SOME UNIQUENESS RESULTS IN QUASILINEAR SUBHOMOGENEOUS PROBLEMS
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Abstract. We establish uniqueness results for quasilinear elliptic problems through the criterion recently provided in [6]. We apply it to generalized \( p \)-Laplacian subhomogeneous problems that may admit multiple nontrivial nonnegative solutions. Based on a generalized hidden convexity result, we show that uniqueness holds among strongly positive solutions and nonnegative global minimizers. Problems involving nonhomogeneous operators as the so-called \((p,r)\)-Laplacian are also treated.

1. Introduction and main results

A rather general criterion has been recently formulated in [6] to prove uniqueness results for positive critical points of a given functional. Roughly speaking, the authors take advantage of a basic convexity principle, namely, the fact that if \( f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R} \) is differentiable and strictly convex then it has at most one critical point. This principle is then applied to \( f := I \circ \gamma \), where \( I \) is a given functional and \( \gamma \) is a path connecting two hypothetical critical points of \( I \). This uniqueness criterion finds one of its several applications in the generalized \( p \)-Laplacian problem

\[
(P) \quad \begin{aligned}
-\text{div} \left( h(|\nabla u|^p)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \right) &= g(x,u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\nabla u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\]

which is the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to the functional

\[
I(u) := \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{p} H(|\nabla u|^p) - G(x,u) \right),
\]

acting in the Sobolev space \( W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \). Here \( p \in (1,\infty) \), \( \Omega \) is a bounded and smooth domain of \( \mathbb{R}^N \) \((N \geq 1)\), \( H(t) := \int_0^t h(s)ds \), and \( G(x,t) := \int_0^t g(x,s)ds \), where

(H1) \( h : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty) \) is continuous, bounded and nondecreasing,

and \( g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is continuous and satisfies

\[
(A1) \quad |g(x,t)| \leq C(1 + |t|^\sigma) \text{ for all } (x,t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \text{ and some } C, \sigma > 0 \text{ with } \sigma(N-p) \leq (p-1)N + p,
\]

(A2) For every \( x \in \Omega \) the map \( t \mapsto \frac{g(x,t)}{|t|^{\sigma}} \) is nonincreasing in \((0,\infty)\).
Moreover, it is assumed that

(A3) Any nonnegative critical point of $I$ is continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$, and any two positive critical points $u, v$ of $I$ satisfy $\delta^{-1}v \leq u \leq \delta v$ in $\Omega$, for some $\delta \in (0, 1)$.

The next result follows from [6, Theorem 4.1]:

**Theorem 1.1.** Under the above conditions on $h$ and $g$, let $A$ be the set of positive critical points of $I$.

1. If $h > 0$ in $(0, \infty)$ then $A \subset \{\alpha u_0 : \alpha \geq 0\}$ for some $u_0 \in A$.
2. If either $h$ is increasing or the map in (A2) is decreasing, then $A$ is at most a singleton.

**Notation and terminology.** Before proceeding, let us fix some terminology. We say that $f$ is a nondecreasing (respect. increasing) map on $\mathbb{R}$ if $f(t) \leq f(s)$ (respect. $f(t) < f(s)$) for any $t < s$. We say that $u \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ is nonnegative (respect. positive) if $u(x) \geq 0$ (respect. $u(x) > 0$) for all $x \in \Omega$. If $u$ is a measurable function, inequalities involving $u$ are understood holding a.e., and integrals involving $u$ are considered with respect to the Lebesgue measure. A critical point is said nontrivial if it is not identically zero.

Let us comment on the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. First of all, (H1) and (A1) ensure that $I$ is a $C^1$ functional whose critical points are weak solutions of ($P$). Given $u, v \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ positive, set

$$\gamma_p(t) := ((1 - t)u^p + tv^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Since $h$ is nondecreasing, the map $t \mapsto \int_\Omega H(|\nabla \gamma_p(t)|^p)$ is convex on $[0,1]$. Moreover, it is strictly convex if $u \neq v$ and $h$ is increasing or the map in (A2) is decreasing, cf. [6, Lemma 4.3]. Still by (A2), the map $t \mapsto G(x, t^\frac{1}{p})$ is concave on $[0, \infty)$ and consequently $I$ is strictly convex along $\gamma_p$. Finally, (A3) guarantees that $\gamma_p$ is Lipschitz continuous at $t = 0$ and $t = 1$. It follows that if $I'(u) = I'(v) = 0$ then $t \mapsto I(\gamma_p(t))$ is differentiable on $[0, 1]$, with $t = 0$ and $t = 1$ as critical points, which is impossible for a strictly convex map. The same contradiction arises if $u, v$ are linearly independent and $h > 0$ in $(0, \infty)$, as in this case $I$ is still strictly convex along $\gamma_p$.

**Remark 1.2.**

1. If $h \equiv 1$ then (A3) is a consequence of (A1) and (A2). Indeed, first note that $g(x, 0) \geq 0$ in $\Omega$, since otherwise for some $x \in \Omega$ we have $\frac{g(x,t)}{t^{p-1}} \to -\infty$ as $t \to 0^+$, which is clearly impossible by (A2). We deduce that for any $t_0 > 0$ there exists $M > 0$ such that $g(x,t) + Mt^{p-1} \geq 0$ in $\Omega \times [0, t_0]$, in which case the strong maximum principle and Hopf Lemma apply, cf. [28].

In particular, the second assertion in (A3) is satisfied for any nonnegative critical points $u, v \neq 0$, so that in this case Theorem 1.1 holds with $A$ redefined as the set of nontrivial nonnegative critical points of $I$. Note also that by (A1) any such point belongs to $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, cf. [13, 21].

---

1 This property, known as (generalized) hidden convexity, plays an important role in several uniqueness results, and has connections with Hardy and Picone inequalities, as shown in [7].
(2) When dealing with $I$ defined in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, Theorem 1.1 needs the following modification:

(a) If the map in (A2) is decreasing then $A$ is at most a singleton.
(b) If $h$ is increasing and $A$ contains a nonconstant element, then it is a singleton.

As a matter of fact, one may easily find some $g$ and $h$ satisfying (H1) and (A1)-(A3) with $h$ increasing and $g(x, u) \equiv 0$ for $u$ in some interval of positive constants, in which case $A$ has infinitely many elements. The uniqueness result 'modulo positive constants' in (b) is due to the fact that the map $t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} H(\nabla \gamma_p(t)^p)$ is identically zero and therefore not strictly convex if $u \neq v$ are positive constants, see Remark 2.2 below.

Theorem 1.1 provides an alternative proof of some classical results for

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta_p u = g(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{cases}$$

which corresponds to (P) with $h \equiv 1$. Indeed, in [6, Examples 4.4 and 4.6] it is shown that

- $A$ is a singleton if $g(x, u) = u^{p-1}$ and $1 < q < p$ (the subhomogeneous problem [12], or sublinear if $p = 2$ [8]).
- $A$ is one-dimensional if $g(x, u) = \lambda_1 u^{p-1}$ (the homogeneous or eigenvalue problem [4]), where

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(p) := \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p : u \in X, \int_{\Omega} |u|^p = 1 \right\}$$ (1.3)

is the first eigenvalue of the $p$-Laplacian on $X$. In this case $A$ is the set of positive eigenfunctions associated to $\lambda_1$.

Theorem 1.1 also applies to (1.2) if

$$g(x, u) = a(x) u^{p-1} \quad \text{with } 1 < q < p.$$ (1.4)

and $a \in C(\Omega)$, $a \geq 0$, $\neq 0$. On the other hand, it is clear that (A2) fails if $a$ is negative in some part of $\Omega$. In this case it is known that (P) may have dead core solutions, i.e. solutions vanishing in some open subset of $\Omega$ [11] Proposition 1.11], as well as solutions reaching some part of the boundary with null normal derivative [5] Proposition 2.9], which shows that (A3) is not satisfied either.

Our first purpose is to deal with a class of nonlinearities including (1.4) with a sign-changing. More precisely, instead of (A2) we shall assume that

(A2’) There exists $q \in (1, p)$ such that for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ the map $t \mapsto \frac{g(x, t)}{t^{q-1}}$ is nonincreasing in $(0, \infty)$.

We consider the functional $I$ defined either in $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ or $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. To overcome (A3) we restrict ourselves to strongly positive critical points, i.e. critical points lying in

$$P^o := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\{ u \in C^1_0(\Omega) : u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \ \partial_{\nu} u < 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \} & \text{if } X = W^{1,p}_0(\Omega), \\
\{ u \in C^1(\Omega) : u > 0 \text{ on } \Omega \} & \text{if } X = W^{1,p}(\Omega),
\end{array} \right.$$
where \( \nu \) is the outward unit normal to \( \partial \Omega \). Such restriction is not technical, as \( I \) may have multiple nonnegative critical points if \( h \equiv 1 \) and \( g \) is given by (1.4) with a sign-changing, cf. [19] Remark 1.3(3)]. See also [8, 4] and [10] Theorem 1.4(ii) and [17] Proposition 5.1 for \( p = 2 \). On the other hand, \( I \) has at most one positive critical point for such \( h \) and \( g \), cf. [4, 12] for \( p = 2 \) and [18] for \( p > 1 \). Let us note that a condition similar to (A2’) with \( p = 2 \) appears in [12].

Let \( X = W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \). In association with (A2’), we shall consider the path
\[
\gamma_q(t) := ((1-t)u^q + tv^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad t \in [0, 1],
\]
(1.5)
to connect two critical points \( u, v \in P^o \). This path has been mostly used when \( q = p \), but for \( q < p \) one can find the expression \( \gamma_q(1/2) = (\frac{u^q + v^q}{2})^{\frac{1}{q}} \) in uniqueness arguments for sublinear or subhomogeneous type problems [18, 19, 20, 26]. Note that \( \gamma_q \) is Lipschitz continuous at \( t = 0 \) for such \( u, v \)[6, Corollary 3.3]. By Lemma 2.1 below, if \( h > 0 \) in \((0, \infty)\) and \( u \not\equiv v \) then \( t \mapsto \int_\Omega H(|\nabla \gamma_q(t)|^p) \) is strictly convex on \([0, 1] \). (A2’) provides then the strict convexity of \( t \mapsto I(\gamma_q(t)) \). Furthermore, when dealing with global minimizers of \( I \), uniqueness holds not only within \( P^o \), but more generally among nonnegative functions. For such \( u, v \) the path \( \gamma_q \) is not necessarily Lipschitz continuous at \( t = 0 \), yet \( I \) is strictly convex along \( \gamma_q \) for \( u \not\equiv v \). In particular, the inequality
\[
I(\gamma_q(t)) < (1-t)I(u) + tI(v)
\]
holds for any \( t \in (0, 1) \), and the global minimality of \( I(u) = I(v) \) eventually yields a contradiction. Lastly, let us mention that if \( X = W^{1,p}(\Omega) \) then the above arguments hold assuming moreover that either \( u \) or \( v \) is nonconstant.

Our second purpose is to deal with problems involving nonhomogeneous operators, as \(-\Delta_p - \Delta_r \) with \( 1 < p < r \), in which case the associated functional is
\[
I(u) = \int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{r} |\nabla u|^r - G(x,u) \right),
\]
defined on \( W^{1,r}(\Omega) \) or \( W^{1,r}_0(\Omega) \). Some uniqueness results have been proved in [8, 11, 23, 27] for this class of problems under Dirichlet boundary conditions. Note that this functional corresponds to (1.1) with \( h(t) = 1 + t^{\frac{r}{p}-1} \).

More generally, we shall assume that
\[
(H1') \quad h : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \text{ is continuous, nondecreasing, and } \dot{h}(t) \leq C(1 + t^{\frac{r}{p}-1})
\]
for some \( C > 0 \), \( r > p \), and any \( t \geq 0 \),

and, instead of (A1),
\[
(A1') \quad |g(x,t)| \leq C(1 + |t|^\sigma) \text{ for all } (x,t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \text{ and some } C, \sigma > 0 \text{ with } \sigma(N - r) \leq (r - 1)N + r.
\]

It is clear that \( I \) given by (1.4) is a \( C^1 \) functional on \( W^{1,r}(\Omega) \) under (H1’) and (A1’), and these conditions are weaker than (H1) and (A1), respectively. Finally, in view of Remark 1.2(1) we strengthen (A3) as follows:
(A3') Any nonnegative critical point of $I$ is continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$, and any two such points $u, v \neq 0$ satisfy $0 < \delta^{-1}v \leq u \leq \delta v$ in $\Omega$, for some $\delta \in (0, 1)$.

The next subsection contains the statement of our results, which are proved in section 2. We apply our results to a few particular problems and recall some known uniqueness results in section 3.

1.1. **Statement of our results.** From now on $I$ is the functional given by (1.1), and $A$ and $B$ are the sets of nontrivial nonnegative critical points and nonnegative global minimizers of $I$, respectively.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $h$ satisfy (H1) and $h > 0$ in $(0, \infty)$, and $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Carathéodory function satisfying (A1) and (A2').

1. If $X = W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ then $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o$ and $B$ are at most a singleton.
2. If $X = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o$ contains a nonconstant element then it is a singleton. The same conclusion holds for $B$.

**Remark 1.4.**

1. As already observed, the assertions on $B$ rely essentially on (1.6), rather than the strict convexity of $I$ along $\gamma$. Even more, (1.6) satisfied at some $t \in (0, 1)$ suffices to reach a contradiction. This inequality with $t = 1/2$ has been used in [13, 15] to show that $B$ is a singleton if $h \equiv 1$ and $g(x, u) = \lambda u^{p-1} + a(x)u^{q-1}$, with $q \in (1, p)$, $\lambda \leq 0$ and $a$ sign-changing.

2. One can extend the results on $B$ to deal with local minimizers as follows: assume that $C := \{w \in X : I'(w) = 0, I(w) = c\}$ contains a local minimizer $u$ (nonconstant if $X = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$) for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. If for any $v \in C$ with $u \neq v$ the inequality (1.6) holds for every $t \in (0, 1)$, then $C = \{u\}$. This can be seen as a particular case of [6, Theorem 2.2], without requiring $\gamma$ to be Lipschitz continuous at $t = 0$.

3. As discussed in Remark 1.2 (2), the assumption that $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o$ contains a nonconstant element is natural when $X = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. One can remove this condition assuming in addition that the map in (A2') is decreasing, or that $g(x, c) \neq 0$ for any $c > 0$. Note also that if $X = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $g$ satisfies (A1), (A2'), and $g(x, c) \equiv 0$ for exactly one constant $c > 0$, then $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o = \{c\}$. Indeed, in such case there is no other positive constant solving (P), and by Theorem 1.3 we deduce that $I$ has no further critical point in $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o$. This situation occurs for instance if $g(x, u) = u^q - u^r$ with $q \in (1, p)$ and $r > q$, in which case $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o = \{1\}$.

4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 the sets $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o$ and $B$ may be empty, as we shall see in section 3. However, when $X = W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ the set $B$ is nonempty if we assume moreover that $h$ is bounded away from zero and $g(x, t)$ is odd with respect to $t$ or $g(x, t) = 0$ for $t < 0$. Indeed, from (A2') we deduce that $G(x, t) \leq C_2(1 + |t|^q)$ for some $C_2 > 0$ and every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, so that $I$ is coercive, weakly lower-semicontinuous and therefore has a global minimum on $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$. Finding sufficient conditions to have $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o$
nonempty is a more delicate issue. Such conditions have been provided for
\( g(x, u) = \lambda u^{p-1} + a(x)u^{q-1}, \) with \( 1 < q < p, \lambda \leq 0 \) and \( a \) sign-changing in \([8, 19]\), see section 3.

Next we consider \( h \) and \( g \) under (H1') and (A1'). First we observe that \([6, \text{Theorem 4.1}]\) can be easily extended to this situation. Let us recall that \( I \) given by (1.1) acts now on \( W^{1,r}(\Omega) \) or \( W^{1,r}_0(\Omega) \).

**Theorem 1.5.** Let \( h \) satisfy (H1'), and \( g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be a Carathéodory function satisfying (A1'), (A2), and (A3').

1. If \( h > 0 \) in \((0, \infty)\) then \( A \subset \{ \alpha u_0 : \alpha \geq 0 \} \) for some \( u_0 \in A \).
2. If the map in (A2) is decreasing then \( A \) is at most a singleton.
3. If \( h \) is increasing and \( A \) contains a nonconstant element, then it is a singleton.

The extension of Theorem 1.3 reads as follows:

**Theorem 1.6.** Let \( h \) satisfy (H1') and \( h > 0 \) in \((0, \infty)\), and \( g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be a Carathéodory function satisfying (A1') and (A2').

1. If \( X = W^{1,r}_0(\Omega) \) then \( A \cap \mathcal{P}^o \) and \( B \) are at most a singleton.
2. If \( X = W^{1,r}(\Omega) \) and \( A \cap \mathcal{P}^o \) contains a nonconstant element then it is a singleton. The same conclusion holds for \( B \).

**Remark 1.7.** In the same way as in Theorem 1.3, the condition that \( A \) contains a nonconstant element can be removed from Theorem 1.5(3) if the map in (A2) is decreasing or \( g(x, c) \not\equiv 0 \) for any \( c > 0 \). A similar remark applies to \( A \cap \mathcal{P}^o \) and \( B \) in Theorem 1.6.

Finally, let us mention that in view of their variational nature, Theorems 1.3, 1.5, and 1.6 can be adapted to obtain uniqueness results for the equation

\[-\text{div}(h(|\nabla u|^p)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = g(x, u) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega\]

under nonlinear or mixed boundary conditions (as shown in \([7, \text{Examples 4.7 and 4.8}]\)). We refer to \([24]\) for similar results in the semilinear case \( h \equiv 1 \) and \( p = 2 \).

2. Proofs

The proofs are based on \([6, \text{Theorem 1.1}]\) and the following lemma, which sharpens the general hidden convexity established in \([7, \text{Proposition 2.6}]\) by analyzing the strict convexity of the map \( t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} H(|\nabla \gamma_q(t)|^p) \). Moreover \( H \) is not assumed to be homogeneous:

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( 1 < q < p \), and \( u, v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \) with \( u, v \geq 0 \) in \( \Omega \).

1. Let \( \gamma_q \) be given by (1.5). Then

\[ |\nabla \gamma_q(t)|^p \leq (1 - t)|\nabla u|^p + t|\nabla v|^p \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]. \tag{2.1} \]

Moreover, for \( t \in (0, 1) \) the strict inequality holds in the set

\[ \tilde{Z}(u, v) := \{ x \in \Omega : u(x) \neq v(x), |\nabla u(x)| + |\nabla v(x)| > 0 \}. \]
Remark 2.2.\linebreak

Proof.\linebreak

(2) Let \( h > 0 \) in \((0, \infty)\) and \((H')\). If \( u, v \in X = W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \) and \( u \neq v \) then the map \( t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} H(\|\nabla \gamma_q(t)\|^p) \) is strictly convex on \([0, 1]\). In particular,\linebreak
\[
\int_{\Omega} H(\|\nabla \gamma_q(t)\|^p) \leq (1 - t) \int_{\Omega} H(\|\nabla u\|^p) + t \int_{\Omega} H(\|\nabla v\|^p) \quad \forall t \in [0, 1],
\]
with strict inequality for \( t \in (0, 1) \). The same assertions hold for \( X = W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \) assuming in addition that either \( u \) or \( v \) is nonconstant.

Proof.\linebreak

(1) It is enough to prove \( Z \) in the set \( Z = Z(u, v) := \{ x \in \Omega : |\nabla u(x)| + |\nabla v(x)| > 0 \} \), since outside \( Z \) one has \( \nabla \gamma_q(t) = 0 \). We claim that \( \| \) \cite{1} Lemma 3.5 \( \) applies with \( Q(t) = t^q \) and \( M(t) = t^p \). Indeed, in this case \( F_1(t) = qt^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \) and \( F_2(t) = t^p \). One may easily check that \( F(z_1, z_2) := F_1(z_1)F_2(z_2) \) is convex in \((0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)\) and strictly concave in \((0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)\). Arguing as in the proof of (3.9) \cite{1} we find that \( F((1 - t)z + tz) > (1 - t)F(z) + tF(z) \) if \( t \in (0, 1), z \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \), and \( \bar{z} \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \) with \( z \neq \bar{z} \). Applying this inequality to \( z = (Q(u), M(|\nabla u|)) \) and \( \bar{z} = (Q(v), M(|\nabla v|)) \) we infer that \( Z \) holds with strict inequality in \( Z(u, v) \).

(2) Let \( t, s, \alpha \in [0, 1] \). Note that\linebreak
\[
\gamma_q((1 - \alpha)t + \alpha s) = ((1 - \alpha)\gamma_q(t)^q + \alpha \gamma_q(s)^q)^{\frac{1}{q}},
\]
so applying \( \| \) \cite{2} with \( u, v \) replaced by \( \gamma_q(t), \gamma_q(s) \) respectively, we find that\linebreak
\[
|\nabla \gamma_q((1 - \alpha)t + \alpha s)|^p \leq (1 - \alpha)|\nabla \gamma_q(t)|^p + \alpha|\nabla \gamma_q(s)|^p,
\]
with strict inequality in \( Z(\gamma_q(t), \gamma_q(s)) \). Since \( H \) is nondecreasing and convex, we have\linebreak
\[
\int_{\Omega} H(|\nabla \gamma_q((1 - \alpha)t + \alpha s)|^p) \leq (1 - \alpha) \int_{\Omega} H(|\nabla \gamma_q(t)|^p) + \alpha \int_{\Omega} H(|\nabla \gamma_q(s)|^p).
\]
Assume by contradiction that equality holds for some \( t \neq s \) and \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \). Note that \( h > 0 \) yields that \( H \) is increasing in \((0, \infty)\), so that such equality holds only if \( Z(\gamma_q(t), \gamma_q(s)) \) is null. It follows that \( \nabla \gamma_q(t) \equiv \nabla \gamma_q(s) \), so \( \gamma_q(t) \equiv \gamma_q(s) + c \) for some \( c \in \mathbb{R} \). If \( X = W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \) then \( c = 0 \) and \( u \equiv v \). If \( X = W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \) then we can assume that \( c > 0 \), i.e. \( \gamma_q(t) > \gamma_q(s) \). It follows that \( |\nabla \gamma_q(t)| + |\nabla \gamma_q(s)| = 0 \) a.e., so that \( \gamma_q(t), \gamma_q(s) \) are constant functions. Consequently \( u, v \) are constant, and we reach a contradiction.

\[ \Box \]

Remark 2.2.\linebreak

(1) Lemma \( \| \) \cite{2} (1) has been proved for \( t = 1/2 \) in \cite{20}. Using this inequality the authors show that the set of nonnegative minimizers for the Rayleigh quotient \( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \quad (\int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^q)^{\frac{q}{p}} \) in \( W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \) is one-dimensional if \( 1 < q \leq p \), with \( a \)
allowed to change sign.

(2) As shown in [6, Lemmas 3.9 and 4.3], the inequality (2.1) and the convexity of \( t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} H(\|\nabla \gamma(t)\|^{p}) \) still hold for \( q = p \). However, this map is strictly convex if either \( h > 0 \) and \( u, v \) are linearly independent or \( h \) is increasing and \( u \neq v \), with one of them nonconstant when \( X = W^{1,p}(\Omega) \). Indeed, as shown in the proof of [6, Lemma 4.3](2), if \( t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} H(\|\nabla \gamma(t)\|^{p}) \) is not strictly convex then \( u, v \) are linearly dependent, and for \( h \) increasing we deduce that \( |\nabla \gamma(t)| = |\nabla \gamma(t')| \) for some \( t_1 \neq t_2 \). It follows that \( u \equiv v \) or \( \nabla u \equiv \nabla v \equiv 0 \), i.e. \( u \equiv v \) or \( u, v \) are positive constants.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Let us first prove the assertions on \( A \cap \mathcal{P}^{\circ} \). We adapt the proof of [6, Theorem 4.1], which is based on [6, Theorem 1.1]. Assume by contradiction that \( u, v \in \mathcal{P}^{\circ} \) are critical points of \( I \) with \( u \neq v \). First note that \( \gamma_{q} \) is is locally Lipschitz at \( t = 0 \) [6, Corollary 3.3] since \( u, v \) are comparable in the sense of (3.2), as shown in [6, Lemma 3.4]. Assuming that \( u \) is nonconstant if \( X = W^{1,p}(\Omega) \), Lemma 2.1 yields that \( t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} H(\|\nabla \gamma(t)\|^{p}) \) is strictly convex on \([0, 1] \). (A2') yields that \( t \mapsto G(x, t\hat{\gamma}) \) is concave on \([0, \infty) \), so \( t \mapsto I(\gamma(t)) \) is strictly convex, and its derivative vanishes at \( t = 0 \) and \( t = 1 \), which is impossible.

Let us now deal with \( B \). Assume that \( u, v \in B \) and \( u \neq v \) (with at least one of them nonconstant when \( X = W^{1,p}(\Omega) \)). By Lemma 2.1 the map \( t \mapsto I(\gamma(t)) \) is strictly convex on \([0, 1] \), so (1.6) holds for any \( t \in (0, 1) \), which is clearly impossible since \( u, v \) minimize \( I \) globally. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.** One can repeat the proof of [6, Theorem 4.1] with the same path \( \gamma_{p} \), and replacing \( W^{1,p}(\Omega) \) by \( W^{1,r}(\Omega) \). By (H1') and (A1') the functional \( I \) is \( C^{1} \) on \( W^{1,r}(\Omega) \). Note also that [6, Lemma 4.3(ii)] holds in \( W^{1,r}(\Omega) \) (or \( W^{1,p}(\Omega) \)) if we assume in addition that either \( u \) or \( v \) is nonconstant, cf. Remark 2.2. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.6.** By (H1') we have \( 0 \leq H(t) \leq C(1 + t\hat{\gamma}) \) for some \( C > 0 \), and any \( t \geq 0 \). Note that (2.1) holds also with \( p \) replaced by \( r \), so that Lemma 2.1(2) holds in particular for \( u, v \in X \), with \( X = W^{1,r}(\Omega) \) or \( X = W^{1,r}_{0}(\Omega) \). We can then repeat the proof of Theorem 1.3 to get the desired conclusions. \( \square \)

3. **Examples**

Next we apply our results to some specific problems. Below we assume that \( a, b \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \), and \( p^{*} \) is the critical Sobolev exponent, i.e. \( p^{*} = Np/(N - p) \) if \( p < N \) and \( p^{*} = \infty \) if \( p \geq N \).

(1) First we apply Theorem 1.3 to \( h \equiv 1 \), i.e. to the problem (1.2). Let us observe that in the following cases the strong maximum principle and the Hopf lemma do not apply.

(a) \( g(x, u) = a(x)u^{q-1} \) with \( q \in (1, p) \) and a sign-changing.

Note that \( g(x, c) \neq 0 \) for any constant \( c > 0 \), so we deduce that \( A \cap \mathcal{P}^{\circ} \) and \( B \) are at most a singleton if \( X = W^{1,p}(\Omega) \) or \( X = W^{1,p}_{0}(\Omega) \).
When $p = 2$ and $a$ is smooth, the fact that $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o$ is at most a singleton has been proved in [4] for $X = H^1(\Omega)$, and in [12] for $X = H^1_0(\Omega)$. These results hold for classical solutions, and are proved via a change of variables and the maximum principle. In [18, Theorem 1.1] it is shown, for $p > 1$, that $B$ is a singleton (assuming that $\int_{\Omega} a < 0$ if $X = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$) and $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o \subset B$. It is also shown in [18, Theorem 1.2 and Remark 2.10] that $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o$ is a singleton if either $q$ is close enough to $p$ or $X = W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ and $a^-$ is sufficiently small. When $a$ is too negative in some part of $\Omega$ every nonnegative critical point has a dead core [11, Proposition 1.11], i.e. a region where it vanishes. In this situation no positive solution exists, so that $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o$ is empty, but $B$ is a singleton. Finally, both $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o$ and $B$ are empty when $\int_{\Omega} a > 0$ and $X = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Indeed, in this case it is clear that the functional is unbounded from below (over the set of constant functions), and taking $u^{1-q}$ as test function we see that $\int_{\Omega} a < 0$ if $u \in A \cap \mathcal{P}^o$.

(b) $g(x, u) = a(x)u^{q-1} + b(x)u^{r-1}$ with $q \in (1, p)$, $r \in (q, p^*)$, a sign-changing and $b \leq 0$, $\neq 0$.

For such $g$ one may easily show that $I$ is coercive. Moreover $g(x, c) \neq 0$ for any constant $c > 0$, so that $B$ is a singleton and $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o$ is at most a singleton.

Following the approach of [4], the fact that $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o$ is at most a singleton has been proved in [1] for $X = H^1(\Omega)$, $r = p = 2$, and $b \equiv \lambda < 0$. In [19] the results of [18] were extended to any $r = p$ and $b \equiv \lambda < 0$. In this case $B$ is a singleton [18, Theorem 1.1], whereas $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o$ is a singleton if either $q$ is close enough to $p$ or $a^-$ is sufficiently small [18, Theorem 1.6 and Proposition 4.6], and it is empty if $a$ is negative enough in some part of $\Omega$ [13, Theorem 1.8]. When $X = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $\int_{\Omega} a < 0$, and $q$ is close to $p$, the condition $\lambda \leq 0$ is optimal for the uniqueness in $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o$, as $I$ has at least two critical points in $\mathcal{P}^o$ for $\lambda > 0$ small [18, Theorem 1.4]. Finally, it is not difficult to see that $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o$ and $B$ are empty if $\lambda > 0$ is large enough.

Let us note that in [10] it is claimed that $B$ is a singleton for $r = 2q$ and $b \equiv -1$, but we have not found a proof of this uniqueness result.

(c) $g(x, u) = a(x)u^{q-1} + b(x)u^{r-1}$ with $1 \leq r < q < p$, $a^- \neq 0$, and $b \geq 0$, $\neq 0$.

In this case $B$ is a singleton if we assume that $\int_{\Omega} a < 0$ when $X = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. If $a$ is sign-changing then $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o$ is a singleton when $q$ is close enough to $p$ or $X = W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ and $a^-$ is sufficiently small. Indeed, as mentioned in (1), in this case the equation $-\Delta_p u = a(x)u^{q-1}$ has exactly one solution in $\mathcal{P}^o$, and since $b \geq 0$ this solution is a subsolution for $-\Delta_p u = g(x, u)$. Since this problem has arbitrarily large supersolutions, we obtain a solution in $\mathcal{P}^o$ by the sub-supersolutions method, so that $A \cap \mathcal{P}^o$ is a singleton.
We apply now Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 to \( h(t) = 1 + t^{r-1}, \) with \( 1 < p < r. \) Note that for such \( h \) and \( g \) satisfying (A1'), critical points of \( I, \) defined on \( W^{1,r}(\Omega) \) or \( W^{1,r}_0(\Omega), \) belong to \( C^1(\Omega), \) cf. [22].

(a) \( g(x, u) = a(x)u^{p-1} - b(x)u^{q-1}, \) with \( q > p \) and \( b \geq 0. \)

Let us first assume \( q < r^*. \) The strong maximum principle and the Hopf lemma [26, Theorems 5.3.1 and 5.5.1] apply to \( (P), \) so (A3') is satisfied. Note also that \( g(x, c) \equiv 0 \) for at most one constant \( c > 0 \) (and in this case \( a, b \) are linearly dependent). By Theorem 1.5 we deduce that \( I \) has at most one nontrivial nonnegative critical point. Since \( p < r \) and \( b \geq 0, \) it follows that \( I \) is coercive, assuming if \( X = W^{1,r}(\Omega) \) that \( b \not\equiv 0 \) or \( \int_\Omega a < 0. \) It has a nontrivial global minimizer if \( a(x) \geq \lambda_1(p), \) where \( \lambda_1(p) \) is given by (2.1). More generally, this result holds if \( \inf_{u \in X} \int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^p - a(x)|u|^p) < 0, \) which is also a necessary condition to have \( A \neq \emptyset. \) Now, for \( q \geq r^* \) one may argue as in [6, Example 4.9] to show that \( A \) is a singleton if \( a \equiv a_0 > \lambda_1(p) \) and \( b \equiv b_0 > 0. \) Note that if \( X = W^{1,r}(\Omega) \) then \( A = \{(a b_0^{1-r})^{1-r}\}. \)

For \( a > \lambda_1(p), \) \( X = W^{1,r}_0(\Omega), \) and \( q = r, \) the existence and uniqueness of a positive critical point has been observed in [5, 23, 27].

(b) \( g(x, u) = a(x)u^{q-1} \) with \( 1 < q < p \) and \( a^+ \neq 0. \)

If \( a \geq 0 \) then the strong maximum principle and Hopf Lemma apply, so (A3') holds and we can apply Theorem 1.7 to deduce that \( A \) is at most a singleton. If \( X = W^{1,r}_0(\Omega) \) then \( I \) is clearly coercive and has a nontrivial global minimizer, so \( A \) is a singleton. Now, if \( X = W^{1,r}(\Omega) \) then integrating the equation we see that \( A \) is empty.

The existence and uniqueness of a positive critical point has been proved in [14] for the Dirichlet problem with \( N = 1 \) and \( a \) a positive constant.

Assume now that \( a \) changes sign. By Theorem 1.6 the sets \( A \cap P^o \) and \( B \) are at most a singleton (note that no positive constant solves \( (P) \)). Since \( q < p < r, \) it is straightforward that \( I \) is coercive and has a nontrivial global minimizer (so \( B \) is a singleton), assuming in addition that \( \int_\Omega a < 0 \) if \( X = W^{1,r}(\Omega). \)
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