop, the pulse splits up at the central 50/50 coupler into two smaller pulses. In each loop, the pulses pass first a wavelength division multiplexing (WDM) coupler, which adds a pilot signal operating at a shorter wavelength $\lambda_{\text{pilot}} = 1536\text{nm}$. The pilot signal ensures a stable, transient-free operation of the erbium-doped fibre amplifiers (EDFA). Afterwards, the pilot signal is removed again by tunable bandpass filters. Then, the pulses propagate through 4km of dispersion compensating fibre (DCF). An additional single mode fibre (SMF) spool is needed to induce a length difference of only $\delta L = 50\text{m}$. During each roundtrip, 10% of the intensity is removed directly after the fibre spools by monitor couplers for pulse detection. For controlling the polarization state within each loop, a polarising beam splitter (PBS) is inserted into the left loop, while the phase modulators (PM) in each loop have an integrated polarizer. Mechanical polarization controllers, marked by three black circles, allow for an adjustment of the polarization at various places within the fibre loop. Besides the PMs in each loop, which allow for an arbitrary phase shift, the acousto-optical modulators (AOM) are used to modulate the amplitudes of the pulses in both loops.