A GEOMETRIC PROOF OF THE FLYPING THEOREM

THOMAS KINDRED

ABSTRACT. In 1898, Tait asserted several properties of alternating knot diagrams. These assertions came to be known as Tait’s conjectures and remained open through the discovery of the Jones polynomial in 1985. The new polynomial invariants soon led to proofs of all of Tait’s conjectures, culminating in 1993 with Menasco–Thistlethwaite’s proof of Tait’s flyping conjecture.

In 2017, Greene gave the first geometric proof of part of Tait’s conjectures, in the same paper where he answered a long-standing question of Fox by characterizing alternating links geometrically; Howie independently answered Fox’s question with a related characterization. We use Greene and Howie’s characterizations, Menasco’s crossing ball structures, and re-plumbing moves to give the first entirely geometric proof of Menasco–Thistlethwaite’s Flyping Theorem.

1. Introduction

P.G. Tait asserted in 1898 that any two reduced alternating diagrams of a prime non-split link in $S^3$ are related by a sequence of flype moves (see Figure 1). Tait’s flyping conjecture remained open until 1993, when Menasco–Thistlethwaite gave its first proof [8, 9], which they described as follows:

The proof of the Main Theorem stems from an analysis of the [chessboard surfaces] of a link diagram, in which we use geometric techniques [introduced in 7]... and properties of the Jones and Kauffman polynomials.... Perhaps the most striking use of polynomials is... where we “detect a flype” by using the fact that if just one crossing is switched in a reduced alternating diagram of $n$ crossings, and if the resulting link also admits an alternating diagram, then the crossing number of that link is at most $n - 2$. Thus, although the proof of the Main Theorem has a strong geometric flavor, it is not entirely geometric; the question
remains open as to whether there exist purely geometric proofs of this and other results that have been obtained with the help of new polynomial invariants.

We answer part of this question by giving the first entirely geometric proof of the Flyping Theorem. (Greene answered part of the question in [3], by providing the first geometric proof of part of Tait’s conjectures. Other parts of the question remain open; see Problems 2.6–2.8.)

**Flyping Theorem.** Any two reduced alternating diagrams of the same prime, nonsplit link are flype-related.

Like Menasco-Thistlethwaite’s proof, ours stems from an analysis of chessboard surfaces and uses the geometric techniques introduced in [7]. Recent insights of Greene and Howie regarding these chessboards also play a central role in our analysis [3, 4]. (Those insights answered another longstanding question, this one from Ralph Fox: “What [geometrically] is an alternating knot [or link]?”) Perhaps the most striking difference between our proof and Menasco-Thistlethwaite’s is that we “detect flypes” via re-plumbing moves:

**Theorem 4.11** Let $D$ and $D'$ be reduced alternating diagrams of a prime nonsplit link $L$ with respective chessboards $B, W$ and $B', W'$, where $B$ and $B'$ are positive-definite. Then $D$ and $D'$ are flype-related if and only if $B$ and $B'$ are related by isotopy and re-plumbing moves, as are $W$ and $W'$.

Section 2 discusses chessboards and definite surfaces, and §3 addresses generalized plumbing and re-plumbing moves. Figure 13 shows the type of re-plumbing move associated with flyping. Theorem 4.11 is the first of two intermediate results which lead to the

---

1The proof of Theorem 4.11 shows that a reduced alternating diagram $D''$ of $L$ with chessboards isotopic to $B'$ and $W$ is related to $D$ (resp. $D'$) by a sequence of flypes each of which corresponds to an isotopy of $W$ (resp. $B'$).

2Generalized plumbing is also called Murasugi sum.
proof of the Flyping Theorem. With the same setup as Theorem 4.11, the second of these results is:

**Theorem 5.26.** Any essential positive-definite surface spanning $L$ is related to $B$ by isotopy and re-plumbing moves.

Together with background from [3], Theorems 4.11 and 5.26 immediately imply the Flyping Theorem. Section 4 sets up crossing ball structures and uses them to prove Theorem 4.11. Section 5 uses these structures to prove Theorem 5.26 and the Flyping Theorem. Several of the arguments in Sections 4 and 5 are adapted from [1, 5].

2. **Definite surfaces**

2.1. **Background.** Let $L$ be a link in $S^3$ with a closed regular neighborhood $\nu L$ and projection $\pi_L : \nu L \to L$. One can define spanning surfaces for $L$ in two ways; in both definitions, $F$ is compact, but not necessarily orientable, and each component of $F$ has nonempty boundary. First, a spanning surface for $L$ is an embedded surface $F \subset S^3$ with $\partial F = L$. Alternatively, a spanning surface is a properly embedded surface $F$ in the link exterior $S^3 \setminus \hat{\nu} L$ such that $\partial F$ intersects each meridian on $\partial \nu L$ transversally in one point. We use the latter definition.

The rank $\beta_1(F)$ of the first homology group of a spanning surface $F$ counts the number of “holes” in $F$. When $F$ is connected, $\beta_1(F) = 1 - \chi(F)$ counts the number of cuts — along disjoint, properly embedded arcs — required to reduce $F$ to a disk. Thus:

**Observation 2.1.** If $\alpha$ is a properly embedded arc in a spanning surface $F$ and $F' = F \setminus \hat{\nu} \alpha$ is connected, then $\beta_1(F) = \beta_1(F') + 1$.

Given any diagram $D$ of $L$, one may a color the complementary regions of $D$ in the projection sphere $S^2$ black and white in chessboard fashion. One may then construct spanning surfaces $B$ and $W$ for $L$ such that $B$ projects into the black regions, $W$ projects into the white, and $B$ and $W$ intersect in vertical arcs which project to the the crossings of $D$. Call the surfaces $B$ and $W$ the chessboards from $D$. Figure 2 illustrates chessboards near a crossing.

Given any spanning surface $F$ for a link $L \subset S^3$, Gordon-Litherland construct a symmetric, bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H_1(F) \times H_1(F) \to \mathbb{Z}$

---

3In this setup, both $B$ and $W$ are essential (see [2, 3] for the definition), since $D$ is reduced alternating and $L$ is nonsplit.

4Likewise for negative-definite surfaces and $W$.

5Convention: Given $X \subset S^3$, $\nu X$ denotes a closed regular neighborhood of $X$ and is taken in $S^3$ unless stated otherwise.

6When $L$ is nonsplit and alternating, $F$ is connected, by Corollary 5.2 of [1].

7Definition: A meridian on $\partial \nu L$ is a circle $\pi_L^{-1}(x) \cap \partial \nu L$ for a point $x \in L$.

8That is, so that regions of the same color meet only at crossing points.
as follows \cite{2}. Let $\nu F$ be a neighborhood of $F$ in the link exterior $S^3 \setminus \nu L$ with projection $p : \nu F \to F$, such that $p^{-1}(\partial F) = \nu F \cap \partial \nu L$; denote $\bar{F} = \partial \nu F \setminus \partial \nu L$\footnote{For compact $X, Y \subset S^3$, $X \setminus Y$ denotes the metric closure of $X \setminus Y$. In case $Z \cap \nu(x)$ is connected or empty for each component $Z$ of $X \setminus Y$ and $x \in X \cap Y$, each component of $X \setminus Y$ is the closure in $S^3$ of a component of $X \setminus Y$, hence embeds naturally in $S^3$, although $X \setminus Y$ may not. Also see Note \ref{note:16}.}. Given any oriented simple closed curve $\gamma \subset F$, denote $\bar{\gamma} = \partial(p^{-1}(\gamma))$, and orient $\bar{\gamma}$ following $\gamma$.

Let $\tau : H_1(F) \to H_1(\bar{F})$ be the transfer map characterized by $p_* \circ \tau = 2 \cdot 1$.\footnote{In other words, given any primitive $g \in H_1(F)$, choose an oriented simple closed curve $\gamma \subset \text{int}(F)$ representing $g$, and construct $\bar{\gamma}$ as above; then, $\tau(g) = [\bar{\gamma}]$.}

The \textit{Gordon-Litherland pairing} $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H_1(F) \times H_1(F) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the symmetric, bilinear mapping given by the linking number $\langle a, b \rangle = \text{lk}(a, \tau(b))$.

The matrix representing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, with respect to an ordered basis $\mathcal{B} = (a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ for $H_1(F)$, is the \textit{Goeritz matrix} $G = (x_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$ given by $x_{ij} = \langle a_i, a_j \rangle$.\footnote{That is, any $y = \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i a_i$ and $z = \sum_{i=1}^{m} z_i a_i$ satisfy $\langle y, z \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 & \cdots & y_m \end{bmatrix} G \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & \cdots & z_m \end{bmatrix}^T$.}

Any projective homology class $g \in H_1(F)/\pm$ has a well-defined \textit{self-pairing} $\langle g, g \rangle$. When $F$ is connected and $g$ is primitive, there is a simple closed curve $\gamma \subset F$ representing $g$, and $\frac{1}{2} \langle g, g \rangle$ equals the \textit{framing} of $\gamma$ in $F$. A spanning surface $F$ is \textit{positive-definite} if $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle > 0$ for all nonzero $\alpha \in H_1(F)$.

The spectral theorem implies that $G$ has $\beta_1(F)$ real eigenvalues, counted with multiplicity; thus, $F$ is positive-definite iff all eigenvalues of $G$ are positive. When $F$ is connected, an equivalent condition,
more geometric in flavor, is that $F$ is positive-definite iff, for each simple closed curve $\gamma \subset F$, either:

- The framing of $\gamma$ in $F$ is positive, or
- $\gamma$ bounds an orientable subsurface of $F$.

Negative-definite surfaces are defined analogously. Greene proves:

**Theorem 1.1 of [3]**. If $B$ and $W$ are positive- and negative-definite spanning surfaces for a link $L$ in a homology $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ sphere with irreducible complement, then $L$ is an alternating link in $S^3$, and it has an alternating diagram whose chessboards are isotopic to $B$ and $W$.

Moreover, this diagram is reduced if and only if neither $B$ nor $W$ has a homology class with self-pairing $\pm 1$.

**Convention 2.2.** Isotopies of properly embedded surfaces and arcs are always taken rel boundary. Two properly embedded surfaces or arcs are parallel if they have the same boundary and are related by an isotopy which fixes this boundary.

The converse of the first sentence of the theorem is also true:

**Proposition 4.1 of [3]**. A connected link diagram is alternating if and only if its chessboards are definite surfaces of opposite signs.

**Convention 2.3.** If $D$ is a connected alternating link diagram, then its chessboards $B$ and $W$ are labeled such that $B$ is positive-definite and $W$ is negative-definite. Likewise for chessboards $B'$ and $W'$ (resp. $B_i$ and $W_i$) from such a diagram $D'$ (resp. $D_i$).

The **euler number** $e(F)$ of a spanning surface $F$ is the algebraic self-intersection number of the properly embedded surface in the 4-ball obtained by perturbing $F$. Alternatively, $-e(F)$ can be computed by summing the component-wise boundary slopes of $F$. For brevity, we call $-e(F)$ the slope of $F$ and denote $-e(F) = s(F)$.

**Proposition 2.4.** If $D$ and $D'$ are reduced alternating diagrams of the same prime nonsplit link, and if $B, W$ and $B', W'$ are their respective chessboards, then $s(B) = s(B')$ and $s(W) = s(W')$.

Greene proves Proposition 2.4 as a step in his proof of Theorem 1.2. Here, we prove, alternatively, that the proposition can be seen as a consequence of the theorem.

**Proof.** In general, the slopes of chessboards can be computed from the signs of the crossings in the diagram. In the alternating case, the

\[ e(F) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \langle \ell_i, \ell_i \rangle \]
computation is particularly nice. Namely:
\[
s(B) = 2 \cdot \left| \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \ 1 \ 2 \\ \hline \ 2 \ 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \right|_D \quad \text{and} \quad s(W) = -2 \cdot \left| \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \ 1 \ 2 \\ \hline \ 2 \ 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \right|_D,
\]
and likewise for \(B'\) and \(W'\). Since \(c(D) = c(D')\) and \(w(D) = w(D')\) by Theorem 1.2 of [3], we have:
\[
\frac{s(B) - s(W)}{2} = \left| \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \ 1 \ 2 \\ \hline \ 2 \ 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \right|_D + \left| \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \ 1 \ 2 \\ \hline \ 2 \ 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \right|_D = c(D)
\]
\[= c(D') = \left| \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \ 1 \ 2 \\ \hline \ 2 \ 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \right|_{D'} + \left| \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \ 1 \ 2 \\ \hline \ 2 \ 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \right|_{D'} = \frac{s(B') - s(W')}{2}
\]
and
\[
\frac{s(B) + s(W)}{2} = \left| \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \ 1 \ 2 \\ \hline \ 2 \ 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \right|_D - \left| \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \ 1 \ 2 \\ \hline \ 2 \ 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \right|_D = w(D)
\]
\[= w(D') = \left| \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \ 1 \ 2 \\ \hline \ 2 \ 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \right|_{D'} - \left| \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \ 1 \ 2 \\ \hline \ 2 \ 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \right|_{D'} = \frac{s(B') + s(W')}{2}.
\]
Combining (1) and (2) gives \(s(B) = s(B')\) and \(s(W) = s(W')\). \(\square\)

Greene uses Theorem 1.1 of [3] to give a geometric proof of part of Tait’s conjectures:

**Theorem 1.2 of [3].** Any two reduced alternating diagrams of the same link have the same crossing number and writhe.

**Remark 2.5.** This does not imply, a priori, that a reduced alternating diagram realizes the underlying link’s crossing number. All existing proofs of this fact [6, 10, 12, 15] use the Jones polynomial.

The following problem remains open:

**Problem 2.6.** Give an entirely geometric proof that any reduced alternating link diagram realizes the underlying link’s crossing number.

More generally, Corollary 3.4 of [13] states that any adequate link diagram realizes the crossing number of the underlying link.

**Problem 2.7.** Prove Corollary 3.4 of [13] geometrically.

There is a closely related open problem for tangles. Theorem 3.1 of [14] states that any reduced alternating tangle diagram realizes the underlying tangle’s crossing number. See [14] for the definitions, and for a generalized formulation of the theorem.

**Problem 2.8.** Give a geometric proof of Theorem 3.1 of [14].

2.2. Operations on definite surfaces. Definite surfaces behave well under boundary-connect sum:

**Proposition 2.9.** If \(F\) is a boundary-connect sum of positive-definite surfaces \(F_1\) and \(F_2\), then \(F\) is positive-definite.
Proof. Let $G_i$ be a Goeritz matrix for $F_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then each $G_i$ has $\beta_1(F_i)$ positive eigenvalues (counted with multiplicity). Further, 

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 & 0 \\ 0 & G_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a Goeritz matrix for $F$ with $\beta_1(F)$ positive eigenvalues. □

Next, consider subsurfaces of definite surfaces. Greene proves:

**Lemma 3.3 of [3]**. If $F$ is a definite surface and $F' \subset F$ is a compact subsurface with connected boundary, then $F'$ is definite.

Here is a related fact:

**Proposition 2.10**. If $F'$ is a compact subsurface of a definite surface $F$, and every component of $F \setminus F'$ intersects $\partial F$, then every component of $F'$ is definite.

Proof. Let $F'_0$ be a component of $F'$, let $j : F'_0 \to F$ denote inclusion, and let $g \in H_1(F'_0)$ be primitive with $j_*(g) = 0 \in H_1(F)$. Choose a simple closed curve $\gamma \subset F'_0$ representing $g$. Then $\gamma = \partial F''$ for some orientable $F'' \subset F$. The fact that $\gamma \subset F'_0$ implies that $F'' \subset F'$, or else $F''$ would intersect, and therefore contain, some component of $F \setminus F'$, implying contrary to assumption that $\partial F'' \neq \gamma$. Ergo, $j_*$ is injective, so $F'$ is definite. □

In particular, Proposition [2.10] immediately implies:

**Lemma 2.11**. If $F$ is a definite surface and $\alpha = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \alpha_i$ is a system of disjoint, properly embedded arcs in $F$, then every component of $F \setminus \nu \alpha$ is definite.

Note also:

**Proposition 2.12**. Let $F$ be a spanning surface for a prime, nonsplit link $L \subset S^3$ and $\alpha$ a properly embedded arc in $F$. If $\alpha$ is not $\partial$-parallel in $S^3 \setminus \nu L$, then the link $L' = \partial(F \setminus \nu \alpha)$ is nonsplit.

Proof. Assume for contradiction that $L'$ is split. Then there is a sphere $Q \subset S^3 \setminus L'$ which intersects $F$ along $\alpha$, and perhaps some simple closed curves. The fact that $L$ is prime implies, contrary to assumption, that $\alpha$ is $\partial$-parallel in $S^3 \setminus \nu L$. □

Next, consider the operation of adding (half) twists, illustrated in Figure [3]. It works like this. Let $F$ be a spanning surface for a link $L$, $\alpha \subset F$ a properly embedded arc which is not $\partial$-parallel in $F$, $m$ a nonzero integer. Let $A$ be an unknotted annulus or mobius band whose core circle has framing $m$, and let $\alpha'$ be a properly embedded arc in $A$ such that $A \setminus \nu \alpha'$ is a disk. Construct a boundary connect sum $F \natural A$ in such a way that $\alpha$ and $\alpha'$ are glued together to form an
Figure 3. To add \( m \) half twists to a spanning surface \( F \) (bottom left) along an arc \( \alpha \subset F \), take the band \( A \) with cocore \( \alpha' \) (top left) spanning the torus link \( T_{2,m} \), construct \( F^\natural A \) (center) so as to glue \( \alpha \) and \( \alpha' \) into an arc \( \alpha'' \), and cut \( F^\natural A \) along \( \alpha'' \).

Depending on the sign of \( m \), the surface \( F' = (F^\natural A) \setminus \overset{\circ}{\nu} \alpha'' \) is said to be obtained from \( F \) by adding (positive or negative) twists along \( \alpha \).

**Proposition 2.13.** If \( F' \) is obtained by adding positive twists to a positive-definite surface \( F \), then \( F' \) is positive-definite.\(^{15}\)

Indeed, if \( G \) is a positive-definite symmetric matrix and \( G' \) is obtained by increasing a diagonal entry of \( G \), then \( G' \) is also positive-definite. Alternatively, here is a geometric proof:

**Proof.** Let \( A \) be an unknotted annulus or mobius band with \( m \) half-twists for some \( m > 0 \). Then \( A \) is also positive-definite, as are \( F^\natural A \) and \( F' \), by Proposition 2.9 and Lemma 2.11. \( \square \)

2.3. **Essentiality.** A spanning surface \( F \) is (geometrically) *incompressible* if any simple closed curve in \( F \) that bounds a disk in \( S^3 \setminus \overset{\circ}{(F \cup \nu L)} \) also bounds a disk in \( F \).\(^{16}\) A spanning surface \( F \) is *\( \partial \)-incompressible* if any properly embedded arc in \( F \) that is \( \partial \)-parallel in \( S^3 \setminus \overset{\circ}{(F \cup \nu L)} \) is also \( \partial \)-parallel in \( F \). If \( F \) is incompressible and \( \partial \)-incompressible, then \( F \) is *essential*. This geometric notion of essentiality is weaker than the algebraic notion of \( \pi_1 \)-essentiality, which

\(^{15}\)Likewise for adding negative twists to a negative-definite surface.

\(^{16}\)Continuing Note 9, here is a general construction for \( X \setminus \overset{\circ}{Y} \). Let \( \{(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)\} \) be a maximal atlas for \( X \). About each \( x \in X \), choose a chart \( (U_x, \phi_x) \) such that \( U_x \) is a regular open neighborhood of \( x \) with closure \( \overline{U_x} \). Construct \( \overline{U_x} \setminus \overset{\circ}{Y} \) as in Note 9, denote the components of \( U_x \cap (\overline{U_x} \setminus \overset{\circ}{Y}) \) by \( U_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{I}_x \); denote each natural embedding \( f_\alpha : U_\alpha \to U_x \). Then \( X \setminus \overset{\circ}{Y} \) has the following atlas:

\[
\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_x} \{(U_\alpha, \phi_x \circ f_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_x}.
\]

Gluing all the maps \( f_\alpha \) yields a natural map \( f : X \setminus \overset{\circ}{Y} \to X \subset S^3 \).
holds \( F \) to be essential if \( F \) is not a moebius band spanning the unknot, and if inclusion \( F \hookrightarrow S^3 \setminus \nu L \) induces an injective map on fundamental groups.

Recall from Theorem 1.1 of [3] that a connected alternating diagram is reduced iff neither chessboard has a homology class with self-pairing \( \pm 1 \). An equivalent condition is that both chessboards are essential.

**Proposition 2.14.** If an essential surface \( F \) contains a properly embedded arc \( \beta \) which is parallel in \( S^3 \setminus (F \cup \nu L) \) to a properly embedded arc \( \alpha \subset \partial \nu L \setminus \partial F \), then \( \alpha \) is parallel in \( \partial \nu L \) to \( \partial F \).

**Proof.** Since \( F \) is essential and \( \beta \) is parallel in the link exterior to \( \alpha \subset \partial \nu L \setminus \partial F \), \( \beta \) is also parallel in \( F \) to an arc \( \alpha' \subset \partial F \). Thus, \( \alpha \) and \( \alpha' \) are both parallel in \( S^3 \setminus (F \cup \nu L) \) to \( \beta \), and so \( \alpha \) is parallel through a disk \( X \subset S^3 \setminus (F \cup \nu L) \) to \( \alpha' \subset \partial F \). Since \( L \) is nontrivial and nonsplit, \( X \) is parallel in \( S^3 \setminus (F \cup \nu L) \) to a disk \( X' \subset \partial \nu L \), through which \( \alpha \) is parallel to \( \alpha' \subset \partial F \). \( \square \)

### 2.4. Signs and slopes.

Let \( F \) and \( F' \) be spanning surfaces for a link \( L \subset S^3 \) with \( F \pitchfork F' \) and in particular \( \partial F \pitchfork \partial F' \) on \( \partial \nu L \). Orient \( L \) arbitrarily, and orient \( \partial F \) and \( \partial F' \) so that each is homologous in \( \nu L \) to \( F \). Then the algebraic intersection number \( i(\partial F', \partial F)_{\partial \nu L} \) equals the (net) slope difference \( s(F) - s(F') \) (see §2.1).

Independently from Greene, Howie characterized alternating knots and links as follows:

**Theorem 3.1 of [4].** Let \( L \) be a nontrivial knot in \( S^3 \) with exterior \( X \). Then \( L \) is alternating if and only if there exist a pair of connected spanning surfaces \( \Sigma_+, \Sigma_- \) in \( X \) which satisfy

\[
\chi(\Sigma_+) + \chi(\Sigma_-) + \frac{1}{2} i(\partial \Sigma_+, \partial \Sigma_-)_{\partial \nu L} = 2.
\]

**Theorem 3.2 of [4].** Let \( L = L_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup L_m \) be a nontrivial nonsplit link in \( S^3 \) with exterior \( X \) which has a marked meridian on each boundary component. Then \( L \) has an alternating projection onto \( S^2 \) if and only if there exist a pair of connected spanning surfaces \( \Sigma_+, \Sigma_- \) in \( X \) which satisfy [3] and

\[
i(\partial \Sigma_+, \partial \Sigma_-)_{\partial \nu L} = \sum_{j=1}^m i(\partial \Sigma_+, \partial \Sigma_-)_{\partial \nu L_j}.
\]

Howie shows that the surfaces \( \Sigma_\pm \) can always be isotoped to intersect only in arcs, and that when they do \( \Sigma_\pm \) can be seen as chessboards from an alternating diagram of \( L \). By combining Howie’s characterization with Greene’s, we have:
Lemma 2.15. If $F_+$ and $F_-$, respectively, are positive- and negative-definite spanning surfaces for the same nonsplit link $L \subset S^3$, then
\[ s(F_+) - s(F_-) = 2(\beta_1(F_+) + \beta_1(F_-)). \]
If $F_+$ and $F_-$ are essential, then $s(F_+) - s(F_-) = 2n$, where $n$ is the number of crossings in any reduced alternating diagram of $L$.

Proof. If neither $F_+$ nor $F_-$ has a homology class with self-pairing $\pm 1$, then any diagram with $F_+$ and $F_-$ as chessboards is reduced by Theorem 1.1 of [3]; thus, $s(F_+) - s(F_-) = 2n$. Also, in that case, $\beta_1(F_+) + \beta_1(F_-) = n$, so the result follows. This extends to the general case, in which $F_+$ has some number of positive crosscaps attached, and $F_-$ some negative ones, by noting that attaching a positive or negative crosscap increases $\beta_1$ by one and changes slope by $\pm 2$, respectively. $\square$

In the following way, one can compute the slope difference $s(F) - s(F')$ by counting, with signs, the arcs of $F \cap F'$. Given an arc $\alpha$ of $F \cap F'$, let $\nu \partial \alpha$ be a regular neighborhood of the endpoints $\partial \alpha$ in $\partial \nu L$. Then $\partial F \cap \nu \partial \alpha$ and $\partial F' \cap \nu \partial \alpha'$ each consist of two properly embedded arcs, one in each disk of $\nu \partial \alpha$. The two arcs in each disk intersect transversally in a single point, giving
\[ i(\partial F, \partial F', \nu \partial \alpha) \in \{0, \pm 2\}. \]
Partition the arcs of $F \cap F'$ as $A_2 \cup A_0 \cup A_{-2}$, such that each
\[ A_j = \{ \text{arcs } \alpha \text{ of } F \cap F' : i(\partial F, \partial F', \nu \partial \alpha) = j \}. \]
Then:
\[ s(F) - s(F') = 2|A_2| - 2|A_{-2}|. \]
Combining (4) with several earlier results gives:

Lemma 2.16. If $F$ and $W$ respectively are positive- and negative-definite surfaces spanning a prime nonsplit link $L \subset S^3$ and $\alpha$ is an arc of $F \cap W$ which is not $\partial$-parallel in the link exterior, then $i(\partial F, \partial W, \nu \partial \alpha) = 2$.

Proof. Assume for contradiction that $i(\partial F, \partial W, \nu \partial \alpha) \neq 2$.
First, consider the case $i(\partial F, \partial W, \nu \partial \alpha) = -2$. Let $F' = F \setminus \nu \alpha$, and let $W'$ be the surface obtained by adding one (negative) half-twist to $W$ along $\alpha$. Then $F'$ and $W'$ span the same link $L'$, with $\beta_1(F') = \beta_1(F) - 1$ and $\beta_1(W') = \beta_1(W)$. See Figure 4.

\[ \text{A similar argument uses Lemma 2.15 to show that any positive-definite surfaces } F \text{ and } F' \text{ spanning the same nonsplit alternating link } L \subset S^3 \text{ satisfy } s(F) - s(F') = 2(\beta_1(F) - \beta_1(F')) \text{, and any negative-definite surfaces } F \text{ and } F' \text{ spanning } L \text{ satisfy } s(F) - s(F') = 2(\beta_1(F') - \beta_1(F)). \]
Figure 4. A positive-definite surface $F$ cannot intersect a negative-definite surface $W$ along a non-$\partial$-parallel arc $\alpha$ with $i(\partial F, \partial W)_{\nu} = -2$.

Lemma 2.11 implies that $F'$ is positive-definite, and Proposition 2.13 implies that $W'$ is negative-definite. Hence, $L'$ is alternating, by Theorem 1.1 of [3]. Further, $L'$ is nonsplit by Proposition 2.12. Therefore, by Corollary 5.2 of [1], $F'$ and $W'$ are both connected. Thus, by Observation 2.1 and (4):

$$s(F') - s(W') = s(F) - s(W) = 2(\beta_1(F) + \beta_1(W)) + 2 > 2(\beta_1(F') + \beta_1(W')).$$

This contradicts Lemma 2.15.

Now, consider the case $i(\partial F, \partial W)_{\nu} = 0$. The argument here is identical to the first case, except that one constructs $W'$ by cutting out $\nu\alpha$, rather than adding twists, giving $\beta_1(F') = \beta_1(F) - 1$ and $\beta_1(W') = \beta_1(W) - 1$

$$s(F') - s(W') = s(F) - s(W) = 2(\beta_1(F) + \beta_1(W)) > 2(\beta_1(F') + \beta_1(W')).$$

Again, this contradicts Lemma 2.15. □

3. Generalized plumbing

Let $F$ be a spanning surface for a nonsplit link $L$ (not necessarily alternating). A plumbing cap for $F$ is a properly embedded disk $V \subset S^3 \setminus (F \cup \nu L)$ with the following properties:

- The natural map $f : S^3 \setminus (F \cup \nu L) \to S^3$ restricts to an embedding $f : V \to S^3$, and $f(\partial V)$ bounds a disk $\tilde{U} \subset F \cup \nu L$.
- Denoting the 3-balls of $S^3 \setminus (\tilde{U} \cup V)$ by $Y_1, Y_2$, neither subsurface $F_i = F \cap Y_i$ is a disk.
The disk $U = \hat{U} \cap F$ is the shadow of $V$. If the first property holds but the second fails, we call $V$ a fake plumbing cap for $F$; we still call $U$ the shadow of $V$.

The decomposition $F = F_1 \cup F_2$ is a plumbing decomposition or de-plumbing of $F$ along $U$ and $V$, denoted $F = F_1 * F_2$. See Figure 5. The reverse operation, in which one glues $F_1$ and $F_2$ along $U$ to produce $F$, is called generalized plumbing or Murasugi sum.

If $V$ is a plumbing cap for $F$ with shadow $U$, then one can construct another spanning surface $F' = (F \setminus U) \cup V$; we call the operation of changing $F$ to $F'$ re-plumbing $F$ along $U$ and $V$. Call the analogous operation along a fake plumbing cap a fake re-plumbing. This is an isotopy move. Two spanning surfaces are plumb-related if there is a sequence of re-plumbing and isotopy moves taking one surface to the other.

Proposition 3.1. If $V$ is a plumbing cap or fake plumbing cap for a spanning surface $F$, and $U$ is the shadow of $V$, then $F$ is plumb-related to $(F \setminus U) \cup V$.

Proof. If $V$ is a fake plumbing cap, then $F$ is isotopic to $(F \setminus U) \cup V$. Otherwise, a re-plumbing move takes $F$ to $(F \setminus U) \cup V$. □

Definition 3.2. A plumbing cap $V$ is acceptable if both:

- No arc of $\partial V \cap \partial \nu L$ is parallel in $\partial \nu L$ to $\partial F$.
- No arc of $\partial V \cap F$ is parallel in $F \setminus U$ to $\partial F$.

Any, possibly fake, plumbing cap $V$ for $F$ can be adjusted near any arcs described in Definition 3.2 so as to remove those arcs one at a time. Doing so for a plumbing cap eventually yields an acceptable plumbing cap $V'$; in the fake case, an $F$-parallel disk. Ergo:

Observation 3.3. No fake plumbing cap satisfies both properties from Definition 3.2.

That is, there is no such thing as an acceptable fake plumbing cap. With $V'$ as above:

Observation 3.4. The surfaces obtained by re-plumbing $F$ along $V$ and $V'$ are isotopic.
A geometric proof of the flyping theorem

**Figure 6.** Negative (left) and positive (right) components of $\partial V \cap L$. Colors: $V$, $U$, $L$, $F \setminus U$, $\partial v L$, $\partial V \cap \partial v L$, $\partial V \cap F = \partial U \setminus \partial v L$, $\partial U \cap \partial v L$.

**Figure 7.** Any arc in the boundary of a plumbing cap $V$ joins arcs of $V \cap \partial v L$ with opposite signs, in this case $-1$ on the left and $+1$ on the right.

The first bulleted property in Definition 3.2 implies that if $V$ is an acceptable plumbing cap with shadow $U$ then $(\partial U \cup \partial V) \cap \partial v L$ is a system of circles on $\partial v L$, each isotopic to a meridian. Consider one such circle $\alpha \cup \beta$, where $\alpha$ is an arc of $\partial V \cap \partial v L$ and $\beta$ an arc of $\partial U \cap \partial v L$. Since $\beta \subset \partial F$ is transverse to each meridian on $\partial v L$, we may assign opposite local orientations to $\beta$ and $\pi_L(\beta) \subset L$. Extend these local orientations to global orientations on $L$.

**Convention 3.5.** With the setup above, $\operatorname{sign}(\alpha) = \operatorname{lk}(L, \alpha \cup \beta)$; $\alpha$ is called **positive** if $\operatorname{sign}(\alpha) = +1$ and **negative** if $\operatorname{sign}(\alpha) = -1$.

**Proposition 3.6.** For any acceptable plumbing cap $V$, the arcs of $\partial V \cap \partial v L$ alternate in sign around $\partial V$.

**Proof.** Consider any arc $c$ of $\partial V \cap F$. Orient $c$ so that $U \cap v c$ lies to the left of $c$ when viewed from $V \cap v c$. Then the initial point of $c$ has sign $+1$ and the terminal point has sign $-1$. See Figure 7. \qed

A plumbing cap $V$ is **reducible** if there is a properly embedded disk $X \subset S^3 \setminus (v L \cup F \cup V)$ such that $\partial X = \alpha \cup \beta$ for arcs $\alpha \subset U$, $\beta \subset V$, and both disks $V_1$, $V_2$ obtained by surgering $V$ along $X$ are (non-fake) plumbing caps for $F$; $X$ is a **reducing disk**. If no such $X$ exists, then $V$ is **irreducible**. See Figure 8.
Figure 8. A reducing disk for a reducible plumbing cap; the arc $\rho$ in the proof of Lemma 3.7 and the neighborhood $Y$ in the proof of Lemma 3.9.

Lemma 3.7. If $V$ is an acceptable, irreducible plumbing cap for $F$ with shadow $U$, and if $\varepsilon$ is a properly embedded arc in $U$ with neither endpoint on $\partial \nu L$ which is parallel in $S^3 \setminus (F \cup \nu L \cup V)$ to an arc $\varepsilon' \subset V$, then $\varepsilon$ is parallel in $U$ to $\partial V$.

Proof. Let $W$ be a properly embedded disk in $S^3 \setminus (F \cup \nu L \cup V)$ with $\partial W = \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon'$. Surger $V$ along $W$ to obtain two disks $V_1, V_2$; since $V$ is irreducible, we may assume wlog that $V_1$ is a fake plumbing cap. Denote the shadow of each $V_i$ by $U_i$.

If $\partial V_1 \subset F$, then $\varepsilon$ is parallel through $U_1$ to $\partial F$. Assume otherwise. Then, because $V_1$ is fake, Observation 3.3 implies that either some arc of $\partial V_1 \cap \nu L$ is parallel in $\partial \nu L$ to $\partial F$, or some arc $\rho$ of $\partial V_1 \cap F$ is parallel in $F \setminus U_1$ to $\partial F$. Yet, $V$ is acceptable, and $\partial V$ contains all arcs of $\partial V_1 \cap \nu L$ and all but one arc of $\partial V_1 \cap F$. Therefore, the one arc $\rho$ of $\partial V_1 \cap F$ which $\partial V$ does not contain must cut off a disk from $F \setminus U$. That disk, however, must contain $U_2$, implying that $V_2$ is also a fake plumbing cap. This implies, contrary to assumption, that $V$ was a fake plumbing cap as well. \hfill $\Box$

Proposition 3.8. If $X$ is a reducing disk for an acceptable plumbing cap $V$, then the disks $V_1, V_2$ obtained by surgering $V$ along $X$ both satisfy $|V_i \cap F| < |V \cap F|$\footnote{Here and throughout, $|\cdot|$ counts the connected components of $\cdot$.} Moreover, the surface obtained by re-plumbing along $V$ is isotopic to the surface obtained by re-plumbing along $V_1$ and then along $V_2$.

Proof. Let $\partial X = \alpha \cup \beta$, where $\alpha \subset U$ and $\beta \subset V$. If necessary, perturb $X$ so that neither point of $\partial \alpha = \partial \beta$ lies on $\partial F$. Then $|V_1 \cap F| + |V_2 \cap F| = |V \cap F|$. Moreover, both $|V_i \cap F| > 0$, since $\alpha$ is not parallel in $U$ to $\partial F$. This confirms the first statement.

Next, let $U_i$ denote the shadow of $V_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, and let $Y$ be a bicollared neighborhood of $X$ in $S^3 \setminus (F \cup \nu L \cup V)$, so that $\partial Y \cap F = \partial Y \cap F + |V \cap Y| = |V \cap F|$. Moreover, both $|V_i \cap F| > 0$, since $\alpha$ is not parallel in $U$ to $\partial F$. This confirms the first statement.
Figure 9. A link near a crossing ball (center) with $H_-$ (left) and $H_+$ (right).

$\nu \alpha$ is a regular neighborhood in $U$ of $\alpha$ and $\partial Y \cap V = \nu \beta$ is a regular neighborhood in $V$ of $\beta$. Note that $\partial Y \setminus \nu (\alpha \cup \beta)$ consists of two disks, $X_1 \subset V_1$ and $X_2 \subset V_2$. See Figure 8.

Re-plumb $F$ along $V_1$ and then $V_2$ by replacing $U_1$ with $V_1$ and then $U_2$ with $V_2$; next, push the disk $X_1 \cup \nu \alpha \cup X_2$ through $Y$ to $\nu \beta$. These two moves together replace $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \nu \alpha = U$ with $((V_1 \cup V_2) \setminus (X_1 \cup X_2)) \cup \nu \beta = V$, the same as re-plumbing $F$ along $V$. □

Together, Observation 3.4 and Proposition 3.8 immediately imply:

Lemma 3.9. If $F$ and $F'$ are plumb-related, then there is a sequence of re-plumbing and isotopy moves taking $F$ to $F'$, in which each re-plumbing move is along an acceptable, irreducible plumbing cap.

4. CROSSING BALLS AND PLUMBING CAPS

This section uses the crossing ball structures introduced in [7] to study plumbing caps for chessboards from alternating link diagrams.

4.1. Crossing ball setup. Let $D$ be a reduced diagram (not necessarily alternating) of a prime non-split link $L$ with crossings $c_1, \ldots, c_n$, and let $\nu S^2$ be a neighborhood of $S^2$ with projection $\pi : \nu S^2 \to S^2$. Insert disjoint closed crossing balls $C_t$ into $\partial \nu S^2$, each centered at the respective crossing point $c_t$. Denote $C = \bigsqcup_{t=1}^n C_t$. Perturb each $C_t$ near its equator $\partial C_t \cap S^2$ so that, for the balls $Y_+, Y_-$ comprising $S^3 \setminus ((S^2 \cup C)$, each $\pi|_{\partial Y_\pm}$ is a diffeomorphism.

Construct a (smooth) embedding of $L$ in $(S^2 \setminus \text{int}(C)) \cup \partial C$ by perturbing the arcs of $D \cap C$ following the over-under information at the crossings, while fixing $D \cap S^2 \setminus \text{int}(C)$. Call the arcs of $L \cap S^2$ edges, and those of $L \cap \partial C \cap \partial Y_\pm$ overpasses and underpasses, respectively. Near each crossing, this looks like Figure 9 center.

Let $\nu L$ be a closed regular neighborhood of $L$ in $\nu S^2$ with projection map $\pi_L : \nu L \to L$. For each edge $e \subset L$, call the cylinder $E = \pi_L^{-1}(e) \cap \partial \nu L$ an edge of $\partial \nu L$; call the rectangles $E \cap Y_\pm$ the top and bottom of the edge, respectively. For each over/underpass $e_\pm$ of $L$, call $E_\pm = \pi_L^{-1}(e_\pm) \cap \partial \nu L$ an over/underpass of $\partial \nu L$. Call $E_+ \cap Y_+$

---

19The assumption that $L$ is prime implies that $L$ is nontrivial.
and \( E_+ \setminus \ Y_+ \) the top and bottom of the overpass, respectively, and call \( E_- \cap \ Y_- \) and \( E_- \setminus \ Y_- \) the bottom and top of the underpass, respectively. Assume that the meridia comprising \( \pi_L^{-1}(L \cap \partial C \cap S_+ \cap S_-) \) also comprise \( \partial \nu L \cap \pi_L^{-1}(\partial C \cap S_+ \cap S_-) \). Then these meridia cut \( \partial \nu L \) into its edges, overpasses, and underpasses.

Denote the two balls of \( S^3 \setminus (S^2 \cup C \cup \nu L) \) by \( H_+ \), so that each \( H_+ = Y_+ \setminus \nu L \). Also denote \( \partial H_\pm = S_\pm \). See Figure 9.

For each crossing \( c_t \), denote the vertical arc \( \pi_L^{-1}(c_t) \cap C \setminus \nu L \) by \( v_t \), and denote \( v = \bigcup_t v_t \). For each \( t \), \( \partial C_t \cap S^2 \setminus \nu L \) consists of four arcs on the equator of \( \partial C_t \). Two of these arcs lie in black regions of \( S^2 \setminus D \), two in white. For the two arcs \( \alpha, \beta \) in black regions, a core circle in \( \alpha \cup \beta \cup (\partial \nu L \cap C_t) \) bounds a disk \( B_t \subset C_t \) such that \( \pi(B_t) \) is disjoint from the white regions of \( S^2 \setminus D \) and intersects \( D \) only at \( c_t \).

This disk \( B_t \) contains the vertical arc \( v_t \) and is called the standard positive crossing band at \( C_t \). The arcs in the white regions likewise give rise to a standard negative crossing band \( W_t \) in \( C_t \); note that \( B_t \cap W_t = v_t \). Any properly embedded disk in \( C_t \setminus \nu L \) which contains \( v_t \) and is isotopic in \( C_t \setminus \nu L \) to \( B_t \) (resp. \( W_t \)) is called a positive (resp. negative) crossing band. See Figure 2.

Denote the union of the black (resp. white) regions of \( S^2 \setminus D \) by \( \hat{B} \) (resp. \( \hat{W} \)). Then

\[
B = \left( \hat{B} \setminus \text{int}(C \cup \nu L) \right) \cup \bigcup_t B_t
\]

and

\[
W = \left( \hat{W} \setminus \text{int}(C \cup \nu L) \right) \cup \bigcup_t W_t
\]

are the black and white chessboards from \( D \).

4.2. Plumbing cap setup. Keeping the setup from §4.1, assume that \( D \) is alternating and \( V \) is an acceptable plumbing cap for \( B \).

**Definition 4.1.** \( V \) is in standard position if:

- \( V \) is transverse in \( S^3 \) to \( B, W, \partial C, v \), and \( \partial V \cap \nu L \) is transverse in \( \partial L \) to each meridian on \( \partial L \);

\[ S_+ \cap S_- = S^2 \setminus \text{int}(C \cup \nu L) = (B \cup W) \setminus \text{int}(C), \]

and

\[ S_+ \cup S_- = (S^2 \setminus \text{int}(C \cup \nu L)) \cup (\partial C \setminus \nu L) \cup (\partial L \setminus \text{int}(C)). \]
Figure 10. Each arc of $\partial V \cap \partial \nu L$ disjoint from $W$ is negative and traverses exactly one over/underpass.

- no arc of $\partial V \cap B \setminus \partial C$ is parallel in $B$ to $\partial C$;
- $\partial V \cap \partial \nu L \cap C = \emptyset$ and
- $W$ intersects $V$ only in arcs, hence cuts $V$ into disks.

Let us check that it is possible to position $V$ in this way. The first three conditions are straightforward. Achieve the fourth condition by removing any simple closed curves ("circles") of $V \cap W$ one at a time through the following procedure.

Choose a circle $\gamma$ of $V \cap W$ which bounds a disk $V'$ of $V \setminus W$. Since $W$ is incompressible, $\gamma$ also bounds a disk $W' \subset W$. Choose a circle $\gamma' \subset W' \cap V$ which bounds a disk $W'' \subset W' \setminus V$. (If $\text{int}(W') \cap V = \emptyset$, then $\gamma' = \gamma$.) The circle $\gamma'$ also bounds a disk $V'' \subset V$. The sphere $V'' \cup W''$ bounds a ball $Y$ in the link exterior, since $L$ is nonsplit. Push $V''$ through $Y$ past $W''$, removing $\gamma'$ from $V \cap W$. Repeat this process until $W$ intersects $V$ only in arcs, and therefore cuts $V$ into disks.

**Observation 4.2.** If $V$ is in standard position, then all components of $V \cap H_\pm$ are disks, and all components of $H_\pm \setminus \setminus V$ are balls.

**Observation 4.3.** If $V$ is in standard position, then each arc of $\partial V \cap \partial \nu L$ which is disjoint from $W$ is a negative arc that traverses exactly one overpass or underpass (along the top or bottom, respectively).

This follows from Convention 3.5 and the fact that $D$ is alternating; see Figure 10. Observation 4.3 implies, in particular:

**Observation 4.4.** Any positive arc of $\partial V \cap \partial \nu L$ lies entirely on a single edge of $\partial \nu L$ and contains an endpoint of an arc of $V \cap W$.

**Proposition 4.5.** $V \cap W \neq \emptyset$, and each arc $\alpha$ of $\partial V \setminus W$ intersects at most two disks of $B \setminus W$. 

\(^{21}\)That is, $\partial V$ is disjoint from the top of each overpass and bottom of each underpass of $\partial \nu L$. Hence, for any overpass that $\partial V$ intersects, $\partial V$ traverses the entire top of the overpass; likewise for underpasses of $\partial \nu L$. 


Figure 11. The possible configurations for $V$ near an innermost circle of $V \cap \hat{S}^+.$

Proof. Observation 4.4 and Proposition 3.6 imply that $V \cap W \neq \emptyset.$ Consider an arc $\alpha$ of $\partial V \setminus W.$ Proposition 3.6 and Observation 4.4 imply that $\alpha$ intersects at most one negative arc of $\partial V \cap \partial \nu L.$ Also, each arc of $\alpha \setminus \partial \nu L$ lies in a single disk of $B \setminus W.$ The result now follows from Observations 4.3 and 4.4.

Next, consider an outermost disk $V_0 \subset V \setminus W.$ Its boundary consists of an arc $\alpha \subset \partial V \setminus W$ and an arc $\beta \subset V \cap W.$ Let $\nu \alpha$ be a regular neighborhood of $\alpha$ in $\partial V$ with $\nu \alpha \cap \partial B \subset \alpha.$

Lemma 4.6. If such $V_0 \subset \hat{H}^+,$ then $V_0$ appears as in Figure 11. In particular, the endpoints of $\nu \alpha$ lie in opposite disks of $\hat{S}^+ \setminus \partial V_0.$

Proof. Let $\partial V_0 = \alpha \cup \beta$ as above. Since $V$ is in standard position, the endpoints of $\alpha$ cannot lie on the same vertical arc or edge of $\partial \nu L.$ This and the fact that $D$ is prime and reduced imply that $\alpha$ must traverse an overpass. Hence, by Proposition 4.5, $\alpha$ intersects exactly two disks of $B \setminus W,$ and its configuration depends only on its endpoints, each of which lies either on $v$ or on a positive arc of $\partial V \cap \partial \nu L.$ Figure 11 shows the three possibilities.

With $V_0$ as in Lemma 4.6:

Observation 4.7. There is exactly one arc $\delta$ of $\partial V \setminus W$ whose endpoints lie in opposite disks of $\hat{S}^+ \setminus \partial V_0.$ This arc $\delta$ traverses the underpass at the same crossing where $\partial V_0$ traverses the overpass.

4.3. Plumb-equivalence and flype-equivalence. Maintain the setup from §§4.1, 4.2. In particular, $D$ is a reduced alternating diagram of a prime nonsplit link $L;$ $B$ and $W$ are the chessboards from $D;$ and a plumbing cap $V$ for $B$ is in standard form.

Say that $V$ is apparent in $D$ if $|V \cap W| = 1.$ Lemma 4.6 implies that any apparent plumbing cap for $B$ appears as in Figure 12, left.

Proposition 4.8. If $V$ is apparent, then $V$ is irreducible.

Proof. If $V$ were reducible, then it would be possible to surger $V$ to give two plumbing caps $V_1, V_2$ with both $|\partial V_i \cap \partial \nu L| < |\partial V \cap \partial \nu L| = 4.$
Since both $|\partial V_1 \cap \partial \nu L|$ must be even, they must both equal two. But then $V_1, V_2$ would each decompose $F$ as a boundary connect sum. This is impossible because $F$ is essential and $L$ is prime. □

**Theorem 4.9.** If $V$ is an acceptable, irreducible plumbing cap for $B$ in standard position, then $V$ is apparent in $D$.

**Proof.** Proposition 4.5 implies that $V \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Assume for contradiction that $|V \cap W| > 1$. Then there is a disk $V_1 \subset V$ whose boundary consists of two arcs, $\alpha \subset \partial V$ and $\beta \subset V \cap W$, and whose interior intersects $W$ in a nonempty collection of arcs $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k$, such that each $\beta_i$ is parallel through a disk $X_i \subset V_1$ to an arc $\alpha_i \subset \alpha$.

Assume wlog that the disks $X_i$ all lie in $\hat{H}_-$. Denote $\gamma = \alpha \cup \beta = \partial V_1$, and for each $i = 1, \ldots, k$, denote the circle $\alpha_i \cup \beta_i = \partial X_i$ by $\gamma_i$. Then $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k$ are disjoint simple closed curves in $\hat{S}_+$. Relabel if necessary so that $\gamma_1$ bounds a disk $Y \subset \hat{S}_+$ which is disjoint from $\beta \cup \gamma_2 \cup \cdots \cup \gamma_k$.

Let $\delta, \delta'$ be the arcs of $\partial V \setminus W$ that each share an endpoint with $\alpha_1$. The other endpoints of $\delta, \delta'$ must both lie in the disk $\hat{S}_+ \setminus \gamma_1$. Lemma 4.6 implies wlog that the endpoints of $\delta$ lie in opposite disks of $\hat{S}_+ \setminus \partial \gamma_1$. Hence, Observation 4.7 implies that $\delta$ traverses the underpass at the same crossing where $\gamma_1$ traverses the overpass. Moreover, $\partial V$ is disjoint from the vertical arc at this crossing, or else an arc of $\partial V \setminus W$ would have both endpoints on that vertical arc.

Therefore, there is a properly embedded arc $\varepsilon$ in the shadow $U$ of $V$ which is disjoint from $W$ and has one endpoint on $\delta \cap \text{int}(B)$ and the other on $\delta' \cap \text{int}(B)$, as in Figure 12 right. Further, since $\delta$ and $\delta'$ both lie on the boundary of the disk $V_1 \setminus (X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_k) \subset V \setminus W$,

22This is possible because at least two circles among $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k$ bound disks of $\hat{S}_+ \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k \gamma_i$, and most one of these disks intersects $\beta$.

23This is because these endpoints lie on $\beta \cup \gamma_2 \cup \cdots \cup \gamma_k \subset \hat{S}_+ \setminus Y$. 

**Figure 12.** Every plumbing cap for $W$ is either isotopically apparent (left) or reducible (right).
that disk contains an arc $\varepsilon'$ with the same endpoints as $\varepsilon$. The arcs $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon'$ lie on the boundary of the same ball of $\widehat{H}_-$. Thus, $\varepsilon$ is parallel in $S^3 \setminus \{(F \cup \nu L) \cup V\}$ to the arc $\varepsilon' \subset V$, and so Lemma 3.7 implies that $\varepsilon$ is parallel in $U$ to one of the two arcs of $\partial V \setminus \partial \varepsilon$.

The arc of $\partial V \setminus \partial \varepsilon$ which contains $\alpha_1$ is not a subset of $\partial U$, and so $\varepsilon$ must be parallel in $U$ to the other arc of $\partial V \setminus \partial \varepsilon$. But then that arc is disjoint from $W$ and so $|V \cap W| = 1$, contrary to assumption. □

Given an apparent plumbing cap $V$ for $B$ (or $W$), there is a corresponding flype move, as shown in Figure 13. Namely, the flype move proceeds along a circle $\gamma \subset S^2$ comprised of the arc $V \cap W$ together with an arc in $U \cup \nu L$. (The resulting link diagram might be equivalent to $D$.) Conversely, if $D \to D'$ is a flype move along a curve $\gamma \subset S^2$, then there is an apparent plumbing cap $V$ for $W$ or $B$ with $V \cap S^2 \supset \gamma \setminus \nu L$.

**Proposition 4.10.** Suppose that $V$ is an apparent plumbing cap for $B$, $D \to D'$ is the flype move corresponding to $V$, $B'$ and $W'$ are the chessboards from $D'$, and $B''$ is the surface obtained by re-plumbing $B$ along $V$. Then $B'$ and $B''$ are isotopic, as are $W'$ and $W''$.  

**Proof.** Figure 13 demonstrates the isotopies. □

**Theorem 4.11.** Let $D$ and $D'$ be reduced alternating diagrams of a prime, nonsplit link $L$ with respective chessboards $B, W$ and $B', W'$. Then $D$ and $D'$ are flype-related if and only if $B$ and $B'$ are plumb-related as are $W$ and $W'$.

**Proof.** If $D$ and $D'$ are flype-related, then $B$ and $B'$ are plumb-related as are $W$ and $W'$, by Proposition 4.10.

Assume conversely that $B$ and $B'$ are plum-related, as are $W$ and $W'$. Then, by Lemma 3.9, there are two sequences of re-plumbing moves $B = B_0 \to \cdots \to B_m = B'$ and $W = W_0 \to \cdots \to W_n = W'$, such that each re-plumbing move $B_i \to B_{i+1}$ (resp. $W_i \to W_{i+1}$) follows an acceptable, irreducible plumbing cap $V_i$ (resp. $V_i'$).

Let $D_0 = D$. Put the plumbing cap $V_0$ in standard position with respect to $D$. Theorem 4.9 implies that $V_0$ is now apparent. Let

---

24 An analogous statement holds for plumbing caps for $W$. 
$D_0 \to D_1$ be flype move corresponding to $V_0$. By Proposition 4.10, the negative-definite chessboard from $D_1$ is isotopic to $W$, and the positive-definite chessboard from $D_1$ is isotopic to $B_1$.

Repeat in this manner. Ultimately, this gives a sequence of flypes from $D = D_0 = D_1 = \cdots = D_m$ in the same manner, but now with the flypes coming from the (apparent) acceptable, irreducible plumbing caps $V_i'$ for $W_i$.

Corollary 4.12. If $F$ is an essential positive-definite surface spanning an alternating link, and $F'$ is plumb-related to $F$, then $F'$ is also essential and positive-definite.

5. Spanning surfaces in the crossing ball setting

Adopt the setup from 4.1 of $D, B, W, C, \nu L, H_{\pm}, S_{\pm}$, etc. Now, also let $F$ be an incompressible spanning surface for a prime nonsplit link $L$. Keep this setup throughout §5.

The opening of each subsection of §5 will declare any additional hypotheses for that subsection. Starting in §5.4, these hypotheses will become increasingly specific regarding $F$ and $L$. Namely:

- Starting in §5.4 $F$ is essential.
- Starting in §5.5 $L$ and $D$ are alternating, hence $B$ is positive-definite, and $W$ is negative-definite.
- Starting in §5.6 $F$ is definite.

5.1. Fair position for $F$.

Definition 5.1. $F$ is in fair position if:

- $F$ is transverse in $S^3$ to $B, W, \partial C, \nu$;
- $\partial F$ is transverse in $\partial \nu L$ to each meridian on $\partial \nu L$;
- whenever $C_t \cap \partial F \neq \emptyset$, $C_t$ contains a crossing band in $F$;
- each crossing band in $F$ is disjoint from $S_+; and$ $S_+ \cup S_-$ cuts $F$ into disks.

(Later, we will define a slightly more restrictive good position for $F$.) Let us check that it is possible to position $F$ in this way. The first four conditions are straightforward. (The fourth condition implies that every crossing band in $F$ appears as in Figure 14.) One way to achieve the fifth condition is by minimizing $|F \setminus (S_+ \cup S_-)|$, subject to the first four conditions. \[25\] Suppose that $|F \setminus (S_+ \cup S_-)|$ is minimized, and assume for contradiction that some component $X$ of $F \setminus (S_+ \cup S_-)$ is not a disk. Choose a component of $\partial X$ and push it into $\text{int}(X)$; the resulting circle $\gamma$ is 0-framed in $F$ and does not bound a disk in $X$. Since $\gamma$ is 0-framed, it bounds a disk in $S^3 \setminus (S_+ \cup S_- \cup \nu L)$. Among all such disks, choose one, $Z$, which intersects $F$ transversally (hence in
Proposition 5.2. If $F$ is in fair position, then all components of $C \setminus F$ and $H_{\pm} \setminus F$ are balls, and all components of $F \cap S_{\pm} \cap S_{\pm}$, $F \cap \partial C \cap S_{\pm}$, and $F \cap \partial \nu L \cap S_{\pm}$ are arcs.

Proof. By assumption, $F$ intersects each crossing ball in disks, hence it cuts these crossing balls into balls. Likewise for $H_{\pm}$.

All components of $\partial C \cap S_{\pm}$, $\partial C \cap S_{-}$, and $S_{+} \cap S_{-}$ are disks. If $F$ intersected one of these disks in a circle, $\gamma$, then, since all components of $F \setminus (S_{+} \cup S_{-} \cup \nu L)$ are disks, $\gamma$ would bound disks of $F$ in both components of $F \setminus (S_{+} \cup S_{-} \cup \nu L)$ whose boundaries contain $\gamma$. This contradicts the assumption that $F$ is connected.

The assumption that $D$ is nontrivial and nonsplit implies that each component of $F \cap \partial \nu L \cap S_{\pm}$ is an arc. $\square$

5.2. Bigon Moves.

Definition 5.3. Let $F$ be in fair position and $\alpha \subset S_{\pm}$ an arc that:

- intersects $F$ precisely on its endpoints, which lie on the same circle $\gamma$ of $F \cap S_{\pm}$, but not on the same arc of $F \cap S_{+} \cap S_{-}$;
- is disjoint from over/underpasses; and
- intersects $S_{+} \cap S_{-}$ in exactly one component.

Then $\alpha$ is parallel through a properly embedded (“bigon”) disk $Z \subset H_{\pm} \setminus F$ to an arc $\beta \subset F \cap H_{\pm}$, and one can push $F \cap \nu \beta$ through $\nu Z$ past $\alpha$, as in Figures 15 and 16. This is called a bigon move.

Proposition 5.4. Given an arc $\alpha \subset S_{\pm}$ as in Definition 5.3, the endpoints of $\alpha$ do not lie on the same circle of $F \cap S_{\pm}$.
A GEOMETRIC PROOF OF THE FLYPING THEOREM

Figure 15. The three types of bigon moves; the middle one also appears in Figure 16.

Figure 16. One of three types of bigon moves.

Proof. If either endpoint of \( \alpha \) is on \( \partial \nu L \), instead of in \( S_+ \cap S_- \), there is nothing to prove. Assume that \( \alpha \subset S_+ \cap S_- \), and assume for contradiction that \( \gamma_\pm \), respectively, are circles of \( F \cap S_\pm \), both of which contain both endpoints of \( \alpha \). Let \( X_\pm \), respectively, denote the disks of \( F \cap H_\pm \) with \( \partial X_\pm = \gamma_\pm \). Choose arcs \( \beta_\pm \subset X_\pm \) with the same endpoints as \( \alpha \), and let \( \delta_\pm = \alpha \cup \beta_\pm \).

The circles \( \delta_\pm \) bound properly embedded disks \( Z_\pm \subset H_\pm \setminus F \). Gluing \( Z_+ \) and \( Z_- \) along \( \alpha \) produces a disk \( Z \) with \( Z \cap F = \partial Z = \beta_+ \cup \beta_- \). Since \( F \) is incompressible, \( \beta_+ \cup \beta_- \) must bound a disk \( X \subset F \). The boundary of this disk \( X \) is the curve \( \beta_+ \cup \beta_- \), which intersects \( S_+ \cap S_- \) only in two points. Therefore, \( X \) intersects \( S_+ \cap S_- \) in a single arc, and this arc has the same endpoints as \( \alpha \). Contradiction. \( \square \)

Lemma 5.5. Performing a bigon move on a surface in fair position produces a surface in fair position.

Proof. Consider a bigon move along an arc \( \alpha \). Assume wlog that the endpoints of \( \alpha \) lie on the same circle \( \gamma_+ \) of \( F \cap S_+ \). Then, by Proposition 5.4, the endpoints of \( \alpha \) lie on distinct circles \( \gamma_- \) of \( F \cap S_- \). Let \( X_+ \) be the disk of \( F \cap H_+ \) bounded by \( \gamma_+ \), and let \( X_- \) be the disks of \( F \cap H_- \) bounded by \( \gamma_- \), respectively.

Since \( X_- \neq X_- ' \), the bigon move along \( \alpha \) must involve pushing an arc \( \beta_+ \subset X_+ \) past \( \alpha \). This has the effect of splitting \( X_+ \) into two distinct disks of \( F \cap H_+ \), while merging \( X_- \) and \( X_- ' \) into a single disk of \( F \cap H_- \). The rest of \( F \) is unaffected. Thus, this move preserves the fact that \( S_+ \cup S_- \) cuts \( F \) into disks. Hence, it produces a surface in fair position. \( \square \)

5.3. Good position for \( F \).

Definition 5.6. \( F \) is in good position if:
Proposition 5.7. If $F$ is in good position, then each component of $F \cap C$ is either a crossing band or a saddle disk as in Figures 14, 19.

Proof. Consider a crossing ball $C_t$ where $F$ does not have a crossing band. Each component $\gamma$ of $F \cap C_t$ is a circle because $F$ does not have a crossing band at $C_t$, and so, by assumption, $\partial F \cap \partial C_t = \emptyset$. Also, by assumption, each such circle $\gamma$ bounds a disk of $F \cap C_t$.

Moreover, Proposition 5.2 implies that $S^2$ cuts each such $\gamma$ into arcs; by assumption, the endpoints of each arc of $\gamma \setminus \setminus S^2$ are on

- $F$ is in fair position,
- no arc of $\partial F \cap \partial \nu L \cap S_\pm$ has both endpoints in the same arc of $S_+ \cap S_- \cap \partial \nu L$, and
- no arc of $F \cap \partial C \cap S_\pm$ has both endpoints on the same arc of $\partial C \cap S_+ \cap S_-$. 

Given a surface $F$ in fair position, it is possible to use the moves in Figures 17 and 18 to remove any arcs described in Definition 5.6 all while preserving the conditions of fair position. That is, any surface in fair position admits local adjustments that put it in good position.

**Figure 17.** Removing arcs of $\partial F \cap \partial \nu L \cap S_\pm$ with both endpoints on the same arc of $S_+ \cap S_- \cap \partial \nu L$.

**Figure 18.** Removing arcs of $F \cap \partial C \cap S_\pm$ with both endpoints on the same arc of $\partial C \cap S_+ \cap S_-$. 

Figure 19. When $F$ is in good position, $F \cap C$ is comprised of crossing bands and saddle disks.

Figure 20. Left: If an arc $\beta$ of $\partial F \cap S_{-}$ is disjoint from underpasses, then its endpoints lie on distinct circles of $F \cap S_{+}$. Right: In particular, no arc of $F \cap S_{+} \cap S_{-}$ is parallel in $S_{+} \cap S_{-}$ to $\partial \nu L$.

5.4. Essential spanning surfaces. Assume in §5.4 that $F$ is an essential surface in good position. Define the complexity of $F$ to be

$$||F|| = |v \setminus F|.$$  

Since $F$ is in good position, Proposition 5.7 implies that any disk $R$ of $S_{+} \cap S_{-}$ and any crossing ball $C_{t}$ incident to $R$ satisfy:

$$|v_{t} \setminus |F| = \begin{cases} 0 & F \text{ has a crossing band at } C_{t} \\ |\partial R \cap \partial C_{t} \cap F| & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 5.8. For each arc $\alpha$ of $\partial F \cap \partial \nu L \cap S_{\pm}$ that traverses no overpasses, the endpoints of $\alpha$ lie on distinct circles of $F \cap S_{\mp}$.

Proof. Assume for contradiction that the endpoints of such an arc $\alpha$ lie on the same circle $\gamma$ of $F \cap S_{\mp}$, and let $X$ be the disk of $F \cap H_{\mp}$ bounded by $\gamma$. Then $X$ contains a properly embedded arc $\beta$ with the same endpoints as $\alpha$. The fact that $\alpha$ traverses no overpasses implies that $\beta$ is parallel in $S^{3} \setminus \nu L$ to an arc $\beta'$ on $\partial \nu L \cap S_{\mp}$. Since
Figure 21. No arc of $\partial F \cap S_+ \cap S_-$ has both endpoints on the same crossing ball.

$F$ is in good position, the shared endpoints of these arcs must lie on distinct disks of $S_+ \cap S_-$. Therefore, the circle $\alpha \cup \beta'$ is a meridian on $\partial \nu L$. Hence, $\beta'$ is not parallel in $\partial \nu L$ to $\partial F$. This is impossible, by Proposition 2.14.

**Proposition 5.9.** No arc of $F \cap S_+ \cap S_-$ is parallel in $S_+ \cap S_-$ to $\partial \nu L$.

**Proof.** If such an arc exists, then choose one, $\alpha$ which is outermost in $S_+ \cap S_-$. Up to mirror symmetry, $\alpha$ must appear as in Figure 20, right. This is impossible, by Proposition 5.8.

**Proposition 5.10.** If $|v \setminus F|$ is minimal, then no arc of $\partial F \cap S_+ \cap S_-$ has both endpoints on the same crossing ball.

**Proof.** If both endpoints of an arc of $\partial F \cap S_+ \cap S_-$ lie on the same crossing ball, then there is an outermost such arc $\alpha$ in $S_+ \cap S_-$. Both endpoints of $\alpha$ lie on saddle disks, by 5.7, so $\alpha$ abuts two saddle disks. The sequence of isotopy moves shown in Figure 21 decreases $|v \setminus F|$, so it was not minimal.

**Proposition 5.11.** If $|v \setminus F|$ is minimized and a circle $\gamma$ of $F \cap S_\pm$ traverses the over/underpass at a crossing ball $C_t$, then $\gamma \cap \partial C_t = \emptyset$.

**Proof.** Assume for contradiction that $\gamma$ traverses the overpass at $C_t$ and $\gamma \cap \partial C_t \neq \emptyset$. Then there is a disk $R$ of $S_+ \cap S_-$ containing a point $x$ of $\gamma \cap \partial C_t \cap S_+ \cap S_-$. Also, as shown in Figure 22, there is an arc $\alpha \subset S_+$ disjoint from overpasses with $\alpha \cap S_+ \cap S_- \subset R$ and $\partial \alpha \subset \gamma$; Proposition 5.10 implies that such may position $\alpha$ with $\alpha \cap F = \partial \alpha$.

Following Figure 22 perform a bigon move along $\alpha$, and then perform an additional isotopy move, which removes a saddle disk and thus decreases $|v \setminus F|$, contrary to assumption.

In particular, Proposition 5.11 implies the following two facts:

**Proposition 5.12.** If $|v \setminus F|$ is minimized, then no arc $\alpha$ of $F \cap S_+ \cap S_-$ has one endpoint on $C$ and the other on an incident edge of $\partial \nu L$.

The proof of Proposition 5.14 employs a similar argument and provides greater detail on this point.
Figure 22. If $|v \setminus F|$ is minimized and a circle $\gamma$ of $F \cap S_+$ traverses the overpass at $C_t$, then $\gamma \cap C_t = \emptyset$. 

Proof. If such an arc exists, choose one, $\alpha$, which is outermost in $S_+ \cap S_-$. Let $x$ denote the endpoint of $\alpha$ on a crossing ball $C_t$, and assume WLOG that the edge of $\partial \nu L$ containing the other endpoint $y$ of $\alpha$ abuts the overpass at $C_t$. Consider the arc $\beta$ of $\partial F$ on this edge between $y$ and the overpass at $C_t$.

If $\beta \cap S_+ \cap S_- = \emptyset$, the result follows immediately from Proposition 5.11. Otherwise, by Proposition 5.9 and the fact that $\alpha$ is outermost, $\beta$ intersects $S_+ \cap S_-$ in exactly one point. In this case, there is now a bigon move which causes the circle of $F \cap S_+ \cap S_- \cap S_-$ traversing the overpass at $C_t$ to intersect $\partial C_t$. This contradicts Proposition 5.11.

Proposition 5.13. If $|v \setminus F|$ is minimized, then for each crossing ball $C_t$ where $F$ does not have a crossing band, the arcs of $F \cap \partial C_t \cap S_\pm$ all lie on distinct circles of $F \cap S_\pm$ from one another and from the arc of $\partial F \cap S_\pm \cap \partial \nu L$ which traverses the over/underpass at $C_t$.

Proof. Otherwise, a bigon move near $C_t$ gives an immediate contradiction to Proposition 5.10 or Proposition 5.12.

Proposition 5.14. If $|v \setminus F|$ is minimized and a circle $\gamma$ of $F \cap S_\pm$ traverses the over/underpass of $\partial \nu L$ at a crossing $C_t$, then $\gamma$ is disjoint from both edges of $\partial \nu L$ incident to the under/overpass at $C_t$.

Proof. Assume for contradiction that a circle $\gamma$ of $F \cap S_+$ traverses the overpass of $\partial \nu L$ at $C_t$ and intersects an edge of $\partial \nu L$ incident to the underpass at $C_t$. Then this edge of $\partial \nu L$ contains an endpoint of an arc of $\gamma \cap S_+ \cap S_-$. Denote the disk of $S_+ \cap S_-$ containing this endpoint by $R$. As shown in Figure 23, there is an arc $\alpha \subset S_+$ disjoint from overpasses with $\alpha \cap S_+ \cap S_- \subset R$ and $\partial \alpha \subset \gamma$. Choose

\footnote{To see the bigon move, let $\rho$ be the arc of $F \cap S_+ \cap S_-$ with an endpoint on $\text{int}(\beta)$; let $\alpha'$ be the arc of $F \cap \partial C_t \cap S_-$ sharing an endpoint with $\alpha$; and let $\sigma$ be the arc of $F \cap S_+ \cap S_-$, other than $\alpha$ that shares an endpoint with $\alpha'$. The bigon move is along an arc in $S_+ \cap S_-$ with one endpoint on $\rho$ and the other on $\sigma$.}
Figure 23. No circle of $F \cap S_+$ intersects both the overpass at $C_t$ and an edge of $\partial \nu L$ incident to the underpass at $C_t$.

$\alpha$ such that $\alpha \pitchfork F$ and all points of $\text{int}(\alpha) \cap F$ lie on distinct arcs of $F \cap S_+$.

We claim that $\alpha$ is properly embedded in $S_+ \setminus F$, i.e. $\alpha \cap F = \partial \alpha$. Suppose otherwise. Then there is an arc $\alpha'$ of $\alpha \setminus F$ sharing neither endpoint with $\alpha$, such that both endpoints of $\alpha'$ lie on the same circle of $F \cap S_+$. Perform a bigon move along $\alpha'$. Consider the resulting arc $\beta$ that lies in the disk of $R \setminus (\gamma \cup \alpha)$ incident to $C_t$. Each endpoint of $\beta$ lies either on the arc $\partial C_t \cap R$ or on the arc of $\partial \nu L \cap R$ incident to the underpass at $C_t$. This is impossible, by Propositions 5.10, 5.9 and 5.12. Therefore, $\alpha$ is properly embedded in $S_+ \setminus F$.

Following Figure 23, perform a bigon move along $\alpha$, and then adjust $F$ to have a crossing band at $C_t$. This decreases $|v \setminus F|$, contrary to assumption. $\Box$

5.5. Alternating links. Assume again in §5.5 that $F$ is an essential surface in good position. Assume also that its complexity $||F|| = |v \setminus F|$ is minimized, and that $D$ is a reduced alternating diagram (of a prime nonsplit link $L$) with chessboards $B$ and $W$.

Much of the work in §5.5 will address an innermost circle $\gamma$ of $F \cap S_+$, in the following setup. Let $T_+$ be an innermost disk of $S_+ \setminus F$, and denote $\partial T_+ = \gamma$. Let $X$ be the disk that $\gamma$ bounds in $F$, and let $T_+ = S_+ \setminus T_+$. Denote

$$T_- = S_- \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(T_+)),$$

Before addressing $\gamma$, we note two more general consequences of the assumption that $D$ is alternating:

**Proposition 5.15.** $F \cap B$ and $F \cap W$ contain no $\partial$-parallel arcs.

\[\text{Recall Convention 2.3}\]

\[\text{Recall that } \pi \text{ denotes the projection map } \nu S^2 \to S^2.\]
Proof. Assume for contradiction that an arc $\alpha$ of $F \cap B$ is $\partial$-parallel in $S^3 \setminus \nu L$. Then, since $D$ is reduced, $B$ is essential, and so $\alpha$ cuts off a disk $X$ from $B$ with $\partial X = \alpha \cup \alpha'$ for some arc $\alpha' \subset \partial B$; good position implies that $X \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Let $Z$ be an outermost disk of $X \setminus \setminus W$, so that $\partial Z = \beta \cup \beta'$, where $\beta \subset X \cap W \subset v$ and $\beta' \subset \partial X = \alpha \cup \alpha'$.

If $\beta' \subset \alpha$, then $\alpha \subset F \cap B$ is parallel in $B$ to $v$. Then, pushing $F$ near $\alpha$ through $Z$ past $\beta$ reduces $|v \setminus F|$, contrary to assumption. If $\beta' \subset \alpha'$, then $\alpha' \subset \partial B'$ is parallel in $B$ to $v$. This is impossible, because $D$ is reduced. Otherwise, $\beta'$ contains one of the shared endpoints $x$ of $\alpha, \alpha'$; as shown in Figure 24 it is possible to push $\alpha$ through $Z$ past $\beta \subset v$, while sliding $x$ along $\alpha' \subset \partial B$, in a way the decreases $|v \setminus F|$, contrary to assumption. \hfill $\square$

The assumptions that that $D$ is alternating and $F$ is in good position imply:

**Observation 5.16.** Near each edge of $\partial \nu L$, $F$ appears as in Figure 25 (up to mirror symmetry).

Note in particular that an edge of $\partial \nu L$ contains an odd number of points of $F \cap S_+ \cap S_-$ if and only if $\partial F$ traverses the top of the overpass incident to that edge. We now turn our attention to the innermost circle $\gamma$ of $F \cap S_+$.

**Proposition 5.17.** Any arc of $\gamma \cap \partial C$ appears as in Figure 26.

Proof. Suppose $\gamma$ intersects a crossing ball $C_t$. Then Proposition 5.13 implies that $\gamma$ must intersect $C_t$ in one arc only and must not traverse the overpass at $C_t$. Proposition 5.12 further implies that $\gamma$ is disjoint from the edge $E$ of $\partial \nu L$ which abuts both the underpass at $C_t$ and the disk of $\partial C_t \setminus (S^2 \cup \nu L)$ incident to $\gamma$. Hence, $E \cap F \cap S_+ \cap S_- = \emptyset$, and so Observation 5.16 implies that $F$ must have a crossing band at the opposite end of this edge. \hfill $\square$
Figure 25. How $F$ appears near each edge of $\partial \nu L$ in §5.5 (up to mirror symmetry) and §§5.6-5.7 (no reflection allowed).

**Proposition 5.18.** Each arc of $\gamma \cap S_+ \cap S_-$ has at most one endpoint on $C$.

**Proof.** This follows immediately from Proposition 5.17 and the fact that $D$ is alternating. □

Say that $\gamma$ encloses a crossing ball $C_t$ if $\pi(T_+) \supset \pi(C_t)$.

**Lemma 5.19.** $F$ has a crossing band in every crossing ball which $\gamma$ encloses, and $\gamma$ encloses at least one crossing ball.

**Proof.** If $\gamma$ encloses a crossing ball $C_t$, then $\partial F$ does not traverse the overpass at $C_t$, since $\gamma$ is an innermost circle of $F \cap S_+$. Initial position implies that $F$ has a crossing band at $C_t$.

Assume, contrary to the second claim, that $\gamma$ encloses no crossing balls. Then $\gamma$ cannot intersect a crossing ball, due to Proposition 5.17. Further, $\gamma$ cannot traverse an overpass, because of Proposition 5.14 and Observation 5.16. Therefore, $T_+$ is disjoint from all crossing balls and overpasses. Hence, $\pi(\gamma)$ bounds a disk in $S^2 \setminus C$. This implies, contrary to assumption, that some arc of $\gamma \cap S_+ \cap S_-$ is parallel in $S_+ \cap S_-$ to $\partial \nu L$. □

5.6. Definite surfaces. Assume again in §5.6 that $F$ is an essential surface in good position, $\|F\| = |v \setminus F|$ is minimized, and $D$ is a reduced alternating diagram of $L$ with chessboards $B$ and $W$. Also maintain the setup from §5.5 of the innermost circle $\gamma \subset F \cap S_+$ and

<footnote>30This gives an alternate proof of the crossing band lemma from [1]:

**Crossing Band Lemma from [1].** Given a reduced alternating diagram $D$ of a prime nonsplit link $L$ and an essential spanning surface $F$ for $L$, it is possible to isotope $F$ so that it has a crossing band at some crossing in $D$.</footnote>
Figure 26. The vicinity of an arc of $\gamma \cap \partial C$. Inward-pointing arrows along the innermost circle $\gamma$ of $F \cap S_+$ identify black and white regions of $S_+ \cap S_-$. 

the associated disks $T_+, T'_+ \subset S_+$ and $T_- \subset S_-$. Now assume also that $F$ is positive-definite.

Much of the work in §5.6 will address the possibilities for arcs $\delta$ of $F \cap T_-$. First, we note the following more general consequences of the assumption that $F$ is positive-definite:

**Lemma 5.20.** Every arc $\alpha$ of $F \cap W$ satisfies $i(\partial F, \partial W)_{\nu \partial \alpha} = -2$.

In particular, every crossing band in $F$ is positive.

**Proof.** Proposition 5.15 implies that $\alpha$ is not $\partial$-parallel, and so Lemma 2.16 gives $i(\partial F, \partial W)_{\nu \partial \alpha} = -2$. $\square$

Observation 5.16 and Lemma 5.20 immediately imply:

**Observation 5.21.** $\partial F$ intersects each edge of $\partial \nu L$ as in Figure 25

Now consider $F \cap T_-$. Each component of $F \cap \text{int}(T_-)$ is disjoint from $S_+$ (since $\gamma$ is an innermost circle of $F \cap S_+$) and thus consists alternately of arcs on $\partial \nu L \cap S_-$ and arcs on $\partial C \cap S_-$ which abut crossing bands in $F$. This and the fact (due to Lemma 5.20) that every crossing band in $F$ is positive imply that every circle of $F \cap \text{int}(T_-)$ encloses some disk of $B \setminus C$ in $T_-$ and is parallel in $(\partial \nu L \cup \partial C) \cap S_-$ to the boundary of this disk.

Likewise, for each arc $\delta$ of $F \cap \text{int}(T_-)$, some disk $B_0$ of $B \cap T_- \setminus \setminus C$ abuts all components of $\partial \nu L \cap S_-$ and $\partial C \cap S_-$ that intersect $\delta$.

**Convention 5.22.** Orient each arc $\delta$ of $F \cap \text{int}(T_-)$ so that this nearby disk $B_0$ lies to the right of $\delta$, when viewed from $H_+$. Denote the initial point of $\delta$ by $x$ and the terminal point by $y$.

**Proposition 5.23.** Let $\delta$ be an arc of $F \cap \text{int}(T_-)$, following Convention 5.22 Then $x$ either lies on an underpass of $\partial \nu L$ or is a point in $\partial \nu L \cap B \setminus C$ with $i(\partial F, \partial B)_{\nu x} = -1$. Also, $y \in \partial \nu L \cap S_+ \cap S_-$; if $y \in B$, then $i(\partial F, \partial B)_{\nu y} = +1$; otherwise, $y \in W$ with $i(\partial F, \partial W)_{\nu y} = -1$. 
Figure 27. Endpoints of an arc $\delta$ of $F \cap \text{int}(T_-)$, oriented as in Convention 5.22.

Proof. Each endpoint of $\delta$ lies either on $\gamma \cap \partial \nu L \cap S_+ \cap S_-$ or on an underpass of $\partial \nu L$ in $\pi^{-1}(\pi(\gamma))$. Lemma 5.20 implies that any endpoint $z$ of $\delta$ on $\partial \nu L \cap W$ satisfies $i(\partial F, \partial W)_{\nu z} = -1$. Thus, every endpoint of $\delta$ has one of the types described in the proposition. Moreover, because $D$ is alternating and $B_0$ lies to the left of $\delta$, each type of endpoint can occur only at $x$ or only at $y$ as stated. □

Although there are three possibilities for $x$ and two for $y$, there are actually just three possibilities for the pair $(x, y)$:

**Proposition 5.24.** Let $\delta$ be an arc of $F \cap \text{int}(T_-)$, as in Convention 5.22. Then $x \in B$ if and only if $y \in B$.

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Suppose first that $x \in B$ and $y \notin B$. Let $\gamma_x \subset \gamma$ be the arc of $F \cap S_+ \cap S_-$ that has $x$ as an endpoint, and let $\gamma_y \subset \gamma$ be the arc of $F \cap S_+ \cap \partial \nu L$ that has $y$ as an endpoint. Let $x' \in \text{int}(\gamma_x)$ and $y' \in \text{int}(\gamma_y)$. See Figure 28 left. Perform a bigon move along the arc $\alpha^{31}$. Then consider the arc of $\partial F \cap \partial \nu L \cap S_+$ that contains $y$. Both endpoints of this arc lie on the circle of $F \cap S_-$ that contains $\delta$, contradicting Proposition 5.8.

Suppose now that $x \notin B$ and $y \in B$. Let $\gamma_y \subset \gamma$ be the arc of $F \cap S_+ \cap S_-$ that has $y$ as an endpoint, and let $y' \in \text{int}(\gamma_y)$. The initial point $x$ lies on the underpass of $\partial \nu L$ at a crossing $C_t$, and $\pi(x) = \pi(x'')$ for some $x'' \in \gamma$. Either $x'' \in \partial \nu L$ or $x'' \in \partial C_t$.

Suppose first that $x'' \in \partial \nu L$, as in Figure 28 center. Let $\gamma_x$ be the arc of $\gamma$ on the edge of $\partial \nu L$ that abuts $B_0$ and the overpass containing $x$, and let $x' \in \text{int}(\gamma_x)$. As in the first case, it is now possible to perform a bigon move along an arc $\alpha$ with endpoints $x'$ and $y'$. Do so. The circle of $F \cap S_-$ containing $\delta$ traverses the underpass at $C_t$ and now has an endpoint on the edge of $\partial \nu L$ incident to the overpass at $C_t$. This contradicts Proposition 5.14.

In the remaining case, a similar argument leads to a contradiction, using Proposition 5.11. See Figure 28 right. □

31 Note that $x' \in \partial B_0$, and $y'$ is on the same edge of $\partial \nu L$ that contains $y$. This edge abuts $B_0$, so there is a properly embedded arc $\alpha \subset S_+ \setminus F$ with endpoints $x', y' \in \gamma$ which is disjoint from overpasses and intersects $S_+ \cap S_-$ only in $B_0$. Since $y' \in \partial \nu L$, it is possible to perform a bigon move along $\alpha$. 
Figure 28. These bigon moves show that the initial point $x$ of $\delta$ lies in $B$ iff the terminal point $y$ does.

**Proposition 5.25.** Let $\delta$ be an arc of $F \cap \text{int}(T_\pm)$, following Convention 5.22. If $x, y \in B$, then $\delta$ is parallel in $T_\pm$ to $\partial T_\pm$.

*Proof.* In this case, $x, y \in \gamma \cap B_0$. Thus, Proposition 5.4 implies that $x$ and $y$ lie on the same arc $\gamma_0$ of $\gamma_0 \cap S_+ \cap S_-$. Hence, $\delta \cup \gamma_0$ is a circle in $T_- \setminus F$, so $\delta$ is parallel in $T_- \setminus F$ to $\gamma_0 \subset \gamma \cap S_- \subset \partial T_-$. $\Box$

5.7. **Proof of Theorem 5.26 and the Flyping Theorem.** Let $L$ be a prime nonsplit link, and let $D$ be a reduced alternating diagram of $L$ with chessboards $B$ and $W$, which are respectively positive- and negative-definite.

**Theorem 5.26.** Any essential positive-definite surface $F$ spanning $L$ is plumb-related to $B$.

*Proof.* While fixing $B$ and $W$, transform $F$ by isotopy so that it is in good position and $\|F\| = \|v \setminus F\|$ is minimal. If $F \cap H_+ = \emptyset$, then $F$ traverses the top of no overpass, hence has a crossing band at every crossing. Lemma 5.20 implies that each crossing band is positive, and so $F$ is isotopic to $B$.

Assume instead that $F \cap H_+ \neq \emptyset$, and let $T_+$ be an innermost disk of $S_+ \setminus F$, set up as at the beginning of §5.5 with $T_- = S_- \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(T_+))$ and $\gamma = \partial T_+ = \partial X$ for $X \subset F \cap H_+$. Propositions 5.23, 5.24, and 5.25 imply that each arc $\delta$ of $F \cap \text{int}(T_-)$ has one of the three types (I, II, or III from left to right) shown in Figure 29. Note:

(11) At least one arc $\delta$ of $F \cap \text{int}(T_-)$ is of type II or III.

Choose a point $z$ in a region of $W \cap T_+$ abutting $\gamma$. While fixing $F \cap (S_+ \cup S_- \cup C)$, adjust $F \cap H_\pm$ so that $F \subset \hat{\nu} S^2 \setminus \pi^{-1}(z)$ and
\(\pi\) restricts to an injection on each disk of \(F \cap H_+\). Then, while fixing \(F \setminus X\), push \(X\) through the point at infinity in \(H_+\) and into \(H_+ \cap \pi^{-1}(T_+)\) such that \(\pi : X \to \pi(T_+)\) bijectively.

For each arc \(\delta\) of \(F \cap \text{int}(T_+)\) of type II or III, construct a properly embedded arc in \(T_+ \setminus (B \cup \pi^{-1}(C))\), as shown in Figure 29. Denote the union of these arcs by \(\rho\), and denote the disk of \(T_+ \setminus \rho\) containing \(z\) by \(T_+^{\prime}\). Also denote \(S_- \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(T_+^{\prime})) = T_-^{\prime}\) and \(\partial T_+^{\prime} = \gamma^{\prime}\).

Take an annular neighborhood \(A\) of \(\pi(\gamma^{\prime})\) in \(S^2\), such that \(A\) intersects only the crossing balls that \(\pi(\gamma^{\prime})\) intersects, \(\partial A \cap C = \emptyset\), and each arc of \(F \cap S_+ \cap S_-\) that intersects \(A\) lies on \(\gamma^{\prime}\) or has an endpoint on a saddle disk at a crossing where \(\gamma^{\prime}\) abuts a saddle disk. Denote \(\partial A = \gamma_0 \cup \gamma_1\), such that \(\gamma_0 \subset \pi(T_+^{\prime})\). Denote \(S^2 \setminus A = Z_0 \sqcup Z_1\), where each \(\partial Z_i = \gamma_i\). Denote \(\pi^{-1}(Z_0) = Y_0\).

Denote the arcs of \(\gamma^{\prime} \cap W\) by \(\omega_1, \ldots , \omega_m\). Each \(\omega_i\) has a dual arc \(\alpha_i \subset A \cap W \setminus C\). Denote the rectangles of \(A \setminus (\alpha_1 \cup \cdots \alpha_m)\) by \(A_1, \ldots , A_m\) such that each \(\partial A_i \supset \alpha_i \cup \alpha_{i+1}\), taking indices modulo \(m\). Denote each prism \(\pi^{-1}(A_i)\) by \(P_i\).

Each prism \(P_i\) intersects \(F\) in one of the three ways indicated in the left column of Figure 30. For each \(i\), let \(F_i\) denote the component of \(F \cap P_i\) which abuts \(\gamma\). Each \(F_i\) is a disk. Observe that \(F_i\) and \(F_j\) intersect in an arc when \(i \equiv j \pm 1\) (mod \(m\)) and are disjoint when \(i \not\equiv j, j \pm 1\) (mod \(m\)). Therefore, \(F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_m\) is either an annulus or a mobius band; denote it by \(F_A\). Moreover, the disk \(X \cap Y_0\) attaches to \(F_A\) along its boundary. Therefore, \(F_A\) is an annulus, and the following subsurface of \(F\) is a disk:

\[
U = (X \cap Y_0) \cup F_A.
\]
There is a properly embedded disk $V \subset \bar{\nu}S^2 \setminus (F \cup \nu L)$ which intersects $Y_0$ in a disk (in $H_-$) and intersects each prism $P_i$ as indicated in the right column of Figure 30. Note that $\partial V \cap F = \partial U \cap F$ and $(\partial V \cap \partial \nu L) \cup (\partial U \cap \partial \nu L)$ is a system of meridia (top two rows of Figure 30) and inessential circles (bottom row of the figure) on $\partial \nu L$. Thus $V$ is a, possibly fake, plumbing cap for $F$, and $U$ is its shadow. Whether or not $V$ is fake, Proposition 3.1 implies that $F$ is plumb-related to $(F \setminus \setminus U) \cup V$. Figure 30 shows the move $F \to (F \setminus \setminus U) \cup V$ within each prism $P_i$.

Whereas the disk $U$ intersects $C$ in some number of saddle disks and no crossing bands, the disk $V$ intersects $C$ in no saddle disks and some number of crossing bands. Moreover, (11) implies that either $U \cap C \neq \emptyset$ or $V$ contains a crossing band. Therefore, the move $F \to (F \setminus \setminus U) \cup V$ decreases the complexity of $F$: $\| (F \setminus \setminus U) \cup V \| < \|F\|$. Since $F$ was already isotoped to minimize $\|F\|$, this move is actually a re-plumbing move, and $V$ was not a fake plumbing cap.

---

A caveat analogous to the one in Note 34 applies here as well.
After performing the re-plumbing move, isotope the resulting surface into good position so as to minimize its complexity. If it still intersects $H_+$, then perform another re-plumbing move so as further to decrease its complexity. Repeat in this manner, performing isotopy and re-plumbing moves until the resulting surface is disjoint from $H_+$ and thus is isotopic to $B$. □

One can visualize the re-plumbing move in the proof of Theorem 5.26 in the following alternative way. Reflect the disk $X'$ across the projection sphere. The resulting surface has arcs of self-intersection, but each of these arcs has one endpoint on $\partial\nu L$ and the other in its interior, on an arc of $\gamma'/\cap W$. “Unzip” the surface along these arcs.

**Flyping Theorem.** Any two reduced alternating diagrams of the same prime, nonsplit link are flype-related.

**Proof.** Let $D, D'$ be reduced alternating diagrams of a prime nonsplit link $L$ with respective chessboards $B, W$ and $B', W'$, where $B, B'$ are positive-definite. Then $B$ and $B'$ are plumb-related, by Theorem 5.26, as are $W$ and $W'$. Therefore, $D$ and $D'$ are flype-related, by Theorem 4.11. □

**Thank you** to Colin Adams for posing a question about flypes and chessboards during SMALL 2005 which eventually led to Figure 13. Thank you to Josh Howie and Alex Zupan for suggesting improvements to the exposition of this paper. Thank you to Josh Greene for suggesting such improvements and for encouraging me to think about this problem when he hosted me at Boston College a few years ago.
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