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Abstract

We establish novel uniqueness results for the Gabor phase retrieval problem: If $G : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ denotes the Gabor transform then every $f \in L^4[-c/2, c/2]$ is determined up to a global phase by the values $|Gf(x, \omega)|$ where $(x, \omega)$ are points on the lattice $\mathbb{Z} \times (2c)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$. This for the first time shows that compactly supported functions can be uniquely reconstructed from lattice samples of their spectrogram. Moreover, by making use of recent developments related to sampling in shift-invariant spaces by Gröchenig, Romero and Stöckler, we prove analogous uniqueness results for functions in general shift-invariant spaces with Gaussian generator. Generalizations to nonuniform lattices are also presented. The results are based on a combination of certain Müntz-type theorems as well as sampling theorems in shift-invariant spaces.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Gabor Phase Retrieval

The phase retrieval problem concerns the reconstruction of a given signal $f \in \mathcal{C}$ in a signal class $\mathcal{C}$ contained in a given Banach space $\mathcal{B}$ from phaseless linear measurements. These measurements are of the form $\{\Phi_\lambda(f) : \lambda \in X\}$
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with \((\Phi_\lambda)_{\lambda \in X} \subset \mathcal{B}'\) constituting a family of linear functionals on \(\mathcal{B}\). Problems of this kind arise in a large number of applications, most notably in coherent diffraction imaging and audio processing where the measurements \((\Phi_\lambda(f))_{\lambda \in X} \subset \mathbb{C}\) typically arise as (samples of) the Fourier- or Gabor transform of a signal \(f\), see for example the recent surveys \cite{6,9} and the references therein. The question of when any given \(f \in \mathcal{C}\) is uniquely defined from its phaseless linear measurements up to a global phase, meaning that 
\[ |\Phi_\lambda(f)| = |\Phi_\lambda(h)| \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in X \text{ and some } f, h \in \mathcal{C}, \]
implies that there exists a global phase factor \(\tau \in \mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}\) with \(f = \tau h\), in general constitutes a difficult mathematical problem, especially when \(\mathcal{B}\) is infinite dimensional and \(X\) is discrete as it would be the case in most practical applications. In the present paper we study the phase retrieval problem arising from measurements of the Gabor transform: Let \(\varphi\) be the Gaussian window function \(\varphi(t) = e^{-\pi t^2}\) and denote by \(\mathcal{G} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\) the Gabor transform defined via 
\[ \mathcal{G}f(x,\omega) = \int_\mathbb{R} f(t)\varphi(t-x)e^{-2\pi it\omega} dt. \]
For a subset \(X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2\) of the time-frequency plane we are interested in reconstructing \(f \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R})\) from \(|\mathcal{G}f(x,\omega)| : (x,\omega) \in X\}\), the corresponding spectrogram measurements of the Gabor transform given on \(X\). Such problems arise in Ptychography \cite{5} and audio processing \cite{19}.

**Definition 1.1.** Let \(\mathcal{C} \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R})\) and \(X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2\). We say that the pair \((\mathcal{C}, X)\) satisfies condition \((U)\) if every \(f \in \mathcal{C}\) is determined up to a global phase by the measurements \(|\mathcal{G}f(z)| : z \in X\}\). In formulas,
\[ \forall f, h \in \mathcal{C} : (|\mathcal{G}f(z)| = |\mathcal{G}h(z)| \forall z \in X \implies \exists \tau \in \mathbb{T} : f = \tau h). \quad (U) \]

**1.2 Previous Work**
We provide a list of pairs \((\mathcal{C}, X)\) which are known to satisfy \((U)\):

1. It is well-known that every \(f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\) is determined up to a global phase by the measurements \(|\mathcal{G}f(x,\omega)| : (x,\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^2\}\) and therefore \((L^2(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R}^2)\) satisfies condition \((U)\). This is a direct consequence of the ambiguity-relation
\[ \mathcal{F}(|\mathcal{G}f|^2)(x,\xi) = A\check{f}(x,\xi) \overline{A\varphi(x,\xi)}, \]
and the fact that \(A\varphi\) does not vanish on \(\mathbb{R}^2\) \cite[Theorem A.2]{10}. In practice, the measurements \(|\mathcal{G}f(x,\omega)|\) are never given on whole \(\mathbb{R}^2\) and one seeks to prove similar uniqueness results where \(X\) is "small" in the sense that \(X\) is, for instance, discrete or a set of measure zero.
2. Assume that $e^{i\alpha_1 \mathbb{R}}, e^{i\alpha_2 \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ are two lines which satisfy the condition $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \notin \pi \mathbb{Q}$. A result due to Jaming shows that every entire function of finite order is determined up to a global phase from its modulus on $e^{i\alpha_1 \mathbb{R}} \cup e^{i\alpha_2 \mathbb{R}}$ [15, Theorem 3.3]. The Gabor transform is, except for a non-zero weighting factor and a reflection, an entire function. This follows from the relation

$$\mathcal{G}f(x, -\omega) = e^{\pi i x \omega} Bf(z) e^{-\pi |z|^2/2}$$

where $z = x + i\omega$ and $Bf$ is the Bargmann transform of $f$ [7, Proposition 3.4.1]. The Bargmann transform of a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is an entire function of order less or equal than two [12, Theorem 2]. As a direct consequence, Jaming’s theorem yields the following choice of $\mathcal{C}$ and $X$.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in [0, 2\pi)$ with $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \notin \pi \mathbb{Q}$. Set $\mathcal{C} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $X = e^{i\alpha_1 \mathbb{R}} \cup e^{i\alpha_2 \mathbb{R}}$. Then $(\mathcal{C}, X)$ satisfies condition $(U)$.

Jaming’s result is based on Hadamard’s factorization theorem and its proof reveals that the two lines $e^{i\alpha_1 \mathbb{R}}, e^{i\alpha_2 \mathbb{R}}$ can be replaced by sets $A \subseteq e^{i\alpha_1 \mathbb{R}}, B \subseteq e^{i\alpha_2 \mathbb{R}}$ where $A, B$ are sets of uniqueness of entire functions of order less or equal than two [12, Theorem 2]. As a direct consequence, Jaming’s theorem yields the following choice of $\mathcal{C}$ and $X$.

3. Going from sets which contain a limit point to fully discrete sets, a result due to Alaifari and Wellershoff shows that if $\mathcal{C} = PW^2_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is the class of real-valued Paley-Wiener functions,

$$PW^2_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) = \{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) : \text{supp}(\hat{f}) \subseteq [-b/2, b/2] \}, \quad (1)$$

then the choice $X = (2b)^{-1} \mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$ implies that $(\mathcal{C}, X)$ satisfies condition $(U)$ [1, Theorem 2.5].

To summarize, 1 and 2 above consider non-discrete sampling sets. In practice however the spectrogram can only be sampled on a discrete set $X$. The only result applying to this case is 3 which is however only valid for a very restricted class of (real-valued and band-limited) signals, whereas applications in diffraction imaging would rather require results for more general function classes. In particular, it is unknown which classes of complex-valued functions (if any) can be uniquely recovered from discrete samples of their spectrogram.
1.3 Contributions

In the present paper we significantly improve on previous work by adding two more realistic examples of pairs \((C, X)\) which satisfy condition \((U)\):

Our first main result, Theorem 3.1, shows that condition \((U)\) holds for arbitrary \(c > 0\) with the signal class \(C = L^4[-c/2, c/2]\) of compactly supported \textit{complex-valued} functions and the discrete sampling set \(X = \mathbb{Z} \times (2c)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}\). The proof uses Shannon’s sampling theorem to sample in frequency space as well as Zalik’s theorem (Theorem 2.6) on the completeness of Gaussian translates to obtain the desired sampling rate in \(x\).

Our second main result, Theorem 3.6, shows that condition \((U)\) holds for arbitrary \(\beta \in \mathbb{R} > 0 \setminus \mathbb{Q} > 0\) and \(\varepsilon > 0\) with the signal class

\[
C = V^p_\beta(\varphi) := \{f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}) : f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \varphi(\cdot - \beta k), \quad c \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z})\},
\]

a Gaussian shift-invariant space and the discrete sampling set

\[
X = \frac{\beta}{2 + \varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}.
\]

The proof makes use of recent sampling results \([8]\) as well as Weyl’s equidistribution theorem. Observe that in this result the elements of the signal class \(C\) are neither compactly supported nor band-limited and/or real-valued which thus represents a new level of generality compared to previous results. In addition, a uniqueness result which holds for arbitrary \(\beta > 0\) will be presented.

Finally, we show that our results can be generalized to non-uniform sampling as well as phase space rotations (i.e., fractional Fourier transforms) of the considered signal classes.

1.4 Open Questions

We would like to mention two important questions that are left open:

\textbf{Stability.} Additionally to the uniqueness property \((U)\) practical applications require \textit{stable reconstruction} in the sense that a small perturbation in the measurements results in a comparable reconstruction error. In the context of Gabor phase retrieval this problem is now quite well understood \textit{in the continuous case} \(X = \mathbb{R}^2\) \([10, 11]\) but very little is known in the discrete case (the very recent work \([4]\) being a notable exception).

\textbf{Sharpness.} In our results the density of the sampling set \(X\) either depends on the support size \(c\) (for \(C = L^4[-c/2, c/2]\)) or the “regularity parameter” \(\beta\) (for \(C = V^p_\beta(\varphi)\)). Due to the translation and modulation equivariance of the Gabor transform one can speculate that similar sampling results might hold for sampling sets \(X\) which are independent (or only mildly dependent) on \(c\), resp. \(\beta\). In this sense, it would be interesting to study to which extent our results are sharp.
2 Basic Results

In this section we collect some basic results that will be used later on in Section 3 where our main results will be proven. In Section 2.1 we provide some well known sampling results in Paley-Wiener and shift-invariant spaces, Section 2.2 presents some density results for translates of functions, and Section 2.3 collects some basic properties of the fractional Fourier transform.

2.1 Sampling

Our uniqueness results make use of sampling theorems for Paley-Wiener spaces and certain shift-invariant spaces with Gaussian generator. In general, the term sampling sequence can be defined as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $Q \subseteq C(\mathbb{R})$ be a class of real- or complex-valued, continuous functions. A sequence $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}$ is called sampling sequence for $Q$ if for every $f \in Q$ the implication

$$f(\lambda_n) = 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \implies f \equiv 0$$

holds true.

Sampling sequences for both Paley-Wiener spaces and shift-invariant spaces can be characterized by means of their lower Beurling density. If $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}$ then the lower Beurling density of $\Lambda$ is defined to be

$$D^-(\Lambda) := \liminf_{r \to \infty} \left( \inf_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\#(\Lambda \cap [a,a+r])}{r} \right),$$

where $\#(\Lambda \cap [a,a+r])$ denotes the number of elements in $\Lambda \cap [a,a+r)$. Moreover, the notion of a separated sequence will be used frequently. A sequence $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)_n$ is called separated if there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{k \neq j} |\lambda_k - \lambda_j| \geq \delta.$$

2.1.1 Paley-Wiener spaces

For $p, b > 0$ we denote by $PW_b^p(\mathbb{R})$ the Paley-Wiener space, defined via

$$PW_b^p(\mathbb{R}) = \{ f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}) \mid \text{supp}(\hat{f}) \subseteq [-b/2, b/2] \}.$$

If $p \in [1, \infty)$ then the classical sampling theorem by Shannon, Whittaker and Kotel’nikov implies that every $f \in PW_b^p(\mathbb{R})$ is determined by its samples at $b^{-1}Z$ [13, Theorem 6.13]. In particular, $f$ can be recovered by the cardinal sine series

$$f(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f \left( \frac{n}{b} \right) \text{sinc}(bt - n).$$
and \( \Lambda = b^{-1}\mathbb{Z} \) is a sampling sequence for \( PW_b^p(\mathbb{R}) \). More generally, sampling sequences for \( PW_b^p(\mathbb{R}) \) can be almost characterized in terms of lower bounds on their Beurling density which in turn depends on the Nyquist rate \( b \) [3].

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( 1 < p < \infty \) and let \( \Lambda = (\lambda_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R} \) be a sequence.

1. If \( \Lambda \) is separated and \( D^-(\Lambda) > b \) then \( \Lambda \) is a sampling sequence for \( PW_b^p(\mathbb{R}) \).

2. If \( \Lambda \) is a sampling sequence for \( PW_b^p(\mathbb{R}) \) then \( D^-(\Lambda) \geq b \).

### 2.1.2 Shift-invariant spaces

Recent developments in sampling theory due to Gröchenig, Romero and Stöckler show that assumptions on the lower Beurling density of a sequence, similar to the ones for Paley-Wiener spaces, can be used to characterize sampling sequences for certain shift-invariant spaces [8]. More precisely, they consider shift-invariant spaces generated by a totally positive function of Gaussian type.

We start by defining shift-invariant spaces. Let \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \) and let \( \beta > 0 \) be a step size. The shift-invariant space \( V_{\beta}^p(\varphi) \), generated by the Gaussian \( \varphi(t) = e^{-\pi t^2} \), is defined to be

\[
V_{\beta}^p(\varphi) := \{ f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}) : f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \varphi(\cdot - \beta k), \ c \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}) \}. \tag{2}
\]

Note that functions in \( V_{\beta}^p(\varphi) \) are continuous and if \( 1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty \) then the inclusion

\[
V_{\beta}^1(\varphi) \subset V_{\beta}^p(\varphi) \subset V_{\beta}^q(\varphi)
\]

holds true [2 Corollary 2.5]. Clearly, shift-invariant spaces can be defined in a more general fashion by replacing the Gaussian generator \( \varphi \) by another generator \( g \). In particular, the choice \( g(t) = \text{sinc}(bt) \) for some \( b > 0 \) implies that \( V^2_{1/b}(g) = PW_b^2(\mathbb{R}) \) and we are in the situation of section 2.1.1. For a general introduction to shift-invariant spaces we refer to the survey [20]. In case where the generator is the Gaussian \( \varphi \), we have the following sampling theorem which is in analogy to Theorem 2.2 [8 Theorem 4.4].

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( \beta > 0 \) be a step size and let \( \Lambda = (\lambda_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R} \) be a separated sequence with lower Beurling density \( D^-(\Lambda) > \beta^{-1} \). Then \( \Lambda \) is a sampling sequence for \( V_{\beta}^p(\varphi) \) whenever \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \). Conversely, if \( \Lambda \) is a sampling sequence for \( V_{\beta}^p(\varphi) \) then \( D^-(\Lambda) \geq \beta^{-1} \).

### 2.2 Müntz-Szász type approximations

We wish to combine the above-stated sampling theorems with certain results concerning the density of translates. These results are based on the
classical M"{u}ntz-Sz"{a}sz theorem which we recall briefly [23, p. 78]. While the original form of this theorem is stated in $C[0,1]$, the following result due to Luxemburg and Korevaar provides a generalization to $L^p[a,b]$ whenever $a > 0$ [16, Theorem 6.1].

**Theorem 2.4 (M"{u}ntz-Sz"{a}sz).** Let $[a,b]$ be a compact interval such that $a > 0$ and let $(\lambda_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of distinct real numbers. Let $Q$ be one of the spaces $C[a,b]$ or $L^p[a,b]$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then the sequence of powers \{${}^\lambda_1, {}^\lambda_2, \ldots$\} is complete in $Q$ if and only if

$$\sum'_{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} = \infty,$$

where the symbol $\sum'$ indicates that sum runs over all terms with nonvanishing denominator.

The M"{u}ntz-Sz"{a}sz theorem can be used to investigate under which assumptions a function space can be spanned by discrete translates of a single function $\psi$. For $\tau, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we define the translation operator $T_\tau$ and the modulation operator $M_\nu$ via $T_\tau \psi(t) = \psi(t - \tau)$ and $M_\nu \psi(t) = e^{2\pi i \nu t} \psi(t)$, respectively.

**Definition 2.5.** Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval and let $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a set of shifts. We say that a function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a $\Lambda$-generator for $L^2(I)$ if

$$\text{span} \{T_\lambda \psi \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

is dense in $L^2(I)$.

For instance, Wiener’s Tauberian theorem [22, Theorem 1] implies that every $\psi$ which has an a.e. non-vanishing Fourier transform is an $\mathbb{R}$-generator for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. It is natural to ask if $\Lambda$ can be chosen to be discrete. It then depends on $\Lambda$ and the topology of $I$ whether or not there exists a function that generates $L^2(I)$. For example, there exists no $\mathbb{Z}$-generator for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ but an arbitrary perturbation of $\mathbb{Z}$ of the form $\Lambda = \{n + a_n \mid a_n \neq 0, a_n \to 0\}$ admits a $\Lambda$-generator for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. This is Olevskii’s theorem [17]. If $\psi$ is a Gaussian then the following result due to Zalik provides a M"{u}ntz-type condition on $\Lambda$ such that $\psi$ is a $\Lambda$-generator for $L^2(I)$ whenever $I$ is a compact interval [24, Theorem 4]. We state the result in a slightly modified way.

**Theorem 2.6 (Zalik).** Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a compact interval and let $\psi(t) = ace^{-b^2(t-c)^2}$ be a Gaussian, $a, b > 0, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Further, let $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of distinct real numbers. Then $\psi$ is a $\Lambda$-generator for $L^2(I)$ if and only if the series $\sum' |\lambda_n|^{-1}$ diverges.
2.3 Fractional Fourier transform

Let \((h_n)_n \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})\) be the Hermite basis functions for \(L^2(\mathbb{R})\),

\[
h_n(t) = \frac{2^{1/4}}{\sqrt{n!}} \left( -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^n e^{\pi t^2} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n e^{-2\pi t^2}.
\]

For \(\theta \in \mathbb{R}\), the operator \(\mathcal{F}_\theta : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})\), defined by

\[
\mathcal{F}_\theta f = \sum_n e^{-i\theta n} (f, h_n) h_n,
\]

is called the fractional Fourier transform of order \(\theta\). If we denote by \(u_\theta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}\) the chirp modulation function \(u_\theta(t) = e^{-i\pi |t|^2 \cot \theta}\) then the fractional Fourier transform satisfies the relation

\[
\mathcal{F}_\theta f(\omega) = c_\theta u_\theta(\omega) \mathcal{F}(u_\theta f)(\frac{\omega}{\sin \theta})
\]

where \(c_\theta = \sqrt{1 - i \cot \theta}\) is a normalization factor and \(\mathcal{F} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})\) denotes the ordinary Fourier transform, defined on \(L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})\) by

\[
\mathcal{F} f(\omega) = \int_\mathbb{R} f(t) e^{-2\pi i t \omega} dt
\]

and on \(L^2(\mathbb{R})\) via a standard extension argument. If we define in addition the rotation matrix \(R_\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{2\times2}\) by

\[
R_\theta := \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{pmatrix}
\]

then the fractional Fourier transform has the following properties \[14, 18\] :

1. \(\mathcal{F}_\theta \varphi = \varphi\) for every \(\theta \in \mathbb{R}\) whenever \(\varphi\) is the Gaussian \(\varphi(t) = e^{-\pi t^2}\)
2. \(\mathcal{F}_\theta \mathcal{F}_\eta = \mathcal{F}_{\theta+\eta}\) for every \(\theta, \eta \in \mathbb{R}\)
3. \(\mathcal{F}_{\frac{\pi}{2}} = \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_{-\frac{\pi}{2}} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\) and \(\mathcal{F}_0 = \text{Id}\)
4. \(A(f, g)(R_\theta z) = A(\mathcal{F}_\theta f, \mathcal{F}_\theta g)(z)\) for every \(z \in \mathbb{R}^2\), \(\theta \in \mathbb{R}\) and every \(f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\) where \(A(f, g)\) denotes the cross-ambiguity function of \(f\) and \(g\),

\[
A(f, g) = \int_\mathbb{R} f(t + \frac{\pi}{2}) g(t - \frac{\pi}{2}) e^{-2\pi i t \omega} dt.
\]

Property 2 and 3 above show that \(\{\mathcal{F}_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\) defines a subgroup of the group of bounded invertible linear operators on \(L^2(\mathbb{R})\). By property 4 the action of the fractional Fourier transform on functions \(f\) and \(g\) constitutes a rotation of the time-frequency plane.
3 Main Results

In this section we prove the main results of this paper. In Section 3.1 we derive uniqueness results for compactly supported functions and in Section 3.2 we establish analogous results for functions in shift-invariant spaces with Gaussian generator.

3.1 Compactly supported functions

For a function $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ and a value $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ we introduce the shorthand notation

$$h_{\tau} := (T_{\tau}h)$$

where $T_{\tau}$ is the translation operator, $T_{\tau}h = h(\cdot - \tau)$.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $c > 0$ and $C = L^4[-c/2,c/2]$. Then the choice $X = \mathbb{Z} \times (2c)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ implies that $(C,X)$ satisfies condition $(U)$. In other words, every $f \in L^4[-c/2,c/2]$ is determined up to a global phase by the measurements

$$\{|Gf(x,\omega)| : (x,\omega) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (2c)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

**Proof.** We denote by $\varphi(t) = e^{-\pi t^2}$ the Gaussian window function. Further, we define $p_x(t) := f(t + x/2)\varphi(t - x/2)$. From the definition of the cross-ambiguity function it follows that

$$A(f,\varphi)(x,\omega)A(f,\varphi)(x,\omega) = \mathcal{F}p_x(\omega)\mathcal{F}p_x(\omega) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}^2p_x * \overline{p_x})(\omega).$$

The convolution $\mathcal{F}^2p_x * \overline{p_x}$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{F}^2p_x * \overline{p_x}(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} T_\omega f(k) \overline{f(k)} T_x \overline{\varphi(k)} \varphi(k) dk = \langle f_\omega, T_x \varphi_\omega \rangle,$$

where we used the change of variables $k \mapsto k - \frac{x}{2}$ in the first equality as well as the notation as defined in [3]. From the fact that $\mathcal{G}f(x,\omega) = e^{-\pi i x \omega} A(f,\varphi)(x,\omega)$ we obtain the identity

$$\mathcal{F}_2(|\mathcal{G}f|^2)(x,\omega) = \langle f_\omega, T_x \varphi_\omega \rangle,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_2$ denotes the Fourier transform with respect to the second argument. Observe that $f_\omega = 0$ a.e. whenever $|\omega| > c$. Consequently, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $|\mathcal{G}f(x,\cdot)|^2 \in PW_2^2(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$. Now suppose that a function $h \in L^4[-c/2,c/2]$ satisfies

$$|Gf(n,k)| = |Gh(n,k)|$$

for every $(n,k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (2c)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$. By Shannon’s sampling theorem we know that $(2c)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ is a sampling sequence for $PW_2^2(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$. Consequently, the functions $|Gf(n,\cdot)|^2$ and $|Gh(n,\cdot)|^2$ agree for ever $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Taking the Fourier
transform with respect to the second argument and consulting equation 5, we obtain the relation
\[ \langle f_{\omega} - h_{\omega}, T_n \varphi_{\omega} \rangle = 0 \]
which holds for every \( \omega \in \mathbb{R} \) and every \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). Observe, that for a fixed \( \omega \), the function \( \varphi_{\omega} \) is a Gaussian of the form \( \varphi_{\omega}(t) = ae^{-b(t-d)^2} \) for some \( a, b > 0, d \in \mathbb{R} \). Clearly, the series \( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} |n|^{-1} \) is divergent and it follows from Zalik’s theorem that the system of translates \( \{ T_n \varphi_{\omega} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \) is complete in \( L^2[-c/2, c/2] \). Note that \( f_{\omega} \) and \( h_{\omega} \) have support in \( [-c/2, c/2] \) and by Hölder’s inequality \( f_{\omega} - h_{\omega} \in L^2[-c/2, c/2] \). Therefore, \( f_{\omega} = h_{\omega} \) for every \( \omega \in \mathbb{R} \). Choosing \( \omega = 0 \) we have
\[ |f|^2 = |h|^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)
and \( h = 0 \) whenever \( f = 0 \). If \( f \neq 0 \), select a point \( p \in \mathbb{R} \) for which \( f(p) \neq 0 \). Then \( f(t)f(p) = h(t)h(p) \) for every \( t \). Hence, there exists a \( \tau \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( f = \tau h \). Equation (6) implies \( \tau \in \mathbb{T} \).

In the previous theorem the sampling set \( X = \mathbb{Z} \times (2c)^{-1} \mathbb{Z} \) is a lattice in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), i.e. \( X = A \mathbb{Z}^2 \) for some invertible \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \). This lattice was chosen in such a way that both Shannon’s sampling theorem and Zalik’s theorem were applicable. In view of Theorem 2.2 and the assumptions of Zalik’s theorem, the lattice \( \mathbb{Z} \times (2c)^{-1} \mathbb{Z} \) can be replaced by more general sampling sets.

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose that \( A = (a_n)_n \) and \( B = (b_n)_n \) are sequences in \( \mathbb{R} \) with the following properties:

1. The elements of \((a_n)_n\) are distinct and the series of reciprocals \( \sum' |a_n|^{-1} \) diverges
2. \((b_n)_n\) is a sampling sequence for \( PW_{2c}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \) for some \( c > 0 \)

Then every \( f \in L^4[-c/2, c/2] \) is determined up to a global phase by the values \( \langle Gf(A \times B) \rangle \). In particular, the pair \( (L^4[-c/2, c/2], A \times B) \) satisfies condition \((U)\).

**Proof.** Replacing \( \mathbb{Z} \times (2c)^{-1} \mathbb{Z} \) with \( A \times B \) in the proof of Theorem 3.1 yields the result. \( \square \)

Note that in Theorem 3.2 the assumption on \( B \) to be a sampling sequence for \( PW_{2c}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \) is satisfied if one requires the bound \( D^{-1}(B) > 2c \). Observe further that this theorem can be stated in an even more general way: The sampling sequence \((b_n)_n\) does not need to be a priori fixed. In fact, for every single \( a_n \) we can choose a different sampling sequence \((s^a_k)_k\).
Theorem 3.3. Let \( c > 0 \) and let \( A = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be a sequence of distinct real numbers with the property that the series of reciprocals \( \sum' |a_n|^{-1} \) diverges. For every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) let \((s_n^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\) be a sampling sequence for \( \text{PW}_{2c}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \). Set

\[
X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{(a_n, s_n^k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}
\]

and \( C = L^4[-c/2, c/2] \). Then \((C, X)\) satisfies condition \((U)\).

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as the proof of Theorem 3.1. 

Consulting section 2.3, new uniqueness results can be obtained via a phase-space rotation. In particular, all of the previous theorems can be stated in an analogous manner for band-limited functions by means of a rotation of the lattice by 90 degrees.

Proposition 3.4. If \( \theta \in \mathbb{R} \) then every \( f \in C_{\theta} := \mathcal{F}_{-\theta}L^4[-c/2, c/2] \) is determined up to a global phase by the measurements \( X_{\theta} := \{|\mathcal{G}f(n,k)| : (n,k) \in R_{\theta}(\mathbb{Z} \times (2c)^{-1}\mathbb{Z})\} \), where \( R_{\theta} \) is the rotation matrix as defined in equation 3. In particular, \((C_{\theta}, X_{\theta})\) satisfies condition \((U)\). As a special case, all band-limited functions in the space

\[
C_{\frac{\pi}{2}} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}L^4[-c/2, c/2] = \{f \in \text{PW}_{2c}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) : \hat{f} \in L^4[-c/2, c/2]\}
\]

are determined up to a global phase by the measurements

\[
\{|\mathcal{G}f(n,k)| : (n,k) \in (2c)^{-1}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}\}.
\]

Proof. Suppose that \( f, h \in C_{\theta} \) satisfy

\[
|\mathcal{G}f(R_{\theta}(n,k))| = |\mathcal{G}h(R_{\theta}(n,k))|
\]

for every \((n,k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (2c)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}\). By the properties of the fractional Fourier transform (see section 2.3) we have

\[
|\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_{\theta}f(n,k)| = |\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}_{\theta}h(n,k)|
\]

for every \((n,k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (2c)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}\). By assumption, \( \mathcal{F}_{\theta}f \) and \( \mathcal{F}_{\theta}h \) are elements of \( L^4[-c/2, c/2] \) and the first part of the statement follows from Theorem 3.1. Since \( \mathcal{F}_{-\frac{\pi}{2}} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \) and

\[
R_{\frac{\pi}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

the second part is a special case of the first. 

\[\square\]
3.2 Shift-invariant spaces with Gaussian generator

All of the theorems in the previous chapter used the fact that our signal space $C$ consists of functions which are compactly supported in the time domain or the frequency domain (or more general: their fractional Fourier transform has compact support). Sampling sequences for the Paley-Wiener space were then a crucial tool to obtain lattices which determine $f$ up to a global phase from its corresponding spectrogram measurements. We wish to make use of the sampling Theorem 2.3 which holds for shift-invariant spaces with Gaussian generator to find sets $X$ consisting of separated points such that $(V^p_\beta(\varphi), X)$ satisfies condition $(U)$. The following Lemma will be useful in our further considerations.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\beta > 0$ and $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \varphi(\cdot - \beta k) \in V^1_\beta(\varphi)$. Then the modulus of the Gabor transform of $f$ can be written as

$$|Gf(x, \omega)|^2 = \sum_k \sum_j c_k \overline{a(j, k, \beta)} M_{\frac{j}{2}}(j-k) \varphi(\omega) T_{\frac{j}{2}}(j+k) \varphi(x),$$

where $a(j, k, \beta) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{\pi}{4} (k-j)^2}$. The translation- and modulation operator, respectively.

Proof. If $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \varphi(\cdot - \beta k)$ then it follows from the properties of the Gabor transform that

$$Gf(x, \omega) = \sum_k c_k e^{-2\pi i j \omega} G\varphi(x - \beta k, \omega).$$

Note that the Gabor transform of the Gaussian $\varphi$ is given by $G\varphi(x, \omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\pi i x \omega} e^{-\frac{\pi}{8} (x^2 + \omega^2)}$ and therefore

$$Gf(x, \omega) = \sum_k \frac{c_k}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-2\pi i j \omega} e^{-\pi i (x-\beta k) \omega} e^{-\frac{\pi}{8} ((x-\beta k)^2 + \omega^2)}.$$ 

Consequently, we obtain the identity

$$|Gf(x, \omega)|^2 = \sum_k \sum_j \frac{c_k \overline{a(j, k, \beta)}}{2} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} (j-k) \omega} e^{-\frac{\pi}{8} ((x-\beta k)^2 + (x-j)^2 + 2\omega^2)}.$$ 

But

$$e^{-\frac{\pi}{8} ((x-\beta k)^2 + (x-j)^2 + 2\omega^2)} = e^{-\frac{\pi}{4} (k-j)^2} \varphi(\omega) \varphi(x - \frac{\beta}{2}(j+k))$$

which yields the statement. \qed

The previous representation of $|Gf(x, \omega)|^2$ will be used to provide a condition on the step size $\beta$ such that every function in $V^1_\beta(\varphi)$ is determined up to a global phase from lattice measurements. This is the content of
Theorem 3.6. Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \setminus \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ and let $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)_n$ be a separated sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ with lower Beurling density $D^-(\Lambda) > \frac{2}{\beta}$. Then every $f \in V^1_\beta(\varphi)$ is determined up to a global phase by the measurements $\{(Gf(x, \omega)) : (x, \omega) \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{Z}\}$. In particular, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \setminus \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ the pair $(V^1_\beta(\varphi), \frac{\beta}{2 + \varepsilon}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$ satisfies condition (U).

Proof. Suppose that $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \varphi(-\beta k) \in V^1_\beta(\varphi)$ with $(c_k)_k \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $a(j, k, \beta)$ be defined as in Lemma 3.5. Define a sequence $(A_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}$ via

$$A_n = \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, j + k = n} c_k e^{i j(2 \pi \beta)} a(j, k, \beta) M_{\frac{\beta}{2}(j-k)} \varphi(\omega)$$

where $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed. Moreover, for any fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$ define the sequence $(B_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}$ via

$$B_n = \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, j - k = n} c_k e^{i j(2 \pi \beta)} T_{\frac{\beta}{2}(j+k)} \varphi(x).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.5 that

$$|Gf(x, \omega)|^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_n T_{\frac{\beta}{2} n} \varphi(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} B_n M_{\frac{\beta}{2} n} \varphi(\omega).$$

Since $|a(j, k, \beta)| \leq 1$ for every $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and every $\beta > 0$ we have $\|A\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})} \leq \varphi(\omega) \|c\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})}$ and $\|B\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})} \leq \|c\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})}$. We conclude that for every $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, the map $x \mapsto |Gf(x, \omega)|^2$ is an element of the shift-invariant space $V^1_\beta(\varphi)$. In addition, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the map

$$\omega \mapsto \frac{|Gf(x, \omega)|^2}{\varphi(\omega)}$$

is continuous and $\frac{2}{\beta}$-periodic. Now suppose that $h \in V^1_\beta(\varphi)$ satisfies

$$|Gf(\lambda, n)| = |Gh(\lambda, n)|$$

for every $(\lambda, n) \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{Z}$. According to the previous arguments, we have $|Gf(\cdot, n)|, |Gh(\cdot, n)| \in V^1_\beta(\varphi)$. By Theorem 2.3 the sequence $\Lambda$ is a sampling sequence for $V^1_\beta(\varphi)$ and therefore $|Gf(x, n)| = |Gh(x, n)|$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows that

$$\frac{|Gf(x, \omega)|^2}{\varphi(\omega)} = \frac{|Gh(x, \omega)|^2}{\varphi(\omega)} \quad (7)$$

whenever $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}$. By periodicity, the left- and right-hand side of equation (7) agree for every $\omega \in D$ where

$$D := \left\{ n \mod \frac{2}{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} = \frac{2}{\beta} \left\{ \beta \frac{2}{\beta} n \mod 1 : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$
Since $\beta$ is irrational, it follows from Weyl’s criterion that the sequence $(\frac{n}{\beta} \mod 1)_n$ is uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$ (see [21 Satz 2]). Hence both sides of equation [7] agree on a dense subset of $[0, \frac{2}{\beta}]$. By periodicity and continuity, we conclude that equation [7] holds for every $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $x$ was arbitrary it follows that the modulus of the Gabor transform of $f$ agrees with the modulus of the Gabor transform of $h$ on whole $\mathbb{R}^2$. Consequently, there exists a $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $f = \tau h$.

In the previous theorem, the step size $\beta$ was chosen in such a way that the sequence $(n \mod \frac{2}{\beta})_n$ is dense in $[0, \frac{2}{\beta}]$. Clearly, we can drop the assumption on $\beta$ to be irrational and instead work with a sampling set $\Lambda \times B$ where $B = (b_n)_n$ is such that $(b_n \mod \frac{2}{\beta})_n$ is dense in $[0, \frac{2}{\beta}]$.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let $\beta > 0$ and suppose that $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)_n$ and $B = (b_n)_n$ are sequences in $\mathbb{R}$ with the following properties:

1. The sequence $\Lambda$ is separated with lower Beurling density $D^- (\Lambda) > \frac{2}{\beta}$.
2. The sequence $(b_n \mod \frac{2}{\beta})_n$ is dense in the interval $[0, \frac{2}{\beta}]$.

Then every $f \in V^1_{\beta} (\varphi)$ is determined up to a global phase by the measurements $|Gf (\Lambda \times B)|$ and the pair $(V^1_{\beta} (\varphi), \Lambda \times B)$ satisfies condition (U). In particular, the sequence $B$ can be chosen to be separated. In this case, $\Lambda \times B$ constitutes a separated, discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Similar to the case of compactly supported functions, a rotation of the time-frequency plane yields uniqueness results for functions which have the property of being the fractional Fourier transform of a function in the shift-invariant space $V^1_{\beta} (\varphi)$. More precisely we have

**Proposition 3.8.** Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \setminus \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ and suppose that $\Lambda$ is a sampling sequence for $V^1_{\beta} (\varphi)$. Then every $f \in F_{-\theta} V^1_{\beta} (\varphi)$ is determined up to a global phase by the measurements

$$\{|Gf(x, \omega)| : (x, \omega) \in R_\theta (\Lambda \times \mathbb{Z})\},$$

where $R_\theta$ is the rotation matrix as defined in equation 5.

In particular, if $f$ is the product of a periodic function and the Gaussian $\varphi$ then a rotation by 90 degrees implies the following result.

**Corollary 3.9.** Assume that $f \in L^2 (\mathbb{R})$ factors as $f = \varphi u$ where $u \in C^1 (\mathbb{R})$ is $\beta$-periodic. Let $\Lambda$ be a separated sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ with lower Beurling density $D^- (\Lambda) > 2\beta$. If $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \setminus \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ then $f$ is determined up to a global phase by the measurements

$$\{|Gf(x, \omega)| : (x, \omega) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \Lambda\}.$$
Proof. By assumptions, we can write \( u \) as a Fourier series 
\[
    u(t) = \sum_k c_k e^{2\pi i \frac{t}{k}},
\]
where the sequence \((c_k)\) is in \( \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}) \). Consequently, we have
\[
    F(\varphi u) = \sum_k c_k T_{\frac{1}{k}} \varphi \in V^1_\beta(\varphi).
\]
The statement follows from Theorem 3.6, since 
\[
    |Gf(x, \omega)| = |\hat{Gf}(\omega, -x)|. \quad \square
\]

4 Concluding remarks

1. Let \( s \in \{-1, 1\} \) and \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \). In both Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.6 the lattice \( \mathbb{Z} \times (2c)^{-1} \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \) can be replaced by \( (s\mathbb{N}+a) \times (2c)^{-1} \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbb{Z} \times (s\mathbb{N}+a) \), respectively. Consequently, compactly supported functions and functions in shift-invariant spaces with Gaussian generator are determined by spectrogram samples lying in a half-plane.

2. In the proof of Theorem 3.6 we started by sampling the spectrogram \(|Gf(x, \omega)|\) with respect to the time variable \( x \). The desired result then followed via an application of Weyl’s equidistribution theorem. On the other hand, after applying the sampling Theorem 2.3 we could use the identity \( Gf(x, \omega) = e^{-2\pi i x \omega} \hat{Gf}(\omega, -x) \) and then apply the Fourier transform with respect to the second argument as we did in Theorem 3.1, the case of compactly supported signals. This approach would yield the identity
\[
    F|\hat{Gf}(\omega, \cdot)|^2(x) = \langle (\hat{f})_x, T_\omega \varphi_x \rangle.
\]
Since \( f \) is an element of \( V^1_\beta(\varphi) \), the function \((\hat{f})_x\) is certainly not compactly supported and Zalik’s theorem, Theorem 2.6, is not applicable. At this point, one could use the following generalization of Zalik’s theorem [24, Theorem 2].

\[\textbf{Theorem 4.1.}\] Let \( \psi(t) = ae^{-b(t-c)^2} \) be a Gaussian where \( a, b > 0 \) and \( c \in \mathbb{R} \). Let \( \Omega = (\omega_n) \) be a sequence of distinct real numbers and denote by \( S(\varepsilon) \) the series
\[
    \sum_n \frac{1}{|\omega_n|^{2+\varepsilon}}.
\]
The divergence of \( S(\varepsilon) \) for some some \( \varepsilon > 0 \) suffices for the sequence \((T_{\omega_n}\psi)_n\) to be complete in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), whereas the divergence of \( S(0) \) constitutes a necessary condition for completeness of \((T_{\omega_n}\psi)_n\) in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \).

However, an application of this result is not meaningful for our purposes. For if \( \Omega \) is separated then \( S(\varepsilon) \) certainly converges for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Therefore, this approach would yield sampling sets \( \Lambda \times \Omega \) which do not consist of separated points.
3. At the beginning of the present paper it was shown that if $e^{i\alpha_1 R}, e^{i\alpha_2 R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ are two lines which satisfy the condition $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \notin \pi \mathbb{Q}$ then the pair $(L^2(\mathbb{R}), e^{i\alpha_1 R} \cup e^{i\alpha_2 R})$ satisfies condition (U). This was a consequence of Jaming’s theorem [15]. A crucial step in the proof of Jaming’s theorem lies in the observation that if $f$ is a non-zero entire function then for arbitrary $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ the orbit $D = \{ze^{2i(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)n} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ cannot be contained in the zero set of $f$. For if $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \notin \pi \mathbb{Q}$ then by Weyl’s criterion the set $D$ contains a limit point. In Theorem 3.6, Weyl’s criterion was used to obtain uniqueness results from fully discrete measurements.

4. Since the Fourier transform of the Gaussian $\varphi$ does not vanish, it is a consequence of Wiener’s Tauberian theorem and the continuity of the translation $\tau \mapsto T_\tau$ that the set

$$\bigcup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Q}^2} V_\beta^1(\varphi)$$

is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Theorem 3.6 implies the qualitative result that the class of functions which are determined up to a global phase from $|\mathcal{G}f(X_f)|$, where $X_f$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^2$ depending on $f$, constitutes a dense subset of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.
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