FOREST unbiased Galactic plane imaging survey with the Nobeyama 45 m telescope (FUGIN): Possible evidence of cloud-cloud collisions triggering high-mass star formation in the giant molecular cloud M16 (Eagle Nebula)

Atsushi NISHIMURA^{1*}, Shinji FUJITA², Mikito KOHNO², Daichi TSUTSUMI², Tetsuhiro MINAMIDANI^{3,4}, Kazufumi TORII^{3,4}, Tomofumi UMEMOTO^{3,4}, Mitsuhiro MATSUO³, Yuya TSUDA⁶, Mika KURIKI⁷, Nario KUNO^{7,8}, Hidetoshi SANO^{2,9}, Hiroaki YAMAMOTO², Kengo TACHIHARA² and Yasuo FUKUI^{2,9}

¹Department of Physical Science, Graduate School of Science, Osaka Prefecture University, 1-1 Gakuen-cho, Naka-ku, Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, Japan

²Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8602, Japan

³Nobeyama Radio Observatory, National Astronomical Observatory of Japan (NAOJ), National Institutes of Natural Sciences (NINS), 462-2 Nobeyama, Minamimaki, Minamisaku, Nagano 384-1305, Japan

⁴Department of Astronomical Science, SOKENDAI (The Graduate University for Advanced Studies), 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan

⁵Institute of Space and Astronautical Science, Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, Chuo-ku, Sagamihara 252-5210, Japan

⁶Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Meisei University, 2-1-1 Hodokubo, Hino, Tokyo 191-0042, Japan

⁷Department of Physics, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Ten-nodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8577, Japan

⁸Center for Integrated Research in Fundamental Science and Technology (CiRfSE),

University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan

⁹Institute for Advanced Research, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8601,

Japan

*E-mail: nishimura@p.s.osakafu-u.ac.jp

Received; Accepted

Abstract

M16, the Eagle Nebula, is an outstanding H II region which exhibits extensive high-mass star

formation and hosts remarkable "pillars". We herein obtained new ^{12}CO J=1-0 data for the

region observed with NANTEN2, which were combined with the ^{12}CO J=1-0 data obtained

using FOREST unbiased galactic plane imaging with Nobeyama 45-m telescope (FUGIN) sur-

vey. These observations revealed that a giant molecular cloud (GMC) of $\sim 1.3 \times 10^5~M_{\odot}$ is

associated with M16, which is elongated by over 30 pc and is perpendicular to the galactic

plane, at a distance of 1.8 kpc. This GMC can be divided into the northern (N) cloud, the east-

ern (E) filament, the southeast (SE) cloud, the southeast (SE) filament, and the southern (S)

cloud. We also found two velocity components (blue and red shifted component) in the N cloud.

The blue-shifted component shows a ring-like structure, as well as the red-shifted component

coincides with the intensity depression of the ring-like structure. The position-velocity diagram

of the components showed a V-shaped velocity feature. The spatial and velocity structures of

the cloud indicated that two different velocity components collided with each other at a relative

velocity of 11.6 km $\rm s^{-1}$. The timescale of the collision was estimated to be $\sim 4 \times 10^5$ yr. The

collision event reasonably explains the formation of the O9V star ALS15348, as well as the

shape of the Spitzer bubble N19. A similar velocity structure was found in the SE cloud, which

is associated with the O7.5V star HD168504. In addition, the complementary distributions of

the two velocity components found in the entire GMC suggested that the collision event oc-

curred globally. On the basis of the above results, we herein propose a hypothesis that the

collision between the two components occurred sequentially over the last several 10^6 yr and

triggered the formation of O-type stars in the NGC6611 cluster.

Key words: ISM: clouds — ISM: individual objects (M16) — stars: formation — radio lines: ISM

2

1 Introduction

High mass stars, such as O- and early B-type stars, contribute considerable amounts of energy to the galactic disk, and they dominate dynamics of the interstellar medium and influence galactic evolution. It is, therefore, crucial to understand the mechanisms of high-mass star formation in order to obtain a comprehensive understanding of galactic evolution. Considerable amounts of effort have been devoted toward this issue (e.g., for a review see Zinnecker & Yorke 2007).

O/early B stars ionize H II regions, which are often associated with natal molecular gas. H II regions are, therefore, a primary target for studying the mechanisms and initial conditions of high-mass star formation on the basis of observations of stars and molecular gas. One difficulty in tracing star formation in HII regions is that ionization significantly affects natal gas, which is dispersed quickly after star formation. In order to minimize the influence of ionization, very young star formation needs to be investigated via molecular studies, and such samples need to be sufficiently large to yield statistically sound conclusions. Recent studies of giant molecular clouds (GMCs) near the Sun included Orion A (Nishimura et al. 2015; Fukui et al. 2018a; Tanabe et al. 2019; Ishii et al. 2019; Nakamura et al. 2019), Orion B (Pety et al. 2017; Enokiya et al. 2019b; Fujita et al. 2017; Ohama et al. 2017), Cygnus-X (Yamagishi et al. 2018; Takekoshi et al. 2019; Dobashi et al. 2019), M17 (Yamagishi et al. 2016; Nishimura et al. 2018; Shimoikura et al. 2019), M20 (Torii et al. 2011; Torii et al. 2017), Vela (Fukui et al. 2016; Sano et al. 2018; Enokiya et al. 2018), and NGC6334/NGC6357 (Fukui et al. 2018b), are part of such efforts. These H II regions show very young star formation with an age of $\sim 10^5$ yr, providing us with hints regarding the initial cloud conditions and distributions prior to O star formation.

The distance scale affected by ionization is given as $v_i \times$ age, where $v_i \sim 5$ km s⁻¹ is the typical velocity of an ionization front (e.g., Spitzer 1968). An age of 10⁵ yr yields ~ 0.5 pc as the distance, which is smaller than a typical star forming cloud (e.g., Orion and Vela) having a size of a few to ~ 10 pc. On the other hand, molecular gas within a 10 pc radius becomes significantly ionized if there are 10 or more O stars whose ages are ~ 2 Myr, as in Westerlund2 (Furukawa et al. 2009; Ohama et al. 2010), NGC3603 (Fukui et al. 2014), and [DBS2003]179 (Fukui et al. 2020). Natal gas directly related to star formation has already been lost in those regions, however, it is still possible that the rest of the gas outside 10 pc, which has yet to be ionized, may contain a hint of the initial conditions of the parental cloud and any early interactions with other clouds. It is noteworthy that recent large-scale mapping of GMCs has shown possible evidence of cloud-cloud collisions in molecular gas outside ionized regions,

which suggests that collisions may trigger O/early B star formation in all of the H II regions listed above, and perhaps many others (Fukui et al. 2018c; Ohama et al. 2018a; Fukui et al. 2018d; Ohama et al. 2018b; Hayashi et al. 2018; Kohno et al. 2018a; Torii et al. 2018b; Kohno et al. 2018b; Fujita et al. 2019a; Fujita et al. 2019b; Enokiya et al. 2019a).

M16, the Eagle Nebula, is one of the most well-known high-mass star formation sites (Figure 1a; for a review, see Oliveira 2008). At a distance of 1.8 kpc (Bonatto et al. 2006; Dufton et al. 2006; Guarcello et al. 2007), it is located on the Sagittarius Arm, which consists of an open cluster (NGC6611), an H II region known as Sh2-49 (Sharpless 1959), Gum83 (Gum 1955), RCW165 (Rodgers et al. 1960) or W37 (Westerhout 1958), some bright rimmed clouds (e.g., Sugitani et al. 1991), and molecular clouds (Dame et al. 2001). NGC6611 contains 52 known O/early-B stars (Evans et al. 2005), with the most massive one being the O4 star HD168076. The age and total mass of NGC6611's stellar population have been estimated to be 1.3 ± 0.3 Myr (Bonatto et al. 2006) and $\sim 2.5 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$ (Wolff et al. 2007), respectively. The molecular gas within ~ 5 pc from the cluster is heavily ionized, but a remnant of the parental gas still exists in spectacular pillars named elephant trunks (e.g., Hester et al. 1996; Levay et al. 2015). Moreover, molecular clouds are present outside the ionization front created by the cluster (Hill et al. 2012), with active ongoing low-mass star formation in these clouds (Povich et al. 2013). In addition, the association of two O-type stars, ALS15348 and HD168504, with the surrounding clouds suggests that high-mass star formation is also ongoing in the clouds. The molecular clouds in M16 are, therefore, suitable to be used in investigating the initial conditions of cluster formation and the process of forming O-type and low-mass stars.

We carried out new CO J=1-0 observations with NANTEN2 toward M16 and combined them with already existing high-resolution FUGIN data. The combined data revealed the whole cloud and its surroundings at angular resolutions suitable for studies of star formation and cloud interactions with H II regions. We herein present the first results of these observations. This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 provides descriptions of the CO observations, Section 3 presents the CO results, Section 4 presents a discussion of star formation in clouds, and Section 5 concludes the paper.

2 Data set

2.1 ^{12}CO J=1-0 observations with the NANTEN2 telescope

The entire extent of the molecular gas toward the M16 GMC was observed with the NANTEN2 4-m submillimeter telescope (Mizuno & Fukui 2004) using 12 CO J=1–0 emission line at 115

GHz during the period from January 2012 to January 2013. A superconductor-insulator-superconductor (SIS) mixer receiver was used for the front end, and a digital Fourier transform spectrometer with 16,384 channels of 1 GHz bandwidth, corresponding to velocity coverage and resolution of 2,600 km s⁻¹ and 0.16 km s⁻¹, respectively, was used for the back end. The double-sideband (DSB) system noise temperature was typically measured to be 110 K toward the zenith including the atmosphere during the observation period. On-the-fly (OTF) mapping mode was used for observations, and each observation was carried out on a submap which has an area of 1 deg². The pointing accuracy was checked every three hours and achieved within an offset of 25". The absolute intensity was calibrated by observing IRAS 16293-2422. After initial data reductions, the final beam size (full width at half maximum, FWHM) was 180", and the typical noise level was 0.42 K in the $T_{\rm mb}$ scale.

2.2 FUGIN ^{12}CO , ^{13}CO , and $C^{18}O$ J=1-0 data

In order to investigate the detailed structures in the GMC, 12 CO, 13 CO, and 18 O J=1-0 data sets obtained by the FUGIN survey with the Nobeyama 45-m telescope were analyzed. The FUGIN project observed the galactic plane with a range of $(l=10^{\circ}-50^{\circ}, b \leq \pm 1^{\circ})$ using 12 CO, 13 CO, and 18 O J=1-0 lines. The FOur-beam REceiver System on the 45m Telescope (FOREST; Minamidani et al. 2016) and the FX-type correlator SAM45 (Kuno et al. 2011; Kamazaki et al. 2012) were used to perform the observations. OTF observations (Sawada et al. 2008) were carried out for each submap, which were divided into squares of 1×1 deg². The angular resolution of the data sets was $\sim 20''$, and the pointing accuracy was kept within 3". More detailed information on the observations and data reduction was provided by (Umemoto et al. 2017), and the data quality was discussed by (Torii et al. 2019).

The scan strategy of the FUGIN observations was optimized for large scale mapping. In order to achieve this goal, an arbitrary ON position was scanned by any of the four beams of FOREST, and the observed data from each beam were merged to create fully sampled large scale maps. Therefore, because of the different noise temperature in each beam, undesirable scanning effects appeared in some of the merged maps, especially when the weather conditions were less than ideal. For the region containing M16, the map of $l = 17^{\circ}-18^{\circ}$ suffered from some scanning effects. In order to remove these features, the CO data sets were smoothed to a 50" resolution for this study.

In the current study, the CO data of $l=16.5^{\circ}-17.5^{\circ}$ and $b=0^{\circ}-1^{\circ}$ were cut out and used. It is worth noting that although the distribution of the M16 GMC about the galactic

latitude ($b = 0^{\circ}-1.2^{\circ}$) exceeds the survey area of the FUGIN ($b \leq \pm 1^{\circ}$), the FUGIN data sets are still useful for this study as the majority of the molecular gas emission is distributed within the area mapped by FUGIN.

3 Results

3.1 Large scale molecular distribution with NANTEN2

Figure 1b shows the large-scale integrated intensity distribution of the 12 CO J=1–0 emission in a 3.5°× 2° region containing the M16 and M17 GMCs obtained with the NANTEN2 telescope. Intensities were calculated by integrating the spectra between 0 and 40 km s⁻¹, which almost corresponds to the velocity range of near side of the Sagittarius Arm. The maximum intensity of 12 CO J=1–0 was found at the position of the M17 GMC (l=15.001°, b=-0.667°) with an intensity of 382 K km s⁻¹, and the second maximum intensity was found at the position of the M16 GMC (l=17.035°, b=0.867°) with an intensity of 165 K km s⁻¹. Both GMCs are located at the edge of a molecular super shell (Moriguchi et al. 2002; Guarcello et al. 2010; Comerón & Torra 2018). The M17 GMC is distributed almost parallel to the galactic plane, whereas the M16 GMC is distributed almost perpendicular to the plane. The size of the M16 GMC is 30 × 10 pc. The intensity peak of 12 CO J=1–0 and cluster NGC6611 are located at the northwestern side of the GMC. The total mass of the GMC is estimated to be 1.3 × 10⁵ M_{\odot} from 12 CO J=1–0 transition using an XCO factor of 1.8 × 10²⁰ cm⁻² K⁻¹ km⁻¹ s (Dame et al. 2001).

Figure 2 shows a latitude-velocity diagram of the M16 GMC. Most of the emission was found in the velocity range 15–28 km s⁻¹. The GMC has a uniform velocity distribution in regions below $b < 0.7^{\circ}$ with an intensity peak at 24 km s⁻¹, whereas it has a double-peaked velocity at 20 km s⁻¹ and 22–26 km s⁻¹ for regions above $b > 0.7^{\circ}$. A diffuse component was found for regions below $b < 0.6^{\circ}$, which had a velocity range of 30–40 km s⁻¹. This diffuse component seems to be unrelated to the GMC; therefore we used the data with a velocity range of 10–30 km s⁻¹ for the analyses of the GMC hereafter.

3.2 Detailed molecular distribution with FUGIN

Figure 3 shows a velocity-integrated intensity map of 12 CO J=1-0 obtained by FUGIN. Data were smoothed to a 50" resolution to remove the scanning effects (see Section 2.2). Intensities were calculated by integrating the spectra between 10 and 32 km s⁻¹. NANTEN data (180" resolution) were used to show the entire distribution of the GMC, which extend up to $b = 10^{-1}$

1.2° (and hence exceed the FUGIN coverage beyond $b = 1^{\circ}$). The GMC can be divided into five subregions: a northern (N) cloud, an eastern (E) filament, a southeastern (SE) cloud, a southeastern (SE) filament, and a southern (S) cloud. The locations and definitions of boundaries of these subregions are shown in Figure 3. The N cloud is the most massive cloud in the GMC, which is distributed around $l > 0.7^{\circ}$ and is associated with active star formation regions, including the NGC6611 cluster and the Spitzer bubble N19, the latter of which is associated with the O-type star ALS15348. The cloud shows many short filamentary structures with CO emission, and the same structures are also seen in dust observations made with Herschel (Hill et al. 2012). The E filament connects the N cloud and the SE cloud and is elongated from $(l, b)=(17.05^{\circ}, 0.4^{\circ})$ to $(l, b)=(17.1^{\circ}, 0.65^{\circ})$. The width and length of the filament are 2 pc and 6 pc, respectively. The SE cloud has a rounded shape and is located at $b < 0.4^{\circ}$, which is associated with a filament-shaped structure, the SE filament, located at $l < 16.83^{\circ}$ and $b < 0.2^{\circ}$. The O-type star HD168504 is located at almost the center of the cloud. The velocity distributions of the SE cloud and the SE filament are different, that is, 19–27 km $\rm s^{-1}$ for the SE cloud and $\sim 15~\rm km~s^{-1}$ for the SE filament (see also Figure 4). The S cloud is located at the southern side of the NGC6611 cluster.

Velocity distributions are very complicated in the GMC, as seen in the velocity channel maps shown in Figure 4. The GMC consists of many small filaments and cores, whose spatial distributions are drastically different in each velocity range. The N cloud mainly consists of a blue-shifted ring-like structure ($v_{\rm LSR}=16-20~{\rm km~s^{-1}}$) and a red-shifted cloud ($v_{\rm LSR}=24-28~{\rm km~s^{-1}}$). For the E filament, intense well-defined filaments are found in the red-shifted part ($v_{\rm LSR}=23-26~{\rm km~s^{-1}}$), whereas the blue-shifted part ($v_{\rm LSR}=18-22~{\rm km~s^{-1}}$) shows a more diffuse distribution. The blue-shifted component of the SE cloud ($v_{\rm LSR}=16-22~{\rm km~s^{-1}}$) consists of a diffuse component showing no internal structure, whereas the red-shifted component of the cloud ($v_{\rm LSR}=24-28~{\rm km~s^{-1}}$) shows a filamentary structure. The velocity ranges of the blue- and red-shifted components are slightly different for the N cloud, E filament, and SW cloud, whereas all regions can be divided into two velocity components using a central velocity of $\sim 22~{\rm km~s^{-1}}$. The spatial distributions are also significantly different between the various components.

3.3 Detailed velocity distributions of the N cloud

Figure 5 shows the distribution of the blue-shifted component ($v_{LSR} < 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) toward the N cloud. This component shows a ring-like structure centered at (l, b)=(17.08°, 0.93°) with a diameter of \sim 5 pc (Figure 5a). The intensity depression of the molecular gas clearly coincides

with the ring-like distribution of the 8 μ m Spitzer image (Figure 5b) and also with the distribution of the H II region (Figure 5c). The O9V star ALS15348 ($l=17.083^{\circ}$, $b=0.974^{\circ}$), which is an ionizing star in the Spitzer bubble N19, is located at the center of the molecular ring with an offset of \sim 1 pc toward the NW direction. The width of the molecular ring is broader on the northern side (1–5 pc) than on the southern side (\sim 0.5 pc), the latter of which adjoining the NGC6611 cluster, indicating that the southern side of the component became ionized and dissociated by feedback from the cluster. Figure 6 shows the distribution of the red-shifted component ($v_{\rm LSR}>24~{\rm km~s^{-1}}$), which has no clear correspondence with the distribution of the N18 bubble. The intensity peaks near the contact point to the cluster ($l=17.038^{\circ}$, $b=0.876^{\circ}$) and decreases precipitously toward the cluster, indicating the component is also affected by feedback from the cluster.

Figure 7 shows a longitude-velocity diagram of the Spitzer bubble N19. The NW side of the bubble ($b=0.98^{\circ}-1.05^{\circ}$; Figure 7a) mainly consists of a blue-shifted component, which seems to have no velocity gradient. A red-shifted component is only found around $l=17.0^{\circ}$, which is connected to the blue-shifted component with the intermediate-velocity emission. In the central part of the bubble ($b=0.92^{\circ}-0.98^{\circ}$; Figure 7b), most of the molecular gas is found in the intermediate-velocity range between the blue- and red-shifted components. The velocity width is broader within the bubble compared to the outer part of the bubble. The SE side of the bubble ($b=0.85^{\circ}-0.92^{\circ}$; Figure 7c) shows a complicated velocity distribution, which can be divided into three parts: a blue-shifted component, a red-shifted component, and an intermediate bridging component. The velocity bridge features are found at $l=17.03^{\circ}$, 17.09° , and 17.2° . The velocity broadening found at the interface to the cluster around $l=17.00^{\circ}-17.04^{\circ}$ indicates that feedback from the cluster likely accelerated the blue-shifted component to 15 km s⁻¹ and the red-shifted component to 30 km s⁻¹ at the surface layer of the cloud.

The spatial relationship between the blue- and red-shifted components is shown in Figure 8. The distribution of the red-shifted component clearly corresponds to the distribution of the intensity depression of the blue-shifted component. In addition, the intensity of the DSS Red (which roughly corresponds to the H α emission) is detected in the area where the intensities of both velocity components are depressed. The complementary spatial distributions of the two velocity components and the (proxy) H α emission are found for the entire region of the N cloud with a spatial scale of ~ 10 pc. Figure 9 shows a position-velocity diagram with respect to the O star ALS15348. The integration area and directions are represented by the green rectangle in Figure 8. The velocity distribution shows a V-shape with a maximum velocity of 30 km s⁻¹ at a distance of 2 pc from ALS15348 at $(l, b)=(17.038^{\circ}, 0.900^{\circ})$. The gradient of the intensity

peak velocity is steeper toward the cluster side than on the ALS15348 side.

3.4 Large scale velocity distribution

Figure 10 shows an intensity-weighted mean velocity map, with contours showing the integrated ¹²CO J=1-0 emission intensity for reference of the distribution of the GMC. On a large scale, the GMC is surrounded by diffuse molecular gas which mainly consists of the red-shifted component for the lower longitude and the blue-shifted component for the higher longitude. The two velocity components are merged on the GMC. In the smaller scale, some velocity gradients are found on the GMC, whereas the velocity gradient is not clearly seen in the larger scale. In the M16 GMC, the two velocity components are jumbled and their spatial features seem to be complicated. The GMC consists of blue- and red-shifted components that are connected by an intermediate-velocity component. For the N cloud, the red-shifted component is surrounded by a blue-shifted component, which is consistent with their distributions shown in Figure 8. The E filament and SE cloud also consist of two velocity components clearly divided by an intermediate-velocity layer, which suggests that they have complementary distributions. The blue- and red-shifted components in the SE cloud show complementary distributions, as shown in Figure 11. The O-type star HD168504 ($l = 17.026^{\circ}$, $b = 0.345^{\circ}$) is located at the boundary between the two velocity components. The 24 μ m emission, indicating the warm dust mainly heated by the H_{II} region G017.037+00.320 (Anderson et al. 2014), is associated with HD168504 and is distributed in the area where the CO intensities of both velocity components are depressed. The spatial distributions of the two velocity components and the H II region are similar to that of the N cloud.

Figure 12 shows the spatial distributions of the blue- and red-shifted components of the GMC. The complementary distributions of the N cloud and the SE cloud are also clearly shown in the figure. In addition, complementary distributions can be seen for the E filament, the S cloud, and the SE filament. The SE filament mainly consists of a single velocity component of $v_{\rm LSR}\sim15~{\rm km~s^{-1}}$, whereas the red-shifted component of the SE cloud surrounds the filament; thus, their spatial relationships are complementary. The spatial relationships of the two velocity components in the E filament and the S cloud are more complicated than in the other subregions, although there are some complementary distributions between them. The two velocity components in the E filament are not clearly separated like in the SE cloud, whereas the intensity peaks of the red-shifted component are located in the intensity depression of the blue-shifted component, and vice versa. The intensity distribution of the S cloud is weaker and

more diffuse compared to the E filament, whereas the trends of the two velocity components are similar to those of the E filament. Furthermore, complementarity is also found across the entire scale of the GMC. The red-shifted component of the GMC is surrounded by blue-shifted gas, and the area where the intensity of the red-shifted component is depressed within the GMC is filled by a blue-shifted component. The blue-shifted component in a subregion, which is bounded by the E filament, the SE cloud, and S cloud, also shows complementary distributions with the red-shifted components in the surrounding subregions.

4 Discussion

4.1 Star formation in the Spitzer bubble N19

In the present study, a careful inspection of the velocity channel distributions of the 12 CO J=1-0 transition revealed that the M16 GMC can be divided into two velocity components, that is, a blue-shifted component (v_{LSR} =9.2–19.6 km s⁻¹) and a red-shifted component (v_{LSR} =24.2–31.3 km s⁻¹), which have significantly different spatial distributions. In particular, the N cloud shows very clear complementary distributions between the two velocity components, whereby the smaller red-shifted cloud is surrounded by a larger blue-shifted cloud with a definitive boundary between them (Figure 8). The complementary distribution of different velocity channels is a signature of colliding clouds (Furukawa et al. 2009; Ohama et al. 2010; Shimoikura et al. 2013; Dobashi et al. 2014; Fukui et al. 2018a). Numerical simulations have shown that a supersonic collision of different-sized molecular clouds makes a hole behind the collision interface of the larger cloud, where gas from both clouds merges and becomes strongly compressed (Habe & Ohta 1992; Takahira et al. 2014). This hole in the larger cloud coincides with the path of the smaller cloud; therefore synthetic observations of this event shows a complementary distribution between the two velocity components corresponding to the larger and smaller clouds (Torii et al. 2017; Fukui et al. 2018c). Furthermore, for the case of that the collision direction is separated from the line of sight (LOS), the larger cloud is expected to be observed as a ring-like shape opening at the direction toward the approaching path of the smaller cloud (Torii et al. 2015). For the N cloud, the blue-shifted component shows a ring-like structure opening at the Eastern side, and its opening region is filled with the red-shifted component (Figure 8). This geometric relation suggests that the collision direction is toward an east-west direction. The center velocities of the blue- and red-shifted components of the N cloud were calculated to be 18.0 and 26.2 km s⁻¹, respectively, and the velocity separation along the LOS of the two velocity components was 8.2 km s⁻¹. Therefore, it is a supersonic collision if the components are

colliding. The V-shaped velocity feature found in the N cloud (Figure 9) further supports the collision scenario, as studies on numerical simulations have shown that this type of feature is observed in the late phase of collisional interactions of different-sized clouds (Takahira et al. 2014; Haworth et al. 2015a; Haworth et al. 2015b; Fukui et al. 2018c). All the results of the detailed analyses of the velocity structure of the molecular gas are consistent with the theoretical findings and indicate that the blue- and red-shifted components are the result of collisional interactions. The collision velocity is estimated to be 11.6 km s⁻¹, if we assume a collision angle of 45° toward the LOS. The timescale of the collision for the N cloud is roughly estimated to be $\sim 4 \times 10^5$ yr as the cloud size (~ 5 pc) divided by the collision velocity. In general, two of clouds moving on the same direction toward the LOS is rare, therefore considering the some collision direction toward the LOS (typically 45°) is reasonable assumption. However, the colliding clouds in the region having same traveling direction is possible. If in the case, collision time scale is $\sim 30\%$ greater than the estimated value above. The mass of the molecular gas and the peak column density for the blue- and red-shifted components are calculated to be 2.2×10^4 M_{\odot} and $2.4 \times 10^4~M_{\odot}$, and $2.3 \times 10^{22}~{\rm cm^{-2}}$ and $2.8 \times 10^{22}~{\rm cm^{-2}}$, respectively, assuming an X-factor of $1.8 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} \text{ km}^{-1} \text{ s}$ (Dame et al. 2001).

The isolated O9V star ALS15348, an ionizing star of the Spitzer bubble N19, is surrounded by an H II region with a radius of ~ 1 pc. The formation timescale of the H II region is roughly estimated to be $\sim 2 \times 10^5$ yr by assuming a typical velocity of the ionization front of $\sim 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Hosokawa & Inutsuka 2005; Fukui et al. 2018a). Therefore, the molecular material surrounding the young HII region may have retained some of the initial material and physical conditions that were present at the time of star formation; such a notion is worthy of investigation. The star is located on the border of the two velocity components at $l=17.083^{\circ}$ and $b = 0.974^{\circ}$ (Figures 8 and 12), indicating that the star was possibly formed by collisional interactions. As the velocity separation of the two components is high ($\sim 8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), the collision induced a high mass accretion rate in the range of up to 10^{-3} to $10^{-4}~M_{\odot}~{\rm yr}^{-1}$ (Inoue & Fukui 2013; Inoue et al. 2018). By assuming this high mass accretion rate, ALS15348 may have formed during an estimated collision time scale of $\sim 4 \times 10^5$ yr; if true, this timescale can be self-consistently explained by the collision scenario. The Spitzer bubble N19 is classified as a "complete or closed ring" in Churchwell et al. (2006). The Collect & Collapse model (e.g., Elmegreen & Lada 1977) is usually discussed as the origin of the Spitzer bubbles (e.g., Deharveng et al. 2010). In the model, an expanding H II region sweeps up ambient material; therefore the model predicts that the ambient material possess a particular kind of velocity pattern (Torii et al. 2015). However, the surrounding CO gas of the Spitzer bubble N19 shows

no expanding motion (Figure 7). We here propose a collision scenario to explain the formation of ALS15348 and Spitzer bubble N19 following the scheme proposed for other Spitzer bubble RCW120 (Torii et al. 2015) and RCW79 (Ohama et al. 2018a). Figure 13 shows a schematic diagram of the collision scenario for the N cloud. Figures 13a-c represent the projected spatial distributions of the two velocity components before collision and during collision as well as their current states after the collision. We assumed that the blue-shifted component collided with the red-shifted component from the direction of higher galactic latitude and farther away along the LOS. We also assumed that the NGC6611 cluster is associated with the red-shifted component as the $v_{\rm LSR}$ value of the cluster member, typically $\sim 30~{\rm km~s^{-1}}({\rm Xu~et~al.~2018})$, is similar to that of the red-shifted component. The age of the NGC6611 cluster is estimated to be several Myr (Hillenbrand et al. 1993; Belikov et al. 2000; Bonatto et al. 2006); therefore, it is considered that the red-shifted component interacted with, and was compressed by, feedback from the cluster before the blue-shifted component started to collide with it (Figure 13a). After contact was made between the two velocity components (Figure 13b), the interface layer was likely compressed strongly, as intimated by the scheme proposed by Torii et al. (2015), which was seen in other numerical simulations (Habe & Ohta 1992; Anathpindika 2009a; Anathpindika 2009b; Anathpindika 2010; Inoue & Fukui 2013; Takahira et al. 2014; Matsumoto et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2017a; Inoue et al. 2018; Wu et al. 2018). An O9 type star that formed in $\sim 10^5$ yr in the shocked layer likely ionized the two surrounding velocity components by ultraviolet (UV) feedback with a few 10⁵ yr (Figure 13c). The H II region eventually formed between the two velocity components, as currently observed.

The UV feedback from the O type stars in the NGC6611 cluster and ALS15348 is another possible explanation for the distribution of the two velocity components, as the N cloud is obviously affected by strong feedback (see Figure 5b and 6b). If the cloud was accelerated by feedback, a velocity shift as a function of distance from the stars should exist. However, the intensity-weighted mean velocity map shows no clear velocity gradient centered at the O type stars (Figure 10). Furthermore, it is highly unlikely that UV feedback accelerated the different components to create the large-scale complementary distribution (~ 5 pc) as observed. Therefore, UV feedback does not seem to adequately explain the existence of the two velocity components in the N cloud.

4.2 Star formation in the SE cloud

In addition to the N cloud, complementary distributions were also found in the SE cloud (Figures 10 and 12). In this region, the two velocity components do not surround each other, as seen for the N cloud, but rather they are distributed mutually exclusively and are connected only on one side of the component boundary. Synthetic observations from numerical simulations of collisions of similar-sized clouds showed similar types of complementary distributions, whereby a compressed layer formed as a plane between two clouds, and the clouds were observed as two velocity components bordered by the shocked layer (Wu et al. 2017a; Bisbas et al. 2017). It is, therefore, possible that the two velocity components in the SE cloud are the result of colliding clouds. If this is true, then the collision velocities are likely to be similar to those in the N cloud.

The O7.5V star HD168504 is isolated from other O-type stars in M16, and its similar distance, radial velocity, and proper motion with the NGC6611 cluster (Xu et al. 2018) suggest that it is associated with the M16 GMC. HD168504 is located at the center of the SE cloud in the integrated intensity map (Figure 3), which is also along the border of two velocity components at $l = 17.026^{\circ}$ and $b = 0.345^{\circ}$ (Figure 11). Its position indicates that the star's formation was possibly triggered by the collision of the two velocity components. As the velocity separation of the two components is high (\sim 8 km s⁻¹), the collision was able to enhance the mass accretion rate enough to form HD168504; this scenario was also proposed to explain the formation of the O9V star ALS15348 (see Section 4.1). The spatial relationships among the two velocity components and the H II region around HD168504 are also very similar to the case of the N cloud. The blue- and red-shifted components are complementarily distributed, and the cavity of the two velocity components corresponds to the distribution of the H II region associated with the star. We, therefore, conclude that HD168504 possibly formed by the same collision event as ALS15348.

Another possibility that explains the existence of the two velocity components is UV feedback from the NGC6611 cluster. Figure 14 shows the spatial distribution of the 8 μ m emission and the two velocity components of the CO emission. The cluster side of the red-shifted component of the E filament and the SE cloud are associated in the 8 μ m emission, indicating that the components interacted with, and are affected by UV emission from the cluster. A temperature decrease was found in the E filament as a function of distance from the cluster. The temperature peaks at the boundary of the cluster side of the filament and decreases with increasing distance from the cluster (see panel 23.0 km s⁻¹ of Figure 4). The SE cloud shows

no clear temperature gradient along the direction to the cluster. These trends of temperature distribution of the molecular gas are similar to the observations of dust temperature made by Hershel (Hill et al. 2012). In addition, the velocity gradients along the direction to the cluster are not found in the E filament or the SE cloud. We, therefore, conclude here that while the UV emission from the cluster is heating the E filament, it does not accelerate the E filament or the SE cloud, and hence it cannot be the cause of the two observed velocity components.

4.3 Possibility of the formation of the NGC6611 cluster by a cloud collision

The NGC6611 cluster is a more active and older system than the regions discussed above; the cluster contains 13 O type stars (Evans et al. 2005), and its age is estimated to be several Myr (Hillenbrand et al. 1993; Belikov et al. 2000; Bonatto et al. 2006). The molecular gas surrounding the cluster was heavily dissociated and dispersed by UV feedback from the cluster, and hence it is difficult to find any initial conditions of the cluster formation from the molecular remnant directly. However, the complementarity of the spatial distributions of the two velocity components and the HII region around the cluster is similar with that found in the N and SE clouds (Figure 15), implying that the similar mechanism might originate the cluster. The complementary distribution of molecular gas found in the S cloud and the SE filament and indeed across the entire scale of the GMC (see Section 3.4, Figure 12) suggests that the GMC possibly experienced a cloud-cloud collision at global scales. It is, therefore, still possible that the cluster was formed by a cloud collision that occurred prior to the current collision by several million years. The GMC is located in the Sagittarius Arm, which also contains several CO clouds along the galactic plane around M16 and M17 (Figure 1b). M16 and M17 may potentially have arisen from multiple natal-cloud collisions because the high number density of molecular clouds favors more frequent collisions than the average in the galactic disk. Furthermore, the M16 GMC is located at the boundary of a molecular shell (Moriguchi et al. 2002; Guarcello et al. 2010; Comerón & Torra 2018; see also Figure 1b) that might have formed by past feedback from ancient OB-type stars and supernova explosions, indicating that the GMC has possibly interacted with the shell. If the M16 GMC has originated from the interaction between galactic atomic/molecular clouds and the shell, the GMC might have experienced multiple events.

5 Conclusions

We analyzed large-scale CO distributions of the region of M16, the Eagle Nebula, taken with NANTEN2 and the FUGIN project. A GMC of $1.3 \times 10^5 \ M_{\odot}$ is associated with M16, which is elongated perpendicularly to the galactic plane over 35 pc at a distance of 1.8 kpc. The cloud consists of two velocity components, that show complementary spatial distributions, suggesting dynamical interactions between them. We, hence, summarize the present work as follows:

- 1. The M16 GMC has a size of 10 pc \times 30 pc in l and b, and shows two velocity components of 9.2–19.6 km s⁻¹ (blue-shifted component) and 24.2–31.3 km s⁻¹ (red-shifted component). The total mass of the GMC is estimated to be $1.3 \times 10^5 \ M_{\odot}$ determined from the 12 CO J=1–0 transition observations, using an $X_{\rm CO}$ factor of $1.8 \times 10^{20} \ {\rm cm}^{-2} \ {\rm K}^{-1} \ {\rm km}^{-1}$ s. The GMC mainly consists of the N cloud, E filament, SE cloud, SE filament and S cloud.
- 2. The GMC is associated with 13 known O-type stars most of which are within the NGC6611 cluster. We saw a clear intensity depression of molecular gas toward the O stars, which is likely due to photoionization: one is toward the heart of the Eagle Nebula at $(l,b=16.95^{\circ}, 0.85^{\circ})$ and the other is toward the Spitzer bubble N19 $(l,b=17.06^{\circ}, 1.0^{\circ})$.
- 3. For the N cloud, the blue-shifted component shows a ring-like structure, and the distribution of the red-shifted component coincides with the intensity depression of the blue-shifted component. This complementary distribution, as well as their different velocities, suggests that larger and smaller clouds are colliding. The V-shaped velocity feature found in the N cloud also supports this collision. The collision velocity and timescale were estimated to be $11.6~{\rm km~s^{-1}}$ and $\sim 4 \times 10^5~{\rm yr}$, respectively.
- 4. The isolated O9V star ALS15348, an ionizing star of the Spitzer bubble N19, is located at the boundary of the two velocity components, which indicates that it possibly formed by compression arising from the collision between the components. The star is surrounded by an H II region with a size of ~1 pc, whose distribution coincides with the intensity depression of the two velocity components. The collision scenario consistently explains the geometry of the molecular gas, O type star, and H II region and the timescale of O type star formation therein.
- 5. For the SE cloud, the blue- and red-shifted components show complementary distributions, indicating that similar-sized clouds are colliding. The isolated O7.5V star HD168504 is located at the boundary of the two velocity components, and the spatial relationship among the molecular cloud, H II region, and O-type star is similar to those of ALS15348, indicating that HD168504 may have possibly formed during the same process that resulted in

ALS15348.

6. Cluster NGC6611, which contains older stellar members aged several 10⁶ yr, ionized the surrounding molecular material, and it is therefore difficult to investigate the initial conditions of star formation. However, the existence of complementary large-scale distributions implies that an older cloud collision may have occurred several 10⁶ yr ago. Because the M16 GMC is located in the Sagittarius Arm and at the boundary of a molecular shell, the frequency of cloud collisions could theoretically be enhanced. Therefore, if we assume that the GMC experienced multiple collision events within several 10⁶ yr, it is possible that the NGC6611 cluster formed during an older collision event.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI grant numbers, 18K13580. The authors would like to thank the members of the NANTEN2 group for support on the observation and telescope operation. The authors would like to thank the members of the 45-m group of Nobeyama Radio Observatory for support during the observation. The Nobeyama 45-m radio telescope is operated by Nobeyama Radio Observatory, a branch of National Astronomical Observatory of Japan. Data analysis was carried out on the open use data analysis computer system at the Astronomy Data Center, ADC, of the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan. This research made use of astropy, a community-developed core Python package for Astronomy (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013), in addition to NumPy and SciPy (Walt et al. 2011), Matplotlib (Hunter 2007) and IPython(Pérez et al. 2007). This research has made use of the VizieR catalogue access tool, CDS, Strasbourg, France. The original description of the VizieR service was published in Ochsenbein et al. (2000).

References

Anathpindika, S. 2009a, A&A, 504, 437

Anathpindika, S. 2009b, A&A, 504, 451

Anathpindika, S. V. 2010, MNRAS, 405, 1431

Anderson, L. D., Bania, T. M., Balser, D. S., Cunningham, V., Wenger, T. V., Johnstone, B. M., & Armentrout, W. P. 2014, ApJS, 212, 1

Astropy Collaboration, Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., et al. 2013, A&A, 558, A33

Belikov, A. N., Kharchenko, N. V., Piskunov, A. E., & Schilbach, E. 2000, A&A, 358, 886

Bisbas, T. G., Tanaka, K. E. I., Tan, J. C., Wu, B., & Nakamura, F. 2017, ApJ, 850, 23

Bonatto, C., Santos, J. F. C., Jr., & Bica, E. 2006, A&A, 445, 567

Bosch, G. L., Morrell, N. I., & Niemelä, V. S. 1999, Revista Mexicana de Astronomia y Astrofisica, 35, 85

Churchwell, E., Povich, M. S., Allen, D., et al. 2006, ApJ, 649, 759

Comerón, F., & Torra, J. 2018, A&A, 618, A67

Dame, T. M., Hartmann, D., & Thaddeus, P. 2001, ApJ, 547, 792

Deharveng, L., et al. 2010, A&A, 523, A6

Dobashi, K., Matsumoto, T., Shimoikura, T., et al. 2014, ApJ, 797, 58

Dobashi, K., Shimoikura, T., Katakura, S., Nakamura, F., & Shimajiri, Y. 2019, PASJ, 71, S12

Dufton, P. L., Smartt, S. J., Lee, J. K., et al. 2006, A&A, 457, 265

Elmegreen, B. G., & Lada, C. J. 1977, ApJ, 214, 725

Enokiya, R., et al. 2018, PASJ, 70, S49

Enokiya, R., Torii, K., & Fukui, Y. 2019a, PASJ, 127

Enokiya, R., et al. 2019b, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1912.11607

Evans, C. J., Smartt, S. J., Lee, J.-K., et al. 2005, A&A, 437, 467

Fujita, S., et al. 2017, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1706.05664

Fujita, S., et al. 2019a, ApJ, 872, 49

Fujita, S., et al. 2019b, PASJ, 46

Fukui, Y., Mizuno, N., Yamaguchi, R., Mizuno, A., & Onishi, T. 2001, PASJ, 53, L41

Fukui, Y., Ohama, A., Hanaoka, N., et al. 2014, ApJ, 780, 36

Fukui, Y., Harada, R., Tokuda, K., et al. 2015, ApJL, 807, L4

Fukui, Y., Torii, K., Ohama, A., et al. 2016, ApJ, 820, 26

Fukui, Y., Tsuge, K., Sano, H., Bekki, K., Yozin, C., Tachihara, K., & Inoue, T. 2017, PASJ, 69, L5

Fukui, Y., et al. 2018a, ApJ, 859, 166

Fukui, Y., et al. 2018b, PASJ, 70, S41

Fukui, Y., et al. 2018c, PASJ, 70, S44

Fukui, Y., et al. 2018d, PASJ, 70, S46

Fukui, Y., et al. 2020, PASJ, accepted

Furukawa, N., Dawson, J. R., Ohama, A., et al. 2009, ApJL, 696, L115

Georgelin, Y. P., & Georgelin, Y. M. 1970, A&A, 6, 349

Guarcello, M. G., Prisinzano, L., Micela, G., et al. 2007, A&A, 462, 245

Guarcello, M. G., Micela, G., Peres, G., Prisinzano, L., & Sciortino, S. 2010, A&A, 521, A61

Gum, C. S. 1955, MmRAS, 67, 155

Habe, A., & Ohta, K. 1992, PASJ, 44, 203

Hayashi, K., et al. 2018, PASJ, 70, S48

Haworth, T. J., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 450, 10

Haworth, T. J., Shima, K., Tasker, E. J., Fukui, Y., Torii, K., Dale, J. E., Takahira, K., & Habe, A. 2015, MNRAS, 454, 1634

Hester, J. J., Scowen, P. A., Sankrit, R., et al. 1996, AJ, 111, 2349

Hester, J. J., & Desch, S. J. 2005, Chondrites and the Protoplanetary Disk, 341, 107

Hill, T., et al. 2012, A&A, 542, A114

Hillenbrand, L. A., Massey, P., Strom, S. E., & Merrill, K. M. 1993, AJ, 106, 1906

Hosokawa, T., & Inutsuka, S.-i. 2005, ApJ, 623, 917

Hunter J. D., 2007, Comput. Sci. Eng., 9, 90

Indebetouw, R., Robitaille, T. P., Whitney, B. A., et al. 2007, ApJ, 666, 321

Inoue, T., & Fukui, Y. 2013, ApJL, 774, L31

Inoue, T., Hennebelle, P., Fukui, Y., Matsumoto, T., Iwasaki, K., & Inutsuka, S.-i. 2018, PASJ, 70, S53

Ishii, S., Nakamura, F., Shimajiri, Y., Kawabe, R., Tsukagoshi, T., Dobashi, K., & Shimoikura, T. 2019, PASJ, 71, S9

Kamazaki, T., et al. 2012, PASJ, 64, 29

Kobayashi, M. I. N., Kobayashi, H., Inutsuka, S.-i., & Fukui, Y. 2018, PASJ, 70, S59

Kohno, M., et al. 2018, PASJ, 70, S50

Kohno, M., et al. 2018b, PASJ, 126

Krumholz, M. R., & McKee, C. F. 2008, Nature, 451, 1082

Kuno, N., et al. 2011, in Proc. XXXth URSI General Assembly and Scientific Symposium (New York: IEEE)

Kuwahara, S., Torii, K., Mizuno, N., Fujita, S., Kohno, M., & Fukui, Y. 2019, arXiv e-prints,

arXiv:1912.00441

Lada, C. J., & Lada, E. A. 2003, ARA&A, 41, 57

Levay, Z. G., Christian, C. A., Mack, J., et al. 2015, American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts, 225, 436.02

Li, Q., Tan, J. C., Christie, D., Bisbas, T. G., & Wu, B. 2018, PASJ, 70, S56

Nakamura, F., et al. 2019, PASJ, 71, S10

Nishimura, A., Tokuda, K., Kimura, K., et al. 2015, ApJS, 216, 18

Nishimura, A., et al. 2018, PASJ, 70, S42

Matsumoto, T., Dobashi, K., & Shimoikura, T. 2015, ApJ, 801, 77

Matsunaga, K., Mizuno, N., Moriguchi, Y., et al. 2001, PASJ, 53, 1003

McCaughrean, M. J., & Andersen, M. 2002, A&A, 389, 513

McClure-Griffiths, N. M., Dickey, J. M., Gaensler, B. M., et al. 2005, ApJS, 158, 178

McLeod, A. F., Dale, J. E., Ginsburg, A., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 450, 1057

Minamidani, T., et al. 2016, Proc. SPIE, 9914, 99141Z

McMillan, S. L. W., Vesperini, E., & Portegies Zwart, S. F. 2007, ApJL, 655, L45

Mizuno, A., & Fukui, Y. 2004, Milky Way Surveys: The Structure and Evolution of our Galaxy, 317, 59

Moriguchi, Y., Onishi, T., Mizuno, A., & Fukui, Y. 2002, 8th Asian-Pacific Regional Meeting, Volume II, 173

Ochsenbein, F., Bauer, P., & Marcout, J. 2000, A&AS, 143, 23

Ohama, A., Dawson, J. R., Furukawa, N., et al. 2010, ApJ, 709, 975

Ohama, A., et al. 2017, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1706.05652

Ohama, A., et al. 2018a, PASJ, 70, S45

Ohama, A., et al. 2018b, PASJ, 70, S47

Okamoto, R., Yamamoto, H., Tachihara, K., et al. 2017, ApJ, 838, 132

Oliveira, J. M. 2008, Handbook of Star Forming Regions, Volume II, 599

Pérez F., Granger B., 2007, Comput. Sci. Eng., 9, 21

Pety, J., et al. 2017, A&A, 599, A98

Pound, M. W. 1998, ApJL, 493, L113

Povich, M. S., Kuhn, M. A., Getman, K. V., et al. 2013, ApJS, 209, 31

Rodgers, A. W., Campbell, C. T., & Whiteoak, J. B. 1960, MNRAS, 121, 103

Sano, H., et al. 2018, PASJ, 70, S43

Sawada, T., et al. 2008, PASJ, 60, 445

Sharpless, S. 1959, ApJS, 4, 257

Shima, K., Tasker, E. J., Federrath, C., & Habe, A. 2018, PASJ, 70, S54

Shimoikura, T., Dobashi, K., Saito, H., et al. 2013, ApJ, 768, 72

Shimoikura, T., Dobashi, K., Hirose, A., Nakamura, F., Shimajiri, Y., & Sugitani, K. 2019, PASJ, 71, S6

Spitzer, L. 1968, New York: Interscience Publication, 1968,

Sugitani, K., Fukui, Y., Ogawa, H., & Kawabata, K. 1986, ApJ, 303, 667

Sugitani, K., Fukui, Y., & Ogura, K. 1991, ApJS, 77, 59

Takahira, K., Tasker, E. J., & Habe, A. 2014, ApJ, 792, 63

Takahira, K., Shima, K., Habe, A., & Tasker, E. J. 2018, PASJ, 70, S58

Takekoshi, T., et al. 2019, ApJ, 883, 156

Tanabe, Y., et al. 2019, /pasj, 71, S8

Torii, K., Enokiya, R., Sano, H., et al. 2011, ApJ, 738, 46

Torii, K., Hasegawa, K., Hattori, Y., et al. 2015, ApJ, 806, 7

Torii, K., Hattori, Y., Hasegawa, K., et al. 2017, ApJ, 835, 142

Torii, K., et al. 2018a, PASJ, 70, S51

Torii, K., et al. 2018b, PASJ, 121

Torii, K., et al. 2019, PASJ, 71, S2

Umemoto, T., et al. 2017, PASJ, 69, 78

Walker, M. F. 1961, ApJ, 133, 438

Walt S. v. d., Colbert S. C., Varoquaux G., 2011, Comput. Sci. Eng., 13, 22

Westerhout, G. 1958, Bull. Astron. Inst. Netherlands, 14, 215

Whitworth, A., Lomax, O., Balfour, S., Mège, P., Zavagno, A., & Deharveng, L. 2018, PASJ, 70, S55

Wu, B., Van Loo, S., Tan, J. C., & Bruderer, S. 2015, ApJ, 811, 56

Wu, B., Tan, J. C., Nakamura, F., Van Loo, S., Christie, D., & Collins, D. 2017a, ApJ, 835, 137

Wu, B., Tan, J. C., Christie, D., Nakamura, F., Van Loo, S., & Collins, D. 2017b, ApJ, 841, 88

Wu, B., Tan, J. C., Nakamura, F., Christie, D., & Li, Q. 2018, PASJ, 70, S57

Wolff, S. C., Strom, S. E., Dror, D., & Venn, K. 2007, AJ, 133, 1092

Xu, Y., Bian, S. B., Reid, M. J., et al. 2018, A&A, 616, L15

Xu, J.-L., et al. 2019, A&A, 627, A27

Yamagishi, M., et al. 2016, ApJ, 833, 163

Yamagishi, M., et al. 2018, ApJS, 235, 9

Yoda, T., Handa, T., Kohno, K., et al. 2010, PASJ, 62, 1277

Zinnecker, H., & Yorke, H. W. 2007, ARA&A, 45, 481




Fig. 1. (a) Optical composite image of the Eagle Nebula taken with the 0.9 m telescope at the Kitt Peak Observatory with the NOAO Mosaic CCD camera (Credit: T.A.Rector, B.A.Wolpa and NOAO). Green, blue and red show Hα, [O III] and [S II]. The pillars in Elephant Trunks (I–V), the Spitzer bubble N19 (Churchwell et al. 2006), the bright rimed cloud SFO30 (Sugitani et al. 1991) and other objects are labeled in the figure. (b) Integrated intensity map of 12 CO J=1–0 distribution toward the Sagittarius-Carina Arm including M16 and M17 GMCs taken with the NANTEN2 telescope. The intensity is integrated over 0 to 40 km s⁻¹. The area shown in Figure 1a and the definition of the boundary of the M16 GMC used the study are shown as white boxes with labels. The limit of the molecular shell (Guarcello et al. 2010) is shown by white dot-dashed curve.




Fig. 2. Latitude-velocity (b-v) diagram of the M16 GMC for the emission of $^{12}\mathrm{CO}~J=1-0$ taken with the NANTEN2 telescope. The intensity is integrated over $l=17.5^{\circ}$ and 16.5° .




Fig. 3. Integrated intensity maps of $^{12}\mathrm{CO}~J=1-0$ with a peak intensity of 276.2 K km s $^{-1}$ toward the M16 molecular clouds obtained by FUGIN project and NANTEN2 telescope. The spectra with velocity range of 10 km s $^{-1}$ < v_{LSR} < 32 km s $^{-1}$ are integrated. O-type stars (Evans et al. 2005) are shown as green circles.




Fig. 4. Velocity channel maps of $^{12}\mathrm{CO}~J=$ 1–0 FUGIN and NANTEN2 data for the velocity range 14 $\mathrm{km~s^{-1}} < v_{\mathrm{LSR}} <$ 30 $\mathrm{km~s^{-1}}$ made every 2.0 $\mathrm{km~s^{-1}}$. The center velocity is shown in the bottom left corner of each panel. O-type stars (Evans et al. 2005) are shown as green circles.




Fig. 5. (a) Spatial distribution of the blue-shifted component of the N cloud. The velocity range of 9.2–19.6 km s⁻¹ is integrated for 12 CO J=1–0 emission. Contour levels are every 8 K km s⁻¹ from 30 K km s⁻¹. Dashed horizontal lines are areas where integrated to make longitude-velocity diagrams in Figure 7. A green rectangle indicates an area where integrated to make a position-velocity diagram of Figure 9. Dotted vertical lines indicate spatial extent of Spitzer bubble N19. O-type stars (Evans et al. 2005) are shown as green circles. (b) Map of the 8 μ m emission overlaid with the contours of 12 CO J=1–0 same as shown in panel a. (c) Map of the DSS Red image overlaid with the contours of 12 CO J=1–0 same as shown in panel a.




Fig. 6. (a) Spatial distribution of the red-shifted component of the N cloud. The velocity range of 24.2–31.3 km s⁻¹ is integrated for 12 CO J=1–0 emission. Contour levels are every 15 K km s⁻¹ from 30 K km s⁻¹. Dashed horizontal lines are areas where integrated to make longitude-velocity diagrams in Figure 7. A green rectangle indicates an area where integrated to make a position-velocity diagram of Figure 9. Dotted vertical lines indicate spatial extent of Spitzer bubble N19. O-type stars (Evans et al. 2005) are shown as green circles. (b) Map of the 8 μm emission overlaid with the contours of 12 CO J=1–0 same as shown in panel a. (c) Map of the DSS Red image overlaid with the contours of 12 CO J=1–0 same as shown in panel a.




Fig. 7. Longitude-velocity (l-v) diagrams around the Spitzer bubble N19 for the data of 12 CO J=1–0 taken with the FUGIN and the NANTEN2 telescope. The integrated ranges are (a) 0.98° – 1.05° , (b) 0.92° – 0.98° , and (c) 0.85° – 0.92° . The boundaries of the Spitzer bubble N19 are indicated as dotted vertical lines. Dash-dotted lines indicate the velocity ranges of the blue- and red-shifted components. Contour levels are every 0.2 deg K from 0.2 deg K.




Fig. 8. Complementary distributions of the two velocity components in the N cloud. Blue contours indicating the blue-shifted component with contour levels of every 8 K km $\rm s^{-1}$ from 30 K km $\rm s^{-1}$ and Red contours indicating the red-shifted component with contour levels of every 15 K km $\rm s^{-1}$ from 30 K km $\rm s^{-1}$ are overlaid on a map of the DSS Red image. Markers and lines are same as Figure 5.




Fig. 9. Position-velocity diagram of ^{12}CO J=1-0 toward the area shown as the green rectangle in Figure 5. The x axis is a distance from the O star ALS15348, corresponding to the long side of the rectangle, and the emissions are integrated along the short side of the rectangle. Contour levels are every 0.5 deg K from 0.5 deg K.




Fig. 10. Intensity-weighted mean velocity maps for ^{12}CO J=1-0 data obtained by FUGIN and NANTEN2. Contours indicate integrated intensity of ^{12}CO J=1-0 with contour levels for every 30 K km s $^{-1}$ from 80 K km s $^{-1}$. O-type stars (Evans et al. 2005) are shown as green circles.




Fig. 11. Complementary distributions of the two velocity components in the SE cloud. Blue contours indicating the blue-shifted component with contour levels of every 8 K km s⁻¹ from 13.4 K km s⁻¹ and Red contours indicating the red-shifted component with contour levels of every 8 K km s⁻¹ from 33.9 K km s⁻¹ are overlaid on a map of the 24 μ m emission. O-type stars (Evans et al. 2005) are shown as green circles. Black open circle indicates the position and size of the H $\scriptstyle\rm II$ region G017.037+00.320 (Anderson et al. 2014).




Fig. 12. Image of blue-shifted component with contours of blue- and red-shifted components. Velocity integration ranges of blue- and red-shifted components are 9.2 km s $^{-1}$ < $v_{\rm LSR}$ < 20.2 km s $^{-1}$ and 24.2 km s $^{-1}$ < $v_{\rm LSR}$ < 31.3 km s $^{-1}$, respectively. Contour levels for blue- and red-shifted components are every 9 K km s $^{-1}$ from 9 K km s $^{-1}$ and 10 K km s $^{-1}$ from 42 K km s $^{-1}$, respectively. O-type stars (Evans et al. 2005) are shown as green circles.




Fig. 13. Schematic diagrams of the collision between the two velocity components of the N cloud seen in the sky. (a) The two component begin collision 4×10^5 yr ago. We assume that the NGC6611 cluster is formed and associated with the red-shifted component at this time. Boundary of the red-shifted component toward the cluster is interacted with the feedback from the cluster and compressed. (b) The small cloud (the red-shifted component) created a cavity in the large cloud (the blue-shifted component), and the O star ALS15348 was formed $\sim 10^5$ yr after the collision started. (c) Current status of the N cloud. The cavity was ionized and the small cloud inside the cavity was dissipated by collision and ionization. Since the ionization is still ongoing, the remnant of the small cloud located behind the ionization front. The large cloud is colliding from far side of the LOS, therefore the small cloud is located near side to the observer.




Fig. 14. (a) Map of the 8 μ m emission. O-type stars (Evans et al. 2005) are shown as green circles. (b) Same as (a) but overlaid with contours indicating the blue-shifted components. Contour levels are every 10 K km s⁻¹ from 22 K km s⁻¹. (c) Same as (a) but overlaid with contours indicating the red-shifted components. Contour levels are every 15 K km s⁻¹ from 40 K km s⁻¹.




Fig. 15. Complementary distributions of the two velocity components in the M16 GMC around the NGC6611 cluster. Blue contours indicating the blue-shifted component with contour levels of every 10 K km s $^{-1}$ from 30 K km s $^{-1}$ and Red contours indicating the red-shifted component with contour levels of every 10 K km s $^{-1}$ from 40 K km s $^{-1}$ are overlaid on a map of the DSS Red image. Velocity integration ranges of blue- and red-shifted components are 9.2 km s $^{-1}$ < $v_{\rm LSR}$ < 20.2 km s $^{-1}$ and 24.2 km s $^{-1}$ and 24.2 km s $^{-1}$, respectively. Markers are same as Figure 5.