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Abstract. Serrano’s Conjecture says that if $L$ is a strictly nef
line bundle on a smooth projective variety $X$, then $K_X + tL$ is
ample $\forall t > \dim X + 1$. In this paper I will prove a few cases
of this conjecture. I will also prove a generalized version of this
conjecture (due to Campana, Chen and Peternell) for surfaces. In
the last section, I will give a series of examples of strictly nef non
ample divisors on surfaces of arbitrary Kodaira dimension.

1. Introduction

A Cartier divisor $D$ on a projective variety $X$ is called strictly nef
if $D.C > 0$ for all effective curves $C \subset X$. Early on, there were only
two known examples of such divisors which aren’t ample: one due to
Mumford of a divisor on a ruled surface which has Iitaka dimension
$\infty$ and one due to Ramanujam of a divisor on a threefold which is
strict nef and big. Afterwards, Mumford’s example was generalized to
higher dimensions by Subramanian ([11]) to construct such divisors on
projective bundles of arbitrary rank over curves. Later on, Mehta and
Subramanian ([8]) constructed such examples in finite characteristic.

If $D$ is any strict nef Cartier divisor on a projective variety $X$ of
dimension $d$, then the Cone Theorem shows that the adjoint divisor
$K_X + tD$ is again strict nef $\forall t > d + 1$ if $X$ is smooth and $\forall t > 2d$ if $X$
has log terminal singularities (see Lemma 1.). It’s natural to wonder
about the ampleness of these adjoint divisors. In [9], Serrano conjec-
tures that in fact:

Conjecture 1. If $D \in \text{Pic}(X)$ is strict nef, $X$ smooth projective $d$-
dimensional variety then $K_X + tD$ is ample $\forall t > d + 1$

Again the Cone Theorem shows that Serrano’s Conjecture is equivalent
to the assertion that $K_X^+ \cap D^+ = 0$ in $NE(X)$. Serrano proves
his conjecture for Gorenstein Surfaces and for most smooth threefolds.
His ideas use specific results about classification of surfaces and three-fold extremal contractions. This is followed by Campana, Chen and Peternell [1] who prove the conjecture for varieties $X$ with Kodaira dimension at least $d - 2$. The general case seems to be hard and related to the main conjectures of the Minimal Model Program. In fact, for non uniruled varieties, it follows from Generalized Abundance Conjecture (see page 2 of [6]). In this paper we will prove a few cases of the conjecture building mainly on ideas of Serrano and techniques from general Algebraic Geometry. In the fourth section, I prove the surface case of a conjecture of Campana, Chen and Peternell ([1]), which is a generalization of Serrano’s conjecture. We conclude this paper with a series of examples of strictly nef non-ample divisors on surfaces of arbitrary Kodaira dimension.

In what follows, a divisor will always mean a Cartier divisor, unless stated otherwise.

1.1. The results we will be using.

**Lemma 2.** ([9] Lemma 1.1) Let $L$ be a strict nef divisor on a projective $d$-dimensional variety $X$. Then $K_X + tL$ is strict nef $\forall t > d + 1$ if $X$ is smooth and $\forall t > 2d$ if $X$ has klt singularities

**Proof.** For the smooth case, see Lemma 1.1 in [9]. If $X$ has klt singularities, it follows from the Cone Theorem that $K_X + 2dL$ is nef. Thus $K_X + tL$ is strict nef $\forall t > 2d$. □

In view of the above lemma, the following result slightly generalizes Lemma 1.3 in [9]:

**Lemma 3.** Let $X$ be a projective variety with at worst klt singularities. Suppose that $L, K_X + L \in \text{Pic} X$ are both nef. If $K_X + L$ isn’t semiample, then $K^d_X = K^{d-1}_X.L = \ldots = L^d = 0$ where $d = \dim X$.

**Proof.** If $(K_X + 2L)^d > 0$, then $K_X + 2L = 2(K_X + L) - K_X$ is nef and big and thus $K_X + L$ is semiample which contradicts our assumption. Thus $(K_X + 2L)^d = 0$. Since $K_X + L, L$ are both nef, this $\implies (K_X + L)^i.L^{d-i} = 0 \forall i$ and from this, we deduce that $K^i_X.L^{d-i} = 0 \forall i$. □

2. Strictly nef divisors and Serrano’s Conjecture

**Lemma 4.** Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension $n$, $L \in \text{Pic} X$ be strict nef. Assume that $|aK_X + bL|$ contains a smooth divisor $D$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and that Serrano’s conjecture holds for $D$. Then $K_X + tL$ is ample $\forall t > n + 1$. 

Thus, $K_bL$ dance for 3-folds and $[9]$, Theorem 3.9 respectively) and Serrano’s Conjecture holds for $\text{dim } X = 4$. By [9], Theorem 3.9 respectively) and Serrano’s Conjecture holds for $\text{dim } X$. Proof. Let $L \in |aK_X + bL|$ be smooth. Then $K_D + tL|_D$ is ample $\forall t > n$ by assumption. This implies that $L|_D.(K_D + tL|_D)^{n-2} > 0\forall t > n \implies L.(K_X + D + tL)^{n-2} > 0 \implies L.(aK_X + bL).(K_X + aK_X + (b+t)L)^{n-2} > 0\forall t > n$. Now we can appeal to Lemma 2.

**Proposition 5.** Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety with $n = \text{dim } X$ and let $L \in \text{Pic } X$ be strict nef. Assume that the linear system $|aK_X + bL|$ is basepoint free with $\kappa(|aK_X + bL|) \geq n - 3$ for some $a > 0$. Then $K_D + tL$ is ample $\forall t > n + 1$.

**Proof.** For the sake of illustration, let us consider few lower dimensional cases. Suppose $n = 4$, $\kappa(|aK_X + bL|) \geq 1$. Let $D \in |aK_X + bL|$ be smooth. Then $aK_D + bL|_D = a(K_X + D)|_D$ is globally generated. This $\cong \mathcal{O}_D$ if $\kappa(|aK_X + bL|) = 1$. Thus $K_D \equiv \mathbb{Q} \pm L|_D$. Thus $\pm K_D$ is strictly nef, hence ample (by abundance for 3-folds and [9], Theorem 3.9 respectively) and Serrano’s Conjecture holds for $D$. If $\kappa(|aK_X + bL|) > 1$, then $\kappa(|aK_D + bL|) \geq 1$ and Serrano’s Conjecture holds on $D$ by Proposition 3.1 in [9]. Now we’re done for the case $n = 4$ by the above Lemma.

Now suppose $n = 5$, $\kappa(|aK_X + bL|) \geq 2$, $D \in |aK_X + bL|$ smooth. Then $|aK_D + bL|_D$ is basepoint free and

$$|aK_D + bL|_D \supset |aK_X + bL|_D + |a(aK_X + bL)||_D$$

and both the pieces have Iitaka dimension $\geq 1$. Thus $\kappa(|aK_D + bL|) \geq 1$ and we are reduced to the above case.

The general case proceeds by induction as in the above cases once we note that $\kappa(|aK_D + bL|_D) \geq \kappa(|aK_X + bL|) - 1 \forall D \in |aK_X + bL|$ smooth by (3).

We have the following amusing Proposition:

**Proposition 7.** Let $X = BL_p(X') \xrightarrow{\pi} X'$ be a blow-up of a smooth projective variety $X'$ with $K_X'$ pseudoeffective. Let $L \in \text{Pic } X$ be strict nef. Then $K_X + tL$ is ample $\forall t > n + 1$.

**Proof.** There exists $L' \in \text{Pic } X$ such that

$$L = \pi^*(L') - bE.$$  

Moreover $b > 0$: intersect both sides of (8) with an $E$-negative curve. $L'$ is also strict nef: If $C' \subset X'$ is a curve, then $L'.C' = \pi^*(L').\pi^*(C') = \pi^*(L').(\tilde{C'} + mD) = \pi^*(L').\tilde{C'} > 0$ where $\tilde{C'}$ is the proper transform of $C$, $D$ is an exceptional curve and $m$ is a non negative integer. If $L^n > 0$, 

□
then $K_X + tL$ is ample for $t > n + 1$ by Lemma 2. If $L^n = 0$, then $L^n > 0$ (by (4) above). Thus as $K_{X'}$ is pseudoeffective, $K_{X'} + \epsilon L'$ is big for all positive $\epsilon$. In particular, $K_X + \epsilon L = \pi^*(K_{X'} + \epsilon L') + (n - 1 - b\epsilon)L$ , being a sum of a big and a nef divisor, is big $\forall \epsilon < (n - 1)/b$. Thus $K_X + (t + \epsilon)L$ is big and strict nef $\forall t > n + 1$ and we are done by Lemma 2.

The following simple remark will be used several times:

*Remark 9.* If $L \in \text{Pic} X$ is nef such that $K_X + L$ is also nef (this happens for example if $L$ is a large multiple of a strict nef divisor on a smooth projective variety) and $\phi : X \to Y$ an extremal contraction with some fiber $F$, then $L|_F$ is ample: We know that $-K_X|_F$ is ample and $(K_X + L)|_F$ is nef, thus $L|_F$ is ample.

This allows us to generalize Proposition 7:

**Corollary 10.** Let $X = \text{Bl}_p(X') \xrightarrow{\pi} X'$ be the blow-up of a smooth projective variety $X'$ at a point $p$. Assume that $K_{X'}$ is pseudoeffective and suppose that $L, K_X + L \in \text{Pic}X$ are both nef. Then $K_X + L$ is semiample.

*Proof.* Let $n = \dim X$. $K_X.L^{n-1} = (\pi^*(K_{X'}) + E).L^{n-1} > 0$ by Remark 9 and assumption. Thus we are done by Lemma 3.

*Remark 11.* Since $\forall n \geq 1, H^0(nK_X) = H^0(nK_{X'})$ (by Example 2.1.16 in [5]), Corollary 10 proves Generalized Abundance (see page 2 of [6]) for all smooth projective varieties with $K_X \mathbb{Q}$-effective which are obtained by blowing up points on other smooth projective varieties.

**Proposition 12.** If $X$ is a smooth projective variety admitting a smooth surjective morphism $X \xrightarrow{\phi} Y$, with connected fibers such that $-K_X$ is $\phi$-ample (for example, a smooth Fano contraction) and $Y$ is of general type. Suppose that $L$ and $K_X + L$ are both nef divisors on $X$. Then $\kappa(K_X + tL) \geq 1 \forall t \gg 0$.

*Proof.* We will use a few ideas from the proof of Prop 4.3 in [9]. Let $X \xrightarrow{\phi} Y$ be a smooth fano contraction, where $Y$ is of general type. Let $X^s = X \times_Y \ldots \times_Y X \xrightarrow{\phi^s} Y$ be the s-fold fiber product with the natural morphism to $Y$. $X^s$ is smooth. Let $X^s \xrightarrow{\pi_i} X$ be the i-th projection. If $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ is nef, it follows from Remark 3 that $T = \otimes \pi_i^*(L)$ is $\phi^s$-ample and nef. Now $\omega_{X^s/Y} = \otimes \pi_i^*(\omega_{X/Y})$ along with the projection formula show that $\phi^s_* (\omega_{X^s/Y} \otimes T) = (\phi_* (\omega_{X/Y} \otimes L))^{\otimes s}$. $\phi^s : X^s \to Y$
is smooth. Then Lemma 3.21 in [2] implies that for any $G \in \text{Pic}(Y)$ very ample, if $m = \dim(Y)$, then $\phi_s^*(\omega_{X/Y} \otimes rT) \otimes \omega_Y \otimes G^{\otimes (m+1)}$ is globally generated $\forall r > 0$. Thus $(\phi_s^*(\omega_{X/Y} \otimes rL))^{\otimes s} \otimes \omega_Y \otimes G^{\otimes (m+1)}$ is globally generated $\forall r > 0$. (*)

Now since $\omega_Y$ is big, thus there exists an effective divisor $D \in |tK_Y - G|$ for some $t \gg 0$. Thus $(D + G) \in |tK_Y|$. (*) implies that $(\phi_s^*(\omega_{X/Y} \otimes rL))^{\otimes s} \otimes \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y((m + 1)(D + G))$ is generically globally generated. Since $L$ is ample on the fibers of $\phi$, for $r > 0$, there exists a surjection $(\phi_s^*(\omega_{X/Y} \otimes rL))^{\otimes s} \to \phi_s(s(\omega_{X/Y} \otimes rL)).$ Thus $\phi_s(s(\omega_{X/Y} \otimes rL)) \otimes \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y((m + 1)(D + G))$ is also generically spanned ie, $\phi_s(s(\omega_X \otimes rL)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y((t(m + 1) + 1 - s)K_Y)$ is generically spanned for all $s > 0, r \gg 0$. Letting $s$ be large, we thus see that $\phi_s(s(\omega_X \otimes rL))$ is generically spanned bundle that has rank $> 1$ if $r$ is large enough. Thus generic spannedness gives $h^0(\phi_s(s(\omega_X \otimes rL))) = h^0(s(\omega_X \otimes rL)) > 1$ if $r$ and $s$ are sufficiently large and we are done.

\[ \square \]

**Question 13.** If $L \in \text{Pic} X$ is strict nef and $\kappa(L) \geq 1$, then is $L$ necessarily big? An affirmative answer to this question would prove Serrano’s conjecture for all varieties as above.

Though Generalized Abundance doesn’t hold for uniruled varieties (see Remark 15), in the above situation if we allow $\omega_Y$ to be moreover ample, then it can’t possibly fail too badly:

**Theorem 14.** Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety admitting a smooth surjective morphism $\phi : X \to Y$ with connected fibers such that $-K_X$ is $\phi$-ample and $\omega_Y$ is ample. Suppose $L, K_X + L \in \text{Pic} X$ are both nef. Then $K_X + rL$ is semiample $\forall r \gg 0$. Thus Serrano’s conjecture holds on $X$

**Proof.** As noted in the proof of the Proposition 11, $\phi_s(s(\omega_X \otimes rL)) \otimes (1 - s)K_Y \otimes G^{\otimes m+1}$ is globally generated $\forall G \in \text{Pic}Y$ very ample, $\forall s > 0, r \gg 0$ where $m = \dim Y$. In particular, taking $G = \omega_Y^{\otimes N}$ for some $N > 0$, we get $\phi_s(s(\omega_X \otimes rL)) \otimes (1 - s + N(m + 1))K_Y$ is globally generated. Taking $s$ large enough, this gives global generation of $\phi_s(s(\omega_X \otimes rL))$. Now if $r \gg 0$, then relative very ampleness of $s(\omega_X \otimes rL)$ gives a surjection $\phi^*\phi_s(s(\omega_X \otimes rL)) \twoheadrightarrow s(\omega_X \otimes rL)$ and hence semiampleness of $(\omega_X \otimes rL)$ for $r \gg 0$.

If $L \in \text{Pic} X$ is strict nef, then $K_X + tL$ is strict nef $\forall t \gg 0$ and semiample by above, hence ample. \[ \square \]
Remark 15. Propositions 12 and 14 can fail without the assumptions on $\omega_Y$ as the following example shows: (see example 1.1 in [10].)

Let $C$ be a smooth elliptic curve and let $E$ be a rank 2 bundle on $C$ given by a non-trivial extension $0 \to \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrowtail E \to \mathcal{O}_C \to 0$ corresponding to a nonzero element $\zeta \in h^1(\mathcal{O}_C)$. Let $X = \mathbb{P}E \rightarrow C$ be the associated ruled surface, $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(2)$ and $C_0 \subset X$ a section of $\pi$ with $\mathcal{O}_X(C_0) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(1)$. Then, since $K_X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(-2)$, both $L, K_X + L$ are nef. $K_X + tL = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(t - 2)$. We shall show that $\kappa(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(1)) = 0$.

Proof. We first show that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(1)$ can’t be semiample. Suppose it is. Then we can choose $n \gg 0$ such that:

\begin{equation}
16 \quad h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(n)) > 2
\end{equation}

and there exists $nC_0 \neq Y \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(n)|$ which is a smooth elliptic curve. Consider the exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(n - Y) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(n) \to 0$ on $X$. Now $C_0^2 = 0 \implies \deg(\mathcal{O}_Y(n)) = 0 \implies h^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(n)) \leq 1 \implies h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(n)) \leq 2$ which contradicts (16).

Thus proves that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(1)$ isn’t semiample.

Now we show that $\kappa(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(1)) = 0$. First note that $h^0(\mathcal{E}) = 1$: this follows from the cohomology exact sequence $0 \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_C) \to H^0(\mathcal{E}) \to H^0(\mathcal{E}) \overset{\phi}{\to} H^1(\mathcal{O}_C)$ where the extension class $\phi(1) = \zeta \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_C)$ giving $\mathcal{E}$ being nonzero means that $\phi$ is an isomorphism and thus $H^0(\mathcal{E}) = 1$.

If $\kappa(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(1)) > 0$, choose $n$ to be the smallest integer such that $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(n)) \geq 2$. Thus we have two distinct effective divisors $nC_0, D \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(n)|$ which can’t have any $C_0$ component in common by minimality of $n$. Now $(nC_0, D) = 0$ implies that $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(n)|$ is basepoint free, which can’t be as we saw above.

Corollary 17. Let $X = Bl_Z(Y) \overset{\pi}{\rightarrow} Y$ be the blow-up of a smooth projective variety $Y$ with $\omega_Y \cong \mathcal{O}_Y$ along a smooth subvariety $Z \subset Y$ with $\omega_Z$ ample and such that the conormal bundle $F := N^*_{Z/Y}$ is pseudoeffective (i.e. $\mathcal{O}_F(1)$ is pseudoeffective.) Then $K_X + tL$ is ample $\forall t > \dim(X) + 1$ and $L \in \text{Pic} X$ strict nef.

Proof. Let $E$ denote the exceptional divisor of $\pi$ and let $L \in \text{Pic} X$ be strict nef. Recall that $\pi|_E : E \to Z$ can be identified with the natural projection $\mathbb{P}F \to Z$ under which $E|_E$ identifies with $\mathcal{O}_F(-1) =: \mathcal{O}_E(-1)$. Let $c$ be the codimension of $Z$ in $Y$. Then $K_E + tL|_E = \frac{c}{c+1} \mathcal{O}_E$. Since $L$ is strict, $\frac{c}{c+1} L$ is strictly nef, and thus $\frac{c}{c+1} K_E + \frac{c}{c+1} tL|_E$ is ample $\forall t > \dim(X) + 1$. Therefore $K_X + tL$ is ample $\forall t > \dim(X) + 1$.
\[(K_X + E)|_E + t|L_E = tL|_E + \mathcal{O}_E(-c)\] is ample \(\forall t > \dim X\) by above Proposition. Now \(\mathcal{O}_E(1)\) being pseudoeffective forces \(L|_E\) to be nef and big. Then if \(n = \dim X\), \(E.L^{n-1} = K_X.L^{n-1} > 0\) and we’re done by Lemma 2.

3. Almost strict nef bundles

\(L \in \text{Pic} X\) is called almost strict nef if there is a birational morphism \(\pi : X \to Y\) to some normal projective variety \(Y\) and \(M \in \text{Pic} Y\) strict nef such that \(\pi^*M = L\). Campana, Chen and Peternell conjecture that if \(X\) is a smooth projective variety and \(L \in \text{Pic} X\) is almost strict nef, then \(K_X + tL\) is big \(\forall t > \dim(X) + 1\) (Conjecture 2.2 in [1]). We prove this conjecture when \(X\) is a surface. First, let us record a result we shall be using:

**Proposition 18.** Let \(\phi : X \to Y\) be a surjective morphism with connected fibers between smooth projective varieties. Let \(L\) be a nef line bundle on \(X\) whose restriction to a general fiber of \(\phi\) is ample. Let \(\Delta_Y\) be an effective \(\mathbb{Q}\) divisor on \(Y\) such that \(K_Y + \Delta_Y\) is big and let \(\Delta_X = \phi^*(\Delta_Y)\). Then there exist positive integers \(a, b\) with \(b/a > \dim(X) + 1\) such that \(a(K_X + \Delta_X) + bL\) is linearly equivalent to a non zero effective (integral) divisor.

**Proof.** The argument given in the proof of Proposition 4.3 in [9] works if we replace \(K_Y\) in the proof with some positive integral multiple of \(K_Y + \Delta_Y\).

**Theorem 19.** Let \(S\) be a smooth projective surface, \(L \in \text{Pic} S\) almost strict nef. Then \(K_S + tL\) is big \(\forall t > 3\).

**Proof.** If \(\kappa(S) = 0\), this is Prop 2.3 in [1]. We will treat the other cases:

**Case 1 :** \(\kappa(S) > 0\): Let \(S \xrightarrow{\pi} S'\) be the minimal model for \(S\). Note that if \(\pi^*(K_{S'}) + tL\) is big, then so is \(K_S + tL\) and we are done. Otherwise, if \(\pi^*(K_{S'}) + tL\) isn’t big, then \((\pi^*K_{S'} + tL)^2 = 0\), thus \(\pi^*K_{S'}^2 = \pi^*(K_{S'})L = L^2 = 0\), which by Hodge Index Theorem, implies that \(\pi^*K_{S'} = cL\) for some \(c > 0\). Since \(\pi^*K_{S'}\) is semiample, hence \(L\) and \(\pi^*K_{S'}\) are both ample. Thus \(K_S = \pi^*K_{S'} + E\) (where \(E\) is some effective divisor) is also big. Hence \(K_S + tL\) is also big.

**Case 2 :** \(\kappa(S) = -\infty\): Suppose we have \(\pi : S \to S_0\) birational, \(S_0\) normal projective such that \(L = \pi^*M\), where \(M \in \text{Pic}(S_0)\) is strict nef. Note: we may assume that \(S_0\) is singular, because if \(S_0\) is smooth, then \(K_{S_0} + tM\) is ample \(\forall t > 3\) (Prop 2.1 in [9]) would imply that \(K_S + tL\)
is big and we are done. Then \( \pi \) can be factorized as \( \pi : S \xrightarrow{p} S' \xrightarrow{f} S_0 \) such that \( S' \) is smooth and \( f \) does not contract any \((-1)\)-curves. \( S' \) can be constructed as follows: let \( S_1 \) be a smooth surface obtained by contracting some \((-1)\) curve (if any) in \( \text{Ex}(\pi) \). Repeat this process to the induced morphism \( \pi_1 : S_1 \to S_0 \). Since the Picard rank drops at each step, the process eventually ends with \( f : S' \to S_0 \) as above. Now \( K_S + tL = (p)^* (K_{S'} + tL') + \text{some effective divisor, where } L' = f^*(M) \).

Hence it is enough to show that \( K_S + tL \) is big. Thus replacing \( S \) with \( S' \) and \( L \) with \( f^*(M) \), we may assume that \( \pi \) doesn’t contract any \((-1)\) curves. Moreover, since \( \kappa(S) = -\infty \), \( S \) is obtained from a projective bundle over a smooth curve \( C \) by a sequence of blow-ups, giving \( \phi : S \to C \) or \( S = \mathbb{P}^2 \). The Theorem is clear in the latter case.

With this in mind, we claim that \( K_S + tL \) is nef \( \forall t \geq 3 \). Indeed, if \( D \subset S \) is a curve, then \( D = \sum a_i C_i + N, a_i \geq 0 \forall i, N \in \overline{\text{NE}}(S) \) with \( (N,K_S) \geq 0 \) and \( C_i \subset S \) extremal rational curves with \( 0 > (K_S,C_i) \geq -3 \forall i \). Then by adjunction, \( C_i^2 = -1, 0 \) or \( 1 \) \( \forall i \). (This follows from classification of extremal contractions on surfaces, see Theorem 1-4-8 on page 49 of [7].) Since \( \pi : S \to S_0 \) does not contract any such curves and \( L = \pi^*(M) \), \( M \) strict nef, thus \( (L,C_i) \geq 1 \forall i \) and thus \( (K_S + tL,C_i) \geq 0 \forall t \geq 3 \). Therefore, \( (K_S + tL.D) \geq 0 \forall t \geq 3 \), which proves the claim.

Thus if \( K_S + tL \) isn’t big for some \( t > 3 \), then \( (K_S + tL)^2 = (K_S + 3L + (t-3)L)^2 = 0 \). Since \( K_S + 3L \) and \( L \) are both nef, this means \( (K_S + 3L)^2 = L^2 = 0 \), so

\[
(20) \quad K_S^2 = K_S.L = L^2 = 0
\]

By Hodge Index Theorem,

\[
(21) \quad -K_S = L^{\otimes m}
\]

for some \( 0 < m \leq 3 \).

Thus \( K_S + tL \) is almost strict nef \( \forall t > 3 \). If \( t \gg 0 \), then \( K_S + tL \) is very ample on the fibers of \( \phi : S \to C \). Since \( C \) is a smooth curve, \( \phi^*(\mathcal{O}_S(K_S + tL)) \) being torsion free, is a bundle of rank \( > 1 \). The remainder of the proof will be divided into three cases:

Case 2.a): \( g(C) > 1 \): Then by Proposition 18, (setting \( \Delta = \Delta_Y = 0 \) \( h^0(r(K_S + tL)) \geq 1 \) for some \( r > 0, t > 3 \) and \( r(K_S + tL) \) is almost strict nef. \( r(K_S + tL))^2 = 0 \) iff \( r(K_S + tL) \) is a curve class contacted by
\(\pi\). Now since birational morphisms between surfaces can only contract curves of negative self-intersection, \((K_S + tL)^2 > 0\forall t \gg 0\), so \(K_S + tL\) is big \(\forall t \gg 0\).

Case 2.b): \(g(C) = 0\): ie \(C \cong \mathbb{P}^1\). Then it follows from Lemma 4.2 in \([9]\) that \(\phi_s(\omega_{S/\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes L^\otimes N)^{\otimes s}\) is generically spanned \(\forall s > 0\), ie \((\phi_s(\omega_S \otimes L^\otimes N)) \otimes O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)\) and hence \(\phi_s((N - m)L)\) is generically spanned. If \(N \gg m\), then \(\phi_s((N - m)L)\) has rank \(> 1\) and hence \(h^0 > 1\) and \(L\) is almost strict nef. Then \(L\) is big as above and we done by \([20]\).

Case 2.c): \(g(C) = 1\). In this case, \(C\) is an elliptic curve and we can argue as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in \([1]\) (the proof given there actually uses only nefness of \(L\), \(K_X + tL\) and relative bigness of \(K_X + tL\)) to show that \(h^0(S, a(K_S + tL) \otimes \phi^*P) \neq 0\) for some \(a > 0\) and \(P \in \text{Pic}^0(C)\). Thus \(a(K_S + tL) \equiv D \geq 0\) and it’s also nef. Now \(D\) isn’t big iff \(D^2 = 0\) iff \(D\) is numerically equivalent to a \(\pi\)-exceptional curve which can’t be since \(D\) is nef (recall \(-K_S\) and \(L\) were positively proportional). Thus \(D^2 > 0\) and we’re done as before. This finishes the proof. \(\square\)

4. Examples of strictly nef non-ample divisors

In this section, we give a series of examples of strictly nef non-ample divisors on surfaces of arbitrary Kodaira dimension in the spirit of \([1]\), example 3.3. More precisely, we show that any surface when blown up sufficiently many times, admits such divisors.

Fix any integer \(d \geq 4\). Let \(p_1,...,p_d \in \mathbb{P}^2\) be general points and \(X = Bl_{\{p_1,...,p_d\}} \mathbb{P}^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^2\) be the blow-up with exceptional divisors \(E_1,...,E_d\). Let \(l = \pi^*(O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))\) be the pulled back hyperplane class. Let \(L = dl - E_1 - \ldots - E_d\). Then clearly \(L^2 = 0\) and \(L.E_i = 1\forall i\). \(d \geq 4\) and the generality of the \(p_i\) ensures that \(\kappa(L) = -\infty\). Let \(C = mL - \Sigma_{i=1}^d r_i E_i\) be the proper transform of a curve of degree \(m\) having singularities of orders \(r_i\) at \(p_i\). Note that \((m, r_1, ..., r_d)\) are the coordinates of \(C\) on \(\text{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^{d+1}\). Suppose first that these singularities are all ordinary. Effectivity of \(C\) means \(\dim |\mathcal{O}(m) - \Sigma r_i p_i| \geq 0 \implies (m+2)^2 - 1 - \Sigma_1^d (r_i + 1)^2 \geq 0\) which simplifies to \(\rho(m)^2 := m^2 + 3m - d^2/4 \geq \Sigma_1^d (r_i + 1/2)^2\). For \(m\) fixed, this is the equation of a sphere with center \(A = (-1/2, ..., -1/2) \in \mathbb{R}^d\) and radius \(\rho(m) = \sqrt{m^2 + 3m - d^2/4}\) in \(\mathbb{R}^d\). Now \(L.C = dm - \Sigma_1^d r_i\). For \(m\) fixed, consider the hyperplane \(H = (r_1 + \ldots + r_d - dm = 0) \subset \mathbb{R}^d\). Notice that \(A \in H_{<0}\) and
\[ AH = d/2 + m =: \beta(m). \] In fact, the whole sphere above is contained in \( H < 0 \) because \( \rho(m)^2 = m^2 + 3m - d^2/4 \leq \beta(m)^2 = m^2 + md + d^2/4 \forall d \geq 4 \) and thus \( L.C > 0. \)

Having a non-ordinary singularity of order \( r \) at a point \( p \in \mathbb{P}^2 \) requires more than \( \left( \frac{r+1}{2} \right) \) linear conditions. Thus if \( C \) is the proper transform of a curve having possibly non-ordinary singularities of orders \( r_i \) at the \( p_i \), then for \( m \) fixed, the locus determined in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) by the effectiveness of \( C \) would be contained in the above sphere and thus \( L.C > 0 \) again.

Now let \( S \) be a projective surface. It admits a finite morphism \( f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \) which, suppose, is of degree \( m \). Since the points \( p_1, \ldots, p_d \in \mathbb{P}^2 \) are general, we may assume that they aren’t in the branch locus of \( f \). Let \( \{q_1, \ldots, q_{md^2}\} = f^{-1}\{p_1, \ldots, p_d\} \). Then \( f \) extends to a finite morphism \( f' : S' := Bl_{q_1, \ldots, q_{md^2}}(S) \rightarrow X \) which is the base change of \( f \) via \( \pi \). Now \( f'^*(L) \in \text{Pic}(S') \) is strict nef and non-ample.
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