

Modeling Inter-Aspect Dependencies with a Non-temporal Mechanism for Aspect-Based Sentiment Analysis

Yunlong Liang^{1*}, Fandong Meng², Jinchao Zhang², Yufeng Chen¹
Jinan Xu^{1†} and Jie Zhou²

¹Beijing Jiaotong University, China

²Pattern Recognition Center, WeChat AI, Tencent Inc, China

{yunlonliang, chenyf, jaxu}@bjtu.edu.cn

{fandongmeng, dayerzhang, withtomzhou}@tencent.com

Abstract

For multiple aspects scenario of aspect-based sentiment analysis (ABSA), existing approaches typically ignore inter-aspect relations or rely on temporal dependencies to process aspect-aware representations of all aspects in a sentence. Although multiple aspects of a sentence appear in a non-adjacent sequential order, they are not in a strict temporal relationship as natural language sequence, thus the aspect-aware sentence representations should not be treated as temporal dependency processing. In this paper, we propose a novel non-temporal mechanism to enhance the ABSA task through modeling inter-aspect dependencies. Furthermore, we focus on the well-known class imbalance issue on the ABSA task and address it by down-weighting the loss assigned to well-classified instances. Experiments on two distinct domains of SemEval 2014 task 4 demonstrate the effectiveness of our proposed approach.

1 Introduction

Aspect-based sentiment analysis (ABSA) is a fine-grained task of sentiment classification, which aims to detect the sentiment polarity towards a given target aspect. There may be single or multiple aspects in a sentence. For the sentence containing multiple aspects, existing models (Wang et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2017; Tay et al., 2017; He et al., 2018; Huang and Carley, 2018; Fan et al., 2018; Xue and Li, 2018; Li et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019; Liang et al., 2019, 2021c,b; Xu et al., 2019) typically generate the aspect-aware sentence representation for classification without considering the influence caused by the surrounding aspect.

However, some work (Hazarika et al., 2018; Majumder et al., 2018) shows that aspect-aware sentence representations of the neighboring aspect is beneficial for sentiment predictions of the target aspect. For instance, “*Service was **good** and so was the atmosphere.*”, the polarity of the aspect “*atmosphere*” is influenced by the sentiment of the aspect “*service*”. Hazarika et al. (2018) first find such phenomena and utilize temporal dependency to process the aspect-aware sentence representation. More recently, based on the temporal dependency processing, Majumder et al. (2018) extend Hazarika et al. (2018)’s work with the attention mechanism (Luong et al., 2015) and memory network (Weston et al., 2014). Although those aspects of a sentence appear in a non-adjacent sequential order, they are not in a strict temporal relationship as natural language sequence. We thus argue that the aspect-aware sentence representation should not be treated as temporal dependency processing.

In addition, we also focus on the class imbalance issue. For instance, “*Desserts include flan and sopaipillas.*”, polarities of all aspects (underlined) are “neutral”. But their polarities are easy to be wrongly induced to “positive” by existing models. This may be caused by the class imbalance issue. It is well-known that the datasets of SemEval 2014 task 4 (Pontiki et al., 2014) have the class imbalance issue in the training example (e.g., $class_{positive} : class_{neutral} = 3.4 : 1$ on restaurant domain), which makes the classifier tend to predict “positive”.

To address those issues mentioned above, in this paper, we propose a non-temporal mechanism to model inter-aspect dependencies. Firstly, we independently generate all aspect-aware sentence representations. Then, we utilize the non-temporal mechanism to control how much the surrounding aspect-related information flow into the target-

* Work was done when Yunlong Liang was an intern at Pattern Recognition Center, WeChat AI, Tencent Inc, China.

† Jinan Xu is the corresponding author.

specific representation. Moreover, we introduce the focal loss (Lin et al., 2017), which was first proposed in computer vision, to address the class imbalance issue by down-weighting the loss assigned to well-classified instances.

We evaluate the effectiveness of our approach on two distinct domains of SemEval 2014 task 4. Experiment results suggest that the non-temporal mechanism can effectively integrate the neighboring aspect-related information, conducting more accurate predictions. Furthermore, the focal loss can substantially mitigate the class imbalance issue and further improve the performance. We also provide empirical analysis to reveal the advantages of our proposed approach. Our contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We propose a novel non-temporal mechanism to enhance the ABSA task through modeling inter-aspect dependencies, which can effectively integrate the neighboring aspect-related information.
- To our best knowledge, we are the first that introduce focal loss to address the class imbalance issue for the ABSA task.
- Our approach has shown its excellent performances on two distinct domains.

2 Approach

2.1 Problem Definition

Giving a sentence $S = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$, where w_i is the i th word and n is the sentence length, it may have multiple aspects $A = \{a^t, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$, where $|m + 1|$ is the number of all aspects and a_i (a^t) is a subsequence with k words of the sentence, i.e., $a_i = \{w_q, w_{q+1}, \dots, w_{q+k-1}\}$, $1 \leq q \leq n$ and $1 \leq k \leq n - q + 1$. The goal of the ABSA task is to predict the polarity for the target aspect.

2.2 Aspect-Aware Sentence Representation

Our architecture is shown in Figure 1, where we employ gated recurrent unit (GRU) (Chung et al., 2014) as the encoder. We concatenate the aspect representation with every word embedding as the input of the encoder. In order to obtain the global context information of the sentence towards the given aspect, we utilize the GRU, which is described as follows:

$$\mathbf{h}_t = (1 - \mathbf{z}_t) \odot \mathbf{h}_{t-1} + \mathbf{z}_t \odot \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_t \quad (1)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_t = \tanh(\mathbf{W}_x \mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{r}_t \odot (\mathbf{W}_h \mathbf{h}_{t-1})) \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{x}_t$ denotes the input embedding of time step t ; the update gate $\mathbf{z}_t$ and the reset gate $\mathbf{r}_t$ are computed as:

$$\mathbf{r}_t = \sigma(\mathbf{W}_{xr} \mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{W}_{hr} \mathbf{h}_{t-1}) \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{z}_t = \sigma(\mathbf{W}_{xz} \mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{W}_{hz} \mathbf{h}_{t-1}) \quad (4)$$

Furthermore, a forward GRU is applied to generate the hidden vector $\{\vec{\mathbf{h}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{h}}_2, \dots, \vec{\mathbf{h}}_n\}$ and a backward GRU is applied to obtain the hidden vector $\{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{h}}_1, \overleftarrow{\mathbf{h}}_2, \dots, \overleftarrow{\mathbf{h}}_n\}$. Subsequently, we get the final representation by concatenating two vectors: $\mathbf{h}_i = [\vec{\mathbf{h}}_i, \overleftarrow{\mathbf{h}}_i]$ and leverage max pooling to obtain the aspect-aware sentence representation for the aspect.

2.3 MIAD: Modeling Inter-Aspect Dependencies

After the AASR module (on the right of Figure 1), we can obtain all aspect-aware sentence representations $\{\mathbf{C}^t, \mathbf{C}_1^a, \mathbf{C}_2^a, \dots, \mathbf{C}_m^a\}$ where $\mathbf{C}^t$ is the target aspect-aware sentence representation and $\mathbf{C}_i^a$ is its surrounding aspect-specific sentence representation in a sentence. In order to integrate the neighboring aspect related information with a non-temporal manner, we design gates for every surrounding aspect-aware sentence representation. Each gate is designed as follows:

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}_i^a = \sigma(\mathbf{W}_a \mathbf{a}^t + \mathbf{W}_{cg} \mathbf{C}_i^a) \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbf{a}^t$ denotes target aspect embedding. Then $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_i^a$ are normalized as:

$$\mathbf{g}_0^a, \mathbf{g}_1^a, \dots, \mathbf{g}_m^a = \text{softmax}(\hat{\mathbf{g}}_0^a, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_1^a, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_m^a) \quad (6)$$

Then, we utilize those well-designed gates to control how much the neighboring aspect related information is included in the final target-specific representation as follows:

$$\mathbf{C}^f = \mathbf{C}^t + \sum_{i=0}^m (\mathbf{g}_i^a \odot \mathbf{C}_i^a) \quad (7)$$

where $\odot$ denotes element-wise product.

Clearly, $\mathbf{C}^f$ has selectively assimilated the neighboring aspect related information in a non-temporal manner. Subsequently, the final fully-connected layer with softmax function takes the target-specific representation $\mathbf{C}^f$ as input to predict the sentiment polarity p_i for the target aspect.




Figure 1: Overview of our architecture. AASR (Majumder et al., 2018) represents *Aspect-Aware Sentence Representation*.

2.4 Objective Function

In order to address the class imbalance issue, we utilize a new loss function, namely focal loss (Lin et al., 2017), which is adapted from standard cross entropy loss. The adapted focal loss is written as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{FL} = - \sum_{i=0}^L y_i (1 - p_i)^\gamma \log(p_i) \quad (8)$$

where L is the number of sentiment labels, y_i and p_i is the ground truth and the estimated probability for the sentiment label, respectively. The focusing parameter γ smoothly adjusts the rate at which easy instances are down-weighted. If an instance is misclassified and p_i is small, the modulating factor will be near 1 and the loss is unaffected. when $p_i \rightarrow 1$, the factor will be near 0 and thus the loss of well-classified instances will be down-weighted.

When generating neighboring aspect-aware sentence representations ($\{C_1^a, C_2^a, \dots, C_m^a\}$), we also design loss function as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{NA} = - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^L y_i^j (1 - p_i^j)^\gamma \log(p_i^j) \quad (9)$$

where m is the number of neighboring aspects in a sentence, y_i^j and p_i^j is the ground truth and the estimated probability of the sentiment label for i th aspect, other symbols are the same as those in $\mathcal{L}_{FL}$.

Thus, our final objective function is written as:

$$\mathcal{J} = \min(\mathcal{L}_{FL} + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{NA}) \quad (10)$$

where λ is the weight of loss $\mathcal{L}_{NA}$.

		Positive		Negative		Neutral	
		SA	MA	SA	MA	SA	MA
Laptop	Train	349	638	442	424	126	334
	Test	137	204	69	59	53	116
Rest.	Train	609	1,555	226	579	173	460
	Test	182	546	62	134	41	155

Table 1: Distribution of the dataset by class labels and single aspect/multiple aspect in SemEval 2014. ‘Rest.’: Restaurant, ‘SA’: Single Aspect, ‘MA’: Multi-Aspect.

3 Experiments

3.1 Setup

Datasets. We perform experiments on the datasets of SemEval 2014 task 4, which contains two distinct domains: restaurant and laptop. Table 1 shows the distribution of the datasets.

Training Details. 300d Glove is adopted to initialize word embeddings (Pennington et al., 2014). For optimization, we use the Adam optimizer (Kingma and Ba, 2014) with initial learning rate 0.01. Focusing parameter γ is set to 2.0. Weight of the loss λ in Eq. 10 is set to 0.4, 0.2 for restaurant and laptop domain, respectively. Evaluation metrics are accuracy.

3.2 Results and Analysis

To comprehensively compare our method with baselines, we conduct three scenarios experiments. We name our architecture as MIAD.

Domain-Wise Comparison. On both domains in Table 2 (‘Total’ part), our method consistently outperforms all baseline methods. IAN ignores the surrounding aspect. MIA firstly models the inter-

		Laptop						Restaurant					
		Total	SA	MA	Neu	Neg	Pos	Total	SA	MA	Neu	Neg	Pos
Baselines	MIA (Hazarika et al., 2018)	72.5	-	-	-	-	-	79.0	-	-	-	-	-
	IAN (Ma et al., 2017)*	72.1	72.5	71.6	-	-	-	78.6	75.4	77.7	-	-	-
	IARM (Majumder et al., 2018)	73.8	73.4	74.1	-	-	-	80.0	78.6	80.48	-	-	-
Ours	GRU	71.6	71.8	71.5	46.2	64.1	87.1	79.1	79.3	79.0	36.2	61.7	95.3
	GRU+TM	72.3	71.8	72.6	50.3	57.0	88.9	79.8	79.6	79.9	33.2	71.9	94.5
	GRU+NoTM	73.4	71.1	74.9	44.4	67.2	90.0	80.5	79.3	80.8	39.8	75.0	92.9
	GRU+FL	73.1	72.6	73.4	57.4	68.0	82.7	80.4	80.0	80.5	42.3	67.9	94.0
	GRU+NoTM+FL (MIAD)	75.3	73.8	76.3	55.7	70.3	86.8	81.0	80.7	81.1	49.0	63.3	94.4

Table 2: The accuracy of multiple scenarios. ‘*’ denotes the result is retrieved from Majumder et al. (2018). ‘GRU’ denotes without considering the neighboring aspects. ‘TM’ and ‘NoTM’ indicates considering neighboring aspects with temporal dependency processing and non-temporal mechanism, respectively. ‘FL’ represents focal loss. ‘Neu’: Neutral, ‘Neg’: Negative, ‘Pos’: Positive.

aspect relation with temporal dependency processing and IARM extends MIA’s work with attention and memory network. MIAD surpasses IARM by 1.5% on restaurant domain and by 1.0% on laptop domain. This demonstrates that the combination of the non-temporal mechanism and the focal loss has a significantly positive effect on prediction process.

Single Aspect and Multi-Aspect Scenarios Evaluation. In ‘SA’ and ‘MA’ parts of Table 2, our MIAD beats the IAN and IARM models. And our method “GRU+NoTM” obtains slight gains against IARM, especially in ‘MA’ part. The reason may be that IARM is armed with multiple attentions and memory networks (we only apply GRU). Based on our settings, we implement the idea of temporal dependency processing method (“GRU+TM”). “GRU+TM” and “GRU+NoTM” methods highly surpass the baseline “GRU” in ‘MA’ part, which shows that the neighboring aspect is beneficial for target-aspect sentiment prediction and this is consistent with the previous work (Hazarika et al., 2018; Majumder et al., 2018). “GRU+NoTM” also gives significantly better accuracies compared with “GRU+TM” in ‘MA’ part. Results suggest that the non-adjacent sequential order should not be treated as temporal dependencies processing indeed, and our non-temporal mechanism is highly competent to process this.

Class-Domain Evaluation. It is evident that the class imbalance issue emerges in Table 1. Accordingly, the result in Table 2 (‘Neu’, ‘Neg’ and ‘Pos’ parts) is consistent with it. This suggests

that the classifier tends to classify the sentiment polarity to be “positive”, and the focal loss that can significantly mitigate this issue (“GRU+FL” vs. “GRU”).

3.3 Case Study

We now give some real examples to reveal the capability of our approach. The sentence “*Probably my **worst** dining experience in new york, and I’m a former waiter so I know what I’m talking about.*” with aspect “*former*” and “*neutral*” sentiment, fails to be correctly classified by “GRU+TM”. Since, “GRU+TM” transfers the “negative” sentiment of the former aspect due to the temporal dependencies processing. On the other hand, “GRU+NoTM” succeeds in this case with the non-temporal mechanism, which can effectively integrate neighboring aspects related information and thus lead to correct prediction.

Another case “*Great beer selection too, something like 50 beers.*” contains two aspects with corresponding sentiments: “positive” and “neutral”. Here, “GRU” fails to make correct prediction for the aspect “*beers*” due to the influence of the former aspect or the class imbalance issue, while MIAD makes correct final classification. This benefits from the non-temporal mechanism that can block irrelevant information, and the focal loss that can highly mitigate the class imbalance issue.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we propose a novel non-temporal mechanism to enhance the ABSA task through modeling inter-aspect dependencies, which can

selectively incorporate neighboring aspects related information into target-specific representation. Furthermore, we introduce focal loss to address the class imbalance issue for the first time. Extensive experiments have demonstrated the effectiveness of our proposed approach on both restaurant and laptop domains.

In the future, we would like to explore the effectiveness of our approach in other tasks (Liang et al., 2021d,a,e).

Acknowledgements

Liang, Chen and Xu are supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Contract 61370130, 61976015, 61976016 and 61876198), and the Beijing Municipal Natural Science Foundation (Contract 4172047).

References

- Junyoung Chung, Çağlar Gülçehre, KyungHyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. [Empirical evaluation of gated recurrent neural networks on sequence modeling](#). *CoRR*, abs/1412.3555.
- Feifan Fan, Yansong Feng, and Dongyan Zhao. 2018. [Multi-grained attention network for aspect-level sentiment classification](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 3433–3442. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Devamanyu Hazarika, Soujanya Poria, Prateek Viji, Gangeshwar Krishnamurthy, Erik Cambria, and Roger Zimmermann. 2018. [Modeling inter-aspect dependencies for aspect-based sentiment analysis](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 2 (Short Papers)*, pages 266–270, New Orleans, Louisiana. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ruidan He, Wee Sun Lee, Hwee Tou Ng, and Daniel Dahlmeier. 2018. [Effective attention modeling for aspect-level sentiment classification](#). In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 1121–1131, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Binxuan Huang and Kathleen Carley. 2018. [Parameterized convolutional neural networks for aspect level sentiment classification](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1091–1096. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Diederik P. Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2014. [Adam: A method for stochastic optimization](#). *CoRR*, abs/1412.6980.
- Xin Li, Lidong Bing, Wai Lam, and Bei Shi. 2018. [Transformation networks for target-oriented sentiment classification](#). In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 946–956. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zheng Li, Ying Wei, Yu Zhang, Xiang Zhang, Xin Li, and Qiang Yang. 2019. [Exploiting coarse-to-fine task transfer for aspect-level sentiment classification](#). *CoRR*, abs/1811.10999.
- Yunlong Liang, Fandong Meng, Yufeng Chen, Jinan Xu, and Jie Zhou. 2021a. [Modeling bilingual conversational characteristics for neural chat translation](#). In *Proceedings of ACL*, pages 5711–5724.
- Yunlong Liang, Fandong Meng, Jinchao Zhang, Yufeng Chen, Jinan Xu, and Jie Zhou. 2021b. [A dependency syntactic knowledge augmented interactive architecture for end-to-end aspect-based sentiment analysis](#). *Neurocomputing*.
- Yunlong Liang, Fandong Meng, Jinchao Zhang, Yufeng Chen, Jinan Xu, and Jie Zhou. 2021c. [An iterative multi-knowledge transfer network for aspect-based sentiment analysis](#). In *Findings of EMNLP*, pages 1768–1780.
- Yunlong Liang, Fandong Meng, Jinchao Zhang, Jinan Xu, Yufeng Chen, and Jie Zhou. 2019. [A novel aspect-guided deep transition model for aspect based sentiment analysis](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 5569–5580, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yunlong Liang, Fandong Meng, Ying Zhang, Yufeng Chen, Jinan Xu, and Jie Zhou. 2021d. [Infusing multi-source knowledge with heterogeneous graph neural network for emotional conversation generation](#). *Proceedings of AAAI*, 35(15):13343–13352.
- Yunlong Liang, Chulun Zhou, Fandong Meng, Jinan Xu, Yufeng Chen, Jinsong Su, and Jie Zhou. 2021e. [Towards making the most of dialogue characteristics for neural chat translation](#). In *Proceedings of EMNLP*, pages 67–79.
- Tsung-Yi Lin, Priya Goyal, Ross B. Girshick, Kaiming He, and Piotr Dollár. 2017. [Focal loss for dense object detection](#). *CoRR*, abs/1708.02002.
- Thang Luong, Hieu Pham, and Christopher D. Manning. 2015. [Effective approaches to attention-based neural machine translation](#). In *Proceedings of the 2015 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1412–1421. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Dehong Ma, Sujian Li, Xiaodong Zhang, and Houfeng Wang. 2017. [Interactive attention networks for aspect-level sentiment classification](#). In *Proceedings of the 26th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI'17*, pages 4068–4074. AAAI Press.
- Navonil Majumder, Soujanya Poria, Alexander Gelbukh, Md Shad Akhtar, Erik Cambria, and Asif Ekbal. 2018. [Iarm: Inter-aspect relation modeling with memory networks in aspect-based sentiment analysis](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 3402–3411. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jeffrey Pennington, Richard Socher, and Christopher Manning. 2014. [Glove: Global vectors for word representation](#). In *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 1532–1543. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Maria Pontiki, Dimitris Galanis, John Pavlopoulos, Harris Papageorgiou, Ion Androutsopoulos, and Suresh Manandhar. 2014. [Semeval-2014 task 4: Aspect based sentiment analysis](#). In *Proceedings of the 8th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation (SemEval 2014)*, pages 27–35. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yi Tay, Anh Tuan Luu, and Siu Cheung Hui. 2017. [Learning to attend via word-aspect associative fusion for aspect-based sentiment analysis](#). *CoRR*, abs/1712.05403.
- Shuai Wang, Sahisnu Mazumder, Bing Liu, Mianwei Zhou, and Yi Chang. 2018. [Target-sensitive memory networks for aspect sentiment classification](#). In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 957–967. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yequan Wang, Minlie Huang, xiaoyan zhu, and Li Zhao. 2016. [Attention-based lstm for aspect-level sentiment classification](#). In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 606–615. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jason Weston, Sumit Chopra, and Antoine Bordes. 2014. [Memory networks](#). *CoRR*, abs/1410.3916.
- Hu Xu, Bing Liu, Lei Shu, and Philip S. Yu. 2019. [BERT post-training for review reading comprehension and aspect-based sentiment analysis](#). *CoRR*, abs/1904.02232.
- Wei Xue and Tao Li. 2018. [Aspect based sentiment analysis with gated convolutional networks](#). In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 2514–2523. Association for Computational Linguistics.