arXiv:2008.06925v1 [math.PR] 16 Aug 2020

# SHARP ESTIMATES FOR CONDITIONALLY CENTRED MOMENTS AND FOR COMPACT OPERATORS ON $L^p$ SPACES

EUGENE SHARGORODSKY AND TEO SHARIA

Abstract. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ be a probability space, $\xi$ be a random variable on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$, $\mathcal{G}$ be a sub-$\sigma$-algebra of $\mathcal{F}$, and let $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{E}(\cdot|\mathcal{G})$ be the corresponding conditional expectation operator. We obtain sharp estimates for the moments of $\xi - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi$ in terms of the moments of $\xi$. This allows us to find the optimal constant in the bounded compact approximation property of $L^p([0,1])$, $1 < p < \infty$.

## 1. Introduction: estimates for centred moments

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ be a probability space, $\xi$ be a real valued random variable (r.v.), and $\mathbf{E}\xi$ be the expectation of $\xi$, i.e. $\mathbf{E}\xi := \int_\Omega \xi(\omega)\, d\mathbf{P}(\omega)$. We will always assume that $\Omega$ consists of more than one element and that $\mathcal{F}$ is nontrivial, i.e. $\mathcal{F} \neq \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$. It seems natural to ask what the optimal estimate of the centred $p$-th moment of $\xi$ by its $p$-th absolute (uncentred) moment is. In other words, one is looking for the optimal constant $c_p = c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ in the estimate

$$\|\xi - \mathbf{E}\xi\|_p = \left(\mathbf{E}|\xi - \mathbf{E}\xi|^p\right)^{1/p} \le c_p \left(\mathbf{E}|\xi|^p\right)^{1/p} = c_p \|\xi\|_p, \tag{1}$$

where $1 \le p < \infty$. For $p = \infty$, the above inequality takes the form

$$\|\xi - \mathbf{E}\xi\|_\infty = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\omega\in\Omega} |\xi(\omega) - \mathbf{E}\xi| \le c_\infty \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\omega\in\Omega} |\xi(\omega)| = c_\infty \|\xi\|_\infty. \tag{2}$$

It follows from Hölder's inequality that $|\mathbf{E}\xi| \le \mathbf{E}|\xi| = \|\xi\|_1 \le \|\xi\|_p$. Hence

$$\|\xi - \mathbf{E}\xi\|_p \le \|\xi\|_p + \|\mathbf{E}\xi\|_p = \|\xi\|_p + |\mathbf{E}\xi| \le 2\|\xi\|_p.$$

So, $c_p \le 2$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$. On the other hand, if $\xi$ is not a constant r.v., then $\eta := \xi - \mathbf{E}\xi \neq 0$, but $\mathbf{E}\eta = 0$. Hence $\|\eta - \mathbf{E}\eta\|_p = \|\eta\|_p$, and $c_p \ge 1$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$.

It is well known that $c_2 = 1$. Indeed,

$$\mathbf{E}|\xi - \mathbf{E}\xi|^2 = \mathbf{E}(\xi - \mathbf{E}\xi)^2 = \mathbf{E}\xi^2 - (\mathbf{E}\xi)^2 \le \mathbf{E}\xi^2.$$

Suppose that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ is nonatomic or, more generally, that for every $\alpha \in (0,1)$, there exists $\xi$ such that $\mathbf{P}(\xi = 1) = \alpha$ and $\mathbf{P}(\xi = 0) = 1 - \alpha$. It is clear

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60E15, 47A30; Secondary 46B20, 46B28, 46E30, 47B07.

that $\mathbf{E}|\xi| = \mathbf{E}\xi = \alpha$, $\mathbf{E}|\xi - \mathbf{E}\xi| = 2\alpha(1-\alpha)$, and

$$\frac{\|\xi - \mathbf{E}\xi\|_1}{\|\xi\|_1} = 2(1-\alpha).$$

Sending $\alpha$ to 0, one concludes that $c_1 = 2$. Similarly, if $\mathbf{P}(\xi = 1) = \alpha$ and $\mathbf{P}(\xi = -1) = 1 - \alpha$, then $\mathbf{E}\xi = 2\alpha - 1$, $\|\xi\|_\infty = 1$, and $\|\xi - \mathbf{E}\xi\|_\infty = \max\{2\alpha, 2(1-\alpha)\}$. Sending $\alpha$ to 1 or to 0, one concludes that $c_\infty = 2$. Putting the above information together, one gets

$$c_1 = 2 = c_\infty, \qquad c_2 = 1, \qquad 1 \le c_p \le 2 \quad \text{for all} \quad p \in (1,\infty), \tag{3}$$

where the first equality holds if there are $A \in \mathcal{F}$ with arbitrarily small positive $\mathbf{P}(A)$, e.g., if $\mathbf{P}$ is nonatomic.

The constant $c_p$ is obviously the norm of the operator $\mathbf{C} : L^p(\Omega, \mathbf{P}) \to L^p(\Omega, \mathbf{P})$,

$$\xi \mapsto \mathbf{C}\xi := \xi - \mathbf{E}\xi. \tag{4}$$

Applying the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem (see, e.g., [6, Theorem 1.1.1]) to this operator, one deduces from the equalities in (3) that

$$c_p \le 2^{\left|1-\frac{2}{p}\right|}, \quad 1 < p < \infty \tag{5}$$

(see [30]).

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}) = ([0,1], \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$, where $\lambda$ is the standard Lebesgue measure on $[0,1]$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is the $\sigma$-algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of $[0,1]$. (Equivalently, one can assume that $\Omega$ is a complete separable metric space, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}$ is the Borel $\sigma$-algebra of $\Omega$, and $\mathbf{P}$ is nonatomic; see, e.g., [7, Theorem 9.2.2].) C. Franchetti proved (see [15]) that in this case,

$$c_p = c_p([0,1], \mathcal{L}, \lambda) = \max_{0<\alpha<1} C_p(\alpha) =: C_p \quad \text{for all} \quad 1 < p < \infty, \tag{6}$$

where

$$C_p(\alpha) := \left(\alpha^{p-1} + (1-\alpha)^{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\alpha^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + (1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{7}$$

A simple analysis shows that

$$C_1 := \lim_{p\to 1+0} C_p = 2 = c_1, \qquad C_\infty := \lim_{p\to\infty} C_p = 2 = c_\infty, \tag{8}$$
$$C_2 = 1 = c_2, \qquad C_{p'} = C_p \quad \text{for} \quad p' = \frac{p}{p-1}, \qquad C_p \le 2^{\left|1-\frac{2}{p}\right|}$$

(see [15] and (3)). Given (6), the last inequality is the same as (5), while the equality $C_{p'} = C_p$ follows also from the fact that the adjoint of the operator $\mathbf{C} : L^p(\Omega, \mathbf{P}) \to L^p(\Omega, \mathbf{P})$ (see (4)) is $\mathbf{C} : L^{p'}(\Omega, \mathbf{P}) \to L^{p'}(\Omega, \mathbf{P})$, and hence

$$c_{p'} = \|\mathbf{C}\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega,\mathbf{P})\to L^{p'}(\Omega,\mathbf{P})} = \|\mathbf{C}^*\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega,\mathbf{P})\to L^{p'}(\Omega,\mathbf{P})} = \|\mathbf{C}\|_{L^{p}(\Omega,\mathbf{P})\to L^{p}(\Omega,\mathbf{P})} = c_p.$$

One can also find the following explicit values in [15]:

$$C_3 = \frac{1}{3}\left(17 + 7\sqrt{7}\right)^{1/3} = 1.0957\ldots, \quad C_4 = \left(1 + \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{3}\right)^{1/4} = 1.21156\ldots$$

The proof of (6) in [15] was quite complicated. A much simpler alternative proof was produced by T.F. Móri (see [25]), who was apparently unaware of

C. Franchetti's work. The latter proof goes as follows. First, consider a r.v. $\xi_\alpha$ such that $\mathbf{P}(\xi_\alpha = -b) = 1-\alpha$ and $\mathbf{P}(\xi_\alpha = 1-b) = \alpha$, where

$$b := \frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + (1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}\,. \tag{9}$$

Then

$$\mathbf{E}\xi_\alpha = \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - (1-\alpha)\alpha^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + (1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}\,, \quad \mathbf{E}|\xi_\alpha|^p = \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)}{\left(\alpha^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + (1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)^{p-1}}\,,$$

$$\mathbf{E}|\xi_\alpha - \mathbf{E}\xi_\alpha|^p = \alpha(1-\alpha)\left(\alpha^{p-1} + (1-\alpha)^{p-1}\right),$$

$$\frac{\left(\mathbf{E}|\xi_\alpha - \mathbf{E}\xi_\alpha|^p\right)^{1/p}}{\left(\mathbf{E}|\xi_\alpha|^p\right)^{1/p}} = \left(\alpha^{p-1} + (1-\alpha)^{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\alpha^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + (1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}},$$

which shows that $c_p \geq C_p$. It will be important to us in the next section that the opposite inequality

$$c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}) \leq C_p \tag{10}$$

holds for all probability spaces $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$. The main ingredient that makes T.F. Móri's proof easier than that of C. Franchetti's is the observation that every zero mean probability distribution on $\mathbb{R}$ is a mixture of distributions concentrated on two points and having zero mean (see [18, Lemma 14.4] for a beautiful elementary proof, which is attributed there to K.L. Chung). This allows one to reduce the proof of (10) to showing that

$$\frac{\left(\mathbf{E}|\xi - \mathbf{E}\xi|^p\right)^{1/p}}{\left(\mathbf{E}|\xi|^p\right)^{1/p}} \leq C_p$$

holds for every r.v. $\xi$ that takes only two values. The latter is an elementary although not an entirely trivial calculation.

Yet another proof of (6) was produced by G. Lewicki and L. Skrzypek (see [20]), who were apparently unaware of T.F. Móri's work. They considered the case where $\Omega = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ is the uniform distribution: $\mathbf{P}(k) = \frac{1}{n}$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$, and proved that for $n = 3, 4$ and for all sufficiently large $n$, one has

$$c_p = \max\left\{C_p\left(\frac{k_1}{n}\right),\ C_p\left(\frac{k_2}{n}\right)\right\}, \tag{11}$$

(see (7)), where

$$k_1 := \max\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} :\ \frac{k}{n} \leq \alpha_p\right\}, \quad k_2 := \min\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} :\ \alpha_p \leq \frac{k}{n} < \frac{1}{2}\right\},$$

and $\alpha_p \in (0, 1/6)$ is the unique point at which $C_p(\alpha)$ attains its global maximum in $[0, 1/2]$. They also conjectured that the above result holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (If $n = 3, 4$, then the inequality $\frac{k}{n} < \frac{1}{2}$ means that $k = 1$, and (11)

takes the form

$$c_p = \frac{((n-1)^{p-1}+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left((n-1)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}+1\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}{n}.$$

This result was obtained originally in [34] for $n = 2, 3, 4$.) G. Lewicki and L. Skrzypek showed that $c_p$ in (11) tends to $c_p$ in (6) as $n \to \infty$ and recovered C. Franchetti's result.

As mentioned above,

$$1 \le c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}) \le C_p$$

holds for all probability spaces $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$. It turns out that for every $c \in [1, C_p]$ there exist a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ such that $c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}) = c$. Indeed, let $\Omega = \{-1, 1\}$, $\mathbf{P}(-1) = 1 - \alpha$, $\mathbf{P}(1) = \alpha$. Then $c_p = C_p(\alpha)$ (see [25]), and one can choose $\alpha$ in such a way that $c_p = c$, since

$$C_p(1/2) = 1 = \lim_{\alpha\to 0} C_p(\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha\to 1} C_p(\alpha), \qquad C_p = \max_{0<\alpha<1} C_p(\alpha).$$

All the above results remain true for complex valued random variables ([35, Ch. IV, Miscellaneous theorems and examples, 13], see also [17], [24]). In the next section, we extend them to conditional expectations and then use the obtained results in Section 3 to find the optimal constant in the bounded compact approximation property of $L^p([0, 1])$, $1 < p < \infty$.

## 2. Estimates for conditionally centred moments

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ be a probability space, $\mathcal{G}$ be a sub-$\sigma$-algebra of $\mathcal{F}$, and let $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{E}(\cdot|\mathcal{G})$ be the corresponding conditional expectation operator. Then $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} : L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}) \to L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{P})$, $1 \le p \le \infty$ is a contractive projection that preserves constants, i.e.

$$\left\|\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi\right\|_p \le \|\xi\|_p, \quad \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\left(\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi\right) = \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi \quad \text{for all} \quad \xi \in L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}),$$
$$\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1},$$

where $\mathbb{1}(\omega) = 1$ a.s. (see, e.g., [29, Section 2.1, Theorem 9 and Section 2.2, Theorem 1] or [1, Lemma 6.1.1]). In fact, every contractive projection on $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$, $p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$ that preserves constants is the conditional expectation operator $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}$ for a certain sub-$\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ (see [2], [13], [31], and [29, Section 2.2, Theorem 6]).

**Remark.** The conditional expectation operator $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}$ is a contractive projection on a wide class of Banach function spaces that includes all rearrangement invariant spaces, e.g. Orlicz and Lorentz spaces (see [10], [22, Theorem 2.a.4], and [5, Ch. 2, Theorem 4.8]). The survey paper [28] contains wealth of information on contractive projections in Banach function spaces and on their representability as conditional expectation operators. An example of a Banach function space over $([0, 1], \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$, on which $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}$ is unbounded for a certain sub-$\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{G}$ can be found in [27, Example 4.8].

We are interested in the best constant $c_p = c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{P})$ in the estimate

$$\left\|\xi - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi\right\|_p \le c_p \|\xi\|_p, \quad 1 \le p \le \infty, \tag{12}$$

i.e. in the norm of the operator $I - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} : L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}) \to L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$,

$$c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{P}) = \left\|I - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\right\|_{L^p(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbf{P}) \to L^p(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbf{P})}, \tag{13}$$

where $I$ is the identity operator.

Similarly to Section 1, one has the following:

$$\left\|\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\right\| = 1 \implies \left\|I - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\right\| \le 2.$$

If $\mathcal{G} \ne \mathcal{F}$, then there exists a r.v. $\xi$ such that $\eta := \xi - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi \ne 0$. Since $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\eta = 0$, one has $\|\eta - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\eta\|_p = \|\eta\|_p$, and $\left\|I - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\right\| \ge 1$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$. For $p = 2$, it follows from

$$\mathbf{E}|\xi - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi|^2 = \mathbf{E}|\xi|^2 - \mathbf{E}|\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi|^2 \le \mathbf{E}|\xi|^2$$

(see [18, Theorem 6.1(vi)]) that $c_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{P}) = 1$. The following result is an analogue of (10).

**Theorem 2.1.**

$$c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{P}) \le C_p, \quad 1 \le p \le \infty \tag{14}$$

*(see* (6)–(8), (13)*).*

*Proof.* Since $C_1 = 2 = C_\infty$, it follows from the above that one only needs to consider the case $1 < p < \infty$. Take any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $\xi \in L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$. Since $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi \in L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{P})$, it can be approximated by a countably valued $\mathcal{G}$-measurable function, i.e. there exist pairwise disjoint sets $A_n \in \mathcal{G}$ and numbers $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $n = 1, \dots, N$, $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\mathbf{P}(A_n) > 0$, $\cup_{n=1}^N A_n = \Omega$, and

$$\left|\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi - \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \mathbb{1}_{A_n}\right| < \varepsilon \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{1}{\mathbf{P}(A_n)}\int_{A_n} \xi \, d\mathbf{P} - a_n\right| = \left|\frac{1}{\mathbf{P}(A_n)}\int_{A_n} \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi \, d\mathbf{P} - a_n\right| \\
&= \left|\frac{1}{\mathbf{P}(A_n)}\int_{A_n} \left(\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi - a_n\right) d\mathbf{P}\right| = \left|\frac{1}{\mathbf{P}(A_n)}\int_{A_n} \left(\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi - \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \mathbb{1}_{A_k}\right) d\mathbf{P}\right| \\
&\le \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}(A_n)}\int_{A_n} \left|\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi - \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \mathbb{1}_{A_k}\right| d\mathbf{P} < \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\xi-\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi\right\|_p &\le \left\|\xi-\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{P}(A_n)}\int_{A_n}\xi\,d\mathbf{P}\right)\mathbb{1}_{A_n}\right\|_p\\ &+\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{P}(A_n)}\int_{A_n}\xi\,d\mathbf{P}\right)\mathbb{1}_{A_n}-\sum_{n=1}^{N}a_n\mathbb{1}_{A_n}\right\|_p+\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N}a_n\mathbb{1}_{A_n}-\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi\right\|_p\\ &<\left\|\xi-\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{P}(A_n)}\int_{A_n}\xi\,d\mathbf{P}\right)\mathbb{1}_{A_n}\right\|_p+2\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Applying (10) to the probability spaces $\left(A_n,\mathcal{F}_n,\frac{1}{\mathbf{P}(A_n)}\mathbf{P}\right)$, where

$$\mathcal{F}_n:=\{A\cap A_n|\ A\in\mathcal{F}\},$$

one gets

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\xi-\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{P}(A_n)}\int_{A_n}\xi\,d\mathbf{P}\right)\mathbb{1}_{A_n}\right\|_p^p\\ &=\sum_{n=1}^{N}\int_{A_n}\left|\xi(\omega)-\frac{1}{\mathbf{P}(A_n)}\int_{A_n}\xi\,d\mathbf{P}\right|^p d\mathbf{P}(\omega)\\ &\le\sum_{n=1}^{N}C_p^p\int_{A_n}|\xi(\omega)|^p\,d\mathbf{P}(\omega)=C_p^p\|\xi\|_p^p.\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\left\|\xi-\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi\right\|_p\le C_p\|\xi\|_p+2\varepsilon\quad\text{for all}\quad\varepsilon>0,$$

i.e.

$$\left\|\xi-\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi\right\|_p\le C_p\|\xi\|_p\quad\text{for all}\quad\xi\in L^p(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbf{P}).$$

□

**Example 2.2.** One might ask whether $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi$ is a "better approximation" of $\xi$ in the $L^p$ norm than $\mathbf{E}\xi$, i.e. whether the inequality $c_p(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G},\mathbf{P})\le c_p(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbf{P})$ holds. The following example shows that, in general, this is not the case. Let $1<p<\infty$, $\alpha_p\in(0,1)$ be a point at which $C_p(\alpha)$ attains its maximum (see (7)), $\Omega=\{-1,0,1\}$, $\mathbf{P}(-1)=\tau(1-\alpha_p)$, $\mathbf{P}(1)=\tau\alpha_p$, $\mathbf{P}(0)=1-\tau$, $0<\tau<1$, and

$$\mathcal{G}=\Big\{\emptyset,\{0\},\{-1,1\},\Omega\Big\}.$$

If $\tau$ is close to 0, then it is natural to expect $c_p(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbf{P})$ to be close to the constant $c_p$ for the probability space consisting of two points with probabilities $1-\tau$ and $\tau$, i.e. to $C_p(1-\tau)$ and hence to 1 (see the end of Section 1). On the other hand, if $\xi$ is a random variable supported by $\{-1,1\}$, then $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi$ is also supported by the set $\{-1,1\}$, where it is constant. Hence $c_p(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G},\mathbf{P})$ is greater than or equal to the constant $c_p$ for the probability space consisting of two points 1 and $-1$ with probabilities $\alpha_p$ and $1-\alpha_p$, i.e. to $C_p(\alpha_p)=C_p$.

Then one has $c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{P}) = C_p$ due to Theorem 2.1. Here is a more detailed argument.

For any r.v. $\xi$, one has

$$\mathbf{E}\xi = \tau(1-\alpha_p)\xi(-1) + \tau\alpha_p\xi(1) + (1-\tau)\xi(0).$$

Consider the random variables $\eta$, $\zeta$, and $\xi_0$ defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\eta(\pm 1) = \mathbf{E}\xi, \quad \eta(0) = 0,\\
&\zeta(\pm 1) = 0, \quad \zeta(0) = \tau(1-\alpha_p)\xi(-1) + \tau\alpha_p\xi(1),\\
&\xi_0(\pm 1) = 0, \quad \xi_0(0) = (1-\tau)\xi(0).
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\eta\|_p = \tau^{1/p}|\mathbf{E}\xi| \le \tau^{1/p}\|\xi\|_p\\
&\|\zeta\|_p = (1-\tau)^{1/p}\tau|(1-\alpha_p)\xi(-1) + \alpha_p\xi(1)|\\
&\le (1-\tau)^{1/p}\tau\left((1-\alpha_p)|\xi(-1)|^p + \alpha_p|\xi(1)|^p\right)^{1/p} \le (1-\tau)^{1/p}\tau^{1-1/p}\|\xi\|_p,\\
&\eta(\omega) + \zeta(\omega) + \xi_0(\omega) = \mathbf{E}\xi \quad \text{for all} \quad \omega \in \Omega.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\xi - \mathbf{E}\xi\|_p = \|\xi - (\eta + \zeta + \xi_0)\|_p \le \|\xi - \xi_0\|_p + \|\eta\|_p + \|\zeta\|_p\\
&\le \|\xi\|_p + \tau^{1/p}\|\xi\|_p + (1-\tau)^{1/p}\tau^{1-1/p}\|\xi\|_p \le \left(1 + \tau^{1/p} + \tau^{1-1/p}\right)\|\xi\|_p.
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}) \le 1 + \tau^{1/p} + \tau^{1-1/p},$$

and choosing a sufficiently small $\tau$, one can make $c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ arbitrarily close to 1. On the other hand, let $\xi(-1) = -b$, $\xi(1) = 1-b$, $\xi(0) = 0$, where $b$ is defined by (9) with $\alpha = \alpha_p$. Then the same calculations as in Section 1 show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi(\pm 1) = \frac{\alpha_p(1-\alpha_p)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - (1-\alpha_p)\alpha_p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{\alpha_p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + (1-\alpha_p)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}, \quad \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi(0) = 0,\\
&\frac{\left\|\xi - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\xi\right\|_p}{\|\xi\|_p} = \left(\alpha_p^{p-1} + (1-\alpha_p)^{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\alpha_p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + (1-\alpha_p)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} = C_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $c_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{P}) = C_p$. □

**Theorem 2.3.** *For every $p \in [1, \infty]$ and every $c \in [1, C_p]$, there exists a sub-$\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{L}$ such that*

$$c_p([0,1], \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{G}, \lambda) = c. \tag{15}$$

*Proof.* Take any $\beta \in (0,1)$ and consider the mapping

$$J_\beta x = J_\beta(x) := \frac{\beta}{1-\beta}(1-x), \quad x \in [\beta, 1].$$

It is cleat that $J_\beta$ is a homeomorphism of $[\beta,1]$ onto $[0,\beta]$, and

$$J_\beta^{-1}y = J_\beta^{-1}(y) = 1 - \frac{1-\beta}{\beta}\, y, \quad y \in [0,\beta].$$

Let

$$\mathcal{G}_\beta := \{J_\beta(A) \cup A : \ A \subseteq [\beta,1],\ A \in \mathcal{L}\}. \tag{16}$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{G}_\beta$ is a sub-$\sigma$-algebra of $\mathcal{L}$ and that every $\mathcal{G}_\beta$-measurable r.v. takes equal values at $x \in [\beta,1]$ and $J_\beta x$. Then the condition

$$\int_{J_\beta(A)\cup A} \xi(t)\,dt = \int_{J_\beta(A)\cup A} \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}\xi(t)\,dt \quad \text{for all} \quad A \subseteq [\beta,1],\ A \in \mathcal{L}$$

implies that

$$\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}\xi(x) = \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}\xi(J_\beta x) = (1-\beta)\xi(x) + \beta\xi(J_\beta x), \quad x \in [\beta,1],$$
$$\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}\xi(y) = \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}\xi(J_\beta^{-1} y) = (1-\beta)\xi(J_\beta^{-1}y) + \beta\xi(y), \quad y \in [0,\beta]$$

for every $\xi \in L^1([0,1],\mathcal{L},\lambda)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(y) - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}\xi(y) &= \xi(y) - (1-\beta)\xi(J_\beta^{-1}y) - \beta\xi(y)\\ &= (1-\beta)(\xi(y) - \xi(J_\beta^{-1}y)), \quad y \in [0,\beta], \end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(x) - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}\xi(x) &= \xi(x) - (1-\beta)\xi(x) - \beta\xi(J_\beta x)\\ &= \beta(\xi(x) - \xi(J_\beta x)), \quad x \in [\beta,1]. \end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

Suppose $1 < p < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\xi - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}\xi\right\|_p^p &= (1-\beta)^p \int_0^\beta \left|\xi(y) - \xi(J_\beta^{-1}y)\right|^p dy\\ &+ \beta^p \int_\beta^1 |\xi(x) - \xi(J_\beta x)|^p\,dx\\ &= (1-\beta)^p \frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\int_\beta^1 |\xi(x) - \xi(J_\beta x)|^p\,dx + \beta^p \int_\beta^1 |\xi(x) - \xi(J_\beta x)|^p\,dx.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\kappa := \left(\beta\left((1-\beta)^{p-1} + \beta^{p-1}\right)\right)^{1/p}$. Then it follows from the above that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\xi - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}\xi\right\|_p &= \kappa\left(\int_\beta^1 |\xi(x) - \xi(J_\beta x)|^p\,dx\right)^{1/p}\\ &\le \kappa\left(\left(\int_\beta^1 |\xi(x)|^p\,dx\right)^{1/p} + \left(\int_\beta^1 |\xi(J_\beta x)|^p\,dx\right)^{1/p}\right)\\ &= \kappa\left(\left(\int_\beta^1 |\xi(x)|^p\,dx\right)^{1/p} + \left(\int_0^\beta |\xi(y)|^p\,dy\right)^{1/p}\left(\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}\right)^{1/p}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

Suppose $\|\xi\|_p = 1$ and let $\gamma := \int_\beta^1 |\xi(x)|^p\,dx$. Then $\int_0^\beta |\xi(y)|^p\,dy = 1-\gamma$, and

$$\left\|\xi - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}\xi\right\|_p \le \kappa\left(\gamma^{1/p} + (1-\gamma)^{1/p}\left(\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}\right)^{1/p}\right) =: \kappa\Psi_\beta(\gamma). \tag{20}$$

Solving $\Psi'_\beta(\gamma) = 0$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma^{\frac{1}{p}-1} - (1-\gamma)^{\frac{1}{p}-1}\left(\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}\right)^{1/p} = 0\\
&\iff \left(\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1-p}{p}} = \left(\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}\right)^{1/p}\\
&\iff \gamma = \frac{\left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{1+\left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} = \frac{\beta^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{(1-\beta)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}+\beta^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} \qquad (21)\\
&\iff 1-\gamma = \frac{(1-\beta)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{(1-\beta)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}+\beta^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

So, $\Psi_\beta$ attains its maximum at $\gamma$ given by (21), and, using the equality

$$\frac{1}{p(p-1)} + \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p-1}\,,$$

one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\xi - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}\xi\right\|_p &\le \kappa \left( \frac{\beta^{\frac{1}{p(p-1)}}}{\left((1-\beta)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}+\beta^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right. \qquad (22)\\
&\qquad \left. + \frac{(1-\beta)^{\frac{1}{p(p-1)}}}{\left((1-\beta)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}+\beta^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}\right)^{1/p} \right)\\
&= \kappa \frac{\beta^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + (1-\beta)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{\beta^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\beta^{\frac{1}{p-1}}+(1-\beta)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\\
&= \left((1-\beta)^{p-1}+\beta^{p-1}\right)^{1/p}\left(\beta^{\frac{1}{p-1}}+(1-\beta)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi \in L^p([0,1],\mathcal{L},\lambda)$ with $\|\xi\|_p = 1$. Choosing $\xi$ such that $\int_\beta^1 |\xi(x)|^p\,dx$ equals $\gamma$ given by (21) and

$$\xi(J_\beta x) = -\left(\frac{(1-\gamma)(1-\beta)}{\gamma\beta}\right)^{1/p}\xi(x),$$

one gets the equality $\|\xi\|_p = 1$ and equalities in (19) and (22). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
c_p([0,1],\mathcal{L},\mathcal{G}_\beta,\lambda) &= \left\|I - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}\right\|\\
&= \left((1-\beta)^{p-1}+\beta^{p-1}\right)^{1/p}\left(\beta^{\frac{1}{p-1}}+(1-\beta)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} = C_p(\beta)
\end{aligned}$$

(see (7)). So, one can choose $\beta$ in such a way that $c_p([0,1],\mathcal{L},\mathcal{G}_\beta,\lambda) = c$ (cf. the end of Section 1).

Suppose now $p=\infty$. It follows from (17), (18) that

$$\left\|\xi-\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}\xi\right\|_\infty=\max\{\beta,(1-\beta)\}\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x\in[\beta,1]}|\xi(x)-\xi(J_\beta x)|$$
$$\leq 2\max\{\beta,(1-\beta)\}\|\xi\|_\infty$$

for all $\xi\in L^\infty([0,1],\mathcal{L},\lambda)$. If $\xi(J_\beta x)=-\xi(x)$, $x\in[\beta,1]$, then

$$\left\|\xi-\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}\xi\right\|_\infty=2\max\{\beta,(1-\beta)\}\|\xi\|_\infty.$$

Hence

$$c_\infty([0,1],\mathcal{L},\mathcal{G}_\beta,\lambda)=\left\|I-\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}\right\|=2\max\{\beta,(1-\beta)\}.$$

This proves (15) for $c\in[1,2)$. For $c=2$, one can take $\mathcal{G}=\{\emptyset,[0,1]\}$ and use (3).

Finally, suppose $p=1$. Since the adjoint of the operator $I-\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}:L^1([0,1])\to L^1([0,1])$ is the operator $I-\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}:L^\infty([0,1])\to L^\infty([0,1])$ (see, e.g., [18, Theorem 6.1(vi)]), one has

$$c_1([0,1],\mathcal{L},\mathcal{G}_\beta,\lambda)=\left\|I-\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}\right\|_{L^1([0,1])\to L^1([0,1])}=\left\|I-\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}_\beta}\right\|_{L^\infty([0,1])\to L^\infty([0,1])}$$
$$=c_\infty([0,1],\mathcal{L},\mathcal{G}_\beta,\lambda)=c.$$

□

The sub-$\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{G}_\beta$ (see (16)) is close to the full $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{L}$ in the sense that the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\mathcal{G}_\beta$ and the set $[\beta,1]$ coincides with $\mathcal{L}$. It turns out that if a sub-$\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{G}$ is much smaller than $\mathcal{L}$, then $c_p([0,1],\mathcal{L},\mathcal{G},\lambda)=C_p$. More precisely, if $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbf{P})$ is a separable nonatomic probability space and there exists a r.v. $\xi$ on $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbf{P})$, which is independent of a sub-$\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{G}\subset\mathcal{F}$ and has a nontrivial Gaussian distribution, then $c_p(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G},\mathbf{P})=C_p$, $1\leq p<\infty$ (see [12], [16], or [27, Definitions 1.5–1.7, Corollary 4.25, Corollary 6.12 and the paragraph following it]).

## 3. Estimates for compact operators on $L^p([0,1])$ spaces

For a Banach space $X$, let $\mathfrak{F}(X)$ and $\mathcal{K}(X)$ denote the sets of bounded linear finite rank and compact linear operators on $X$, respectively.

**Definition 3.1.** *A Banach space $X$ is said to have the* bounded compact approximation property (BCAP) *if there exists a constant $M\in(0,+\infty)$ such that given any $\varepsilon>0$ and any finite set $F\subset X$, there exists an operator $T\in\mathcal{K}(X)$ such that*

$$\|I-T\|\leq M\quad\text{and}\quad\|x-Tx\|<\varepsilon\quad\text{for all}\quad x\in F. \tag{23}$$

*We denote by $M(X)$ the infimum of the constants $M$ for which the above conditions are satisfied.*

Many autors (see, e.g., [8], [9], [21], [22], and the references therein) have the condition $\|T\|\leq M$ in place of $\|I-T\|\leq M$ in the definition of BCAP and of related approximation properties. Let $m(X)$ be the infimum of the constants

$M$ for which the conditions in this alternative definition of BCAP are satisfied. It is clear that

$$m(X) - 1 \leq M(X) \leq m(X) + 1.$$

If one is not interested in sharp constants, then it usually does not matter whether one knows $m(X)$ or $M(X)$. However, the latter appears naturally in estimates for the essential norms of operators by their measures of non-compactnes and it is desirable to know the value of $M(X)$ (see [3], [14], [19], [32]). It is well known that $m(L^p([0,1])) = 1$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ (see, e.g., [26, Lemma 19.3.5]). The next result answers the question about the exact value of $M(L^p([0,1]))$.

**Theorem 3.2.**

$$M(L^p([0,1])) = C_p, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty \tag{24}$$

*(see* (6), (7)*).*

The above result implies that $M(L^1([0,1])) = 2$. This equality and $M(L^\infty([0,1])) = 2$ follow from the well known fact that the spaces $L^1([0,1])$ and $L^\infty([0,1])$ have the so called Daugavet property, i.e. $\|I + T\| = 1 + ||T||$ for every $T \in \mathcal{K}(L^p([0,1]))$, $p = 1$ or $\infty$ (see [11], [4], [23], and [27, Ch. 6]).

The proof of (24) consists of proving the inequalities $M(L^p([0,1])) \leq C_p$ and $M(L^p([0,1])) \geq C_p$. We prove the former with the help of Theorem 2.1 and derive the latter from an estimate for compact operators on $L^p([0,1])$ (see Theorem 3.4), which we think might be of an independent interest.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\delta \geq 0$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, and let $T \in \mathcal{K}(L^p([0,1]))$ be such that*

$$\|\gamma \mathbb{1} - T\mathbb{1}\|_{L^p} \leq \delta.$$

*Then*

$$\|I - T\|_{L^p \to L^p} \geq \|I - \gamma \mathbf{E}\|_{L^p \to L^p} - \delta. \tag{25}$$

*Proof.* Take an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $T \in \mathcal{K}(L^p)$, there exists $K_0 \in \mathfrak{F}(L^p)$ such that $\|T - K_0\|_{L^p \to L^p} \leq \varepsilon$ (see, e.g., [21, Sections 1a and 1e]). The operator $K_0$ admits the following representation

$$K_0 f = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( \int_0^1 g_j(t) f(t)\, dt \right) h_j, \quad \forall f \in L^p([0,1]),$$

where $g_j \in L^{p'}([0,1])$, $h_j \in L^p([0,1])$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Approximating the functions $g_j$ by simple functions and rearranging the terms, one can construct an operator $K_1 \in \mathfrak{F}(L^p)$ such that $\|K_0 - K_1\|_{L^p \to L^p} \leq \varepsilon$ and

$$K_1 f = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left( \int_{A_k} f(t)\, dt \right) \varphi_k, \quad \forall f \in L^p([0,1]),$$

where $\varphi_k \in L^p([0,1])$, $k = 1, \ldots, M$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$, and $A_k$ are pairwise disjoint measurable subsets of $[0,1]$ of positive measure such that $[0,1] = \cup_{k=1}^{M} A_k$.

Let $\nu_p(\gamma) := \|I - \gamma\mathbf{E}\|_{L^p([0,1])\to L^p([0,1])}$. There exists $\chi \in L^p([0,1])$ such that $\|\chi\|_{L^p} = 1$ and $\|\chi - \gamma\mathbf{E}\chi\|_{L^p} \geq \nu_p(\gamma) - \varepsilon$. Let $\kappa := \mathbf{E}\chi$. The probability space $(A_k, \mathcal{L}_k, \mathbf{P}_k)$, where

$$\mathcal{L}_k := \{A \cap A_k : \ A \in \mathcal{L}\}, \quad \mathbf{P}_k := \frac{1}{\lambda(A_k)}\,\lambda,$$

is isomorphic (modulo sets of measure 0) to $([0,1], \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ (see, e.g., [7, Theorem 9.2.2 and Corollary 6.6.7]). Let $w_k : A_k \to [0,1]$ be such an isomorphism and let $\chi_k := \chi \circ w_k$. Then $\|\chi_k\|_{L^p(A_k,\mathbf{P}_k)} = 1$, $\|\chi_k - \gamma\mathbf{E}_k\chi_k\|_{L^p(A_k,\mathbf{P}_k)} \geq \nu_p(\gamma) - \varepsilon$, and $\mathbf{E}_k\chi_k = \kappa$, where $\mathbf{E}_k\xi := \int_{A_k} \xi(t)\, d\mathbf{P}_k(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda(A_k)} \int_{A_k} \xi(t)\, dt$. Finally, define $\psi$ by $\psi(t) = \chi_k(t)$, $t \in A_k$, $k = 1, \dots, M$.

Since

$$\int_{A_k} (\chi_k(t) - \kappa)\ dt = \kappa\lambda(A_k) - \kappa\lambda(A_k) = 0,$$

one gets $K_1\,(\psi - \kappa\mathbb{1}) = 0$ and $\mathbf{E}\psi = \kappa$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(I - K_1)\psi\|_{L^p} = \|\psi - K_1\psi\|_{L^p} = \|\psi - K_1\,(\kappa\mathbb{1})\|_{L^p} \\
&\geq \|\psi - T\,(\kappa\mathbb{1})\|_{L^p} - 2\varepsilon\,\|\kappa\mathbb{1}\|_{L^p} \geq \|\psi - \gamma\kappa\mathbb{1}\|_{L^p} - |\kappa|\|\gamma\mathbb{1} - T\mathbb{1}\|_{L^p} - 2\varepsilon|\kappa| \\
&\geq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{M} \int_{A_k} |\chi_k(t) - \gamma\kappa|^p\ dt\right)^{1/p} - (\delta + 2\varepsilon)|\kappa| \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{M} \int_{A_k} |\chi_k(t) - \gamma\mathbf{E}_k\chi_k|^p\ dt\right)^{1/p} - (\delta + 2\varepsilon)|\kappa| \\
&\geq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{M} (\nu_p(\gamma) - \varepsilon)^p \int_{\Omega_k} |\chi_k(t)|^p\ dt\right)^{1/p} - (\delta + 2\varepsilon)\|\psi\|_{L^p} \\
&= (\nu_p(\gamma) - \delta - 3\varepsilon)\|\psi\|_{L^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, $\|I - K_1\|_{L^p\to L^p} \geq \nu_p(\gamma) - \delta - 3\varepsilon$ and hence

$$\|I - T\|_{L^p\to L^p} \geq \nu_p(\gamma) - \delta - 5\varepsilon, \quad \forall\varepsilon > 0,$$

i.e. (25) holds. □

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, and let $T \in \mathcal{K}(L^p([0,1]))$. Then*

$$\|I - T\|_{L^p\to L^p} + \inf_{\|u\|_{L^p}=1} \|(\gamma I - T)u\|_{L^p} \geq \|I - \gamma\mathbf{E}\|_{L^p\to L^p}. \tag{26}$$

*In particular,*

$$\|I - T\|_{L^p\to L^p} + \inf_{\|u\|_{L^p}=1} \|(I - T)u\|_{L^p} \geq \|I - \mathbf{E}\|_{L^p\to L^p} = C_p \tag{27}$$

*(see* (4) *and* (6)*).*

*Proof.* Take an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Let

$$\delta := \inf_{\|u\|_{L^p}=1} \|(\gamma I - T)u\|_{L^p}.$$

There exists $u_0 \in L^p([0,1])$ such that $\|u_0\|_{L^p} = 1$ and $\|(\gamma I - T)u_0\|_{L^p} < \delta + \epsilon$. Then there exists an approximation $v \in L^p([0,1])$ of $u_0$ such that $v \neq 0$ almost everywhere in $[0,1]$ and

$$\|\gamma v - Tv\|_{L^p} \leq (\delta + 2\varepsilon)\|v\|_{L^p}.$$

Let $v_0 := v/\|v\|_{L^p}$ and

$$w(t) := \int_0^t |v_0(x)|^p dx.$$

Then $w$ is a strictly increasing absolutely continuous function that maps $[0,1]$ onto itself. Consider the operator $J$ defined by

$$(Jf)(t) := v_0(t) f(w(t)), \quad t \in [0,1].$$

It is easy to see that $J$ is an isometric automorphism of $L^p$ and $J\mathbb{1} = v_0$.

Set $T_0 := J^{-1}TJ \in \mathcal{K}(L^p)$. Then

$$\|\gamma\mathbb{1} - T_0\mathbb{1}\|_{L^p} = \|\gamma J^{-1}v_0 - J^{-1}Tv_0\|_{L^p} = \|\gamma v_0 - Tv_0\|_{L^p} \leq \delta + 2\varepsilon,$$

and it follows from Lemma 3.3 applied to $T_0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\|I - T\|_{L^p \to L^p} &= \|JJ^{-1} - JT_0J^{-1}\|_{L^p \to L^p} = \|I - T_0\|_{L^p \to L^p} \\ &\geq \|I - \gamma\mathbf{E}\|_{L^p \to L^p} - \delta - 2\varepsilon \quad \text{for all} \quad \varepsilon > 0.\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.4 remains valid for narrow operators $T \in \mathcal{B}(L^p([0,1]))$ ([33]; see [27] for information on narrow operators).

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. If $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ is an eigenvalue of $T \in \mathcal{K}(L^p([0,1]))$, then*

$$\|I - T\|_{L^p \to L^p} \geq \|I - \gamma\mathbf{E}\|_{L^p \to L^p}. \tag{28}$$

*In particular, if $I - T$ is not invertible, then*

$$\|I - T\|_{L^p \to L^p} \geq C_p. \tag{29}$$

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.* It follows from Theorem 3.4 that for every operator $T \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ satisfying the second inequality in (23) the following estimate holds

$$\|I - T\|_{L^p \to L^p} + \varepsilon \geq C_p.$$

Hence $M(L^p([0,1])) \geq C_p$.

To prove the opposite inequality, take any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite set $\{f_1, \ldots, f_N\} \subset L^p([0,1])$. There exist a partition of $[0,1]$ into pairwise disjoint measurable sets $A_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, M$ of positive measure and simple functions $g_1, \ldots, g_N$ that are constant on each $A_k$ and satisfy the inequalities $\|f_n - g_n\|_{L^p([0,1])} < \varepsilon/2$, $n = 1, \ldots, N$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the sub-$\sigma$-algebra of $\mathcal{L}$ generated by the sets $A_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, M$ and consider the conditional expectation operator $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} : L^p([0,1]) \to L^p([0,1])$. The range of $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}$ is the linear span of the indicator functions of the sets $A_k$ and hence is an $M$ dimensional linear subspace of

$L^p([0,1])$. So, $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} \in \mathfrak{F}(L^p([0,1])) \subset \mathcal{K}(L^p([0,1]))$. According to Theorem 2.1, $\left\|I - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\right\|_{L^p \to L^p} \leq C_p$. Further, $\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} g_n = g_n$ by construction, and

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|f_n - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} f_n\right\|_{L^p} &\leq \|f_n - g_n\|_{L^p} + \left\|g_n - \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}} f_n\right\|_{L^p} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \left\|\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}(g_n - f_n)\right\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \|g_n - f_n\|_{L^p} < \varepsilon, \quad n = 1, \ldots, N,\end{aligned}$$

since $\left\|\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{G}}\right\|_{L^p \to L^p} = 1$. Hence $M(L^p([0,1])) \leq C_p$. □

All results of this section remain true for $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, where $\Omega$ is a complete separable metric space, $\mathcal{B}$ is the Borel $\sigma$-algebra of $\Omega$, and $\mu$ is a nonatomic finite measure, since $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)}\mu)$ is isomorphic, modulo sets of measure 0, to $([0,1], \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ (see, e.g., [7, Theorem 9.2.2]).

## References


[1] F. Albiac and N.J. Kalton, *Topics in Banach space theory.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics 233, Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[2] T. Ando, Contractive projections in $L_p$-spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **17**, 391–405, 1966.

[3] K. Astala and H.-O. Tylli, On the bounded compact approximation property and measures of noncompactness. *J. Funct. Anal.* **70**, 388–401, 1987.

[4] V.F. Babenko and S.A. Pichugov, A property of compact operators in the space of integrable functions, *Ukr. Math. J.* **33,** 374–376, 1982.

[5] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators.* Academic Press, Boston etc., 1988.

[6] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation spaces. An introduction.* Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976.

[7] V.I. Bogachev, *Measure theory. Vol. I and II.* Springer, Berlin, 2007.

[8] P.G. Casazza, Approximation properties. In: W.B. Johnson (ed.) et al., *Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces.* Volume 1, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 271–316, 2001.

[9] P.G. Casazza and N.J. Kalton, Notes on approximation properties in separable Banach spaces, *Geometry of Banach spaces, Proc. Conf., Strobl/Austria 1989,* Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. **158**, 49–63, 1991.

[10] R. Cignoli, Conditional expectations and martingales in Banach function spaces, *Indag. Math.* **45**, 7–18, 1983.

[11] I.K. Daugavet, A property of completely continuous operators in the space $C$, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **18**, 5 (113), 157–158, 1963 (Russian).

[12] A. Dorogovtsev and M. Popov, On narrowness of conditional expectation operators in spaces of measurable functions, *Mat. Visn. Nauk. Tov. Im. Shevchenka* **5**, 36–46, 2008 (Ukrainian).

[13] R.G. Douglas, Contractive projections on an $\mathfrak{L}_1$ space, *Pacific J. Math.* **15**, 2, 443–462, 1965.

[14] D.E. Edmunds and E. Shargorodsky, The inner variation of an operator and the essential norms of pointwise multipliers in function spaces, *Houston J. Math.* **31**, 3, 841–855, 2005.

[15] C. Franchetti, The norm of the minimal projection onto hyperplanes in $L^p[0,1]$ and the radial constant, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.*, **VII**, Ser., B 4, 4, 803–821, 1990.

[16] C. Franchetti, Lower bounds for the norms of projections with small kernels, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **45**, 3, 507–511, 1992.

[17] O. Holtz and M. Karow, Real and complex operator norms, (arXiv:math/0512608).

[18] O. Kallenberg, *Foundations of modern probability.* 2nd ed. Springer, New York, 2002.

[19] A. Lebow and M. Schechter, Semigroups of operators and measures of non-compactness, *J. Funct. Anal.* **7**, 1–26, 1971.
[20] G. Lewicki and L. Skrzypek, Minimal projections onto hyperplanes in $\ell_p^n$, *J. Approx. Theory* **202**, 42–63, 2016.
[21] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces I. Sequence spaces.* Ergebnisse der Mathematik 92, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
[22] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces II. Function spaces.* Ergebnisse der Mathematik 97, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
[23] G.Ja. Lozanovskiĭ, On almost integral operators in $KB$-spaces, *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ.* **21**, 7, 35–44, 1966 (Russian).
[24] L. Maligranda and N. Sabourova, Real and complex operator norms between quasi-Banach $L^p - L^q$ spaces, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **14**, 2, 247-270, 2011.
[25] T.F. Móri, Sharp inequalities between centered moments, *JIPAM, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **10**, 4, Paper No. 99, 9p., 2009.
[26] A. Pietsch, *Operator ideals.* North-Holland, Amsterdam – New York – Oxford, 1980.
[27] M. Popov and B. Randrianantoanina, *Narrow operators on function spaces and vector lattices.* de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics **45**, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2013.
[28] B. Randrianantoanina, Norm-one projections in Banach spaces, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **5**, 1, 35–95, 2001.
[29] M.M. Rao, *Stochastic processes: General theory.* Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995.
[30] S. Rolewicz, On projections on subspaces of codimension one, *Studia Math.* **96**, 1, 17–19, 1990.
[31] G.L. Seever, Nonnegative projections on $C_0(X)$, *Pacific J. Math.* **17**, 159–166, 1966.
[32] E. Shargorodsky, On the essential norms of Toeplitz operators with continuous symbols, (arXiv:2007.13178).
[33] E. Shargorodsky and T. Sharia, An estimate for narrow operators on $L^p([0,1])$, (to appear).
[34] L. Skrzypek, On the $L_p$ norm of the Rademacher projection and related inequalities, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137**, 8, 2661–2669, 2009.
[35] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series. Vol. I and II.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

Department of Mathematics, King's College London, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom and Technische Universität Dresden, Fakultät Mathematik, 01062 Dresden, Germany

*E-mail address*: eugene.shargorodsky@kcl.ac.uk

Department of Mathematics, Royal Holloway, University of London, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, United Kingdom

*E-mail address*: t.sharia@rhul.ac.uk