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Abstract

In this paper we prove the blockwise Alperin weight conjecture for finite special linear and unitary groups, for finite groups with abelian Sylow 3-subgroups, and verify the inductive blockwise Alperin weight condition for certain cases of groups of type $A$. We also give a classification for the 2-blocks of special linear and unitary groups.
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1 Introduction

The famous Alperin weight conjecture relates for a prime $\ell$ information about irreducible $\ell$-Brauer characters of a finite group $G$ to properties of $\ell$-local subgroups of $G$. We call an $\ell$-weight a pair $(R, \varphi)$, where $R$ is an $\ell$-subgroup of $G$ and $\varphi \in \text{Irr}(N_G(R))$ with $R \subseteq \ker \varphi$ is of $\ell$-defect zero viewed as a character of $N_G(R)/R$. When such a character $\varphi$ exists, $R$ is necessarily a radical $\ell$-subgroup of $G$ (i.e. $R = O_{\ell}(N_G(R))$). For an $\ell$-block $B$ of $G$, a weight $(R, \varphi)$ is called a $B$-weight if $\text{bl}(\varphi)^G = B$, where $\text{bl}(\varphi)$ is the $\ell$-block of $N_G(R)$ containing $\varphi$. We denote by $\text{Alp}_\ell(B)$ the set of all $G$-conjugacy classes of $B$-weights. In [11 p. 371], J. L. Alperin states the following (blockwise Alperin weight) conjecture.
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Conjecture (Alperin, 1986). Let \( G \) be a finite group, \( \ell \) a prime. If \( B \) is an \( \ell \)-block of \( G \), then \( |\text{Alp}_\ell(B)| = |\text{IBr}_\ell(B)| \).

While the Alperin weight conjecture was subsequently checked for several families of finite groups, a significant breakthrough in the case of general groups was achieved by Navarro and Tiep [35] in 2011, where they reduced the block-free version of Alperin’s weight conjecture to a question on simple groups. In 2013, the blockwise version was also reduced by Späth [37]. The blockwise Alperin weight conjecture holds for all finite groups at the prime \( \ell \), if all finite non-abelian simple groups satisfy the so-called inductive blockwise Alperin weight (iBAW) condition at the prime \( \ell \).

This paper is a continuation of our previous paper [22]. In that paper, the inductive condition for the block-free version of Alperin weight conjecture from [35] has been verified for all simple groups of type \( A \).

We also focus on simple groups of type \( A \) in this paper. Let \( q = p^f \) be a power of a prime \( p \), \( \eta = \pm 1 \) and \( \text{SL}_n(\eta q) \) be the finite special linear or unitary group. Here, \( \text{SL}_n(-q) \) is understood as \( \text{SU}_n(q) \). We also denote \( \text{PSL}_n(\eta q) = \text{SL}_n(\eta q)/\text{Z}(\text{SL}_n(\eta q)) \). We remark that some progress has been made for type \( A \); for example, the (iBAW) condition has been verified for \( \text{PSL}_n(\eta q) \) with \( \gcd(n, q-\eta) = 1 \) in [31, 32], for unipotent blocks of \( \text{SL}_n(\eta q) \) with \( \ell \nmid q \cdot \gcd(n, q-\eta) \) in [20], and for blocks of \( \text{SL}_n(\eta q) \) with abelian defect groups when \( \ell \nmid 3q(q-\eta) \) by Brough and Späth [10]. For a list about other types and more cases for which the inductive conditions has been verified, see for example [22] and the references therein.

Using the results in [22], we will verify the (iBAW) condition for a system of certain blocks of \( \text{SL}_n(\eta q) \); see Theorem 4.3. We give some consequences of this result as follows. First, we achieve the blockwise Alperin weight conjecture for \( \text{SL}_n(\eta q) \), which generalises [20, Thm. 1.2].

**Theorem 1.** The blockwise Alperin weight conjecture holds for all finite special linear and unitary groups and all primes.

The (iBAW) condition is verified for the unipotent blocks of \( \text{SL}_n(\eta q) \) for \( \ell \nmid \gcd(n, q-\eta) \) in [20]. The following result generalized this work to all primes and to more blocks.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( G = \text{SL}_n(\eta q) \), \( \ell \) a prime not dividing \( q \) and \( B \) an \( \ell \)-block of \( G \). If \( B \) is either a unipotent block or a block of maximal defect, then the inductive blockwise Alperin weight condition holds for \( B \).

For general blocks of special linear and unitary groups, we prove the following theorem which generalized the work for simple groups of type \( A \) with trivial Schur multiplier in [31, 32].
Theorem 3. Let $S = \text{PSL}_n(\eta q)$ be a simple group and $\ell$ be a prime not dividing $q$. Assume that $\gcd(n, q - \eta)\ell$ is square-free. Then the inductive blockwise Alperin weight condition holds for $S$ and $\ell$.

From this, we prove that the (IBAW) condition holds for simple groups $\text{PSL}_n(q)$ and $\text{PSU}_n(q)$ for $n \leq 7$ (see Proposition 4.6).

In [37], Späth proved the blockwise Alperin weight conjecture holds for finite groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups via the (IBAW) condition. We will consider finite groups with abelian Sylow 3-subgroups and prove in this paper the following result.

Theorem 4. Assume that $G$ is a finite group with abelian Sylow 3-subgroups. Then the blockwise Alperin weight conjecture holds for $G$ and any prime.

The last section of this paper is a continuation of [20, §4]. There is a classification of the $\ell$-blocks of $\text{SL}_n(\eta q)$ in [20, §4] when $\ell \nmid q$ is odd, using the labelling set of $d$-Jordan-cuspidal pairs given by Cabanes–Enguehard [12] and Kessar–Malle [26]. The results related to $d$-Jordan-cuspidal pairs in [12, 26] are restricted to odd primes. In Section 5 of this paper, we consider the 2-blocks of $\text{SL}_n(\eta q)$ (with odd $q$). Our result relies on the classification of the Brauer pairs of $\text{GL}_n(\eta q)$ by Broué [7] and the description of the radical subgroups of $\text{SL}_n(\eta q)$ in [22]. The number of 2-blocks of $\text{SL}_n(\eta q)$ covered by a given 2-blocks of $\text{GL}_n(\eta q)$ is determined in Remark 6.9 and in this way we obtain a parametrization for the 2-blocks of finite special linear and unitary groups, which complements the result of [20, §4].

We begin Section 2 by recalling the criterion for the (IBAW) condition given by Brough–Späth and give some notation and preliminaries for linear and unitary groups in Section 3. Using the results of [22], it suffices to consider only one condition for type $A$, and from this we verify the (IBAW) condition for certain cases of groups of type $A$ and prove Theorem 1–3 in Section 4. The blockwise Alperin weight conjecture is verified to hold for finite groups with abelian Sylow 3-subgroups in Section 5. In Section 6 we give a classification of the 2-blocks of finite special linear and unitary groups; see Remark 6.9.

2 A criterion for the inductive blockwise Alperin weight condition

All groups considered in this paper are finite. For the notation for the block and character theory, we mainly follow [25, 34], except that we denote the restriction of $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \cup \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ to some subgroup $H \leq G$ by $\text{Res}_H^G \chi$, while $\text{Ind}_H^G \psi$ denotes the character induced from $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H) \cup \text{IBr}_\ell(H)$ to $G$. 
If a group $A$ acts on a finite set $X$, we denote by $A_x$ the stabilizer of $x \in X$ in $A$, analogously we denote by $A_{X'}$ the setwise stabilizer of $X' \subseteq X$. If $A$ acts on a group $G$ by automorphisms, there is a natural action of $A$ on $\text{Irr}(G) \cup \text{IBr}(G)$ given by $g^{-1} \chi = \chi^a(g) = \chi(g a^{-1})$ for every $g \in G$, $a \in A$ and $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \cup \text{IBr}(G)$. For $P \subseteq G$ and $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \cup \text{IBr}(G)$, we denote by $A_{P,\chi}$ the stabilizer of $\chi$ in $A_P$.

For $N \trianglelefteq G$ we sometimes identify the characters of $G/N$ with the characters of $G$ whose kernel contains $N$. If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, then $\chi^0$ is used for the restriction to the $\ell$-regular elements of $G$.

If $G$ is abelian, we also write $\text{Lin}(G) = \text{Irr}(G)$ since all irreducible characters of $G$ are linear. Let $\text{Lin}_\ell(G)$ denote the element of $\text{Lin}(G)$ of $\ell'$-order. Then the map $\text{Lin}_\ell(G) \to \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$, $\chi \mapsto \chi^0$ is bijective. From this, we always identify $\text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ with $\text{Lin}_\ell(G)$ when $G$ is abelian.

For a finite group $G$, we denote by $\text{dz}_\ell(G)$ the set of all $\ell$-defect zero characters of $G$. If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \cup \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$, we write $\text{bl}_\ell(\chi)$ for the $\ell$-block of $G$ containing $\chi$. If $R$ is a radical $\ell$-subgroup of $G$ and $B$ is an $\ell$-block of $G$, then we define the set
\[ \text{dz}_\ell(N_G(R)/R, B) := \{ \varphi \in \text{dz}_\ell(N_G(R)/R) \mid \text{bl}_\ell(\varphi)^G = B \}, \]
where we regard $\varphi$ as an irreducible character of $N_G(R)$ containing $R$ in its kernel when considering the induced block $\text{bl}_\ell(\varphi)^G$.

The inductive blockwise Alperin weight (iBAW) condition can be stated using the notion of modular character triples and isomorphisms between them (for background on modular character triples, see, e.g., [34, §8]). By [38, Thm. 4.4], an $\ell$-block $B$ of $G$ satisfies the (iBAW) condition from [37, Def. 4.1] if for $\Gamma := \text{Aut}(G)_B$ there exists a $\Gamma$-equivariant bijection $\Omega : \text{IBr}_\ell(B) \to \text{Alp}_\ell(B)$ such that for every $\psi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(B)$ and $\Omega(\psi) = (R, \varphi)$, one has
\[ (G \rtimes \Gamma_{R,\varphi}, G, \psi) \triangleright_b (N_G(Q) \rtimes \Gamma_{R,\varphi}, N_G(Q), \varphi^0). \]

For the definition of the relation $\triangleright_b$, which is called the block isomorphism of modular character triples, see [38, Def. 3.2].

Recently, J. Brough and B. Späth [9, Thm. 4.2] gave a criterion for the inductive Alperin weight condition adapted to quasi-simple groups of Lie type with abelian outer automorphism groups. Here we rewrite it and give a new version suitable for quasi-simple groups with possibly non-abelian outer automorphism groups. In fact, conditions (i) – (iv) are the same, and condition (v) which considers relations of blocks for irreducible constituents of (Brauer) characters is altered.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let $S$ be a finite non-abelian simple group and $\ell$ a prime dividing $|S|$. Let $G$ be an $\ell'$-covering group of $S$, $\mathcal{B}$ a union of $\ell$-blocks of $G$ and assume there are groups $\tilde{G}$, $D$ such that $G \leq \tilde{G} \rtimes D$, $\mathcal{B}$ is a $\tilde{G}$-orbit and the following hold.
(i) (a) $G = [\bar{G}, \bar{G}]$ and $D$ is abelian,
(b) $C_{G_D}(G) = Z(\bar{G})$ and $G_D/Z(\bar{G}) \cong \text{Aut}(G)$,
(c) any element of $\text{IBr}_\ell(\mathcal{B})$ extends to its stabilizer in $\bar{G}$,
(d) for any radical $\ell$-subgroup $R$ of $G$ and any $B \in \mathcal{B}$, any element of $\text{dz}_R(N_G(R)/R \mid B)$ extends to its stabilizer in $N_G(R)/R$.

(ii) Let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ be the union of $\ell$-blocks of $\bar{G}$ covering $\mathcal{B}$. There exists a $\text{Lin}_\ell(\bar{G}/G) \times D_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}$-equivariant bijection $\bar{\Omega} : \text{IBr}_\ell(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}) \to \text{Alp}_\ell(\mathcal{B})$ such that
(a) $\bar{\Omega}((\bar{G} \times D)_{\psi_0}) = \bar{G}_{\psi_0} \times D_{\psi_0}$,
(b) $\psi_0$ extends to $G \times D_{\psi_0}$.

(iv) For every $(\bar{R}, \bar{\varphi}) \in \text{Alp}_\ell(\tilde{\mathcal{B}})$, there is an $\ell$-weight $(R, \varphi_0)$ of $G$ covered by $(\bar{R}, \bar{\varphi})$ such that
(a) $(\bar{G} \times D)_{\varphi_0} = \bar{G}_{R, \varphi_0} \times (GD)_{R, \varphi_0}$,
(b) $\varphi_0$ extends to $(G \times D)_{R, \varphi_0}$.

(v) If the $\ell$-Brauer character $\bar{\psi}$ in (iii) and the weight $(\bar{R}, \bar{\varphi})$ in (iv) satisfy $(\bar{R}, \bar{\varphi}) = \bar{\Omega}(\bar{\psi})$, then the $\ell$-Brauer character $\psi_0$ and the weight $(R, \varphi_0)$ can be chosen in the same block $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

Then the inductive blockwise Alperin weight (iBAW) condition holds for every block $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

For the definition of $J_{\ell,G}(\psi)$, see [9, §2].

Proof. We use the construction in [9, Thm. 4.2]. In fact, according to its proof, the conditions (i)–(iv) already give a $(\bar{G} \times D)_{\psi_0}$-equivariant bijection $\Omega : \text{IBr}_\ell(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}) \to \text{Alp}_\ell(\mathcal{B})$. By [9] Lemma 4.3, (2.1) holds via $\Omega$. Thus it suffices to show that $\Omega$ preserves blocks. For $\psi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(\tilde{\mathcal{B}})$ and weight $(\bar{R}, \bar{\varphi}) \in \text{Alp}_\ell(\tilde{\mathcal{B}})$ satisfying $(\bar{R}, \bar{\varphi}) = \bar{\Omega}(\bar{\psi})$, we let $\psi_0$ and $(R, \varphi_0)$ satisfy (iii) and (iv) respectively. Then $\psi_0$ and $(R, \varphi_0)$ have the same stabilizer in $\bar{G} \times D$ and by the construction of $\Omega$ in [9], we have $\Omega(\psi_0) = (R, \varphi_0)$. From this, if $\psi_0$ and $(R, \varphi_0)$ can be chosen in the same block of $G$, then $\Omega$ preserves blocks, as desired. $\square$
Let $S$ be a non-abelian finite simple group, $\ell$ a prime dividing $|S|$ and $G$ the universal $\ell'$-covering group of $S$. We say that the (iBAW) condition holds for $S$ if the (iBAW) condition holds for every $\ell$-block of $G$. Moreover, we say the (iBAW) condition holds for $S$ if the (iBAW) condition holds for $S$ and any prime $\ell$ dividing $|S|$.

3 Linear and unitary groups

We will follow the notation in [22] for linear and unitary groups. Much of this notation originally comes from [2, 3, 4, 5, 23].

Assume $q = p^f$ is a power of a prime $p$ and $n \geq 2$. Let $\text{GL}_n(q)$ be the group of all invertible $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Also we denote by $F_{p}$, $F_{q}$ and $\sigma_{q}$ the field automorphism, standard Frobenius endomorphism and graph automorphism respectively; see the definitions for example in [22, §2]. Recall that $\text{GL}_n(-q)$ denotes the general unitary group

$$\text{GU}_n(q) = \{ A \in \text{GL}_n(q^2) \mid (F_q(A))^{\tau} A = I_n \},$$

where $I_n$ is the identity matrix of degree $n$. We will use the similar notation $\text{SL}_n(-q)$ ($\text{PSL}_n(-q)$) for $\text{SU}_n(q)$ ($\text{PSU}_n(q)$). Let $\tilde{G} = \text{GL}_n(\eta q)$ and $G = \text{SL}_n(\eta q)$ for $\eta = \pm 1$. We define $D = \langle F_p, \sigma_{q} \rangle$ if $n \geq 3$ while $D = \langle F_p \rangle$ if $n = 2$. Then by [24, Thm. 2.5.1], $(\tilde{G} \rtimes D)/Z(\tilde{G}) \cong \text{Aut}(G)$.

We also recall the subset $\mathcal{F}$ of the set of monic irreducible polynomials from [23, §1]. Denote by $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_p[X])$ the set of all monic irreducible polynomials over the field $\mathbb{F}_q$. For $\Delta(X) = X^n + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$, we define $\tilde{\Delta}(X) = X^n a_{n-1}^{-q} \Delta(q^{-1}X)$, where $\Delta(X)$ means the polynomial in $X$ whose coefficients are the $q$-th powers of the corresponding coefficients of $\Delta(X)$. Now, we denote by

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{ \Delta \mid \Delta \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_q[X]), \Delta \neq X \},$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \{ \Delta \mid \Delta \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_q[X]), \Delta \neq X, \Delta = \tilde{\Delta} \},$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \{ \Delta \tilde{\Delta} \mid \Delta \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_q[X]), \Delta \neq X, \Delta \neq \tilde{\Delta} \}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}_0 & \text{if } \eta = 1; \\ \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2 & \text{if } \eta = -1. \end{cases}$$

We denote by $d_{\Gamma}$ the degree of any polynomial $\Gamma$. For any semisimple element $s$ of $\tilde{G}$, we let $s = \prod_{t} s_{t}$ be its primary decomposition. We denote by $m_{\Gamma}(s)$ the multiplicity of $\Gamma$ in $s_{t}$. If $m_{\Gamma}(s)$ is not zero, we call $\Gamma$ an elementary divisor. Denote by $\mathcal{F}'$ the subset of $\mathcal{F}$ of those polynomials whose roots are of $\ell'$-order. For $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}'$, denote by $e_{\Gamma}$ the multiplicative order of $(\eta q)^{d_{\Gamma}}$ modulo $\ell$. Also, we
define \( e \) to be the multiplicative order of \( \eta q \) modulo \( \ell \). Note that \( e = e_\Gamma = 1 \) when \( \ell = 2 \).

Let \( F_{\eta q} = \sigma_{\eta q}^{F_q} F_q \). Then \( F_{\eta q} \) acts on \( \overline{\mathbb{F}_q} \) by \( F_{\eta q}(\xi) = \xi^{\eta q} \). A polynomial \( \Gamma \in \mathcal{F} \)
can be identified with the set of roots of \( \Gamma \), which can be again identified with an
\( F_{\eta q} \)-orbit \( \langle F_{\eta q} \rangle \cdot \xi \) of this action, where \( \xi \) is a root of \( \Gamma \); see for example [17, §3.1].
Let \( \mathcal{Z} = \{ z \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q} \mid z^{\xi^{\eta q}} = 1 \} \). For any \( z \in \mathcal{Z} \) and \( \Gamma \in \mathcal{F} \), \( z \Gamma \) is defined to be the
polynomial in \( \mathcal{F} \) whose roots are the roots of \( \Gamma \) multiplied by \( z \), defining an action
of \( \mathcal{Z} \) on \( \mathcal{F} \). Note that we can identify \( Z(\mathcal{G}) \) with \( \mathcal{Z} \).

For the representations of finite groups of Lie type, see for example [13]. Let
\( \tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}) \), \( F = F_{\eta q} \), then \( \tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^F \). If \( \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \) is a Levi subgroup of a reductive group
\( \mathcal{G} \) with the Frobenius map \( F \), then by the fact that \( Z(\mathcal{G}) \) is connected, there is an
isomorphism (see for example [13, (8.19)])
\[
Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})^F \to \text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^F / [\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^F, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^F]), \quad z \mapsto \hat{z}.
\]
If \( s \) is a semisimple element of \( \mathcal{G} \), then \( C_{\mathcal{G}}(s) \) is a Levi subgroup of \( \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \).

4 The inductive blockwise Alperin weight conditions
for simple groups of type \( A \)

Given a semisimple element \( s \) of \( \tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \text{GL}_n(\eta q) \), let \( \prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}} s_\Gamma \) be the primary de-
composition of \( s \). Here \( s_\Gamma \) is conjugate to \( m_\Gamma(s)(\Gamma) \), where \( (\Gamma) \) is the companion
matrix of \( \Gamma \). Thus \( n = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}} m_\Gamma(s)d_\Gamma \). Jordan decomposition gives a bijection be-
tween the irreducible characters of \( \mathcal{G} = \text{GL}_n(\eta q) \) and the \( \mathcal{G} \)-conjugacy classes of
pairs \( (s, \mu) \), where \( s = \prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}} m_\Gamma(s)(\Gamma) \) is a semisimple element of \( \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \) and \( \mu = \prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}} \mu_\Gamma \)
with \( \mu_\Gamma \vdash m_\Gamma(s) \). See for instance [23 §1] and [13 Chap. 8].

The blocks of \( \tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \text{GL}_n(\eta q) \) have been classified in [23, 17]: the \( \ell \)-blocks of
\( \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \) are in bijection with the set of \( \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \)-conjugacy classes of pairs \( (s, \lambda) \), where \( s \) is a
semisimple \( \ell \)-element of \( \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \) and \( \lambda = \prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda_\Gamma \) with \( \lambda_\Gamma \) the \( e_\Gamma \)-core of a partition of
\( m_\Gamma(s) \). Recall that \( e_\Gamma \) is the multiplicative order of \( (\eta q)^d_\Gamma \) modulo \( \ell \). Note that, for
\( \ell = 2 \), \( (s, \lambda) \) is always \( (s, -) \) (here, \( - \) denotes the empty partition), which means
that \( E_2(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}, s) \) is a single 2-block of \( \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \). Let \( \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \) be an \( \ell \)-block of \( \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \) corresponding to
\( (s, \lambda) \). Then an irreducible \( \ell \)-Brauer character with labeling \( (s', \lambda') \in i \text{IBr}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) \) (see
[31] for the notation) is in the block \( \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \) if and only if \( s' \) is \( \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \)-conjugate to \( s \) and \( \lambda'_\Gamma \)
has \( e_\Gamma \)-core \( \lambda_\Gamma \) for every \( \Gamma \).

On the other hand, the \( \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \)-weights are classified in [2, 3, 4, 5] and we will use
the explicit labelling \( (s, \lambda, K) \in i \text{Alp}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) \) from [22 §3]. In addition, an \( \ell \)-weight
with labeling \((s', \lambda', K')\) is in the block \(\tilde{B}\) with label \((s, \lambda)\) if and only if \((s', \lambda')\) is \(\tilde{G}\)-conjugate to \((s, \lambda)\). The first consequence of the results in [22] is that Theorem 1 holds.

Proof of Theorem 1. Thanks to [11], we only need to consider the non-defining characteristic. For \(G = \text{SL}_n(\eta q)\) and \(\tilde{G} = \text{GL}_n(\eta q)\), we let \(\tilde{B}\) be an \(\ell\)-block of \(\tilde{G}\) and \(B\) the union of \(\ell\)-blocks of \(G\) covered by \(\tilde{B}\). By the correspondence between \(\text{IBr}(\tilde{B})\) and \(\text{Alp}_\ell(\tilde{B})\) in [2, 3, 4, 5], the proof of the main theorem of [22] indeed obtained that \(|\text{IBr}(\tilde{B})| = |\text{Alp}_\ell(\tilde{B})|\), which implies that \(|\text{IBr}(B)| = |\text{Alp}_\ell(B)|\) for every \(B \in \mathcal{B}\) immediately since the blocks in \(\mathcal{B}\) are \(\tilde{G}\)-conjugate. \(\Box\)

Now we consider the (iBAW) condition for the blocks of \(G = \text{SL}_n(\eta q)\).

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume that \(G = \text{SL}_n(\eta q)\) is the universal covering of the finite simple group \(S = \text{PSL}_n(\eta q)\). Let \(\ell\) be a prime not dividing \(q\), \(\tilde{G} = \text{GL}_n(\eta q)\) and \(B\) be a \(\tilde{G}\)-orbit of \(\ell\)-blocks of \(G\). If furthermore the condition (v) of Theorem 2.2 holds for the bijection \(\tilde{\Omega}\) in [22] §6, then the (iBAW) condition holds for any \(B \in \mathcal{B}\).

Proof. By the above observations, the bijection \(\tilde{\Omega}\) used in [22] preserves blocks, i.e., condition (ii)(c) of Theorem 2.2 holds. Thus by the proof of the main theorem of [22], conditions (i)–(iv) of Theorem 2.2 hold. From this, if condition (v) of Theorem 2.2 holds, then the (iBAW) condition holds for any \(B \in \mathcal{B}\). \(\Box\)

**Remark 4.2.** Keep the notation of Theorem 4.1, we know from its proof that if there is a \((\tilde{G} \rtimes D)_B\)-equivariant bijection between \(\text{IBr}(\mathcal{B})\) and \(\text{Alp}_\ell(\mathcal{B})\) which preserves blocks, then the (iBAW) condition from holds for every block \(B \in \mathcal{B}\).

In addition, for any \(\ell\)-block \(B\) of \(\tilde{G}\), if there is a \(D_B\)-equivariant bijection between \(\text{IBr}_\ell(B)\) and \(\text{Alp}_\ell(B)\), then the (iBAW) condition holds for \(B\).

Now we consider the (iBAW) condition for certain blocks of groups of type A.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \(G = \text{SL}_n(\eta q)\), \(\tilde{G} = \text{GL}_n(\eta q)\) and \(\ell\) a prime not dividing \(q\). Let \(\mathcal{B}\) be a \(\tilde{G}\)-orbit of \(\ell\)-blocks of \(G\), then the (iBAW) condition holds for every \(B \in \mathcal{B}\) if one of the following holds.

(i) \(\tilde{G}_B = \tilde{G}\) for \(B \in \mathcal{B}\).

(ii) If \(\psi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(\mathcal{B})\) satisfies that \((\tilde{G} \rtimes D)_\phi = \tilde{G}_\phi \rtimes D_\psi\), then for any \(B \in \mathcal{B}\) and any \(g \in G \setminus G_B\), there exists \(g_0 \in g\tilde{G}_B\) such that either \([\langle \tilde{G}_\phi, g_0, \psi \rangle, D_\psi] \leq \tilde{G}_\phi\) or \(G_\psi[\langle \tilde{G}_\phi, g_0 \rangle, D_\psi] \not\leq \tilde{G}_B\).

(iii) If \(\psi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(\mathcal{B})\) satisfies that \((\tilde{G} \rtimes D)_\phi = \tilde{G}_\phi \rtimes D_\psi\), then for any \(B \in \mathcal{B}\) and any \(g \in G \setminus G_B\), there exists \(g_0 \in g\tilde{G}_B\) such that \(\langle \tilde{G}_\phi, g_0 \rangle \cap G_B = \tilde{G}_\phi\).
(iv) $\tilde{G}(\tilde{G} \times D)_B / GZ(\tilde{G})$ is abelian.

(v) $\gcd(|\tilde{G} : \tilde{G}_B|, |\tilde{G}_B : \tilde{G}_\psi|) = 1$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\psi \in \mathrm{IBr}_t(\mathcal{B}).$

(vi) $\tilde{G}_B = \tilde{G}_\psi,$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\psi \in \mathrm{IBr}_t(\mathcal{B}).$

(vii) $\tilde{G}_B = GZ(\tilde{G})$ for $B \in \mathcal{B}.$

Proof. We use Theorem 4.1 and then (i) follows immediately. For (ii), we assume that $\tilde{G}_B < \tilde{G}$ for $B \in \mathcal{B}.$ Let $\psi, (R, \varphi)$ satisfy condition (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 2.2 respectively and let $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $g \in \tilde{G}$ such that $\psi \in \mathrm{IBr}_t(B)$ and $(R, \varphi) \in \mathrm{Alp}_t(B^e).$

If $B^e = B,$ then the assertion holds by Theorem 4.1. Thus we assume that $g \notin \tilde{G}_B.$

We claim that $\tilde{G}_\psi \times D_{\psi} = (\tilde{G} \times D)_{\psi} \subseteq (\tilde{G} \times D)_B.$ Since $\tilde{G}/G$ is cyclic, any subgroup of $\tilde{G}$ containing $G$ is normal in $\tilde{G}.$ Also $(\tilde{G} \times D)_{\psi} = \tilde{G}_\psi D^\psi \leq (\tilde{G} \times D)_B.$ For $\sigma \in D_\psi,$ we have $\sigma^\sigma' = \sigma[\sigma, g']$ where $[\tilde{G}_\psi, g'] \subseteq (\tilde{G} \times D)_B.$ Because $D_\psi \leq (\tilde{G} \times D)_B,$ so $\tilde{G}_\psi \subseteq (\tilde{G} \times D)_B$ and the claim holds.

Therefore, $G_\sigma g\{\tilde{G}_\psi, g'\}, D_\psi \subseteq \tilde{G}_\psi,$ for some $g_0 \in g\tilde{G}_B$ by the hypothesis. Then $(\tilde{G} \times D)_{\psi} = \tilde{G}_\psi D^\psi \leq (\tilde{G} \times D)_B.$ From this we have $(\tilde{G} \times D)_{\psi g_0} = \tilde{G}_\psi D_{\psi g_0}.$ Thus both the Brauer character $\psi g_0$ and the weight $(R, \varphi)$ lie in the block $B^g = B^e$ and satisfy conditions (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 2.2. So the assertion follows by Theorem 4.1.

Now we consider (iii). Note that $[\tilde{G}_\psi, g'] \subseteq \tilde{G}_\psi.$ By the hypothesis, for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and any $g \in \tilde{G} \setminus \tilde{G}_B,$ there exists $g_0 \in g\tilde{G}_B$ such that $\langle \tilde{G}_\psi, g_0 \rangle \cap \tilde{G}_B = \tilde{G}_\psi.$ So either $\langle \tilde{G}_\psi, g_0 \rangle \subseteq \tilde{G}_\psi$ or $\tilde{G}_\psi [\tilde{G}_\psi, g_0], D_\psi \subseteq \tilde{G}_B.$ For the rest, we mention that the implications (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) and (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (v) $\Rightarrow$ (vi) $\Rightarrow$ (vii) are direct and we complete the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. When $B$ is either a unipotent block or a block of maximal defect, we have $G_B = \tilde{G}$ by [20], Remark 4.13 and [14], Prop. 5.4, where $\tilde{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n(\eta q).$ Thus the assertion follows from Theorem 4.3(i).

Let $S \in \{\mathrm{PSL}_n(q), \mathrm{PSU}_n(q)\}$ be a simple group of type $A$ and $G$ be the universal covering group of $S.$ We first consider the exceptional covering cases; see [24], Table 6.1.3 for the list of $S.$ Note that the (iBAW) condition has been verified for the alternating groups in [23], for simple groups of Lie type in defining characteristic in [27], and for cyclic blocks in [28, 29]. Then by a similar argument in [22], §8, the only prime we need to consider for the simple group $\mathrm{PSL}_3(4),$ $\mathrm{PSU}_3(3),$ $\mathrm{PSU}_6(2)$ is just $3,$ $2,$ $3$, respectively. These cases are settled in [18, 19].

We mention that the paper [19] dealt with the blocks of the universal covering groups of $\mathrm{PSU}_3(3)$ and $\mathrm{PSU}_6(2)$ which dominate no block of $\mathrm{SU}_3(3)$ and $\mathrm{SU}_6(2),$ while the blocks of special unitary groups are considered in this paper.
Proof of Theorem 3. By the above arguments, we may assume that \( G = \text{SL}_n(\eta q) \) is the universal covering group of \( S \). For an \( \ell \)-block \( B \) of \( G \), we have \( \ell \nmid |\tilde{G} : \tilde{G}_B| \), where \( \tilde{G} = \text{GL}_n(\eta q) \). On the other hand \( |\tilde{G} : \tilde{G}_B|\psi \) is a product of pairwise distinct primes. Thus \( \gcd(|\tilde{G} : \tilde{G}_B|, |\tilde{G}_B : G_B|) = 1 \) and the assertion follows by Theorem 4.3 (v). □

Corollary 4.4. Assume that \( n \) is square-free. Then the (iBAW) condition holds for the simple group \( S = \text{PSL}_n(\eta q) \).

Proof. By \([37, \text{Thm. C}]\), we only need to the consider the non-defining characteristic case, which follows from Theorem 3 immediately. □

Now we consider the simple groups of type \( A \) of small rank. We first have the following.

Lemma 4.5. The (iBAW) condition holds for \( S = \text{PSL}_4(\eta q) \) and any prime.

Proof. As above, we assume that \( G = \text{SL}_4(\eta q) \) is the universal covering group of \( S \). Let \( \tilde{G} = \text{GL}_4(\eta q) \). Also by \([37, \text{Thm. C}]\) we assume that \( \ell \nmid q \).

If \( \ell = 2 \), then \( G_B = \tilde{G} \) for every \( 2 \)-block \( B \) of \( G \) and then the (iBAW) condition holds by Theorem 4.3 (1). So assume that \( \ell \) is odd. Also by Theorem 3 we only need to consider the case \( 4 \mid q - \eta \). If \( \ell \nmid q - \eta \), then we can check directly that the Sylow \( \ell \)-subgroups of \( G \) are cyclic if \( e > 2 \) and any \( \ell \)-block of \( G \) is either a cyclic block or of maximal defect if \( e = 2 \). Recall that \( e \) is the multiplicative order of \( \eta q \) modulo \( \ell \). Then the lemma follows from \([28] \) and Theorem 2. Now we assume that \( \ell \mid q - \eta \). Let \( B \) be an \( \ell \)-block of \( G \) and \( \tilde{B} \) an \( \ell \)-block of \( \tilde{G} \) covering \( B \). Also by Theorem 4.3 it suffices to assume that \( |	ilde{G} : \tilde{G}_B| = 2 \) and there exists \( \psi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(\tilde{B}) \) such that \( |\tilde{G} : \tilde{G}_\psi| = 4 \). By \([23] \), we may assume that \( \tilde{B} = E_\ell(\tilde{G}, s) \) for some semisimple \( \ell \)-element \( s \) of \( \tilde{G} \).

If \( s \) has an elementary divisor of degree 4, then it can be checked that \( \tilde{B} \) is a cyclic block, and so is \( B \). If \( s \) has two (possibly the same) elementary divisors of degree 2, then using the structure of defect groups of \( \tilde{B} \) giving in \([23] \) and the determinant of radical subgroups in \([22] \), we know \( B \) is a cyclic block. Now we assume that \( s \) has an elementary divisor of degree 1, say \( X - \zeta \), where \( \zeta \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \). By \([20, \text{Remark 4.13}] \), \( \tilde{B} \) covers only one block of \( G \), which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. □

By Corollary 4.4 and Lemma 4.5 we have a consequence for simple groups of type \( A \) with small rank immediately.

Proposition 4.6. Let \( S \in \{\text{PSL}_n(q), \text{PSU}_n(q) \mid n \leq 7\} \) be a simple group. Then the (iBAW) condition holds for \( S \).
5 The blockwise Alperin weight conjecture for finite groups with abelian Sylow 3-subgroups

We consider finite groups with abelian Sylow 3-subgroups and prove Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. According to the reduction theorem [37, Thm. A], it suffices to prove that any non-abelian simple group \( S \) with order dividing by \( \ell \) involved in \( G \) satisfies the (iBAW) condition. If \( S \) is an alternating group, then this follows by [33, Thm. 1.1]. If \( S \) is one of the sporadic simple groups, then the (iBAW) condition has been checked in [6] except when \( S \) is one of \( J_4, F_{24}', B, \) and \( M \). These four sporadic simple groups are not involved in \( G \) since their Sylow 3-subgroups are non-abelian (cf. [24, §5.3]).

Now we assume that \( S \) is of Lie type. Then by [21, Lemma 2.2], \( S \) is \( \text{PSL}_n(\eta q) \) with \( n \leq 5 \), a Suzuki group, or \( \text{PSp}_4(q) \) (\( q > 2, 3 \nmid q \)). The case of defining characteristic has been verified in [37, Thm. C]. If \( S \) is a Suzuki group, then \( S \) satisfies the (iBAW) condition by [33, Thm. 1.1]. The simple group \( \text{PSp}_4(q) \) is verified in [36] for even \( q \) and in [8] or [30] for odd \( q \), while the simple groups \( \text{PSL}_n(\eta q) \) with \( n \leq 5 \) satisfy the (iBAW) condition by Proposition 4.6. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

6 2-blocks of special linear and unitary groups

This section is a continuation of [20, §4], focusing on the blocks of special linear and unitary groups. In [20, §4], the author gives a classification of the blocks of \( \text{SL}_n(\eta q) \) for odd prime \( \ell \nmid q \), using the labelling set of \( d \)-Jordan-cuspidal pairs given in [12, 26]. More precisely, for a given \( \ell \)-block \( \tilde{B} \) of \( \tilde{G} = \text{GL}_n(\eta q) \), [20, Remark 4.13] gives the number of \( \ell \)-blocks of \( G = \text{SL}_n(\eta q) \) covered by \( \tilde{B} \) when \( \ell \) is odd. If \( \ell = 2 \), [20, Remark 4.13] also gives an upper bound for this number. In this section we compute this number.

6.A Basic results For arbitrary finite groups \( K \trianglelefteq H \) and \( \chi \in \text{Irr}(H) \), we denote by \( \kappa^H_K(\chi) \) the number of irreducible constituents of \( \text{Res}^H_K(\chi) \) forgetting multiplicities. If \( \tilde{B} \) is a block of \( H \), then we denote the number of blocks of \( K \) covered by \( \tilde{B} \) by \( \kappa^H_K(\tilde{B}) \).

Let \( G \leq \tilde{G} \leq \hat{G} \). Since \( \hat{G}/G \) is cyclic, by Clifford theory (see for example [25, Chap. 6] or [20, Lemma 2.1]) we have

\[
(6.1) \quad \kappa^G_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{\chi}, \tilde{\lambda}) = |\{ z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \zeta(s, \lambda)^\hat{G} = (s, \lambda)^\hat{G}, o(z) \mid |\hat{G}/\tilde{G}| \}|.
\]
Note that the group \( \mathcal{Z} \) is defined as on page 7.

Let \( G, \hat{G}, \tilde{G} \) be as above. By [15, Remark 4.7], for any \( \tilde{\chi} \in \text{Irr}(\hat{G}) \), there is a \( \tilde{\chi}_0 \in \text{Irr}(\hat{G} \mid \tilde{\chi}) \) such that \((\hat{G} \rtimes D)_{\tilde{\chi}_0} = \tilde{G}_{\tilde{\chi}_0} \rtimes D_{\tilde{\chi}_0}\). Inspired by this, we introduce the following.

**Definition 6.2.** Let \( H, V \) be finite groups such that \( V \) normalises \( H \). Assume that finite groups \( K \) is a normal subgroup of \( HV \) satisfy that \( H \cap V \) acts on \( K \) via inner automorphisms. For any \( \tilde{\chi} \in \text{Irr}(H) \), a character \( \chi \in \text{Irr}(K \mid \tilde{\chi}) \) is called \( V \)-split if \((HV)_\chi = H_V \chi\).

An easy and immediate property is as follows.

**Lemma 6.3.** Keep the assumptions in Definition 6.2.

(i) If \( \chi \in \text{Irr}(K \mid \tilde{\chi}) \) is \( V \)-split, then \( V_{\tilde{\chi}} \leq V_\chi \).

(ii) Assume furthermore that \( V \) acts trivially on \( H/K \). If one character in \( \text{Irr}(K \mid \tilde{\chi}) \) is \( V \)-split, then so is any one in \( \text{Irr}(K \mid \tilde{\chi}) \).

**Proof.** (i) is obvious. For (ii), we assume \( \chi \in \text{Irr}(K \mid \tilde{\chi}) \) is \( V \)-split. Any character in \( \text{Irr}(K \mid \tilde{\chi}) \) is of the form \( \chi^h \) for some \( h \in H \). Then \((HV)_\chi^h = (HV)_\chi^1 \) and \( H_{\chi^h} = H_{\chi^1}^v \). Assume \( v \in V_\chi \), then \((\chi^h)^v = \chi^{v_1^h} \). Since \( V \) acts trivially on \( H/K \), there is \( k \in K \) such that \( v_1^h = kh \). Thus \((\chi^h)^v = \chi^h \). So \( V_{\chi^h} \leq V_{\chi^1} \) and the equality holds by interchanging the role of \( \chi \) and \( \chi^h \). Consequently, \( H_{\chi^1}^h V_\chi \leq (HV)_{\chi^1}^h \). Comparing the order, we have \((HV)_{\chi^1}^h = H_{\chi^1}^h V_\chi = H_{\chi^h} V_{\chi^h} \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 6.4.** Let \( H, \tilde{K}, \hat{H} \) be finite groups such that \( \hat{H} = H\tilde{K} \) and \( \tilde{K} \leq \hat{H} \). Assume that \( \hat{K} = \prod_{i=1}^u \hat{K}_i \) with \( \hat{K}_i = \text{GL}_{m_i}((\eta q)^{d_i}) \). Assume that \( \iota_i : \hat{K}_i \to \mathcal{Z} \) is a group homomorphism for every \( i \) and set \( \iota = \prod_{i=1}^u \iota_i : \hat{K} \to \mathcal{Z} \). Let \( K_i = \ker(\iota_i) \) and \( K = \ker(\iota) \). The group \( H = \prod_{i=1}^u H_i \) acts on \( \hat{K} \) via inner automorphisms satisfies that \( H_i \) stabilizes \( \hat{K}_i \) and \( H_i = K_i \langle \sigma_i \rangle \), where \( \sigma_i \) acts on \( \hat{K}_i \) as \( F_{\eta q} \).

Let \( \tilde{\chi} \in \text{Irr}(\hat{K}) \). For any \( \chi \in \text{Irr}(K \mid \tilde{\chi}) \), \( \tilde{\chi} \in \hat{K} \), \( h \in H \), one can have \( \chi^h = \chi \) only if \( \chi^1 = \chi^h = \chi \).

**Proof.** It is equivalent to proving that every character \( \chi \in \text{Irr}(K \mid \tilde{\chi}) \) is \( H \)-split. First we consider the case that \( u = 1 \) and \( t_1 = 1 \), namely, \( \hat{K} = G_{m_1}((\eta q)^{d_1}) \), \( [\hat{K}, \hat{K}] \leq K_1 = K \leq \hat{K}, (\hat{K}/K) \mid (\eta - \eta) \) and \( H = K \langle \sigma \rangle \). Since \( \sigma \) acts on \( \hat{K} \) as \( F_{\eta q} \), a field automorphism of order \( d_1 \), by [15, Remark 4.7], there is a \( \chi_{0,1} \in \text{Irr}(K \mid \tilde{\chi}) \) which is \( H \)-split. Also it can be checked directly that \( \sigma \) acts trivially on \( \hat{K}/K \). Thus by Lemma 6.3, every \( \chi \in \text{Irr}(K \mid \tilde{\chi}) \) is \( H \)-split.

Now let \( u = 1 \) and \( t_1 > 1 \), namely, \( \hat{K} = \hat{K}_1^{t_1} \) with \( \hat{K}_1 = G_{m_1}((\eta q)^{d_1}) \). Let \( \tilde{\chi} = \tilde{\chi}_1 \times \cdots \times \tilde{\chi}_{t_1} \), where \( \tilde{\chi}_i \in \text{Irr}(\hat{K}_i) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq t_1 \). For \( \chi \in \text{Irr}(K \mid \tilde{\chi}) \), we let \( \chi_0 \in \text{Irr}(\hat{K}_1^{t_1} \mid \chi) \) with \( \chi_0 = \chi_{0,1} \times \cdots \times \chi_{0,t_1} \), where \( \chi_{0,i} \in \text{Irr}(K_1) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq t_1 \).
Let \( h \in H \) with \( \chi^h \in \text{Irr}(K \mid \tilde{\chi}) \). Then \( \chi^h_0 \in \text{Irr}(K_1^{t_1} \mid \tilde{\chi}) \), and without loss of generality, we may assume that \( h = (h_1, \ldots, h_{t_1}; \tau) \) with \( h_i \in H_1 \) and \( \tau = (1, \ldots, t_i) \) is a \( t_i \)-cycle. So \( \chi^h_0 = \chi^{h_i}_{0,1} \times \cdots \times \chi^{h_{t_i-1}}_{0,1} \). Hence there exist \( \tilde{I}_1, \ldots, \tilde{I}_{t_1} \in \tilde{K}_1 \) such that \( \chi^{h_i}_{0,1} = \chi^{h_i}_{0,1,1} \) and \( \chi^{h_i}_{0,1} = \chi^{h_i}_{0,1} \) for \( 2 \leq i \leq t_1 \). Since the outer automorphisms induced by \( H_1 \tilde{K}_1 \) on \( K_1 \) form an abelian group, one has that \( \chi^{h_i}_{0,1} = \chi^{h_i}_{0,1,1} \), and then by the above paragraph \( \tilde{I}_1 \cdots \tilde{I}_{t_1} \in (\tilde{K}_1 \chi)^{t_1} \). By replacing \( \tilde{I}_1 \) with \( (\tilde{I}_1 \cdots \tilde{I}_{t_1})^{-1} \tilde{I}_1 \), we can assume that \( \tilde{I}_1 \cdots \tilde{I}_{t_1} = 1 \). Let \( \tilde{I} = (\tilde{I}_1, \ldots, \tilde{I}_{t_1}) \). Then \( \tilde{I} \in \tilde{K} \) and \( \chi^h_0 = \chi^\tilde{I}_0 \). So \( \chi^h_0 \in \text{Irr}(K_1^{t_1} \chi) \). Hence \( \chi^h \chi \in \text{Irr}(K \mid \tilde{\chi}) \cap \text{Irr}(K \mid \chi_0) \). By [22, Lemma 7.2], \( \chi^h = \chi \). This proves that \( \chi \) is \( H \)-split.

The assertion in the general case now follows by reduction to the preceding cases. \( \square \)

Assume that \( p \) is odd and \( \ell = 2 \) and let \( \alpha \) be a non-negative integer, then the sets \( \mathcal{F}_a, \mathcal{F}_a, \mathcal{F}_{a-y}, \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{F} \) are defined similarly as \( \mathcal{F}_a, \mathcal{F}_b, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}' \) with \( q \) replaced by \( (\eta q)^{\nu} \) respectively. We have a surjective map

\[
\Phi_a : \mathcal{F}_a \to \mathcal{F}, \quad \langle F_{a}^{2\nu} \rangle \cdot \xi \mapsto \langle F_{a}^{2\nu} \rangle \cdot \xi.
\]

The inverse images of \( \langle F_{a}^{2\nu} \rangle \cdot \xi \) under \( \Phi_a \) are the \( \langle F_{a}^{2\nu} \rangle \cdot \xi \)-orbits of the set \( \langle F_{a}^{2\nu} \rangle \cdot \xi \).

Let \( \Gamma \in \mathcal{F} \) and \( \xi \in \mathcal{F}_{a}^{2\nu} \) be a root of \( \Gamma \). We define \( \Gamma_{(a)} \) to be a polynomial in \( \mathcal{F}_a \) which has a root \( \xi \). Then the set of roots of \( \Gamma_{(a)} \) is an element in the inverse images of \( \langle F_{a}^{2\nu} \rangle \cdot \xi \) under \( \Phi_a \). Conversely, every element in the inverse images of \( \langle F_{a}^{2\nu} \rangle \cdot \xi \) under \( \Phi_a \) provides a choice of \( \Gamma_{(a)} \).

Lemma 6.6. Let \( z \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \Gamma \in \mathcal{F}' \) (i.e., the roots of \( \Gamma \) have 2\( \ell \)-order). Then \( z\Gamma = \Gamma \) if and only if \( z\Gamma_{(a)} = \Gamma_{(a)} \) for any non-negative integer \( a \).

Proof. Let \( \xi \) be a root of both \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma_{(a)} \), and \( o(\xi) = p_1^h \cdots p_u^h \), where \( p_1, \ldots, p_u \) are distinct odd primes. Also we write \( d = d_1 = m \ell 2^{nu} \) with odd \( m \). We denote by \( v_i \) the discrete valuation such that \( v_i(p_i) = 1 \), by \( e_i \) the multiplicative order of \( \eta q \) modulo \( p_i \), and by \( a_i = v_i((\eta q)^{nu} - 1) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq u \). Let \( a_r = \max(t_i - a_i, 0) \). Then by [22, (3A)] or [22, Lemma 5.3], \( d \) is the least common multiple of the \( e_i p_i^{nu} \), where \( i \) runs through the integers between 1 and \( u \). So there exists \( 1 \leq i_0 \leq u \) such that \( 2^{nu} \mid e_{i_0} \). Also, the polynomial \( \Gamma_{(a)} \) has degree \( d / \gcd(d, 2^{nu}) \). Thus \( \Gamma_{(a)} = \Gamma \) if \( \alpha \Gamma_{(a)} = \Gamma_{(a)} \) for any non-negative integer \( \alpha \). So it suffices to let \( 0 < \alpha \leq \alpha \). In particular \( e_{i_0} > 1 \).

We may assume that \( z \) has 2\( \ell \)-order. It is obvious that \( z \Gamma_{(a)} = \Gamma_{(a)} \) implies \( z\Gamma = \Gamma \). Now we assume that \( z\Gamma = \Gamma \), then \( z \xi = \xi^{(\eta q)^{nu}} \) and then \( z = \xi^{(\eta q)^{nu} - 1} \) for some \( k \geq 1 \). Thus \( o(\xi) | ((\eta q)^{nu} - 1)(\eta q - 1) \) and in particular \( p_{i_0}^{nu} | ((\eta q)^{nu} - 1)(\eta q - 1) \). Note that \( p_{i_0}^{nu} | (\eta q - 1) \) since \( e_{i_0} > 1 \). So \( p_{i_0}^{nu} | (\eta q)^{nu} - 1 \). In particular, \( 2^{nu} \mid k \). By the definition of \( \Gamma_{(a)} \), \( \xi^{(\eta q)^{nu}} \) is a root of \( \Gamma_{(a)} \), and so \( z \Gamma_{(a)} = \Gamma_{(a)} \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)
6. B 2-blocks of special linear and unitary groups  We will follow the notation for basic 2-subgroups and radical 2-subgroups of linear and unitary groups in \textsuperscript{22} and always consider the 2-modular representations from now on.

Let \( \bar{B} \) be a 2-block of \( G \) with a maximal Brauer pair \((\bar{R}, \bar{b})\). Let \( \bar{\theta} \) be the canonical character of \( \bar{b} \) and \( R = \bar{R} \cap G \). Note that the defect groups are radical subgroups.

First we recall the structure of \( \bar{R} \). Set \( 2a+1 = (q^2 - 1)_2 \), thus \( a \geq 2 \). Recall that for \( \bar{G} = \text{GL}_m(q) \) with odd \( q \), by \textsuperscript{7}, there is a semisimple \( 2' \)-element \( s \) of \( \bar{G} \) associated with \( \bar{B} \), namely, \( \bar{B} = E_2(\bar{G}, s) = \bigcup \mathcal{E}(\bar{G}, st) \), where \( t \) runs through the semisimple 2-elements of \( \bar{G} \) commuting with \( s \). Let \( s = \prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}} m_{\Gamma}(s)(\Gamma) \) be the primary decomposition. Here \( m_{\Gamma}(s) \) is the multiplicity of \( \Gamma \) in \( s \) as an elementary divisor and \( (\Gamma) \) is the companion matrix of \( \Gamma \). By \textsuperscript{7} again, a Sylow 2-subgroup \( \bar{R} \) of \( C_{\bar{G}}(s) \) is a defect group of \( \bar{B} \). Now \( C_{\bar{G}}(s) = \prod_{\Gamma} C_{\Gamma}(s) \) with \( C_{\Gamma}(s) \cong \text{GL}_{m_{\Gamma}}((\eta q)^{d_{\Gamma}}) \).

Then \( \bar{R} = \prod_{\Gamma} \bar{R}_\Gamma \), where \( \bar{R}_\Gamma \) is a Sylow 2-subgroup of \( C_{\Gamma}(s) \). For each \( \Gamma \), let \( m_\Gamma \) and \( \alpha_\Gamma \) be such that \( m_{\Gamma} 2^{\alpha_\Gamma} = d_{\Gamma} \) with odd \( m_{\Gamma} \). Then by \textsuperscript{16}, we have the following.

(1) If \( 4 \mid q - \eta \) or \( \alpha_\Gamma \geq 1 \), set \( m_{\Gamma}(s) = 2^{\beta_{\Gamma,1}} + \cdots + 2^{\beta_{\Gamma,n}} \) with \( 0 < \beta_{\Gamma,1} < \cdots < \beta_{\Gamma,n} \).

Then \( \bar{R}_\Gamma = \prod_{i=1}^n \bar{S}_{m_{\Gamma},1,0,\beta_{\Gamma,j}} \), where \( \bar{S}_{m_{\Gamma},1,0,\beta_{\Gamma,j}} \) is defined as in \textsuperscript{22} §4 and \( \bar{\beta}_{\Gamma,j} = (1,1,\ldots,1) \) with \( \beta_{\Gamma,j} \) one’s.

(2) If \( 4 \mid q + \eta \) and \( \alpha_\Gamma = 0 \), then \( d_\Gamma = m_\Gamma \) is odd.

(2i) If furthermore \( m_{\Gamma}(s) \) is even, set \( m_{\Gamma}(s) = 2^{\beta_{\Gamma,1}} + \cdots + 2^{\beta_{\Gamma,n}} \) with \( 0 < \beta_{\Gamma,1} < \cdots < \beta_{\Gamma,n} \). Then \( \bar{R}_\Gamma = \prod_{i=1}^n \bar{S}_{m_{\Gamma},1,0,\beta_{\Gamma,j}} \), where \( \bar{S}_{m_{\Gamma},1,0,\beta_{\Gamma,j}} \) is defined as in \textsuperscript{22} §4.

(2ii) If furthermore \( m_{\Gamma}(s) \) is odd, set \( m_{\Gamma}(s) = 1 + 2^{\beta_{\Gamma,1}} + \cdots + 2^{\beta_{\Gamma,n}} \) with \( 0 < \beta_{\Gamma,1} < \cdots < \beta_{\Gamma,n} \). Then \( \bar{R}_\Gamma = \bar{R}_{m_{\Gamma},0} \times \prod_{i=1}^n \bar{S}_{m_{\Gamma},1,0,\beta_{\Gamma,j}} \). Note that \( \bar{R}_{m_{\Gamma},0} = \{ \pm I_{m_{\Gamma}} \} \).

Let \( \bar{C} = C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{R}) \). Then \( \bar{C} \equiv \prod_{\Gamma} \prod_{i=1}^{l_\Gamma} \text{GL}_{m_{\Gamma}}((\eta q)^{2^{\alpha_{\Gamma,i}}}) \otimes I_{2^{\alpha_{\Gamma,i}}} \) and \( \bar{\theta} = \prod_{\Gamma} \prod_{i=1}^{l_{\Gamma}'} \bar{\theta}_{\Gamma,i} \otimes I_{2^{\alpha_{\Gamma,i}}} \), where \( \bar{\theta}_{\Gamma,i} \otimes I_{2^{\alpha_{\Gamma,i}}} \) is defined as in \textsuperscript{22} §3E. Note that \( \bar{\theta}_{\Gamma,i} \) is the character of \( \text{GL}_{m_{\Gamma}}((\eta q)^{2^{\alpha_{\Gamma,i}}}) \) corresponding to the semisimple \( 2' \)-element with the only elementary divisor \( \Gamma(\alpha_{\Gamma}) \) of multiplicity 1.

Now we recall the normaliser \( \bar{N} = N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{R}) \). First note that it is possible that some component of \( \bar{R}_\Gamma \) is isomorphic to a component of \( \bar{R}_{\Gamma'} \) for different \( \Gamma, \Gamma' \) with \( d_\Gamma = d_{\Gamma'} \). From this we rewrite \( \bar{R} = \prod_{i=1}^{l_\Gamma} \bar{R}_{i} \), where \( \bar{R}_i \)’s are the components of \( \bar{R} \) such that \( \bar{R}_i \neq \bar{R}_j \) if \( i \neq j \). Then \( \bar{C} = \prod_{i=1}^{l_\Gamma} \bar{C}_{i} \) and \( \bar{N} = \prod_{i=1}^{l_\Gamma} \bar{N}_i \bar{S}_u \), where \( \bar{S}_u \)
is the symmetric group on $u_i$ symbols. Now we assume that $\bar{R}$ is a component of $\bar{R}_\Gamma$ for some $\Gamma$. By [3, 4], then $\bar{N}_{i}/\bar{R}_{i}$ is a direct product of $\bar{C}_{i}\bar{R}_{i}/\bar{R}_{i}$ and a subgroup of $\bar{N}_{i}/\bar{R}_{i}$ if $\alpha_{\Gamma} = 0$. If $\alpha_{\Gamma} > 0$, then $\bar{C}_{i} \cong \text{GL}_{m_{i}}((\eta q)^{2\tau})$. Then $\bar{N}_{i}/\bar{R}_{i}$ is a direct product of $\bar{N}_{i0}/\bar{R}_{i}$ and a subgroup of $\bar{N}_{i}/\bar{R}_{i}$, where $\bar{N}_{i0} \leq \bar{N}_{i}$ such that $\bar{N}_{i} = \bar{N}_{i0}(\tau)$. Here $\tau$ acts on $\bar{C}_{i} \cong \text{GL}_{m_{i}}((\eta q)^{2\tau})$ as $F_{\eta q}$.

Let $R = \bar{R} \cap G$ and $\bar{R}' = O_2(N_G(\bar{R}))$. Then $R \leq \bar{R}' \leq \bar{R}$ and $N_G(\bar{R}') = N_G(R)$ by [20, Lemma 2.2]. By [22, Prop. 4.37 and 4.47], $C_G(\bar{R}') = C_G(R)$.

First we consider the case that $\bar{R}' = \bar{R}$. We view $\tilde{\theta}$ as a character of $C_G(\bar{R})/Z(\bar{R})$ and let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(C_G(\bar{R})/Z(\bar{R}) | \tilde{\theta})$. Then $\theta$ is also of 2-defect zero. We also view $\theta$ as a character of $RC_G(R)$ whose kernel contains $R$. Let $b$ be the 2-block of $RC_G(R)$ containing $\theta$. Then $\theta$ is the canonical character of $b$. Let $B = b^G$. By [27, Lemma 2.3], $\tilde{B}$ covers $B$. Conversely, every 2-block of $G$ covered by $\tilde{B}$ can be obtained by the above process from a suitable $\theta \in \text{Irr}(C_G(\bar{R})/Z(\bar{R}) | \tilde{\theta})$ with $\tilde{n} \in N_G(\bar{R})$.

If $\bar{R}' \neq \bar{R}$, then according to [22, §5D], exactly one of the following cases occurs. We set $\tilde{C}' = C_G(\bar{R}')$ and $\tilde{N}' = N_G(\bar{R}')$.

**Case (i).** $4 \mid q - \eta$, $\bar{R} = \tilde{R}_\Gamma = \tilde{R}_{m_{i},\alpha_{\Gamma}}$ and $\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}_{\Gamma}$ for some $\Gamma \in F'$ with $\alpha_{\Gamma} > 0$. This means that $m_\Gamma(s) = 1$ and $n = d_{\Gamma}$. Up to conjugacy, we may take $\bar{R}' = \tilde{R}_{m_{i},\alpha_{\Gamma}}$, where $\alpha' = \min\{a, \alpha_{\Gamma}\}$. Let $\tilde{\theta}' = \tilde{\theta}_{\Gamma} = \tilde{\theta}_{\Gamma}^{\alpha'}$, where $\tilde{\theta}_{\Gamma}^{\alpha'}$ is defined as in [22, §5C]. Also, $\tilde{C}' \cong \text{GL}_{m_{i},\alpha_{\Gamma}}((\eta \eta q)^{2\tau_{\alpha_{\Gamma}}})$ and $\tilde{N}' = \tilde{C}'(\tau)$, where $\tau$ acts on $\tilde{C}'$ as $F_{\eta q}$.

**Case (ii).** $4 \mid q - \eta$, $\alpha = 2$, $\bar{R} = \tilde{R}_\Gamma = \tilde{R}_{m_{i},0,0,1}$ and $\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}_{\Gamma,1}$. For some $\Gamma \in F'$ with $\alpha_{\Gamma} > 0$, where $\tilde{\theta}_{\Gamma,1}$ is defined as in [22, §3E]. This means that $m_{\Gamma}(s) = 2$ and $n = 2d_{\Gamma}$. Up to conjugacy, we may take $\bar{R}' = \tilde{R}_{m_{i},0,1}$. By [22, §3B], $\tilde{N}'/\bar{R}' = \tilde{C}'(\tilde{R}' / \bar{R}' \times \text{Sp}_2(2))$ and $\tilde{C}' \cong \text{GL}_{m_{i}}((\eta q)^{2\tau}) \otimes I_2$. Let $\tilde{\theta}' = \tilde{\theta}_{\Gamma} \otimes I_2$.

**Case (iii).** $4 \mid q + \eta$, $\bar{R} = \tilde{R}_\Gamma = \tilde{R}_{m_{i},\alpha_{\Gamma}}$ and $\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}_{\Gamma}$ for some $\Gamma \in F'$ with $\alpha_{\Gamma} > 1$. This means that $m_\Gamma(s) = 1$ and $n = d_{\Gamma}$. Up to conjugacy, we take $\bar{R}' = \tilde{R}_{m_{i},\alpha_{\Gamma}-1}$ and $\tilde{\theta}' = \tilde{\theta}_{\Gamma} = \tilde{\theta}_{\Gamma}^{1\,\alpha_{\Gamma}}$. Also, $\tilde{C}' \cong \text{GL}_{2m_{i}}((\eta q)^{2\tau_{\alpha-1}})$ and $\tilde{N}' = \tilde{C}'(\tau)$, where $\tau$ acts on $\tilde{C}'$ as $F_{\eta q}$.

**Case (iv).** $4 \mid q + \eta$, $\alpha = 2$ and $\bar{R} = \tilde{R}_\Gamma = \tilde{S}_{m_{i},0,0,1}$ for some $\Gamma \in F'$ with $\alpha_{\Gamma} = 0$. This means that $m_{\Gamma}(s) = 2$ and $n = 2d_{\Gamma}$. Up to conjugacy, we may take $\bar{R}' = \tilde{R}_{m_{i},0,1}$, where $\tilde{R}_{m_{i},0,1}$ is defined as in [22, §3C]. Also $\tilde{N}'/\bar{R}' = \tilde{C}'(\tilde{R}' / \bar{R}' \times \text{GO}_2(2))$ and $\tilde{C}' \cong \text{GL}_{m_{i}}((\eta q)^{2\tau}) \otimes I_2$. Let $\tilde{\theta}' = \tilde{\theta}_{\Gamma} \otimes I_2$.

For the case (i)–(iv) above, the Brauer pair $(\bar{R}', \tilde{\theta}')$ is a $\tilde{B}$-pair of $\tilde{G}$ by [7]; see also [22, §5D]. We view $\tilde{\theta}'$ as a character of $C_G(\bar{R}')/Z(\bar{R}')$ and let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(C_G(\bar{R})/Z(\bar{R}) | \tilde{\theta}')$. Then $\theta$ is also of 2-defect zero by [22, §5.C]. We also view $\theta$ as a character of $RC_G(R)$ whose kernel contains $R$. Let $b$ be the 2-block of $RC_G(R)$ containing $\theta$. Then $\theta$ is the canonical character of $b$. Let $B = b^G$. By [27, Lemma 2.3], $\tilde{B}$ covers $B$. Conversely, every 2-blocks of $G$ covered by $\tilde{B}$ can be obtained through the above process from a suitable $\theta \in \text{Irr}(C_G(\bar{R})/Z(\bar{R}) | \tilde{\theta}')$ with $\tilde{n} \in N_G(\bar{R}')$. 
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In order to deal with the two cases that $\bar{R} = \bar{R}$ and $\bar{R} \neq \bar{R}$ simultaneously, we also use the notation $\tilde{C}'$, $\tilde{N}'$, $\tilde{\theta}$ for $\tilde{C}$, $\tilde{N}$, $\tilde{\theta}$ if $\bar{R} = \bar{R}$. Also, we let $C = C_{\tilde{G}}(R)$, $N = N_{\tilde{G}}(R)$.

Lemma 6.7. Keep the hypotheses and setup above. Then $\kappa_{\tilde{G}}^2(\tilde{B}_s) = \kappa_{\tilde{C}}^2(\tilde{\theta}')$.

Proof. As above, every 2-block of $G$ covered by $\tilde{B}$ can be obtained through the above process from a suitable $\theta \in \text{Irr}(C_{\tilde{G}}(R)/Z(R) \mid \tilde{\theta}')$ with $\tilde{n} \in N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{R})$. If we are neither in the case (ii) nor (iv), then using [22] Prop. 4.34 and 4.43, direct calculation case-by-case shows that $\tilde{N}' = \tilde{R}C'\tilde{N}$, and then we may assume that $\tilde{n} \in N$. If we are in the case (ii) or (iv), then $\tilde{N}'$ acts on $\tilde{C}'$ via inner automorphisms. Hence if we fix a $\tilde{\theta}'$, then $\theta$ gives all 2-blocks of $G$ when running through $\text{Irr}(C_{\tilde{G}}(R)/Z(R) \mid \tilde{\theta}')$. From this it remains to prove that the characters in $\text{Irr}(C_{\tilde{G}}(R)/Z(R) \mid \tilde{\theta}')$ are not $N$-conjugate.

By the above arguments, the group $\tilde{C}' = \prod_i(\tilde{C}'_i)^{u_i}$ such that each component $\tilde{C}'_i$ is a general linear or unitary group and $\tilde{N}' = \prod_i N'_i/\tilde{Z}_u$. Furthermore, the action of any element of $\tilde{N}'$ on $\tilde{C}'$ (via conjugation) is a product of an inner automorphism and a field automorphism which commute. Thus this assertion follows by Lemma 6.4. □

Theorem 6.8. $\kappa_{\tilde{G}}^2(\tilde{B}_s)$ is the number of $z \in O_{2^2}(3)$ satisfying $z.\Gamma = \Gamma$ for every elementary divisor $\Gamma$ of $s$.

Proof. According to [22] Lemma 4.35 and 4.45, $O_{2^2}(\tilde{C}'/C) \cong O_{2^2}(\tilde{G}/G)$. By [20] Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 6.7 $\kappa_{\tilde{G}}^2(\tilde{B}_s)$ is the number of $z \in \tilde{Z}$ satisfying $z.\tilde{\theta}' = \tilde{\theta}'$. Then the proof is similar with [20] Cor. 4.12, and then $z.\tilde{\theta}' = \tilde{\theta}'$ if and only if $z.\Gamma_{(k_{l})} = \Gamma_{(k_{l})}$ for every elementary divisor $\Gamma$ of $s$, where $k_{l}$ is an integer such that $0 \leq k_{l} \leq \alpha_{l}$. Thus by Lemma 6.6 $z.\tilde{\theta}' = \tilde{\theta}'$ if and only if $z \in O_{2^2}(3)$ and $z.\Gamma = \Gamma$ for every elementary divisor $\Gamma$ of $s$. □

Remark 6.9. Analogously with [20] Remark 4.13, we give a description for the 2-blocks of $G = SL_{n}(\eta q)$ by summarizing the argument above.

For $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, we denote by $[\sigma]$ the set of all roots of the polynomial in $\mathbb{F}$ which has $\sigma$ as a root. Thus $[\sigma]$ is a single $F_{\eta q}$-orbit. Denote by $\deg(\sigma)$ the cardinality of $[\sigma]$. Then $\deg(\sigma)$ is the minimal integer $d$ such that $\sigma^{(\eta q)^d-1} = 1$ and

$$[\sigma] = \{ \sigma, \sigma^{(\eta q)}, \sigma^{(\eta q)^2}, \ldots, \sigma^{(\eta q)^{\deg(\sigma)-1}} \}.$$ 

We call an $a$-tuple

$$((\sigma_1), m_1), \ldots, ((\sigma_a), m_a))$$

of pairs an $(n, 2)$-admissible block tuple, if

- for every $1 \leq i \leq a$, $\sigma_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ is a $2'$-element, and $m_i$ is a positive integer,
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{a} m_i \deg(\sigma_i) = n. \]

An equivalence class of an \((n, 2)\)-admissible block tuple

\[(([\sigma_1], m_1), \ldots, ([\sigma_a], m_a))\]

up to a permutation of pairs \(((\sigma_1), m_1), \ldots, ((\sigma_a), m_a)\) is called an \((n, 2)\)-admissible block symbol and is denoted as

\[(6.10) \quad b = \left[ ([\sigma_1], m_1), \ldots, ([\sigma_a], m_a) \right]. \]

The set of \((n, 2)\)-admissible block symbols is in bijection with conjugacy classes of semisimple \(2\)'-elements of \(\tilde{G} = \text{GL}_n(\eta q)\), and then is a labeling set for \(2\)-blocks of \(\tilde{G}\) by [7]. Denote by \(\tilde{B}_b\) the \(2\)-block of \(\tilde{G}\) corresponding to the \((n, 2)\)-admissible block symbol \(b\) as in (6.10). Now we define \(\kappa(b)\) to be the cardinality of the set \(\{ z \in O_{2'}(3) \mid [z \sigma_i] = [\sigma_i] \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq a \}\).

By Theorem 6.8, \(\kappa(\tilde{B}_b) = \kappa(b)\) (i.e. the number of \(2\)-blocks of \(G\) covered by \(\tilde{B}_b\)) is \(\kappa(b)\). For two \((n, 2)\)-admissible block symbols \(b\) and \(b'\), if they are in the same \(O_{2'}(3)\)-orbit, then the sets of \(2\)-blocks of \(G\) covered by \(\tilde{B}_b\) and \(\tilde{B}_{b'}\) are the same.

If moreover, we let \((B_b)_1, (B_b)_2, \ldots, (B_b)_{\kappa(b)}\) be the \(2\)-blocks of \(G\) covered by \(\tilde{B}_b\), then the set \(((B_b)_j)\), where \(b\) runs through the \(O_{2'}(3)\)-orbit representatives of \((n, 2)\)-admissible block symbols and \(j\) runs through the integers between 1 and \(\kappa(b)\), is a complete set of \(2\)-blocks of \(G\).

Remark 6.11. We mention that the case that \(\ell\) is odd can be also obtained by the same method as above, which has been dealt with in [20, Remark 4.13] via the \(d\)-Jordan-cuspidal pairs.

Remark 6.12. In [20, Remark 4.13], the author gives an upper bound for the number of \(2\)-blocks of \(\text{SL}_n(\eta q)\) covered by a given \(2\)-block of \(\text{GL}_n(\eta q)\). In fact, if \(4 \mid q - \eta\), then that upper bound is just the \(\kappa(b)\) defined in Remark 6.9 while if \(4 \mid q + \eta\), that upper bound may be bigger than \(\kappa(b)\) above. This provides an example to show that the assumption of odd primes is necessary in [26, Thm. A (e)], which states that the \(d\)-Jordan-cuspidal pairs form a labeling set for the blocks of a finite groups of Lie type.
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