

HOROSPHERICALLY INVARIANT MEASURES AND FINITELY GENERATED KLEINIAN GROUPS

OR LANDESBURG

ABSTRACT. Let $\Gamma < \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a Zariski dense finitely generated Kleinian group. We show all Radon measures on $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})/\Gamma$ which are ergodic and invariant under the action of the horospherical subgroup are either supported on a single closed horospherical orbit or quasi-invariant with respect to the geodesic frame flow and its centralizer. We do this by applying a result of Landesberg and Lindenstrauss [17] together with fundamental results in the theory of 3-manifolds, most notably the Tameness Theorem by Agol [2] and Calegari-Gabai [9].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $G = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the group of orientation preserving isometries of \mathbb{H}^3 , equipped with a right-invariant metric. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a discrete subgroup (a.k.a. a Kleinian group) and let

$$U = \left\{ u_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} : z \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

be a horospherical subgroup. We are interested in understanding the U -ergodic and invariant Radon measures (e.i.r.m.) on G/Γ .

Unipotent group actions, and horospherical group actions in particular, exhibit remarkable rigidity. There are only very few finite U -ergodic and invariant measures as implied by Ratner's Measure Rigidity Theorem [31] — indeed if $\Gamma < G$ is a discrete subgroup the only finite measures on G/Γ that are U -ergodic and invariant are those supported on a compact U -orbit and possibly also Haar measure if G/Γ has finite volume. This result was predated in special cases by Furstenberg [13], Veech [40] and Dani [12].

This scarcity of ergodic invariant measures with respect to the action of the horospherical subgroup extends to infinite invariant Radon measures under the additional assumption that Γ is geometrically finite. In this setting the only U -e.i.r.m. not supported on a single U -orbit is the Burger-Roblin measure, as shown by Burger [8], Roblin [32] and Winter [41].

When considering infinite invariant Radon measures over geometrically infinite quotients this uniqueness phenomenon breaks down. It was discovered by Babillot and Ledrappier [5] that abelian covers of compact hyperbolic surfaces support an uncountable family of horocycle invariant ergodic and recurrent Radon measures. A characteristic feature of this family of invariant measures

This work was supported by ERC 2020 grant HomDyn (grant no. 833423).

is quasi-invariance with respect to the geodesic frame flow, a property also exhibited by the Burger-Roblin measure in the geometrically finite context. We note that such quasi-invariant U -e.i.r.m. correspond to Γ -conformal measures on the boundary of hyperbolic space, see §1.3.

A natural question arises — do all non-trivial U -e.i.r.m. on G/Γ correspond to Γ -conformal measures in this manner? An affirmative answer was given in several different setups by Sarig [33, 34], Ledrappier [20, 21] and Oh and Pan [29]. Particularly, in the context of geometrically infinite hyperbolic 3-manifolds, Ledrappier’s result [20] implies any locally finite measure which is ergodic and invariant w.r.t. the horospherical foliation on the unit tangent bundle of a regular cover of a compact 3-manifold is also quasi-invariant w.r.t. the geodesic flow. This was later extended in the case of abelian covers to the full frame bundle by Oh and Pan [29].

Inspired by Sarig [34], the measure rigidity results above were significantly extended in [17] to a wide variety of hyperbolic manifolds, including as a special case all regular covers of geometrically finite hyperbolic d -manifolds.

In this paper we apply a geometric criterion in [17] for quasi-invariance of a U -e.i.r.m. to prove measure rigidity in the setting of geometrically infinite hyperbolic 3-manifolds with finitely generated fundamental group. This is only possible due to the deep understanding of the geometry of such manifolds and their ends, specifically the fundamental results of Canary [11], and the proof of the Tameness Conjecture by Agol [2] and Calegari-Gabai [9].

1.1. Statement of the main theorem. Following Sarig, we call a U -ergodic and invariant Radon measure on G/Γ *trivial* if it is supported on a single proper horospherical orbit of one of the following two types:

- (1) a horospherical orbit based outside the limit set, and thus wandering to infinity through a geometrically finite end (see §2);
- (2) a horospherical orbit based at a parabolic fixed point, and thus bounding a cusp.

A measure μ is called *quasi-invariant* with respect to an element $\ell \in G$ if $\ell.\mu \sim \mu$, i.e. if the action of ℓ on G/Γ preserves the measure class of μ . We say μ is H -quasi-invariant with respect to a subgroup $H < G$ if it satisfies the above criterion for all elements $\ell \in H$. Denote by $N_G(U)$ the normalizer of U in G .

We show the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Gamma < G$ be a Zariski dense finitely generated Kleinian group. Then any non-trivial U -e.i.r.m. on G/Γ is $N_G(U)$ -quasi-invariant.*

Note that this theorem implies in particular that the only proper horospherical orbits in G/Γ are trivial (otherwise such orbits would support a non-trivial U -e.i.r.m. given as the pushforward of the Haar measure of U). Cf. [6, 18, 19, 24] for related results on the topological properties of horospherical orbits in the geometrically infinite setting.

The subgroup U is the unstable horospherical subgroup w.r.t.

$$A = \left\{ a_t = \begin{pmatrix} e^{t/2} & \\ & e^{-t/2} \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

the \mathbb{R} -diagonal Cartan subgroup. We denote by

$$K = \mathrm{SU}(2)/\{\pm I\} \cong \mathrm{SO}(3)$$

the maximal compact subgroup fixing the origin in \mathbb{H}^3 . Set

$$M = Z_K(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & \\ & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix} : \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

the centralizer of A in K . Note that $N_G(U) = MAU$, hence $N_G(U)$ -quasi-invariance in the statement of Theorem 1.1 may be replaced with MA -quasi-invariance.

The subgroup $P = MAU = N_G(U)$ is a minimal parabolic subgroup of G . We may draw the following simple corollary of our main theorem:

Corollary 1.2. *Let $\Gamma < G$ be a Zariski dense finitely generated Kleinian group. Then any U -invariant Radon measure on G/Γ which is P -quasi-invariant and ergodic, is also U -ergodic unless it is supported on a single P -orbit fixing a parabolic fixed point or a point outside the limit set of Γ .*

Remark 1.3. One implication of this corollary is the U -ergodicity of Haar measure on G/Γ whenever Γ is finitely generated and of the first kind (having a limit set equal to $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$). This follows from the fact that Haar measure is P -invariant and under these assumptions also MA -ergodic (see [38, Theorem 9.9.3]). As pointed out to us by Hee Oh, this can also be derived using different means (applicable in more general settings), see [22, Theorem 7.14].

1.2. MA -quasi-invariance. Given a discrete subgroup $\Gamma < G$, the space $K \backslash G/\Gamma$ is naturally identified with a corresponding 3-dimensional orbifold \mathbb{H}^3/Γ . The space G/Γ is identified with its frame bundle¹ $\mathcal{F}\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$. The left action of A on G/Γ corresponds to the geodesic frame flow on $\mathcal{F}\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ and the action of M corresponds to a rotation of the frames around the direction of the geodesic. Theorem 1.1 relies on a geometric criterion for MA -quasi-invariance developed in [17] and described below.

Given $x = g\Gamma \in G/\Gamma$ denote

$$\Xi_x = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{t \geq n} a_{-t}g\Gamma g^{-1}a_t},$$

the set of accumulation points in G of all sequences $x_n \in a_{-t_n}g\Gamma g^{-1}a_{t_n}$ for $t_n \rightarrow \infty$, sometimes also denoted as $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} (a_{-t}g\Gamma g^{-1}a_t)$.

Recall that $a_{-t}g\Gamma g^{-1}a_t$ is the stabilizer of the point $a_{-t}x$ in G/Γ . Hence Ξ_x may be viewed as the set of accumulation points of elements of Γ as “seen” from the viewpoint of the geodesic ray $\{a_{-t}x\}_{t \geq 0}$. Using Thurston’s notion of

¹Strictly speaking, this is the case when \mathbb{H}^3/Γ is a manifold, and can be used to define the frame bundle when \mathbb{H}^3/Γ is an orbifold.

a “geometric limit”, we may say the set Ξ_x contains all geometric limits of subsequences of the family $(a_{-t}g\Gamma g^{-1}a_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

We shall make use of the following sufficient condition for MA quasi-invariance established in arbitrary dimension in [17]:

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\Gamma < G$ be any discrete subgroup and let μ be any U -e.i.r.m. on G/Γ . If Ξ_x contains a Zariski dense subgroup of G for μ -a.e. $x \in G/\Gamma$, then μ is MA -quasi-invariant.*

Understanding the “ends” of a 3-manifold allows to draw conclusions regarding the different Ξ_x observed along divergent geodesic trajectories. The strategy of proof in Theorem 1.1 is to import the necessary knowledge regarding the geometry of 3-manifolds with finitely generated fundamental group, in order to show the conditions of Theorem 1.4 are satisfied for all non-trivial measures.

In principle the technique used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is applicable to any dimension. Let $G_d = \mathrm{SO}^+(d, 1)$ denote the group of orientation preserving isometries of hyperbolic d -space, for any $d \geq 2$. Set:

- $A = \{a_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ — Cartan subgroup of \mathbb{R} -diagonal elements.
- $U = \{u : a_{-t}ua_t \rightarrow e \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty\}$ — the unstable horospherical subgroup.
- $K \cong \mathrm{SO}(d)$ — stabilizer of the origin in \mathbb{H}^d (maximal compact subgroup).
- $M = Z_K(A) \cong \mathrm{SO}(d-1)$ — the centralizer of A in K .

Then an adaptation of the proof of Theorem 1.1 can be used to show the following:

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\Gamma < G_d$ be a discrete group with a uniform upper bound on the injectivity radius at all points in G_d/Γ . Then any U -e.i.r.m. μ on G_d/Γ is either supported on a single proper horospherical orbit bounding a cusp or is MA -quasi-invariant.*

For $d = 3$, the geometric condition above is not satisfied by all finitely generated Kleinian groups, rather only by those of the first kind. The proof of Theorem 1.1 takes advantage of further geometric properties known to be satisfied by all 3-manifolds with finitely generated fundamental group.

1.3. Measure decomposition and Γ -conformal measures. Before proceeding to the main part of the paper, we briefly present the implications of MA -quasi-invariance in terms of the structure of U -e.i.r.m. and the relation to Γ -conformal measures on the boundary of \mathbb{H}^3 .

A probability measure ν on $S^2 \cong \partial\mathbb{H}^3$ is called Γ -conformal of dimension $\beta > 0$ if for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$

$$\frac{d(\gamma_*\nu)}{d\nu} = \|\gamma'\|^\beta$$

where $\gamma_*\nu$ is the push-forward of ν by the action of γ on S^2 and $\|\cdot\|$ is the operator norm on TS^2 with respect to the standard Riemannian metric. Let μ be a U -e.i.r.m. on G/Γ and let $\tilde{\mu}$ be its lift to G . A well known consequence

of MA -quasi-invariance is a decomposition of $\tilde{\mu}$ in $S^2 \times M \times A \times U$ coordinates given by

$$(1.1) \quad d\tilde{\mu} = e^{\beta t} d\nu dm dt du,$$

where ν is a Γ -conformal measure of dimension β on S^2 and dm, dt, du denote Haar measures on M, A and U respectively, see [4, 8, 21, 34] and also [17, §5.2]. If $\delta(\Gamma)$ denotes the critical exponent of the discrete group Γ , then all Γ -conformal measures are of dimension $\beta \geq \delta(\Gamma)$ unless Γ is a parabolic cyclic group, see [37, Theorem 2.19].

The U -ergodicity of μ together with MA -quasi-invariance implies that there exists an $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$ma_t.\mu = e^{\alpha t}\mu$$

for any $ma_t \in MA$. This parameter is related to the dimension of conformality via the identity $\alpha = \beta - 2$. Note that μ is in fact M -invariant since M is compact and has no non-trivial real-valued characters.

Arguing in the other direction, any Γ -conformal measure on S^2 induces a U -invariant MA -quasi-invariant Radon measure on G/Γ , via (1.1). Consequently, Theorem 1.1 gives a one-to-one correspondence

$$\{\text{non-trivial } U\text{-e.i.r.m.s}\} \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-atomic ergodic} \\ \Gamma\text{-conformal measures} \end{array} \right\}$$

where ergodicity of the Γ -conformal measures is with respect to the entire Γ -action on S^2 .

In several setups such classification has been further explicitized. For instance when Γ is geometrically finite, Sullivan proved there is only one atom-free Γ -conformal measure supported on the limit set [36], hence the induced measure on G/Γ (the Burger-Roblin measure) is the only non-trivial U -e.i.r.m. and is in particular ergodic (this result was originally proven by Burger [8], Roblin [32] and Winter [41], for yet another proof see [28, Thm. 5.7]).

In the geometrically infinite setting, we refer the reader to relevant results by Bishop and Jones [7] and Anderson, Falk and Tukia [3] who give explicit constructions and partial classification results applicable also to the context of this paper.

1.4. Structure of the paper. In the section to follow, §2, we present a self-contained introduction to the theory of hyperbolic 3-manifolds with finitely-generated fundamental group and reference those results instrumental to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 are detailed in the final section of the paper.

1.5. Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Yair Minsky for several invaluable conversations introducing the theory of 3-manifolds as well as some important insights used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. The author would also like to thank Peter Sarnak for introducing him to the Tameness Theorem and suggesting its relevance, and to Hee Oh and the anonymous referees for their helpful comments reviewing earlier versions of this manuscript.

This paper is part of the author's PhD thesis conducted at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem under the guidance of Prof. Elon Lindenstrauss. The author is in debt to Elon for his continuous support and for many helpful suggestions.

2. ENDS OF FINITELY GENERATED KLEINIAN GROUPS

Let $\Gamma < G$ be a torsion-free Kleinian group and let $\mathcal{M} = K \backslash G / \Gamma = \mathbb{H}^3 / \Gamma$ be the hyperbolic 3-manifold defined by Γ . In this section we will describe a classical decomposition of \mathcal{M} into a compact set and a collection of unbounded components, or *ends*, capturing the different ways in which a geodesic trajectory can “escape to infinity”. Following several fundamental results in the theory of 3-manifolds, we will give a very rough but nonetheless useful characterization of these ends which would serve us in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Everything in this section is well known and may be found in several sources, see [10, 11, 23, 25, 27, 38, 39].

2.1. Convex core. The *limit set* $\Lambda \subseteq \partial \mathbb{H}^3 = S^2$ of Γ is defined as the set of accumulation points of the orbit $\Gamma.z$ in $\overline{\mathbb{H}^3}$ for some (and any) point $z \in \mathbb{H}^3$. The complement $\Omega = \partial \mathbb{H}^3 \setminus \Lambda$ is called the *domain of discontinuity* and may equivalently be defined as the maximal set in $\partial \mathbb{H}^3$ for which the action of Γ is properly discontinuous.

Let $\text{hull}(\Lambda)$ denote the convex hull of Λ inside \mathbb{H}^3 . Define the *convex core* of \mathcal{M} as $C(\mathcal{M}) = \text{hull}(\Lambda) / \Gamma$. Alternatively, this is the minimal convex submanifold of \mathcal{M} for which the inclusion induces an isomorphism

$$\pi_1(C(\mathcal{M})) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{M}).$$

2.2. The thick/thin decomposition and the non-cuspidal part of \mathcal{M} .

Assume Γ contains parabolic elements and let $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{H}^3$ be a parabolic fixed point. Denote by Γ_ξ the stabilizer subgroup of ξ in Γ . The group Γ_ξ is a free abelian group of rank one or two². There exists an $r > 0$ for which any open horoball B of Euclidean radius less than r based at ξ satisfies that B / Γ_ξ is embedded in \mathcal{M} . Such an open set B / Γ in \mathcal{M} is called a *cuspidal neighborhood* (of ξ). The rank of the cusp is the rank of Γ_ξ .

The *injectivity radius*, $\text{inj}_{\mathcal{M}}(x)$, at a point $x \in \mathcal{M}$ is the supremal radius of an embedded hyperbolic ball in \mathcal{M} around x . Equivalently, it is equal half the length of the shortest homotopically non-trivial loop through x , or in the language of $K \backslash G / \Gamma$

$$(2.1) \quad \text{inj}_{\mathcal{M}}(Kg\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \min \{d_{\mathbb{H}^3}(Ke, Kh) : h \in g\Gamma g^{-1} \setminus \{e\}\}$$

where we identify $\mathbb{H}^3 \cong K \backslash G$.

Given $\epsilon > 0$, we may decompose the manifold \mathcal{M} into its thick and thin parts, i.e.

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{thick}(\epsilon)} = \{x \in \mathcal{M} : \text{inj}_{\mathcal{M}}(x) \geq \epsilon\}$$

and its complement $\mathcal{M}_{\text{thin}(\epsilon)}$.

²In general, when Γ is not assumed to be torsion-free, this statement only holds virtually.

There exists a constant $\rho_3 > 0$ called the Margulis constant corresponding to \mathbb{H}^3 (or to G) for which given any $0 < \epsilon < \rho_3$ all connected components of $\mathcal{M}_{thin(\epsilon)}$ are either:

- (1) neighborhoods of a closed geodesic (solid tori homeomorphic to $D \times S^1$ where D is the closed unit disc in \mathbb{R}^2);
- (2) neighborhoods of a rank two cusp (known as solid cusp tori); or
- (3) neighborhoods of a rank one cusp (respectively, solid cusp cylinders).

A similar classification of the thin components holds for general d -dimensional hyperbolic manifolds, see e.g. [39, Theorem 4.5.6].

Fix $0 < \epsilon < \rho_3$ and let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$ denote the union of all components of $\mathcal{M}_{thin(\epsilon)}$ corresponding to cusps. Let $\mathcal{M}^0 = \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{P}$ be the *non-cuspidal part* of the manifold \mathcal{M} .

A manifold \mathcal{M} is called *geometrically finite* if $C(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{M}^0$ is compact (see equivalent definitions in [30, Theorem 12.4.5]). In this setting of 3-dimensional hyperbolic manifolds, the above definition is also equivalent to Γ having a finite sided fundamental polyhedron in \mathbb{H}^3 (see [30, Theorem 12.4.6]).

The following simple lemma will be of use:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\Gamma < G$ be a Kleinian group of the second kind, i.e. having limit set $\Lambda \neq S^2$, and let $\mathcal{M} = K \backslash G/\Gamma$. Then*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}} \text{inj}_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = \infty.$$

Proof. Denote $\Omega = S^2 \setminus \Lambda \neq \emptyset$ and choose some point $\xi \in \Omega$ which is not a fixed point of an elliptic element of Γ . By the proper discontinuity of the action of Γ on Ω there exists a small disc $D \subset \Omega$ containing ξ satisfying

$$D \cap \gamma.D = \emptyset \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma,$$

see e.g. [30, Theorems 12.2.8-9]. This implies that the half-space $H \subset \mathbb{H}^3$ bounded by D (in other words, the convex hull of D in \mathbb{H}^3) also has a pairwise disjoint Γ -orbit, implying H is embedded in \mathcal{M} . Since the injectivity radius is clearly not bounded from above on H this implies the claim. \square

Remark 2.2. This claim is stated for dimension $d = 3$ but can be identically argued for any discrete $\Gamma < G_d$ with limit set $\Lambda \neq S^{d-1}$ and $d \geq 2$.

2.3. Relative compact core and relative ends. A *compact core* of a manifold \mathcal{M} is an irreducible connected compact submanifold $C_{cpt} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ for which the inclusion induces an isomorphism $\pi_1(C_{cpt}) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{M})$. Given a compact core C_{cpt} of \mathcal{M} , the *ends* of \mathcal{M} are the connected components of $\mathcal{M} \setminus C_{cpt}$. For a geometrically finite manifold, the non-cuspidal part of the convex core, i.e. $C(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{M}^0$, is a compact core.

In general one has the following theorem:

Theorem 2.3 (McCullough [26], Scott [35]). *Let \mathcal{M} be a hyperbolic 3-manifold with finitely generated fundamental group and let \mathcal{P} be its cuspidal part, as above. There exists a connected compact core $C_{rel} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ satisfying:*

- (1) *Each torus component of $\partial\mathcal{P}$ is a component of ∂C_{rel} ,*

- (2) Each cylinder component of $\partial\mathcal{P}$ intersects ∂C_{rel} in a closed annular region (homeomorphic to $S^1 \times [0, 1]$).

The submanifold C_{rel} is called the *relative compact core* of \mathcal{M} . The connected components of $\mathcal{M}^0 \setminus C_{rel}$ are called the *relative ends* of \mathcal{M} .




FIGURE 1. Two relative ends paired by a cusp cylinder.

- Definition 2.1.** (1) An open set V is called a sub-neighborhood of a relative end E if $V \subseteq E$ and $E \setminus V$ is precompact in \mathcal{M} .
(2) A relative end E of \mathcal{M} is called *geometrically finite* if it has a sub-neighborhood disjoint from the convex core. A relative end is called *geometrically infinite* otherwise.

Note that \mathcal{M} is geometrically finite if and only if so are all of its relative ends.

Remark 2.4.

- (1) One considers this refined notion of a relative end, as opposed to taking the connected components of $\mathcal{M} \setminus C_{cpt}$ (for any compact core C_{cpt}), due to the fact that two relative ends might be connected together by a common cusp cylinder despite having sub-neighborhoods arbitrarily distant from each other (see Figure 1).
(2) The cuspidal neighborhoods in \mathcal{P} and the relative compact core are not uniquely defined (and are clearly dependent on ϵ). We will refer to these notions in definite form only to indicate our choices are fixed.

The following is well known (see e.g. [27]):

Lemma 2.5. *Let \mathcal{M} be a hyperbolic 3-manifold with finitely generated fundamental group and let E be a geometrically infinite relative end of \mathcal{M} . Then E has a sub-neighborhood completely contained inside the convex core.*

Proof. It is a consequence of the Ahlfors finiteness theorem that the boundary of the convex core, $\partial C(\mathcal{M})$, has finite hyperbolic area (see [16, Theorems 4.93 and 4.108]). Therefore the only non-compact components of $\partial C(\mathcal{M})$ are those tending to infinity through a cusp. In particular $\partial C(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{M}^0$ is compact. Hence given a relative end $E \subseteq \mathcal{M}^0$ we are ensured that exactly one component of $E \setminus \partial C(\mathcal{M})$ is unbounded. In the case that E is geometrically infinite, this unbounded component is a sub-neighborhood of E entirely contained inside $C(\mathcal{M})$. \square

Note that whenever \mathcal{M} has a relative compact core, \mathcal{M} admits a finite number of relative ends, as ∂C_{rel} is compact.

2.4. Tameness. The manifold \mathcal{M} is called *topologically tame* if it is homeomorphic to the interior of a compact 3-manifold with boundary. The following is a fundamental result in the theory of 3-manifolds:

Theorem 2.6 (The Tameness Theorem). *All complete hyperbolic 3-manifolds with finitely generated fundamental group are topologically tame.*

The above has been a long standing conjecture of Marden, finally solved in 2004 independently by Agol [2] and Calegari-Gabai [9].

The theory of finitely generated Kleinian groups is rich and powerful. In particular much is known regarding the structure and classification of the ends of tame manifolds. We will only make use of a small piece of this theory, encapsulated in the following theorem of Canary [11, Corollary A].

Theorem 2.7. *Let \mathcal{M} be a topologically tame hyperbolic 3-manifold, then there exists a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that $\text{inj}_{\mathcal{M}}(x) < \kappa$ for any $x \in C(\mathcal{M})$.*

It is worth stressing that $C(\mathcal{M})$ is not necessarily compact (only whenever \mathcal{M} is convex cocompact in which case the statement is trivially true). Theorem 2.7 plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Gamma < G$ be a Zariski dense finitely generated Kleinian group. Then any non-trivial U -e.i.r.m. on G/Γ is MA -quasi-invariant.*

Proof. We claim it suffices to prove the theorem under the additional assumption that Γ is torsion-free. Indeed, by Selberg's lemma (see e.g. [25, 30]) any finitely generated Kleinian group Γ contains a finite-index torsion-free normal subgroup $\Gamma' \triangleleft \Gamma$. Hence there exists a covering map π from G/Γ' to G/Γ , with finite fiber. This map is equivariant with respect to the left action of G on both spaces.

Any U -e.i.r.m. defined on G/Γ' can be pushed forward to a U -e.i.r.m. on G/Γ , where local finiteness of the projected measure follows from the map π being proper. On the other hand, we claim that any U -e.i.r.m. μ on G/Γ may be lifted (possibly in more than one way) to a U -e.i.r.m. $\tilde{\mu}$ on G/Γ' satisfying

$\pi_*\tilde{\mu} = \mu$. Indeed, one can always take ν to be the lift of μ to a Γ/Γ' -invariant and U -invariant Radon measure on G/Γ' by defining

$$\nu(B) = \sum_{\xi \in \Gamma/\Gamma'} \mu(\pi(B \cap F\xi))$$

for any measurable set $B \subseteq G/\Gamma'$, where $F \subseteq G/\Gamma'$ is a measurable fundamental domain with respect to the action of the group Γ/Γ' . Whenever ν is U -ergodic take $\tilde{\mu} = \frac{1}{|\Gamma/\Gamma'|} \nu$. Otherwise, let $Q \subseteq G/\Gamma'$ be a U -invariant set of positive ν -measure and consider the finite partition of $\pi^{-1}(\pi(Q))$ generated by the Γ/Γ' -translates of Q , that is, the set of atoms of the algebra generated by translates of Q in $\pi^{-1}(\pi(Q))$. Let Q_0 be an atom of the partition having positive ν -measure. Then Q_0 is U -invariant as an intersection of U -invariant sets (since the actions of Γ/Γ' and U commute). Moreover, all Γ/Γ' -translates of Q_0 are mutually disjoint meaning that Q_0 projects injectively onto $\pi(Q_0)$. The set $\pi(Q_0)$ is both U -invariant and of positive μ -measure, hence by the ergodicity of μ it is μ -conull. The measure

$$\tilde{\mu} = \nu|_{Q_0} = ((\pi|_{Q_0})^{-1})_* \mu$$

is an ergodic lift of μ , as claimed.

Equivariance of π ensures both that the push forward of an MA -quasi-invariant measure is MA -quasi-invariant and that a partially periodic horosphere (i.e. one which is based at a parabolic fixed point) is projected to a partially periodic horosphere. Lastly, recall that the limit set of Γ' is equal to the limit set of Γ (true for all normal subgroups, see e.g. [30, Theorem 12.2.14]) therefore horospheres based outside the limit set are projected by π to those based outside the limit set.

Hence proving the theorem for G/Γ' would ensure that any U -ergodic lift of a U -e.i.r.m. μ on G/Γ , is either a trivial measure or MA -quasi-invariant. Projecting back to G/Γ would imply the same dichotomy for μ , concluding the reduction claim.

Let $\Gamma < G$ be a finitely generated torsion-free Kleinian group and let μ be any U -e.i.r.m. on G/Γ . Let $\mathcal{M} = K \backslash G/\Gamma = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ be the corresponding topologically tame hyperbolic 3-manifold. Let $p : G/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ denote the projection $g\Gamma \mapsto Kg\Gamma$.

Recall that for any $u \in U$ and $g \in G$

$$d_G(a_{-t}g, a_{-t}ug) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

where d_G denotes a right-invariant metric on G . Consequently, the function

$$g\Gamma \mapsto \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{inj}_{\mathcal{M}}(p(a_{-t}g\Gamma))$$

is U -invariant. This function is clearly also measurable and by ergodicity it is equal to a constant $I_\mu \in [0, \infty]$ μ -a.s. We will consider three possible cases:

$$I_\mu = 0, \quad I_\mu = \infty \text{ and } 0 < I_\mu < \infty.$$

Whenever $I_\mu = 0$ then for μ -a.e. $x = g\Gamma \in G/\Gamma$ the injectivity radius along the geodesic ray $(p(a_{-t}x))_{t \geq 0}$ tends to 0. This can only happen whenever

the geodesic is directed toward a cusp. Indeed for all large t the injectivity radius is less than ρ_3 , the Margulis constant (see §2.2), ensuring the geodesic is trapped inside one connected component of $\mathcal{M}_{thin(\rho_3)}$. By the classification of thin components presented in §2.2 either the geodesic is trapped inside a compact toral neighborhood of a short geodesic or it is trapped inside a cusp neighborhood. But since the injectivity radius tends to 0 the former possibility is excluded implying the geodesic tends to infinity through a cusp. In such case the measure μ is supported on horospheres based at parabolic fixed points. By ergodicity μ has to be trivial, specifically supported on a single horosphere bounding a cusp.

In the case where $I_\mu = \infty$ we can deduce from Canary's theorem (Theorem 2.7) that for μ -a.e. $x \in G/\Gamma$ there exists a subsequence of times in which $(p(a_{-t}x))_{t \geq 0}$ tend arbitrarily far away from the convex core $C(\mathcal{M})$, implying the geodesic rays must end outside the limit set, meaning μ -a.e. U -orbit is wandering. Ergodicity once more ensures the measure is trivial, specifically supported on a single wandering horosphere.

Let us now assume that $0 < I_\mu < \infty$. We will show that in such case the measure μ is MA -quasi-invariant by showing the set

$$\Xi_{g\Gamma} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{t \geq n} a_{-t}g\Gamma g^{-1}a_t}$$

contains a Zariski-dense subgroup for μ -a.e. $g\Gamma$ and applying Theorem 1.4.

Given any point $g\Gamma$, the geodesic trajectory $(p(a_{-t}g\Gamma))_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies one of two possibilities, either

- (1) the geodesic trajectory is recurrent, i.e. returns infinitely often to some compact subset of \mathcal{M} (whenever the geodesic is facing a conical limit point³); or
- (2) the geodesic trajectory is wandering to infinity, i.e. permanently escapes every compact subset.

Note that properties (1)-(2) are U -invariant. Hence ergodicity implies that either μ -a.e. geodesic trajectory is recurrent or μ -a.e. trajectory is wandering.

In case of recurrence, we have for μ -a.e. $g\Gamma \in G/\Gamma$ a subsequence of times $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ and an element $h \in G$ for which $a_{-t_n}g\Gamma \rightarrow h\Gamma$. Consequently

$$a_{-t_n}g\Gamma g^{-1}a_{t_n} \longrightarrow h\Gamma h^{-1}$$

in the sense of Hausdorff convergence inside compact subsets of G . Clearly $h\Gamma h^{-1}$ is a Zariski dense subgroup of G (since Γ was assumed to be Zariski dense) and also $h\Gamma h^{-1} \subseteq \Xi_{g\Gamma}$, implying μ is MA -quasi-invariant.

Now assume $(p(a_{-t}g\Gamma))_{t \geq 0}$ is wandering for μ -a.e. $g\Gamma$. Fix

$$0 < \epsilon < \min\{\rho_3, I_\mu\}$$

where ρ_3 is as in §2.2. and let \mathcal{P} and C_{rel} be the corresponding cuspidal part and relative compact core of \mathcal{M} . Let $\{E_1, \dots, E_\ell\}$ be the induced collection of

³This is in fact the defining property of conical limit points.

relative ends of \mathcal{M} . Recall that

$$\mathcal{M} = (C_{rel} \cup \mathcal{P}) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} E_i.$$

For μ -a.e. $g\Gamma$ there exists a sequence $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ with $d_{\mathcal{M}}(p(a_{-t_n}x), C_{rel}) \rightarrow \infty$ and $\text{inj}_{\mathcal{M}}(p(a_{-t_n}g\Gamma)) > \epsilon$ therefore by taking a subsequence we may assume there exists an $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ such that the points $p(a_{-t_n}g\Gamma)$ are all contained inside E_i . Furthermore, for any sub-neighborhood V in E_i in the sense of §2.3, the sequence $(p(a_{-t_n}g\Gamma))_n$ is contained in V for all large n . Since $I_{\mu} < \infty$ we know the geodesic ray stays within a bounded distance of the convex core of \mathcal{M} (otherwise its lifts in \mathbb{H}^3 would tend to a limit point outside the limit set ensuring the injectivity radius tends to infinity). Hence E_i is a geometrically infinite end, implying $p(a_{-t_n}g\Gamma) \in C(\mathcal{M})$ for all large n .

By taking a subsequence of times, we may assume that $p(a_{-t_n}g\Gamma) \in C(\mathcal{M})$ for all n and that the sequence of sets $a_{-t_n}g\Gamma g^{-1}a_{t_n}$ converges to a closed subset Σ of G (as before in the sense of Hausdorff convergence inside compact subsets of G). Σ is clearly a subgroup of G and the lower bound on the injectivity radius at $p(a_{-t_n}g\Gamma)$ ensures Σ is discrete (recall (2.1)).

Let $\kappa > 0$ be the upper bound on the injectivity radius in $C(\mathcal{M})$ ensured by Canary's theorem. Assume in contradiction that Σ is not Zariski dense in G . It is hence a discrete subgroup of the second kind, implying by Lemma 2.1 that \mathbb{H}^3/Σ has unbounded injectivity radius. Therefore there exists a constant $R > 0$ for which \mathbb{H}^3/Σ contains an embedded hyperbolic ball of radius $\kappa + 1$ contained inside a neighborhood of radius R around the identity coset in \mathbb{H}^3/Σ . This in turn implies that for all large n the radius R neighborhood of the point $p(a_{-t_n}g\Gamma)$ in \mathcal{M} contains an embedded ball of radius greater than $\kappa + \frac{1}{2}$ (see e.g. [25, Thm. 7.7]). Denote by y_n the center of said embedded ball.

Clearly

$$y_n \in \mathcal{M}^0 \setminus C(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M} \setminus (\mathcal{P} \cup C(\mathcal{M})),$$

since

$$\text{inj}_{\mathcal{M}}(y_n) > \kappa + \frac{1}{2} > \sup_{x \in C(\mathcal{M})} \text{inj}_{\mathcal{M}}(x) \geq \epsilon.$$

The geodesic arc

$$\psi = [y_n, p(a_{-t_n}g\Gamma)]$$

connecting y_n with

$$p(a_{-t_n}g\Gamma) \in C(\mathcal{M})$$

intersects $\partial C(\mathcal{M})$. This arc is also contained inside $(\mathcal{M}^0)^{(R)}$, the R -neighborhood of \mathcal{M}^0 (the non-cuspidal part), since $d_{\mathcal{M}}(p(a_{-t_n}g\Gamma), y_n) < R$.

Therefore ψ intersects the set

$$Y = \partial C(\mathcal{M}) \cap \overline{(\mathcal{M}^0)^{(R)}},$$

and consequently

$$d_{\mathcal{M}}(p(a_{-t_n}g\Gamma), Y) < R$$

for all large n . But the Ahlfors finiteness theorem ensures Y is compact (see proof of Lemma 2.5), contradicting the assumption that the sequence $(p(a_{-t}g\Gamma))_{t \geq 0}$ is wandering.

Therefore $\Sigma \subseteq \Xi_{g\Gamma}$ is a Zariski dense subgroup of G and by Theorem 1.4 the measure μ is MA -quasi-invariant, concluding the proof. \square

Remark 3.1. The arguments in the proof of Theorem 1.1, specifically the argument used in the case where geodesic trajectories wander to infinity through a geometrically infinite end, may be used almost verbatim in any dimension to prove Theorem 1.5.

We conclude this section with the proof of Corollary 1.2:

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Let ν be a U -invariant measure on G/Γ which is P -quasi-invariant and ergodic. Let

$$\nu = \int_Y \nu_\xi d\rho(\xi)$$

be the ergodic decomposition of the measure ν with respect to the U -action, where Y is a standard Borel space, ρ a probability measure on Y , and ν_ξ a U -invariant and ergodic locally finite measure on G/Γ . Such an ergodic decomposition can be found e.g. in [14] (there are several versions of the ergodic decomposition in that paper, but one can for instance apply [14, Theorem 1.1] and then conclude that each ergodic component is actually U -invariant); alternatively one can proceed as in [1, Thm. 2.2.8], substituting Hochman's ratio ergodic theorem [15] instead of the classical Hurewicz ratio ergodic theorem.

By Theorem 1.1, for every ξ the ergodic component ν_ξ is either trivial or MA -quasi invariant.

Let $\pi : G \rightarrow P \backslash G$ be the projection onto $P \backslash G \cong M \backslash K \cong \partial \mathbb{H}^3$. Identifying G with \mathcal{FH}^3 , the frame bundle of hyperbolic 3-space, this quotient map corresponds to the projection of frames onto the limit points in $\partial \mathbb{H}^3$ they tend to under the geodesic flow a_{-t} as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Let Λ denote the limit set of Γ in $P \backslash G$ and let Λ_{par} denote the subset of parabolic fixed points. The P -ergodicity of ν ensures that either

- (1) ν_ξ is MA -quasi-invariant for ρ -a.e. ξ ;
- (2) ν_ξ is trivial and supported on $\pi^{-1}(\Lambda_{\text{par}})/\Gamma$ for ρ -a.e. ξ ; or
- (3) ν_ξ is trivial and supported on $\pi^{-1}(P \backslash G \setminus \Lambda)/\Gamma$ for ρ -a.e. ξ .

This follows from the fact that both Λ_{par} and $P \backslash G \setminus \Lambda$ are P -invariant, ensuring the respective sets in G/Γ are either null or conull with respect to ν . Whenever both sets are ν -null then Theorem 1.1 implies case (1) is the only possible alternative.

Note that if (1) holds then any U -invariant set is (up to a null set) also P invariant. Hence by P ergodicity the σ -algebra of U -invariant sets is equivalent mod ν to the trivial σ -algebra, i.e. ν is U -ergodic (hence $\nu_\xi = \nu$ for ρ -a.e. ξ).

We claim the remaining two alternatives imply ν is supported on a single P -orbit. Indeed recall that $\Lambda_{\text{par}} \subseteq P \backslash G$ is countable, hence if ν gives positive

measure to $\pi^{-1}(\Lambda_{\text{par}})/\Gamma$ there must exist a single P -orbit receiving positive mass. P -ergodicity of ν implies this is the entire support of ν .

Assume the third alternative, i.e. that ν -a.e. P -orbit is based outside the limit set. By the P -ergodicity of ν , there exists a point $g\Gamma \in G/\Gamma$ whose P -orbit is dense in $\text{supp}(\nu)$ (the topological support of ν). Assume in contradiction that there exists a point $h\Gamma \in \text{supp}(\nu)$ with a P -orbit based outside the limit set of Γ and satisfying $Pg\Gamma \neq Ph\Gamma$.

Since Γ acts properly discontinuously on $P \backslash G \setminus \Lambda$ and since $Pg\Gamma \neq Ph\Gamma$, there exists an open neighborhood $W \subset P \backslash G$ of $\pi(h)$ for which

$$\pi(g)\Gamma \cap W\Gamma = \emptyset.$$

But this in turn implies that

$$Pg\Gamma \cap \pi^{-1}(W)\Gamma = \emptyset$$

where $\pi^{-1}(W)\Gamma$ is an open neighborhood of the point $h\Gamma$ in G/Γ , contradicting the fact that $Pg\Gamma$ is dense in $\text{supp}(\nu)$. Therefore, ν is supported on a single P -orbit as claimed. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Jon Aaronson. An introduction to infinite ergodic theory, volume 50 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [2] Ian Agol. Tameness of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, 2004.
- [3] James W. Anderson, Kurt Falk, and Pekka Tukia. Conformal measures associated to ends of hyperbolic n -manifolds. *Q. J. Math.*, 58(1):1–15, 2007.
- [4] Martine Babillot. On the classification of invariant measures for horosphere foliations on nilpotent covers of negatively curved manifolds. In *Random walks and geometry*, pages 319–335. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004.
- [5] Martine Babillot and François Ledrappier. Geodesic paths and horocycle flow on Abelian covers. In *Lie groups and ergodic theory (Mumbai, 1996)*, volume 14 of *Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math.*, pages 1–32. Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1998.
- [6] Alexandre Bellis. On the links between horocyclic and geodesic orbits on geometrically infinite surfaces. *Journal de l'École polytechnique — Mathématiques*, 5:443–454, 2018.
- [7] Christopher J. Bishop and Peter W. Jones. The law of the iterated logarithm for Kleinian groups. In *Lipa's legacy (New York, 1995)*, volume 211 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 17–50. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [8] Marc Burger. Horocycle flow on geometrically finite surfaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 61(3):779–803, 1990.
- [9] Danny Calegari and David Gabai. Shrinkwrapping and the taming of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 19(2):385–446, 2006.
- [10] Richard D. Canary. Ends of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 6(1):1–35, 1993.
- [11] Richard D. Canary. A covering theorem for hyperbolic 3-manifolds and its applications. *Topology*, 35(3):751–778, 1996.
- [12] S. G. Dani. Invariant measures of horospherical flows on noncompact homogeneous spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 47(2):101–138, 1978.
- [13] Harry Furstenberg. The unique ergodicity of the horocycle flow. In *Recent advances in topological dynamics (Proc. Conf., Yale Univ., New Haven, Conn., 1972; in honor of Gustav Arnold Hedlund)*, pages 95–115. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 318. Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [14] Gernot Greschonig and Klaus Schmidt. Ergodic decomposition of quasi-invariant probability measures. *Colloquium Mathematicum*, 84(2):495–514, 2000.

- [15] Michael Hochman. A ratio ergodic theorem for multiparameter non-singular actions. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 12(2):365–383, 2010.
- [16] Michael Kapovich. Hyperbolic manifolds and discrete groups. *Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston*, Ltd., Boston, MA, 2009. Reprint of the 2001 edition.
- [17] Or Landesberg and Elon Lindenstrauss. On radon measures invariant under horospherical flows on geometrically infinite quotients, 2019.
- [18] Cyril Lecuire and Mahan Mj. Horospheres in Degenerate 3-Manifolds. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2018(3):816–861, January 2018.
- [19] François Ledrappier. Horospheres on abelian covers. *Boletim da Sociedade Brasileira de Matemática - Bulletin/Brazilian Mathematical Society*, 29(1):195–195, March 1998.
- [20] François Ledrappier. Invariant measures for the stable foliation on negatively curved periodic manifolds. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 58(1):85–105, 2008.
- [21] François Ledrappier and Omri Sarig. Invariant measures for the horocycle flow on periodic hyperbolic surfaces. *Israel J. Math.*, 160:281–315, 2007.
- [22] Minju Lee and Hee Oh. Ergodic decompositions of geometric measures on Anosov homogeneous spaces. *Preprint*, <https://gauss.math.yale.edu/~ho2/doc/ED.pdf>, 2020.
- [23] Albert Marden. Hyperbolic manifolds. *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge, 2016. An introduction in 2 and 3 dimensions.
- [24] Shigenori Matsumoto. Horocycle flows without minimal sets. *The University of Tokyo. Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 23(3):661–673, 2016.
- [25] Katsuhiko Matsuzaki and Masahiko Taniguchi. Hyperbolic manifolds and Kleinian groups. *Oxford Mathematical Monographs*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998. Oxford Science Publications.
- [26] Darryl McCullough. Compact submanifolds of 3-manifolds with boundary. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 37(147):299–307, 1986.
- [27] Yair N. Minsky. End invariants and the classification of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. In *Current developments in mathematics, 2002*, pages 181–217. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2003.
- [28] Amir Mohammadi and Hee Oh. Classification of joinings for Kleinian groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 165(11):2155–2223, 2016.
- [29] Hee Oh and Wenyu Pan. Local mixing and invariant measures for horospherical subgroups on abelian covers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.02772*, 2017.
- [30] John G. Ratcliffe. Foundations of hyperbolic manifolds, volume 149 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2006.
- [31] Marina Ratner. On Raghunathan’s measure conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 134(3):545–607, 1991.
- [32] Thomas Roblin. Ergodicité et équidistribution en courbure négative. *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)*, (95):vi+96, 2003.
- [33] Omri Sarig. Invariant Radon measures for horocycle flows on abelian covers. *Invent. Math.*, 157(3):519–551, 2004.
- [34] Omri Sarig. The horocyclic flow and the Laplacian on hyperbolic surfaces of infinite genus. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 19(6):1757–1812, 2010.
- [35] G. P. Scott. Compact submanifolds of 3-manifolds. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 7:246–250, 1973.
- [36] Dennis Sullivan. Entropy, hausdorff measures old and new, and limit sets of geometrically finite kleinian groups. *Acta Math.*, 153:259–277, 1984.
- [37] Dennis Sullivan. Related aspects of positivity in Riemannian geometry. *J. Differential Geom.*, 25(3):327–351, 1987.
- [38] W. P. Thurston. The Geometry and Topology of Three-Manifolds. Lecture notes from Princeton University, 1978-1980.
- [39] William P. Thurston. Three-dimensional geometry and topology. Vol. 1, volume 35 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997.

- [40] William A. Veech. Unique ergodicity of horospherical flows. *Amer. J. Math.*, 99(4):827–859, 1977.
- [41] Dale Winter. Mixing of frame flow for rank one locally symmetric spaces and measure classification. *Israel J. Math.*, 210(1):467–507, 2015.