Abstract

This paper gives some constructions results and examples of BiHom-Poisson algebras. Next, BiHom-flexible algebras are defined and it is shown that admissible BiHom-Poisson algebras are BiHom-flexible. Furthermore, generalized derivations of BiHom-Poisson algebras are introduced and some their basic properties are given. Finally, BiHom-Poisson modules and several constructions of these notions are obtained.
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1 Introduction

A Poisson algebra \((P, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu)\) consists of a commutative associative algebra \((A, \mu)\) together with a Lie structure \(\{\cdot, \cdot\}\), satisfying the Leibniz identity:

\[
\{\mu(x, y), z\} = \mu(\{x, z\}, y) + \mu(x, \{y, z\})
\]

These algebras firstly appeared in the work of Siméon-Denis Poisson two centuries ago when he was studying the three-body problem in celestial mechanics. Since then, Poisson algebras have shown to be connected to many areas of mathematics and physics. Indeed, in mathematics, Poisson algebras play a fundamental role in Poisson geometry \cite{34}, quantum groups \cite{8,11} and deformation of commutative associative algebras \cite{13} whereas in physics, Poisson algebras represent a major part of deformation quantization \cite{17}, Hamiltonian mechanics \cite{3} and topological field theories \cite{33}. Poisson-like structures are also used in the study of vertex operator algebras \cite{12}.

Algebras where the identities defining the structure are twisted by a homomorphism are called Hom-algebras. Hom-type algebras appeared in the Physics literature of the 1990’s, when looking for quantum deformations of some algebras of vector fields, like Witt and Virasoro algebras \cite{2,24}. It was observed that algebras obtained by deforming certain Lie algebras no longer satisfied the Jacobi identity, but a modified version of it involving a homomorphism. An axiomatization of this type of algebras (called Hom-Lie algebras) was given in \cite{21,25}.
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The associative counterpart of Hom-Lie algebras (called Hom-associative algebras) has been introduced in [31], where it was proved also that the commutator bracket defined by the multiplication in a Hom-associative algebra gives rise to a Hom-Lie algebra.

A BiHom-algebra is an algebra in such a way that the identities defining the structure are twisted by two homomorphisms \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). This class of algebras was introduced from a categorical approach in [18] as an extension of the class of Hom-algebras. These algebraic structures include BiHom-associative algebras, BiHom-Jordan algebras, BiHom-alternative algebras and BiHom-Lie algebras. BiHom-Poisson algebras was first introduced in [29] and studied in [1] where in particular, the concept of module of BiHom-Poisson algebra is introduced and admissible BiHom-Poisson algebras, and only these BiHom-algebras, are shown to give rise to BiHom-Poisson algebras via polarization. More applications of BiHom-type can be found in [19, 20, 9, 10, 26, 28, 30].

The purpose of this paper is further to study BiHom-Poisson algebras. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some important basic notions and notations related to BiHom-algebras, BiHom-Poisson algebras and modules over BiHom-associative algebras. Section 3 presents some constructions results of BiHom-Poisson algebras, BiHom-flexible structures and admissible BiHom- Poisson algebras. In section 4 we give some basic properties concerning derivation algebras, quasiderivation algebras and generalized derivation algebras of Bihom-Poisson algebras. In section 5, we introduce and give some properties of BiHom-Poisson modules. Next, we prove that from a given BiHom-Poisson modules, a sequence of this kind of modules can be constructed and we then define the semi-direct product of BiHom-Poisson algebras. Finally, we define the first and second cohomology spaces of BiHom-Poisson algebras.

Throughout this paper \( \mathbb{K} \) is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and \( A \) is a \( \mathbb{K} \)-vector space.

## 2 Preliminaries

This section contains necessary important basic notions and notations which will be used in next sections. For the map \( \mu : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \), we will sometimes \( \mu(a \otimes b) \) as \( \mu(a,b) \) or \( ab \) for \( a,b \in A \) and if \( V \) is another vector space, \( \tau_1 : A \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes A \) (resp. \( \tau_2 : V \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes V \)) denote the twist isomorphism \( \tau_1(a \otimes v) = v \otimes a \) (resp. \( \tau_2(v \otimes a) = a \otimes v \)).

**Definition 2.1.** A BiHom-module is a pair \( (M, \alpha_M, \beta_M) \) consisting of a \( \mathbb{K} \)-module \( M \) and a linear self-maps \( \alpha_M, \beta_M : M \rightarrow M \) such that \( \alpha_M \beta_M = \beta_M \alpha_M \). A morphism \( f : (M, \alpha_M, \beta_M) \rightarrow (N, \alpha_N, \beta_N) \) of BiHom-modules is a linear map \( f : M \rightarrow N \) such that \( f \alpha_M = \alpha_N f \) and \( f \beta_M = \beta_N f \).

**Definition 2.2.** [13] A BiHom-algebra is a quadruple \( (A, \mu, \alpha, \beta) \) in which \( (A, \alpha, \beta) \) is a BiHom-module, \( \mu : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \) is a linear map. The BiHom-algebra \( (A, \mu, \alpha, \beta) \) is said to be multiplicative if \( \alpha \circ \mu = \mu \circ \alpha \otimes \alpha \) and \( \beta \circ \mu = \mu \circ \beta \otimes \beta \) (multiplicativity).

**Definition 2.3.** 1. A BiHom-algebra \( (A, \mu, \alpha, \beta) \) is said to be BiHom-commutative if
\[
\mu(\beta(x), \alpha(y)) = \mu(\beta(y), \alpha(x)), \quad \forall x, y \in A
\]
2. A BiHom-associative algebra \([15]\) is a multiplicative Bihom-algebra \((A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)\) satisfying the following BiHom-associativity condition:

\[
as_A(x, y, z) := \mu(\mu(x, y), \beta(z)) - \mu(\alpha(x), \mu(y, z)) = 0, \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in A. \tag{2.1}\n\]

3. A BiHom-Lie algebra \([15]\) is a multiplicative Bihom-algebra \((A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \alpha, \beta)\) satisfying the BiHom-skew-symmetry and the BiHom-Jacobi identities i.e.

\[
\{\beta(x), \alpha(y)\} = -\{\beta(y), \alpha(x)\}
\]

\[
\bigcirc_{x,w,z} \{\beta^2(x), \{\beta(y), \alpha(z)\}\} = 0 \tag{2.2}\n\]

where \(\bigcirc_{x,y,z}\) denotes the summation over the cyclic permutation on \(x, y, z\).

Clearly, a Hom-associative algebra \((A, \mu, \alpha)\) can be regarded as a BiHom-associative algebra \((A, \mu, \alpha, \alpha)\).

**Definition 2.4.** \([29]\) A BiHom-Poisson algebra consists of a vector space \(A\), two bilinear maps \(\mu, \{\cdot, \cdot\} : A \otimes_2 \rightarrow A\), linear maps \(\alpha, \beta : A \rightarrow A\) such that

1. \((A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)\) is a BiHom-commutative BiHom-associative algebra,
2. \((A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \alpha, \beta)\) is a BiHom-Lie algebra,
3. the BiHom-Leibniz identity

\[
\{\alpha \beta(x), \mu(y, z)\} = \mu(\{\beta(x), y\}, \beta(z)) + \mu(\beta(y), \{\alpha(x), z\}) \tag{2.3}\n\]

is satisfied for all \(x, y, z \in A\).

In a BiHom-Poisson algebra \((A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)\), the operations \(\mu\) and \(\{\cdot, \cdot\}\) are called the BiHom-associative product and the BiHom-Poisson bracket, respectively.

**Remark 2.5.** A non-BiHom-commutative BiHom-Poisson algebra is a BiHom-Poisson algebra without the BiHom-commutativity assumption \([1]\). These Bihom-algebras are called BiHom-Poisson algebras \([29]\).

**Definition 2.6.** Let \((A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)\) a BiHom-Poisson algebra. A subspace \(H\) of \(A\) is called

1. A BiHom-subalgebra of \(A\) if

\[
\alpha(H) \subseteq H, \quad \beta(H) \subseteq H, \quad \mu(H, H) \subseteq H \quad \text{and} \quad \{H, H\} \subseteq H.
\]

2. A left-BiHom ideal of \(A\) if

\[
\alpha(H) \subseteq H, \quad \beta(H) \subseteq H, \quad \mu(A, H) \subseteq H \quad \text{and} \quad \{A, H\} \subseteq H.
\]

3. A right-BiHom ideal of \(A\) if

\[
\alpha(H) \subseteq H, \quad \beta(H) \subseteq H, \quad \mu(H, A) \subseteq H \quad \text{and} \quad \{H, A\} \subseteq H.
\]

4. A two sided BiHom-ideal if \(H\) is both a left and a right BiHom-ideal of \(A\).
Note that, if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are bijective, then the notion of left-BiHom ideals is equivalent to the one of right-BiHom ideals.

**Definition 2.7.** Let $(A,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\mu,\alpha,\beta)$ be a BiHom-Poisson algebra. If $Z(A) = \{x \in A | \{x,y\} = \mu(x,y) = 0, \ \forall y \in A\}$, then $Z(A)$ is called the centralizer of $A$.

**Definition 2.8.** Let $(A,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\mu,\alpha,\beta)$ a BiHom-Poisson algebra.

1. $A$ is multiplicative if

   \[ \alpha\{\cdot,\cdot\} = (\cdot,\cdot)\alpha^\otimes 2, \ \beta\{\cdot,\cdot\} = (\cdot,\cdot)\beta^\otimes 2 \ \text{and} \ \alpha\mu = \mu\alpha^\otimes 2, \ \beta\mu = \mu\beta^\otimes 2. \]

2. $(A,\mu,\alpha,\beta)$ is said to be regular if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are algebra automorphisms.

3. $(A,\mu,\alpha,\beta)$ is said to be involutive if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are two involutions, that is $\alpha^2 = \beta^2 = id$.

4. Let $(A',\{\cdot,\cdot\}',\mu',\alpha',\beta')$ be another BiHom-Poisson algebra. A weak morphism $f: A \to A'$ is a linear map such that

   \[ f\{\cdot,\cdot\} = \{\cdot,\cdot\}'f^\otimes 2 \ \text{and} \ f\mu = \mu'f^\otimes 2. \]

   An morphism $f: A \to A'$ is a weak morphism such that $f\alpha = \alpha'f$ and $f\beta = \beta'f$.

Note that a 5-tuple $(A,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\mu,\alpha,\beta)$ is multiplicative if and only if the twisting map $\alpha,\beta: A \to A$ are morphisms.

Denote by $\Gamma_f = \{x + f(x); \ x \in A\} \subset A \oplus A'$ for the graph of a linear map $f: A \to A'$.

**Definition 2.9.** Let $(A,\mu,\alpha,\beta)$ be any BiHom-algebra.

1. A BiHom-module $(V,\phi,\psi)$ is called an $A$-bimodule if it comes equipped with a left and a right structures maps on $V$ that is morphisms $\rho_l: (A \otimes V,\alpha \otimes \phi,\beta \otimes \psi) \to (V,\phi,\psi)$, $a \otimes v \mapsto a.v$ and $\rho_r: (V \otimes A,\phi \otimes \alpha,\psi \otimes \beta) \to (V,\phi,\psi)$, $v \otimes a \mapsto v.a$ of Bihom-modules.

2. A morphism $f: (V,\phi,\psi,\rho_l,\rho_r) \to (W,\phi',\psi',\rho'_l,\rho'_r)$ of $A$-bimodules is a morphism of the underlying BiHom-modules such that

   \[ f \circ \rho_l = \rho'_l \circ (Id_A \otimes f) \ \text{and} \ f \circ \rho_r = \rho'_r \circ (f \otimes Id_A). \]

   That yields the commutative diagrams

   \[
   \begin{array}{ccc}
   A \otimes V & \xrightarrow{\rho_l} & V \\
   \downarrow{Id_A \otimes f} & & \downarrow{f} \\
   A \otimes W & \xrightarrow{\rho'_l} & W
   \end{array}
   \quad
   \begin{array}{ccc}
   V \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\rho_r} & V \\
   \downarrow{f \otimes Id_A} & & \downarrow{f} \\
   W \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\rho'_r} & W
   \end{array}
   \]

   Now, let consider the following notions for BiHom-associative algebras.

**Definition 2.10.** Let $(A,\mu,\alpha,\beta)$ be a BiHom-associative algebra, $(L,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\alpha,\beta)$ be a Hom-Lie algebra and $(V,\phi,\psi)$ be a BiHom-module. Then
1. A left BiHom-associative $A$-module structure on $V$ consists of a morphism $\rho_l : A \otimes V \rightarrow V$ of BiHom-modules, such that

$$\rho_l \circ (\alpha \otimes \rho_l) = \rho_l \circ (\mu \otimes \psi).$$

(2.4)

In terms of diagram, we have

$$\begin{array}{c}
A \otimes A \otimes V \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes \rho_l} A \otimes V \\
\mu \otimes \psi \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \rho_l \\
A \otimes V \xrightarrow{\rho_l} V
\end{array}$$

2. A right BiHom-associative $A$-module structure on $V$ consists of a morphism $\rho_r : V \otimes A \rightarrow V$ of BiHom-modules, such that

$$\rho_r \circ (\phi \otimes \mu) = \rho_r \circ (\rho_r \otimes \beta).$$

(2.5)

In terms of diagram, we have

$$\begin{array}{c}
V \otimes A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\rho_r \otimes \beta} V \otimes A \\
\phi \otimes \mu \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \rho_r \\
V \otimes A \xrightarrow{\rho_r} V
\end{array}$$

3. A left BiHom-Lie $L$-module structure on $V$ consists of a structure map $\rho : L \otimes V \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\rho(\{\beta(x), y\}, \psi(v)) = \rho(\alpha \beta(x), \rho(y, v)) - \rho(\beta(y), \rho(\alpha(x), v))$$

(2.6)

3 BiHom-Poisson algebras

3.1 Constructions of BiHom-Poisson algebras

In this subsection, we provide some constructions results of BiHom-Poisson algebras.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $\langle A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta \rangle$ be a BiHom-Poisson algebra and $I$ be a two-sided BiHom-ideal of $\langle A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta \rangle$. Then $\langle A/I, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta \rangle$ is a BiHom-Poisson algebra where $[\overline{x}, \overline{y}] = \overline{\{x, y\}}$, $\overline{\mu(x, y)} = \mu(x, y)$, $\overline{\alpha(x)} = \alpha(x)$ and $\overline{\beta(x)} = \beta(x)$, for all $\overline{x}, \overline{y} \in A/I$.

**Proof.** We only prove item 1. of definition item 2. and item 3. are being proved similarly.

For all $\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z} \in A/I$ we have

\[ as_{A/I}(\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}) = \overline{\mu(\overline{\mu}(\overline{x}, \overline{y}), \overline{\beta}(\overline{z}))} - \overline{\mu(\overline{\alpha}(\overline{x}), \overline{\beta}(\overline{z}))} \]

\[ = \overline{\mu(\mu(x, y), \beta(z))} - \overline{\mu(\alpha(x), \mu(y, z))} \]

\[ = \overline{0} \quad \text{(by BiHom – associativity of $A$).} \]

Then $\langle A/I, \overline{\mu}, \overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta} \rangle$ is a BiHom-associative algebra.

\[ \overline{\mu(\beta(\overline{x}), \alpha(\overline{y}))} = \overline{\mu(\beta(x), \alpha(y))} \]

\[ = \overline{\mu(\beta(y), \alpha(x))} \quad \text{(by BiHom – commutativity of $A$).} \]

Then $\langle A/I, \overline{\mu}, \overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta} \rangle$ is a BiHom-commutative algebra. \[\square\]
Proposition 3.2. [20] Let \((A,\mu,\alpha,\beta)\) be a regular BiHom-associative algebra. Then \(A^- = (A,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\mu,\alpha,\beta)\) is a regular non-BiHom-commutative BiHom-Poisson algebra, where \(\{\cdot,\cdot\} = m - m \circ (\alpha^{-1}\beta \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}) \circ \tau\), where for all \(x, y \in A\), \(\tau(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x\).

Example 3.3. Consider the 2-dimensional regular BiHom-associative algebras \((A,\mu,\alpha,\beta)\) with a basis \((e_1, e_2)\), (see [13]) defined by
\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha(e_1) &= e_1, & \alpha(e_2) &= \frac{b(1-a)}{a}e_1 + ae_2, \\
\beta(e_1) &= e_1, & \beta(e_2) &= be_1 + (1-a)e_2, \\
\mu(e_1,e_1) &= e_1, & \mu(e_1,e_2) &= be_1 + (1-a)e_2, \\
\mu(e_2,e_1) &= \frac{b(1-a)}{a}e_1 + ae_2, & \mu(e_2,e_2) &= \frac{b}{a}e_2,
\end{align*}
\]
where \(a, b\) are parameters in \(\mathbb{K}\), with \(a \neq 0, 1\). Using the Proposition 3.2, the 5-tuple \((A,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\mu,\alpha,\beta)\) is a regular non-BiHom-commutative BiHom-Poisson algebra where, \(\{\cdot,\cdot\} = m - m \circ (\alpha^{-1}\beta \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}) \circ \tau\) and
\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha^{-1}(e_1) &= e_1, & \alpha^{-1}(e_2) &= \frac{b(1-a)}{a}e_1 + \frac{1}{a}e_2, \\
\beta^{-1}(e_1) &= e_1, & \beta^{-1}(e_2) &= \frac{b}{a}e_1 + \frac{1}{1-a}e_2.
\end{align*}
\]

Proposition 3.4. [20] Let \((A,\{\cdot,\cdot\}_A,\mu_A,\alpha_A,\beta_A)\) and \((B,\{\cdot,\cdot\}_B,\mu_B,\alpha_B,\beta_B)\) be two BiHom-Poisson algebras. Then there exists a BiHom-Poissson algebra \((A \oplus B,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\mu,\alpha = \alpha_A + \alpha_B,\beta = \beta_A + \beta_B)\), where the bilinear maps \(\{\cdot,\cdot\},\mu : (A \oplus B)^2 \to (A \oplus B)\) are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
\mu(a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2) &= \mu_A(a_1, a_2) + \mu_B(b_1, b_2), \\
\{a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2\} &= \{a_1, a_2\}_A + \{b_1, b_2\}_B, \forall \ a_1, a_2 \in A, \forall \ b_1, b_2 \in B.
\end{align*}
\]
and the linear maps \(\beta = \beta_A + \beta_B, \alpha = \alpha_A + \alpha_B : (A \oplus B) \to (A \oplus B)\) are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
(\alpha_A + \alpha_B)(a + b) &= \alpha_A(a) + \alpha_B(b), \\
(\beta_A + \beta_B)(a + b) &= \beta_A(a) + \beta_B(b), \ \forall \ (a, b) \in A \times B.
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. It is easy to see that \((A \oplus B,\mu,\alpha_A + \alpha_B,\beta_A + \beta_B)\) is a BiHom-associative and BiHom-commutative algebra and \((A \oplus B,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\alpha_A + \alpha_B,\beta_A + \beta_B)\) is a BiHom-Lie algebra. Then \((A \oplus B,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\mu,\alpha_A + \alpha_B,\beta_A + \beta_B)\) is a BiHom-Poisson algebra.

Proposition 3.5. Let \((A,\{\cdot,\cdot\}_A,\mu_A,\alpha_1,\beta_1)\) and \((B,\{\cdot,\cdot\}_B,\mu_B,\alpha_2,\beta_2)\) be two BiHom-Poisson algebras and \(\varphi : A \to B\) be a linear map. Then \(\varphi\) is a morphism from \((A,\{\cdot,\cdot\}_A,\mu_A,\alpha_1,\beta_1)\) to \((B,\{\cdot,\cdot\}_B,\mu_B,\alpha_2,\beta_2)\) if and only if its graph \(\Gamma_\varphi\) is a BiHom-subalgebra of \((A \oplus B,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\mu,\alpha,\beta)\).

Proof. Let \(\varphi : (A,\mu_A,\alpha_1,\beta_1) \to (B,\mu_B,\alpha_2,\beta_2)\) be a morphism of BiHom-Poisson algebras. Then for all \(u, v \in A\),
\[
\begin{align*}
\mu(u + \varphi(u), v + \varphi(v)) &= \mu_A(u, v) + \mu_B(\varphi(u), \varphi(v)) = \mu_A(u, v) + \varphi(\mu_A(u, v)), \\
\{u + \varphi(u), v + \varphi(v)\} &= \{u, v\}_A + \varphi(\{u, v\}_A).
\end{align*}
\]
Thus the graph \(\Gamma_\varphi\) is closed under the operations \(\mu\) and \(\{\cdot,\cdot\}\). Furthermore since \(\varphi \circ \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \circ \varphi\), we have \((\alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2)(u, \varphi(u)) = (\alpha_1(u), \alpha_2 \circ \varphi(u)) = (\alpha_1(u), \varphi \circ \alpha_1(u))\). In the same way, we have \((\beta_1 \oplus \beta_2)(u, \varphi(u)) = (\beta_1(u), \beta_2 \circ \varphi(u)) = (\beta_1(u), \varphi \circ \beta_1(u))\), which implies that \(\Gamma_\varphi\) is closed under \(\alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2\) and \(\beta_1 \oplus \beta_2\). Thus \(\Gamma_\varphi\) is a BiHom-subalgebra of \((A \oplus B,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\mu,\alpha,\beta)\).
Conversely, if the graph $\Gamma_\varphi \subset A \oplus B$ is a BiHom-subalgebra of $(A \oplus B, \{\cdot,\cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ then we have

$$\mu(u + \varphi(u), v + \varphi(v)) = (\mu_A(u, v) + \mu_B(\varphi(u), \varphi(v))) \in \Gamma_\varphi,$$

$$\{u + \varphi(u), v + \varphi(v)\} = \{u, v\}_A + \{\varphi(u), \varphi(v)\}_B \in \Gamma_\varphi$$

which implies that

$$\mu_B(\varphi(u), \varphi(v)) = \varphi(\mu_A(u, v)), $$

$$\{\varphi(u), \varphi(v)\}_B = \varphi(\{u, v\}_A).$$

Furthermore, $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(\Gamma_\varphi) \subset \Gamma_\varphi$, $(\beta_1 + \beta_2)(\Gamma_\varphi) \subset \Gamma_\varphi$ implies

$$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(u, \varphi(u)) = (\alpha_1(u), \alpha_2 \circ \varphi(u)) \in \Gamma_\varphi,$$

$$(\beta_1 + \beta_2)(u, \varphi(u)) = (\beta_1(u), \beta_2 \circ \varphi(u)) \in \Gamma_\varphi.$$

which is equivalent to the condition $\alpha_2 \circ \varphi(u) = \varphi \circ \alpha_1(u)$, i.e. $\alpha_2 \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \alpha_1$. Similarly, $\beta_2 \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \beta_1$. Therefore, $\varphi$ is a morphism of BiHom-Poisson algebras.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $(A, \{\cdot,\cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be a (non-BiHom-commutative) BiHom-Poisson algebra and $\alpha', \beta': A \to A$ be endomorphisms of $A$ such that any two of the maps $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta'$ commute. Then

$$A_{\alpha', \beta'} = (A, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{\alpha', \beta'}, \mu_{\alpha', \beta'}, \mu \circ (\alpha' \otimes \beta'), \alpha', \beta')$$

is also a (non-BiHom-commutative) BiHom-Poisson algebra. Moreover suppose that $(B, \{\cdot,\cdot\}', \mu', \gamma, \delta)$ is another BiHom-Poisson algebra and $\gamma', \delta'$ be endomorphisms of $B$ such that any two of the maps $\gamma, \delta, \gamma', \delta'$ commute. If $f : (A, \{\cdot,\cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta) \to (B, \{\cdot,\cdot\}', \mu', \gamma, \delta)$ is a morphism such that $f \circ \alpha' = \gamma' \circ f$ and $f \circ \beta' = \delta' \circ f$, then $f : A_{\alpha', \beta'} \to B_{\gamma', \delta'}$ is also a morphism.

**Proof.** Let give the proof in BiHom-commutativity case. We only prove item 1. of Definition 2.4 item 2. and item 3. can be proved similarly.

For all $x, y, z \in A$ we have

$$a_{\alpha', \beta'}(x, y, z)$$

$$= \mu_{\alpha', \beta'}(\mu_{\alpha', \beta'}(x, y), \beta'(z)) - \mu_{\alpha', \beta'}(\alpha'(x), \mu_{\alpha', \beta'}(y, z))$$

$$= \mu_{\alpha', \beta'}(\mu(\alpha'(x), \beta'(y)), \beta'(z)) - \mu_{\alpha', \beta'}(\alpha'(x), \mu(\alpha'(y), \beta'(z)))$$

$$= \mu(\mu(\alpha'(x), \alpha'(y)), \beta'(z)) - \mu(\alpha'(x), \mu(\alpha'(y), \beta'(z)))$$

Then $(A, \mu_{\alpha', \beta'}(\alpha', \beta'))$ is a BiHom-associative algebra.

Now, for all $x, y \in A$ we have:

$$\mu_{\alpha', \beta'}(\beta'(x), \alpha'(y)) = \mu(\alpha'(x), \alpha'(y))$$

$$= \mu(\beta(\alpha'(x)), \alpha(\alpha'(y)))$$

$$= \mu(\beta(\alpha'(y)), \alpha(\alpha'(x))) \text{ (BiHom – commutativity in } A).$$

Then $(A, \mu_{\alpha', \beta'}(\alpha', \beta'))$ is a BiHom-commutative algebra.

The second part is proved as follows: $\forall x, y \in A$

$$f \{x, y\}_{\alpha', \beta'} = f \{x, y\}_{\alpha', \beta'}$$

$$= \{f(\alpha'(x), \beta'(y))\}$$

$$= \gamma' f(x), \delta' f(y)$$

$$= \{f(x), f(y)\}_{\gamma', \delta'}.$$
In the same way we have \( f\mu_{\alpha',\beta'}(x,y) = \mu'_{\alpha',\beta'}(f(x),f(y)) \).

This finishes the proof. \(\square\)

Taking \( \alpha' = \alpha^k \), \( \beta' = \beta^l \), yields the following statement.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let \((A,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\mu,\alpha,\beta)\) be a (non-BiHom-commutative) BiHom-Poisson algebra. Then

\[
A_{\alpha^k,\beta^l} = (A,\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{\alpha^k,\beta^l} = \{\cdot,\cdot\} \circ (\alpha^k \otimes \beta^l), \mu_{\alpha^k,\beta^l} = \mu \circ (\alpha^k \otimes \beta^l), \alpha^{k+1},\beta^{l+1})
\]

is also a (non-BiHom-commutative) BiHom-Poisson algebra.

Taking \( \alpha = \beta = id \), yields the following statement.

**Corollary 3.8.** Let \((A,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\mu)\) be a (non-commutative) Poisson algebra and \(\alpha,\beta: A \to A\) be two endomorphisms such that \(\alpha \beta = \beta \alpha\). Then

\[
A_{\alpha,\beta} = (A,\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{\alpha,\beta} = \{\cdot,\cdot\} \circ (\alpha \otimes \beta), \mu_{\alpha,\beta} = \mu \circ (\alpha \otimes \beta), \alpha,\beta)
\]

is also a (non-BiHom-commutative) BiHom-Poisson algebra.

**Definition 3.9.** Let \((A,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\mu)\) be a non-commutative Poisson algebra.

1. Given two commuting morphisms \(\alpha,\beta: A \to A\), the triple \(A'_{\alpha,\beta} = (A,\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{\alpha,\beta} = \{\cdot,\cdot\} \circ (\alpha \otimes \beta), \mu_{\alpha,\beta} = \mu \circ (\alpha \otimes \beta))\) is called the \((\alpha,\beta)\)-twisting of \(A\). A twisting of \(A\) is a \((\alpha,\beta)\)-twisting of \(A\) for some morphisms \(\alpha,\beta: A \to A\).

2. The \((\alpha,\beta)\)-twisting \(A'_{\alpha,\beta}\) of \(A\) is called trivial if

\[
\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{\alpha,\beta} = 0 = \mu_{\alpha,\beta}.
\]

\(A'_{\alpha,\beta}\) is called non-trivial if either \(\{\cdot,\cdot\} \neq 0\) or \(\mu_{\alpha,\beta} \neq 0\).

3. \(A\) is called rigid if every twisting of \(A\) is either trivial or isomorphic to \(A\).

**Proposition 3.10.** Let \((A,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\mu)\) be a non-commutative Poisson algebra. Suppose there exists two commuting morphisms \(\alpha,\beta: A \to A\) such that either:

1. \(\mu_{\alpha,\beta} = \mu \circ (\alpha \otimes \beta)\) is not BiHom-associative or

2. \(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{\alpha,\beta} = \{\cdot,\cdot\} \circ (\alpha \otimes \beta)\) does not satisfy the BiHom-Jacobi identity.

Then \(A\) is not rigid.

**Proof.** The \((\alpha,\beta)\)-twisting \(A'_{\alpha,\beta}\) is non-trivial, since otherwise \(\mu_{\alpha,\beta}\) would be BiHom-associative and \(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{\alpha,\beta}\) would satisfy the BiHom-Jacobi identity. For the same reason, the \((\alpha,\beta)\)-twisting \(A'_{\alpha,\beta}\) cannot be isomorphic to \(A\). \(\square\)

**Remark 3.11.** Let \((\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot])\) be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra, and let \((S(\mathfrak{g}),\mu)\) be its symmetric algebra. If \(\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n\) is a basis of \(\mathfrak{g}\), then \(S(\mathfrak{g})\) is the polynomial algebra \(\mathbb{K}[e_1,\ldots,e_n]\). Suppose the structure constants for \(\mathfrak{g}\) are given by

\[
[e_i,e_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k e_k.
\]
Then the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$ becomes a Poisson algebra with the Poisson bracket

$$\{F, G\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n} c_{ij}^{k} e_{k} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial e_{i}} \frac{\partial G}{\partial e_{j}} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial e_{j}} \frac{\partial G}{\partial e_{i}} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.7)

for $F, G \in S(\mathfrak{g})$. This Poisson algebra structure on $S(\mathfrak{g})$ is called the linear Poisson structure.

Note that $\{e_{i}, e_{j}\} = [e_{i}, e_{j}]$.

**Example 3.12** ($S(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ is not rigid). In this example, we show that the symmetric algebra $(S(\mathfrak{sl}(2)), \mu)$ on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$, equipped with the linear Poisson structure (3.7), is not rigid in the sense of Definition 3.9.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ has a basis $\{e, f, h\}$, with respect to which the Lie bracket is given by

$$[h, e] = 2e, \quad [h, f] = -2f, \quad [e, f] = h.$$  

To show that $S(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) = \mathbb{K} \langle e, f, h \rangle$ is not rigid, consider the Lie algebra morphisms $\alpha, \beta: \mathfrak{sl}(2) \to \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ given by

$$\alpha(e) = \lambda e, \quad \alpha(f) = \lambda^{-1} f, \quad \alpha(h) = h,$$

$$\beta(e) = \gamma e, \quad \beta(f) = \gamma^{-1} f, \quad \beta(h) = h.$$  

where $\lambda, \gamma \in \mathbb{K}$ is a fixed scalar with $\lambda, \gamma \neq 0, 1$. Denote by $\alpha, \beta: S(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) \to S(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ the extended maps, which is a Poisson algebra morphisms. By Proposition 3.10, the Poisson algebra $S(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ is not rigid if $\mu_{\alpha, \beta} = \mu \circ (\alpha \otimes \beta)$ is not BiHom-associative. We have

$$\mu_{\alpha, \beta}(\mu_{\alpha, \beta}(e, h), h) - \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(e, \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(h, h)) = \alpha^{2}(e) \alpha \beta(h) \beta(h) - \alpha(e) \alpha \beta(h) \beta^{2}(h)$$

$$= (\lambda^{2} - \lambda)eh^{2},$$

which is not 0 in the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ because $\lambda \neq 0, 1$. Therefore, $\mu_{\alpha, \beta}$ is not BiHom-associative, and the linear Poisson structure on $S(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ is not rigid. \hfill \Box

### 3.2 BiHom-flexibles structures and admissible BiHom-Poisson algebras

In this subsection, we introduce BiHom-flexible algebras and prove that admissible BiHom-Poisson algebras are BiHom-flexible.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $(A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-algebra. Then $A$ is called BiHom-flexible algebra if for any $x, y \in A$

$$\mu(\mu(\beta(x), \alpha(y)), \beta(x)) - \mu(\alpha\beta(x), \mu(\alpha\beta(y), \alpha^{2}(x))) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.8)

**Remark 3.2.**

1. If $\alpha = \beta = Id$, then $(A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ is reduced to a flexible algebra $(A, \mu)$.

2. If $(A, \mu, \alpha)$ is a Hom-flexible algebra [31], then $(A, \mu, \alpha, \alpha)$ is a BiHom-flexible algebra.

Conversely, if $(A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ is a BiHom-flexible algebra such $\alpha$ is injective and $\alpha = \beta$ then, $(A, \mu, \alpha)$ is a Hom-flexible algebra.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $A = (A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-algebra. The following assertions are equivalent

1. $A$ is BiHom-flexible.

2. For any $x, y \in A$, \ as $A(\beta(x), \alpha(y), \alpha^{2}(x)) = 0$.  
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3. For any \( x, y, z \)

\[
as_A((\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(z)) + as_A((\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(x))) = 0 \tag{3.9}
\]

**Proof.** The equivalence of the first two assertions follows from the definition. The assertion
\[ as_A((\beta^2(x-z), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(x-z))) = 0 \]
holds by definition and it is equivalent to \( as_A((\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(z)) + as_A((\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(x))) = 0 \)
by linearity. \(\square\)

It is easy to prove the following

**Proposition 3.13.** Let \((A, \mu)\) be a flexible algebra, \(\alpha, \beta : A \to A\) be two commuting morphisms. Then the BiHom-algebra \((A, \mu_{\alpha, \beta} = \mu(\alpha \otimes \beta), \alpha, \beta)\) is BiHom-flexible.

**Proof.** Let \((A, \mu)\) be a flexible algebra, \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) be morphisms of \((A, \mu)\). Then for all \(x, y \in A\),

\[
\mu_{\alpha, \beta}(\mu_{\alpha, \beta}(\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(y)), \beta^2(x)) - \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(\alpha\beta^2(x), \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(\alpha\beta(y)), \alpha^2(x))
\]

\[
= \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(\mu(\alpha\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta^2(y)), \beta^2(x)) - \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(\alpha\beta^2(x), \mu(\alpha\beta^2(y), \beta\alpha^2(x))
\]

\[
= \mu(\alpha(\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta^2(y)), \beta^2(x)) - \mu(\alpha(\beta^2(x), \alpha^2(x)) = 0.
\]

Hence the BiHom-algebra \((A, \mu_{\alpha, \beta} = \mu(\alpha \otimes \beta), \alpha, \beta)\) is BiHom-flexible. \(\square\)

**Corollary 3.4.** Any BiHom-associative algebra is BiHom-flexible.

**Proposition 3.5.** A BiHom-algebra \(A = (A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)\) is BiHom-flexible if and only if

\[
\{\alpha\beta(x), \alpha\beta(y) \circ \alpha^2(z)\} - \{\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(y)\} \circ \alpha^2\beta(z) - \alpha\beta^2(y) \circ \{\alpha\beta(x), \alpha^2(z)\} = 0, \tag{3.10}
\]

where \(\{\cdot, \cdot\} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu - \mu \circ (\alpha^{-1} \otimes \alpha^{-1}) \circ \tau)\) and \(\circ = \frac{1}{2}(\mu + \mu \circ (\alpha^{-1} \otimes \alpha^{-1}) \circ \tau)\).

**Proof.** Since \(\{\cdot, \cdot\} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu - \mu \circ (\alpha^{-1} \otimes \alpha^{-1}) \circ \tau)\) and \(\circ = \frac{1}{2}(\mu + \mu \circ (\alpha^{-1} \otimes \alpha^{-1}) \circ \tau)\), by expansion in terms of \(\mu\)

\[
4\{\alpha\beta(x), \alpha\beta(y) \circ \alpha^2(z)\} - \{\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(y)\} \circ \alpha^2\beta(z) - \alpha\beta^2(y) \circ \{\alpha\beta(x), \alpha^2(z)\} = 0.
\]

Conversely, assume we have the condition \(3.10\). By setting \(x = z\), one gets
\[ as_A((\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(x)) = 0. \] Therefore \(A\) is BiHom-flexible. \(\square\)

Let's give the notion of an admissible BiHom-Poisson algebras.

**Definition 3.14.** [1] Let \((A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)\) be a BiHom-algebra. Then \(A\) is called an admissible BiHom-Poisson algebra if it satisfies

\[
as_A((\beta(x), \alpha(y), \alpha^2(z)) = \frac{1}{3}\left\{\mu(\mu(\beta(x), \alpha\beta(z), \alpha^2(y))) - \mu(\beta^2(z), \alpha(x), \alpha^2(y)) + \mu(\beta(y), \alpha\beta(z)), \alpha^2(x)) - \mu(\mu(\beta(y), \alpha\beta(z), \alpha^2(z)) \right\}\tag{3.11}
\]

\[ + \mu(\mu(\beta(y), \alpha\beta(z), \alpha^2(z)) + as_A((\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(x), \alpha^2(z)) + as_A((\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(x), \alpha^2(y)) = 0 \] (By Lemma 3.3).

Let us consider the case where \(A\) is a BiHom-Poisson algebra. Then

\[
as_A((\beta(x), \alpha(y), \alpha^2(z)) = \frac{1}{3}\left\{\mu(\mu(\beta(x), \alpha\beta(z), \alpha^2(y))) - \mu(\beta^2(z), \alpha(x), \alpha^2(y)) + \mu(\beta(y), \alpha\beta(z)), \alpha^2(x)) - \mu(\mu(\beta(y), \alpha\beta(z), \alpha^2(z)) \right\} \]
It is observed that if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are inversible, then (3.11) is equivalent to
\[
\begin{align*}
&\quad \text{as}_A(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \mu(\mu(x, \alpha^{-1} \beta(z)), \alpha(y)) - \mu(\mu(\alpha^{-2} \beta^2(z), \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1}(x)), \alpha(y)) \\
&\quad \quad + \mu(\mu(\alpha^{-1} \beta(y), \alpha^{-1} \beta(z)), \alpha^2 \beta^{-1}(x)) - \mu(\mu(\alpha^{-1} \beta(y), \alpha^{-1} \beta(x)), \beta(z)) \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \mu(\mu(\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(z)), \beta \alpha^2(y))) - \mu(\mu(\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(x)), \beta \alpha^2(y)) \\
&\quad + \mu(\mu(\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z)), \beta \alpha^2(x))) - \mu(\mu(\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(x)), \beta \alpha^2(z)) \\
&\quad + \mu(\mu(\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(x)), \beta \alpha^2(y))) - \mu(\mu(\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(z)), \beta \alpha^2(y)) \\
&\quad + \mu(\mu(\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(x)), \beta \alpha^2(z))) - \mu(\mu(\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z)), \beta \alpha^2(z)) \right\} \right\} \right. \\
&\left. = 0. \right\}
\end{align*}
\]

**Proposition 3.15.** Every admissible BiHom-Poisson algebra $(A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ is BiHom-flexible, i.e.,
\[
\text{as}_A(\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(z)) + \text{as}_A(\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(x)) = 0
\]
for all $x, y, z \in A$.

**Proof.** The required identity (3.11) follows immediately from the defining identity (3.11), in which the right-hand side is anti-symmetric in $x$ and $z$.
\[
\begin{align*}
&\quad \text{as}_A(\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(z)) + \text{as}_A(\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(x)) \\
&= \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \mu(\mu(\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(z)), \beta \alpha^2(y))) - \mu(\mu(\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(x)), \beta \alpha^2(y)) \\
&\quad + \mu(\mu(\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z)), \beta \alpha^2(x))) - \mu(\mu(\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(x)), \beta \alpha^2(z)) \\
&\quad + \mu(\mu(\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(x)), \beta \alpha^2(y))) - \mu(\mu(\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(z)), \beta \alpha^2(y)) \\
&\quad + \mu(\mu(\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(x)), \beta \alpha^2(z))) - \mu(\mu(\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z)), \beta \alpha^2(z)) \right\} \right. \\
&\left. = 0. \right\}
\end{align*}
\]

Next we observe that in an admissible BiHom-Poisson algebra the cyclic sum of the BiHom-associator is trivial.

**Proposition 3.16.** Let $(A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be an admissible BiHom-Poisson algebra. Then
\[
S_A(x, y, z) := \text{as}_A(\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(z)) + \text{as}_A(\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z), \alpha^2(x)) + \text{as}_A(\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(x), \alpha^2(y)) = 0
\]
for all $x, y, z \in A$.

**Proof.** Using the defining identity (3.11), we have:
\[
\begin{align*}
&\quad \text{as}_A(\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(z)) = \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \mu(\mu(\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(z)), \beta \alpha^2(y))) - \mu(\mu(\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(x)), \beta \alpha^2(y)) \\
&\quad + \mu(\mu(\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z)), \beta \alpha^2(x))) - \mu(\mu(\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(x)), \beta \alpha^2(z)) \\
&\quad + \mu(\mu(\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(x)), \beta \alpha^2(y))) - \mu(\mu(\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(z)), \beta \alpha^2(y)) \\
&\quad + \mu(\mu(\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(x)), \beta \alpha^2(z))) - \mu(\mu(\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z)), \beta \alpha^2(z)) \right\} \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \mu(\mu(\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(y)), \beta \alpha^2(z))) - \mu(\mu(\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z)), \beta \alpha^2(x)) \\
&\quad + \mu(\mu(\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(x)), \beta \alpha^2(y))) - \mu(\mu(\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(z)), \beta \alpha^2(y)) \\
&\quad + \mu(\mu(\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(x)), \beta \alpha^2(z))) - \mu(\mu(\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z)), \beta \alpha^2(z)) \right\} \\
&\quad = -\text{as}_A(\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(x), \alpha^2(y)) + \text{as}_A(\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(z), \alpha^2(y)) \\
&\quad = -\text{as}_A(\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(x), \alpha^2(y)) - \text{as}_A(\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z), \alpha^2(x)) \quad \text{(by (3.13))}.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, we conclude that $S_A = 0$.

**4 Derivations of BiHom-Poisson algebras**

In this section, we introduce and study derivations, generalized derivations and quasideriva-
tions of BiHom-Poisson algebras.
Definition 4.1. Let $(A, {\cdot, \cdot}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-Poisson algebra. A linear map $D : A \to A$ is called an $(\alpha^k, \beta^l)$-derivation of $A$ if it satisfies

1. $D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D$, $D \circ \beta = \beta \circ D$;
2. $D(\{x, y\}) = \{\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D(y)\} + \{D(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)\}$;
3. $D(\mu(x, y)) = \mu(\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D(y)) + \mu(D(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y))$,

for all $x, y \in A$.

We denote by $\text{Der}(A) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0, l \geq 0} \text{Der}_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A)$, where $\text{Der}_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A)$ is the set of all $(\alpha^k, \beta^l)$-derivations of $A$. Obviously, $\text{Der}(A)$ is a subalgebra of $\text{End}(A)$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $(A, {\cdot, \cdot}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-Poisson algebra. We define a subspace $W$ of $\text{End}(A)$ by $W = \{w \in \text{End}(A) | w \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ w$ and $w \circ \beta = \beta \circ w\}$ and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 : W \to W$ linear maps satisfying $\sigma_1(w) = \alpha \circ w$ and $\sigma_2(w) = \beta \circ w$. Then a quadruple $(W, [\cdot, \cdot], \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, where the multiplication $[\cdot, \cdot] : W \times W \to W$ is defined for $w_1, w_2 \in W$ by

$$[w_1, w_2] = w_1 \circ w_2 - w_2 \circ w_1,$$

is a BiHom-Lie algebra.

Proof. For any $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in W$, $k_1, k_2 \in K$, we have

$$[w_1, w_1] = w_1 \circ w_1 - w_1 \circ w_1 = 0,$$

$$[k_1 w_1 + k_2 w_2, w_3] = (k_1 w_1 + k_2 w_2) w_3 - w_3 (k_1 w_1 + k_2 w_2) = k_1 (w_1 w_3 - w_3 w_1) + k_2 (w_2 w_3 - w_3 w_2) = k_1 [w_1, w_3] + k_2 [w_2, w_3],$$

$$[\sigma_2(w_1), \sigma_1(w_2)] = [\beta(w_1), \alpha(w_2)] = \alpha \beta(w_1 w_2 - w_2 w_1) = -\alpha \beta(w_2 w_1 - w_1 w_2) = -[\sigma_2(w_2), \sigma_1(w_1)],$$

$$[\sigma_2^2(w_1), [\sigma_2(w_2), \sigma_1(w_3)]] + [\sigma_2^2(w_3), [\sigma_2(w_1), \sigma_1(w_2)]] + [\sigma_2^2(w_2), \sigma_2^2(w_3)] + [\sigma_2^2(w_3), \sigma_2^2(w_1)] = \beta^3 \alpha w_1 w_2 w_3 - \beta^3 \alpha w_1 w_3 w_2 - \beta^3 \alpha w_2 w_1 w_2 + \beta^3 \alpha w_3 w_1 w_2 + \beta^3 \alpha w_1 w_3 w_2 + \beta^3 \alpha w_3 w_1 w_2 - \beta^3 \alpha w_1 w_2 w_3 + \beta^3 \alpha w_2 w_1 w_3 = 0.$$  

Then $(W, [\cdot, \cdot], \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a BiHom-Lie algebra. \hfill \Box

Theorem 4.3. Let $(A, {\cdot, \cdot}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-Poisson algebra. For any $D \in \text{Der}_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A)$ and $D' \in \text{Der}_{(\alpha^{k'}, \beta^{l'})}(A)$, define their commutator $[D, D']$ as usual:

$$[D, D'] = D \circ D' - D' \circ D.$$

Then $[D, D'] \in \text{Der}_{(\alpha^{k+k'}, \beta^{l+l'})}(A)$. 
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Definition 4.4. Let \( \mu, \alpha, \beta \) be a BiHom-Poisson algebra. \( D \in \text{End}(A) \) is said to be a generalized \((\alpha^k, \beta^l)\)-derivation of \( A \), if there exists two endomorphisms \( D', D'' \in \text{End}(A) \) such that

1. \( D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D \), \( D \circ \beta = \beta \circ D \);
2. \( D' \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D', D' \circ \beta = \beta \circ D' \);
3. \( D'' \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D'' \), \( D'' \circ \beta = \beta \circ D'' \);
4. \( \{D(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)\} + \{\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D'(y)\} = D''(\{x, y\}) \);
5. \( \mu(D(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)) + \mu(\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D'(y)) = D''(\mu(x, y)) \),

for all \( x, y \in A \).

The set of generalized \((\alpha^k, \beta^l)\)-derivations of \( A \) is \( \text{GDer}_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A) \) and we denote

\[
\text{GDer}(A) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \bigoplus_{l \geq 0} \text{GDer}_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A).
\]

Definition 4.5. Let \( \mu, \alpha, \beta \) be a BiHom-Poisson algebra. \( D \in \text{End}(A) \) is said to be an \((\alpha^k, \beta^l)\)-quasiderivation of \( A \), if there exists endomorphisms \( D', D'' \in \text{End}(A) \) such that

1. \( D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D \), \( D \circ \beta = \beta \circ D \);
2. \( D' \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D', D' \circ \beta = \beta \circ D' \);
3. \( D'' \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D'' \), \( D'' \circ \beta = \beta \circ D'' \);
4. \( \{D(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)\} + \{\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D'(y)\} = D'({x, y}) \),
5. \(\mu(D(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)) + \mu(\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D(y)) = D''(\mu(x, y))\),

for all \(x, y \in A\).

We then define
\[
Q\text{Der}(A) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \bigoplus_{l \geq 0} \text{QDer}_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A).
\]

**Definition 4.6.** Let \((A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)\) be a BiHom-Poisson algebra. A linear map \(D : A \to A\) is called an \((\alpha^k, \beta^l)\)-centroid of \(A\) if it satisfies

1. \(D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D, \ D \circ \beta = \beta \circ D\);
2. \(\{D(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)\} = \{\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D(y)\} = D(\{x, y\})\);
3. \(\mu(D(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)) = \mu(\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D(y)) = D(\mu(x, y)), \ \forall x, y \in A\).

We set
\[
C(A) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \bigoplus_{l \geq 0} C_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A).
\]

**Definition 4.7.** The \((\alpha^k, \beta^l)\)-quasicentroid of a BiHom-Poisson algebra \((A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)\) denoted by \(\text{QC}_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A)\) is the set of linear maps \(D\) such that

1. \(D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D, \ D \circ \beta = \beta \circ D\);
2. \(\{D(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)\} = \{\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D(y)\}\);
3. \(\mu(D(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)) = \mu(\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D(y)), \ \forall x, y \in A\).

We set
\[
\text{QC}(A) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \bigoplus_{l \geq 0} \text{QC}_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A).
\]

**Remark 4.8.** Let \((A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)\) be a BiHom-Poisson algebra. Then \(C(A) \subseteq \text{QC}(A)\).

**Definition 4.9.** A linear map \(D\) is called an \((\alpha^k, \beta^l)\)-central derivation of \(A\) if it satisfies

1. \(D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D, \ D \circ \beta = \beta \circ D\);
2. \(\{D(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)\} = D(\{x, y\}) = 0\);
3. \(\mu(D(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)) = \mu(\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D(y)) = 0, \ \forall x, y \in A\).

The set of \((\alpha^k, \beta^l)\)-central derivations is denoted by \(\text{ZDer}_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A)\) and we set
\[
\text{ZDer}(A) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \bigoplus_{l \geq 0} \text{ZDer}_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A).
\]

**Remark 4.10.** Let \((A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)\) be a BiHom-Poisson algebra. Then
\[
\text{ZDer}(A) \subseteq \text{Der}(A) \subseteq \text{QDer}(A) \subseteq \text{GDer}(A) \subseteq \text{End}(A).
\]

**Proposition 4.11.** Let \((A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)\) be a BiHom-Poisson algebra. Then the following statements hold:
1. $GDer(A)$, $QDer(A)$ and $C(A)$ are BiHom-subalgebras of $(W, [\cdot, \cdot], \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$;

2. $ZDer(A)$ is a BiHom-ideal of $Der(A)$.

Proof. We only prove that $GDer(A)$ is a subalgebra of $W$. The case of $QDer(L)$ and $C(L)$ is similar.

1. Suppose that $D_1 \in GDer_{(\alpha^k, \beta^\prime)}(A)$, $D_2 \in GDer_{(\alpha^\prime, \beta^\prime)}(A)$. Then for any $x, y \in A$,

$$
\{\sigma_1(D_1)(x), \alpha^{k+1} \beta^l(y)\} = \{\alpha_1 \circ D_1(x), \alpha^{k+1} \beta^l(y)\} = \alpha(\{D_1(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)\})
$$

$$
= \alpha(D_1''(\{x, y\}) - \{\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D_1''(y)\}) = \sigma_1(D_1''(\{x, y\}) - \{\alpha^{k+1} \beta^l(x), \sigma_1(D_1''(y)\}).
$$

Since $\sigma_1(D_1''), \sigma_1(D_1') \in End(A)$, we have $\sigma_1(D_1) \in GDer_{(\alpha^{k+1}, \beta^l)}(A)$,

$$
\{\sigma_2(D_1)(x), \alpha^k \beta^{l+1}(y)\} = \{\beta \circ D_1(x), \alpha^k \beta^{l+1}(y)\} = \beta(\{D_1(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)\})
$$

$$
= \beta(D_1''(\{x, y\}) - \{\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D_1''(y)\}) = \sigma_2(D_1''(\{x, y\}) - \{\alpha^{k+1} \beta^{l+1}(x), \sigma_2(D_1''(y)\}).
$$

Since $\sigma_2(D_1''), \sigma_2(D_1') \in End(A)$, we have $\sigma_2(D_1) \in GDer_{(\alpha^k, \beta^{l+1})}(A)$.

$$
\{D_1, D_2\}(x, \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y))
$$

$$
= \{D_1 \circ D_2(x), \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y)\} - \{D_2 \circ D_1(x), \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y)\}
$$

$$
= D_1''(\{D_2(x), \alpha^{k'} \beta^l(y)\}) - \{\alpha^{k} \beta^l(D_2(x)), D_1'(\alpha^{k'} \beta^l(y))\} - D_2''(\{D_1(x), \alpha^{k} \beta^l(y)\})
$$

$$
+ \{\alpha^{k} \beta^l(D_1(x), D_2'(\alpha^{k} \beta^l(y))\}
$$

$$
= D_1''(\{x, y\}) - \{\alpha^{k} \beta^l(x), D_1''(y)\} - \{\alpha^{k'} \beta^l(D_1(x), D_2'(\alpha^{k} \beta^l(y))\}
$$

$$
= D_1''(\{x, y\}) - \{\alpha^{k} \beta^l(D_2(x), D_1'(\alpha^{k'} \beta^l(y))\}
$$

$$
- D_2''(\{x, y\}) + \{\alpha^{k} \beta^l(D_1(x), D_2'(\alpha^{k} \beta^l(y))\}
$$

$$
= D_1''(\{x, y\}) - \{\alpha^{k} \beta^l(D_2(x), D_1'(\alpha^{k'} \beta^l(y))\}
$$

$$
- D_2''(\{x, y\}) + \{\alpha^{k} \beta^l(D_1(x), D_2'(\alpha^{k} \beta^l(y))\}
$$

Since $\{D_1', D_2'\}, \{D_1', D_2'\} \in End(A)$, we have $\{D_1, D_2\} \in GDer_{(\alpha^{k+k'}, \beta^{l+l'})}(A)$.

Similarly, we have $\mu(D_1, D_2) \in GDer_{(\alpha^{k+k'}, \beta^{l+l'})}(A)$.

Therefore, $GDer(A)$ is a BiHom-subalgebra of $(W, [\cdot, \cdot], \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$.

2. Suppose that $D_1 \in ZDer_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A)$, $D_2 \in Der_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A)$. Then for any $x, y \in A$,

$$
\sigma_1(D_1)(\mu(x, y)) = \alpha \circ D_1(\mu(x, y)) = 0.
$$

$$
\sigma_1(D_1)(\mu(x, y)) = \alpha \circ D_1(\mu(x, y)) = \alpha(\mu(D_1(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y))) = \mu(\sigma_1(D_1)(x), \alpha^{k+1} \beta^l(y)).
$$

Similarly, $\sigma_1(D_1)(\{x, y\}) = \{\sigma_1(D_1)(x), \alpha^{k+1} \beta^l(y)\}$. 
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Hence, $\sigma_1(D_1) \in ZDer_{(\alpha^{k+1}, \beta')}((A)$. 
In the same way, we have, $\sigma_2(D_1) \in ZDer_{(\alpha^k, \beta'+1)}(A)$. Next, we have

$[D_1, D_2](\mu(x, y))$
$= D_1 \circ D_2(\mu(x, y)) - D_2 \circ D_1(\mu(x, y))$
$= D_1(\mu(D_2(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)) + \mu(\alpha^k \beta^l(y), D_2(y))) - D_2(\mu(D_1(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)))$
$= \mu(D_1(D_2(x)), \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y)) + \mu(D_1(\alpha^k \beta^l(x)), \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y)) - \mu(\alpha^k \beta^l(D_1(x)), D_2(\alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y)))$
$= \mu([D_1, D_2](x), \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y))$.

Similarly, $[D_1, D_2](\{x, y\}) = \{[D_1, D_2](x), \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y)\} = 0$.

Hence, we have $[D_1, D_2] \in ZDer_{(\alpha^{k+k'}, \beta^{l+l'})}(A)$. Therefore, $ZDer(A)$ is a BiHom-ideal of $Der(A)$.

\[ \square \]

Lemma 4.12. Let $(A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-Poisson algebra, then the following statements hold:

1. $[Der(A), C(A)] \subseteq C(A)$.
2. $[QDer(A), QC(A)] \subseteq QC(A)$.
3. $[QC(A), QC(A)] \subseteq QDer(A)$.
4. $C(A) \subseteq QDer(A)$.
5. $QDer(A) + QC(A) \subseteq GDer(A)$.
6. $C(A) \circ Der(A) \subseteq Der(A)$.

Proof. 1. Suppose that $D_1 \in Der_{(\alpha^k, \beta')}(A)$, $D_2 \in C_{(\alpha^{k'}, \beta^{l'})}(A)$. Then for any $x, y \in A$, we have

$[D_1, D_2](\{x, y\})$
$= D_1 \circ D_2(\{x, y\}) - D_2 \circ D_1(\{x, y\})$
$= D_1(\{D_2(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)\}) - D_2(\{D_1(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)\})$
$= \{D_1(D_2(x)), \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y)\} + \{\alpha^k \beta^l(D_1(x)), D_2(\alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y))\} - \{D_2(\alpha^k \beta^l(x)), \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y)\}$
$= \{\{D_1, D_2\}(x), \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y)\}$.

Similarly, we have $[D_1, D_2](\{x, y\}) = \{\alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(x), [D_1, D_2](y)\}$. 
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In the same way, we have $[D_1, D_2](\mu(x, y)) = \mu([D_1, D_2](x), \alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(y))$

$= \mu(\alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(x), [D_1, D_2](y))$.

Hence, $[D_1, D_2] \in C_{(\alpha^{k+k'}, \beta^{l+l'})}(A)$. Therefore $[D_1, D_2] \in C(A)$.

2. Suppose that $D_1 \in QDer_{(\alpha^k, \beta)}(A)$, $D_2 \in QC_{(\alpha^{k'}, \beta)}(A)$. Then for any $x, y \in A$, we have

$$\{[D_1, D_2](x), \alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(y)\}$$

$$= \{D_1 \circ D_2(x), \alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(y)\} - \{D_2 \circ D_1(x), \alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(y)\}$$

$$= D_1([D_2(x), \alpha^k\beta^l(y)]) - \{\alpha^k\beta^l(D_2(x)), D_1(\alpha^{k'}\beta^{l'}(y))\}$$

$$= \{\alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(D_1(x)), D_2(\alpha^{k'}\beta^{l'}(y))\}$$

$$= \{\alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(D_1(\alpha^{k'}\beta^{l'}(y))), D_2(\alpha^{k'}\beta^{l'}(y))\}$$

$$= \{\alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(D_1(\alpha^{k'}\beta^{l'}(y))), D_2(\alpha^{k'}\beta^{l'}(y))\}$$

$$= \{\alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(D_1(\alpha^{k'}\beta^{l'}(y))), D_2(\alpha^{k'}\beta^{l'}(y))\}$$

Similarly, $\mu([D_1, D_2](x), \alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(y)) = \mu(\alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(x), [D_1, D_2](y))$.

Hence, we have $[D_1, D_2] \in QC_{(\alpha^{k+k'}, \beta^{l+l'})}(A)$. So $[QDer(A), QC(A)] \subseteq QC(A)$.

3. Suppose that $D_1 \in QC_{(\alpha^k, \beta)}(A)$, $D_2 \in QC_{(\alpha^{k'}, \beta)}(A)$. Then for any $x, y \in A$, we have

$$\{[D_1, D_2](x), \alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(y)\}$$

$$= \{D_1 \circ D_2(x), \alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(y)\} - \{D_2 \circ D_1(x), \alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(y)\}$$

$$= \{D_2(\alpha^k\beta^l(x)), D_1(\alpha^{k'}\beta^{l'}(y))\} - \{D_2(\alpha^{k'}\beta^{l'}(x)), D_1(\alpha^k\beta^l(y))\}$$

$$= \{\alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(D_1(\alpha^{k'}\beta^{l'}(y))), D_2(\alpha^{k'}\beta^{l'}(y))\}$$

$$= \{\alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(D_1(\alpha^{k'}\beta^{l'}(y))), D_2(\alpha^{k'}\beta^{l'}(y))\}$$

i.e., $\{[D_1, D_2](x), \alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(y)\} + \{\alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(x), [D_1, D_2]\} = 0$.

Similarly, $\mu([D_1, D_2](x), \alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(y)) + \mu(\alpha^{k+k'}\beta^{l+l'}(x), [D_1, D_2]) = 0$. Hence, we have $[D_1, D_2] \in QDer_{(\alpha^{k+k'}, \beta^{l+l'})}(A)$, which implies that $[QC(A), QC(A)] \subseteq QDer(A)$.

4. Suppose that $D \in C_{(\alpha^k, \beta)}(A)$. Then for any $x, y \in A$, we have

$$D([x, y]) = [D(x), \alpha^k\beta^l(y)] = \{\alpha^k\beta^l(x), D(y)\}.$$
Similarly, $\mu(D(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)) + \mu(\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D(y)) = 2D(\mu(x, y))$, which implies that $D \in Q\text{Der}_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A)$. So $C(A) \subseteq Q\text{Der}(A)$.

5. In fact. Let $D_1 \in Q\text{Der}_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A), D_2 \in Q\text{C}_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A)$. Then there exist $D'_1, D''_1 \in \text{End}(A)$, for any $x, y \in A$, we have
\[
\{D_1(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)\} + \{\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D_1(y)\} = D'_1(\{x, y\}),
\]
\[
\mu(D_1(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)) + \mu(\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D_1(y)) = D''_1(\mu(x, y)).
\]

Thus, for any $x, y \in A$, we have
\[
\{(D_1 + D_2)(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)\} = \{D_1(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)\} + \{D_2(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)\}
\]
\[
= D'_1(\{x, y\}) - \{\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D_1(y)\} + \{\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D_2(y)\}
\]
\[
= D'_1(\{x, y\}) - \{\alpha^k \beta^l(x), (D_1 - D_2)(y)\},
\]
and
\[
\mu((D_1 + D_2)(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)) = \mu(D_1(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y)) + \mu(D_2(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y))
\]
\[
= D''_1(\mu(x, y)) - \mu(\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D_1(y)) + \mu(\alpha^k \beta^l(x), D_2(y))
\]
\[
= D''_1(\mu(x, y)) - \mu(\alpha^k \beta^l(x), (D_1 - D_2)(y)).
\]

Therefore, $D_1 + D_2 \in G\text{Der}_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A)$.

6. Suppose that $D_1 \in C_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A), D_2 \in \text{Der}_{(\alpha^{k'}, \beta^{l'})}(A)$. Then for any $x, y \in A$, we have
\[
D_1 \circ D_2(\{x, y\})
\]
\[
= D_1(\{D_2(x), \alpha^{k'} \beta^{l'}(y)\} + \{\alpha^{k'} \beta^{l'}(x), D_2(y)\})
\]
\[
= \{D_1(D_2(x)), \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y)\} + \{\alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(x), D_1(D_2(y))\},
\]
\[
D_1 \circ D_2(\mu(x, y))
\]
\[
= D_1(\mu(D_2(x), \alpha^{k'} \beta^{l'}(y)) + \mu(\alpha^{k'} \beta^{l'}(x), D_2(y)))
\]
\[
= \mu(D_1(D_2(x)), \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y)) + \mu(\alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(x), D_1(D_2(y))),
\]
which implies that $D_1 \circ D_2 \in \text{Der}_{(\alpha^{k+k'}, \beta^{l+l'})}(A)$. So $C(A) \circ \text{Der}(A) \subseteq \text{Der}(A)$.

\[\square\]

**Theorem 4.13.** Let $(A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-Poisson algebra, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ surjections, then $[C(A), QC(A)] \subseteq \text{End}(A, Z(A))$. Moreover, if $Z(A) = \{0\}$, then $[C(A), QC(A)] = \{0\}$.

**Proof.** For any $D_1 \in C_{(\alpha^k, \beta^l)}(A), D_2 \in QC_{(\alpha^{k'}, \beta^{l'})}(A)$ and $x, y \in A$, since $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are surjections, there exist $y' \in A$ such that $y = \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y')$, we have
\[
\{[D_1, D_2](x), y\} = \{\{D_1, D_2\}(x), \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y')\}
\]
\[
= \{D_1D_2(x), \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y')\} - \{D_2D_1(x), \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y')\}
\]
\[
= D_1([D_2(x), \alpha^{k'} \beta^{l'}(y')] - \{\alpha^{k'} \beta^{l'} D_1(x), D_2 \alpha^{k'} \beta^{l'}(y')\}
\]
\[
= D_1([D_2(x), \alpha^{k'} \beta^{l'}(y')] - D_1([D_2(x), \alpha^{k'} \beta^{l'}(y')])
\]
\[
= 0,
\]
and

\[
\mu([D_1, D_2](x), y) = \mu(D_1 D_2(x), \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y'))
\]

\[
= \mu(D_1 D_2(x), \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y')) - \mu(D_2 D_1(x), \alpha^{k+k'} \beta^{l+l'}(y'))
\]

\[
= D_1(\mu(D_2(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y'))) - \mu(D_2(x), D_2 \alpha^k \beta^l(y'))
\]

\[
= D_1(\mu(D_2(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y'))) - D_1(\mu(D_2(x), \alpha^k \beta^l(y')))
\]

\[
= 0.
\]

So \([D_1, D_2](x) \in Z(A)\) and therefore \([C(A), QC(A)] \subseteq \text{End}(A, Z(A))\). Moreover, if \(Z(A) = \{0\}\), then it is easy to see that \([C(A), QC(A)] = \{0\}\). □

5 BiHom-Poisson modules

First, let recall the following.

**Definition 5.1.** Let \((A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_A, \mu_A)\) be a Poisson algebra. Then a left Poisson module structure on a left A-module over A is linear maps \(\mu_M, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_M : A \otimes M \to M\) such that

\[
\mu_M(a, \mu_M(b, m)) = \mu_M(\mu_A(a, b), m)
\]

\[
\{a, b\}_A, m\}_{M} = \{a, \{b, m\}_M\}_M - \{b, \{a, m\}_M\}_M
\]

\[
\{a, \mu_M(b, m)\}_M = \mu_M(\{a, b\}_A, m) + \mu_M(b, \{a, m\}_M)
\]

\[
\{\mu_A(a, b), m\}_M = \mu_M(a, \{b, m\}_M) + \mu_M(b, \{a, m\}_M)
\]

for any \(a, b \in A\) and \(m \in M\).

**Remark 5.2.** In [27], Poisson algebras are defined without the associativity assumption and then, left Poisson modules are defined without the identity \([5, 7]\). In a similar way, basing on the definition above, one can defined a right Poisson module.

**Definition 5.3.** Let \((A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)\) be a BiHom-Poisson algebra.

1. A left BiHom-Poisson A-module is a BiHom-module \((V, \phi, \psi)\) with structure maps \(\lambda : A \otimes V \to V\) and \(\rho : A \otimes V \to V\) such that the following equalities hold:

\[
\lambda(\alpha(x), \lambda(y, v)) = \lambda(\mu(x, y), \psi(v))
\]

\[
\rho(\{\beta(x), y\}, \psi(v)) = \rho(\alpha(\beta(x), \rho(y, v)) - \rho(\beta(y), \rho(\alpha(x), v))
\]

\[
\rho(\alpha(\beta(x), \lambda(y, v)) = \lambda(\{\beta(x), y\}, \psi(v)) + \lambda(\beta(y), \rho(\alpha(x), v))
\]

\[
\rho(\mu(\beta(x), y), \psi(v)) = \lambda(\alpha(\beta(x), \rho(y, v)) + \lambda(\beta(y), \rho(\alpha(x), v))
\]

2. A right BiHom-Poisson A-module is a BiHom-module \((V, \phi, \psi)\) with structure maps \(\wedge : V \otimes A \to V\) and \(\delta : V \otimes A \to V\) such that the following equalities hold:

\[
\wedge(\wedge(v, x), \beta(y)) = \wedge(\phi(v), \mu(x, y))
\]

\[
\delta(\phi(v), \{x, \alpha(y)\}) = \delta(\delta(v, x), \alpha(\beta(y)) - \delta(\delta(v, \beta(x)), \alpha(y))
\]

\[
\delta(\wedge(v, x), \alpha(\beta(y))) = \delta(\phi(v), \{x, \alpha(y)\}) + \wedge(\delta(v, \beta(x)), \alpha(y))
\]

\[
\delta(\phi(v), \mu(x, \alpha(y))) = \wedge(\delta(v, x), \alpha(\beta(y)) + \wedge(\delta(v, \beta(x)), \alpha(y))
\]
Remark 5.4. 1. A left BiHom-Poisson $A$-module is a BiHom-module $(V, \phi, \psi)$ with structure maps $\lambda : A \otimes V \to V$ and $\rho : A \otimes V \to V$ such that $(V, \phi, \psi, \lambda)$ is a left BiHom-associative $A$-module, $(V, \phi, \psi, \rho)$ is a left BiHom-Lie $A$-module \cite{[1]} and \cite{[7]} and \cite{[8, 8]} hold. Similarly a right BiHom-Poisson $A$-module is a BiHom-module $(V, \phi, \psi)$ with structure maps $\wedge : V \otimes A \to V$ and $\delta : V \otimes A \to V$ such that $(V, \phi, \psi, \Lambda)$ is a right BiHom-associative $A$-module, $(V, \phi, \psi, \delta)$ is a right BiHom-Lie $A$-module and \cite{[7]} and \cite{[8, 12]} hold.

2. If $\alpha = \beta = I$ and $\phi = \psi = I$, we recover a left (respectively a right) Poisson module. Thus if $(A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu)$ is a Poisson algebra and $V$ is a left Poisson $A$-module in the usual sense, then $(V, I, \mu, \mu)$ is a left BiHom-Poisson $A$-module where $A = (A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, I, I_A, I_A)$ is a BiHom-Poisson algebra.

The following results allow to give some examples of left BiHom-Poisson $A$-modules.

Proposition 5.5. Let $(A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be a regular BiHom-Poisson algebra. Then $(A, \alpha, \beta)$ is a left BiHom-Poisson $A$-module where the structure maps are $\lambda(a, b) = \mu(a, b)$ and $\rho(a, b) = \{a, b\}$. More generally, if $B$ is a left BiHom-ideal of $(A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$, then $(B, \alpha, \beta)$ is a left BiHom-Poisson $A$-module where the structure maps are $\lambda(a, x) = \mu(a, x)$, $\rho(x, a) = \{x, a\}$ for all $x \in B$ and $(a, b) \in A^2$

Proof. The fact that $\lambda$ and $\rho$ are structure maps follows from the multiplicativity of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with respect to $\mu$ and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$. Next, observe that from the BiHom-commutativity of $\mu$ and the BiHom-skew-symmetry of $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ that $\mu(x, y) = \mu(\alpha^{-1}b(y), \alpha^{-1}b(x))$ and $\{x, y\} = -\{\alpha^{-1}b(y), \alpha^{-1}b(x)\}$ for all $x, y \in A$. Now, pick $(x, y, v) \in A^3$ then, we have by the BiHom-associativity

$$\lambda(\alpha(x), \lambda(y, v)) = \mu(\alpha(x), \mu(y, v)) = \mu(\mu(x, y), \beta(v)) = \lambda(\mu(x, y), \beta(v))$$

Next, compute \cite{[5, 6]} using the BiHom-Jacobi identity in the third line, as follows

$$\rho(\{\beta(x), y\}, \beta(v)) = \{\{\beta(x), y\}, \beta(v)\} = \{\alpha^{-1}b(\beta(v)), \alpha^{-1}\{\alpha^{-1}b(y), \alpha^{-1}(\beta(x))\}\}$$

$$= \{\beta^2(\alpha^{-1}(v)), \{\beta^{-1}(y), \alpha(\beta^{-1}(x))\}\}$$

$$= -\{\beta^2(\beta^{-1}(y)), \alpha(\beta^{-1}(x)), \alpha^{-1}(v)\} - \{\beta^2(\beta^{-1}(x)), \alpha^{-1}(y), \alpha(\beta^{-1}(y))\}$$

Similarly, using \cite{[2, 3]}, we compute

$$\rho(\alpha(x), \lambda(y, v)) = \{\alpha(\beta(x), y), \mu(y, v)\} = \mu(\{\beta(x), y\}, \beta(v)) + \mu(\beta(y), \{\alpha(x), v\})$$

$$= \lambda(\{\beta(x), y\}, \beta(v)) + \lambda(\beta(y), \mu(\alpha(x), v))$$

Finally, we obtain \cite{[6, 8]} as follows

$$\rho(\mu(b(x), y), b(v)) = \{\mu(\beta(x), y), b(v)\} = -\{\alpha^{-1}b(\beta(v)), \alpha^{-1}\mu(\beta(x), y)\}$$

$$= -\{\alpha\beta(\alpha^{-2}(\beta(v)), \mu(\beta(x), \alpha^{-1}(y))\} = -\{\alpha\beta(\alpha^{-2}(\beta(v)), \alpha(x)\}, \beta^{-1}(y)\}$$

$$-\mu(\beta(\alpha(x)), \{\alpha^{-2}(\beta(v)), \alpha^{-1}(y)\}) \ 	ext{ (by \cite{[2, 3]} }$$

$$= -\mu(\{\alpha^{-2}(\beta(\alpha(x)), \alpha(y)\) - \mu(\beta(\alpha(x), \{\alpha^{-1}(\beta(v), \alpha^{-1}(y)\}\})$$

$$-\mu(\alpha^{-1}(\beta(y), \alpha^{-1}\{\beta^{-1}(\alpha(x))\} + \mu(\alpha\beta(x), \{y, v\})$$

$$= \mu(\beta(y), \{\alpha(x), v\}) + \mu(\alpha\beta(x), \{y, v\}) = \lambda(\beta(y), \mu(\alpha(x), v)) + \lambda(\alpha\beta(x), \rho(y, v)).$$
Hence \((A, \alpha, \beta)\) is a left BiHom-Poisson \(A\)-module. Similarly, we prove that more generally, any two-sided BiHom-ideal \((B, \alpha, \beta)\) of \((A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)\) is a left BiHom-Poisson \(A\)-module. 

**Remark 5.6.** The analogous of Proposition 5.5 can be proved for right BiHom-Poisson algebras.

More generally, we prove:

**Proposition 5.7.** If \(f : (A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_A, \mu_A, \alpha_A, \beta_A) \rightarrow (B, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_A, \mu_B, \alpha_B, \beta_B)\) is a morphism of BiHom-Poisson algebras and \(\mu_B\) and \(\beta_B\) are invertible then, \((B, \alpha_B, \beta_B)\) becomes a left BiHom-Poisson \(A\)-module via \(f\), i.e., the structure maps are defined as \(\lambda(a, b) = \mu_B(f(a), b)\) and \(\rho(a, b) = \{f(a), b\}_B\) for all \((a, b) \in A \times B\).

**Proof.** The fact that \(\lambda\) and \(\rho\) are structure maps follows from the multiplicativity of \(\alpha_B\) and \(\beta_B\) with respect to \(\mu_B\) and \(\{\cdot, \cdot\}_B\). Next, observe that from the BiHom-commutativity of \(\mu_B\) and the BiHom-skew-symmetry of \(\{\cdot, \cdot\}_B\) that \(\mu_B(b_1, b_2) = \mu_B(\alpha_B^{-1}(b_2), \alpha_B^{-1}(b_1))\) and \(\{b_1, b_2\}_B = -\{\alpha_B^{-1}(b_2), \alpha_B^{-1}(b_1)\}_B\) for all \(b_1, b_2 \in B\). Now, pick \((x, y) \in A \times B\) then since \(f\) is a morphism of BiHom-algebras, we have by the BiHom-associativity in \(B\)

\[
\lambda(\alpha_A(x), \lambda(y, v)) = \mu_B(f(\alpha_A(x)), \mu_B(f(y), v)) = \mu_B(\alpha_B(f(x)), \mu_B(f(y), v)) = \mu_B(f(\mu_B(x, y)), \beta_B(v))
\]

Next, compute (5.6) using the BiHom-Jacobi identity in the third line, as follows

\[
\rho(\{\beta_B(x), \{\beta_B(y), \{\beta_B(z), \{\beta_B(w), v\}_B\}_B\}_B\}_B\}_B) = \{\beta_B(f(y)), \{\beta_B(f(x)), \{\beta_B(f(z)), \{\beta_B(f(w)), v\}_B\}_B\}_B\}_B\}
\]

Similarly, using (2.3) for \(B\) and \(f\) is a morphism, we compute

\[
\rho(\alpha_B(\beta_B(x), \lambda(y, v))) = \{f(\alpha_B(\beta_B(x)), \mu_B(f(y), v))\}_B = \{\alpha_B(\beta_B(f(x)), \mu_B(f(y), v))\}_B\}
\]


Finally, using \( f \) is a morphism we obtain (5.8) as follows

\[
\rho(\mu_A(\beta_A(x), y), \beta_B(v)) = \{ f \mu_A(\beta_A(x), y), \beta_B(v) \} B
\]
\[
= -\{ \alpha_B^{-1} \beta_B(\beta_B(v)), \alpha_B \beta_B^{-1} f \mu_A(\beta_A(x), y) \} B
\]
\[
= -\{ \alpha_B \beta_B(\alpha_B^{-2} \beta_B(v)), \mu_B(\alpha_B(f(x)), \alpha_B \beta_B^{-1}(f(y))) \} B
\]
\[
= -\mu_B(\{ \beta_B(\alpha_B^{-2} \beta_B(v)), \alpha_B(f(x)) \} B, \beta_B^{-1}(\alpha_B f(y)))
\]
\[
- \mu_B(\beta_B(\alpha_B f(x)), \{ \alpha_B(\alpha_B^{-2} \beta_B(v)), \alpha_B \beta_B^{-1}(f(y)) \}) ( \text{by (2.3) in } B)
\]
\[
= -\mu_B(\{ \beta_B^2 \alpha_B^{-2}(v), \alpha_B(f(x)) \} B, \alpha_B(f(y)))
\]
\[
- \mu_B(\beta_B \alpha_B(f(x)), \{ \alpha_B^{-1} \beta_B(v), \alpha_B \beta_B^{-1}(f(y)) \} B)
\]
\[
= -\mu_B(\alpha_B^{-1} \beta_B(\alpha_B f(y)), \alpha_B \beta_B^{-1}(\beta_B^2 \alpha_B^{-2}(v), \alpha_B(f(x)) \} B) + \mu_B(\alpha_B \beta_B(f(x)), \{ f(y), v \} B)
\]
\[
= -\mu_B(\beta_B(f(y)), \{ \beta_B \alpha_B^{-1}(v), \alpha_B \beta_B^{-1}(\alpha_B f(x)) \}) + \mu_B(\alpha_B \beta_B(f(x)), \{ f(y), v \} B)
\]
\[
= \mu_B(\beta_B(f(y)), \{ \alpha_B(f(x)), v \} B) + \mu_B(\alpha_B \beta_B(f(x)), \{ f(y), v \} B)
\]
\[
= \mu_B(f(\beta_B(y)), \{ f(\alpha_A(x)), v \} B) + \mu_B(f(\alpha_A \beta_A(x)), \{ f(y), v \} B)
\]
\[
= \lambda(\beta_A(y), \rho(\alpha_A(x), v)) + \lambda(\alpha_A \beta_A(x), \rho(y, v))
\]

Hence \((B, \alpha_B, \beta_B)\) is a left BiHom-Poisson \(A\)-module. \(\square\)

Similarly, we can prove:

**Proposition 5.8.** If \( f : (A, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_A, \mu_A, \alpha_A, \beta_A) \rightarrow (B, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_B, \mu_B, \alpha_B, \beta_B) \) is a morphism of BiHom-Poisson algebras and \( \alpha_B \) and \( \beta_B \) are invertible then, \((B, \alpha_B, \beta_B)\) becomes a right BiHom-Poisson \(A\)-module via \( f \), i.e., the structure maps are defined as \( \lambda(b, a) = \mu_B(b, f(a)) \) and \( \rho(b, a) = \{ b, f(a) \} B \) for all \((a, b) \in A \times B\).

As the case of BiHom-alternative and BiHom-Jordan algebras [7], in order to give another example of left BiHom-Poisson modules, let us consider the following:

**Definition 5.9.** An abelian extension of BiHom-Poisson algebras is a short exact sequence of BiHom-Poisson algebras

\[
0 \rightarrow (V, \alpha_V, \beta_V) \overset{i}{\rightarrow} (A, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_A, \mu_A, \alpha_A, \beta_A) \overset{\pi}{\rightarrow} (B, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_B, \mu_B, \alpha_B, \beta_B) \rightarrow 0
\]

where \((V, \alpha_V, \beta_V)\) is a trivial BiHom-Poisson algebra, \( i \) and \( \pi \) are morphisms of BiHom-algebras. Furthermore, if there exists a morphism \( s : (B, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_B, \mu_B, \alpha_B, \beta_B) \rightarrow (A, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_A, \mu_A, \alpha_A, \beta_A) \) such that \( \pi \circ s = id_B \) then the abelian extension is said to be split and \( s \) is called a section of \( \pi \).

**Example 5.10.** Given an abelian extension as in the previous definition, the BiHom-module \((V, \alpha_V, \beta_V)\) inherits a structure of a left BiHom-Poisson \(B\)-module and the actions of the BiHom-algebra \((B, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_B, \mu_B, \alpha_B, \beta_B)\) on \( V \) are as follows. For any \( x \in B \), there exist \( \tilde{x} \in A \) such that \( x = \pi(\tilde{x}) \). Let \( x \) acts on \( v \in V \) by \( \lambda(x, v) := \mu_A(\tilde{x}, i(v)) \) and \( \rho(x, v) := \{ \tilde{x}, i(v) \} A \).

These are well-defined, as another lift \( \tilde{x} ' \) of \( x \) is written \( \tilde{x} ' = \tilde{x} + v' \) for some \( v' \in V \) and thus \( \lambda(x, v) = \mu_A(\tilde{x}, i(v)) = \mu_A(\tilde{x}', i(v)) \) and \( \rho(x, v) = \{ \tilde{x}, i(v) \} = \{ \tilde{x}', i(v) \} A \) because \( V \) is trivial. The actions property follow from the BiHom-Poisson identities. In case these actions of \( B \) on \( V \) are trivial, one speaks of a central extension.

The next result allow to construct a sequence of left BiHom-Poisson modules from a given one.
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Proposition 5.11. Let \((A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)\) be a BiHom-Poisson algebra and \(V_{\phi, \psi} = (V, \phi, \psi)\) be a left BiHom-Poisson \(A\)-module with the structure maps \(\lambda\) and \(\rho\). Then for each \(n, m \in \mathbb{N}\), the maps
\[
\lambda^{(n, m)} = \lambda \circ (\alpha^n \beta^m \otimes \text{Id}_V) \quad (5.13)
\]
\[
\rho^{(n, m)} = \rho \circ (\alpha^n \beta^m \otimes \text{Id}_V) \quad (5.14)
\]
give the BiHom-module \((V, \phi, \psi)\) the structure of a left BiHom-Poisson \(A\)-module that we denote by \(V_{\phi, \psi}^{(n, m)}\).

Proof. Since the structure map \(\lambda\) is a morphism of BiHom-modules, we get:
\[
\phi \lambda^{(n, m)} = \phi \rho^{(n, m)} \circ (\alpha^n \beta^m \otimes \text{Id}_V) \quad (\text{by } 5.12)
\]
\[
= \lambda \circ (\alpha^{n+1} \beta^m \otimes \phi) = \lambda \circ (\alpha^n \beta^m \otimes \text{Id}_V) \circ (\alpha \otimes \phi) = \lambda^{(n, m)} \circ (\alpha \otimes \phi)
\]

Similarly, we get that \(\psi \lambda^{(n, m)} = \lambda^{(n, m)} \circ (\beta \otimes \psi), \phi \rho^{(n, m)} = \rho^{(n, m)} \circ (\phi \otimes \alpha)\) and \(\psi \rho^{(n, m)} = \rho^{(n, m)} \circ (\psi \otimes \beta)\). Thus \(\rho^{(n, m)}\) and \(\rho_{\phi, \psi}\) are morphisms of BiHom-modules. First, pick \((x, y) \in A^{\times 2}\) and \(v \in V\), then using (5.5) in the second line for \(V_{\phi, \psi}\), we get
\[
\lambda^{(n, m)}(\alpha(x), \lambda^{(n, m)}(y, v)) = \lambda(\alpha^{n+1} \beta^m(x), \lambda(\alpha^n \beta^m(y, v)))
\]
\[
= \lambda(\mu(\alpha^n \beta^m(x), \alpha^n \beta^m(y)), v) = \lambda^{(n, m)}(\mu(x, y), v)
\]
Secondly, we compute
\[
\rho^{(n, m)}(\{\beta(x), y\}, \psi(v)) = \rho(\{\alpha^n \beta^m+1(x), \beta(y)\}, \psi(v))
\]
\[
= \rho(\alpha \beta \alpha^n \beta^m(x), \alpha^n \beta^m(y), v) - \rho(\beta \alpha \alpha^n \beta^m(x), \alpha^n \beta^m(y)) \quad (\text{by } 5.6 \text{ in } V_{\phi, \psi})
\]
\[
= \lambda^{(n, m)}(\alpha \beta(x), \lambda^{(n, m)}(y, v)) - \lambda^{(n, m)}(\beta(x), \lambda^{(n, m)}(\alpha(y), v))
\]
Next, we obtain
\[
\rho^{(n, m)}(\beta(x), \lambda^{(n, m)}(y, v)) = \rho(\beta \alpha \alpha^n \beta^m(x), \lambda(\alpha^n \beta^m(y))\), v)
\]
\[
= \lambda(\beta \alpha \alpha^n \beta^m(x), \alpha^n \beta^m(y)), \psi(v) + \lambda(\beta \alpha \alpha^n \beta^m(x), \alpha^n \beta^m(y))\), v) \quad (\text{by } 5.7 \text{ in } V_{\phi, \psi})
\]
\[
= \lambda^{(n, m)}(\{\beta(x), y\}, \psi(v)) + \lambda^{(n, m)}(\beta(x), \rho^{(n, m)}(\alpha(x), v))
\]
Finally, we compute
\[
\rho^{(n, m)}(\mu(\beta(x), y), \psi(v)) = \rho(\mu(\beta \alpha \alpha^n \beta^m(x), \alpha^n \beta^m(y)), \psi(v))
\]
\[
= \lambda(\beta \alpha \alpha^n \beta^m(x), \alpha^n \beta^m(y)), v) + \lambda(\beta \alpha \alpha^n \beta^m(x), \alpha^n \beta^m(y)), v) \quad (\text{by } 5.7 \text{ in } V_{\phi, \psi})
\]
\[
= \lambda^{(n, m)}(\beta(x), \rho^{(n, m)}(\alpha(x), v)) + \lambda^{(n, m)}(\beta(x), \rho^{(n, m)}(\alpha(x), v)).
\]
Hence, \(V_{\phi, \psi}^{(n, m)}\) is a left BiHom-Poisson \(A\)-module.

Proposition 5.11 reads for the case of right BiHom-Poisson module as:

Proposition 5.12. Let \((A, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \mu, \alpha, \beta)\) be a BiHom-Poisson algebra and \(V_{\phi, \psi} = (V, \phi, \psi)\) be a right BiHom-Poisson \(A\)-module with the structure maps \(\land\) and \(\delta\). Then for each \(n, m \in \mathbb{N}\), the maps
\[
\land^{(n, m)} = \land \circ (\text{Id}_V \otimes \alpha^n \beta^m) \quad (5.15)
\]
\[
\delta^{(n, m)} = \delta \circ (\text{Id}_V \otimes \alpha^n \beta^m) \quad (5.16)
\]
give the BiHom-module \((V, \phi, \psi)\) the structure of a right BiHom-Poisson \(A\)-module that we denote by \(V_{\phi, \psi}^{(n, m)}\).
Theorem 5.13. Let \((A,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\mu)\) be a Poisson algebra, \(V\) be a left Poisson \(A\)-module with the structure maps \(\lambda, \rho\) and \(\alpha, \beta\) be endomorphisms of the Jordan algebra \(A\) and \(\phi, \psi\) be linear self-maps of \(V\) such that \(\phi\circ \lambda = \lambda \circ (\alpha \otimes \phi)\), \(\phi \circ \rho = \rho \circ (\alpha \otimes \phi)\), \(\psi \circ \lambda = \lambda \circ (\beta \otimes \psi)\) and \(\psi \circ \rho = \rho \circ (\beta \otimes \psi)\). Write \(A_{\alpha,\beta}\) for the BiHom-Poisson algebra \((A,\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{\alpha,\beta} = \{\cdot,\cdot\}(\alpha \otimes \beta),\mu_{\alpha,\beta} = \mu(\alpha \otimes \beta),\alpha,\beta)\) and \(V_{\phi,\psi}\) for the BiHom-module \((V,\phi,\psi)\). Then the maps:

\[
\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda \circ (\alpha \beta \otimes \psi) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\rho} = \rho \circ (\alpha \beta \otimes \psi)
\]

(5.17)

give the BiHom-module \(V_{\phi,\psi}\) the structure of a left BiHom-Poisson \(A_{\alpha,\beta}\)-module.

Proof. It is clear that \(\tilde{\lambda}\) and \(\tilde{\rho}\) are morphisms of BiHom-modules. Next, pick \((x,y) \in A^{\times 2}\) and \(v \in V\), then using (5.6) for \(V_{\phi,\psi}\) in the second line we get:

\[
\tilde{\lambda}(\alpha x, \tilde{\lambda}(y,v)) = \lambda(\alpha^2 \beta(x), \lambda(\alpha^2 \beta(y), \psi^2(v)))
\]

\[
= \lambda(\mu(\alpha^2 \beta(x), \alpha^2 \beta(y)), \psi^2(v)) = \tilde{\lambda}(\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(x,y), \psi(v))
\]

Secondly, we compute

\[
\tilde{\rho}(\{\beta(x),y\}_{\alpha,\beta}, \psi(v)) = \rho(\{\alpha^2 \beta^2(x), \alpha^2 \beta(y)\}, \psi^2(v))
\]

\[
= \rho(\alpha^2 \beta^2(x), \rho(\alpha \beta^2(y), \psi^2(v))) - \rho(\alpha \beta^2(y), \rho(\alpha^2 \beta^2(x), \psi^2(v))) \quad \text{(by (5.6) in } V_{\phi,\psi})
\]

\[
= \tilde{\lambda}(\alpha \beta(x), \tilde{\lambda}(y,v)) - \tilde{\lambda}(\beta(y), \tilde{\lambda}(\alpha(y,v))
\]

Next, we obtain

\[
\tilde{\rho}(\beta \alpha(x), \tilde{\lambda}(y,v)) = \rho(\alpha^2 \beta(x), \lambda(\alpha^2 \beta(y), \psi^2(v)))
\]

\[
= \lambda(\alpha \beta^2(x), \lambda(\alpha \beta^2(y), \psi^2(v))) - \lambda(\alpha \beta^2(y), \rho(\alpha^2 \beta^2(x), \psi^2(v))) \quad \text{(by (5.7) in } V_{\phi,\psi})
\]

\[
= \tilde{\lambda}(\{\beta(x),y\}_{\alpha,\beta}, \psi(v)) + \tilde{\lambda}(\beta(y), \tilde{\rho}(\alpha(x,v))
\]

Finally, we compute

\[
\tilde{\rho}(\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta(x),y), \psi(v)) = \rho(\mu(\alpha^2 \beta^2(x), \alpha^2 \beta(y)), \psi^2(v))
\]

\[
= \lambda(\alpha^2 \beta^2(x), \rho(\alpha \beta^2(y), \psi^2(v))) + \lambda(\alpha \beta^2(y), \rho(\alpha^2 \beta^2(x), \psi^2(v))) \quad \text{(by (5.7) in } V_{\phi,\psi})
\]

\[
= \tilde{\lambda}(\alpha \beta(x), \tilde{\rho}(y,v)) + \tilde{\lambda}(\beta(y), \tilde{\rho}(\alpha(x,v))
\]

Hence, \(V_{\phi,\psi}^{(n,m)}\) is a left BiHom-Poisson \(A\)-module. \(\square\)

Corollary 5.14. Let \((A,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\mu)\) be a Poisson algebra, \(V\) be a left Poisson \(A\)-module with the structure maps \(\lambda\) and \(\rho\), \(\alpha\), \(\beta\) be endomorphisms of the Poisson algebra \(A\) and \(\phi, \psi\) be linear self-maps of \(V\) such that \(\phi \circ \lambda = \lambda \circ (\alpha \otimes \phi)\), \(\phi \circ \rho = \rho \circ (\alpha \otimes \phi)\), \(\psi \circ \lambda = \lambda \circ (\beta \otimes \psi)\) and \(\psi \circ \rho = \rho \circ (\beta \otimes \psi)\). Write \(A_{\alpha,\beta}\) for the BiHom-Poisson algebra \((A,\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{\alpha,\beta} = \{\cdot,\cdot\}(\alpha \otimes \beta),\mu_{\alpha,\beta} = \mu(\alpha \otimes \beta),\alpha,\beta)\) and \(V_{\phi,\psi}\) for the BiHom-module \((V,\phi,\psi)\). Then the maps:

\[
\tilde{\lambda}^{(n,m)} = \lambda \circ (\alpha^{n+1} \beta^{m+1} \otimes \psi) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\rho}^{(n,m)} = \rho \circ (\alpha^{n+1} \beta^{m+1} \otimes \psi)
\]

(5.18)

give the BiHom-module \(V_{\phi,\psi}\) the structure of a left BiHom-Poisson \(A_{\alpha,\beta}\)-module for all \(n, m \in \mathbb{N}\).

Proof. The proof follows from Proposition 5.11 and Theorem 5.13. \(\square\)
Similarly, we can prove the following result which is the analogous of Theorem 5.15 for right BiHom-Poisson modules.

**Theorem 5.15.** Let \((A,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\mu)\) be a Poisson algebra, \(V\) be a right Poisson \(A\)-module with the structure maps \(\lambda,\rho\) and \(\alpha,\beta\) be endomorphisms of the Jordan algebra \(A\) and \(\phi,\psi\) be linear self-maps of \(V\) such that \(\phi \lambda = \lambda \phi (\alpha \otimes \phi), \phi \rho = \rho (\alpha \otimes \phi), \psi \lambda = \lambda \psi (\beta \otimes \psi)\) and \(\psi \rho = \rho (\beta \otimes \psi)\). Write \(A_{\alpha,\beta}\) for the BiHom-Poisson algebra \((A,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\alpha,\beta,\mu,\mu)\) and \(V_{\phi,\psi}\) for the BiHom-module \((V,\phi,\psi)\). Then the maps:

\[
\tilde{\alpha} = \lambda \circ (\phi \otimes \alpha \beta) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\beta} = \rho \circ (\phi \otimes \alpha \beta)
\]

(5.19)
geive the BiHom-module \(V_{\phi,\psi}\) the structure of a right BiHom-Poisson \(A_{\alpha,\beta}\)-module.

In the case of Poisson algebras, we can form semidirect products when given a left (or a right) module. Similarly, we have

**Theorem 5.16.** Let \((A,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\mu,\alpha,\beta)\) be a BiHom-Poisson algebra and \((V,\phi,\psi)\) be a left \(A\)-module with the structure maps \(\lambda\) and \(\rho\). Then \((A \oplus V,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\ast,\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta})\) is a BiHom-Poisson algebra where \(*,\cdot : (A \oplus V)^{\otimes 2} \to A \oplus V, (a+u) \ast (b+v) := \mu(a,b) + \lambda(a,v) + \lambda(\alpha^{-1}\beta(b),\psi^{-1}\phi(u)), [a+u,b+v] := \{a,b\} + \rho(a,v) - \rho(\alpha^{-1}\beta(b),\psi^{-1}\phi(u))\) and \(\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta} : A \oplus V \to A \oplus V, \tilde{\alpha}(a+u) := \alpha(a) + \phi(u)\) and \(\tilde{\beta}(a+u) := \beta(a) + \psi(u)\) called the semidirect product of the BiHom-Poisson \((A,\mu,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\alpha,\beta)\) and \((V,\phi,\psi)\).

**Proof.** Clearly, \(\tilde{\alpha}\) and \(\tilde{\beta}\) are multiplicative with respect to \(\ast\) and \([\cdot,\cdot]\). Next

\[
\tilde{\beta}(a+u) \ast \tilde{\alpha}(b+v) = (\beta(a) + \psi(u)) \ast (\alpha(b) + \phi(v)) = \mu(\beta(a),\alpha(b)) + \lambda(\beta(a),\phi(v)) = \mu(\beta(b),\alpha(a)) + \lambda(\beta(b),\phi(u) + \lambda(\beta(a),\phi(v)) \quad \text{(by the BiHom-commutativity of } \mu) \quad \text{(5.20)}
\]

Next, pick \((a,b,c) \in A^{\otimes 2}\) and \((u,v,w) \in V^{\otimes 2}\), then

\[
\left((a+u) \ast (b+v)\right) \ast \tilde{\beta}(c+w) = \left((\mu(a,b) + \lambda(a,v) + \lambda(\alpha^{-1}\beta(b),\psi^{-1}\phi(u))\right) \ast (\beta(c) + \psi(w)) = \mu(\mu(a,b),\beta(c)) + \lambda(\mu(a,b),\psi(w)) + \lambda(\alpha^{-1}\beta^2(c),\beta^{-1}\alpha(a),\phi\psi^{-1}(v)) + \lambda(\alpha^{-1}\beta(\beta(c),b),\psi^{-1}\phi^2(u)) \quad \text{(5.21)}
\]

Similarly, we prove that

\[
\tilde{\alpha}(a+u) \ast \left((b+v) \ast (c+w)\right) = \mu(\mu(a,b),\beta(c)) + \lambda(\mu(a,b),\psi(w)) + \lambda(\mu(a,\beta^{-1}(c)),\phi(v)) + \lambda(\mu(\alpha^{-1}\beta(b),\alpha^{-1}\beta(c),\psi^{-1}\phi^2(u)) \quad \text{(using BiHom-commutativity) }
\]

Hence \((A \oplus V,\ast,\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta})\) is a BiHom-commutative BiHom-associative algebra. Now, observe that \((A \oplus V,\{\cdot,\cdot\},\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta})\) is a BiHom-Lie algebra (Proposition 4.9, [15]). Finally, let \(a,b,c \in A^{\otimes 2}\)
and \((u, v, w) \in V \times 2\). Then
\[
[\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}(a + u), (b + v) * (c + w)] = [\alpha\beta(a) + \phi\psi(u), \mu(b, c) + \lambda(b, w) + \lambda(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x), \psi^{-1}\phi(v))]
\]
\[
= \{\alpha\beta(a), \mu(b, c)\} + \rho(\alpha\beta(a), \lambda(b, w)) + \rho(\alpha\beta(a), \lambda(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x), \psi^{-1}\phi(v)))
\]
\[
-\rho(\mu(\beta\alpha^{-1}(b), \beta\alpha^{-1}(c)), \psi^{-1}\phi^2(u)) = \mu(\{\beta(a), b\}, \beta(c))
\]
\[
+\mu(\beta(c), \{\alpha(a), c\}) + \lambda(\beta(a), b), \psi(v)) + \lambda(\beta(b), \rho(\alpha(a), w)) + \lambda(\{\beta(a), \alpha^{-1}\beta(c)\}, \phi(v))
\]
\[
+\lambda(\beta\alpha^{-1}(c), \rho(\alpha(a), \psi^{-1}(\phi(v)))) = \lambda(\beta(b), \rho(\beta\alpha^{-1}(c), \psi^{-1}\phi^2(u)))
\]
\[
-\lambda(\beta\alpha^{-1}(c), \rho(b, \psi^{-1}\phi^2(u))) = (\text{by (2.3), (5.7), (5.8)})
\]
\[
= \left(\mu(\{\beta(a), b\}, \beta(c)) + \lambda(\{\beta(a), b\}, \psi(v)) + \lambda(\beta\alpha^{-1}(c), \rho(\alpha(a), \psi^{-1}\phi(v)))
\right)
\]
\[
-\lambda(\beta\alpha^{-1}(c), \rho(b, \psi^{-1}\phi^2(u)))
\]
\[
+\left(\mu(\beta(c), \{\alpha(a), c\}) + \lambda(\beta(b), \rho(\alpha(a), w))
\right)
\]
\[
-\lambda(\beta(b), \rho(\beta\alpha^{-1}(c), \psi^{-1}\phi^2(u))) + \lambda(\{\beta(a), \alpha^{-1}\beta(c)\}, \phi(v))
\] (rearranging terms)
\[
= [\bar{\beta}(a + u), (b + v)] * \bar{\beta}(c + w) + \bar{\beta}(b + v) * [\bar{\alpha}(a + u), (c + w)]
\]

Hence, the conclusion follows. \(\square\)

**Remark 5.17.** Consider the split null extension \(A \oplus V\) determined by the left BiHom-Poisson module \((V, \phi, \psi)\) for the BiHom-Poisson algebra \((A, \cdot, \cdot, \mu, \alpha, \beta)\) in the previous theorem. Write elements \(a + v\) of \(A \oplus V\) as \((a, v)\). Then there is an injective homomorphism of BiHom-modules \(i : V \rightarrow A \oplus V\) given by \(i(v) = (0, v)\) and a surjective homomorphism of BiHom-modules \(\pi : A \oplus V \rightarrow A\) given by \(\pi(a, v) = a\). Moreover, \(i(V)\) is a two-sided BiHom-ideal of \(A \oplus V\) such that \(A \oplus V/i(V) \cong A\). On the other hand, there is a morphism of BiHom-algebras \(\sigma : A \rightarrow A \oplus V\) given by \(\sigma(a) = (a, 0)\) which is clearly a section of \(\pi\). Hence, we obtain the abelian split exact sequence of BiHom-Poisson algebras and \((V, \phi, \psi)\) is a left BiHom-Poisson module for \(A\) via \(\pi\).

**Definition 5.18.** Let \((A, \cdot, \cdot, \mu, \alpha, \beta)\) be a BiHom Poisson algebra. A skew-symmetric \(n\)-linear map \(f : A \times \cdots \times A \rightarrow A\) that is a derivation in each argument is called an \(n\)-BiHom-cochain, if it satisfies
\[
f(\alpha(x_1), \cdots, \alpha(x_n)) = \alpha \circ f(x_1, \cdots, x_n),
\]
\[
f(\beta(x_1), \cdots, \beta(x_n)) = \beta \circ f(x_1, \cdots, x_n).
\]
The set of \(n\)-Hom-cochains is denoted by \(C^n_{\alpha, \beta}(A, A)\), for \(n \geq 1\).

**Definition 5.19.** Let \((A, \cdot, \cdot, \mu, \alpha, \beta)\) be a regular BiHom Poisson algebra. For \(n = 1, 2\), the coboundary operator \(\delta^n : C^n_{\alpha, \beta}(A, A) \rightarrow C^{n+1}_{\alpha, \beta}(A, A)\) is defined as follows:
\[
\delta^1 f(x, y) = \{\alpha(x), f(y)\} - \{f(x), \alpha(y)\} - f(\{\alpha^{-1}\beta(x), y\})
\]
\[
\delta^2 f(x, y, z) = \{\alpha\beta(x), f(y, z)\} - \{\alpha\beta(y), f(x, z)\} + \{\alpha\beta(y), f(x, z)\}
\]
\[
- f(\{\alpha^{-1}\beta(x), y\}, \beta(z)) + f(\{\alpha^{-1}\beta(x), z\}, \beta(y)) - f(\{\alpha^{-1}\beta(y), z\}, \beta(x))
\]
\[
(5.22)
\]
\[
(5.23)
\]

**Lemma 5.20.** The coboundary operators \(\delta^n\) are well defined, for \(i = 1, 2\).

**Proof.** For any \(x, y, z \in A\) we have:
\[
\delta^1 f(\alpha(x), \alpha(y)) = \{\alpha^2(x), f(\alpha(y))\} - \{f(\alpha(x), \alpha^2(y)) - f(\{\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha(x), \alpha(y)\})
\]
\[
= \{\alpha^2(x), \alpha f(y)\} - \{\alpha f(x), \alpha^2(y)\} - f(\{\alpha\alpha^{-1}\beta(x), \alpha(y)\}) = \alpha \circ \delta^1 f(x, y)
\]
and
\[
\delta^2 f(\alpha(x), \alpha(y), \alpha(z)) = \{\alpha \beta \alpha(x), f(\alpha(y), \alpha(z))\} - \{\alpha \beta \alpha(y), f(\alpha(x), \alpha(z))\} + \{\alpha \beta \alpha(y), f(\alpha(x), \alpha(z))\} - \{\alpha \beta \alpha(y), f(\alpha(x), \alpha(z))\}
\]
\[
+ \{\alpha \beta \alpha(y), f(\alpha(x), \alpha(z))\} - f(\{\alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha(x), \alpha(y)\}, \beta \alpha(z)) + f(\{\alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha(x), \alpha(z)\}, \beta \alpha(y))
\]
\[
- f(\{\alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha(y), \alpha(z)\}, \beta \alpha(x)) = \{\alpha \beta \alpha(x), f(\alpha(y), \alpha(z))\} - \{\alpha \beta \alpha(y), f(\alpha(x), \alpha(z))\}
\]
\[
+ \{\alpha \beta \alpha(y), f(\alpha(x), \alpha(z))\} - f(\{\alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha(x), \alpha(y)\}, \beta \alpha(z)) + f(\{\alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha(x), \alpha(z)\}, \beta \alpha(y))
\]
\[
- f(\{\alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha(y), \alpha(z)\}, \beta \alpha(x)) = \alpha \circ \delta^2 f(x, y, z)
\]

In the same way, we obtain \(\delta^1 f \circ \beta = \beta \circ \delta^1 f\) and \(\delta^2 f \circ \beta = \beta \circ \delta^2 f\).

Then \(\delta^i\) are well defined, for \(i = 1, 2\).

**Theorem 5.21.** With notations as above, we have
\[
\delta^2 \circ \delta^1 = 0.
\]

**Proof.** Let \(f \in C^1_{\alpha, \beta}(A, A)\) and \((x, y, z) \in A^{\times 3}\) then, we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta^2 \circ \delta^1 f(x, y, z) & = \{\alpha \beta \alpha(x), \{\alpha \beta \alpha(y), f(\alpha(z), f(x, z))\}\} - \{\alpha \beta \alpha(y), \{\alpha \beta \alpha(z), f(x, y)\}\}\{\alpha \beta \alpha(y), \{\alpha \beta \alpha(z), f(x, y)\}\}
\end{align*}
\]

( since \(u, v = -\{\alpha^{-1}(v), \alpha \beta^{-1}(u)\} \forall u, v \in A\) )

\[
= 0 \ (\text{by the BiHom-Jaobi identity})
\]

For \(n = 1, 2\), the map \(f \in C^n_{\alpha, \beta}(A, A)\) is called an \(n\)-BiHom-cocycle \(\delta^n f = 0\). We denote the subspace spanned by \(n\)-BiHom-cocycles by \(Z^n_{\alpha, \beta}(A, A)\) and \(B^n_{\alpha, \beta}(A, A) = \delta^{n-1} C^{n-1}_{\alpha, \beta}(A, A)\).

Since \(\delta^2 \circ \delta^1 = 0\), \(B^2_{\alpha, \beta}(A, A)\) is a subspace of \(Z^2_{\alpha, \beta}(A, A)\). Hence we can define a cohomology space \(H^2_{\alpha, \beta}(A, A)\) of as the factor space \(Z^2_{\alpha, \beta}(A, A)/B^2_{\alpha, \beta}(A, A)\).
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