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Abstract. Let $A$ be a unital locally matrix algebra over a field $F$ of characteristic different from 2. We find necessary and sufficient condition for the Lie algebra $A/F\cdot 1$ to be simple and for the Lie algebra of derivations $\text{Der}(A)$ to be topologically simple. The condition depends on the Steinitz number of $A$ only.
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Introduction

Let $F$ be a ground field of characteristic different from 2 and let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of all positive integers. Recall that an associative $F$–algebra $A$ is called a locally matrix algebra (see [9, 10]) if for an arbitrary finite subset of $A$ there exists a subalgebra $B \subset A$ containing this subset and such that $B \cong M_n(F)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We call a locally matrix algebra unital if it contains unit 1.

Let $\mathbb{P}$ be the set of all primes. An infinite formal product of the form

$$s = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} p^{r_p}, \text{ where } r_p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\} \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{P},$$

is called Steinitz number; see [13]. Denote by symbol $\mathbb{SN}$ the set of all Steinitz numbers. Let

$$s_1 = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} p^{r_p}, \quad s_2 = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} p^{k_p} \in \mathbb{SN}.$$

Then

$$s_1 \cdot s_2 = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} p^{r_p+k_p}, \text{ where } k_p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\},$$

and $t + \infty = \infty + t = \infty + \infty = \infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$. 

Let $A$ be a countable–dimensional unital locally matrix algebra. In \cite{5}, J.G.Glimm defined the Steinitz number $\text{st}(A)$ of the algebra $A$ and proved that the algebra $A$ is uniquely determined by $\text{st}(A)$.

In \cite{2}, we extended Glimm’s definition to unital locally matrix algebras of arbitrary dimensions. For a unital locally matrix algebra $A$ denote by $D(A)$ the set of all numbers $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there exists a subalgebra $A' \subseteq A$, $1 \in A'$, and $A' \cong M_n(F)$. The \textit{Steinitz number} $\text{st}(A)$ of the algebra $A$ is the least common multiple of the set $D(A)$. It turned out that a unital locally matrix algebra $A$ of dimension $> \aleph_0$ is no longer determined by its Steinitz number $\text{st}(A)$; see \cite{2, 3}.

An associative algebra $A$ gives rise to the Lie algebra $A(\cdot) = (A, [a, b] = ab - ba)$. Along with the Lie algebra $A^{(\cdot)}$ we will consider its square $[A, A]$. Let $Z(A)$ denote the center of the associative algebra $A$. In \cite{6}, I. N. Herstein showed that if $A$ is a simple associative algebra then the Lie algebra $[A, A] / Z(A) \cap [A, A]$ is simple. Since a locally matrix algebra $A$ is simple, it follows that the Lie algebra $[A, A]$ is simple.

Let $M_n(F)$ be a matrix algebra,

\[ \text{gl}(n) = (M_n(F))^{(\cdot)}, \quad Z(M_n(F)) = F \cdot 1. \]

The Lie algebra

\[ \text{pgl}(n) = \text{gl}(n) / F \cdot 1 \]

is simple unless $p = \text{char } F > 0$ and $p$ divides $n$; see \cite{11}. We will show that for an infinite–dimensional unital locally matrix algebra $A$ simplicity of the Lie algebra

\[ A^{(\cdot)} / F \cdot 1 \]

depends only on the Steinitz number $\text{st}(A)$.

For a Steinitz number $\| s \|$ denote $\nu_p(s) = k_p$.

**Theorem 1.** The Lie algebra $A^{(\cdot)} / F \cdot 1$ is simple if and only if

(4) \hspace{1cm} \text{char } F = 0

or

\[ \text{char } F = p > 0 \text{ and } \nu_p(\text{st}(A)) = 0 \text{ or } \infty. \]

Recall that a linear transformation $d : A \to A$ of an algebra $A$ is called a \textit{derivation} if

\[ d(ab) = d(a) \cdot b + a \cdot d(b) \]
for arbitrary elements \( a, b \in A \). The vector space \( \text{Der}(A) \) of all derivations of an algebra \( A \) is a Lie algebra with respect to commutation; see [7]. If \( A \) is an associative algebra then for an arbitrary element \( a \in A \) the operator

\[
\text{ad}(a) : A \to A, \quad x \mapsto [a, x],
\]

is an \textit{inner derivation}. The subspace \( \text{Inder}(A) = \{ \text{ad}(a) | a \in A \} \) of all inner derivations is an ideal of the Lie algebra \( \text{Der}(A) \).

Let \( X \) be an arbitrary set. The set \( \text{Map}(X, X) \) of all mappings \( X \to X \) is equipped with Tykhonoff topology; see [12]. The subspace of all derivations \( \text{Der}(A) \) of an algebra \( A \) is closed in \( \text{Map}(A, A) \) in Tykhonoff topology. It makes the Lie algebra \( \text{Der}(A) \) a topological algebra.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( A \) be a unital locally matrix algebra. Then

1. the Lie algebra \([\text{Der}(A), \text{Der}(A)]\) is topologically simple;
2. the Lie algebra \( \text{Der}(A) \) is topologically simple if and only if \( \text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0 \) or \( \text{char } \mathbb{F} = p > 0 \) and \( \nu_p(\text{st}(A)) = 0 \) or \( \infty \).

1. **Proof of the Theorem**

**Proof.** Let \( A \) be a unital locally matrix \( \mathbb{F} \)-algebra. We will show that

\[
A = [A, A] + \mathbb{F} \cdot 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0
\]

or \( \text{char } \mathbb{F} = p > 0 \) and \( \nu_p(\text{st}(A)) = 0 \) or \( \infty \).

Consider a matrix algebra \( M_n(\mathbb{F}) \). Then

\[
[M_n(\mathbb{F}), M_n(\mathbb{F})] = \{ a \in M_n(\mathbb{F}) | \text{tr}(a) = 0 \} = \mathfrak{sl}(n).
\]

If \( \text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0 \) or \( \text{char } \mathbb{F} = p > 0 \) and \( p \) does not divide \( n \) then \( \text{tr}(1) = n \neq 0 \), and therefore

\[
a = \left( a - \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(a) \cdot 1 \right) + \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(a) \cdot 1 \in \mathfrak{sl}(n) + \mathbb{F} \cdot 1
\]

for an arbitrary element \( a \in A \). It implies that

\[
M_n(\mathbb{F}) = [M_n(\mathbb{F}), M_n(\mathbb{F})] + \mathbb{F} \cdot 1.
\]

If \( p \) divides \( n \) then \( \text{tr}(1) = 0 \), hence

\[
[M_n(\mathbb{F}), M_n(\mathbb{F})] + \mathbb{F} \cdot 1 = \mathfrak{sl}(n) \neq M_n(\mathbb{F}).
\]

If \( \text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0 \) or \( \text{char } \mathbb{F} = p > 0 \) and \( p \) does not divide \( \text{st}(A) \) then for an arbitrary matrix subalgebra \( 1 \in A_1 \subset A \), \( A_1 \cong M_n(\mathbb{F}) \) the characteristic \( p \) does not divide \( n \). Hence

\[
A_1 = [A_1, A_1] + \mathbb{F} \cdot 1.
\]

So, \( A = [A, A] + \mathbb{F} \cdot 1 \).
Suppose now that \( p^\infty \) divides \( \text{st}(A) \). Consider a matrix subalgebra

\[ 1 \in A_1 \subset A, \quad A_1 \cong M_n(F) \]  

The number \( n \) divides \( \text{st}(A) \). Since \( p^\infty \) divides \( \text{st}(A) \) it follows that \( pn \) also divides \( \text{st}(A) \). Hence, there exists a subalgebra \( A_2 \subset A \) such that

\[ A_1 \subset A_2, \quad A_2 \cong M_m(F) \]  

and \( p \) divides \( m/n \).

Let \( C \) be the centralizer of the subalgebra \( A_1 \) in \( A_2 \). We have

\[ A_2 = A_1 \otimes_F C, \quad C \cong M_{m/n}(F) \]  

(see [4, 8]). For arbitrary elements \( a \in A_1, b \in C \) we have

\[ \text{tr}_{A_2}(a b) = \text{tr}_{A_1}(a) \cdot \text{tr}_C(b), \]  

where \( \text{tr}_{A_2}, \text{tr}_{A_1}, \text{tr}_C \) are traces in the subalgebras \( A_2, A_1, C \), respectively. We have

\[ \text{tr}_C(1) = \frac{m}{n} = 0. \]

Hence

\[ \text{tr}_{A_2}(A_1 \otimes 1) = \text{tr}_{A_1}(A_1) \cdot \text{tr}_C(1) = \{0\}, \]

and therefore \( A_1 \subseteq [A_2, A_2] \). We showed that if \( p^\infty \) divides \( \text{st}(A) \) then \( A = [A, A] \).

Suppose now that \( \nu_p(\text{st}(A)) = k, \ 1 \leq k < \infty \). Consider a subalgebra

\[ 1 \in A_1 \subset A, \quad A_1 \cong M_{p^k}(F). \]

Choose an element \( a \in A_1 \) such that \( \text{tr}_{A_1}(a) \neq 0 \). We claim that

\[ a \not\in [A, A] + F \cdot 1. \]  

Indeed, if the element \( a \) lies in the right hand side then there exists a subalgebra \( A_2 \subset A \) such that

\[ A_1 \subset A_2, \quad A_2 \cong M_n(F) \]  

and \( a \in [A_2, A_2] + F \cdot 1 \).

Since \( p \) divides \( n \) it follows that \( \text{tr}_{A_2}(1) = 0 \), hence \( \text{tr}_{A_2}(a) = 0 \).

As above, let \( C \) be the centralizer of the subalgebra \( A_1 \) in \( A_2 \), so that \( A_2 = A_1 \otimes_F C \). The algebra \( C \) is isomorphic to the matrix algebra \( M_m(F) \), where \( m = n/p^k \). The number \( m \) is coprime with \( p \), hence \( \text{tr}_C(1) = m \neq 0 \). Now,

\[ \text{tr}_{A_2}(a) = \text{tr}_{A_2}(a \otimes 1) = \text{tr}_{A_1}(a) \cdot \text{tr}_C(1) \neq 0. \]

This contradiction completes the proof of the claim [5].

If \( A = [A, A] + F \cdot 1 \) then

\[ A(-) / F \cdot 1 \cong [A, A] / [A, A] \cap F \cdot 1. \]
In this case, the Lie algebra $A^{(-)} \setminus \mathbb{F} \cdot 1$ is simple by I. N. Herstein’s Theorem [6]. If $A = [A, A] + \mathbb{F} \cdot 1$ is a proper subspace of $A$ then

$$[A, A] + \mathbb{F} \cdot 1 \setminus \mathbb{F} \cdot 1$$

is a proper ideal in the Lie algebra $A^{(-)} \setminus \mathbb{F} \cdot 1$. This completes the proof of Theorem [1]. □

Consider the homomorphism

$$\varphi : A^{(-)} \to \text{Inder}(A), \quad \varphi(a) = \text{ad}(a), \quad a \in A.$$)

Since $\text{Ker} \varphi = Z(A) = \mathbb{F} \cdot 1$ it follows that

$$\text{Inder}(A) \cong A^{(-)} \setminus \mathbb{F} \cdot 1.$$ \hspace{1cm} (1)

**Corollary 1.** (1) The Lie algebra $[\text{Inder}(A), \text{Inder}(A)]$ is simple.

(2) The Lie algebra $\text{Inder}(A)$ is simple if and only if $\text{char} \mathbb{F} = 0$ or $\text{char} \mathbb{F} = p > 0$ and $\nu_p(\text{st}(A)) = 0$ or $\infty$.

**Proof.** The Lie algebra

$$[\text{Inder}(A), \text{Inder}(A)] \cong [A, A] \setminus [A, A] \cap \mathbb{F} \cdot 1$$

is simple by I. N. Herstein’s Theorem [6]. The part (2) immediately follows from Theorem [1]. □

**2. Proof of the Theorem [2]**

**Lemma 1.** Let $A$ be an infinite–dimensional locally matrix algebra. Let $d \in \text{Der}(A)$ and suppose that $d([A, A])$ lies in the center of the algebra $A$. Then $d = 0$.

**Proof.** Let $Z$ be the center of $A$. If $A$ is not unital then $Z = \{0\}$. If $A$ is unital then $Z = \mathbb{F} \cdot 1$. Consider a subalgebra $A_1 \subset A$ such that $A_1 \cong M_n(\mathbb{F})$ for some $n \geq 4$, and let $\varphi : M_n(\mathbb{F}) \to A_1$ be an isomorphism.

An arbitrary matrix unit $e_{ij}$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, lies in $[M_n(\mathbb{F}), M_n(\mathbb{F})]$. Choose distinct indices $1 \leq i, j, s, t \leq n$. Then $e_{ij} = [e_{is}, e_{sj}]$. Hence

$$d(\varphi(e_{ij})) \in Z\varphi(e_{is}) + Z\varphi(e_{sj}).$$

On the other hand, $e_{ij} = [e_{it}, e_{tj}]$, which implies

$$d(\varphi(e_{ij})) \in Z\varphi(e_{it}) + Z\varphi(e_{tj}).$$

Hence $d(\varphi(e_{ij})) = 0$. The algebra $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is generated by matrix units $e_{ij}$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. Hence $d(\varphi(M_n(\mathbb{F}))) = \{0\}$, and therefore $d(A) = \{0\}$. This completes the proof of the Lemma. □

In [1], we showed that for an arbitrary locally matrix algebra $A$ the ideal $\text{Inder}(A)$ is dense in the Lie algebra $\text{Der}(A)$ in the Tykhonoff topology.
Proof of Theorem 2

(1) Let \( I \) be a nonzero closed ideal of the Lie algebra \([\text{Der}(A), \text{Der}(A)]\). Choose a nonzero element \( d \in I \). For an arbitrary element \( a \in [A, A] \) we have

\[
[d, \text{ad}(a)] = \text{ad}(d(a)) \in [\text{Inder}(A), \text{Inder}(A)].
\]

By Lemma 1, we can choose an element \( a \in [A, A] \) so that \( d(a) \neq 0 \). Hence

\[
I \cap [\text{Inder}(A), \text{Inder}(A)] \neq \{0\}.
\]

Since the Lie algebra \([\text{Inder}(A), \text{Inder}(A)]\) is simple it follows that

\[
[\text{Inder}(A), \text{Inder}(A)] \subseteq I.
\]

We have mentioned above that \( \text{Inder}(A) \) is dense in the Lie algebra \( \text{Der}(A) \) in the Tykhonoff topology; see [1]. Hence, \( [\text{Inder}(A), \text{Inder}(A)] \) is dense in the Lie algebra \([\text{Der}(A), \text{Der}(A)]\). Since the ideal \( I \) is closed we conclude that \( I = [\text{Inder}(A), \text{Inder}(A)] \). This completes the proof of Theorem 2(1).

(2) Let \( I \) be a nonzero closed ideal of the Lie algebra \( \text{Der}(A) \). Choose a nonzero derivation \( d \in I \). By Lemma 1 there exists an element \( a \in A \) such that \( d(a) \) does not lie in \( \mathbb{F} \cdot 1 \), hence

\[
0 \neq \text{ad}(d(a)) = [d, \text{ad}(a)] \in I \cap \text{Inder}(A).
\]

Suppose that char \( \mathbb{F} = 0 \) or char \( \mathbb{F} = p > 0 \) and \( \nu_p(\text{st}(A)) = 0 \) or \( \infty \). Then the Lie algebra \( \text{Inder}(A) \) is simple, and therefore \( \text{Inder}(A) \subseteq I \). Since \( \text{Inder}(A) \) is dense in \( \text{Der}(A) \) (see [1]) and the ideal \( I \) is closed it follows that \( I = \text{Der}(A) \).

Now suppose that \( \nu_p(\text{st}(A)) = k \), \( 1 \leq k < \infty \). There exists a subalgebra \( A_1 \) in \( A \) such that

\[
1 \in A_1 \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 \cong M_{p^k}(\mathbb{F}).
\]

Choose an element \( a \in A_1 \) such that \( \text{tr}_{A_1}(a) \neq 0 \). We will show that the inner derivation \( \text{ad}(a) \) does not lie in the closure

\[
[\text{Der}(A), \text{Der}(A)] = [\text{Inder}(A), \text{Inder}(A)],
\]

and therefore \( [\text{Inder}(A), \text{Inder}(A)] \) is a proper closed ideal in the Lie algebra \( \text{Der}(A) \). If \( \text{ad}(a) \) lies in the closure of \( [\text{Inder}(A), \text{Inder}(A)] \) then, by the definition of the Tykhonoff topology, there exist elements \( a_i, b_i \in A \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), such that

\[
\left( \text{ad}(a) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{ad}([a_i, b_i]) \right)(A_1) = \{0\}.
\]
There exists a subalgebra $A_2 \subset A$ such that

$$A_1 \subseteq A_2, \quad a, a_i, b_i \in A_2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 \cong M_m(\mathbb{F}).$$

As above, we consider the centralizer $C$ of the subalgebra $A_1$ in $A_2$ such that

$$A_2 = A_1 \otimes_F C, \quad C \cong M_t(\mathbb{F}), \quad \text{and} \quad t = m/p^k \quad \text{is not a multiple of} \quad p.$$

Consider the element

$$b = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [a_i, b_i] \in A_2.$$

The difference $a - b$ commutes with all elements from $A_1$, hence $a - b = c \in C$.

In the algebra $A_2$ we have

$$\text{tr}_{A_2}(c) = \text{tr}_{A_2}(1 \otimes c) = \text{tr}_{A_1}(1) \cdot \text{tr}_C(c) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\text{tr}_{A_2}(a) = \text{tr}_{A_2}(b) + \text{tr}_{A_2}(c) = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\text{tr}_{A_2}(a) = \text{tr}_{A_2}(a \otimes 1) = \text{tr}_{A_1}(a) \cdot t \neq 0.$$

This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 2. \hfill \Box
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