Abstract—This letter proposes the use of nonlinear feedback control to produce robust and reactive social distancing policies that can be adapted in response to an epidemic outbreak. A trajectory tracking algorithm is proposed, and its effectiveness is analytically proven when acting on a low-dimensional approximation of the epidemics. Means of mapping the inputs and output of this controller to a more realistic epidemic model of an explicit network of contacts is introduced. The strategy is tested with extensive simulations in a Covid-19 inspired scenario, with particular focus on the case of Codogno - a small city in Northern Italy that has been among the most harshly hit by the pandemic. The proposed algorithm generates dramatic reductions in the number of infected people, while maintaining a total level of social distancing close to the nominal optimum.

I. INTRODUCTION

Designing policies to control pandemics is a long-standing research challenge, which has been recently brought into focus again by the Covid-19 pandemic. Until a vaccine is available, the most effective way [1], [2] of limiting the spread of Covid-19 has proven to be social distancing, from soft measures to full lockdown (referred as control policies hereinafter). At the same time, it is also widely accepted that extreme levels of lockdown are unsustainable in the long run, due to the vast range of pernicious secondary effects that they may provoke [3], [4]. Finding the right policy is clearly a matter involving healthcare, sociological, and economical considerations that we do not aim at addressing here. In this context, the role of modeling has been to provide a range of possible alternatives, and establish their effectiveness. Control theory is a natural candidate to test the usefulness of various control scenarios [5].

Usually, the evolution of the epidemic is described by low dimensional compartmental models [6], which can be adapted to act on network models [7] to take into account social contact structures. Arguably, indeed, a critical challenge in modeling epidemic spreading arises from the complexity of the social contact structure of the population experiencing the outbreak. Although complex, data-driven models of epidemics that include the high dimensional network-like structure are available [8], [9], developing controllers directly based on such models is a burdensome task, and results require extensive simulations of limited interpretability. Thus, most of the attention has been devoted to open loop optimal control applied on simpler models, to shed light on some important tasks, such as selecting optimal timing when there are constraints on the length of the action [10], solving a linear quadratic problem integrating number of deaths and economic effects [11], and discussing the problem of optimal peak reduction [12].

However, open loop strategies have been shown to be quite prone to many uncertainties affecting the controlled system - many of which arise from the difficulties in modeling the underlying network structure [12]–[14]. Looking at the problem through the lenses of control theory, it appears clear that these robustness issues call for the implementation of feedback actions. Linear feedback controllers are proposed in [15], [16]. In [17], the loop is closed by periodically re-planning the optimal action, in a model-predictive-control fashion. In [18], a similar strategy is proposed, and robustified by means of interval arithmetic. Finally, [19] introduces an open-loop fast switching strategy with duty cycle selected through a slow feedback of the total infected.

To the authors best knowledge, no work has been done to design a feedback control policy that can be directly applied to the high dimensional network-structured dynamics of the epidemics. In this work, we aim at making a very first step in this direction. We propose to design a control policy as a trajectory tracking problem, where the reference curve is devised by means of optimal control. The latter is built up on the constraint that, in nominal conditions, the social distancing level is the minimum necessary to ensure that the
healthcare system capacity is never overburdened. Feedback is used to enforce the robustness of this action, without spoiling its effectiveness. The stability of the proposed feedback controller is discussed analytically when applied to a compartmental model. We then introduce a method based on arguments widely accepted in epidemiology, which interfaces the low dimensional controller with a full network-based model, which will be our benchmark. We perform extensive simulations of epidemics on synthetic networks, with conditions inspired by real Covid-19 scenarios, proving the effectiveness of the control architecture across a wide range of different settings. To succinctly summarise, our work contributes with

- a feedforward action expressed in closed form which optimally flattens the epidemic curve,
- a provable trajectory tracking controller,
- two simple but effective strategies to interface the controller with a realistic network system, and
- extensive simulations showing the effectiveness of the proposed reactive policy in a wide range of network simulations.

II. BACKGROUND: MODEL OF THE EPIDEMICS WITH DYNAMIC INTERVENTIONS

Consider a fixed population of $N$ individuals, and a disease spreading among them, through direct contacts. Each individual can be in either of three states: (i) susceptible, meaning that they can be infected by the pathogen; (ii) infected, meaning that they contracted the pathogen and they can now infect other susceptible people; (iii) recovered -and therefore immune, or removed. We denote with $S(t), I(t), R(t)$ the number of people at time $t$ who are susceptible, infected or recovered, respectively. We have that $S(t) + I(t) + R(t) = N$. We can therefore neglect the study of $R$, as its value can always be recovered from $S, I$ and $N$. If the population is well mixed the evolution of the disease can be well described by the so-called SIR model [6]

$$
\begin{align}
\dot{s}(t) &= -\beta(t)s(t), \\
\dot{i}(t) &= +\beta(t)s(t) - \gamma(t),
\end{align}
$$

where $s(t)$ and $i(t)$ are the system state, indicating respectively the number of susceptible $S(t)$ and infectious $I(t)$, divided by the total population $N$. Without loss of generality, we will consider in the following $t = 0$ as the time in which $s + i = 1$, meaning that no individual has yet recovered from the disease. The constant $\gamma \geq 0$ defines the transition rate from the pool of infected, to the compartment of recovered/removed. $\beta$ is the rate at which an infected individual makes disease-transmitting contacts with other individuals in the population. From the analysis of this system it follows that the basic reproduction number is $R_0 = \frac{\beta}{\gamma}$, meaning that an outbreak happens if $\beta > \gamma$ [6].

When social distancing policies are imposed, the value of $\beta$ changes from a maximum of $\beta_{\text{max}} > 0$ (no policy put into place), to a minimum of 0 (total lockdown). Therefore $\beta$ is the control input of (1).

This means that there are no clusters of people, and the social interconnections are homogeneous. Note that we are not imposing this condition on our network simulation, in Secs. IV and V.
Lemma 1. The solution of (2) exists in closed form, and it is equal to
\[
\beta = -\frac{\gamma}{1 - t_{th}} W^{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{e} \frac{1 - t_{th}}{1 - i(0)}\right),
\] (3)
where \(W^{-1}\) is the branch \(-1\) of the Lambert W function [20].

Proof. Since the cost function is linear in the optimization parameter, the optimal value is to be found on the boundary of the feasible set. We want to set \(\beta\) in such a way that \(\max_s i(t) = i_{th}\).

The maximum value of \(s\) is given by the non-trivial solution of \(i(t) = 0\). Combining this condition with the second equation in (1) yields \(s^+ = \gamma/\beta\). Further, we can combine the first two lines of (1) into \(di/ds = \gamma/(\beta s) - 1\). This nonlinear ordinary differential equation can be solved together with the initial condition \(s(0) = 1 - i(0), i(0)\), to get
\[
s(s) = \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{s}{1 - i(0)}\right) - s + 1.
\] (4)

By inverting \(i(s^+)\) for \(\beta\), we get the desired optimal value such that \(\max_s i(t) = i_{th}\). The following is a solution for all integer values of \(j\),
\[
\beta = -\frac{\gamma}{1 - t_{th}} W_j\left(-\frac{1}{e} \frac{1 - t_{th}}{1 - i(0)}\right). \quad (5)
\]
However, only \(W_{-1}, W_0\) have values in the real line [20]. Moreover, it is always the case that \(W_0 > W_{-1}\), which in turn assures that the larger value of \(\beta\) among the two possible solutions is always reached for \(j = -1\), concluding the proof.

It is worth noting that the argument of \(W_{-1}\) is always between \(-1/e\) and 0 since \(0 < i(0) \leq i_{th}\). This is exactly the range of arguments for which the \(-1\) branch of the Lambert function is well defined [20]. Fig. 2 shows few instances of solutions for different values of \(i(0)\) and \(i_{th}\). Note that the corresponding evaluation of the state (which we will call \(\bar{s}, \bar{\bar{s}}, \bar{\bar{\bar{s}}}\) hereinafter) can be obtained either by direct integration of (1) or by using the approximated closed form solutions, as for example in [21].

B. Trajectory tracking controller

The following Lemma introduces the tracking controller (“Trajectory Tracking” in Fig. 1) implementing the reactive change of the social distancing level \(\beta\). Note that - although we consider here its use in conjunction with the optimal strategy introduced in the previous section - this controller is agnostic to the choice of the reference to be tracked, and it is introduced as such.

Lemma 2. The feedback loop composed by the control action
\[
\beta(s, i) = \psi_i(t - i) + \psi_s(s - \bar{s}) + \bar{\beta}(\bar{s} + \bar{i})\quad (6)
\]
and the SIR model (1), is such that
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} s(s, i) = (\bar{s}, \bar{i}), \quad (7)
\]
\forall s, i and \(\forall \psi_s, \psi_s \in \mathbb{R}, \psi_s > 0\), if \(s, i, \bar{\beta}\) is a solution of (1).
Fig. 4. Percentages of infected subjects of the Codogno case of study. In Panel (a) uncontrolled, open loop, and scenario (i) closed loop performances are reported, together with their one \( \sigma \) (standard deviation) band. Panel (b) and (c) show the closed loop performance for scenario (ii) and (iii) respectively by considering that \( \dot{x} = i \) and \( \psi_i = \alpha_p/\gamma - \alpha_d \) and \( \psi_s = \alpha_p/\gamma \). Note that the latter are always strictly positive by construction.

Fig. 5. Level of social distancing (0 is high and \( \beta_{\text{max}} \) is low) of the Codogno case of study. The closed loop performances for the three scenarios are reported, together with their one \( \sigma \) (standard deviation) band. The nominal reference \( \bar{\beta} \) and the value corresponding to no social distancing \( \beta_{\text{max}} \) are also shown for comparison.

IV. NETWORK CONTROL

A. Network Model

We implement two important features in a refined model, namely that (i) people interact through heterogeneous contact structures, i.e. the population is not well-mixed, (ii) real epidemics have an intrinsic degree of stochasticity, therefore they cannot be exactly described by (1). We therefore consider stochastic epidemics on networks [7], in which the contact structure is modeled by a network, where individuals are modeled as nodes interacting only with their neighbors through links, which carry the disease from infected nodes to their susceptible neighbors at a constant rate \( \beta_n \). Infected nodes recover independently at a constant rate \( \gamma \), after which they do not participate further in the epidemic. Initialization of the epidemic is made by infecting \( I_0 = N_i(0) \ll N \) randomly chosen nodes, the others being susceptible. The resulting process is therefore a continuous time Markov chain, with a state space of dimension \( 3^N \) (arrangements of length \( N \) with entries \( S \), \( I \) or \( R \)). In this paper, we make use of the Gillespie algorithm [23] adapted to networks (see [7, Appendix]) to simulate epidemics on different instances of Erdős-Rényi networks [24]. The generative process of a Erdős-Rényi network can be concisely described as follows: start with \( N \) isolated nodes, then, for each pair of different nodes, a link connecting them is placed with probability \( 0 < p < 1 \), with no multi-edges allowed. Hence, the probability of a node of having \( k \) neighbors follows a binomial distribution \( B(N-1, p) \), \( E(k) = p(N-1) \) being the average. Since the recovery rate of the network independent from the contact structure, we set it to be equal to \( \gamma \) in (1).

B. Input and Output Maps

We want our control action to remain limited when acting on a neighborhood of \( \bar{s}i = 0 \). Also, it is not meaningful to act on the system by changing \( \beta \) to negative values. Similarly, it is not acceptable to get \( \beta \) greater than \( \beta_n(\bar{s}, \bar{i}) = \left[ \psi_i(\bar{i} - \bar{s}) + \psi_s(\bar{s} - \bar{i}) + \bar{\beta} \left( \frac{\bar{s} \bar{i}}{[\bar{i}]^2} \right) \right]^{\beta_{\text{max}}} \),

where \( \epsilon > 0 \) is a small constant, and \( [a]_+ \) is equal to \( l \) or \( u \) if \( a < l \) or \( a > r \) respectively, and equal to \( a \) otherwise. Fig. [3] reports two examples of application of the algorithm to the SIR model [1].

\[ (13) \]

To connect the controller proposed above to the network structure we need to introduce two maps, as shown in Fig. [1]. The output map extracts \( s \) and \( i \) from the full state of the network by counting the number of susceptible and infected subjects, and normalizing it for the total population \( N \). The input map instead provides expressions for the control input on the network level \( \beta_n \) given the output of the controller \( \beta(s, i) \). To this end, we manipulate the first equation of (1).
as follows
\[ i = \beta ts - \gamma i \Rightarrow Ni = \beta Nts - \gamma Ni \Rightarrow \dot{I} = \beta I \frac{S}{N} - \gamma I . \]

The term \( \beta I \frac{S}{N} \) represents the total infectious pressure in the ODE model, i.e. the rate at which infections happen. This quantity drives the whole infectious process, and it is crucial that the map preserves it. Unfortunately, on the network, the infectious pressure is given by \( \beta_n \) times the number of links between infected and susceptible nodes, which is a random variable that depends on which nodes are infected/recovered and on the topology of the network. To overcome this issue, we introduce the so-called mean-field approximation [7]: on average, an infected node is connected to \( E[k] \) neighbors, of which we assume that a proportion \( \frac{S}{N} \) is susceptible; hence, we set the number of \( S - I \) links as \( I \frac{S}{N} E[k] \). This allows us to derive an approximated expression for \( \beta_n \) as a function of \( \beta \):
\[
\beta_n I(t)E[k] \frac{S(t)}{N} \approx \frac{\beta}{N} I(t)S(t) \Rightarrow \beta_n \approx \frac{\beta}{E[k]} .
\]

The mean-field approach can be also seen as an approximation where infected nodes are placed on the network uniformly at random. This often gives an upper estimate of the true \( S-I \) link count [7], which should result in a more conservative control.

V. Validation

A. The Codogno case of study

Codogno has been the first city in Lombardy with a diagnosed case of Covid-19, on February, 14 2020. We therefore take it as a prototypical example where to apply our diagnosed case of Covid-19, on February, 14 2020. We perform extensive simulations for various choices of system parameters. We consider a population of \( N = 10^3 \) with \( \bar{s}(0) = 0.01 \), and all possible combinations of \( E[k] \in \{4,8,\ldots,20\} \), \( \gamma \in \{0.06,0.07,\ldots,0.14\} \), and \( \bar{s}(0) = \{0.05,0.04,0.02,0.04,0.02,0.08\} \). As for the Codogno validation, each simulation is repeated 100 times, always randomly re-generating the network. We report instead some relevant 

B. Extensive simulations

We perform extensive simulations for various choices of system parameters. We consider a population of \( N = 10^3 \) with \( \bar{s}(0) = 0.01 \), and all possible combinations of \( E[k] \in \{4,8,\ldots,20\} \), \( \gamma \in \{0.06,0.07,\ldots,0.14\} \), and \( \bar{s}(0) = \{0.05,0.04,0.02,0.04,0.02,0.08\} \). As for the Codogno validation, each simulation is repeated 100 times, always randomly re-generating the network. We cannot report here the complete results of our simulations, for the sake of space. We report instead some relevant performance index. The use of the controller consistently induces a reduction of over 99% of the amount of people infected when \( i > \bar{i} \). This is obtained by flattening the epidemic curve, and as a consequence the total duration of the outbreak doubles. The average level of control measures imposed depends on the parameters. More specifically, the average value of \( \beta \) increases with \( E[k] \) and decreases with an increasing in \( \gamma \) - from a minimum of 0.17\( \beta_{\text{max}} \) to a maximum of 0.95\( \beta_{\text{max}} \). Note that varying \( E[k] \) affects both the network and the control map [14]. In none of the simulations the controller presented critical failures or unstable behaviors.

VI. Discussions and Conclusions

This preliminary work showed that a simple feedback action can dramatically improve the robustness and the effectiveness of an optimal policy for epidemic control. A relevant outcome observed in all our simulations is that, when control acts on an outbreak that has already reached a significant proportion of the population, the advisable strategy is to go into full lockdown until the epidemic curve is brought down to acceptable levels, and then gradually relax.
control measures but keeping the epidemic on a manageable trajectory. Ideally, when implementing control policies based on daily testing data, policy makers should have access to the exact state of the system. Clearly, this is not the case. At the very best, daily tests on a fraction of the population can be considered a (noisy) proxy of the state, and robust statistical analysis needs to be carried out to get an estimate of it. Together with several further uncertainties, we tested how the controller behaves when the input data are not exact, but instead normally distributed around the true value. We observe that the controller can maintain almost equal exact, but instead normally distributed around the true value. how the controller behaves when the input data are not of it. Together with several further uncertainties, we tested control measures but keeping the epidemic on a manageable trajectory.
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