arXiv:2008.06574v6c [hep-th]

September 21, 2022

Rotating Black Holes in Three-Dimensional Hořava Gravity Revisited

Mu-In Park*

Center for Quantum Spacetime, Sogang University, Seoul, 121-742, Korea

arXiv:2008.06574v5 [hep-th] 20 Sep 2022

Abstract

I revisit rotating black hole solutions in three-dimensional Hořava gravity with $z = 2$ as a simpler set-up of the renormalizable quantum gravity *à la* Lifshitz and DeWitt. The solutions have a curvature singularity at the origin for a non-vanishing rotation parameter $\mathcal{J}$, unlike the black holes in three-dimensional Einstein gravity. For *anti-de Sitter* space, there are black hole event horizons as usual and the singularity is not naked, in agreement with the cosmic censorship. On the other hand, for *flat* or *de Sitter* space, the earlier solution has also a cosmic-censorship problem because there are *no conventional* black hole horizons as in Einstein gravity, other than the usual cosmological horizon for the latter case, so that the singularity *could* be naked in Hořava gravity. However, with the help of recent corrections, I show that the solutions have a *peculiar* black hole horizon at the origin so that *the singularity is not naked even without the conventional black hole horizons* in flat or de Sitter case, due to the Lorentz-violating higher-derivative terms. On the other hand, I note also that a *new "cosmological" horizon exists even for the flat case*, contrary to the usual wisdom, due to combined effects of the higher derivatives and the angular-momentum barrier. I study an *unified* treatment of their unusual black hole thermodynamics for the flat and de Sitter spaces, as well as the anti-de Sitter space, which might be due to lack of the absolute horizons in the Lorentz-violating gravity.

Keywords: Hořava-Lifshitz-DeWitt Gravity, Rotating Black Hole, Point-like Horizon, Cosmic Censorship, Black Hole Thermodynamics

* E-mail address: muinpark@gmail.com

I. INTRODUCTION

Several years ago [1], I have studied an exact solution for rotating black holes in three-dimensional Hořava gravity with the dynamical critical exponent $z = 2$ [2] as a simpler set-up of the renormalizable quantum gravity *à la* Lifshitz and DeWitt (HLD) [3–5]. The solution has a curvature singularity at the origin $r = 0$ for a non-vanishing rotation parameter $\mathcal{J}$, unlike the absence of the singularity for the black hole solution in three-dimensional Anti-de Sitter (AdS) space [6] or the three-dimensional Kerr-de Sitter solution [7], and the ring singularity for the four-dimensional Kerr black hole in Einstein gravity [8]. For AdS space, there are two black hole event horizons $r_\pm$ generally as usual where the apparent and Killing horizons coincide and the singularity is not naked, in agreement with the cosmic censorship conjecture [9]. On the other hand, for *flat* or *de Sitter (dS)* space, there are *no conventional* black hole horizons as in Einstein gravity, other than the usual cosmological horizon for the latter case, but those were not considered further because the curvature singularity *could* be naked in three-dimensional Hořava gravity, contrary to the cosmic censorship.

In this paper, I revisit and resolve that issue of cosmic censorship for flat or dS case, from the recent corrections in [1]. The resolution is possible essentially due to the fact that the corrected solution in [1] shows a *peculiar* (non-conventional) black hole event horizon at the origin $r = 0$ which coincides with the curvature singularity so that *the singularity is not naked even without the conventional black hole horizons* in three-dimensional flat or dS case! I also note that a *new "cosmological" horizon exists even for the flat case*, contrary to the usual wisdom, due to combined effects of the higher derivatives and the angular-momentum barrier. I study their unusual black hole thermodynamics which might be due to lack of the absolute horizons in the Lorentz-violating gravity and show that the basic results are unchanged from the earlier work in [1].

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II, I revisit the previous derivation of rotating black holes in three-dimensional Hořava gravity but with the recent corrections [1]. In Sec. III, I consider the horizon structure and show that there is a *peculiar* black hole event horizon at the origin $r = 0$ and the new horizon is crucial to resolve the cosmic censorship problem for flat or dS case in the earlier work [1]. In Sec. IV, I consider an unified treatment of the black hole thermodynamics for the flat and dS spaces, as well as the AdS space. In Sec. V, I conclude with some remarks on remaining problems.

II. ROTATING BLACK HOLES IN THREE-DIMENSIONAL HOŘAVA GRAVITY REVISITED

In this section, for completeness, I revisit the previous derivation of rotating black holes in three-dimensional Hořava gravity but with the recent corrections [1], which are crucial to resolve the cosmic censorship issue for the earlier solution of flat or dS case. In three-dimensional spacetime, gravity becomes enormously simplified. For example, with Einstein-Hilbert action [1], there is no propagating, dynamical degrees of freedom so that there is no graviton that mediates gravity interactions between massive objects [12] [2].

[1] However, even in three dimensions, the graviton modes may exist when higher-derivative terms are present, as in topologically massive gravity (TMG) [10] or new massive gravity (NMG) [11], for example.

[2] For a comoving frame on collapsing matters, the (negative) binding energy still exits for a positive Newton's

However, the system is not too simple to get trivial results only. For example, there exits the black hole solution for three-dimensional AdS space, known as BTZ solution [6]. This suggests that the three-dimensional spacetime could be a good laboratory for studying rotating black holes in Hořava gravity. Actually, it turns out to be the case and one can get the exact solution for rotating black holes in three-dimensional Hořava gravity, contrary to those in four dimensions whose exact solutions have not been found yet. In this section, I revisit the previously obtained solution but with the recent corrections in [1].

To this ends, I start by considering the ADM decomposition of the metric

$$ds^2 = -N^2 c_\ell^2 dt^2 + g_{ij}\left(dx^i + N^i dt\right)\left(dx^j + N^j dt\right) \tag{1}$$

and the three-dimensional renormalizable action with $z = 2$, *á la* Hořara, Lifshitz, and DeWitt [2], which reads

$$I = \frac{1}{\kappa}\int dt d^2x \sqrt{g} N\left(K_{ij}K_{ij} - \lambda K^2 + \xi R + \alpha R^2 - 2\Lambda\right), \tag{2}$$

up to surface terms, where $\kappa \equiv 16\pi G_3$,

$$K_{ij} = \frac{1}{2N}\left(\dot{g}_{ij} - \nabla_i N_j - \nabla_j N_i\right) \tag{3}$$

is the extrinsic curvature, R is the Ricci scalar of the Euclidean two-geometry, λ, ξ are the IR Lorentz-violating parameters, and Λ is the cosmological constant. Note that the action (2) is general enough since in two-spatial dimensions all curvature invariants can be expressed by the Ricci scalar R due to the identities, $R_{ijkl} = (g_{ik}g_{jl} - g_{il}g_{jk})R/2,\ R_{ij} = g_{ij}R/2$. However, for simplicity, I do not consider the terms like $\nabla^2 R$ [2] since the qualitative structure of the solutions is expected to be similar, as in the four dimensions [14]. Here, I do not consider the terms which depend on $a_i \equiv \partial_i N/N$ and $\nabla_j a_i$ [15] either so that Einstein gravity can be recovered at the low-energy (or IR) limit: The a_i-extended Hořava gravity does not allow the known solutions in Einstein gravity even in IR because those extension terms will change the dynamical degrees of freedom and also the IR as well as UV behaviors a lot from those of the standard action (2) [16], and so the extended case needs a separate consideration as a different class of gravity theory.

Let me now consider an axially symmetric solution with the metric ansatz (I adopt the convention of $c_\ell \equiv 1$, hereafter)

$$ds^2 = -N^2(r)dt^2 + \frac{1}{f(r)}dr^2 + r^2\left(d\phi + N^\phi(r)dt\right)^2. \tag{4}$$

Substituting the metric ansatz into the action (2) gives the reduced Lagrangian, after angular integration,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{2\pi}{\kappa}\frac{N}{\sqrt{f}}\left[\frac{f r^3\left(N^{\phi'}\right)^2}{2N^2} - \xi f' + \alpha\frac{f'^2}{r} - 2\Lambda r\right], \tag{5}$$

constant, $G_3 > 0$, as in the conventional four and higher-dimensional black holes [13].

where the prime ($'$) denotes the derivative with respect to r. Note that there is no dependance on λ but only on ξ in the Lagrangian, due to the peculiar property $K \equiv g_{ij}K^{ij} = 0$ for the ansatz (4) [3].

Varying the metric functions N, N^ϕ, and f give the equations of motions as follows, respectively:

$$-\frac{fr^3(N^{\phi\prime})^2}{2N^2} - \xi f' + \alpha\frac{f'^2}{r} - 2\Lambda r = 0, \tag{6}$$

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{f}}{N}r^3 N^{\phi\prime}\right)' = 0, \tag{7}$$

$$\left(\frac{N}{\sqrt{f}}\right)'\left(2\alpha\frac{f'}{r} - \xi\right) + 2\alpha\frac{N}{\sqrt{f}}\left(\frac{f''}{r} - \frac{f'}{r^2}\right) = 0. \tag{8}$$

The strategy to solve the coupled non-linear equations is as follows: (i) We first solve (6) for f by expressing $(\sqrt{f}/N)r^3N^{\phi\prime} = \mathcal{J}$ with an integration constant $\mathcal{J}$ which solves (7). (ii) Then, by plugging the obtained solution for f into (8), we solve it for $N/\sqrt{f}$. (iii) Once the solution for $N/\sqrt{f}$ is obtained, one can solve the previous equation $(\sqrt{f}/N)r^3N^{\phi\prime} = \mathcal{J}$ for N^ϕ. Here, each step depends on the existence of exact solution in the previous step and it is not a trivial matter to find the exact solutions for all the steps. In the earlier published work [1], there was an error in identifying the solution for N in the step (ii) and, as the results, N^ϕ also in step (iii). These corrections do not affect the main conclusion of the work but they are crucial for resolving the naked singularity issue for flat or dS space.

For arbitrary α, Λ, and ξ, the general solution is obtained as [4]

$$\begin{aligned} f &= -\mathcal{M} + \frac{br^2}{2}\left[1 - \sqrt{a + \frac{c}{r^4}} + \sqrt{\frac{c}{r^4}}ln\left(\sqrt{\frac{c}{ar^4}} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{c}{ar^4}}\right)\right], \\ \frac{N}{\sqrt{f}} &\equiv W = 1\Big/\sqrt{1 + \frac{c}{ar^4}}, \\ N^\phi &= -\frac{\mathcal{J}}{2}\sqrt{\frac{a}{c}}\, ln\left[\sqrt{\frac{c}{ar^4}} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{c}{ar^4}}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where

$$a \equiv 1 + \frac{8\alpha\Lambda}{\xi^2},\ b \equiv \frac{\xi}{2\alpha},\ c \equiv \frac{2\alpha\mathcal{J}^2}{\xi^2}, \tag{10}$$

and I have set $W(\infty) \equiv 1,\ N^\phi(\infty) \equiv 0$ so that the solution approaches to the three-dimensional (A)dS asymptotically, depending on the sign of Λ [6, 7, 12]. Here, I assume $a > 0, c \geq 0$, or equivalently, $8\alpha\Lambda/\xi^2 > -1$ and $\alpha \geq 0$ so that the metric functions f, N, and N^ϕ are all real-valued.

For large r and small α, one can expand the solution (9) as

$$f = -\frac{\Lambda}{\xi}r^2\left(1 - \frac{2\alpha\Lambda}{\xi^2}\right) - \mathcal{M} + \frac{\mathcal{J}^2}{4r^2\xi}\left(1 - \frac{4\alpha\Lambda}{\xi^2}\right) - \frac{\alpha\mathcal{J}^4}{24\xi^3}\frac{1}{r^6} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2, r^{-10}),$$

[3] This gives a peculiar constraint algebra in three dimensions. For the fully non-linear constraint analysis, see [17].

[4] The solution for W can also be obtained rather easily by observing that (8) can be written as a total derivative form. I thank D. O. Devecioglu for pointing out this.

$$W = 1 - \frac{\alpha \mathcal{J}^2}{\xi^2}\frac{1}{r^4} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2, r^{-8}),$$
$$N^\phi = -\frac{\mathcal{J}}{2r^2} + \frac{\alpha \mathcal{J}^3}{6\xi^2}\frac{1}{r^6} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2, r^{-10}). \tag{11}$$

Note that the (two) leading terms of W and N^ϕ are the same as in the earlier work [1] so that the conserved quantities and their thermodynamics relations in [1], which are defined at the asymptotic infinity, are unchanged. In the Einstein gravity limit of $\alpha \to 0$, the solution reduces to

$$N^2 = f = -\frac{\Lambda}{\xi} r^2 - \mathcal{M} + \frac{\mathcal{J}^2}{4r^2\xi},\ N^\phi = -\frac{\mathcal{J}}{2r^2}, \tag{12}$$

which corresponds (with $\xi = 1$) to the BTZ black hole solution for $\Lambda < 0, \mathcal{M} > 0$ [6]; the three-dimensional Kerr-de Sitter solution (KdS_3) for $\Lambda > 0, \mathcal{M} < 0$ [7]; the three-dimensional conical space-time outside of spinning point masses for $\Lambda = 0, \mathcal{M} < 0$ [12]. On the other hand, for the non-rotating limit of $\mathcal{J} \to 0$, the solution (9) reduces to the same limit of the GR solution (12) but with a modified cosmological constant $\Lambda_{\text{eff}} = -\xi b(1 - \sqrt{a})/2$.

The non-vanishing curvature invariants are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R &= -\frac{f'}{r} = -b\left(1 - \sqrt{a + \frac{c}{r^4}}\right), \\ &= -\frac{\xi}{2\alpha}\left(1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{8\alpha\Lambda}{\xi^2}}\right) + \frac{\mathcal{J}^2}{2\xi\sqrt{1 + \frac{8\alpha\Lambda}{\xi^2}}}\frac{1}{r^4} + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{J}^4 r^{-8}), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K^{ij}K_{ij} &= \frac{r^2}{2W^2}\left(N^{\phi'}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{J}^2}{2r^4} \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

and these show the curvature singularities at $r = 0$ for $\mathcal{J} \neq 0$. This is in contrast to Einstein gravity case ($\alpha = 0, \xi = 1$), where the (covariant) three-curvature scalar $R^{(3)}$ becomes finite, $R^{(3)} = R + K^{ij}K_{ij} - K^2 - f'/r - f'' = 6\Lambda$, due to exact concelebration of the unphysical singularities in $R, K^{ij}K_{ij}$, and the remainders.

III. THE HORIZON STRUCTURE AND COSMIC CENSORSHIP

The horizon structure depends on the cosmological constant Λ. For the AdS case ($\Lambda < 0$), I correct the earlier work [1] based on the corrected solution which is described in Sec. II. For flat and dS case ($\Lambda \geq 0$), which have not be studied before due to the cosmic censorship problems, I show that the corrected solution is crucial to resolve the problem.

A. The AdS case

For the AdS space, *i.e.*, $\Lambda < 0$ and $\mathcal{M} > 0$, the solution (9) has two black hole horizons, r_+, r_-, generally where f and N vanish simultaneously so that the apparent and Killing horizons coincide (Fig. 1 (left)) and the curvature singularity at the origin is not naked, in agreement with the cosmic censorship [9].




FIG. 1: Plots of $f(r)$ (green, bright solid), $N^2(r) = W^2 f(r)$ (red, dash-dotted), $N^\phi(r)$ (blue, dark solid) curves for AdS space with $\mathcal{M} > 0$ (left) and $\mathcal{M} \leq 0$ (right). Here, I have plotted $\mathcal{M} = 5, -5$ with $\xi = 1, \Lambda = -0.5, \mathcal{J} = 1, \alpha = 0.1$. For $\mathcal{M} > 0$, there are two horizons, r_+, r_-, which are solutions of $f = 0$, $N^2 = 0$, simultaneously, as usual (left). There is another new horizon at the origin $r = 0$, where $N^2 = 0$ but $f \neq 0$. For $\mathcal{M} \leq 0$, the solution does not have the usual black hole horizons and corresponds to a point particle solution (right).

The Hawking temperature for the black hole horizon, $r_{_H} = r_+, r_-$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_H &= \frac{\hbar\kappa|_{r_H}}{2\pi} \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{4\pi} b r_{_H} \left(1 - \sqrt{a + \frac{c}{r_{_H}^4}}\right) \Big/ \sqrt{1 + \frac{c}{a r_{_H}^4}} \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

from the surface gravity $\kappa|_{r_H} = (Wf')|_{r_H}/2$ at the horizon, as usual. Here, the very meaning of the horizon and its Hawking temperature in Hořava gravity would not be the same as those of Einstein gravity. However, we have used the same mathematical definition of Killing/apparent horizon and the Hawking temperature as what the IR, *i.e.* lower energy, test particles with the Lorentz symmetry probe (for a more detailed discussion, see Sec. V, Discussion No. 2).

For a non-vanishing c or $\mathcal{J}$, the temperature vanishes in the usual extremal black hole limit, where the inner horizon r_- meets the outer horizon r_+, *i.e.*, a degenerate horizon exists at

$$r_{_H}^* = \left(\frac{c}{1-a}\right)^{1/4} = \left(\frac{\mathcal{J}^2}{-4\Lambda}\right)^{1/4} \tag{16}$$

(Fig. 2 (left)) and the integration constant,

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{b r_{_H}^2}{2}\left[1 - \sqrt{a + \frac{c}{r_{_H}^4}} + \sqrt{\frac{c}{r_{_H}^4}}\, ln\left(\sqrt{\frac{c}{a r_{_H}^4}} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{c}{a r_{_H}^4}}\right)\right] \tag{17}$$

gets the minimum $\mathcal{M}^*$ (Fig. 2 (right)),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^* &= \frac{b\sqrt{c}}{2}\, ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-a}+1}{\sqrt{a}}\right) \\ &= \frac{\xi}{4\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha\mathcal{J}^2}{\xi^2}}\, ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{-8\alpha\Lambda}{\xi^2}}+1}{\sqrt{\frac{1+8\alpha\Lambda}{\xi^2}}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Here, it is interesting to note hat r_H^* has no *explicit* α-dependence and has the same expression as in Einstein gravity, in contrast to the explicit α-dependence in $\mathcal{M}$ and its minimum value $\mathcal{M}^*$, as can be seen also in Fig. 2.

In addition to these conventional black hole horizons, r_+, r_-, remarkably, one can also find that there is another peculiar horizon at the origin $r_{--} = 0$, if $c \neq 0, i.e.,\ \alpha \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{J} \neq 0$, where $N^2 = W^2 f = 0$ but $f \neq 0$, which corresponds to an *apparent* horizon but not a *Killing* horizon, from the behaviors of the metric near the origin [5] (Fig. 1 (left)),

$$\begin{aligned} f &= -b\sqrt{c}\, lnr - \mathcal{M} + \frac{b\sqrt{c}}{2}\left(ln\left(2\sqrt{\frac{c}{a}}\right) - 1\right) + \frac{b}{2}r^2 + \mathcal{O}(r^4), \\ N^2 &= \left(\frac{a}{c}\right)\left[-\mathcal{M} + \frac{b\sqrt{c}}{2}\left(ln\left(2\sqrt{\frac{c}{a}}\right) - 1\right)\right] r^4 - \frac{ab}{\sqrt{c}}\, r^4\, lnr + \frac{ab}{2c}\, r^6 + \mathcal{O}(r^8\, lnr, r^8). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Here, the apparent horizon is defined by the null hypersurface $g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu r)(\partial_\nu r) = N^2 = 0$, whereas the Killing horizon by the surface where the norm of the Killing vector $\chi = \partial_t + \Omega_H \partial_\phi$ vanishes, *i.e.*, $\chi^2 = g_{tt} - (g_{t\phi})^2/g_{\phi\phi} = -f^2 = 0$ with the angular velocity of the horizon $\Omega_H = -(g_{t\phi}/g_{\phi\phi})|_H$. In the conventional stationary black holes, these two horizons coincide; for the black holes in (three-dimensional) non-commutative space, there is a splitting of the two horizons due to the non-commutativity [18]. But for the new horizon in our three-dimensional Hoŕava gravity, there exists only the apparent horizon *without* its paired Killing horizon.

The existence of a new horizon at the origin can also be checked by the vanishing of temperature T_H (Fig. 2 (left)) as

$$T_H = -b\sqrt{a}\, r_{_H} + b\sqrt{\frac{a}{c}}\, r_{_H}^3 + \mathcal{O}(r_{_H}^5) \tag{20}$$

near $r_{_H} = 0$, which implying another "degenerate" horizon at the origin, though the "negative" Hawking temperature for the inner horizon r_- would not make sense along the way to the origin $r_- = 0$, due to the lower bound of the mass spectrum [19]. Actually, as $\mathcal{M}$ increases, the inner horizon r_- approaches to the origin where the peculiar horizon $r_{--} = 0$ is located and finally r_- coincides with $r_{--} = 0$ at $\mathcal{M} \to \infty$ limit (Fig. 2 (right)), which can be seen analytically as

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{b\sqrt{c}}{2}\left(ln\left(2\sqrt{\frac{c}{a}}\right) - 1\right) + \frac{b}{2}r_{_H}^2 - b\sqrt{c}\, lnr_{_H} + \mathcal{O}(r_{_H}^4). \tag{21}$$

However, the new horizon at the origin would *not* have any important effect for the outsider observer since the usual black hole horizons are already formed and hides the new horizon at the origin. It could have an important effect when there is no conventional black hole horizons at finite radius, like the case of $\mathcal{M} \leq 0$ (Fig, 1 (right)), which corresponds to a point particle solution [19]. However, even in this case, even though there a curvature singularity at the origin, it is not naked due to the new *point-like* (apparent) horizon which coincides with the location of the singularity!

[5] Note that the limiting behavior of $x^n\ lnx \to 0^-$ as $x \to 0^+$, or equivalently $lnx/x^n \to 0^+$ as $x \to \infty$, for $n > 0$. The latter limit is usually summarized as "logarithms grow more slowly than any power or root of x".




FIG. 2: Plots of T_H (left) and $\mathcal{M}$ (right) vs. $r_{_H}$ for AdS space. The two solid curves represent the three-dimensional rotating Hořava black holes for different Lorentz-violating higher-derivative coupling $\alpha = 0.24,\ 0.1$ for the dark and bright curves, respectively, in comparison with the BTZ case ($\alpha = 0$) in the dotted curve. Here, I have considered $\xi = 1, \Lambda = -0.5, \mathcal{J} = 1$, and $\hbar \equiv 1$.

B. The dS case

For the dS space, *i.e.*, $\Lambda > 0$, the solution (9) has no conventional black hole horizon but the usual cosmological horizon, r_{++}, where N^2 and f vanish simultaneously, regardless of the sign of $\mathcal{M}$ (Fig. 3): In the case of $\mathcal{J} \neq 0$, there is no constraint on $\mathcal{M}$ for the existence of the cosmological horizon, ranging from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, whereas in the case of $\mathcal{J} = 0$, there is no conventional cosmological horizon for $\mathcal{M} > 0$. However, as in the AdS case, a peculiar (apparent) horizon $r_{--} = 0$, where $N^2 = 0$ but $f \neq 0$ generally, exists but now it becomes the unique "black hole" horizon which hides the singularity at $r = 0$: Near the horizon $r_{--} = 0$, the escape time for the radial null signals from the horizon is $t = \int_0^r dr/\sqrt{N^2 f} \sim \int_0^r dr/r^2|lnr| = Ei(lnr)$ which becomes *infinite* as $r \to 0$ so that any signal from the singularity can not be observed [6]; this property supports our calling $r_{--} = 0$ as a horizon for an outside observer, though it cannot be traversed by any causal curve.

The curvature singularity at the origin $r = 0$, for the non-vanishing α and the rotation parameter $\mathcal{J}$, is not naked by the point-like horizon which is degenerated at the same location so that the cosmic censorship is satisfied in an interesting way. This is in contrast to the non-rotating case in the Hořava gravity solution (9) or KdS_3 in Einstein gravity [7], where there is neither $r = 0$ singularity in (13), (14) nor black hole horizons so that the cosmic censorship conjecture is trivially satisfied.

On the other hand, the Hawking temperature for the cosmological horizon, $r_{_C} = r_{++}$, in dS space is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_C &= \frac{\hbar |W f'|_{r_C}}{4\pi} \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{4\pi} \left| r_{_C} \left(1 - \sqrt{a + \frac{c}{r_{_C}^4}} \right) \right| \Big/ \sqrt{1 + \frac{c}{a r_{_C}^4}} \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

following the usual convention of the *positive* Hawking temperature [7, 20, 21] (Fig. 4 (left))

[6] Here, the *exponential integral* $Ei(x)$ is defined as $Ei(x) = -\int_{-x}^{\infty} dt\ e^{-t} t^{-1}$, for real non-zero values of x.




FIG. 3: Plots of $f(r)$ (green, bright solid), $N^2(r) = W^2 f(r)$ (red, dash-dotted), $N^\phi(r)$ (blue, dark solid) curves for dS space with $\mathcal{M} > 0$ (left) and $\mathcal{M} \leq 0$ (right). Here, I have plotted $\mathcal{M} = 5, -5$, respectively, with $\xi = 1, \Lambda = 0.5, \mathcal{J} = 1, \alpha = 0.1$. There is no conventional black hole horizons but just the usual *cosmological* horizon $r_{_C} = r_{++}$, which is the solution of $f = 0$, $N^2 = 0$, simultaneously. However, there is a peculiar (apparent) *black hole* horizon at the origin $r_{--} = 0$, where $N^2 = 0$ but $f \neq 0$.

and the integration constant $\mathcal{M}$ is given by the same formula as in (17) by replacing $r_{_H}$ with $r_{_C}$ (Fig. 4 (right)). The existence of the two extremal points in the Hawking temperature implies two phase transitions between the stable horizons and the evaporating horizons at

$$r_{_{C1}} = \left\{ \frac{c}{a(a-1)} \left[2 - a + \Delta + \Delta^{-1} \right] \right\}, \tag{23}$$

$$r_{_{C2}} = \left\{ \frac{c}{a(a-1)} \left[2 - a - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta + \Delta^{-1}) - \frac{\sqrt{3}i}{2}(\Delta - \Delta^{-1}) \right] \right\}^{1/4}, \tag{24}$$

where

$$\Delta \equiv \left[1 - 2(a-1)^2 + a(a-1)\sqrt{2(a-2)} \right]. \tag{25}$$

As the observers in the interior region of the rotating dS space, $r \leq r_{_C}$, detect an isotropic background of thermal radiations with the Gibbons-Hawking temperature $T_{_C}$ (22) [20] at the expense of the horizon area, $T_{_C}$ goes down for the case of $r_{_C} \geq r_{_{C1}}$, but $T_{_C}$ goes up for the case of $r_{_C} < r_{_{C1}}$, up to the radius $r_{_{C2}}$ of the local maximum in $T_{_C}$; there is a phase transition between the large stable horizons and the small evaporating (unstable) event horizons at the critical point $r_{_C} = r_{_{C1}}$. This is similar to the case of KdS_3 solution in Einstein gravity but different from the previously studied AdS space black holes in Hořava gravity [1] as well as the BTZ black hole in Einstein gravity [6], which are always stable. As the cosmological horizon $r_{_C}$ shrinks smaller than $r_{_{C2}}$ by the further thermal radiation, $T_{_C}$ goes down again up to the point of vanishing cosmological horizon $r_{_C} = 0$ so that there is another stable phase for $0 \leq r_{_C} < r_{_{C2}}$. The existence of a new stable phase is the genuine effect of the higher-derivative terms in Hořava gravity which persist until a critical coupling $\alpha_c = \xi^2/8\Lambda$, *i.e.*, $a = 2$ so that $\Delta = -1$ ($\alpha = 0.25$ in Fig. 4 (left)) is being reached.

Moreover, the vanishing Hawking temperature $T_{_C}$ in Fig. 4 (left) as

$$T_{_C} = \left| -b\sqrt{a}\, r_{_C} + b\sqrt{\frac{a}{c}}\, r_{_C}^3 + \mathcal{O}(r_{_C}^5) \right| \tag{26}$$




FIG. 4: Plots of T_C (left) and $\mathcal{M}$ (right) vs. $r_{_C}$ for dS space. The three solid curves represent the rotating Hořava-de-Sitter solutions for different Lorentz-violating higher-derivative coupling $\alpha = 0.5, 0.25, 0.1$ (bottom to top (the left part for $\mathcal{M}$)) in comparison with the KdS_3 case ($\alpha = 0$) in the dotted curve. Here, I have considered $\xi = 1, \Lambda = 0.5, \mathcal{J} = 1$, and $\hbar \equiv 1$. The two extremal points at r_{C1} and r_{C2} ($r_{C1} > r_{C2}$) in the temperature imply two phase transitions between the stable horizons and the evaporating (unstable) horizons.

when the cosmological horizon $r_{_C} = r_{++}$ approaches the origin $r_{_C} = 0$ implies the merging of the two horizons r_{++} and $r_{--} = 0$, similarly to AdS case: For an observer in AdS space sitting at the interior region of $0 < r < r_{-}$, the inner horizon r_{-} would be considered as a cosmological horizon so that the *negative* Hawking temperature T_{-} for the inner horizon r_{-} may be interpreted as the *positive* Hawking $T_C \equiv |T_{-}|$ for the "inner cosmological horizon" $r_{_C} \equiv r_{-}$ (cf. [22]), for the consistency with the convention of the *outer* cosmological horizon r_{++}; then, the merging process of the inner cosmological horizon r_{-} and the point-like horizon $r_{--} = 0$ is exactly corresponding to that of the outer cosmological horizon r_{++}.

C. The flat case

For the flat space, *i.e.*, $\Lambda = 0$, the solution (9) shows the most dramatic case. The peculiar property of this solution is that, *regardless of the absence of the cosmological constant* Λ*, there is also another "cosmological" horizon for* $\mathcal{M} > 0$, contrary to the usual wisdom [7], as well as the point-like horizon at $r = 0$, if c does not vanish, *i.e.*, $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{J} \neq 0$ (Fig. 5). This would be due to the combined effects of the (repulsive) nature of the higher derivatives and the angular-momentum barrier, as can be checked analytically in (19) also. Due to the point-like horizon at $r = 0$, the curvature singularity at the origin is not naked as in the dS case. But, the difference from the dS case is that the new cosmological horizon moves to the spatial infinity as $\mathcal{M}$ approaches to zero and disappears as $\mathcal{M}$ becomes negative in the

[7] It seems that the existence of a cosmological horizon even for the three-dimensional flat Einstein gravity ($\alpha = 0$) has not been well recognized until recently [23]; actually, it could have been recognized earlier since the solution is just at the border of the BTZ solution for $\Lambda < 0, \mathcal{M} > 0$ [6] and KdS_3 solution for $\Lambda > 0, \mathcal{M} > 0$ [7]. But in this case, there is neither the point horizon nor the curvature singularity at $r = 0$. More recently, similar atypical asymptotics have been discovered also in the context of *Einstein-aether* theory, or a low-energy limit of Hořava gravity [24].




FIG. 5: Plots of $f(r)$ (green, bright solid), $N^2(r) = W^2 f$ (red, dash-dotted), $N^\phi(r)$ (blue, dark solid) curves for flat space with $\mathcal{M} > 0$ (left, center), $\mathcal{M} < 0$ (right). (The plotted parameters, except $\Lambda = 0$, are the same as in Fig. 3) For $\mathcal{M} > 0$, there is one *cosmological* horizon as well as a peculiar *black hole* horizon at the origin, as in the dS case. For $\mathcal{M} < 0$, there is no *conventional* cosmological/black hole horizon but just the point particle solution remains.

flat case. This is a generic behavior of three-dimensional gravity, without much dependence on the higher curvature terms in Hořava gravity (Fig. 6). On the other hand, the case of $\mathcal{M} < 0$ corresponds to the point particle solution in Hořava gravity [8].

The integration parameter $\mathcal{M}$ and the Hawking temperature T_C can be obtained by setting $a = 1$, *i.e.,* $\Lambda = 0$ in (17) and (22), respectively (Fig. 7). In contrast to the dS case, there is only one extremal point of the temperature with the maximum $T_{max} = \sqrt{2}(2\sqrt{3}-3)^{3/4} bc^{1/4}/8\pi$ and this persists for any coupling as far as the cosmological horizon exists, *i.e.,* $\mathcal{M} > 0$. So, there is only one phase transition between the large evaporating and the small stable (cosmological) horizons at $r = r_{C2} = [(2/\sqrt{3}-1)c]^{1/4}/2$ with $\Delta = 1$.

IV. THE MASS, ANGULAR MOMENTUM, AND THEIR UNUSUAL THERMODYNAMICAL LAW: AN UNIFIED TREATMENT

The leading terms of the corrected solution (11) are the same as in the earlier work [1] and so the conserved quantities and their thermodynamics relations, which are defined at the asymptotic infinity, are unchanged. In this section, I present an *unified* treatment of the horizon thermodynamics for the flat and dS cases, as well as the AdS case in previous work [1].

There are some subtleties in defining the conserved quantities when there is the cosmological horizon, partially due to the absence of an asymptotic observer. However, due to some recent developments on the entanglements [27], the extension of the usual methodology to the hypothetical observer in the causally-disconnected regions could be more than just a mathematical apparatus [1, 21, 28]. Here, I consider and extend the canonical methodology for computing the conserved mass and angular momentum of the rotating solution (9) for the black hole as well as the cosmology solution, based on the boundary variation of the

[8] In the Einstein gravity limit $\alpha \to 0$ [12, 25], the mass and angular momentum are given by $m = \frac{1-\sqrt{-\mathcal{M}}}{4G}, j = \frac{\mathcal{J}}{8G\sqrt{-\mathcal{M}}}$, respectively. It would be an interesting problem to identify those for $\alpha \neq 0$ also (cf [26]).




FIG. 6: Numerical plots of $r_h \equiv (r_H, r_C)$ vs. Λ for different masses, $\mathcal{M} = 5, 2, 0, -2$ (left to right). Depending on the values of $\mathcal{M}$ and Λ, there are two branches of solutions. For the first branch (left two curves), the solutions have two (black hole) horizons (dotted lines for outer horizons and solid lines for inner horizon) for AdS ($\Lambda < 0$) and their inner horizons (only) remain for flat or dS ($\Lambda \geq 0$). In the latter case, the outer horizons in AdS case moves to infinity and the inner horizons become the cosmological horizons in flat or dS case. For the second branch (right two curves), there is no corresponding solution in AdS or flat but exists only in dS case. Here, I have considered $\xi = 1, \mathcal{J} = 5, \alpha = 0.1$.




FIG. 7: Plots of T_C (left) and $\mathcal{M}$ (right) vs. r_C for flat space. (The plotted parameters are the same as in Fig. 4). There is only one extremal point in the temperature which implies one phase transition between the (large) unstable cosmological horizon and the (small) stable horizon.

action. To this ends, I start by considering the variation of the total action $I_{total} = I + B$ with boundary terms B at the infinity $r = \infty$, which may be space-like or time-like, such that the boundary variation $(\delta I)(\infty)$ is canceled by δB and there remain only the bulk terms in δI_{total}, which vanish when the equations of motions hold. Then, for the class of fields that approach our solution (9), or more generally the asymptotic form (11) al least, at $r = \infty$, one finds

$$B = \pm(t_2 - t_1)\left[-W(\infty)M + N^{\phi}(\infty)J\right], \tag{27}$$

which defines the canonical mass and angular momentum

$$M = \pm\frac{2\pi\xi\sqrt{a}}{\kappa}\mathcal{M},\ J = \pm\frac{2\pi\xi}{\kappa}\mathcal{J}, \tag{28}$$

as the conjugates to the asymptotic displacements $N(\infty)$ and $N^{\phi}(\infty)$, respectively, when kept as independent parameters. Here, the upper (+) and lower (−) signs correspond to the AdS and dS/flat cases, respectively, which may be traced back their origins to the opposite role of space and time coordinates on the space-like (AdS case) and the time-like (dS/flat case) boundaries [29]. With the above choice of signs, one can now get the same mass and angular momentum as in the literatures for the dS and flat cases [21, 28], as well as the AdS case [1].

Even though the conserved mass and angular momentum can be obtained, their thermodynamical law for Lorentz-violating black holes has not been well established yet. Actually, previously for the AdS case, it has been shown that the conventional first law of black hole thermodynamics with the usual Hawking temperature and chemical potential does not work [1], and this is fundamentally unchanged even for the dS and flat cases also. To see this, let me consider the variation of the mass M as a function of J and $r_{_h} \equiv (r_{_H}, r_{_C})$, which can be written as

$$dM = Adr_{_h} + \Omega_h dJ \tag{29}$$

with the chemical potential $\Omega_h \equiv -N^{\phi}|_h$ and

$$A \equiv \pm\frac{\pi\xi^2}{\kappa\alpha} r_{_h}\sqrt{a}\left(1 - \sqrt{a + \frac{c}{r_{_h}^4}}\right) \tag{30}$$

(the upper and lower signs for AdS and dS/flat cases, respectively).

Then, in order to see whether the black hole entropy can be defined through the first law of thermodynamics in the conventional form

$$dM = T_h dS + \Omega_h dJ \tag{31}$$

with the usual Hawking temperature T_H of (15) for the AdS case, or T_C of (22) for the dS/flat case, let me define the black hole entropy function $S \equiv S(r_{_h}, J)$, as a function of $r_{_h}$ and J, with

$$dS \equiv \partial_{r_{_h}} S\ dr_{_h} + \partial_J S\ dJ. \tag{32}$$

Then, from (29), (30), and (31), one can find

$$\partial_{r_{_h}} S = \frac{A}{T_h},\ \partial_J S = 0 \tag{33}$$

but

$$\partial_J\partial_{r_{_h}} S - \partial_{r_{_h}}\partial_J S = \frac{\pm 8\pi\alpha\mathcal{J}}{\sqrt{a} r_{_h}^4\sqrt{1 + c/ar_{_h}^4}}, \tag{34}$$

which shows that *the (horizon) entropy is not integrable* with the non-relativistic higher-curvature corrections ($\alpha \neq 0$) for rotating black holes. This proves that the entropy can *not* be defined in the conventional form of the first law of thermodynamics with the usual Hawking temperature and chemical potential, for the generic black holes or cosmology solutions with a rotation.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In conclusion, I have revisited rotating black hole solutions in the three-dimensional Hořava gravity for flat or (A)dS case with the recent corrections [1], and found a peculiar point-like horizon at the origin, even without the conventional black hole horizons. The corrections are crucial to resolve the naked singularity problems in the earlier work since the curvature singularity at the origin is not naked even for the flat and dS cases. So I have found a Hořava gravity generalization of the known solutions for the KdS_3 and flat solutions, as well as BTZ solution, in three-dimensional Einstein gravity. I have also found that a new "cosmological" horizon exists even for the flat case, contrary to the usual wisdom, due to combined effect of the higher derivatives and the angular-momentum barrier. I have studied an *unified* treatment of their unusual black hole thermodynamics for the flat and dS spaces, as well as the AdS space in previous work [1], which might be due to lack of the absolute horizons in the Lorentz-violating gravity and shown that the basic results are unchanged from the earlier work. Several further remarks about remaining problems are in order.

1. The existence of the point-like horizon at the origin resembles the case of black "plane" solutions in four-dimensional Hořava gravity, though the details of horizon structures are different [30]. The point-like horizon in three-dimensional Hořava gravity might be due the lower-derivative equations of motion for N in (8). It would be interesting to see whether the introduction of higher-derivative terms, like the $\nabla^2 R$ term, which has not been considered in this paper, could make the point horizon at $r = 0$ to be *expanded* so that the more sizable black hole may be obtained [31, 32].

2. Regarding the Hawking radiations in Lorentz-violating gravities, there have been some controversial results. For example, for $(3+1)$-dimensional static black holes in the Einstein-aether theory or a low-energy limit of Hořava gravity, it was argued of the radiations at the universal horizon, where even an *infinite-speed* particle can not escape from [33], but none at the Killing horizon in [34]. On the contrary, in [35], it was shown the opposite results in a more direct calculation, *i.e.*, the radiations at the Killing horizon but none at the universal horizon. This has been a long-standing puzzle and it has been clarified only recently that the disagreements were due to the different choices observer's frames (or vacuum) in [36].

The result of [35] seems to support the analysis on black hole thermodynamics in this paper since it shows a thermal radiation "at infinity" with a Hawking temperature fixed by the Killing horizon, not the universal horizon, as suggested in (15). Actually, for *relativistic* particles, the Killing horizon has the same role as in GR and produces the Hawking radiations as usual: For $(3+1)$-dimensional non-rotating black holes in the (UV-complete) Hořava gravity, see [37]. Moreover, interestingly, it is found that the new horizon at $r = 0$ corresponds to a universal horizon [24] and its vanishing temperature for flat or dS case in (26) supports no Hawking radiations at the universal horizons in [35]. However, its full understanding, especially on the (modified) black hole thermodynamics at the Killing horizon, seems to be still an open problem.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Deniz O. Devecioglu, Kyung Kiu Kim, and Cheong Oh Lee for helpful discussions and numerical plotting of Fig. 6. This was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2020R1A2C1010372, 2020R1A6A1A03047877).

---

[1] M. I. Park, Phys. Lett. B **718**, 1137 (2013) [erratum: Phys. Lett. B **809**, 135720 (2020)] [arXiv:1207.4073 [hep-th]].
[2] T. P. Sotiriou, M. Visser and S. Weinfurtner, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 124021 (2011) [arXiv:1103.3013 [hep-th]].
[3] E. M. Lifshitz, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., **11**, 255 & 269 (1941).
[4] B. S. DeWitt, Phys. Rev. **160**, 1113 (1967).
[5] P. Hořava, JHEP **0903**, 020 (2009) [arXiv:0812.4287 [hep-th]]; Phys. Rev. D **79**, 084008 (2009) [arXiv:0901.3775 [hep-th]].
[6] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1849 (1992) [hep-th/9204099].
[7] M. I. Park, Phys. Lett. B **440**, 275 (1998) [hep-th/9806119].
[8] R. P. Kerr, Phys. Rev. Lett. **11**, 237 (1963).
[9] R. Penrose, Riv. Nuovo Cim. **1** 252 (1969).
[10] S. Deser, R. Jackiw, and S. Templeton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 975 (1982).
[11] E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm and P. K. Townsend, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 201301 (2009) [arXiv:0901.1766 [hep-th]].
[12] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and G. 't Hooft, Annals Phys. **152**, 220 (1984).
[13] R. B. Mann, J. J. Oh and M. I. Park, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 064005 (2009) [arXiv:0812.2297 [hep-th]].
[14] E. B. Kiritsis and G. Kofinas, JHEP **1001**, 122 (2010) [arXiv:0910.5487 [hep-th]]; G. Koutsoumbas and P. Pasipoularides, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 044046 (2010) [arXiv:1006.3199 [hep-th]].
[15] D. Blas, O. Pujolas and S. Sibiryakov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 181302 (2010) [arXiv:0909.3525 [hep-th]].
[16] W. Donnelly and T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 104019 (2011) [arXiv:1106.2131 [hep-th]]; J. Bellorin and A. Restuccia, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 104037 (2011) [arXiv:1106.5766 [hep-th]]; X. Gao, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 104033 (2014) [arXiv:1409.6708 [gr-qc]].
[17] D. O. Devecioglu and M. I. Park, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 597 (2020) [arXiv:2001.02556 [hep-th]].
[18] H. C. Kim, M. I. Park, C. Rim and J. H. Yee, JHEP **10**, 060 (2008) [arXiv:0710.1362 [hep-th]].
[19] M. I. Park, Phys. Lett. B **647**, 472 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0602114]; Phys. Rev. D **77**, 026011 (2008) [arXiv:hep-th/0608165]; Phys. Rev. D **77**, 126012 (2008) [arXiv:hep-th/0609027]; Phys. Lett. B **663**, 259 (2008) [arXiv:hep-th/0610140]; Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 095013 (2008) [arXiv:hep-th/0611048].
[20] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 2738 (1977).
[21] M. I. Park, Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 135003 (2008) [arXiv:0705.4381 [hep-th]].
[22] M. Cvetic, G. W. Gibbons, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 106015 (2018) [arXiv:1806.11134 [hep-th]].
[23] L. Cornalba and M. S. Costa, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 066001 (2002) [hep-th/0203031]; G. Barnich,

A. Gomberoff and H. A. Gonzalez, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 024020 (2012) [arXiv:1204.3288 [gr-qc]].
[24] T. P. Sotiriou, I. Vega and D. Vernieri, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 044046 (2014) [arXiv:1405.3715 [gr-qc]].
[25] S. Carlip, *Quantum gravity in 2+1 dimensions* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998)
[26] J. Bellorin and B. Droguett, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 064021 (2019) [arXiv:1905.02836 [gr-qc]].
[27] W. Israel, Phys. Lett. A **57**, 107 (1976); J. M. Maldacena, JHEP **0304**, 021 (2003) [hep-th/0106112]; J. Maldacena and L. Susskind, Fortsch. Phys. **61**, 781 (2013) [arXiv:1306.0533 [hep-th]].
[28] A. Strominger, JHEP **0110**, 034 (2001) [hep-th/0106113]; D. Klemm, Nucl. Phys. B **625**, 295 (2002) [hep-th/0106247]; V. Balasubramanian, J. de Boer and D. Minic, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 123508 (2002) [hep-th/0110108].
[29] J. D. Brown and J. W. York, Jr., Phys. Rev. D **47**, 1407 (1993) [gr-qc/9209012]; V. Iyer and R. M. Wald, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 846 (1994) [gr-qc/9403028].
[30] C. Arguelles, N. Grandi and M. I. Park, JHEP **1510**, 100 (2015) [arXiv:1508.04380 [hep-th]].
[31] S. de Buyl, S. Detournay, G. Giribet and G. S. Ng, JHEP **1402**, 020 (2014) [arXiv:1308.5569 [hep-th]].
[32] M. I. Park, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 084026 (2009) [arXiv:0811.2685 [hep-th]].
[33] P. Berglund, J. Bhattacharyya and D. Mattingly, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 124019 (2012) [arXiv:1202.4497 [hep-th]]; J. Bhattacharyya, M. Colombo and T. P. Sotiriou, Class. Quant. Grav. **33**, no.23, 235003 (2016) [arXiv:1509.01558 [gr-qc]].
[34] P. Berglund, J. Bhattacharyya and D. Mattingly, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 071301 (2013) [arXiv:1210.4940 [hep-th]]; B. Cropp, S. Liberati, A. Mohd and M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 064061 (2014) [arXiv:1312.0405 [gr-qc]].
[35] F. Michel and R. Parentani, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 124049 (2015) [arXiv:1505.00332 [gr-qc]].
[36] M. Herrero-Valea, S. Liberati and R. Santos-Garcia, JHEP **04**, 255 (2021) [arXiv:2101.00028 [gr-qc]].
[37] J. J. Peng and S. Q. Wu, Eur. Phys. J. C **66**, 325 (2010) [arXiv:0906.5121 [hep-th]]; M. Liu, J. Lu and J. Lu, Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 125024 (2011) [arXiv:1108.0758 [hep-th]]; M. Liu, L. Liu, J. Zhang, J. Lu and J. Lu, Gen. Rel. Grav. **44**, 3139 (2012).