arXiv:2008.07825v2 [math-ph] 13 Sep 2022

# The Classical Compact Groups and Gaussian Multiplicative Chaos

Johannes Forkel*and Jonathan P. Keating†

September 15, 2022

**Abstract**

We consider powers of the absolute value of the characteristic polynomial of Haar distributed random orthogonal or symplectic matrices, as well as powers of the exponential of its argument, as a random measure on the unit circle. We also consider the case where these measures are restricted to the unit circle minus small neighborhoods around $\pm 1$. We show that for small enough powers and under suitable normalization, as the matrix size goes to infinity, these random measures converge in distribution to a Gaussian multiplicative chaos measure. Our result is analogous to one relating to unitary matrices previously established by Christian Webb in [49]. We thus complete the connection between the classical compact groups and Gaussian multiplicative chaos. To prove this convergence when excluding small neighborhoods around $\pm 1$ we establish appropriate asymptotic formulae for Toeplitz and Toeplitz+Hankel determinants with merging singularities. Using a recent formula due to Claeys *et al.* [23], we are able to prove convergence on the whole of the unit circle.

## Contents

**1 Introduction** **2**

**2 Statement of Main Result and Strategy of Proof** **3**

**3 Statement of Results on Toeplitz and Toeplitz+Hankel Determinants with Merging Singularities** **7**

**4 The $L^2$-Limit** **14**
4.1 The Symplectic Case . . . . . 19
4.2 The Odd Orthogonal Case . . . . . 20
4.3 The Even Orthogonal Case . . . . . 22
4.4 Pulling the large-$n$ limit inside the integral . . . . . 23
4.5 Proof of Lemma 9 . . . . . 25

**5 Proof of the Second Limit** **26**

**6 Riemann-Hilbert Problem for a System of Orthogonal Polynomials and a Differential Identity** **27**
6.1 RHP for Orthogonal Polynomials . . . . . 27
6.2 Differential Identity . . . . . 28

*johannes.forkel@maths.ox.ac.uk, Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX2 6GG, United Kingdom
†jon.keating@maths.ox.ac.uk, Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX2 6GG, United Kingdom

**7 Aymptotics of the Orthogonal Polynomials** **29**
7.1 Normalization of the RHP . . . 29
7.2 Opening of the Lens . . . 30
7.3 Global Parametrix . . . 32
7.4 Local Parametrix near $\pm 1$ . . . 33
7.5 $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$. Local Parametrices near $e^{\pm ip}$ . . . 33
7.5.1 RH problem for $\Phi_{\pm}$ . . . 33
7.5.2 $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$. Construction of a Local Parametrix near $e^{\pm ip}$ in terms of $\Phi_{\pm}$ . . . 36
7.5.3 $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$. Final Transformation . . . 37
7.6 $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. Local Parametrices near $e^{\pm ip}$ . . . 39
7.6.1 $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. Final Transformation . . . 41

**8 Asymptotics of $D_n(f_{p,t})$** **42**
8.1 Asymptotics of the Differential Identity and Proof of Theorem 22 . . . 42
8.1.1 $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$ . . . 43
8.1.2 $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$ . . . 48
8.2 Integration of the Differential Identity . . . 51

**9 Asymptotics of $\Phi_n(0)$, $\Phi_n(\pm 1)$ and $D_n^{T+H,\kappa}(f_{p,t})$** **54**

**A Convergence to the Gaussian Fields** **54**

**B Construction of the Gaussian Multiplicative Chaos Measure** **56**

**C Riemann-Hilbert Problem for $\Psi$** **57**

**D Riemann-Hilbert Problem for $M$** **60**

# 1 Introduction

In [38], Hughes, Keating and O'Connell proved that the real and the imaginary part of the logarithm of the characteristic polynomial of a random unitary matrix convergence jointly to a pair of Gaussian fields on the unit circle. Using this result Webb established in [49] a connection between random matrix theory and Gaussian multiplicative chaos (GMC), a theory developed first by Kahane in the context of turbulence in [40] (see [47] for a review). Webb proved that powers of the exponential of the real and imaginary part of the logarithm of the characteristic polynomial of a random unitary matrix converge, when suitably normalized, to Gaussian multiplicative chaos measures on the unit circle. This was achieved using results on Toeplitz determinants with merging Fisher-Hartwig singularities due to Claeys and Krasovsky in [25]. In [49] Webb proved the result only in the so-called $L^2$-phase, that is those powers for which the second moment of the total mass of the limiting GMC measure exists. In [45] the result was extended to the whole $L^1$- or subcritical phase, i.e. was proven to also hold for the (larger) set of powers for which the limiting GMC measure is non-trivial.
Since then the connection between the two fields has been extended to other random matrix ensembles. In [20], Chhaibi and Najnudel proved convergence (in a different sense) of the characteristic polynomials of matrices drawn from the Circular Beta Ensemble to a GMC measure on the unit circle. In [13] Berestycki, Webb and Wong proved that, after suitable normalization, powers of the absolute value of the characteristic polynomial of a matrix from the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble converge to Gaussian multiplicative chaos measures on the real line. They proved this result in the $L^2$-phase, however it is likely to also hold in the whole $L^1$-phase. The analogous result for powers of the exponential of the imaginary part of the logarithm of the characteristic polynomial of the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble was proven in [22], in the whole $L^1$-phase.
The connection with GMC is closely related to recent developments concerning the extreme value

statistics of the characteristic polynomials of random matrices and the associated theory of moments of moments [1–4, 6, 19, 34–36, 46]. It also has interesting applications to spectral statistics; for example, it implies strong rigidity estimates for the eigenvalues [22].

Our purpose here it to extend Webb's result to the other classical compact groups, i.e. to the orthogonal and symplectic groups. Our starting point is a theorem due to Assiotis and Keating concerning the convergence of the real and imaginary parts of the logarithm of the characteristic polynomials of random orthogonal or symplectic matrices to a pair of Gaussian fields on the unit circle [1]. This is the analogous result to the one for random matrices in [38]. We then complete the connection between the classical compact groups and Gaussian multiplicative chaos, by showing that for the orthogonal and symplectic groups we get statements similar to the one Webb proved for the unitary group. Using the same approach as in [49] we prove our results only in the $L^2$-phase, i.e. when the limiting GMC measure's total mass has a finite second moment. We believe that using the techniques in [45] one can extend our results to hold more generally in the whole $L^1$-phase, however extra care is needed since the covariance function of the underlying Gaussian field has singularities not just on the diagonal but also on the antidiagonal; in particular at $\pm 1$ the field has special behaviour.
In order to prove convergence to the GMC measure after restricting all involved measures to $(\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon) \cup (\pi + \epsilon, 2\pi - \epsilon)$, i.e. when excluding small neighborhoods around $\pm 1$, we computed the uniform asymptotics of Toeplitz and Toeplitz+Hankel determinants with two pairs of merging singularities which are all bounded away from $\pm 1$. Our results on these asymptotics are similar to those in [25] and [26], and the proof techniques we employ are strongly influenced by these two papers.

To prove convergence on the full unit circle we need also to know the uniform asymptotics of Toeplitz and Toeplitz+Hankel determinants with 3 or 5 singularities merging at $\pm 1$. Claeys, Glesner, Minakov and Yang have recently proved a formula for the uniform asymptotics of Toeplitz+Hankel determinants with arbitrarily many merging singularities, up to a multiplicative constant [23]. Using their formula allows us to extend our analysis to around $\pm 1$, and so to cover the full unit circle, however for a slightly smaller set of powers than when neighborhoods around $\pm 1$ are excluded.

## 2 Statement of Main Result and Strategy of Proof

Denote by $O(n)$ the group of orthogonal $n \times n$ matrices, and by $Sp(2n)$ the group of $2n \times 2n$ symplectic matrices, i.e. unitary $2n \times 2n$ matrices that additionally satisfy

$$UJU^T = U^T JU = J, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

The characteristic polynomial

$$p_n(\theta) = \det\left(I_n - e^{-i\theta} U_n\right) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 - e^{i(\theta_k - \theta)}) \tag{2.3}$$

of $U_n$ in $O(n)$ or $Sp(2n)$ (then we have instead $I_{2n}$ and the product is up to $2n$) is taken as a function on the unit circle, where all its zeroes lie.

**Definition 1.** *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \in i\mathbb{R}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ let*

$$f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta) = |p_n(\theta)|^{2\alpha} e^{2i\beta \Im \ln p_n(\theta)}, \tag{2.4}$$

[1] This result has not previously been published. With the kind agreement of Dr. Assiotis, we set out the theorem and its proof in Appendix A

*where (with the sum being up to $2n$ for $U_n \in Sp(2n)$)*

$$\Im \ln p_n(\theta) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Im \ln(1 - e^{i(\theta_k - \theta)}), \tag{2.5}$$

*with the branches on the RHS being the principal branches, such that*

$$\Im \ln(1 - e^{i(\theta_l - \theta)}) \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right], \tag{2.6}$$

*where $\Im \ln 0 := \pi/2$. Further we define the random Radon measures $\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta}$ on $S^1 \sim [0, 2\pi)$ by*

$$\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta}(d\theta) = \frac{f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)}{\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)} d\theta. \tag{2.7}$$

Let $(\mathcal{N}_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent standard (real) normal random variables and denote

$$\eta_j := 1_{j \text{ is even}}. \tag{2.8}$$

We recall the following result from [28, 29]:

**Theorem 2.** *(Diaconis and Shahshahani, Diaconis and Evans) If $U_n$ is Haar distributed on $O(n)$ we have for any fixed $k$:*

$$\left(Tr(U_n), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Tr(U_n^2), ..., \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} Tr(U_n^k)\right) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{d} \left(\mathcal{N}_1 + \eta_1, \mathcal{N}_2 + \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{2}}, ..., \mathcal{N}_k + \frac{\eta_k}{\sqrt{k}}\right). \tag{2.9}$$

*Similarly, if $U_n$ is Haar distributed on $Sp(2n)$, we have for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$:*

$$\left(Tr(U_n), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Tr(U_n^2), ..., \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} Tr(U_n^k)\right) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{d} \left(\mathcal{N}_1 - \eta_1, \mathcal{N}_2 - \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{2}}, ..., \mathcal{N}_k - \frac{\eta_k}{\sqrt{k}}\right). \tag{2.10}$$

*Finally we have the bound*

$$\mathbb{E}_{U_n}\left(\left(Tr(U_n^k)\right)^2\right) \leq const \min\{k, n\}, \tag{2.11}$$

*where const is independent of $k$ and $n$.*

Using this result, Assiotis and Keating have proved the following theorem[2]:

**Theorem 3.** *(Assiotis, Keating) Let $p_n$ be the characteristic polynomial of a random $U_n \in O(n)$, w.r.t. Haar measure. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ the pair of fields $(\Re \ln p_n, \Im \ln p_n)$ converges in distribution in $H_0^{-\epsilon} \times H_0^{-\epsilon}$ to the pair of Gaussian fields $\left(X - x, \hat{X} - \hat{x}\right)$, where*

$$\begin{aligned}
X(\theta) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}} \mathcal{N}_j \left(e^{-ij\theta} + e^{ij\theta}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}} \mathcal{N}_j \cos(j\theta), \\
\hat{X}(\theta) &= \frac{1}{2i} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}} \mathcal{N}_j \left(e^{-ij\theta} - e^{ij\theta}\right) = -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}} \mathcal{N}_j \sin(j\theta), \\
x(\theta) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta_j}{j} \left(e^{-ij\theta} + e^{ij\theta}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta_j}{j} \cos(j\theta), \\
\hat{x}(\theta) &= \frac{1}{2i} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta_j}{j} \left(e^{-ij\theta} - e^{ij\theta}\right) = -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta_j}{j} \sin(j\theta).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

*Similarly, for $U_n \in Sp(2n)$ and any $\epsilon > 0$, the pair of fields $(\Re \ln p_n, \Im \ln p_n)$ converges in distribution in $H_0^{-\epsilon} \times H_0^{-\epsilon}$ to the pair of Gaussian fields $\left(X + x, \hat{X} + \hat{x}\right)$.*

[2]This result has not previously been published, and so with the kind agreement of Dr. Assiotis we set out the proof in Appendix A.

The spaces $H_0^{-\epsilon}$ are defined in Appendix A as certain closed subspaces of the negative Sobolev spaces $H^{-\epsilon}$.

**Remark 4.** *Formally one has*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(X(\theta)X(\theta')\right) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{\cos(j\theta)\cos(j\theta')}{j}\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{\cos(j(\theta+\theta'))}{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{\cos(j(\theta-\theta'))}{j}\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j}\left(e^{ij(\theta+\theta')}+e^{-ij(\theta+\theta')}+e^{ij(\theta-\theta')}+e^{-ij(\theta-\theta')}\right)\\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(\ln|e^{i\theta}-e^{i\theta'}|+\ln|e^{i\theta}-e^{-i\theta'}|\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

*Similarly one has formally*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(\hat{X}(\theta)\hat{X}(\theta')\right) &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(\ln|e^{i\theta}-e^{i\theta'})|-\ln|e^{i\theta}-e^{-i\theta'}|\right),\\ \mathbb{E}\left(X(\theta)\hat{X}(\theta')\right) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\theta+\theta')})-\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\theta-\theta')})\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

For $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\beta\in i\mathbb{R}$ we define the field

$$Y_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta) = 2\alpha X(\theta)+2i\beta\hat{X}(\theta). \tag{2.15}$$

By (2.13) and 2.14) we see that its covariance function is formally given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Cov}(Y_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta),Y_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta')) = &-2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)\ln|e^{i\theta}-e^{i\theta'}|-2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)\ln|e^{i\theta}-e^{-i\theta'}|\\ &+4i\alpha\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\theta+\theta')}).\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Motivated by Theorem 3 one expects that $\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta}$ behaves like $e^{Y_{\alpha,\beta}}$ for large $n$. Even though the covariance function of $Y_{\alpha,\beta}$ has logarithmic singularities, not only on the diagonal $\theta=\theta'$ but also on the anti-diagonal $\theta=-\theta'$, one can still construct a corresponding non-trivial Gaussian multiplicative chaos measure $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$, which can formally be written as

$$\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta) = \frac{e^{Y_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta)}}{\mathbb{E}(e^{Y_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta)})}\mathrm{d}\theta = e^{Y_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta)-\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}(Y_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta)^2)}\mathrm{d}\theta. \tag{2.17}$$

$\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$ is properly defined in Appendix B as the almost sure limit in distribution of certain random Radon measures $\left(\mu_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$.

For $\epsilon\in(0,\pi/2)$ define $I_\epsilon := (\epsilon,\pi-\epsilon)\cup(\pi+\epsilon,2\pi-\epsilon)$. Then our first main result is the following:

**Theorem 5.** *Let $\alpha^2-\beta^2<1/2$ and $\alpha>-1/4$. When restricting the random measures $\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta}$ and $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$ to $I_\epsilon$, then as $n\to\infty$, for any fixed $\epsilon>0$, the sequence $(\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$ in the space of Radon measures on $I_\epsilon$ equipped with the topology of weak convergence, i.e. for any $F:\{$Radon measures on $I_\epsilon\}\to\mathbb{R}$ for which $F(\mu_n)\to F(\mu)$ whenever $\mu_n\xrightarrow{d}\mu$, it holds that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(F(\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta})\right)\xrightarrow{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left(F(\mu_{\alpha,\beta})\right). \tag{2.18}$$

The specialisation of the formulas in [23] to our situation is stated in Theorem 23. Using these, we can prove our second main result, which extends Theorem 5 to the full circle, but for a slightly smaller set of parameters $\alpha,\beta$. The reason for the different sets of parameters $\alpha,\beta$ is explained in Remark 26.

**Theorem 6.** *Let $\alpha^2 - \beta^2 < 1/2$ and $0 \le \alpha < 1/2$. Then the sequence of random measures $(\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$ in the space of Radon measures on $[0, 2\pi)$ equipped with the topology of weak convergence.*

**Proof strategy:** Let $I$ denote either $I_\epsilon$ or $[0, 2\pi)$. We first remark that by Theorem 4.2. in [41], weak convergence of $\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta}$ to $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$ in the space of Radon measures on $I$ equipped with the topology of weak convergence is equivalent to

$$\int_I g(\theta)\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta) \xrightarrow{d} \int_I g(\theta)\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta), \tag{2.19}$$

as $n \to \infty$, for any bounded continuous non-negative function $g$ on $I$.

To prove (2.19) we use Theorem 4.28 in [42]:

**Theorem 7.** *For $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\xi$, $\xi_n$, $\eta^k$ and $\eta_n^k$ be random variables with values in a metric space $(S, \rho)$ such that $\eta_n^k \xrightarrow{d} \eta^k$ as $n \to \infty$ for any fixed $k$, and also $\eta^k \xrightarrow{d} \xi$ as $k \to \infty$. Then $\xi_n \xrightarrow{d} \xi$ holds under the further condition*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\rho(\eta_n^k, \xi_n) \wedge 1\right) = 0. \tag{2.20}$$

Our setting corresponds to $S = \mathbb{R}$, $\rho = |\cdot|$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \int_I g(\theta)\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta), \quad \xi_n = \int_I g(\theta)\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta), \\ \eta^k &= \int_I g(\theta)\mu^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta), \quad \eta_n^k = \int_I g(\theta)\mu^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta), \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

where $\mu^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}$ will now be defined by truncating the Fourier series of $\ln f_{n,\alpha,\beta}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta) &= -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j}\left((\alpha+\beta)\mathrm{Tr}(U_n^j)e^{-ij\theta} + (\alpha-\beta)\mathrm{Tr}(U_n^{-j})e^{ij\theta}\right) \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(U_n^j)}{j}\left(2\alpha\cos(j\theta) - 2i\beta\sin(j\theta)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

where we used that for $U \in O(n)$ or $Sp(2n)$ we have $\mathrm{Tr}(U_n^{-j}) = \mathrm{Tr}(U_n^j)$.

**Definition 8.** *For $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \in i\mathbb{R}$ and $\theta \in I$, let*

$$f^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta) = e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(U_n^j)}{j}(2\alpha\cos(j\theta) - 2i\beta\sin(j\theta))}, \tag{2.23}$$

*and*

$$\mu^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(d\theta) = \frac{f^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)}{\mathbb{E}(f^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta))}\, d\theta. \tag{2.24}$$

In order to apply Theorem 7 to verify (2.19), which then implies our main results, we thus need to examine the following three limits: for any bounded continuous non-negative function on $I$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_I g(\theta)\mu^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta) \stackrel{d}{=} \int_I g(\theta)\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta), \tag{2.25}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_I g(\theta)\mu^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta) \stackrel{d}{=} \int_I g(\theta)\mu^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta), \tag{2.26}$$

and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|\int_I g(\theta)\mu^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta) - \int_I g(\theta)\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta)\right| \wedge 1\right) = 0. \tag{2.27}$$

The first limit (2.25) follows immediately from the definitions of $\mu^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$ in Appendix B: almost surely

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \mu^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta} \overset{d}{=} \mu_{\alpha,\beta}, \tag{2.28}$$

so in particular almost surely (and thus also in distribution)

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_I g(\theta)\mu^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta) = \int_I g(\theta)\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta). \tag{2.29}$$

The second limit (2.26) will be proved in Section 5, using previously established results on the asymptotics of Toeplitz+Hankel determinants.

To show that the third limit (2.27) holds, we will prove the following lemma in Section 4:

**Lemma 9** (The $L^2$-limit)**.** *Let $\alpha^2 - \beta^2 < 1/2$. Further let $0 \le \alpha < 1/2$ in the case $I = [0, 2\pi)$, and $\alpha > -1/4$ in the case $I = I_\epsilon$. Then for any bounded continuous non-negative function $g$ on $I$ the following expectation goes to zero, as first $n \to \infty$ and then $k \to \infty$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\int_I g(\theta)\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta}(d\theta) - \int_I g(\theta)\mu^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(d\theta)\right)^2\right) \\
&= \int_I\int_I g(\theta)g(\theta')\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(f^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(f^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}\left(f^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}\,d\theta\,d\theta' \\
&\quad - 2\int_I\int_I g(\theta)g(\theta')\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(f^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(f^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}\,d\theta\,d\theta' \\
&\quad + \int_I\int_I g(\theta)g(\theta')\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}\,d\theta\,d\theta'.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

All the expectations inside the integrals can be expressed as (sums of) Toeplitz+Hankel determinants (see (3.8) and Theorem 24). We will prove Lemma 9 explicitly only in the case $I = [0, 2\pi)$, $\alpha^2 - \beta^2 < 1/2$, $0 \le \alpha < 1/2$, using Theorem 23 below.

The proof in the case $I = I_\epsilon$, $\alpha^2 - \beta^2 < 1/2$, $\alpha > -1/4$, works in almost exactly the same way. Instead of Theorem 23, which only holds for $\alpha \ge 0$, we use new results on the uniform asymptotics of Toeplitz+Hankel determinants of symbols with two pairs of merging singularities bounded away from $\pm 1$, which are stated in Theorems 17 and 22 in the next section. To the best of our knowledge these results have not previously been set out and we believe them to be of independent interest.

# 3 Statement of Results on Toeplitz and Toeplitz+Hankel Determinants with Merging Singularities

**Definition 10.** *( [26]) A function $f : S^1 \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a symbol with a fixed number of Fisher-Hartwig singularities if it has the following form:*

$$f(z) = e^{V(z)} z^{\sum_{j=0}^m \beta_j} \prod_{j=0}^m |z - z_j|^{2\alpha_j} g_{z_j,\beta_j}(z) z_j^{-\beta_j}, \quad z = e^{i\theta}, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi), \tag{3.1}$$

*for some $m = 0, 1, ...,$ where*

$$z_j = e^{i\theta_j}, \quad j = 0, ..., m, \quad 0 = \theta_0 < \theta_1 < ... < \theta_m < 2\pi; \tag{3.2}$$

$$g_{z_j,\beta_j}(z) = \begin{cases} e^{i\pi\beta_j} & 0 \le arg\, z < \theta_j \\ e^{-i\pi\beta_j} & \theta_j \le arg\, z < 2\pi \end{cases}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\Re\alpha_j > -1/2, \quad \beta_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad j = 0, ..., m, \tag{3.4}$$

*and $V(z)$ is analytic in a neighborhood of the unit circle. A point $z_j$, $j = 1, ..., m$, is included if and only if either $\alpha_j \neq 0$ or $\beta_j \neq 0$, while always $z_0 = 1$, even if $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = 0$.*

Under these assumptions $V$ has a Laurent series, convergent in a neighborhood of the unit circle,

$$V(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} V_k z^k, \quad V_k = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} V(e^{i\theta})e^{-ik\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta, \tag{3.5}$$

and the function $e^{V(z)}$ allows the standard Wiener-Hopf decomposition:

$$e^{V(z)} = b_+(z)b_0b_-(z), \quad b_+(z) = e^{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k z^k}, \quad b_0 = e^{V_0}, \quad b_-(z) = e^{\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} V_k z^k}. \tag{3.6}$$

Note that a symbol $f$ as in Definition 10 that is real on the unit circle and fulfills $f(e^{i\theta}) = f(e^{-i\theta})$ is of the following form:

$$f(z) = e^{V(z)}\prod_{j=0}^{r+1}|z - e^{i\theta_j}|^{2\alpha_j}|z - e^{-i\theta_j}|^{2\alpha_j} g_{e^{i\theta_j},\beta_j}(z) g_{e^{i(2\pi-\theta_j)},-\beta_j}(z) e^{-i\theta_j\beta_j} e^{i(2\pi-\theta_j)\beta_j}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $0 = \theta_0 < \theta_1 < ... < \theta_r < \theta_{r+1} = \pi$, $\alpha_j > -1/2$, $\beta_j \in i\mathbb{R}$ for $j = 0, ..., r+1$, and $\beta_0 = \beta_{r+1} = 0$, and where $V(e^{i\theta}) = V(e^{-i\theta})$ such that $V_k = V_{-k}$.

The Toeplitz and Toeplitz+Hankel determinants we consider are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(f) &:= \det\left(f_{j-k}\right)_{j,k=0}^{n-1}, \\ D_n^{T+H,1}(f) &:= \det\left(f_{j-k} + f_{j+k}\right)_{j,k=0}^{n-1}, \\ D_n^{T+H,2}(f) &:= \det\left(f_{j-k} - f_{j+k+2}\right)_{j,k=0}^{n-1}, \\ D_n^{T+H,3}(f) &:= \det\left(f_{j-k} - f_{j+k+1}\right)_{j,k=0}^{n-1}, \\ D_n^{T+H,4}(f) &:= \det\left(f_{j-k} + f_{j+k+1}\right)_{j,k=0}^{n-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$f_j = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta})e^{-ij\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta. \tag{3.9}$$

The study of the asymptotics of Toeplitz determinants was initiated by Szegö. The simplest case is the strong Szegö limit theorem (see for example [48] for the most general version), which states that for $f(z) = e^{V(z)}$

$$D_n(e^V) = e^{nV_0}e^{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kV_kV_{-k}}(1 + o(1)), \quad n \to \infty. \tag{3.10}$$

Subsequently the asymptotics of $D_n(f)$ and $D_n^{T+H,\kappa}(f)$ have been computed under various assumptions on the symbol $f$ [7–12, 14–16, 30, 31, 50]; see [27] for a recent historical account and [26] for the most general results. The most general results for the case that $f$ is real-valued are stated below in Theorems 11 and 12. However, all these results are only valid if the singularities of $f$ are bounded away from each other as $n \to \infty$.

In recent years advances were made on the asymptotics of $D_n(f)$ when the singularities merge as

$n \to \infty$. In [25] the asymptotics were computed for two merging singularities, and were related to a solution of the Painlevé V equation. Using the techniques in [25], we establish new results on Toeplitz determinants in Theorems 16 and 22 that give the asymptotics when there are two conjugate pairs of merging singularities which are bounded away from $\pm 1$. Again the asymptotics are related to a Painlevé V equation, in fact the same one as in [25].
In [32] the asymptotics of $D_n(f)$ for arbitrarily many singularities merging were computed up to a factor $e^{O(1)}$ which is uniformly bounded and bounded away from 0. The precise factor is believed to be related to higher-dimensional analogues of Painlevé equations. Using the Riemann-Hilbert analysis of [32], the asymptotics of $D_n^{T+H,\kappa}(f)$ for $f$ having arbitrarily many singularities merging were computed up to an $e^{O(1)}$ factor in [23]. This result is stated in Theorem 23.
For two conjugate pairs of merging singularities which are bounded away from $\pm 1$ our Theorem 17 expresses that factor in terms of a Painlevé transcendent, which again is the same one as in [25].

Closely related to Toeplitz and Toeplitz+Hankel determinants are the Hankel determinants

$$\det\left(\int_I x^{j+k} w(x) \mathrm{d}x\right)_{j,k=0}^{n-1}, \tag{3.11}$$

where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval on which the weight $w(x)$ is supported. Hankel determinants with Fisher-Hartwig singularities have a weight of the form

$$w(x) = e^{-nV(x)} e^{W(x)} \omega(x), \tag{3.12}$$

where $W(x)$ is continuous, $\omega(x)$ has Fisher-Hartwig singularities and $V(x)$ is a potential (in case $I$ is unbounded $W(x)$ and $V(x)$ need to fulfill certain integrability conditions). There are three canonical cases:

- $I = \mathbb{R}$, $V(x) = 2x^2$,
- $I = [-1, \infty)$, $V(x) = 2(x+1)$,
- $I = [-1, 1]$, $V(x) = 0$.

Using the Heine identity those cases of Hankel determinants appear as averages over the (scaled and shifted) Gaussian Unitary Ensemble, Wishart Ensemble and Jacobi Ensemble respectively.

[39], [37] and [43] are important early works on the large-$n$ asymptotics of Hankel determinants with Fisher-Hartwig singularities that have greatly contributed to the development of the theory. The most general results have been proven in [17] and [18]. [21] concerns the case when singularities are merging as $n \to \infty$. There are various formulas which relate Hankel determinants with $I = [-1, 1]$ and $V(x) = 0$ to Toeplitz determinants and Toeplitz+Hankel determinants, for example Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 in [26]. A combination of those two results gives a relation between Toeplitz and Toeplitz+Hankel determinants and is stated in Lemma 14 below. We use this relation to prove our results on uniform asymptotics of Toeplitz+Hankel determinants.

The following two theorems state the asymptotics of Toeplitz and Toeplitz+Hankel determinants with real-valued symbols, when the singularities are bounded away from each other:

**Theorem 11.** *(Ehrhardt [30]) Let $f$ be as in Definition 10, with $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta_j \in i\mathbb{R}$ for $j = 0, ..., m$,*

*and* $V(S^1) \subset \mathbb{R}$. *Let* $\epsilon > 0$, *then as* $n \to \infty$, *uniformly for* $\min_{j,k=0,\dots,m} |z_j - z_k| \geq \epsilon$:

$$\begin{aligned}\ln D_n(f) =& nV_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k|V_k|^2 + (\ln n)\sum_{j=0}^{m}(\alpha_j^2 - \beta_j^2) \\ &- \sum_{j=0}^{m}(\alpha_j - \beta_j)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k z_j^k\right) + (\alpha_j + \beta_j)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_{-k}\overline{z_j}^k\right) \\ &+ \sum_{0\leq j<k\leq m} 2(\beta_j\beta_k - \alpha_j\alpha_k)\ln|z_j - z_k| + (\alpha_j\beta_k - \alpha_k\beta_j)\ln\frac{z_k}{z_j e^{i\pi}} \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{m}\ln\frac{G(1+\alpha_j+\beta_j)G(1+\alpha_j-\beta_j)}{G(1+2\alpha_j)} \\ &+ o(1).\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

**Theorem 12.** *(Deift, Its, Krasovsky [26]) Let* $f(z)$ *be as in (3.7) and let* $\epsilon > 0$. *Then as* $n \to \infty$, *uniformly for* $\min_{j,k=0,\dots,r+1} |z_j - z_k| \geq \epsilon$:

$$\begin{aligned}D_n^{T+H,\kappa}(f) =& e^{nV_0 + \frac{1}{2}\left((\alpha_0+\alpha_{r+1}+s'+t')V_0 - (\alpha_0+s')V(1) - (\alpha_{r+1}+t')V(-1) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kV_k^2\right)} \\ &\times \prod_{j=1}^{r} b_+(z_j)^{-\alpha_j+\beta_j} b_-(z_j)^{-\alpha_j-\beta_j} \\ &\times e^{-i\pi\left(\left(\alpha_0+s'+\sum_{j=1}^{r}\alpha_j\right)\sum_{j=1}^{r}\beta_j + \sum_{1\leq j<k\leq r}(\alpha_j\beta_k - \alpha_k\beta_j)\right)} \\ &\times 2^{(1-s'-t')n+q+\sum_{j=1}^{r}(\alpha_j^2-\beta_j^2) - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0+\alpha_{r+1}+s'+t')^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0+\alpha_{r+1}+s'+t')} \\ &\times n^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0^2+\alpha_{r+1}^2)+\alpha_0 s'+\alpha_{r+1}t'+\sum_{j=1}^{r}(\alpha_j^2-\beta_j^2)} \\ &\times \prod_{1\leq j<k\leq r} |z_j - z_k|^{-2(\alpha_j\alpha_k - \beta_j\beta_k)} |z_j - z_k^{-1}|^{-2(\alpha_j\alpha_k+\beta_j\beta_k)} \\ &\times \prod_{j=1}^{r} z_j^{2\tilde{A}\beta_j} |1-z_j^2|^{-(\alpha_j^2+\beta_j^2)} |1-z_j|^{-2\alpha_j(\alpha_0+s')} |1+z_j|^{-2\alpha_j(\alpha_{r+1}+t')} \\ &\times \frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0+\alpha_{r+1}+s'+t'+1)} G(1/2)^2}{G(1+\alpha_0+s')G(1+\alpha_{r+1}+t')} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{G(1+\alpha_j+\beta_j)G(1+\alpha_j-\beta_j)}{G(1+2\alpha_j)}(1+o(1)),\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

*where* $\ln z_j = i\theta_j$, $b_+(z_j)^{-\alpha_j+\beta_j} = (-\alpha_j+\beta_j)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k z_j^k$ *and similarly for* $b_-(z_j)$, $\tilde{A} = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0+\alpha_3+s'+t') + \sum_{j=1}^{2}\alpha_j$ *and*

$$D_n^{T+H,1}(f) = \det\left(f_{j-k} + f_{j+k}\right)_{j,k=0}^{n-1}, \quad \text{with } q = -2n+2, \quad s' = t' = -\frac{1}{2}, \tag{3.15}$$

$$D_n^{T+H,2}(f) = \det\left(f_{j-k} - f_{j+k+2}\right)_{j,k=0}^{n-1}, \quad \text{with } q = 0, \quad s' = t' = \frac{1}{2}, \tag{3.16}$$

$$D_n^{T+H,3}(f) = \det\left(f_{j-k} - f_{j+k+1}\right)_{j,k=0}^{n-1}, \quad \text{with } q = -n, \quad s' = \frac{1}{2}, \quad t' = -\frac{1}{2}, \tag{3.17}$$

$$D_n^{T+H,4}(f) = \det\left(f_{j-k} + f_{j+k+1}\right)_{j,k=0}^{n-1}, \quad \text{with } q = -n, \quad s' = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad t' = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{3.18}$$

**Remark 13.** *The asymptotics in Theorem 16 and Theorem 17 were not stated in [26] to hold uniformly when the singularities are bounded away from each other, but looking at their proofs one can quickly see that this is the case.*

Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 in [26] relate Toeplitz+Hankel determinants to Toeplitz determinants and monic orthogonal polynomials, which is how Theorem 12 was proven. This relation can be stated as follows:

**Lemma 14** (Deift, Its, Krasovsky)**.** *Let $f$ be as in (3.7). Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$:*

$$\begin{aligned} D_n^{T+H,1}(f(z))^2 =& 4\frac{\left(1+\Phi_{2n}(0)\right)^2}{\Phi_{2n}(1)\Phi_{2n}(-1)} D_{2n}(f(z)), \\ D_n^{T+H,2}(f(z))^2 =& \frac{\left(1+\Phi_{2n}(0)\right)^2}{\Phi_{2n}(1)\Phi_{2n}(-1)} D_{2n}\left(f(z)|1-z|^2|1+z|^2\right), \\ D_n^{T+H,3}(f(z))^2 =& \frac{1}{4}\frac{\left(1+\Phi_{2n}(0)\right)^2}{\Phi_{2n}(1)\Phi_{2n}(-1)} D_{2n}\left(f(z)|1-z|^2\right), \\ D_n^{T+H,4}(f(z))^2 =& \frac{1}{4}\frac{\left(1+\Phi_{2n}(0)\right)^2}{\Phi_{2n}(1)\Phi_{2n}(-1)} D_{2n}\left(f(z)|1+z|^2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

*where $\Phi_n(z) = z^n + ...$ are the monic orthogonal polynomials w.r.t. the symbols on the RHS, i.e. $f(z)$, $f(z)|1-z|^2|1+z|^2$, $f(z)|1-z|^2$ and $f(z)|1+z|^2$.*

We will use Lemma 14 in Section 9 to prove our results on the asymptotics of Toeplitz+Hankel determinants with merging singularities, stated in Theorems 17 and 22 below, using our results on the asymptotics of Toeplitz determinants with merging singularities, stated in Theorems 16 and 22 below. In those theorems we consider the following class of symbols: let $\epsilon \in (0, \pi/2)$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} f_{p,t}(z) :=& e^{V(z)} z^{\sum_{j=0}^5 \beta_j} \prod_{j=0}^5 |z-z_j|^{2\alpha_j} g_{z_j,\beta_j}(z) z_j^{-\beta_j}, \quad z = e^{i\phi}, \quad \phi \in [0, 2\pi), \\ =& e^{V(z)} |z-1|^{2\alpha_0} |z+1|^{2\alpha_3} \prod_{j=1}^2 |z-z_j|^{2\alpha_j} |z-\overline{z_j}|^{2\alpha_j} g_{z_j,\beta_j}(z) g_{\overline{z_j},-\beta_j}(z) z_j^{-\beta_j} \overline{z_j}^{\beta_j}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where

- $z_0 = 1$, $z_1 = e^{i(p-t)}$, $z_2 = e^{i(p+t)}$, $z_3 = -1$, $z_4 = \overline{z_2} = e^{i(2\pi-p-t)}$, $z_5 = \overline{z_1} = e^{i(2\pi-p+t)}$, with $p \in (\epsilon, \pi-\epsilon)$, $0 < t < \epsilon$,
- $\alpha_j \in (-1/2, \infty)$ for $j = 0, ..., 5$, and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_5$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_4$,
- $\beta_0 = \beta_3 = 0$, $\beta_1 = -\beta_5 \in i\mathbb{R}$, $\beta_2 = -\beta_4 \in i\mathbb{R}$,
- $V(z)$ is real-valued on the unit circle, and satisfies $V(e^{i\theta}) = V(e^{-i\theta})$.

To state our results on the uniform asymptotics of $D_n(f_{p,t})$ and $D_n^{T+H,\kappa}(f_{p,t})$ we further need the following theorem (not in its most general form) from [25], which describes the relevant Painlevé transcendents:

**Theorem 15.** *(Claeys, Krasovsky) Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1+\alpha_2 > -\frac{1}{2}$, $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in i\mathbb{R}$ and consider the $\sigma$-form of the Painleve V equation*

$$s^2\sigma_{ss}^2 = (\sigma - s\sigma_s + 2\sigma_s^2)^2 - 4(\sigma_s-\theta_1)(\sigma_s-\theta_2)(\sigma_s-\theta_3)(\sigma_s-\theta_4), \tag{3.21}$$

*where the parameters $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4$ are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1 =& -\alpha_2 + \frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}, \quad \theta_2 = \alpha_2 + \frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}, \\ \theta_3 =& \alpha_1 - \frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}, \quad \theta_4 = -\alpha_1 - \frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

*Then there exists a solution $\sigma(s)$ to (3.21) which is real and free of poles for $s \in -i\mathbb{R}_+$, and which has the following asymptotic behavior along the negative imaginary axis:*

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(s) =& 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 - \frac{(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2}{2} + O(|s|^{\delta}), \quad s \to -i0_+,\\ \sigma(s) =& \frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{2}s - \frac{(\beta_1-\beta_2)^2}{2} + O(|s|^{-\delta}), \quad s \to -i\infty,\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

*for some $\delta > 0$.*

Our result on the uniform asymptotics of $D_n(f_{p,t})$ is then the following, which we prove using the Riemann-Hilbert techniques in [25]:

**Theorem 16.** *Let $f_{p,t}$ be as in (3.20) with $\alpha_1+\alpha_2 > -1/2$, and let $\sigma$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 15. Then we have the following large $n$ asymptotics, uniformly for $p \in (\epsilon, \pi-\epsilon)$ and $0 < t < t_0$, for a sufficiently small $t_0 \in (0,\epsilon)$:*

$$\begin{aligned}\ln D_n(f_{p,t}) =& 2int(\beta_1-\beta_2) + nV_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kV_k^2 + \ln(n)\sum_{j=0}^{5}(\alpha_j^2-\beta_j^2)\\ &- \sum_{j=0}^{5}(\alpha_j-\beta_j)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}V_k z_j^k\right) + (\alpha_j+\beta_j)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}V_k\overline{z_j}^k\right)\\ &+ \sum_{\substack{0\le j<k\le 5\\ (j,k)\neq(1,2),(4,5)}} 2(\beta_j\beta_k-\alpha_j\alpha_k)\ln|z_j-z_k| + (\alpha_j\beta_k-\alpha_k\beta_j)\ln\frac{z_k}{z_j e^{i\pi}}\\ &+ 4it(\alpha_1\beta_2-\alpha_2\beta_1)\\ &+ 2\int_0^{-2int}\frac{1}{s}\left(\sigma(s) - 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2\right)ds + 4\left(\beta_1\beta_2-\alpha_1\alpha_2\right)\ln\frac{\sin t}{nt}\\ &+ \ln\frac{G(1+\alpha_0)^2}{G(1+2\alpha_0)} + \ln\frac{G(1+\alpha_3)^2}{G(1+2\alpha_3)}\\ &+ \ln\frac{G(1+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2)^2 G(1+\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\beta_1-\beta_2)^2}{G(1+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2)^2}\\ &+ o(1),\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

*where $\ln\frac{z_k}{z_j e^{i\pi}} = i(\theta_k-\theta_j-\pi)$ and $G$ denotes the Barnes G-function.*

Our result on the uniform asymptotics of $D_n^{T+H}(f_{p,t})$ is as follows, using the same notation as in Theorem 12:

**Theorem 17.** *Let $f_{p,t}$ be as in (3.20) with $\alpha_1+\alpha_2 > -1/2$, and let $\sigma$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 15. For $D_n^{T+H,\kappa}(f_{p,t})$ we get, as $n \to \infty$, uniformly in $p \in (\epsilon, \pi-\epsilon)$ and $0 < t < t_0$, for a sufficiently*

*small $t_0 \in (0,\epsilon)$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
D_n^{T+H,\kappa}(f_{p,t}) =& e^{2int(\beta_1-\beta_2)+nV_0+\frac{1}{2}\left((\alpha_0+\alpha_3+s'+t')V_0-(\alpha_0+s')V(1)-(\alpha_3+t')V(-1)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}kV_k^2\right)} \\
&\times \prod_{j=1}^{2} b_+(z_j)^{-\alpha_j+\beta_j} b_-(z_j)^{-\alpha_j-\beta_j} \\
&\times e^{-i\pi\left(\alpha_0+s'+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\alpha_j\right)\sum_{j=1}^{2}\beta_j} \\
&\times 2^{(1-s'-t')n+q+\sum_{j=1}^{2}(\alpha_j^2-\beta_j^2)-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0+\alpha_3+s'+t')^2+\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0+\alpha_3+s'+t')} \\
&\times n^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0^2+\alpha_3^2)+\alpha_0 s'+\alpha_3 t'+\sum_{j=1}^{2}(\alpha_j^2-\beta_j^2)} \\
&\times \left|\frac{\sin t}{2nt}\right|^{-2(\alpha_1\alpha_2-\beta_1\beta_2)} |2\sin p|^{-2(\alpha_1\alpha_2+\beta_1\beta_2)} \\
&\times e^{\int_0^{-4int}\frac{1}{s}\left(\sigma(s)-2\alpha_1\alpha_2+\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2\right)ds} \\
&\times \prod_{j=1}^{2} z_j^{2\tilde{A}\beta_j} |1-z_j^2|^{-(\alpha_j^2+\beta_j^2)} |1-z_j|^{-2\alpha_j(\alpha_0+s')} |1+z_j|^{-2\alpha_j(\alpha_3+t')} \\
&\times \frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0+\alpha_3+s'+t'+1)} G(1/2)^2}{G(1+\alpha_0+s')G(1+\alpha_3+t')} \\
&\times \frac{G(1+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2)G(1+\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\beta_1-\beta_2)}{G(1+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2)}(1+o(1)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

**Remark 18.** *One can probably get similar results if more generally one chooses complex $\alpha_j, \beta_j$ with $\Re(\alpha_j) > -1/2$, but to prove Theorem 5 this is not necessary.*

**Remark 19.** *The requirements $p \in (\epsilon, \pi-\epsilon)$, $t_0 \in (0,\epsilon)$ are necessary for us to be able to apply the proof techniques in [25]. The results there only hold for two merging singularities, while if $p \to 0, \pi$ we have 5 singularities merging at $\pm 1$, and if $t \to \epsilon$ we can have $p \pm t \to 0, \pi$ which means 3 singularities are merging at $\pm 1$.*

**Remark 20.** *Comparing the uniform asymptotics of $D_n(f_{p,t})$ in Theorem 16 with the non-uniform asymptotics one gets from Theorem 11, one can see that the different expansions are related in the following way:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\sum_{j=1}^{2} \ln \frac{G(1+\alpha_j+\beta_j)G(1+\alpha_j-\beta_j)}{G(1+2\alpha_j)} - 2i\pi(\alpha_1\beta_2-\alpha_2\beta_1) + o(1)_{non-uniform} \\
=& 2int(\beta_1-\beta_2) + 2\int_0^{-2int} \frac{1}{s}\left(\sigma(s) - 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2\right) ds + 4(\beta_1\beta_2-\alpha_1\alpha_2)\ln\frac{1}{2nt} \\
&+ 2\ln \frac{G(1+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2)G(1+\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\beta_1-\beta_2)}{G(1+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2)} + o(1)_{uniform}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

*This is exactly the same relationship as the one between the non-uniform and uniform expansions of $D_n(f_t)$ in [25] (see their (1.8), (1.24) and (1.26)).*

**Remark 21.** *The relationship between the uniform asymptotics of $D_n^{T+H,\kappa}(f_{p,t})$ in Theorem 17 and the non-uniform asymptotics one gets from Theorem 12 is given by (3.26), with both sides divided by 2, and $n$ replaced by $2n$. This is because the uniform asymptotics of $D_n^{T+H,\kappa}(f_{p,t})$ are related to the uniform asymptotics of $D_{2n}(f_{p,t})^{1/2}$ (with added singularities at $\pm 1$) and $\Phi_n(\pm 1)^{1/2}$, $\Phi_n(0)$, by Lemma 14. As will be argued in Section 9 the asymptotics of $\Phi_{2n}(\pm 1)$, computed as in [26] are unaffected by the merging of singularities away from $\pm 1$, and $\Phi_{2n}(0) = o(1)$ both when singularities merge or not. Thus only the asymptotics of $D_{2n}(f_{p,t})^{1/2}$ are different in the merging and non-merging regime, and their relationship is given by (3.26) with both sides divided by 2, and $n$ replaced by $2n$.*

Our last results corresponds to Theorem 1.11 in [25]. It extends Theorem 11 for the symbol $f_{p,t}$, and Theorem 12 in the case $r = 2$:

**Theorem 22.** *Let $\omega(x)$ be a positive, smooth function for $x$ sufficiently large, s.t.*

$$\omega(x) \to \infty, \quad \omega(x) = o(x), \quad \text{as } x \to \infty. \tag{3.27}$$

*Then for any $t_0 \in (0, \epsilon)$ the expansion of $D_n(f_{p,t})$ one gets from Theorem 11 holds uniformly in $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. For $r = 2$, the expansion of Theorem 12 holds uniformly in $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ for which $\omega(n)/n < |\theta_1 - \theta_2|$.*

Finally, we state the following result which gives asymptotics of Toeplitz+Hankel determinants which hold uniformly for arbitrarily many merging singularities, but only up to a multiplicative constant:

**Theorem 23.** *(Claeys, Glesner, Minakov, Yang [23]) Let $f$ be as in (3.7) with $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_0 = \alpha_{r+1} = 0$ and $\alpha_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, ..., r$. Then we have uniformly over the entire region $0 < \theta_1 < ... < \theta_r < \pi$, as $n \to \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
D_n^{T+H,1}(f) =& F e^{nV_0} \prod_{j=1}^{r} n^{\alpha_j^2 - \beta_j^2} \left( \sin\theta_j + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{\alpha_j - \alpha_j^2 - \beta_j^2} \times e^{O(1)}, \\
D_n^{T+H,2}(f) =& F e^{nV_0} \prod_{j=1}^{r} n^{\alpha_j^2 - \beta_j^2} \left( \sin\theta_j + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\alpha_j - \alpha_j^2 - \beta_j^2} \times e^{O(1)}, \\
D_n^{T+H,3}(f) =& F e^{nV_0} \prod_{j=1}^{r} n^{\alpha_j^2 - \beta_j^2} \left( \sin\frac{\theta_j}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\alpha_j - \alpha_j^2 - \beta_j^2} \left( \cos\frac{\theta_j}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{\alpha_j - \alpha_j^2 - \beta_j^2} \times e^{O(1)}, \\
D_n^{T+H,4}(f) =& F e^{nV_0} \prod_{j=1}^{r} n^{\alpha_j^2 - \beta_j^2} \left( \sin\frac{\theta_j}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{\alpha_j - \alpha_j^2 - \beta_j^2} \left( \cos\frac{\theta_j}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\alpha_j - \alpha_j^2 - \beta_j^2} \times e^{O(1)},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

*where*

$$F = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq r} \left( \sin\left| \frac{\theta_j - \theta_k}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-2(\alpha_j \alpha_k - \beta_j \beta_k)} \left( \sin\left| \frac{\theta_j + \theta_k}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-2(\alpha_j \alpha_k + \beta_j \beta_k)}. \tag{3.29}$$

*Here $e^{O(1)}$ denotes a function which is uniformly bounded and bounded away from 0 as $n \to \infty$.*

# 4 The $L^2$-Limit

In this section we prove Lemma 9, for which we need to compute asymptotics of all the expectation terms in the integrals, which hold uniformly in $\theta$ and $\theta'$ even as $\theta \to \theta'$. We use the following theorem to express all those expectations as Toeplitz+Hankel determinants, where we let $SO(n) := \{O \in O(n) : \det O = 1\}$, $O^-(n) := \{O \in O(n) : \det O = -1\}$ be the components of the orthogonal groups:

**Theorem 24.** *(Theorem 2.2 in [5]) Let $h(z)$ be any function on the unit circle such that for $\iota(e^{i\theta}) := h(e^{i\theta})h(e^{-i\theta})$ the integrals*

$$\iota_j = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \iota(e^{i\theta}) e^{-ij\theta} \, d\theta \tag{4.1}$$

*are well-defined. Then with* $D_n^{T+H,\kappa}$ *defined as in (3.8) we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{SO(2n)}\left(det(h(U))\right) &= \frac{1}{2}D_n^{T+H,1}(\iota),\\
\mathbb{E}_{O^-(2n)}\left(det(h(U))\right) &= h(1)h(-1)D_{n-1}^{T+H,2}(\iota),\\
\mathbb{E}_{SO(2n+1)}\left(det(h(U))\right) &= h(1)D_n^{T+H,3}(\iota),\\
\mathbb{E}_{O^-(2n+1)}\left(det(h(U))\right) &= h(-1)D_n^{T+H,4}(\iota),\\
\mathbb{E}_{Sp(2n)}\left(det(h(U))\right) &= D_n^{T+H,2}(\iota),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

*except that* $\mathbb{E}_{SO(0)}\left(\det(h(U))\right) = h(1)$.

We define, for $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $\theta, \theta' \in [0, 2\pi)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\sigma}_{1,\theta,\theta'}(e^{i\phi}) &= e^{-\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)(e^{ij\theta}+e^{ij\theta'})\right)e^{ij\phi}},\\
\hat{\sigma}_{2,\theta,\theta'}(e^{i\phi}) &= e^{-\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)e^{ij\phi}}|e^{i\phi}-e^{i\theta'}|^{2\alpha}e^{2i\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\phi-\theta')})},\\
\hat{\sigma}_{3,\theta,\theta'}(e^{i\phi}) &= |e^{i\phi}-e^{i\theta}|^{2\alpha}e^{2i\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\phi-\theta)})}|e^{i\phi}-e^{i\theta'}|^{2\alpha}e^{2i\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\phi-\theta')})},\\
\hat{\sigma}_{4,\theta}(e^{i\phi}) &= e^{-\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)e^{ij\phi}},\\
\hat{\sigma}_{5,\theta}(e^{i\phi}) &= |e^{i\phi}-e^{i\theta}|^{2\alpha}e^{2i\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\phi-\theta)})},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where the branch of the logarithm is the principal one (so in particular $\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\phi-\theta)}) \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2]$). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}(\theta)f_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}(\theta') &= \prod_{l=1}^n \hat{\sigma}_{1,\theta,\theta'}(e^{i\theta_l}),\\
f_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}(\theta)f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta') &= \prod_{l=1}^n \hat{\sigma}_{2,\theta,\theta'}(e^{i\theta_l}),\\
f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta') &= \prod_{l=1}^n \hat{\sigma}_{3,\theta,\theta'}(e^{i\theta_l}),\\
f_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}(\theta) &= \prod_{l=1}^n \hat{\sigma}_{4,\theta}(e^{i\theta_l}),\\
f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta) &= \prod_{l=1}^n \hat{\sigma}_{5,\theta}(e^{i\theta_l}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where the product is up to $2n$ for $U_n \in Sp(2n)$. Further we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1,\theta,\theta'}(e^{i\phi}) =&\hat{\sigma}_{1,\theta,\theta'}(e^{i\phi})\hat{\sigma}_{1,\theta,\theta'}(e^{-i\phi})\\
=&e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)(e^{ij\theta}+e^{ij\theta'})\right)(e^{ij\phi}+e^{-ij\phi})},\\
\sigma_{2,\theta,\theta'}(e^{i\phi}) =&\hat{\sigma}_{2,\theta,\theta'}(e^{i\phi})\hat{\sigma}_{2,\theta,\theta'}(e^{-i\phi})\\
=&e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)(e^{ij\phi}+e^{-ij\phi})}\\
&\times|e^{i\theta'}-e^{i\phi}|^{2\alpha}e^{2i\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\phi-\theta')})}|e^{i\theta'}-e^{-i\phi}|^{2\alpha}e^{2i\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(-\phi-\theta')})},\\
\sigma_{3,\theta,\theta'}(e^{i\phi}) =&\hat{\sigma}_{3,\theta,\theta'}(e^{i\phi})\hat{\sigma}_{3,\theta,\theta'}(e^{-i\phi})\\
=&|e^{i\theta}-e^{i\phi}|^{2\alpha}e^{2i\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\phi-\theta)})}|e^{i\theta'}-e^{i\phi}|^{2\alpha}e^{2i\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\phi-\theta')})}\\
&\times|e^{i\theta}-e^{-i\phi}|^{2\alpha}e^{2i\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(-\phi-\theta)})}|e^{i\theta'}-e^{-i\phi}|^{2\alpha}e^{2i\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(-\phi-\theta')})},\\
\sigma_{4,\theta}(e^{i\phi}) =&\hat{\sigma}_{4,\theta}(e^{i\phi})\hat{\sigma}_{4,\theta}(e^{-i\phi})\\
=&e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)(e^{ij\phi}+e^{-ij\phi})},\\
\sigma_{5,\theta}(e^{i\phi}) =&\hat{\sigma}_{5,\theta}(e^{i\phi})\hat{\sigma}_{5,\theta}(e^{-i\phi})\\
=&|e^{i\theta}-e^{i\phi}|^{2\alpha}e^{2i\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\phi-\theta)})}|e^{i\theta}-e^{-i\phi}|^{2\alpha}e^{2i\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(-\phi-\theta)})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Applying Theorem 24, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_{O(2n)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f^{(k)}_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)\\
=&\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{SO(2n)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f^{(k)}_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{O^-(2n)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f^{(k)}_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)\\
=&\frac{1}{4}D_n^{T+H,1}(\sigma_{1,\theta,\theta'})+\frac{1}{2}\hat{\sigma}_{1,\theta,\theta'}(1)\hat{\sigma}_{1,\theta,\theta'}(-1)D_{n-1}^{T+H,2}(\sigma_{1,\theta,\theta'}),\\
&\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f^{(k)}_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)\\
=&\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{SO(2n+1)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f^{(k)}_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{O^-(2n+1)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f^{(k)}_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)\\
=&\frac{1}{2}\hat{\sigma}_{1,\theta,\theta'}(1)D_n^{T+H,3}(\sigma_{1,\theta,\theta'})+\frac{1}{2}\hat{\sigma}_{1,\theta,\theta'}(-1)D_n^{T+H,4}(\sigma_{1,\theta,\theta'}),\\
&\mathbb{E}_{Sp(2n)}\left(f^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)=D_n^{T+H,2}(\sigma_{1,\theta,\theta'}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

and similarly for $\sigma_{2,\theta,\theta'}$, ..., $\sigma_{5,\theta}$.

The symbols $\sigma_{1,\theta,\theta'}$, ..., $\sigma_{5,\theta}$ can be written as symbols with Fisher-Hartwig singularities, i.e. as in Definition 10. Due to our choice of logarithm, we have for $\phi,\theta\in[0,2\pi)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\phi-\theta)}) =&\begin{cases}-\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{\phi-\theta}{2} & 0\le\theta<\phi<2\pi\\ \frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{\phi-\theta}{2} & 0\le\phi\le\theta<2\pi\end{cases},\\
\Im\ln(1-e^{i(-\phi-\theta)}) =&\Im\ln(1-e^{i(2\pi-\theta-\phi)})\\
=&\begin{cases}-\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{2\pi-\theta-\phi}{2} & 0\le\phi<2\pi-\theta\le2\pi\\ \frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{2\pi-\theta-\phi}{2} & 0<2\pi-\theta\le\phi<2\pi\end{cases},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

which implies that we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2,\theta,\theta'}(e^{i\phi}) =& e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)(e^{ij\phi}+e^{-ij\phi})} \\
&\times |e^{i\phi}-e^{i\theta'}|^{2\alpha}e^{i\beta(\phi-\theta')}g_{e^{i\theta'},\beta}(e^{i\phi})|e^{i\phi}-e^{-i\theta'}|^{2\alpha}e^{-i\beta(\phi-(2\pi-\theta'))}g_{e^{i(2\pi-\theta')},-\beta}(e^{i\phi}), \\
\sigma_{3,\theta,\theta'}(e^{i\phi}) =& |e^{i\phi}-e^{i\theta}|^{2\alpha}e^{i\beta(\phi-\theta)}g_{e^{i\theta},\beta}(e^{i\phi})|e^{i\phi}-e^{-i\theta}|^{2\alpha}e^{-i\beta(\phi-(2\pi-\theta))}g_{e^{i(2\pi-\theta)},-\beta}(e^{i\phi}) \\
&\times |e^{i\phi}-e^{i\theta'}|^{2\alpha}e^{i\beta(\phi-\theta')}g_{e^{i\theta'},\beta}(e^{i\phi})|e^{i\phi}-e^{-i\theta'}|^{2\alpha}e^{-i\beta(\phi-(2\pi-\theta'))},g_{e^{i(2\pi-\theta')},-\beta}(e^{i\phi}) \\
\sigma_{5,\theta}(e^{i\phi}) =& |e^{i\phi}-e^{i\theta}|^{2\alpha}e^{i\beta(\phi-\theta)}g_{e^{i\theta},\beta}(e^{i\phi})|e^{i\phi}-e^{-i\theta}|^{2\alpha}e^{-i\beta(\phi-(2\pi-\theta'))}g_{e^{i(2\pi-\theta')},-\beta}(e^{i\phi}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Theorem 12 gives asymptotics for the Toeplitz+Hankel determinants of $\sigma_{1,\theta,\theta'}, \sigma_{2,\theta,\theta'}, \sigma_{3,\theta,\theta'}, \sigma_{4,\theta}, \sigma_{5,\theta}$, which are uniform when all of the singularities that appear are bounded away from each other. Since $\sigma_{1,\theta,\theta'}$ and $\sigma_{4,\theta}$ do not have any singularities the asymptotics of their Toeplitz+Hankel determinants are uniform in $\theta, \theta' \in [0, 2\pi)$. To obtain asymptotics for the Toeplitz+Hankel determinants of $\sigma_{2,\theta,\theta'}$, $\sigma_{3,\theta,\theta'}$ and $\sigma_{5,\theta}$ that are also uniform when singularities merge we use Theorem 23.

When applying Theorem 17 we always have $\alpha_0 = \alpha_{r+1} = 0$, thus we get

$$\frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0+\alpha_{r+1}+s'+t'+1)}G(1/2)^2}{G(1+\alpha_0+s')G(1+\alpha_{r+1}+t')} = 1, \tag{4.9}$$

for any choices of $s', t' \in \{+1/2, -1/2\}$. Further one has to be careful that $z_1$, $z_2$ always correspond to the singularities in the upper half circle, i.e. $\arg z_1, \arg z_2 \in (0, \pi)$. The asymptotics of the Toeplitz+Hankel determinants of $\sigma_{1,\theta,\theta'}, \sigma_{2,\theta,\theta'}, \sigma_{4,\theta}, \sigma_{5,\theta'}$ obtained with Theorem 17 are then as follows:

- For $f(e^{i\phi}) = \sigma_{1,\theta,\theta'}(e^{i\phi})$ we have $r = 0$, $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = 0$ and

$$V(z) = -\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)(e^{ij\theta}+e^{ij\theta'})\right)(e^{ij\phi}+e^{-ij\phi}). \tag{4.10}$$

  Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
D_n^{T+H,\kappa}(\sigma_{1,\theta,\theta'}) =& e^{\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)(e^{ij\theta}+e^{ij\theta'})\right)^2} \\
&\times e^{2\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{s'+(-1)^j t'}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)(e^{ij\theta}+e^{ij\theta'})\right)} \\
&\times 2^{(1-s'-t')n+q-\frac{1}{2}(s'+t')^2+\frac{1}{2}(s'+t')}(1+o(1)),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

  uniformly for $\theta, \theta' \in [0, 2\pi)$.

- For $f(e^{i\phi}) = \sigma_{2,\theta,\theta'}(e^{i\phi})$ we have $r = 1$, $\alpha_0 = \alpha_2 = 0$,

$$z_1 = \begin{cases} e^{i\theta'} & 0 < \theta' < \pi \\ e^{i(2\pi-\theta')} & \pi < \theta' < 2\pi \end{cases}, \quad \beta_1 = \begin{cases} \beta & 0 < \theta' < \pi \\ -\beta & \pi < \theta' < 2\pi \end{cases}, \tag{4.12}$$

  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, and

$$V(z) = -\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)(e^{ij\phi}+e^{-ij\phi}). \tag{4.13}$$

Thus we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} D_n^{T+H,\kappa}(\sigma_{2,\theta,\theta'}) =&(2n)^{(\alpha^2-\beta^2)} e^{\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)^2} e^{\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{4}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta'}\right)} \\ &\times e^{-i\pi\alpha\beta_1} z_1^{2\alpha\beta_1} |1-e^{2i\theta'}|^{-(\alpha^2+\beta^2)} \frac{G(1+\alpha+\beta)G(1+\alpha-\beta)}{G(1+2\alpha)} \\ &\times e^{2\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{s'+(-1)^j t'}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)} 2^{(1-s'-t')n+q-\frac{1}{2}(s'+t')^2+\frac{1}{2}(s'+t')} \\ &\times e^{-i\pi s'\beta_1} z_1^{\beta_1(s'+t')} |1-e^{i\theta'}|^{-2\alpha s'} |1+e^{i\theta'}|^{-2\alpha t'} \\ &\times (1+o(1)), \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

uniformly for $\theta, \theta' \in [0, 2\pi)$ s.t. $e^{i\theta'}$ stays bounded away from $\pm 1$.

- For $f(e^{i\phi}) = \sigma_{4,\theta}(e^{i\phi})$ we have $r = 0$, $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = 0$ and

$$V(z) = -\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)(e^{ij\phi} + e^{-ij\phi}). \tag{4.15}$$

Thus we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} D_n^{T+H,\kappa}(\sigma_{4,\theta}) =&e^{\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)^2} e^{2\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{s'+(-1)^j t'}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)} \\ &\times 2^{(1-s'-t')n+q-\frac{1}{2}(s'+t')^2+\frac{1}{2}(s'+t')}(1+o(1)), \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

uniformly for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

- For $f(e^{i\phi}) = \sigma_{5,\theta'}(e^{i\phi})$ with $e^{i\theta'} \neq \pm 1$, we have $r = 1$, $\alpha_0 = \alpha_2 = 0$,

$$z_1 = \begin{cases} e^{i\theta'} & 0 < \theta' < \pi \\ e^{i(2\pi-\theta')} & \pi < \theta' < 2\pi \end{cases}, \quad \beta_1 = \begin{cases} \beta & 0 < \theta' < \pi \\ -\beta & \pi < \theta' < 2\pi \end{cases} \tag{4.17}$$

$\alpha_1 = \alpha$, and $V = 0$. Thus we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} D_n^{T+H,\kappa}(\sigma_{5,\theta'}) =&(2n)^{(\alpha^2-\beta^2)} e^{-i\pi\alpha\beta_1} z_1^{2\alpha\beta_1} |1-e^{2i\theta'}|^{-(\alpha^2+\beta^2)} \frac{G(1+\alpha+\beta)G(1+\alpha-\beta)}{G(1+2\alpha)} \\ &\times 2^{(1-s'-t')n+q-\frac{1}{2}(s'+t')^2+\frac{1}{2}(s'+t')} e^{-i\pi s'\beta_1} z_1^{\beta_1(s'+t')} |1-e^{i\theta'}|^{-2\alpha s'} |1+e^{i\theta'}|^{-2\alpha t'} \\ &\times (1+o(1)), \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

uniformly for $\theta' \in [0, 2\pi)$ s.t. $e^{i\theta'}$ stays bounded away from $\pm 1$.

For $f(e^{i\phi}) = \sigma_{3,\theta,\theta'}(e^{i\phi})$ we have $r = 2$, $\alpha_0 = \alpha_3 = V(z) = 0$ and $z_1, z_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ chosen

according to the following decomposition (always $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$):

$$
\begin{aligned}
[0,2\pi)^2 =& \cup_{j=1}^8 J_j \cup \left\{(\theta,\theta') \in [0,2\pi)^2 : \theta = \theta',\ \text{or } \theta = 2\pi - \theta',\ \text{or } \theta,\theta' \in \{0,\pi\}\right\},\\
J_1 =& \left\{(\theta,\theta') \in (0,\pi)\times(0,\pi) : \theta < \theta'\right\},\\
&\text{for } (\theta,\theta') \in J_1 : \quad z_1 = e^{i\theta}, z_2 = e^{i\theta'}, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta.\\
J_2 =& \left\{(\theta,\theta') \in (0,\pi)\times(0,\pi) : \theta' < \theta\right\},\\
&\text{for } (\theta,\theta') \in J_2 : \quad z_1 = e^{i\theta'}, z_2 = e^{i\theta}, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta.\\
J_3 =& \left\{(\theta,\theta') \in (0,\pi)\times(\pi,2\pi) : \theta < 2\pi - \theta'\right\},\\
&\text{for } (\theta,\theta') \in J_3 : \quad z_1 = e^{i\theta}, z_2 = e^{i(2\pi-\theta')}, \beta_1 = -\beta_2 = \beta.\\
J_4 =& \left\{(\theta,\theta') \in (\pi,2\pi)\times(0,\pi) : \theta' < 2\pi - \theta\right\},\\
&\text{for } (\theta,\theta') \in J_4 : \quad z_1 = e^{i\theta'}, z_2 = e^{i(2\pi-\theta)}, \beta_1 = -\beta_2 = \beta.\\
J_5 =& \left\{(\theta,\theta') \in (\pi,2\pi)\times(\pi,2\pi) : 2\pi - \theta < 2\pi - \theta'\right\},\\
&\text{for } (\theta,\theta') \in J_5 : \quad z_1 = e^{i(2\pi-\theta)}, z_2 = e^{i(2\pi-\theta')}, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = -\beta.\\
J_6 =& \left\{(\theta,\theta') \in (\pi,2\pi)\times(\pi,2\pi) : 2\pi - \theta' < 2\pi - \theta\right\},\\
&\text{for } (\theta,\theta') \in J_6 : \quad z_1 = e^{i(2\pi-\theta')}, z_2 = e^{i(2\pi-\theta)}, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = -\beta.\\
J_7 =& \left\{(\theta,\theta') \in (\pi,2\pi)\times(0,\pi) : 2\pi - \theta < \theta'\right\},\\
&\text{for } (\theta,\theta') \in J_7 : \quad z_1 = e^{i(2\pi-\theta)}, z_2 = e^{i\theta'}, \beta_1 = -\beta_2 = -\beta.\\
J_8 =& \left\{(\theta,\theta') \in (0,\pi)\times(\pi,2\pi) : 2\pi - \theta' < \theta\right\},\\
&\text{for } (\theta,\theta') \in J_8 : \quad z_1 = e^{i(2\pi-\theta')}, z_2 = e^{i\theta}, \beta_1 = -\beta_2 = -\beta.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.19}
$$

In this notation we obtain by Theorem 17

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_n^{T+H,\kappa}(\sigma_{3,\theta,\theta'}) =& (2n)^{2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)} e^{-i\pi\alpha(\beta_1+\beta_2+2\beta_2)} |e^{i\theta} - e^{i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)} |e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)}\\
&\times z_1^{4\beta_1\alpha} z_2^{4\beta_2\alpha} |1 - e^{2i\theta}|^{-(\alpha^2+\beta^2)} |1 - e^{2i\theta'}|^{-(\alpha^2+\beta^2)} \frac{G(1+\alpha+\beta)^2 G(1+\alpha-\beta)^2}{G(1+2\alpha)^2}\\
&\times 2^{(1-s'-t')n+q-\frac{1}{2}(s'+t')^2+\frac{1}{2}(s'+t')} e^{-i\pi s'(\beta_1+\beta_2)} z_1^{\beta_1(s'+t')} z_2^{\beta_2(s'+t')}\\
&\times |1 - e^{i\theta}|^{-2\alpha s'} |1 + e^{i\theta}|^{-2\alpha t'} |1 - e^{i\theta'}|^{-2\alpha s'} |1 + e^{i\theta'}|^{-2\alpha t'} (1 + o(1)),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.20}
$$

uniformly for $\theta, \theta' \in [0, 2\pi)$, s.t. $e^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta'}, e^{-i\theta}, e^{-i\theta'}$ stay bounded away from each other and $\pm 1$.

In the following sections we use the asymptotics obtained in this section to compute the asymptotics of the quotients of expectations that appear in Lemma 9.

## 4.1 The Symplectic Case

By (4.6), (4.11), (4.16) with $\kappa = 2$, $q = 0$, $s' = t' = \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathbb{E}_{Sp(2n)}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}(\theta) f_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}_{Sp(2n)}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}_{Sp(2n)}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}(\theta')\right)} = \frac{D_n^{T+H,2}(\sigma_{1,\theta,\theta'})}{D_n^{T+H,2}(\sigma_{4,\theta}) D_n^{T+H,2}(\sigma_{4,\theta'})}\\
=& e^{\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{4}{j} \Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta'}\right)} (1 + o(1)),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.21}
$$

uniformly for $\theta, \theta' \in [0, 2\pi)$.

By (4.6), (4.14), (4.16) and (4.18) with $\kappa = 2$, $q = 0$, $s' = t' = \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathbb{E}_{Sp(2n)}\left(f^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta) f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}_{Sp(2n)}\left(f^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}_{Sp(2n)}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)} &= \frac{D_n^{T+H,2}(\sigma_{2,\theta,\theta'})}{D_n^{T+H,2}(\sigma_{4,\theta}) D_n^{T+H,2}(\sigma_{5,\theta'})} \\ =& e^{\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{4}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta'}\right)}(1+o(1)),\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

uniformly for $\theta, \theta' \in [0, 2\pi)$ s.t. $e^{i\theta'}$ stays bounded away from $\pm 1$.

By (4.6), (4.18), (4.20), with $\kappa = 2$, $q = 0$ and $s' = t' = \frac{1}{2}$, and $z_1, z_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ chosen as in (4.19), a quick calculation results in

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathbb{E}_{Sp(2n)}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta) f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}_{Sp(2n)}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}_{Sp(2n)}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)} &= \frac{D_n^{T+H,2}(\sigma_{3,\theta,\theta'})}{D_n^{T+H,2}(\sigma_{5,\theta}) D_n^{T+H,2}(\sigma_{5,\theta'})} \\ =& |e^{i\theta} - e^{i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)} |e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)} z_1^{2\alpha\beta_1} z_2^{2\alpha\beta_2} e^{-2\pi i\alpha\beta_2}(1+o(1)) \\ =& |e^{i\theta} - e^{i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)} |e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)} e^{4i\alpha\beta\Im \ln(1-e^{i(\theta+\theta')})}(1+o(1)),\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

uniformly for $\theta, \theta' \in [0, 2\pi)$, s.t. $e^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta'}, e^{-i\theta}, e^{-i\theta'}$ stay bounded away from each other and $\pm 1$.

## 4.2 The Odd Orthogonal Case

In the odd orthogonal case we always have $q = -n$, and $s' + t' = 0$, which implies that

$$2^{(1-s'-t')n+q-\frac{1}{2}(s'+t')^2+\frac{1}{2}(s'+t')} = 1. \tag{4.24}$$

We also note that by (4.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_{1,\theta,\theta'}(\pm 1) =& e^{-2\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(\pm 1)^j}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)\left(e^{ij\theta}+e^{ij\theta'}\right)\right)}, \\ \hat{\sigma}_{2,\theta,\theta'}(\pm 1) =& e^{-2\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(\pm 1)^j}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)} |1 \mp e^{i\theta'}|^{2\alpha} e^{i\beta(\pi-\theta')} g_{e^{i\theta'},\beta}(\pm 1), \\ \hat{\sigma}_{3,\theta,\theta'}(1) =& |1-e^{i\theta}|^{2\alpha} |1-e^{i\theta'}|^{2\alpha} e^{i\beta(\pi-\theta)} e^{i\beta(\pi-\theta')}, \\ \hat{\sigma}_{3,\theta,\theta'}(-1) =& |1+e^{i\theta}|^{2\alpha} |1+e^{i\theta'}|^{2\alpha} e^{i\beta(\pi-\theta)} e^{i\beta(\pi-\theta')} e^{-i\pi(\beta_1+\beta_2)}, \\ \hat{\sigma}_{4,\theta}(\pm 1) =& e^{-2\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(\pm 1)^j}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)}, \\ \hat{\sigma}_{5,\theta'}(1) =& |1-e^{i\theta'}|^{2\alpha} e^{i\beta(\pi-\theta')}, \\ \hat{\sigma}_{5,\theta'}(-1) =& |1+e^{i\theta'}|^{2\alpha} e^{i\beta(\pi-\theta')} e^{-i\pi\beta_1},\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

where $\beta_1, \beta_2$ are chosen as in (4.19) for $\hat{\sigma}_{3,\theta,\theta'}$, and as in (4.17) for $\hat{\sigma}_{5,\theta'}$. Thus by (4.6), (4.11) and (4.25) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f^{(k)}_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)\\
=&\frac{1}{2}\hat{\sigma}_{1,\theta,\theta'}(1)D_n^{T+H,3}(\sigma_{1,\theta,\theta'})+\frac{1}{2}\hat{\sigma}_{1,\theta,\theta'}(-1)D_n^{T+H,4}(\sigma_{1,\theta,\theta'})\\
=&\frac{1}{2}e^{\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)(e^{ij\theta}+e^{ij\theta'})\right)^2}\\
&\times\left(e^{-2\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)\left(e^{ij\theta}+e^{ij\theta'}\right)\right)}e^{\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1-(-1)^j}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)(e^{ij\theta}+e^{ij\theta'})\right)}\right.\\
&\left.+e^{-2\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(-1)^j}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)\left(e^{ij\theta}+e^{ij\theta'}\right)\right)}e^{-\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1-(-1)^j}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)(e^{ij\theta}+e^{ij\theta'})\right)}\right)(1+o(1))\\
=&e^{\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)(e^{ij\theta}+e^{ij\theta'})\right)^2}e^{-\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1+(-1)^j}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)(e^{ij\theta}+e^{ij\theta'})\right)}(1+o(1)),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.26}$$

uniformly for $\theta, \theta' \in [0, 2\pi)$.

Similarly we obtain from (4.6), (4.14, (4.16), (4.18) and (4.25), that

$$\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)=e^{\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)^2}e^{-\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1+(-1)^j}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)}(1+o(1)),\tag{4.27}$$

uniformly for $\theta, \theta' \in [0, 2\pi)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)=&(2n)^{(\alpha^2-\beta^2)}e^{\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)^2}e^{\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{4}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta'}\right)}\\
&\times e^{-i\pi\alpha\beta_1}z_1^{2\alpha\beta_1}|1-e^{2i\theta'}|^{-(\alpha^2+\beta^2)}\\
&\times\frac{G(1+\alpha+\beta)G(1+\alpha-\beta)}{G(1+2\alpha)}\\
&\times e^{-\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1+(-1)^j}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)}\\
&\times e^{i\beta(\pi-\theta')}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2}\beta_1}|1-e^{i\theta'}|^{\alpha}|1+e^{i\theta'}|^{\alpha}(1+o(1)),\\
\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)=&(2n)^{(\alpha^2-\beta^2)}e^{-i\pi\alpha\beta_1}z_1^{2\alpha\beta_1}|1-e^{2i\theta'}|^{-(\alpha^2+\beta^2)}\\
&\times\frac{G(1+\alpha+\beta)G(1+\alpha-\beta)}{G(1+2\alpha)}\\
&\times e^{i\beta(\pi-\theta')}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2}\beta_1}|1-e^{i\theta'}|^{\alpha}|1+e^{i\theta'}|^{\alpha}(1+o(1)),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.28}$$

uniformly for $\theta, \theta' \in [0, 2\pi)$, s.t. $e^{i\theta'}$ stays bounded away from $\pm 1$, where

$$z_1=\begin{cases}e^{i\theta'} & 0<\theta'<\pi\\ e^{i(2\pi-\theta')} & \pi<\theta'<2\pi\end{cases},\quad \beta_1=\begin{cases}\beta & 0<\theta'<\pi\\ -\beta & \pi<\theta'<2\pi\end{cases}.\tag{4.29}$$

From (4.6), (4.20) and (4.25) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)\\
=&(2n)^{2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)}e^{-i\pi\alpha(\beta_1+\beta_2+2\beta_2)}\\
&\times|e^{i\theta}-e^{i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)}|e^{i\theta}-e^{-i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)}z_1^{4\beta_1\alpha}z_2^{4\beta_2\alpha}|1-e^{2i\theta}|^{-(\alpha^2+\beta^2)}|1-e^{2i\theta}|^{-(\alpha^2+\beta^2)}\\
&\times\frac{G(1+\alpha+\beta)^2G(1+\alpha-\beta)^2}{G(1+2\alpha)^2}e^{i\beta(\pi-\theta)}e^{i\beta(\pi-\theta')}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)}\\
&\times|1-e^{i\theta}|^{\alpha}|1+e^{i\theta}|^{\alpha}|1-e^{i\theta'}|^{\alpha}|1+e^{i\theta'}|^{\alpha}(1+o(1)),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.30}$$

uniformly for $\theta,\theta'\in[0,2\pi)$, s.t. $e^{i\theta},e^{i\theta'},e^{-i\theta},e^{-i\theta'}$ stay bounded away from each other and $\pm1$, and where $z_1,z_2,\beta_1,\beta_2$ are chosen as in (4.19).

Combining (4.26), (4.27) and (4.28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f^{(k)}_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}\\
=&e^{\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{4}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta'}\right)}(1+o(1)),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.31}$$

uniformly for $\theta,\theta'\in[0,2\pi)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}\\
=&e^{\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{4}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta'}\right)}(1+o(1)),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.32}$$

uniformly for $\theta,\theta'\in[0,2\pi)$, s.t. $e^{i\theta'}$ stays bounded away from $\pm1$.

By (4.28) and (4.30) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}\\
=&|e^{i\theta}-e^{i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)}|e^{i\theta}-e^{-i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)}z_1^{2\alpha\beta_1}z_2^{2\alpha\beta_2}e^{-2\pi i\alpha\beta_2}(1+o(1)),\\
=&e^{4i\alpha\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\theta+\theta')})}|e^{i\theta}-e^{i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)}|e^{i\theta}-e^{-i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)}(1+o(1)),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.33}$$

uniformly for $\theta,\theta'\in[0,2\pi)$, s.t. $e^{i\theta},e^{i\theta'},e^{-i\theta},e^{-i\theta'}$ stay bounded away from each other and $\pm1$.

## 4.3 The Even Orthogonal Case

In the same way as in the odd orthogonal case one can use (4.6), (4.11) - (4.20) and (4.25) to obtain

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f^{(k)}_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}_{O(2n)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}=e^{\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{4}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta'}\right)}(1+o(1)),\tag{4.34}$$

uniformly for $\theta,\theta\in[0,2\pi)$, and

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}_{O(2n)}\left(f_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}=e^{\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{4}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta'}\right)}(1+o(1)),\tag{4.35}$$

uniformly for $\theta, \theta' \in [0, 2\pi)$, s.t. $e^{i\theta'}$ stays bounded away from $\pm 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n)}\left(f_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta) f_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n)}\left(f_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}_{O(2n)}\left(f_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}\\ =&e^{4i\alpha\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\theta+\theta')})}|e^{i\theta}-e^{i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)}|e^{i\theta}-e^{-i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)}(1+o(1)),\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

uniformly for $\theta, \theta' \in [0, 2\pi)$, s.t. $e^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta'}, e^{-i\theta}, e^{-i\theta'}$ stay bounded away from each other and $\pm 1$.

In Section 5 we will also need that

$$\mathbb{E}_{O(2n)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right) = e^{-2\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{\eta_j}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)^2}(1+o(1)), \tag{4.37}$$

uniformly in $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

## 4.4 Pulling the large-$n$ limit inside the integral

Using the asymptotics computed in the previous sections and Theorem 23, we follow the proof of Corollary 2.1 in [32] to show that we can pull $\lim_{n\to\infty}$ inside the integral, i.e. that we can use the non-uniform asymptotics of the integrand:

**Lemma 25.** *Let the expectations be over $O(n)$ or $Sp(2n)$, and $\alpha^2 - \beta^2 < 1/2$ and $0 \le \alpha < 1/2$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta)g(\theta')\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}\,d\theta\,d\theta'\\ &\quad=\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta)g(\theta')e^{4i\alpha\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\theta+\theta')})}|e^{i\theta}-e^{i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)}|e^{i\theta}-e^{-i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)}\,d\theta\,d\theta',\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

*and*

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta)g(\theta')\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(f^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(f^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}\,d\theta\,d\theta'\\ &\quad=\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta)g(\theta')e^{\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{4}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta'}\right)}\,d\theta\,d\theta'.\end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

**Remark 26.** *Note that the limit in (4.38) is finite since $2(\alpha^2+\beta^2) \le 2(\alpha^2-\beta^2) < 1$ and $2(\alpha^2+\beta^2) + 2(\alpha^2-\beta^2) = 4\alpha^2 < 1$. If we restrict the integrals to $I_\epsilon = (\epsilon, \pi-\epsilon)\cup(\pi+\epsilon, 2\pi-\epsilon)$ then we only need that $2(\alpha^2+\beta^2) \le 2(\alpha^2-\beta^2) < 1$ for the integral to be finite, since $|e^{i\theta}-e^{i\theta'}|$ and $|e^{i\theta}-e^{-i\theta'}|$ can only go to zero simultaneously when both $\theta, \theta'$ approach $\{0, \pi, 2\pi\}$. Further, if we restrict to $I_\epsilon$ we can rely on Theorems 17 and 22 for the proof, which only require $\alpha > -1/4$, and we will not need Theorem 23 anymore, which requires $\alpha \ge 0$. Thus when restricting to $I_\epsilon$, Lemma 25 holds for the larger set of parameters $\alpha^2 - \beta^2 < 1/2$ and $\alpha > -1/4$.*

**Proof of Lemma 25:** By Theorems 23 and 24 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathbb{E}_{Sp(2n)}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}_{Sp(2n)}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}_{Sp(2n)}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)} =&\frac{D_n^{T+H,2}(\sigma_{3,\theta,\theta'})}{D_n^{T+H,2}(\sigma_{5,\theta})D_n^{T+H,2}(\sigma_{5,\theta'})}\\ =&e^{O(1)}\left(\sin\left|\frac{\theta-\theta'}{2}\right|+\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)}\left(\sin\left|\frac{\theta+\theta'}{2}\right|+\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)},\end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

as $n \to \infty$, uniformly in (Lebesgue almost all) $(\theta, \theta') \in [0, 2\pi)^2$. By the same theorems we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta) f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{3,\theta,\theta'}(1) D_n^{T+H,3}(\sigma_{3,\theta,\theta'}) + \hat{\sigma}_{3,\theta,\theta'}(-1) D_n^{T+H,4}(\sigma_{3,\theta,\theta'})\right) \\
=&\frac{1}{2} F_{\sigma_{3,\theta,\theta'}} n^{2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)} \left( e^{O(1)} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \left( \frac{\sin\frac{|\theta_j|}{2} + \frac{1}{n}}{\sin^2\frac{|\theta_j|}{2}\left(\cos\frac{|\theta_j|}{2} + \frac{1}{n}\right)} \right)^{-\alpha} + e^{O(1)} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \left( \frac{\cos\frac{|\theta_j|}{2} + \frac{1}{n}}{\cos^2\frac{|\theta_j|}{2}\left(\sin\frac{|\theta_j|}{2} + \frac{1}{n}\right)} \right)^{-\alpha} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right) \\
=&\frac{1}{2} F_{\sigma_{5,\theta}} n^{\alpha^2-\beta^2} \left( e^{O(1)} \left( \frac{\sin\frac{|\theta|}{2} + \frac{1}{n}}{\sin^2\frac{|\theta|}{2}\left(\cos\frac{|\theta|}{2} + \frac{1}{n}\right)} \right)^{-\alpha} + e^{O(1)} \left( \frac{\cos\frac{|\theta|}{2} + \frac{1}{n}}{\cos^2\frac{|\theta|}{2}\left(\sin\frac{|\theta|}{2} + \frac{1}{n}\right)} \right)^{-\alpha} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

as $n \to \infty$, uniformly for (Lebesgue almost all) $(\theta, \theta') \in [0, 2\pi)^2$, where $\hat{\sigma}_{1,\theta,\theta'}$,...,$\hat{\sigma}_{5,\theta}$ are defined in (4.3). Thus we can see that also for the expectations over $O(2n+1)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta) f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)} \\
=&e^{O(1)} \left( \sin\left|\frac{\theta-\theta'}{2}\right| + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)} \left( \sin\left|\frac{\theta+\theta'}{2}\right| + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

as $n \to \infty$, uniformly in (Lebesgue almost all) $(\theta, \theta') \in [0, 2\pi)^2$. Similarly, Theorem 23 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n)}\left(f_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta) f_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n)}\left(f_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}_{O(2n)}\left(f_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)} \\
=&e^{O(1)} \left( \sin\left|\frac{\theta-\theta'}{2}\right| + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)} \left( \sin\left|\frac{\theta+\theta'}{2}\right| + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathbb{E}_{Sp(2n)}\left(f^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta) f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}_{Sp(2n)}\left(f^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}_{Sp(2n)}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)} = e^{O(1)}, \\
&\frac{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta) f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}_{O(2n+1)}\left(f_{2n+1,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)} = e^{O(1)}, \\
&\frac{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta) f_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}_{O(2n)}\left(f^{(k)}_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}_{O(2n)}\left(f_{2n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)} = e^{O(1)},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

as $n \to \infty$, uniformly in (Lebesgue almost all) $(\theta, \theta') \in [0, 2\pi)^2$.

Now, for a given measureable subset $R \subset [0, 2\pi)^2$, we denote

$$\begin{aligned}
L_\epsilon(R) &= \int_R \left( \sin\left|\frac{\theta-\theta'}{2}\right| + \epsilon \right)^{-2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)} \left( \sin\left|\frac{\theta+\theta'}{2}\right| + \epsilon \right)^{-2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)} \mathrm{d}\theta \mathrm{d}\theta', \\
K_\epsilon(R) &= \int_R \left( \sin\left|\frac{\theta-\theta'}{2}\right| + \epsilon \right)^{-2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)} \mathrm{d}\theta \mathrm{d}\theta'.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

In the case $\alpha^2+\beta^2>0$ we have $L_\epsilon(R)<L_0(R)<\infty$ for any $\epsilon>0$ (since $2(\alpha^2-\beta^2), 2(\alpha^2+\beta^2), 4\alpha^2<1$), while in the case $\alpha^2+\beta^2\leq 0$ we have $K_\epsilon(R)<K_0(R)<\infty$ for any $\epsilon>0$. For $\eta>0$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(\eta) =& \left\{(\theta,\theta')\in[0,2\pi)^2 : \sin\frac{|\theta-\theta'|}{2}, \sin\frac{|\theta+\theta'|}{2}>\mu\right\} \\ R_2(\eta) =& R_1(\eta)^c. \end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

It follows by (4.40), (4.43) and (4.44) that for any $\eta>0$ there exists a $C>0$ and $N_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{R_2(\eta)} g(\theta)g(\theta')\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)} \leq \begin{cases} CL_0(R_2(\eta)), & \alpha^2+\beta^2>0, \\ CK_0(R_2(\eta)), & \alpha^2+\beta^2\leq 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.48}$$

for $n>N_0$. Fix $\delta>0$. Since $L_0(R_2(\eta)), K_0(R_2(\eta)\to 0$ as $\eta\to 0$, it follows that there exists an $\eta_0>0$ and an $N_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{R_2(\eta)} g(\theta)g(\theta')\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)} < \delta/2 \tag{4.49}$$

for $n>N_0$ and $\eta<\eta_0$.

Using (4.23), (4.33) and (4.36), we get that for any fixed $\eta>0$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{R_1(\eta)} g(\theta)g(\theta')\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)} = (1+o(1))L_0(R_1(\eta)) \\ =&(1+o(1))L_0([0,2\pi)^2) - (1+o(1))L_0(R_2(\eta)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.50}$$

We pick $\eta<\eta_0$ such that $I_0(R_2(\eta))<\delta/4$, then we have

$$\left|\int_{R_1(\eta)} g(\theta)g(\theta')\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)} - L_0([0,2\pi)^2)\right| = |L_0(R_2(\eta))+o(1)| < \delta/4+o(1). \tag{4.51}$$

Together with (4.49) we obtain that there exists an $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left|\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta)g(\theta')\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\right)\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta')\right)} - L_0([0,2\pi)^2)\right| < \delta \tag{4.52}$$

for all $n>N$. Since $\delta>0$ is arbitrary, this shows the first part of (4.38). (4.39) follows from (4.45) in a similar way. □

## 4.5 Proof of Lemma 9

Now we have all the ingredients necessary to prove Lemma 9. We will only prove it for $I=[0,2\pi)$, the proof for $I=I_\epsilon=(\epsilon,\pi-\epsilon)\cup(\pi+\epsilon,2\pi+\epsilon)$ is completely analogous and relies on the fact that Lemma 25 also holds for $I=I_\epsilon$, $\alpha^2-\beta^2<1/2$ and $\alpha>-1/4$, as explained in Remark 26.

**Proof of Lemma 9:** From Lemma 25 and (2.30), (4.21), (4.31) and (4.34) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta)\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta) - \int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta)\mu^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta)\right)^2\right) \\ =&\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta)g(\theta')e^{4i\alpha\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\theta+\theta')})}|e^{i\theta}-e^{i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)}|e^{i\theta}-e^{-i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)}\mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}\theta' \\ &-\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta)g(\theta')e^{\sum_{j=1}^k\frac{4}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta'}\right)}\mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}\theta'. \end{aligned} \tag{4.53}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&4\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta'}\right)\\
=&(\alpha-\beta)^2e^{ij(\theta+\theta')}+(\alpha+\beta)^2e^{-ij(\theta+\theta')}+(\alpha^2-\beta^2)(e^{ij(\theta-\theta')}+e^{-ij(\theta-\theta')})\\
=&2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)\cos(j(\theta-\theta'))+2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)\cos(j(\theta+\theta'))-2\alpha\beta\left(e^{ij(\theta+\theta')}-e^{-ij(\theta+\theta')}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.54}$$

Since

$$\ln|e^{i\theta}-e^{i\theta'}|=-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j}\cos(j(\theta-\theta')),\qquad \ln|e^{i\theta}-e^{-i\theta'}|=-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j}\cos(j(\theta+\theta')),\tag{4.55}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j}(e^{ij(\theta+\theta')}-e^{-ij(\theta+\theta')})=&\ln(1-e^{i(\theta+\theta')})-\ln(1-e^{-i(\theta+\theta')})\\
=&2i\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\theta+\theta')}),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.56}$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j}\Re\left((\alpha+i\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)\Re\left((\alpha+i\beta)e^{ij\theta'}\right)}\\
=&|e^{i\theta}-e^{i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)}|e^{i\theta}-e^{-i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)}e^{4i\alpha\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\theta+\theta')})}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.57}$$

Because $\mathbb{E}\left((...)^2\right)\geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}g(\theta)g(\theta')|e^{i\theta}-e^{i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)}|e^{i\theta}-e^{-i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)}e^{4i\alpha\beta\Im\ln(1-e^{i(\theta+\theta')})}\mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}\theta'\\
\geq&\limsup_{k\to\infty}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}g(\theta)g(\theta')e^{\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{4}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta'}\right)}\mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}\theta'.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.58}$$

Now we use that $g$ is non-negative to apply Fatou's lemma to get the other inequality, which finishes the proof. □

# 5 Proof of the Second Limit

In this section we prove (2.26) for $I=[0,2\pi)$, i.e. that for any fixed $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and bounded continuous function $g:[0,2\pi)\to\mathbb{R}$ it holds that

$$\int_0^{2\pi}g(\theta)\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}(\mathrm{d}\theta)\xrightarrow{d}\int_0^{2\pi}g(\theta)\mu_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}(\mathrm{d}\theta),\tag{5.1}$$

as $n\to\infty$, where $\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$ is defined in Definition 8 and $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$ is defined in Appendix B. For $I=I_\epsilon=(\epsilon,\pi-\epsilon)\cup(\pi+\epsilon,2\pi-\epsilon)$ the proof is exactly the same.

We consider the function $F:\mathbb{R}^k\to\mathbb{R}$,

$$F(z_1,...,z_k)=\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{g(\theta)e^{-2\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{z_j}{\sqrt{j}}(\alpha\cos(j\theta)-i\beta\sin(j\theta))}}{e^{\pm2\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{\eta_j}{j}\Re((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta})+\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{2}{j}\Re((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta})^2}}\mathrm{d}\theta,\tag{5.2}$$

which is continuous since the integrand is continuous in $z_1, ..., z_n$ and $\theta$, and bounded in $\theta$ for any fixed $z_1, ..., z_n$. Then we have, with $\pm$ corresponding to symplectic/orthogonal:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta)\mu^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{g(\theta)e^{-2\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(U_n^j)}{\sqrt{j}}(\alpha\cos(j\theta)-i\beta\sin(j\theta))}}{\mathbb{E}(f^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\beta}(\theta))}\mathrm{d}\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{1+o(1)}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{g(\theta)e^{-2\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(U_n^j)}{\sqrt{j}}(\alpha\cos(j\theta)-i\beta\sin(j\theta))}}{e^{\pm 2\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\eta_j}{j}\Re((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta})+\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{2}{j}\Re((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta})^2}}\mathrm{d}\theta \\
&\xrightarrow{d} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{g(\theta)e^{-2\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\mathcal{N}_j \mp \frac{\eta_j}{\sqrt{j}}}{\sqrt{j}}(\alpha\cos(j\theta)-i\beta\sin(j\theta))}}{e^{\pm 2\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\eta_j}{j}\Re((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta})+\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{2}{j}\Re((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta})^2}}\mathrm{d}\theta \\
&\overset{d}{=} \int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta)e^{2\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\mathcal{N}_j}{\sqrt{j}}(\alpha\cos(j\theta)-i\beta\sin(j\theta))-\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{2}{j}\Re\left((\alpha-\beta)e^{ij\theta}\right)^2}\mathrm{d}\theta \\
&= \int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta)\mu^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathrm{d}\theta),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where in the second equality we used (4.16), (4.27), and (4.37), where the convergence in distribution follows from Theorem 2 and the continuous mapping theorem, and where the penultimate equality follows from the fact that $-\mathcal{N}_j \overset{d}{=} \mathcal{N}_j$.

# 6 Riemann-Hilbert Problem for a System of Orthogonal Polynomials and a Differential Identity

In this section and and the following Sections 7, 8 and 9 we prove Theorems 16, 17 and 22, by following the Riemann-Hilbert analysis of [25].

## 6.1 RHP for Orthogonal Polynomials

By the integral representation for a Toeplitz-determinant and since $f_{p,t} > 0$ except at $z_0, ..., z_5$, it holds that $D_n(f_{p,t}) \in (0, \infty)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we can define the polynomials

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_n(z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_n(f_{p,t})D_{n+1}(f_{p,t})}}\begin{vmatrix} f_{p,t,0} & f_{p,t,-1} & \dots & f_{p,t,-n} \\ f_{p,t,1} & f_{p,t,0} & \dots & f_{p,t,-n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & & \dots \\ f_{p,t,n-1} & f_{p,t,n-2} & \dots & f_{p,t,-1} \\ 1 & z & \dots & z^n \end{vmatrix} = \chi_n z^n + ..., \\
\hat{\phi}_n(z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_n(f_{p,t})D_{n+1}(f_{p,t})}}\begin{vmatrix} f_{p,t,0} & f_{p,t,-1} & \dots & f_{p,t,-n+1} & 1 \\ f_{p,t,1} & f_{p,t,0} & \dots & f_{p,t,-n+2} & z \\ \dots & \dots & & \dots & \dots \\ f_{p,t,n} & f_{p,t,n-1} & \dots & f_{p,t,1} & z^n \end{vmatrix} = \chi_n z^n + ...,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

where the leading coefficient $\chi_n$ is given by

$$\chi_n = \sqrt{\frac{D_n(f_{p,t})}{D_{n+1}(f_{p,t},)}}. \tag{6.2}$$

The above polynomials satisfy the orthogonality relations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\phi_n(e^{i\theta})e^{-ik\theta}f_{p,t}(e^{i\theta})\mathrm{d}\theta =&\chi_n^{-1}\delta_{nk},\\ \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\hat{\phi}_n(e^{-i\theta})e^{ik\theta}f_{p,t}(e^{i\theta})\mathrm{d}\theta =&\chi_n^{-1}\delta_{nk},\end{aligned}\tag{6.3}$$

for $k = 0, 1, ..., n$, which implies that they are orthonormal w.r.t. the weight $f_{p,t}$.

Let $C$ denote the unit circle, oriented counterclockwise. It can easily be verified that the matrix-valued function $Y(z) = Y(z; n, p, t)$ given by

$$Y(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_n^{-1}\phi_n(z) & \chi_n^{-1}\int_C \frac{\phi_n(\xi)}{\xi - z}\frac{f_{p,t}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi}{2\pi i\xi^n} \\ -\chi_{n-1}z^{n-1}\hat{\phi}_{n-1}(z^{-1}) & -\chi_{n-1}\int_C \frac{\hat{\phi}_{n-1}(\xi^{-1})}{\xi - z}\frac{f_{p,t}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi}{2\pi i\xi} \end{pmatrix}\tag{6.4}$$

is the unique solution of the following Riemann-Hilbert problem:

**RH problem for $Y$**

(a) $Y : \mathbb{C} \setminus C \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) The continuous boundary values of $Y$ from inside the unit circle, denoted $Y_+$, and from outside, denoted $Y_-$, exist on $C \setminus \{z_0, ..., z_5\}$, and are related by the jump condition

$$Y_+(z) = Y_-(z)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & z^{-n}f_{p,t}(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in C \setminus \{z_0, ..., z_5\}.\tag{6.5}$$

(c) $Y(z) = (I + O(1/z))\begin{pmatrix} z^n & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-n} \end{pmatrix}$, as $z \to \infty$.

(d) As $z \to z_k$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus C$, $k = 0, ..., 5$, we have

$$Y(z) = \begin{pmatrix} O(1) & O(1) + O(|z - z_k|^{2\alpha_k}) \\ O(1) & O(1) + O(|z - z_k|^{2\alpha_k}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{if } \alpha_k \neq 0,\tag{6.6}$$

and

$$Y(z) = \begin{pmatrix} O(1) & O(\ln|z - z_k|) \\ O(1) & O(\ln|z - z_k|) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{if } \alpha_k = 0.\tag{6.7}$$

From the RHP and Liouville's theorem it follows that $\det Y(z) = 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus C$. Using this, one can see quickly that the solution is unique.

We have $Y(z; n, p, t)_{21}(0) = \chi_{n-1}^2$ and $Y(z; n, p, t)_{11}(z) = \chi_n^{-1}\phi_n(z) = \Phi_n(z)$, thus if we know the asymptotics of $Y$, we know the asymptotics of $\Phi_n$, $\phi_n$ and $\chi_n$.

## 6.2 Differential Identity

The Fourier coefficients are differentiable in $t$, thus $\ln D_n(f_{p,t})$ is differentiable in $t$ for all $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We calculate:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\ln\left|z - e^{i(p-t)}\right|^{2\alpha_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\ln\left|2\sin\frac{\theta - (p-t)}{2}\right|^{2\alpha_1} = \alpha_1\cot\frac{\theta - (p-t)}{2} = i\alpha_1\frac{z + e^{i(p-t)}}{z - e^{i(p-t)}}.\tag{6.8}$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\ln\left|z-e^{i(p+t)}\right|^{2\alpha_2} &= -i\alpha_2\frac{z+e^{i(p+t)}}{z-e^{i(p+t)}},\\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\ln\left|z-e^{i(2\pi-(p+t))}\right|^{2\alpha_4} &= i\alpha_4\frac{z+e^{i(2\pi-(p+t))}}{z-e^{i(2\pi-(p+t))}},\\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\ln\left|z-e^{i(2\pi-(p-t))}\right|^{2\alpha_2} &= -i\alpha_5\frac{z+e^{i(2\pi-(p-t))}}{z-e^{i(2\pi-(p-t))}}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial t} =& if(z)\sum_{k=1,2,4,5} q_k\left(\alpha_k\frac{z+z_k}{z-z_k}+\beta_k\right)\\ =& if(z)\sum_{k=1,2,4,5} q_k\left(\alpha_k+\beta_k+\frac{2\alpha_k z_k}{z-z_k}\right)\\ =& if(z)\sum_{k=1,2,4,5} q_k\left(\beta_k+\frac{2\alpha_k z_k}{z-z_k}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

where $q_k = 1$ for $k = 1, 4$ and $q_k = -1$ for $k = 2, 5$. In the last line we used that $\sum_{k=1,2,4,5} q_k\alpha_k = 0$.

Set $\tilde{Y}(z) = Y(z)$ in a neighborhood of $z_k$ if $\alpha_k > 0$. If $\alpha_k < 0$ the second column of $Y$ has a term of order $(z - z_k)^{2\alpha_k}$, which explodes as $z \to z_k$. We set $\tilde{Y}_{j1} = Y_{j1}$, $j = 1, 2$, $\tilde{Y}_{j2} = Y_{j2} - c_j(z - z_k)^{2\alpha_k}$ in a neighborhood of $z_k$, with $c_j$ such that $\tilde{Y}$ is bounded in that neighborhood. Then we have

**Proposition 27.** *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_k \neq 0$ for $k = 1, 2, 4, 5$. Then the following differential identity holds:*

$$\frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{dt}\ln D_n(f_{p,t}) = \sum_{k=1,2,4,5} q_k\left(n\beta_k - 2\alpha_k z_k\left(\frac{dY^{-1}}{dz}\tilde{Y}\right)_{22}(z_k)\right), \tag{6.11}$$

*with $q_k$ as above and $\left(\frac{dY^{-1}}{dz}\tilde{Y}\right)_{22}(z_k) = \lim_{z\to z_k}\left(\frac{dY^{-1}}{dz}\tilde{Y}\right)_{22}(z)$ with $z \to z_k$ non-tangentially to the unit circle.*

**Proof:** The proof for $\alpha_k \neq 0$, $k = 1, 2, 4, 5$ works exactly like the proof of Proposition 2.1 in [25]. We have to modify their (2.16), which we replace with our (6.10). The singularities at $\pm 1$ are independent of $p$ and $t$ and thus always stay within $f_{p,t}$. □

**Remark 28.** *As in Remark 2.2 in [25] one can also get a differential identity for $\ln D_n(f_{p,t})$ in the case where $\alpha_k = 0$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$, by letting those $\alpha_k$'s go to zero in (6.10), which is continuous in $\alpha_k$ on both sides.*

# 7 Aymptotics of the Orthogonal Polynomials

## 7.1 Normalization of the RHP

Set

$$T(z) = \begin{cases} Y(z)\begin{pmatrix} z^{-n} & 0\\ 0 & z^n\end{pmatrix}, & |z| > 1,\\ Y(z), & |z| < 1.\end{cases} \tag{7.1}$$

Then by the RH conditions for $Y$, we obtain the following RH condition for $T$:

**RH problem for $T$**

(a) $T : \mathbb{C} \setminus C \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) The continuous boundary values of $T$ from the inside, $T_+$, and from outside, $T_-$, of the unit circle exist on $C \setminus \{z_0, ..., z_5\}$, and are related by the jump condition

$$T_+(z) = T_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} z^n & f_{p,t}(z) \\ 0 & z^{-n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in C \setminus \{z_0, ..., z_5\}. \tag{7.2}$$

(c) $T(z) = I + O(1/z), \quad \text{as } z \to \infty.$

(d) As $z \to z_k$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus C$, $k = 0, ..., 5$, we have

$$T(z) = \begin{pmatrix} O(1) & O(1) + O(|z - z_k|^{2\alpha_k}) \\ O(1) & O(1) + O(|z - z_k|^{2\alpha_k}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{if } \alpha_k \neq 0, \tag{7.3}$$

and

$$T(z) = \begin{pmatrix} O(1) & O(\ln|z - z_k|) \\ O(1) & O(\ln|z - z_k|) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{if } \alpha_k = 0. \tag{7.4}$$

## 7.2 Opening of the Lens

Define the Szegö function

$$D(z) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C \frac{\ln f_{p,t}(\xi)}{\xi - z}\mathrm{d}\xi\right), \tag{7.5}$$

which is analytic inside and outside of $C$ and satisfies

$$D_+(z) = D_-(z) f_{p,t}(z), \quad z \in C \setminus \{z_0, ..., z_5\}. \tag{7.6}$$

We have (see (4.9)-(4.10) in [26]):

$$D(z) = e^{\sum_0^\infty V_j z^j} \prod_{k=0}^{5} \left(\frac{z - z_k}{z_k e^{i\pi}}\right)^{\alpha_k + \beta_k} =: D_{\text{in},p,t}(z), \quad |z| < 1, \tag{7.7}$$

and

$$D(z) = e^{-\sum_{-\infty}^{-1} V_j z^j} \prod_{k=0}^{5} \left(\frac{z - z_k}{z}\right)^{-\alpha_k + \beta_k} =: D_{\text{out},p,t}(z), \quad |z| > 1, \tag{7.8}$$

and thus

$$D_{\text{out},p,t}(z)^{-1} = e^{\sum_{-\infty}^{-1} V_j z^j} \prod_{k=0}^{5} \left(\frac{z - z_k}{z}\right)^{\alpha_k - \beta_k}. \tag{7.9}$$

The branch of $(z - z_k)^{\alpha_k \pm \beta_k}$ is fixed by the condition that $\arg(z - z_k) = 2\pi$ on the line going from $z_k$ to the right parallel to the real axis, and the branch cut is the line $\theta = \theta_k$ going from $z = z_k = e^{i\theta}$ to infinity. For any $k$, the branch cut of the root $z^{\alpha_k - \beta_k}$ is the line $\theta = \theta_k$ from $z = 0$ to infinity, and $\theta_k < \arg z < \theta_k + 2\pi$. By (7.6) we have that

$$f_{p,t}(e^{i\theta}) = D_{\text{in},p,t}(e^{i\theta}) D_{\text{out},p,t}(e^{i\theta})^{-1}, \tag{7.10}$$

and this function extends analytically to a neighborhood $\mathcal{S}$ of the unit circle with the 6 branch cuts $z_k\mathbb{R}^+ \cap \mathcal{S}$, $k = 0, ...5$, which we orient away from zero. Then we obtain for the jumps of $f_{p,t}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{p,t+}(z) =& f_{p,t-}(z) e^{2\pi i(\alpha_j - \beta_j)}, \quad \text{on } z_j(0,1) \cap \mathcal{S}, \\ f_{p,t+}(z) =& f_{p,t-}(z) e^{-2\pi i(\alpha_j + \beta_j)}, \quad \text{on } z_j(1,\infty) \cap \mathcal{S}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$





Figure 1: The jump contour $\Sigma_S$ of $S$.

We factorize the jump matrix of $T$ as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} z^n & f_{p,t}(z) \\ 0 & z^{-n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z^{-n} f_{p,t}(z)^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_{p,t}(z) \\ -f_{p,t}(z)^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z^n f_{p,t}(z)^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.12}$$

We then fix a lens-shaped region as in Figure 1 and define

$$S(z) = \begin{cases} T(z), & \text{outside the lens} \\ T(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z^{-n} f_{p,t}(z)^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{in the parts of the lenses outside the unit circle,} \\ T(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -z^{n} f_{p,t}(z)^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{in the parts of the lenses inside the unit circle.} \end{cases} \tag{7.13}$$

The following RH conditions for $S$ can be verified directly:

**RH problem for $S$**

(a) $S : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_S \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) $S_+(z) = S_-(z)J_S(z)$ for $z \in \Sigma_S \setminus \{z_0, ..., z_5\}$, where $J_S$ is given by

$$J_S(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z^{-n} f_{p,t}(z)^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } \Sigma_{0,out} \cup \Sigma_{2,out} \cup \Sigma_{3,out} \cup \Sigma_{5,out}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_{p,t}(z) \\ -f_{p,t}(z)^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3 \cup \Sigma_5, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z^{n} f_{p,t}(z)^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } \Sigma_{0,in} \cup \Sigma_{2,in} \cup \Sigma_{3,in} \cup \Sigma_{5,in}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} z^n & f_{p,t}(z) \\ 0 & z^{-n} \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_4. \end{cases} \tag{7.14}$$

(c) $S(z) = I + O(1/z), \quad \text{as } z \to \infty.$

(d) As $z \to z_k$ from outside the lenses, $k = 0, ..., 5$, we have

$$S(z) = \begin{pmatrix} O(1) & O(1) + O(|z - z_k|^{2\alpha_k}) \\ O(1) & O(1) + O(|z - z_k|^{2\alpha_k}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{if } \alpha_k \neq 0, \tag{7.15}$$

and

$$S(z) = \begin{pmatrix} O(1) & O(\ln|z - z_k|) \\ O(1) & O(\ln|z - z_k|) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{if } \alpha_k = 0. \tag{7.16}$$

The behaviour of $S(z)$ as $z \to z_k$ from the other regions is obtained from these expressions by application of the appropriate jump conditions.

Fix $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ such that the discs

$$U_{\pm 1} := \{z : |z - \pm 1| < \delta_1\}, \quad U_{\pm} := \{z : |z - e^{\pm ip}| < \delta_2\} \tag{7.17}$$

are disjoint for any $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$. Let $t_0 \in (0, \epsilon)$ such that $e^{i(p \pm t)} \in U_+$ and $e^{i(2\pi - (p \pm t))} \in U_-$ for one and hence for all $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$. Then one observes that on the inner and out jump contours and outside of $U_1 \cup U_{-1} \cup U_+ \cup U_-$ the jump matrix $J_S(z)$ converges to the identity matrix as $n \to \infty$, uniformly in $z$, $t < t_0$ and $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$.

## 7.3 Global Parametrix

Define the function

$$N(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} D_{\text{in},p,t}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & D_{\text{in},p,t}(z)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & |z| < 1, \\ \begin{pmatrix} D_{\text{out},p,t}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & D_{\text{out},p,t}(z)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, & |z| > 1. \end{cases} \tag{7.18}$$

One can easily verify that $N$ satisfies the following RH conditions:

**RH problem for $N$**

(a) $N : \mathbb{C} \setminus C \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) $N_+(z) = N_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_{p,t}(z) \\ -f_{p,t}(z)^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for $z \in C \setminus \{z_0, ..., z_5\}$.

(c) $N(z) = I + O(1/z)$ as $z \to \infty$.

## 7.4 Local Parametrix near $\pm 1$

The local parametrix near $\pm 1$ are constructed in exactly the same way as in [26]. We are looking for a solution of the following RHP:

**RH problem for $P_{\pm 1}(z)$**

(a) $P_{\pm 1} : U_{\pm 1} \setminus \Sigma_S \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) $P_{\pm 1}(z)_+ = P_{\pm 1}(z)_- J_S(z)$ for $z \in U_{\pm 1} \cap \Sigma_S$.

(c) As $z \to \pm 1$, $S(z)P_{\pm 1}(z)^{-1} = O(1)$.

(d) $P_{\pm 1}$ satisfies the matching condition $P_{\pm 1}(z)N^{-1}(z) = I + o(1)$ as $n \to \infty$, uniformly in $z \in \partial U_{\pm 1}$, $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $0 < t < t_0$.

$P_{\pm 1}$ is given by (4.15), (4.23), (4.24), (4.47)-(4.50) in [26] and one can see from their construction that when all the other singularities are bounded away from $\pm 1$, then the matching condition is uniform in the location of the other singularities, i.e. holds uniformly in $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $0 < t < t_0$.

## 7.5 $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$. Local Parametrices near $e^{\pm ip}$

Let $\omega(x)$ be a positive, smooth function for $x$ sufficiently large, s.t.

$$\omega(x) \to \infty, \quad \omega(x) = o(x), \quad \text{as } x \to \infty. \tag{7.19}$$

For $0 < t \leq 1/n$ and $1/n < t \leq \omega(n)/n$ we will construct local parametrices in $U_\pm$ which satisfy the same jump and growth conditions as $S$ inside $U_\pm$, and which match with the global parametrix $N$ on the boundaries $\partial U_\pm$ for large $n$. To be precise, we will construct $P_\pm$ satisfying the following conditions:

**RH problem for $P_\pm(z)$**

(a) $P_\pm : U_\pm \setminus \Sigma_S \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) $P_\pm(z)_+ = P_\pm(z)_- J_S(z)$ for $z \in U_\pm \cap \Sigma_S$.

(c) As $n \to \infty$, we have

$$P_\pm(z)N^{-1}(z) = (I + o(1)), \quad z \in \partial U_\pm, \tag{7.20}$$

uniformly for $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $0 < t < t_0$.

(d) As $z \to z_k$, $S(z)P_\pm(z)^{-1} = O(1)$, $k = 1, 2$ for $+$ and $k = 4, 5$ for $-$.

### 7.5.1 RH problem for $\Phi_\pm$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_+(\zeta, s) =& \Psi_+(\zeta, s) \begin{cases} 1, & -1 < \Im < 1, \\ e^{\pi i(\alpha_2 - \beta_2)\sigma_3}, & \Im\zeta > 1, \\ e^{-\pi i(\alpha_1 - \beta_1)\sigma_3}, & \Im\zeta < -1, \end{cases} \\
\Phi_-(\zeta, s) =& \Psi_+(\zeta, s) \begin{cases} 1, & -1 < \Im < 1, \\ e^{\pi i(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)\sigma_3}, & \Im\zeta > 1, \\ e^{-\pi i(\alpha_2 + \beta_2)\sigma_3}, & \Im\zeta < -1, \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{7.21}$$

where $\Psi_+(\zeta, s)$ equals $\Psi(\zeta, s)$, defined in Appendix C, and $\Psi_-(\zeta, s)$ equals $\Psi(\zeta, s)$ with $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ in the appendix changed to $(\alpha_4, \alpha_5, \beta_4, \beta_5) = (\alpha_2, \alpha_1, -\beta_2, -\beta_1)$. The RH conditions for $\Phi_\pm$ follow

directly from the RHP for $\Psi$.





Figure 2: The jump contour $\Sigma$ and the jump matrices for $\Phi_+$. $\Phi_-$ has the same jump contour, while the jump matrices of $\Phi_-$ are given by replacing $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ with $(\alpha_2, \alpha_1, -\beta_2, -\beta_1)$ in the jump matrices of $\Phi_+$.

**RH Problem for $\Phi_\pm$**

(a) $\Phi_\pm : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic, where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Sigma = \cup_{k=1}^{9} \Sigma_k, && \Sigma_1 = i + e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}_+, && \Sigma_2 = i + e^{\frac{3i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}_+\\
&\Sigma_3 = i - \mathbb{R}_+, && \Sigma_4 = -i - \mathbb{R}_+, && \Sigma_5 = -i + e^{-\frac{3i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}_+,\\
&\Sigma_6 = -i + e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}_+, && \Sigma_7 = -i + \mathbb{R}_+, && \Sigma_8 = i + \mathbb{R}_+,\\
&\Sigma_9 = [-i, i],
\end{aligned}$$

with the orientation chosen as in Figure 2 ("-" is always on the RHS of the contour).

(b) $\Phi_+$ satisfies the jump conditions

$$\Phi_+(\zeta)_+ = \Phi_+(\zeta)_- V_k, \quad \zeta \in \Sigma_k, \tag{7.22}$$

where

$$V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad V_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.23}$$

$$V_3 = e^{\pi i(\alpha_2-\beta_2)\sigma_3}, \qquad V_4 = e^{\pi i(\alpha_1+\beta_1)\sigma_3}, \tag{7.24}$$

$$V_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad V_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.25}$$

$$V_7 = e^{\pi i(\alpha_2+\beta_2)\sigma_3}, \qquad V_8 = e^{\pi i(\alpha_1+\beta_1)\sigma_3}, \tag{7.26}$$

$$V_9 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.27}$$

The jump conditions of $\Phi_-$ are given by replacing $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ with $(\alpha_2, \alpha_1, -\beta_2, -\beta_1)$ in the jump matrices of $\Phi_+$.

(c) We have in all regions:

$$\Phi_\pm(\zeta) = \left(I + \frac{\Psi_{\pm,1}}{\zeta} + \frac{\Psi_{\pm,2}}{\zeta^2} + O(\zeta^{-3})\right) \hat{P}_\pm^{(\infty)}(\zeta) e^{-\frac{is}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, \quad \text{as } \zeta \to \infty, \tag{7.28}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{P}_+^{(\infty)}(\zeta, s) &= P_+^{(\infty)}(\zeta, s) \begin{cases} 1, & -1 < \Im < 1, \\ e^{\pi i(\alpha_2-\beta_2)\sigma_3}, & \Im\zeta > 1, \\ e^{-\pi i(\alpha_1-\beta_1)\sigma_3}, & \Im\zeta < -1, \end{cases}\\
\hat{P}_-^{(\infty)}(\zeta, s) &= P_+^{(\infty)}(\zeta, s) \begin{cases} 1, & -1 < \Im < 1, \\ e^{\pi i(\alpha_1+\beta_1)\sigma_3}, & \Im\zeta > 1, \\ e^{-\pi i(\alpha_2+\beta_2)\sigma_3}, & \Im\zeta < -1, \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{7.29}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
P_+^{(\infty)}(\zeta, s) &= \left(\frac{is}{2}\right)^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} (\zeta - i)^{-\beta_2\sigma_3} (\zeta + i)^{-\beta_1\sigma_3},\\
P_-^{(\infty)}(\zeta, s) &= \left(\frac{is}{2}\right)^{(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} (\zeta - i)^{\beta_1\sigma_3} (\zeta + i)^{\beta_2\sigma_3},
\end{aligned} \tag{7.30}$$

with the branches corresponding to the arguments between 0 and $2\pi$, and where $s \in -i\mathbb{R}_+$.

(d) $\Phi_\pm$ has singular behaviour near $\pm i$ which is inherited from $\Psi$. The precise conditions follow from (7.21), (C.8), (C.10), (C.12) and (C.14).

### 7.5.2 $0 < t \le \omega(n)/n$. Construction of a Local Parametrix near $e^{\pm ip}$ in terms of $\Phi_\pm$

We choose $P_\pm$ as in (7.21) in [25], i.e.

$$P_\pm(z) = E_\pm(z)\Phi_\pm(\zeta; s)\, W_\pm(z), \quad \zeta = \frac{1}{t}\ln\frac{z}{e^{\pm ip}}, \quad s = -2int, \tag{7.31}$$

- where $\Im \ln$ takes values in $(-\sigma, \sigma)$ for some $\sigma > 0$,
- where $E_\pm$ is an analytic matrix-valued function in $U_\pm$,
- and where $W$ is given by

$$W_\pm(z) = \begin{cases} -z^{\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3} f_{p,t}(z)^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} \sigma_3, & \text{for } |z| < 1, \\ z^{\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3} f_{p,t}(z)^{\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} \sigma_1, & \text{for } |z| > 1, \end{cases} \quad \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.32}$$

The singularities $z = e^{i(p\pm t)}$ for $+$ and $z = e^{i(2\pi-(p\mp t))}$ for $-$ correspond to the values $\zeta = \pm i$. The jumps of $W_\pm$ follow from (7.11):

$$\begin{aligned} W_\pm(z)_+ =& W_\pm(z)_- \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_{p,t}(z) \\ -f_{p,t}^{-1}(z) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in C, \\ W_\pm(z)_+ =& W_\pm(z)_- e^{-\pi i(\alpha_j - \beta_j)\sigma_3}, \quad z \in z_j(0,1), \\ W_\pm(z)_+ =& W_\pm(z)_- e^{\pi i(\alpha_j + \beta_j)\sigma_3}, \quad z \in z_j(1,\infty). \end{aligned} \tag{7.33}$$

Choose $\Sigma_S$ such that $\frac{1}{t}\ln\left(\frac{\Sigma_S \cap U_\pm}{e^{\pm ip}}\right) \subset \Sigma \cup i\mathbb{R}$, where $\Sigma$ is the contour of the RHP for $\Phi_\pm$, as shown in Figure 2. Inside $U_\pm$ the combinded jumps of $W(z)$ and $\Phi$ are the same as the jumps of $S$:

$$\begin{aligned} P_\pm(z)_+ =& E_\pm(z)\Psi_\pm(z)_- e^{\pi i(\alpha_j-\beta_j)\sigma_3} W_\pm(z)_- e^{-\pi i(\alpha_j-\beta_j)\sigma_3} = P_\pm(z)_-, \quad z \in z_j(0,1), \\ P_\pm(z)_+ =& E_\pm(z)\Psi_\pm(z)_- e^{\pi i(\alpha_j+\beta_j)\sigma_3} W_\pm(z)_- e^{\pi i(\alpha_j+\beta_j)\sigma_3} = P_\pm(z)_-, \quad z \in z_j(1,\infty), \\ P_\pm(z)_+ =& E_\pm(z)\Psi_\pm(z) W_\pm(z)_- \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_{p,t}(z) \\ -f_{p,t}^{-1}(z) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ =& P_\pm(z)_- \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_{p,t}(z) \\ -f_{p,t}^{-1}(z) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_k \cap U_\pm, k = 0,2,3,5, \\ P_\pm(z)_+ =& E_\pm(z)\Psi_\pm(z)_- \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} W_\pm(z)_- \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_{p,t}(z) \\ -f_{p,t}^{-1}(z) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ =& P_\pm(z)_- \begin{pmatrix} z^n & f_{p,t}(z) \\ 0 & z^{-n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_k \cap U_\pm, k = 1,4, \\ P_\pm(z)_+ =& E_\pm(z)\Psi_\pm(z)_- \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} W_\pm(z) \\ =& P_\pm(z)_- \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z^n f_{p,t}(z)^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_{k,in} \cap U_\pm, k = 0,2,3,5, \\ P_\pm(z)_+ =& E_\pm(z)\Psi_\pm(z)_- \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} W_\pm(z) \\ =& P_\pm(z)_- \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z^{-n} f_{p,t}(z)^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_{k,out} \cap U_\pm, k = 0,2,3,5. \end{aligned} \tag{7.34}$$

By the condition (d) of the RHP for $S$, the singular behaviour of $W$ near $z_k$, $k = 1,2,4,5$ and condition (d) of the RHP for $\Phi_\pm$, the singularities of $S(z)P_\pm(z)^{-1}$ at $z_1, z_2$ for $+$, and at $z_4, z_5$ for $-$, are removable.

What remains is to choose $E_\pm$ such that the matching condition (c) of $P_\pm$ holds. Define

$$E_\pm(z) = \sigma_1 (D_{in,p,t}(z) D_{out,p,t}(z))^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} e^{\mp ip\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3} \hat{P}_\pm^{(\infty)}(\zeta, s)^{-1}, \tag{7.35}$$

From (7.7) and (7.8) one quickly sees that the branch cuts and singularities of $(D_{in,p,t}(z)D_{out,p,t}(z))^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3}$ cancel out with those of $\hat{P}_\pm^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1}$, so that $E_\pm$ is analytic in $U_\pm$.

In exactly the same way as in the proof of Proposition 7.1 in [25] one can see that the matching condition (c) is satisfied, i.e. we get:

**Proposition 29.** *As $n \to \infty$ we have*

$$P_\pm(z)N(z)^{-1} = (I + O(n^{-1})), \tag{7.36}$$

*uniformly for $z \in \partial U_\pm$, $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $0 < t < t_0$ with $t_0$ sufficiently small.*

**Proof:** Consider first the case where $c_0 \le nt \le C_0$, with some $c_0 > 0$ small and some $C_0 > 0$ large, which will be fixed below. Then $|\zeta| = |\frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{z}{e^{\pm ip}}| > \delta n$ for $z \in \partial U_\pm$, and $s = -2int$ remains bounded and bounded away from zero. Thus by (7.21), (7.31) and (C.6) we have

$$P(z)N(z)^{-1} = E_\pm(z)(I + O(n^{-1}))\hat{P}_\pm^{(\infty)}(\zeta, s)\left(\frac{z}{e^{\pm ip}}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3} W_\pm(z)N(z)^{-1}, \quad z \in \partial U_\pm, \quad n \to \infty. \tag{7.37}$$

Since the RHP for $\Psi$ is solvable for $c_0 \le nt \le C_0$, general properties of Painlevé RHPs imply that the error term is valid uniformly for $c_0 \le nt \le C_0$. By (7.18) and (7.32) we obtain

$$z^{-\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3} W_\pm(z)N(z)^{-1} = (D_{in,p,t}(z)D_{out,p,t}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3}\,\sigma_1, \quad z \in U_\pm. \tag{7.38}$$

Thus we have

$$P_\pm(z)N(z)^{-1} = E_\pm(z)(I + (O(n^{-1}))E_\pm(z)^{-1}, \tag{7.39}$$

and since one can quickly see that $E_\pm(z)$ is bounded uniformly for $z \in \partial U_\pm$, $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $c_0 \le nt \le C_0$, we get that (7.36) holds uniformly $z \in \partial U_\pm$, $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $c_0 \le nt \le C_0$.

Now consider the case $C_0 < nt < \omega(n)$. In this case we cannot use the expansion (C.6) since the argument $s$ of $\Psi_1$ is not bounded. Instead we need to use the large $|s| = 2nt$ asymptotics for $\Psi$, which were computed in [25][Section 5]. As is apparent from their (7.30) - (7.33), we have for $C_0$ sufficiently large

$$P_\pm(z)N(z)^{-1} = (I + (O(n^{-1})), \quad n \to \infty, \quad z \in \partial U_\pm, \tag{7.40}$$

uniformly for $C_0/n < t < t_0$, $z \in \partial U_\pm$ and $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$.

If $nt < c_0$, we can use the small $|s|$ asymptotics for $\Psi(\zeta; s)$ for large values of $\zeta = \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{z}{e^{\pm ip}}$, as calculated in Section 6 of [25]. From their (7.34) and (7.35) we see that

$$P_\pm(z)N(z)^{-1} = (I + (O(n^{-1})), \quad n \to \infty, \quad z \in \partial U_\pm, \tag{7.41}$$

uniformly for $0 < t < C_0/n$, $z \in \partial U_\pm$ and $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$. □

### 7.5.3 $0 < t \le \omega(n)/n$. Final Transformation

Define

$$R(z) = \begin{cases} S(z)N^{-1}(z) & z \in U_\infty \setminus \Sigma_S, \quad U_\infty := \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{local parametrices}, \\ S(z)P_\pm^{-1}(z), & z \in U_\pm \setminus \Sigma_S, \\ S(z)P_{\pm 1}^{-1}(z), & z \in U_{\pm 1} \setminus \Sigma_S. \end{cases} \tag{7.42}$$

Then $R$ solves the following RHP:





Figure 3: The jump contour $\Sigma_R$ of $R$.

**RH problem for $R$**

(a) $R : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_R \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic, where $\Sigma_R$ is shown in Figure 3

(b) $R(z)$ has the following jumps:

$$\begin{aligned}
&R_+(z) = R_-(z)N(z)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f_{p,t}(z)^{-1}z^{-n} & 1 \end{pmatrix}N(z)^{-1}, \quad z \in \Sigma_{j,out},\ j = 0,2,3,5,\\
&R_+(z) = R_-(z)N(z)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f_{p,t}(z)^{-1}z^{n} & 1 \end{pmatrix}N(z)^{-1}, \quad z \in \Sigma_{j,in},\ j = 0,2,3,5,\\
&R_+(z) = R_-(z)P_\pm(z)N(z)^{-1}, \quad z \in \partial U_\pm \setminus \text{intersection points},\\
&R_+(z) = R_-(z)P_{\pm 1}(z)N(z)^{-1}, \quad z \in \partial U_{\pm 1} \setminus \text{intersection points}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.43}$$

(c) $R(z) = I + O(1/z)$ as $z \to \infty$.

One quickly sees that uniformly in $z \in \Sigma_{j,out} \cup \Sigma_{j,in} \setminus \overline{U_\infty}$ we have

$$R_+(z) = R_-(z)(I + O(e^{-\delta n})) \tag{7.44}$$

for some $\delta > 0$ and uniformly in $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$, $0 < t < t_0$. By Proposition 29 we have that

$$R_+(z) = R_-(z)P_\pm(z)N(z)^{-1} = R_-(z)(I + O(n^{-1})), \tag{7.45}$$

uniformly for $z \in \partial U_\pm$, $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $0 < t < t_0$. Because of the matching condition (d) of $P_{\pm 1}$ we have

$$R_+(z) = R_-(z)P_{\pm 1}(z)N(z)^{-1} = R_-(z)(I + O(n^{-1})), \tag{7.46}$$

uniformly for $z \in \partial U_{\pm 1}$, $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $0 < t < t_0$.

We see that we have a normalized RHP with small jumps, which by the standard theory on RHP implies that

$$R(z) = I + O(n^{-1}), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}R(z)}{\mathrm{d}z} = O(n^{-1}), \tag{7.47}$$

as $n \to \infty$, uniformly for $z$ off the jump contour and uniformly in $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$, $0 < t < t_0$.

## 7.6 $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. Local Parametrices near $e^{\pm ip}$

We now transfer the construction from Section 7.5 in [25] to our setting in a completely straightforward manner. Although the parametrices $P_\pm$ from the previous section are valid for the whole region $0 < t < t_0$ we need to construct more explicit parametrices for the case $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$ to get a simpler large $n$ expansion for $Y$, which is needed for the analysis in the next section.

In the case $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$ $\zeta = \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{z}{e^{\pm ip}}$ is not necessarily large on $\partial U_\pm$. But we can construct a large $s = -int$ expansion for $Y$, as $|s| = nt$ is large.

We modify the $S$-RHP by now also opening up lenses around the arcs $(p - t, p + t)$ and $(2\pi - p - t, 2\pi - p + t)$, i.e. we choose the contour $\Sigma_S$ as in Figure 4.





Figure 4: The modified jump contour $\Sigma_S$ of $S$ in the case $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. The difference compared to Figure 1 is that here there are also lenses around the arcs $(p - t, p + t)$ and $(2\pi - p - t, 2\pi - p + t)$.

The points $z_0 = 1$, $z_3 = -1$ we surround with disks $U_1$, $U_{-1}$, small enough such for all $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$ they are disjoint with the neighborhoods $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_2, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_4, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_5$ defined in the next paragraph, and we take the same local parametrices $P_{\pm 1}$ in $U_1$, $U_{-1}$ as in Section 7.4.

Let $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2$ be small non-intersecting disks around $\pm i$, those are the same neighborhoods as in Section 5 of [25]. We surround the points $z_1 = e^{i(p-t)}, z_2 = e^{i(p+t)}$ by small neighborhoods $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_2$, with $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1$ being the image of $\mathcal{U}_2$ under the inverse of the map $\zeta = \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{z}{e^{ip}}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_2$ being the image of $\mathcal{U}_1$ under the same map. Similarly we surround $z_4 = e^{i(2\pi-(p+t))}$ by a small neighborhood $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_4$, which is the image of $\mathcal{U}_2$ under the inverse of the map $\zeta = \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{z}{e^{-ip}}$, and $z_5 = e^{i(2\pi-(p-t))}$ we surround by $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_5$ which is the image of $\mathcal{U}_1$ under the same map. Since the disks $\mathcal{U}_1$, $\mathcal{U}_2$ are fixed in the $\zeta$-plane, the neighborhoods $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_2, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_4, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_5$ contract in the $z$-plane if $t$ decreases with $n$.

As global parametrix outside these neighborhoods we choose $N(z)$ as in the previous section. For $k = 1, 2, 4, 5$ we choose the local parametrices in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_k$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{P}_1(z) =& \tilde{E}_1(z) M^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)}(nt(\zeta(z)+i)) \Omega_1(z) W_+(z), \quad \zeta = \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{z}{e^{ip}}, \\
\tilde{P}_2(z) =& \tilde{E}_2(z) M^{(\alpha_2,\beta_2)}(nt(\zeta(z)-i)) \Omega_2(z) W_+(z), \quad \zeta = \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{z}{e^{ip}}, \\
\tilde{P}_4(z) =& \tilde{E}_4(z) M^{(\alpha_4,\beta_4)}(nt(\zeta(z)+i)) \Omega_4(z) W_-(z), \quad \zeta = \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{z}{e^{-ip}}, \\
\tilde{P}_5(z) =& \tilde{E}_5(z) M^{(\alpha_5,\beta_5)}(nt(\zeta(z)-i)) \Omega_5(z) W_-(z), \quad \zeta = \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{z}{e^{-ip}},
\end{aligned} \tag{7.48}$$

where

$$\tilde{E}_k(z) = \sigma_1 \left(D_{in,p,t}(z) D_{out,p,t}(z)\right)^{-\sigma_3/2} \Omega_k(z) (nt(\zeta \pm i))^{\beta_k \sigma_3} z_k^{-\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3}, \tag{7.49}$$

with $+$ for $k = 1, 4$ and $-$ for $k = 2, 5$, where $M^{(\alpha_k,\beta_k)}(\lambda)$ is given in Appendix D with $\alpha = \alpha_k, \beta = \beta_k$, where

$$\Omega_k(z) = \begin{cases} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha_k-\beta_k)\sigma_3}, & \Im\zeta > 1 \\ e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha_k-\beta_k)\sigma_3}, & \Im\zeta < 1 \end{cases}, \tag{7.50}$$

and where $W_\pm(z)$ is given in (7.32).

By (7.18) and (7.32) we obtain

$$z^{-\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3} W_\pm(z) N(z)^{-1} = \left(D_{in,p,t}(z) D_{out,p,t}(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \sigma_1, \quad z \in U_\pm. \tag{7.51}$$

Using the large argument expansion (D.6) for $M^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)}(nt(\zeta+i))$ for $z \in \partial\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{P}_1(z) N(z)^{-1} =& \tilde{E}_1(z) \left( I + \frac{M^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)}}{nt(\zeta+i)} + O((nt)^{-2}) \right) \\
& \times (nt(\zeta+i))^{-\beta_1\sigma_3} \left( \frac{z}{e^{i(p+t)}} \right)^{-\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3} \Omega_1(z) W_+(z) N(z)^{-1} \\
=& \tilde{E}_1(z) \left( I + \frac{M^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)}}{nt(\zeta+i)} + O((nt)^{-2}) \right) \\
& \times (nt(\zeta+i))^{-\beta_1\sigma_3} z_1^{\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3} \Omega_1(z) \left(D_{in,p,t}(z) D_{out,p,t}(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \sigma_1 \\
=& \tilde{E}_1(z) \left( I + O((nt)^{-1}) \right) \tilde{E}_1(z)^{-1} \\
=& \left( I + O((nt)^{-1}) \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{7.52}$$

uniformly in $z \in \partial\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1$, $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$, since $\tilde{E}_1(z)$ is uniformly bounded for $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$, $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $z \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1$. Similarly one obtains that for $k = 2, 4, 5$

$$\tilde{P}_k(z) N(z)^{-1} = \left( I + O((nt)^{-1}) \right), \tag{7.53}$$

uniformly in $z \in \partial\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_k$, $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$.

Choose $\Sigma_S$ such that $\frac{1}{t} \ln\left(\frac{\Sigma_S}{z_k}\right) \subset \left(e^{\pm\frac{\pi i}{4}}\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R} \cup \mathbb{R}\right)$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_k$. Then one can easily verify, as in (7.34), that $\tilde{P}_k$ has the same jumps as $S$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_k$, so that $S(z)\tilde{P}_k(z)^{-1}$ is meromorphic in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_k$, with at most an isolated singulary at $z_k$. The singular behaviour of $S$ and $W_\pm$ near $z_k$, and of $M^{(\alpha_k,\beta_k)}$ near 0 (given in (D.8) and (D.12)), imply that $S(z)\tilde{P}_k^{-1}(z)$ is bounded at $z_k$, which shows that that $\tilde{P}_k$ is a parametrix for $S$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_k$ with the matching condition (7.52) with $N(z)$ at $\partial\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_k$.

### 7.6.1 $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. Final Transformation





Figure 5: The jump contour $\Sigma_{\tilde{R}}$ of $\tilde{R}$ in the case $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$.

We transfer Section 7.5.1 in [25] to our case. Figure 5 shows the contour chosen for the RHP of $\tilde{R}$, which we define as follows:

$$\tilde{R}(z) = \begin{cases} S(z)\tilde{P}_k(z)^{-1}, & z \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_k, \\ S(z)P_{\pm1}(z)^{-1}, & z \in U_{\pm1}, \\ S(z)N(z)^{-1}, & z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \left(\overline{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1} \cup \overline{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_2} \cup \overline{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_4} \cup \overline{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_5} \cup \overline{U_1} \cup \overline{U_{-1}}\right). \end{cases} \tag{7.54}$$

Then $\tilde{R}$ is analytic, in particular has no jumps inside any of the local parametrices $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_k$, $k = 1, 2, 4, 5$, $U_\pm$, or on the unit circle. On the rest of the lenses we can see that the jump matrix is $I + O(e^{-\delta nt})$ for some $\delta > 0$, uniformly in $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. Because of the matching condition (d) of $P_{\pm1}$ we have as in the case $0 < t < \omega(n)/n$ that

$$\tilde{R}_+(z) = \tilde{R}_-(z)P_{\pm1}(z)N(z)^{-1} = \tilde{R}_-(z)(I + O(n^{-1})), \tag{7.55}$$

uniformly for $z \in \partial U_{\pm1}$, $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $0 < t < t_0$. Using (7.52), we get that

$$\tilde{R}_+(z) = \tilde{R}_-(z)\tilde{P}_k(z)N(z)^{-1} = \tilde{R}_-(z)(I + O((nt)^{-1}), \tag{7.56}$$

uniformly for $z \in \partial\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_k$, $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. Finally we have that $\lim_{z\to\infty} \tilde{R}(z) = I$, which by standard theory for RHPs with small jumps and RHPs on contracting contours implies that

$$\tilde{R}(z) = I + O((nt)^{-1}), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{R}(z)}{\mathrm{d}z} = O((nt)^{-1}), \tag{7.57}$$

uniformly for $z$ off the jump contour of $\tilde{R}$, and uniformly in $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$.

# 8 Asymptotics of $D_n(f_{p,t})$

This section is a transfer of Section 8 in [25] to our case.

## 8.1 Asymptotics of the Differential Identity and Proof of Theorem 22

**Proposition 30.** *Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > -\frac{1}{2}$, let $\sigma(s)$ be the solution to (3.21) and let $\omega(x)$ be a positive, smooth function for $x$ sufficiently large, s.t.*

$$\omega(x) \to \infty, \quad \omega(x) = o(x), \quad \text{as } x \to \infty. \tag{8.1}$$

*Then the following asymptotic expansion holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{dt}\ln D_n(f_{p,t}) =& 2n(\beta_1 - \beta_2) + d_1(p,t;\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2,\alpha_3) \\ &+ d_2(p,t;\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2,\alpha_3) + d_3(p,t;\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2,\alpha_3) + \epsilon_{n,p,t},\end{aligned} \tag{8.2}$$

*where for the error term $\epsilon_{n,p,t}$*

$$\left|\int_0^t \epsilon_{n,p,\tau}\, d\tau\right| = O(\omega(n)^{-\delta}) = o(1), \tag{8.3}$$

*for some $\delta > 0$, uniformly in $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $0 < t < t_0$, and where*

$$\begin{aligned}d_1(p,t;\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2,\alpha_3) =& 2\alpha_1 z_1 V'(z_1) - 2\alpha_2 z_2 V'(z_2) + 2(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jV_j\left(\cos j(p+t) - \cos j(p-t)\right) \\ &- i(2\alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2 + \beta_1\beta_2)\frac{\cos p - t}{\sin p - t} + i(2\alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2 + \beta_1\beta_2)\frac{\cos p + t}{\sin p + t} \\ &+ i(\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2)\frac{\cos p}{\sin p} \\ &- 2i\alpha_1\alpha_0\frac{\cos\frac{p-t}{2}}{\sin\frac{p-t}{2}} + 2i\alpha_1\alpha_3\frac{\sin\frac{p-t}{2}}{\cos\frac{p-t}{2}} + 2i\alpha_2\alpha_0\frac{\cos\frac{p+t}{2}}{\sin\frac{p+t}{2}} - 2i\alpha_2\alpha_3\frac{\sin\frac{p+t}{2}}{\cos\frac{p+t}{2}} \\ d_2(p,t;\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2,\alpha_3) =& \frac{2}{it}\sigma(s) + i(4\alpha_1\alpha_2 - (\beta_1+\beta_2)^2)\frac{\cos t}{\sin t} \\ d_3(p,t;\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2,\alpha_3) =& 2\sigma_s\Bigg( - 2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jV_j\left(\cos j(p-t) + \cos j(p+t)\right) - 2\sum_{j=0}^{5}\alpha_j \\ &- i\beta_1\frac{\cos p - t}{\sin p - t} - i\beta_2\frac{\cos p + t}{\sin p + t} + i(\beta_1 - \beta_2)\left(\frac{\cos t}{\sin t} - \frac{1}{t}\right) - i(\beta_1+\beta_2)\frac{\cos p}{\sin p}\Bigg).\end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

**Proof:** The proof is analogous to the proof of Proposition 8.1 in [25]. As is done there, we assume below that $\alpha_k > 0$, $k = 1, 2, 4, 5$, for simplicity of notation. Once (8.2) is proven under this assumption,

the case where $\alpha_k = 0$ for some $k$ then follows from the uniformity of the error terms in $\alpha_k$, $k = 1, 2, 4, 5$. Extending to the case where $\alpha_k < 0$ for some $k$ is straightforward. We prove the proposition first in the regime $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$ and then in the regime $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$.

Using the transformation $Y \to T \to S$ inside the unit circle, outside the lenses, we can rewrite the differential identity (6.11) in the form

$$\frac{1}{i}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\ln D_n(f_{p,t}) = \sum_{k=1,2,4,5} q_k \left( n\beta_k + 2\alpha_k z_k \left( S^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}z} \right)_{+,22}(z_k) \right), \tag{8.5}$$

with $q_k = 1$ for $k = 1, 4$ and $q_k = -1$ for $k = 2, 5$, and where the limit $z \to z_k$ is taken from the inside of the unit circle and outside the lenses.

### 8.1.1 $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$

By (7.42) we get

$$S(z) = R(z)P_{\pm}(z), \quad z \in U_{\pm}, \tag{8.6}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left( S^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}z} \right)_{22}(z) &= \left( P_{\pm}^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}P_{\pm}}{\mathrm{d}z} \right)_{22}(z) + A_{n,p,t}(z), \quad z \in U_{e^{\pm ip}}, \\ A_{n,p,t}(z) &= \left( P_{\pm}^{-1}(z)R^{-1}(z)\frac{dR}{dz}(z)P_{\pm}(z) \right)_{22}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

Following exactly the same approach as on pages 60, 61 in [25], we can use (C.6) and the small and large $|s|$ asymptotics from Sections 5, 6 of [25] to obtain that for $k = 1, 2, 4, 5$

$$\int_0^t |A_{n,p,t}(z_k)|\mathrm{d}t = o(\omega(n)^{-1}), \quad n \to \infty, \tag{8.8}$$

uniformly in $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$ and $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$, and thus also

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,p,t} := 2 \sum_{k=1,2,4,5} q_k \alpha_k z_k A_{n,p,t}(z_k) = O(\omega(n)^{-1}), \tag{8.9}$$

uniformly in $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$ and $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$.

By (7.31) we have

$$\left( P_{\pm}^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}P_{\pm}}{\mathrm{d}z} \right)_{22}(z) = -\frac{n}{2z} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{f'_{p,t}}{f_{p,t}}(z) + \left( \Phi_{\pm}^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\pm}}{\mathrm{d}z} \right)_{22}(z) + \left( \Phi_{\pm}^{-1}E_{\pm}^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\pm}}{\mathrm{d}z}\Phi_{\pm} \right)_{22}(z), \tag{8.10}$$

with $z$ inside the unit circle and outside of the lenses of $\Sigma_S$. By (7.35) we have for $z$ near $e^{ip}$:

$$E_{\pm}(z)^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\pm}(z)}{\mathrm{d}z} = h_{\pm}(z)\sigma_3, \tag{8.11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\pm}(z) = &\pm \frac{\beta_1}{z\ln\frac{z}{e^{\pm ip}} \pm itz} \pm \frac{\beta_2}{z\ln\frac{z}{e^{\pm ip}} \mp itz} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jV_j z^{j-1} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=-1}^{-\infty} jV_j z^{j-1} - \sum_{j=0}^{5}\frac{\beta_j}{z - z_j} - \frac{1}{2z}\sum_{j=0}^{5}\alpha_j. \end{aligned} \tag{8.12}$$

In the following equation we need the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\ln(1+z)} =& \frac{1}{z}+\frac{1}{2}+O(z), \quad z\to 0,\\ \frac{1}{\ln z-\ln z_k} =& \frac{1}{\ln\frac{z}{z_k}} = \frac{z_k}{z-z_k}+\frac{1}{2}+O(|z-z_k|), \quad z\to z_k.\end{aligned} \tag{8.13}$$

Let $k=1,2$ and denote $k'=1$ for $k=2$, $k'=2$ for $k=1$. Putting together (8.10) and (8.11), we obtain for $k=1,2$ (as in (8.33) in [25])

$$\begin{aligned}\left(P_+^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}P_+}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)_{22,+}(z_k) =& -\frac{n}{2z_k}+\lim_{z\to z_k}\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{f'_{p,t}}{f_{p,t}}(z)-\frac{\alpha_k}{z\ln z-z\ln z_1}\right)\\ &+\frac{1}{tz_k}\left(F_{+,k'}^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}F_{+,k'}}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)_{22}\left(\frac{1}{t}\ln\frac{z_k}{e^{ip}}\right)+h_+(z_k)(F_{+,k'}^{-1}\sigma_3F_{+,k'})_{22}\left(\frac{1}{t}\ln\frac{z_k}{e^{ip}}\right)\\ =& -\frac{n}{2z_k}+\frac{1}{2}V'(z_k)+\sum_{j=0}^{5}\frac{\beta_j}{2z_k}+\sum_{j,j\neq k}\frac{\alpha_j}{z_k-z_j}-\frac{\alpha_j}{2z_k}\\ &+\frac{1}{tz_k}\left(F_{+,k'}^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}F_{+,k'}}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)_{22}\left(\frac{1}{t}\ln\frac{z_k}{e^{ip}}\right)+h_+(z_k)(F_{+,k'}^{-1}\sigma_3F_{+,k'})_{22}\left(\frac{1}{t}\ln\frac{z_k}{e^{ip}}\right),\\ \left(P_-^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}P_-}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)_{22,+}(\overline{z_k}) =& -\frac{n}{2\overline{z_k}}+\lim_{z\to\overline{z_k}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{f'_{p,t}}{f_{p,t}}(z)-\frac{\alpha_k}{z\ln z-z\ln\overline{z_k}}\right)\\ &+\frac{1}{t\overline{z_k}}\left(F_{-,k}^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}F_{-,k}}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)_{22}\left(\frac{1}{t}\ln\frac{\overline{z_k}}{e^{-ip}}\right)+h_-(\overline{z_2})(F_{-,k}^{-1}\sigma_3F_{-,k})_{22}\left(\frac{1}{t}\ln\frac{\overline{z_k}}{e^{-ip}}\right)\\ =& -\frac{n}{2\overline{z_k}}+\frac{1}{2}V'(\overline{z_k})+\sum_{j=0}^{5}\frac{\beta_j}{2\overline{z_k}}+\sum_{j,z_j\neq\overline{z_k}}\frac{\alpha_j}{\overline{z_k}-z_j}-\frac{\alpha_j}{2\overline{z_k}}\\ &+\frac{1}{t\overline{z_k}}\left(F_{-,k}^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}F_{-,k}}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)_{22}\left(\frac{1}{t}\ln\frac{\overline{z_k}}{e^{-ip}}\right)+h_-(\overline{z_k})(F_{-,k}^{-1}\sigma_3F_{-,k})_{22}\left(\frac{1}{t}\ln\frac{\overline{z_k}}{e^{-ip}}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{8.14}$$

where in the second equalities we used (8.13), and where $F_{\pm,k}$ equal the functions $F_k$ defined in (C.8), (C.10), (C.12) and (C.14), with $(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1,\beta_2)$ in the appendix replaced by $(\alpha_2,\alpha_1,-\beta_2,-\beta_1)$ in the $-$ case. When replacing $(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1,\beta_2)$ in the Painlevé equation (3.52) in [25] with $(\alpha_2,\alpha_1,\beta_2,\beta_1)$ or $(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,-\beta_1,-\beta_2)$, we get the same Painlevé equation as in our Theorem 15. Thus we can see that Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 in [25] become in our case:

**Proposition 31.** *We have the identities*

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_2(F_{+,1}(i;s)^{-1}\sigma_3F_{+,1}(i;s))_{22} =& -\sigma_s(s)+\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2},\\ \alpha_1(F_{+,2}(-i;s)^{-1}\sigma_3F_{+,2}(-i;s))_{22} =& \sigma_s(s)+\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2},\\ \alpha_1(F_{-,1}(i;s)^{-1}\sigma_3F_{-,1}(i;s))_{22} =& -\sigma_s(s)-\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2},\\ \alpha_2(F_{-,2}(-i;s)^{-1}\sigma_3F_{-,2}(-i;s))_{22} =& \sigma_s(s)-\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{2},\end{aligned} \tag{8.15}$$

*and*

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_2\left(F_{+,1}(i;s)^{-1}F_{+,1,\zeta}(i;s)\right)_{22} =& \frac{i}{4}\sigma(s) - \frac{i}{8}(\beta_1+\beta_2)s + \frac{i}{8}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2,\\
\alpha_1\left(F_{+,2}(-i;s)^{-1}F_{+,2,\zeta}(-i;s)\right)_{22} =& -\frac{i}{4}\sigma(s) - \frac{i}{8}(\beta_1+\beta_2)s - \frac{i}{8}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2,\\
\alpha_1\left(F_{-,1}(i;s)^{-1}F_{-,1,\zeta}(i;s)\right)_{22} =& \frac{i}{4}\sigma(s) + \frac{i}{8}(\beta_1+\beta_2)s + \frac{i}{8}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2,\\
\alpha_2\left(F_{-,2}(-i;s)^{-1}F_{-,2,\zeta}(-i;s)\right)_{22} =& -\frac{i}{4}\sigma(s) + \frac{i}{8}(\beta_1+\beta_2)s - \frac{i}{8}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.16}$$

Putting together (8.5), (8.7) and (8.14), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{i}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\ln D_n(f_{p,t})\\
=&\sum_{k=1,2,4,5} q_k\left(n\beta_k + \alpha_k z_k V'(z_k) + \alpha_k\sum_{j=0}^{5}\beta_j + 2\alpha_k\sum_{j\neq k}\alpha_j\frac{z_k}{z_k-z_j} - \alpha_k\sum_{j\neq k}\alpha_j\right)\\
&+\sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^{k+1}\left(2\alpha_k z_k h_+(z_k)\left(F_{+,k'}^{-1}\sigma_3 F_{+,k'}\right)_{22}((-1)^k i) + \frac{2}{t}\alpha_k\left(F_{+,k'}^{-1}F_{+,k'}'\right)_{22}((-1)^k i)\right)\\
&+\sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^{k}\left(2\alpha_k \overline{z_k} h_-(\overline{z_k})\left(F_{-,k}^{-1}\sigma_3 F_{-,k}\right)_{22}((-1)^{k+1} i) + \frac{2}{t}\alpha_k\left(F_{-,k}^{-1}F_{-,k}'\right)_{22}((-1)^{k+1} i)\right)\\
&+\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,p,t},\\
=&2n(\beta_1-\beta_2) + 2\alpha_1 z_1 V'(z_1) - 2\alpha_2 z_2 V'(z_2)\\
&+2\sum_{k=1,2,4,5} q_k\alpha_k\sum_{j\neq k}\alpha_j\frac{z_k}{z_k-z_j}\\
&+\sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^{k+1}\left(2\alpha_k z_k h_+(z_k)\left(F_{+,k'}^{-1}\sigma_3 F_{+,k'}\right)_{22}((-1)^k i) + \frac{2}{t}\alpha_k\left(F_{+,k'}^{-1}F_{+,k'}'\right)_{22}((-1)^k i)\right)\\
&+\sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^{k}\left(2\alpha_k \overline{z_k} h_-(\overline{z_k})\left(F_{-,k}^{-1}\sigma_3 F_{-,k}\right)_{22}((-1)^{k+1} i) + \frac{2}{t}\alpha_k\left(F_{-,k}^{-1}F_{-,k}'\right)_{22}((-1)^{k+1} i)\right)\\
&+\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,p,t},
\end{aligned} \tag{8.17}$$

where we used that since $\beta_1 = -\beta_5$, $\beta_2 = -\beta_4$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_5$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_4$ and $V(z) = V(\overline{z})$, it holds that:

$$\sum_{k=1,2,4,5} q_k\alpha_k\sum_{j\neq k}\alpha_j = 0, \quad \sum_{j=0}^{5}\beta_j = 0, \quad zV'(z) = -\overline{z}V'(\overline{z}). \tag{8.18}$$

By Proposition 31 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^{k+1}\frac{2}{t}\alpha_k\left(F_{+,k'}^{-1}F_{+,k'}'\right)_{22}((-1)^k i) + \sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^{k}\frac{2}{t}\alpha_k\left(F_{-,k}^{-1}F_{-,k}'\right)_{22}((-1)^{k+1} i)\\
=&\frac{2}{it}\sigma(s) - \frac{i}{t}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{8.19}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^{k+1}2\alpha_k z_k h_+(z_k)\left(F_{+,k'}^{-1}\sigma_3 F_{+,k'}\right)_{22}((-1)^k i)\\
&+\sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^{k}2\alpha_k \overline{z_k} h_-(\overline{z_k})\left(F_{-,k}^{-1}\sigma_3 F_{-,k}\right)_{22}((-1)^{k+1} i)\\
=&\left(2\sigma_s(s)+\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\left(z_1h_+(z_1)+\overline{z_1}h_-(\overline{z_1})\right)+\left(2\sigma_s(s)-\beta_1-\beta_2\right)\left(z_2h_+(z_2)+\overline{z_2}h_-(\overline{z_2})\right)\\
=&2\sigma_s\left(z_1h_+(z_1)+\overline{z_1}h_-(\overline{z_1})+z_2h_+(z_2)+\overline{z_2}h_-(\overline{z_2})\right)\\
&+\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\left(z_1h_+(z_1)+\overline{z_1}h_-(\overline{z_1})-z_2h_+(z_2)-\overline{z_2}h_-(\overline{z_2})\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{8.20}
$$

Then (8.17), (8.19) and (8.20) result in

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{i}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\ln D_n(f_{p,t}) =&2n(\beta_1-\beta_2)+2\alpha_1 z_1 V'(z_1)-2\alpha_2 z_2 V'(z_2)\\
&+2\sum_{k=1,2,4,5}q_k\alpha_k\sum_{j\neq k}\alpha_j\frac{z_k}{z_k-z_j}\\
&+\frac{2}{it}\sigma(s)-\frac{i}{t}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2\\
&+2\sigma_s\left(z_1h_+(z_1)+\overline{z_1}h_-(\overline{z_1})+z_2h_+(z_2)+\overline{z_2}h_-(\overline{z_2})\right)\\
&+\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\left(z_1h_+(z_1)+\overline{z_1}h_-(\overline{z_1})-z_2h_+(z_2)-\overline{z_2}h_-(\overline{z_2})\right)\\
&+\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,p,t}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{8.21}
$$

With $h_+(z)$ and $h_-(z)$ given in (8.12), and using (8.13), we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_+(z_1)z_1 =&-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}jV_j(z_1^j+\overline{z_1}^j)-\sum_{j\neq 1}\frac{\beta_j z_1}{z_1-z_j}-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{5}\alpha_j+\frac{\beta_1}{2}-\frac{\beta_2}{2it},\\
h_+(z_2)z_2 =&-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}jV_j(z_2^j+\overline{z_2}^j)-\sum_{j\neq 2}\frac{\beta_j z_2}{z_2-z_j}-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{5}\alpha_j+\frac{\beta_2}{2}+\frac{\beta_1}{2it},\\
h_-(\overline{z_1})\overline{z_1} =&-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}jV_j(z_1^j+\overline{z_1}^j)-\sum_{j\neq 5}\frac{\beta_j z_5}{z_5-z_j}-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{5}\alpha_j-\frac{\beta_1}{2}-\frac{\beta_2}{2it},\\
h_-(\overline{z_2})\overline{z_2} =&-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}jV_j(z_2^j+\overline{z_2}^j)-\sum_{j\neq 4}\frac{\beta_j z_4}{z_4-z_j}-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{5}\alpha_j-\frac{\beta_2}{2}+\frac{\beta_1}{2it}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{8.22}
$$

We thus have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_+(z_1)z_1+\overline{z_1}h_-(\overline{z_1}) =& -2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jV_j\cos j(p-t)-\sum_{j=0}^{5}\alpha_j-\frac{\beta_2}{it}+\beta_1\left(\frac{z_1+\overline{z_1}}{z_1-\overline{z_1}}\right)\\
&+\beta_2\left(-\frac{z_1}{z_1-z_2}+\frac{z_1}{z_1-\overline{z_2}}-\frac{\overline{z_1}}{\overline{z_1}-z_2}+\frac{\overline{z_1}}{\overline{z_1}-\overline{z_2}}\right)\\
=& -2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jV_j\cos j(p-t)-\sum_{j=0}^{5}\alpha_j-\frac{\beta_2}{it}-i\beta_1\frac{\cos p-t}{\sin p-t}-i\beta_2\frac{\cos t}{\sin t}\\
&-i\beta_2\frac{\cos p}{\sin p},\\
h_+(z_2)z_2+\overline{z_2}h_-(\overline{z_2}) =& -2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jV_j\cos j(p+t)-\sum_{j=0}^{5}\alpha_j+\frac{\beta_1}{it}+\beta_2\left(\frac{z_2+\overline{z_2}}{z_2-\overline{z_2}}\right)\\
&+\beta_1\left(-\frac{z_2}{z_2-z_1}+\frac{z_2}{z_2-\overline{z_1}}-\frac{\overline{z_2}}{\overline{z_2}-z_1}+\frac{\overline{z_2}}{\overline{z_2}-\overline{z_1}}\right)\\
=& -2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jV_j\cos(p+t)-\sum_{j=0}^{5}\alpha_j+\frac{\beta_1}{it}-i\beta_2\frac{\cos p+t}{\sin p+t}+i\beta_1\frac{\cos t}{\sin t}\\
&-i\beta_1\frac{\cos p}{\sin p}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{8.23}
$$

Further we calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1,5} q_k\alpha_k\sum_{j\neq k}\alpha_j\frac{z_k}{z_k-z_j} =&\alpha_1\alpha_0\left(\frac{z_1}{z_1-1}-\frac{\overline{z_1}}{\overline{z_1}-1}\right)+\alpha_1^2\left(\frac{z_1}{z_1-\overline{z_1}}-\frac{\overline{z_1}}{\overline{z_1}-z_1}\right)\\
&+\alpha_1\alpha_2\left(\frac{z_1}{z_1-z_2}+\frac{z_1}{z_1-\overline{z_2}}-\frac{\overline{z_1}}{\overline{z_1}-z_2}-\frac{\overline{z_1}}{\overline{z_1}-\overline{z_2}}\right)\\
&+\alpha_1\alpha_3\left(\frac{z_1}{z_1+1}-\frac{\overline{z_1}}{\overline{z_1}+1}\right),\\
-\sum_{k=2,4} q_k\alpha_k\sum_{j\neq k}\alpha_j\frac{z_k}{z_k-z_j} =&\alpha_2\alpha_0\left(\frac{z_2}{z_2-1}-\frac{\overline{z_2}}{\overline{z_2}-1}\right)\\
&+\alpha_2\alpha_1\left(\frac{z_2}{z_2-z_1}+\frac{z_2}{z_2-\overline{z_1}}-\frac{\overline{z_2}}{\overline{z_2}-z_1}-\frac{\overline{z_2}}{\overline{z_2}-\overline{z_1}}\right)\\
&+\alpha_2^2\left(\frac{z_2}{z_2-\overline{z_2}}-\frac{\overline{z_2}}{\overline{z_2}-z_2}\right)+\alpha_2\alpha_3\left(\frac{z_2}{z_2+1}-\frac{\overline{z_2}}{\overline{z_2}+1}\right),\\
\sum_{k=1,2,4,5} q_k\alpha_k\sum_{j\neq k}\alpha_j\frac{z_k}{z_k-z_j} =& -i\alpha_1^2\frac{\cos p-t}{\sin p-t}+i\alpha_2^2\frac{\cos p+t}{\sin p+t}+2i\alpha_1\alpha_2\frac{\cos t}{\sin t}\\
&+\alpha_1\alpha_0\frac{z_1+1}{z_1-1}+\alpha_1\alpha_3\frac{z_1-1}{z_1+1}-\alpha_2\alpha_0\frac{z_2+1}{z_2-1}-\alpha_2\alpha_3\frac{z_2-1}{z_2+1}\\
=& -i\alpha_1^2\frac{\cos p-t}{\sin p-t}+i\alpha_2^2\frac{\cos p+t}{\sin p+t}+2i\alpha_1\alpha_2\frac{\cos t}{\sin t}\\
&-i\alpha_1\alpha_0\frac{\cos\frac{p-t}{2}}{\sin\frac{p-t}{2}}+i\alpha_1\alpha_3\frac{\sin\frac{p-t}{2}}{\cos\frac{p-t}{2}}+i\alpha_2\alpha_0\frac{\cos\frac{p+t}{2}}{\sin\frac{p+t}{2}}-i\alpha_2\alpha_3\frac{\sin\frac{p+t}{2}}{\cos\frac{p+t}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{8.24}
$$

Putting together (8.21), (8.23) and (8.24) we get that uniformly in $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $0 < t \le \omega(n)/n$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{i}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\ln D_n(f_{p,t}) =& 2n(\beta_1-\beta_2) + 2\alpha_1 z_1 V'(z_1) - 2\alpha_2 z_2 V'(z_2) \\
&- 2i\alpha_1^2 \frac{\cos p - t}{\sin p - t} + 2i\alpha_2^2 \frac{\cos p + t}{\sin p + t} + 4i\alpha_1\alpha_2 \frac{\cos t}{\sin t} \\
&- 2i\alpha_1\alpha_0 \frac{\cos\frac{p-t}{2}}{\sin\frac{p-t}{2}} + 2i\alpha_1\alpha_3 \frac{\sin\frac{p-t}{2}}{\cos\frac{p-t}{2}} + 2i\alpha_2\alpha_0 \frac{\cos\frac{p+t}{2}}{\sin\frac{p+t}{2}} - 2i\alpha_2\alpha_3 \frac{\sin\frac{p+t}{2}}{\cos\frac{p+t}{2}} \\
&+ \frac{2}{it}\sigma(s) - \frac{i}{t}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2 \\
&+ 2\sigma_s(s)\Bigg( -2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jV_j\left(\cos j(p-t) + \cos j(p+t)\right) - 2\sum_{j=0}^{5}\alpha_j + \frac{\beta_1-\beta_2}{it} \\
&- i\beta_1\frac{\cos p - t}{\sin p - t} - i\beta_2\frac{\cos p + t}{\sin p + t} + i(\beta_1-\beta_2)\frac{\cos t}{\sin t} - i(\beta_1+\beta_2)\frac{\cos p}{\sin p}\Bigg) \\
&+ (\beta_1+\beta_2)\Bigg(2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jV_j\left(\cos j(p+t) - \cos j(p-t)\right) - \frac{\beta_1+\beta_2}{it} \\
&- i\beta_1\frac{\cos p - t}{\sin p - t} + i\beta_2\frac{\cos p + t}{\sin p + t} - i(\beta_1+\beta_2)\frac{\cos t}{\sin t} + i(\beta_1-\beta_2)\frac{\cos p}{\sin p}\Bigg) \\
&+ \tilde{\epsilon}_{n,p,t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.25}$$

Simplifying further and setting $\epsilon_{n,p,t} = \tilde{\epsilon}_{n,p,t}$ for $0 < t \le \omega(n)/n$ we obtain (8.2) for $0 < t \le \omega(n)/n$.

### 8.1.2 $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$

For $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$, $z \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_k$, we obtain instead of (8.7):

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(S^{-1}(z)\frac{\mathrm{d}S(z)}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)_{22} &= \left(\tilde{P}_k(z)^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{P}_k(z)}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)_{22} + A_{n,p,t}, \\
A_{n,p,t}(z) &= \left(\tilde{P}_k(z)^{-1}\tilde{R}^{-1}(z)\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{R}(z)}{\mathrm{d}z}\tilde{P}_k(z)\right)_{22},
\end{aligned} \tag{8.26}$$

with $\tilde{R}(z)$ given in (7.54). From (7.48) and (7.32) it follows that for $|z| < 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\tilde{P}_k(z)^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{P}_k(z)}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)_{22} &= -\frac{n}{2z} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{f'_{p,t}(z)}{f_{p,t}(z)} + \left(M_k(z)^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}M_k(z)}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)_{22} \\
&\quad + \tilde{h}_1(z)\left(M_k(z)^{-1}\sigma_3 M_k(z)\right)_{22}, \\
A_{n,p,t}(z_k) &= \lim_{z\to z_k} A_{n,p,t}(z) \\
&= \lim_{z\to z_k}\left(M_k^{-1}\tilde{E}_k^{-1}\tilde{R}^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{R}}{\mathrm{d}z}\tilde{E}_k M_k\right)_{22}(z),
\end{aligned} \tag{8.27}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(z) =& M^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)}(nt(\frac{1}{t}\ln\frac{z}{e^{ip}}+i)),\\ M_2(z) =& M^{(\alpha_2,\beta_2)}(nt(\frac{1}{t}\ln\frac{z}{e^{ip}}-i)),\\ M_4(z) =& M^{(\alpha_4,\beta_4)}(nt(\frac{1}{t}\ln\frac{z}{e^{-ip}}+i)),\\ M_5(z) =& M^{(\alpha_5,\beta_5)}(nt(\frac{1}{t}\ln\frac{z}{e^{-ip}}-i)), \end{aligned} \tag{8.28}$$

and where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_1(z)\sigma_3 =& \tilde{E}_1(z)^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{E}_1(z)}{\mathrm{d}z}, \quad \tilde{h}_1(z) = h_+(z) - \frac{\beta_2}{z\ln\frac{z}{e^{ip}} - itz},\\ \tilde{h}_2(z)\sigma_3 =& \tilde{E}_2(z)^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{E}_2(z)}{\mathrm{d}z}, \quad \tilde{h}_2(z) = h_+(z) - \frac{\beta_1}{z\ln\frac{z}{e^{ip}} + itz},\\ \tilde{h}_4(z)\sigma_3 =& \tilde{E}_4(z)^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{E}_4(z)}{\mathrm{d}z}, \quad \tilde{h}_4(z) = h_-(z) + \frac{\beta_1}{z\ln\frac{z}{e^{-ip}} - itz},\\ \tilde{h}_5(z)\sigma_3 =& \tilde{E}_5(z)^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{E}_5(z)}{\mathrm{d}z}, \quad \tilde{h}_5(z) = h_-(z) + \frac{\beta_2}{z\ln\frac{z}{e^{ip}} + itz}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.29}$$

with $h_+(z), h_-(z)$ given in (8.12). By (7.57) and the fact that $\tilde{E}_k$ is uniformly bounded for $p \in (\epsilon, \pi-\epsilon)$, $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$, and $z \in \tilde{U}_k$, we see that

$$A_{n,p,t}(z_k) = O((nt)^{-1}) = O(\omega(n)^{-1}) \tag{8.30}$$

uniformly in $p \in (\epsilon, \pi-\epsilon)$, $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$, and thus also

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,p,t} := 2\sum_{k=1,2,4,5} q_k\alpha_k z_k A_{n,p,t}(z_k) = O(\omega(n)^{-1}), \tag{8.31}$$

uniformly in $0 < t < \omega(n)/n$ and $p \in (\epsilon, \pi-\epsilon)$. This implies that as $n \to \infty$

$$\int_{\omega(n)/n}^{t_0} |\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,p,t}|\mathrm{d}t = o(\omega(n)^{-1}), \tag{8.32}$$

uniformly in $p \in (\epsilon, \pi-\epsilon)$.

From (8.41) and (8.42) in [25] we can see that for $z \to z_k$ inside the unit circle and outside of the lenses of $\Sigma_S$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(M_1^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}M_1}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)(z) =& -\left(\frac{\beta_1}{2\alpha_1} + \frac{\alpha_1}{n\ln\frac{z}{e^{ip}} + int}\right)\frac{n}{z} + o(1),\\ \left(M_2^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}M_2}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)(z) =& -\left(\frac{\beta_2}{2\alpha_2} + \frac{\alpha_2}{n\ln\frac{z}{e^{ip}} - int}\right)\frac{n}{z} + o(1),\\ \left(M_4^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}M_4}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)(z) =& -\left(\frac{-\beta_2}{2\alpha_2} + \frac{\alpha_2}{n\ln\frac{z}{e^{-ip}} + int}\right)\frac{n}{z} + o(1),\\ \left(M_5^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}M_5}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)(z) =& -\left(\frac{-\beta_1}{2\alpha_1} + \frac{\alpha_1}{n\ln\frac{z}{e^{-ip}} - int}\right)\frac{n}{z} + o(1), \end{aligned} \tag{8.33}$$

and in the same limit,

$$(M_k\sigma_3 M)_{22}(z_k) = \frac{\beta_k}{\alpha_k}. \tag{8.34}$$

Together with (8.22) and (8.29) we obtain (again in the same limit)

$$\begin{aligned} &z_k \left( M_k^{-1} \frac{\mathrm{d}M_k}{\mathrm{d}z} \right)_{22} (z) + z_k \tilde{h}_k(z_k) \left( M_k^{-1} \sigma_3 M_k \right)_{22} (z_k) \\ &= \left( \frac{\beta_k}{2\alpha_k} + \frac{\alpha_k}{n \ln \frac{z}{z_k}} \right) n + \frac{\beta_k}{\alpha_k} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j V_j (z_k^j + \overline{z_k}^j) - \sum_{j,j\neq k} \frac{\beta_j z_k}{z_k - z_j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{5} \alpha_j + \frac{\beta_k}{2} \right) + o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{8.35}$$

Combining this with (8.13) and (8.27) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &2\alpha_k z_k \left( \tilde{P}_k^{-1} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{P}_k}{\mathrm{d}z} \right)_{+,22} (z_k) \\ &= -n(\alpha_k + \beta_k) + \alpha_k z_k V'(z_k) + 2\alpha_k \sum_{j,j\neq k} \frac{\alpha_j z_k}{z_k - z_j} - \alpha_k \sum_{j,j\neq k} \alpha_j \\ &\quad + 2\beta_k \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j V_j (z_k^j + \overline{z_k}^j) - \sum_{j,j\neq k} \frac{\beta_j z_k}{z_k - z_j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{5} \alpha_j + \frac{\beta_k}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{8.36}$$

Together with (8.5), (8.26) and (8.31) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{i} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \ln D_n(f_{p,t}) = S(p,t;\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2,\alpha_3) + \tilde{\epsilon}_{n,p,t}, \tag{8.37}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &S(p,t;\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2,\alpha_3) \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{2} (-1)^{k+1} \left( (\alpha_k - \beta_k) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j V_j z_k^j \right) - (\alpha_k + \beta_k) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j V_j \overline{z_k}^j \right) \right) \\ &\quad - 2(\beta_1 - \beta_2) \sum_{k=0}^{5} \alpha_k \\ &\quad - 2i(\alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2) \frac{\cos(p-t)}{\sin(p-t)} + 2i(\alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2) \frac{\cos(p+t)}{\sin(p+t)} + 4i(\alpha_1\alpha_2 - \beta_1\beta_2) \frac{\cos t}{\sin t} \\ &\quad - 2i\alpha_1\alpha_0 \frac{\cos\frac{p-t}{2}}{\sin\frac{p-t}{2}} + 2i\alpha_1\alpha_3 \frac{\sin\frac{p-t}{2}}{\cos\frac{p-t}{2}} + 2i\alpha_2\alpha_0 \frac{\cos\frac{p+t}{2}}{\sin\frac{p+t}{2}} - 2i\alpha_2\alpha_3 \frac{\sin\frac{p+t}{2}}{\cos\frac{p+t}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.38}$$

Now we compare this expression to (8.25), obtained for $0 < t \leq \omega(n)/n$. Consider (8.21) for large $s = -2int$ and without the error term. Substituting there the asymptotics of $\sigma(s)$ from Theorem 15 and using (8.24) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{i} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \ln D_n(f_{p,t}) =& 2\alpha_1 z_1 V'(z_1) - 2\alpha_2 z_2 V'(z_2) \\ &- 2i\alpha_1^2 \frac{\cos p - t}{\sin p - t} + 2i\alpha_2^2 \frac{\cos p + t}{\sin p + t} + 4i\alpha_1\alpha_2 \frac{\cos t}{\sin t} \\ &- 2i\alpha_1\alpha_0 \frac{\cos\frac{p-t}{2}}{\sin\frac{p-t}{2}} + 2i\alpha_1\alpha_3 \frac{\sin\frac{p-t}{2}}{\cos\frac{p-t}{2}} + 2i\alpha_2\alpha_0 \frac{\cos\frac{p+t}{2}}{\sin\frac{p+t}{2}} - 2i\alpha_2\alpha_3 \frac{\sin\frac{p+t}{2}}{\cos\frac{p+t}{2}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{it} 4\beta_1\beta_2 + 2\beta_1 \left( h_+(z_1) z_1 + h_-(\overline{z_1})\overline{z_1} \right) - 2\beta_2 \left( h_+(z_2) z_2 + h_-(\overline{z_2})\overline{z_2} \right) \\ &+ \Theta_{n,p,t}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.39}$$

where $\Theta_{n,p,t}$ arises from the error term in the asymptotics of $\sigma(s)$, and becomes of order $\omega(n)^{-\delta}$ after integration w.r.t. $t$, i.e.

$$\left|\int_{\omega(n)/n}^{t} \Theta_{n,p,\tau} \mathrm{d}\tau\right| = O(\omega(n)^{-\delta}), \tag{8.40}$$

uniformly in $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. Using (8.23) now we see that

$$n(\beta_1 - \beta_2) + d_1 + d_2 + d_3 = S + \Theta_{n,p,t}, \quad \omega(n)/n < t < t_0. \tag{8.41}$$

Thus when setting

$$\epsilon_{n,p,t} = \tilde{\epsilon}_{n,p,t} + \Theta_{n,p,t}, \quad \omega(n)/n < t < t_0, \tag{8.42}$$

we see that (8.2) remains valid also in the region $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$, where the smallness of the error terms follows from (8.31). □

**Remark 32.** *Integrating (8.37) from $t$ to $t_0$ with $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$ and using Theorem 11 for the expansion of $D_n(f_{p,t_0})$, we get the same expansion for $D_n(f_{p,t})$ that Theorem 11 gives. The error term is then $O(\omega(n)^{-1})$ and uniform for $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $\omega(n)/n < t < t_0$. Thus we have proven the statement on Toeplitz determinants in Theorem 22.*

## 8.2 Integration of the Differential Identity

We now integrate (8.2), where we use exactly the same approach as in Section 8.2 of [25]. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t d_1(p,\tau;\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2,\alpha_3)\mathrm{d}\tau \\
=&+ 2i\alpha_1\left(V(e^{i(p-t)}) - V(e^{ip})\right) + 2i\alpha_2\left(V(e^{i(p+t)}) - V(e^{ip})\right) \\
&+ 2(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j\left(\sin j(p+t) + \sin j(p-t) - 2\sin jp\right) \\
&+ i\left(2\alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2 + \beta_1\beta_2\right)\ln\frac{\sin p - t}{\sin p} + i\left(2\alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2 + \beta_1\beta_2\right)\ln\frac{\sin p + t}{\sin p} + it(\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2)\frac{\cos p}{\sin p} \\
&+ 4i\alpha_1\alpha_0\ln\frac{\sin\frac{p-t}{2}}{\sin\frac{p}{2}} - 4i\alpha_1\alpha_3\ln\frac{\cos\frac{p-t}{2}}{\cos\frac{p}{2}} + 4i\alpha_2\alpha_0\ln\frac{\sin\frac{p+t}{2}}{\sin\frac{p}{2}} - 4i\alpha_2\alpha_3\ln\frac{\cos\frac{p+t}{2}}{\cos\frac{p}{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{8.43}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t d_2(p,\tau;\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2,\alpha_3)\mathrm{d}\tau \\
=&-2i\int_0^{-2int}\frac{1}{s}\left(\sigma(s) - 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2\right)\mathrm{d}s + i\left((\beta_1+\beta_2)^2 - 4\alpha_1\alpha_2\right)\ln\frac{\sin t}{t},
\end{aligned} \tag{8.44}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t d_3(p,\tau;\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2,\alpha_3)\mathrm{d}\tau \\
=&(\beta_1-\beta_2)\left[2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j\left(\sin j(p-t) - \sin j(p+t)\right) - 2t\sum_{j=0}^{5}\alpha_j\right. \\
&\left. + i\beta_1\ln\frac{\sin p - t}{\sin p} - i\beta_2\ln\frac{\sin p + t}{\sin p} + i(\beta_1-\beta_2)\ln\frac{\sin t}{t} - it(\beta_1+\beta_2)\frac{\cos p}{\sin p}\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{8.45}$$

Putting things together we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\ln D_n(f_{p,t}) =& \ln D_n(f_{p,0}) + 2int(\beta_1-\beta_2)\\ &- 2\alpha_1\left(V(e^{i(p-t)})-V(e^{ip})\right) - 2\alpha_2\left(V(e^{i(p+t)})-V(e^{ip})\right)\\ &+ 4i\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j\left(\beta_1\sin j(p-t)+\beta_2\sin j(p+t)-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sin jp\right)\\ &- 2\left(\alpha_1^2+\beta_1^2\right)\ln\frac{\sin p-t}{\sin p} - 2\left(\alpha_2^2+\beta_2^2\right)\ln\frac{\sin p+t}{\sin p}\\ &- 4\alpha_1\alpha_0\ln\frac{\sin\frac{p-t}{2}}{\sin\frac{p}{2}} - 4\alpha_1\alpha_3\ln\frac{\cos\frac{p-t}{2}}{\cos\frac{p}{2}} - 4\alpha_2\alpha_0\ln\frac{\sin\frac{p+t}{2}}{\sin\frac{p}{2}} - 4i\alpha_2\alpha_3\ln\frac{\cos\frac{p+t}{2}}{\cos\frac{p}{2}}\\ &- 2i\int_0^{-2int}\frac{1}{s}\left(\sigma(s)-2\alpha_1\alpha_2+\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2\right)\mathrm{d}s + 4\left(\beta_1\beta_2-\alpha_1\alpha_2\right)\ln\frac{\sin t}{t}\\ &- 2it(\beta_1-\beta_2)\sum_{j=0}^{5}\alpha_j\\ &+ o(1),\end{aligned} \tag{8.46}$$

uniformly in $p\in(\epsilon,\pi-\epsilon)$ and $0<t<t_0$. To calculate the asymptotics of $D_n(f_{p,0})$ we use Theorem 11 and get

$$\begin{aligned}\ln D_n(f_{p,0}) =& nV_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kV_k^2 + \ln n\left(\alpha_0^2+\alpha_3^2+2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)^2-2(\beta_1+\beta_2)^2\right)\\ &- \alpha_0\ln\left(b_+(1)b_-(1)\right) - \alpha_3\ln\left(b_+(-1)b_-(-1)\right)\\ &- 2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\beta_1-\beta_2)\ln b_+(e^{ip}) - 2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2)\ln b_+(e^{-ip})\\ &- 2\alpha_0\alpha_3\ln 2 - 4\alpha_0(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\ln 2\sin\frac{p}{2} - 4\alpha_3(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\ln 2\cos\frac{p}{2}\\ &- 2((\beta_1+\beta_2)^2+(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)^2)\ln 2\sin p\\ &+ 2i\alpha_0(\beta_1+\beta_2)(p-\pi) + 2i\alpha_3(\beta_1+\beta_2)p\\ &+ 2i(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)(\beta_1+\beta_2)(2p-\pi)\\ &+ \ln\frac{G(1+\alpha_0)^2}{G(1+2\alpha_0)} + \ln\frac{G(1+\alpha_3)^2}{G(1+2\alpha_3)}\\ &+ \ln\frac{G(1+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2)^2G(1+\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\beta_1-\beta_2)^2}{G(1+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2)^2}\\ &+ o(1),\end{aligned} \tag{8.47}$$

uniformly in $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$. Combining the 2 last equations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln D_n(f_{p,t}) =& 2int(\beta_1 - \beta_2) + nV_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kV_k^2 + \ln n \sum_{j=0}^{5} (\alpha_j^2 - \beta_j^2) \\
&- \sum_{j=0}^{5} (\alpha_j - \beta_j) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k z_j^k \right) + (\alpha_j + \beta_j) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k \overline{z_j}^k \right) \\
&- 2\left(\alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2\right) \ln 2 \sin(p-t) - 2\left(\alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2\right) \ln 2 \sin(p+t) \\
&- 4(\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \beta_1\beta_2) \ln 2 \sin p - 2\alpha_0\alpha_3 \ln 2 \\
&- 4\alpha_1\alpha_0 \ln 2 \sin \frac{p-t}{2} - 4\alpha_1\alpha_3 \ln 2 \cos \frac{p-t}{2} - 4\alpha_2\alpha_0 \ln 2 \sin \frac{p+t}{2} - 4\alpha_2\alpha_3 \ln 2 \cos \frac{p+t}{2} \\
&+ 2\int_0^{-2int} \frac{1}{s} \left( \sigma(s) - 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 + \beta_2)^2 \right) \mathrm{d}s + 4\left(\beta_1\beta_2 - \alpha_1\alpha_2\right) \ln \frac{\sin t}{nt} \\
&+ 2i\alpha_0\beta_1(p - t - \pi) + 2i\alpha_0\beta_2(p + t - \pi) + 2i\alpha_3\beta_1(p - t) + 2i\alpha_3\beta_2(p + t) \\
&+ 2i\alpha_1\beta_1(2p - 2t - \pi) + 2i\alpha_2\beta_1(2p - 2t - \pi) + 2i\alpha_1\beta_2(2p + 2t - \pi) + 2i\alpha_2\beta_2(2p + 2t - \pi) \\
&+ \ln \frac{G(1+\alpha_0)^2}{G(1+2\alpha_0)} + \ln \frac{G(1+\alpha_3)^2}{G(1+2\alpha_3)} \\
&+ \ln \frac{G(1+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2)^2 G(1+\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\beta_1-\beta_2)^2}{G(1+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2)^2} \\
&+ o(1),
\end{aligned} \tag{8.48}$$

uniformly in $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $0 < t < t_0$. We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{0 \le j < k \le 5, (j,k) \neq (1,2), (4,5)} (\alpha_j\beta_k - \alpha_k\beta_j) \ln \frac{z_k}{z_j e^{i\pi}} \\
=& 2i\alpha_0\beta_1(p - t - \pi) + 2i\alpha_0\beta_2(p + t - \pi) + 2i\alpha_3\beta_1(p - t) + 2i\alpha_3\beta_2(p + t) \\
&+ 2i(\alpha_2\beta_1 + \alpha_1\beta_2)(2p - \pi) + 2i\alpha_1\beta_1(2p - 2t - \pi) + 2i\alpha_2\beta_2(2p + 2t - \pi).
\end{aligned} \tag{8.49}$$

Thus we finally get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln D_n(f_{p,t}) =& 2int(\beta_1 - \beta_2) + nV_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kV_k^2 + \ln n \sum_{j=0}^{5} (\alpha_j^2 - \beta_j^2) \\
&- \sum_{j=0}^{5} (\alpha_j - \beta_j) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k z_j^k \right) + (\alpha_j + \beta_j) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k \overline{z_j}^k \right) \\
&+ \sum_{0 \le j < k \le 5, (j,k) \neq (1,2), (4,5)} 2(\beta_j\beta_k - \alpha_j\alpha_k) \ln |z_j - z_k| + (\alpha_j\beta_k - \alpha_k\beta_j) \ln \frac{z_k}{z_j e^{i\pi}} \\
&+ 4it(\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1) \\
&+ 2\int_0^{-2int} \frac{1}{s} \left( \sigma(s) - 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 + \beta_2)^2 \right) \mathrm{d}s + 4\left(\beta_1\beta_2 - \alpha_1\alpha_2\right) \ln \frac{\sin t}{nt} \\
&+ \ln \frac{G(1+\alpha_0)^2}{G(1+2\alpha_0)} + \ln \frac{G(1+\alpha_3)^2}{G(1+2\alpha_3)} \\
&+ \ln \frac{G(1+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2)^2 G(1+\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\beta_1-\beta_2)^2}{G(1+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2)^2} \\
&+ o(1),
\end{aligned} \tag{8.50}$$

uniformly in $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $0 < t < t_0$, which proves Theorem 16.

# 9 Asymptotics of $\Phi_n(0)$, $\Phi_n(\pm 1)$ and $D_n^{T+H,\kappa}(f_{p,t})$

Tracing back the transformations of Section 7 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_n(0) = Y_{11}^{(n)}(0) = T(0)_{11} = S(0)_{11} = (R(0)N(0))_{11} = -R_{12}(0)D_{\mathrm{in},p,t}(0)^{-1} \\ = -R_{12}(0)e^{-V_0} = o(1),\end{aligned} \tag{9.1}$$

uniformly in $p \in (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon)$ and $0 < t < t_0$.

The asymptotics of $\Phi_n(1)$ and $\Phi_n(-1)$ can be calculated exactly as in Chapter 7 of [26]. As is apparent from (7.13) there, these asymptotics are uniform for all other singularities bounded away from $\pm 1$. Thus when using Lemma 14 to calculate from the asymptotics of $D_n(f_{p,t})$ given in Theorem 22, $\Phi_n(\pm 1)$ and $\Phi_n(0)$, the asymptotics of the corresponding Toeplitz+Hankel determinants, then uniformity of the error terms in $p, t$ is preserved. This proves the statement on Toeplitz+Hankel determinants in Theorem 22.

Further, since we know the relation (3.26) between the asymptotic expansion of $D_n(f_{p,t})$ which is uniform for $0 < t < t_0$, and the asymptotic expansion for $t > t_0$, Lemma 14 immediately gives the relationship between the expansions of $D_n^{T+H,\kappa}(f_{p,t})$ in the two regimes $0 < t < t_0$ and $t > t_0$. In view of the way the uniform asymptotics of $D_n^{T+H,\kappa}(f_{p,t})$ are derived from the uniform asymptotics of $D_{2n}(f_{p,t})^{1/2}$, $\Phi_n(\pm 1)^{1/2}$ and $\Phi_n(0)$, the relationship between the $0 < t < t_0$ asymptotics of $D_n^{T+H,\kappa}(f_{p,t})$ and the $t > t_0$ asymptotics one gets from Theorem 17 is given by (3.26), with both sides divided by 2, and $n$ replaced by $2n$. This proves Theorem 17.


# Acknowledgements

Our work was supported by ERC Advanced Grant 740900 (LogCorRM). We are most grateful to Theo Assiotis for his kind permission to state Theorem 3 here and to set out its proof in Appendix A, as well as for many extremely helpful discussions. We are also most grateful to Tom Claeys, Gabriel Glesner, Alexander Minakov and Meng Yang for having kindly shared with us their work in progress and for having communicated to us one of their results from [23], prior to posting it on the arXiv, which we quote in Theorem 23. We make use of this result to prove our Theorem 6. Further we thank Mo Dick Wong for helpful comments and suggestions. Finally we thank two anonymous referees for their careful reading, helpful remarks and suggestions.


# A Convergence to the Gaussian Fields

In this appendix we set out the proof of Theorem 3. As stated in the introduction, this theorem was established by Assiotis & Keating and we are most grateful to Dr Assiotis for permitting us to use it and to give its proof here.

We first need the following definition:

**Definition 33** (The Sobolev spaces $H_0^{-\epsilon}$)**.** *For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the space of formal Fourier series*

$$H^s = \left\{ f \sim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f_k e^{ik\theta} : \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + k^2)^s |f_k|^2 < \infty \right\} \tag{A.1}$$

*with inner product*

$$\langle f, g \rangle_s = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + k^2)^s f_k g_k^*. \tag{A.2}$$

*The closed subspace $\{f \in H^s : f_0 = 0\}$ will be denoted by $H_0^s$.*

**Remark 34.** *$(H^s, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_s)$ is a Hilbert space for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. For $s \geq 0$ $H^s$ is a subspace of $H^0$, i.e. the space of square-integrable functions on the unit circle. For $s < 0$, $H^s$ can be interpreted as the dual space of $H^{-s}$, and as a space of generalized functions.*

**Proof of Theorem 3:** The proof strategy is as follows: we treat $(\Re \ln p_n, \Im \ln p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ as a sequence in $H_0^{-\epsilon} \times H_0^{-\epsilon}$ and show that if any of its subsequences has a limit then that limit has to be $(X \pm x, \hat{X} \pm \hat{x})$, with $+$ when the underlying matrices are symplectic and $-$ when they are orthogonal. We do this by showing that the finite-dimensional distributions of $(\Re \ln p_n, \Im \ln p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, i.e. the distributions of finite sets of pairs of Fourier coefficients, converge to those of $(X \pm x, \hat{X} \pm \hat{x})$. We then show that the set $\{\Re \ln p_n, \Im \ln p_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is tight in $H_0^{-\epsilon} \times H_0^{-\epsilon}$. Since $H_0^{-\epsilon} \times H_0^{-\epsilon}$ is complete and seperable, Prokhorov's theorem implies that the closure of $\{\Re \ln p_n, \Im \ln p_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is sequentially compact w.r.t. the topology of weak convergence. In particular this means that every subsequence of $(\Re \ln p_n, \Im \ln p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has a weak limit in $H_0^{-\epsilon} \times H_0^{-\epsilon}$. Since any such limit has to be $(X \pm x, \hat{X} \pm \hat{x})$ it follows that the whole sequence $(\Re \ln p_n, \Im \ln p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ must converge weakly to $(X \pm x, \hat{X} \pm \hat{x})$.

We recall that

$$\ln(1-z) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k} \tag{A.3}$$

for $|z| \leq 1$, where for $z = 1$ both sides equal $-\infty$. By using the identity $\ln\det = \operatorname{Tr}\ln$ we see that the Fourier expansions of $\ln p_n$, $\Re \ln p_n$, $\Im \ln p_n$ in $H_0^{-\epsilon}$ are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln p_n(\theta) =& -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(U_n^k)}{k} e^{-ik\theta}, \\
\Re \ln p_n(\theta) =& -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(U_n^k)}{k} (e^{ik\theta} + e^{-ik\theta}), \\
\Im \ln p_n(\theta) =& \frac{1}{2i}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(U_n^k)}{k} (e^{ik\theta} - e^{-ik\theta}).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

The convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions now follows immediately from Theorem 2: we have for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, as $n \to \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big((\Re \ln p_n)_{-l}, (\Im \ln p_n)_{-l}, (\Re \ln p_n)_{-(l-1)}, (\Im \ln p_n)_{-(l-1)}, ..., (\Re \ln p_n)_l, (\Im \ln p_n)_l\Big) \\
\xrightarrow{d} &\Big((X \pm x)_{-l}, (\hat{X} \pm \hat{x})_{-l}, (X \pm x)_{-(l-1)}, (\hat{X} + \hat{x})_{-(l-1)}, ..., (X \pm x)_l, (\hat{X} + \hat{x})_l\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

We proceed to show tightness of $(\Re \ln p_n, \Im \ln p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $H_0^{-\epsilon} \times H_0^{-\epsilon}$, i.e. for every $\delta > 0$ we construct a compact $K_\delta \subset H_0^{-\epsilon} \times H_0^{-\epsilon}$ for which

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}\left((\Re \ln p_n, \Im \ln p_n) \notin K_\delta\right) < \delta. \tag{A.6}$$

By the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem we have that for any $s \in (-\epsilon, 0)$ the closed ball $\overline{B(0,R)}_{H^s}$ of radius $R > 0$ in $H^s$ is compact in $H^{-\epsilon}$, which, since $\overline{B(0,R)}_{H_0^s} = \overline{B(0,R)}_{H^s} \cap H_0^{-\epsilon}$, also implies that $\overline{B(0,R)}_{H_0^s}$ is compact in $H_0^{-\epsilon}$. Thus when fixing $s$, choosing $K_\delta = \overline{B(0,R(\delta))}_{H_0^s} \times \overline{B(0,R(\delta))}_{H_0^s}$, and using Chebyshev's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}\left((\Re \ln p_n, \Im \ln p_n) \notin K_\delta\right) =& \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}\left(\max\left\{||\Re \ln p_n||_{H_0^s}, ||\Im \ln p_n||_{H_0^s}\right\} > R(\delta)\right) \\
\leq& \frac{1}{R(\delta)^2} \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}\left(\max\left\{||\Re \ln p_n||_{H_0^s}^2, ||\Im \ln p_n||_{H_0^s}^2\right\}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{E}\left(||\Re\ln p_n||^2_{H^s_0}\right)=&\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{E}\left(||\Im\ln p_n||^2_{H^s_0}\right)\\=&\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(1+k^2)^s\frac{\left|\mathrm{Tr}(U_n^k)\right|^2}{k^2}\right)\\=&\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(1+k^2)^s\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\mathrm{Tr}(U_n^k)\right|^2\right)}{k^2}\\\leq&\mathrm{const}\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(1+k^2)^s\frac{\min\{k,n\}}{k^2}\\\leq&\mathrm{const}\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(1+k^2)^s\frac{1}{k}<\infty,\end{aligned}\tag{A.8}$$

where in the first inequality we used (2.11). Thus choosing $R(\delta)$ big enough we get (A.6). This finishes the proof. □

# B Construction of the Gaussian Multiplicative Chaos Measure

In this section we "exponentiate" the field $Y_{\alpha,\beta}$ in (2.15) to obtain the non-trivial Gaussian multiplicative chaos measure $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$. We follow the approach of Kahane in [40], with the only difference being that in our case the Gaussian field's covariance function (2.16) has singularities not just on the diagonal, but also on the antidiagonal.

For $k\in\mathbb{N}$ define the truncated Gaussian fields

$$Y^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta)=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{\mathcal{N}_j}{\sqrt{j}}\left(2\alpha\cos(j\theta)-2i\beta\sin(j\theta)\right),\tag{B.1}$$

whose covariance functions are given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Cov}(Y^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta),Y^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta'))\\=&\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{1}{j}\left(2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)\cos(j(\theta-\theta'))+2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)\cos(j(\theta+\theta'))-4i\alpha\beta\sin(j(\theta+\theta'))\right).\end{aligned}\tag{B.2}$$

Define the measures $\mu^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}$ on $S^1\sim[0,2\pi)$ by

$$\mu^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(d\theta)=\frac{e^{Y^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta)}}{\mathbb{E}\left(e^{Y^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta)}\right)}\mathrm{d}\theta=e^{Y^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta)-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Var}(Y^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta))}\mathrm{d}\theta.\tag{B.3}$$

For any measurable $A\subset[0,2\pi)$ and $k\in\mathbb{N}$ it holds by Fubini that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\mu^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(A)\right)=\int_0^{2\pi}1_A\mathrm{d}\theta,\tag{B.4}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\mu^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(A)|\sigma(\mathcal{N}_1,...,\mathcal{N}_{k-1})\right)=\mu^{(k-1)}_{\alpha,\beta}(A),\tag{B.5}$$

where $\sigma(\mathcal{N}_1, ..., \mathcal{N}_{k-1})$ is the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\mathcal{N}_1, ..., \mathcal{N}_{k-1}$. Thus, since also for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the random variable $\mu^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(A)$ is measurable w.r.t. $\sigma(\mathcal{N}_1, ..., \mathcal{N}_k)$, the sequence $\left(\mu^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(A)\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a martingale. Since the sequence is non-negative it converges a.s. to a random variable which will be denoted by $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(A)$. One can show that a.s. the map $A \mapsto \mu_{\alpha,\beta}(A)$ is a measure and we have that a.s. $\mu^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta} \xrightarrow{d} \mu_{\alpha,\beta}$ in the space of Radon measures on $S^1 \sim [0, 2\pi)$, equipped with the topology of weak convergence.

Recall that $I$ denotes either $I_\epsilon = (\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon) \cup (\pi + \epsilon, 2\pi - \epsilon)$ or $[0, 2\pi)$. For any measurable $A \subset I$ the martingale $\left(\mu^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(A)\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^2$ (and thus uniformly integrable), since by (B.2), (4.54) and (4.58) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\mu^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(A)^2\right) = \limsup_{k\to\infty} \int_A \int_A e^{\mathrm{Cov}(Y^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta), Y^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta'))} \mathrm{d}\theta \mathrm{d}\theta' \\ &\leq \int_A \int_A |e^{i\theta} - e^{i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)} |e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta'}|^{-2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)} e^{4i\alpha\beta\Im \ln(1-e^{i(\theta+\theta')})} \mathrm{d}\theta \mathrm{d}\theta' < \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

for $\alpha > -1/2$ and $\alpha^2 - \beta^2 < 1/2$ in the case $I = I_\epsilon$, and $\alpha \geq 0$, $\alpha^2 - \beta^2 < 1/2$ and $4\alpha^2 < 1$ in the case $I = [0, 2\pi)$. Thus, by (B.4), we get that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(A)\right) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\mu^{(k)}_{\alpha,\beta}(A)\right) = \int_0^{2\pi} 1_A \mathrm{d}\theta, \tag{B.7}$$

which implies that the event $\{\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the 0 measure$\}$ does not have probability 1. Since that event is independent of any finite number of the $\mathcal{N}_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, Kolmogorov's zero-one law implies that this event then has probability 0. Thus $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a.s. non-trivial for $\alpha \geq 0$, $\alpha^2 - \beta^2 < 1/2$ and $4\alpha^2 < 1$, while when restricted to $I_\epsilon$ the measure $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$ is almost surely non-trivial for $\alpha > -1/4$ and $\alpha^2 - \beta^2 < 1/2$. In both cases though, one can expect $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$ to be a.s. non-trivial for a larger set of values $\alpha, \beta$.

# C Riemann-Hilbert Problem for $\Psi$

This appendix is a mostly verbatim transfer from the beginning of Section 3 of [25]. We include it here to make our account self-contained. We use $\Psi$ to construct local parametrices for the RHP for the orthogonal polynomials in Section 7.5. We always assume that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in i\mathbb{R}$ (in [25] also the more general case of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ was considered).

**RH Problem for $\Psi$**

(a) $\Psi : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic, where

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma = \cup_{k=1}^7 \Gamma_k, && \Gamma_1 = i + e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}_+, && \Gamma_2 = i + e^{\frac{3i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}_+ \\ &\Gamma_3 = -i + e^{\frac{5i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}_+, && \Gamma_4 = -i + e^{\frac{7i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}_+, && \Gamma_5 = -i + \mathbb{R}_+, \\ &\Gamma_6 = i + \mathbb{R}_+, && \Gamma_7 = [-i, i], \end{aligned}$$

with the orientation chosen as in Figure 6 ("-" is always on the RHS of the contour).

(b) $\Psi$ satisfies the jump conditions

$$\Psi(\zeta)_+ = \Psi(\zeta)_- J_k, \quad \zeta \in \Gamma_k, \tag{C.1}$$

where

$$J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{2\pi i(\alpha_2-\beta_2)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -e^{-2\pi i(\alpha_2-\beta_2)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{C.2}$$

$$J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -e^{2\pi i(\alpha_1-\beta_1)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad J_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-2\pi i(\alpha_1-\beta_1)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{C.3}$$

$$J_5 = e^{2\pi i\beta_1\sigma_3}, \qquad J_6 = e^{2\pi i\beta_2\sigma_3}, \tag{C.4}$$

$$J_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{C.5}$$

(c) We have in all regions:

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \left(I + \frac{\Psi_1}{\zeta} + \frac{\Psi_2}{\zeta^2} + O(\zeta^{-3})\right) P^{(\infty)}(\zeta) e^{-\frac{is}{4}\zeta\sigma_3}, \quad \text{as } \zeta \to \infty, \tag{C.6}$$

where

$$P^{(\infty)}(\zeta) = \left(\frac{is}{2}\right)^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2)\sigma_3} (\zeta - i)^{-\beta_2\sigma_3} (\zeta + i)^{-\beta_1\sigma_3}, \tag{C.7}$$

with the branches corresponding to the arguments between 0 and $2\pi$, and where $s \in -i\mathbb{R}_+$.

(d) The functions $F_1$ and $F_2$ defined in (C.8), (C.10), (C.12) and (C.14) below are analytic functions of $\zeta$ at $i$ and $-i$ respectively.





Figure 6: The jump contour and jump matrices of $\Psi$.

The solution $\Psi = \Psi(\zeta; s)$ to this RHP not only depends on the complex variable $\zeta$, but also on the complex parameter $s \in -i\mathbb{R}_+$. Without the additional condition (d) on the behaviour of $\Psi$ near the points $\pm i$, the RHP wouldn't have a unique solution. If $2\alpha_2 \notin \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, define $F_1(\zeta, s)$ by the equations

$$\Psi(\zeta; s) = F_1(\zeta, s)(\zeta - i)^{\alpha_2\sigma_3} G_j, \quad \zeta \in \text{region } j, \tag{C.8}$$

where $j \in \{I, II, III, VI\}$, and where $(\zeta - i)^{\alpha_2 \sigma_3}$ is taken with the branch cut on $i + e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}\mathbb{R}_+$, with the argument of $\zeta - i$ between $-5\pi/4$ and $3\pi/4$. The matrices $G_j$ are piecewise constant matrices consistent with the jump relations; they are given by

$$\begin{aligned} G_{III} =& \begin{pmatrix} 1 & g \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g = -\frac{1}{2i\sin(2\alpha_2)}(e^{2\pi i\alpha_2} - e^{-2\pi i\beta_2}), \\ G_{VI} =& G_{III} J_7^{-1}, \quad G_I = G_{VI} J_6, \quad G_{II} = G_I J_1. \end{aligned} \tag{C.9}$$

It is straighforward to verify that $F_1$ has no jumps near $i$, and it is thus meromorphic in a neighborhood of $i$, with possibly an isolated singularity at $i$.

Similarly, for $\zeta$ near $-i$, if $2\alpha_1 \notin \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we define $F_2$ by the equations

$$\Psi(\zeta; s) = F_2(\zeta, s)(\zeta + i)^{\alpha_1 \sigma_3} H_j, \quad \zeta \in \text{region } j, \tag{C.10}$$

where $j \in \{III, , IV, V, VI\}$, where $(\zeta + i)^{\alpha_1 \sigma_3}$ is taken with the branch cut on $-i + e^{\frac{5\pi i}{4}}\mathbb{R}_+$, with the argument of $\zeta + i$ between $-3\pi/4$ and $5\pi/4$, and where the matrices $H_j$ are piecewise constant matrices consistent with the jump relations; they are given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{III} =& \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = -\frac{1}{2i\sin(2\alpha_1)}(e^{2\pi i\beta_1} - e^{-2\pi i\alpha_1}), \\ H_{IV} =& G_{III} J_3^{-1}, \quad H_V = G_{IV} J_4^{-1}, \quad H_{VI} = H_V J_5. \end{aligned} \tag{C.11}$$

Similarly as at $i$, one shows using the jump conditions for $\Psi$ that $F_2$ is meromorphic near $-i$ with a possible singularity at $-i$.

If $2\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the constant $g$ and the matrices $G_j$ are ill-defined, and we need a different definition of $F_1$:

$$\Psi(\zeta; s) = F_1(\zeta; s)(\zeta - i)^{\alpha_2 \sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & g_{int} \ln(\zeta - i) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} G_j, \quad \zeta \in \text{region } j, \tag{C.12}$$

where

$$g_{int} = \frac{e^{-2\pi i\beta_2} - e^{2\pi i\alpha_2}}{2\pi i e^{2\pi i\alpha_2}}, \tag{C.13}$$

and $G_{III} = I$, and the other $G_j$'s are defined as above by applying the appropriate jump conditions. Thus defined, $F_1$ has no jumps in a neighborhood of $i$. Similarly, if $2\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we define $F_2$ by the expression:

$$\Psi(\zeta; s) = F_2(\zeta; s)(\zeta + i)^{\alpha_1 \sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{e^{-2\pi i\alpha_1} - e^{2\pi i\beta_1}}{2\pi i e^{2\pi i\alpha_1}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} H_j, \quad \zeta \in \text{region } j, \tag{C.14}$$

with $H_{III} = I$, and the other $H_j$'s expressed via $H_{III}$ as in (C.11). Then $F_2$ has no jumps near $-i$.

Given parameters $s, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$, the uniqueness of the function $\Psi$ which satisfies RH conditions (a) - (d) can be proven by standard arguments.

In Section 3 of [25] it was shown that for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in i\mathbb{R}$, the RHP is solvable for any $s \in -i\mathbb{R}_+$. Furthermore they analyzed the RHP asymptotically as $s \to -i\infty$ and $s \to -i0_+$.

**Remark 35.** *We have to be careful what their $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ correspond to in our case, when using $\Psi$ from [25]. In their paper $\alpha_1, \beta_1$ correspond to the singularity left of the merging point and $\alpha_2, \beta_2$ correspond to the singularity to the right of the merging point, while for us in the case + for example, $\alpha_1, \beta_1$ are right and $\alpha_2, \beta_2$ are left.*

# D Riemann-Hilbert Problem for $M$

This appendix is a mostly verbatim transfer of Section 4 of [25]. We include it here to make our account self-contained. Let $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta \in i\mathbb{R}$. In Section 4.2.1 of [24], see also [26, 39, 44], a function $M = M^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ was constructed explicitly in terms of the confluent hypergeometric function, which solves the following RH problem:

**RH Problem for $M$**

(a) $M : \mathbb{C} \setminus \left(e^{\pm\frac{\pi i}{4}}\mathbb{R} \cup \mathbb{R}_+\right) \to \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ is analytic,

(b) $M$ has continuous boundary values on $e^{\pm\frac{\pi i}{4}}\mathbb{R} \cup \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ related by the conditions:

$$M(\lambda)_+ = M(\lambda)_- \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{\pi i(\alpha-\beta)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \lambda \in e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}_+, \tag{D.1}$$

$$M(\lambda)_+ = M(\lambda)_- \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -e^{-\pi i(\alpha-\beta)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \lambda \in e^{\frac{3i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}_+, \tag{D.2}$$

$$M(\lambda)_+ = M(\lambda)_- \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{\pi i(\alpha-\beta)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \lambda \in e^{\frac{5i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}_+, \tag{D.3}$$

$$M(\lambda)_+ = M(\lambda)_- \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -e^{-\pi i(\alpha-\beta)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \lambda \in e^{\frac{7i\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}_+, \tag{D.4}$$

$$M(\lambda)_+ = M(\lambda)_-(\lambda) e^{2\pi i\beta\sigma_3}, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{D.5}$$

where all the rays of the jump contour are oriented away from the origin.

(c) Furthermore, in all sectors,

$$M(\lambda) = (I + M_1\lambda^{-1} + O(\lambda^{-2}))\lambda^{-\beta\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda\sigma_3}, \quad \text{as } \lambda \to \infty, \tag{D.6}$$

where $0 < \arg\lambda < 2\pi$, and

$$M_1 = M_1^{(\alpha,\beta)} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 - \beta^2 & -e^{-2\pi i\beta}\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} \\ e^{2\pi i\beta}\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} & -\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{D.7}$$





Figure 7: The jump contour and jump matrices of $M$.

We use $M$ to construct local parametrices for the RHP of the orthogonal polynomials in Section 7.6. For that we also need the local behaviour of $M$ at zero in region 3, i.e. the region between the

lines $e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}\mathbb{R}_+$ and $e^{\frac{5\pi i}{4}}\mathbb{R}_+$. Write $M \equiv M^{(3)}$ in this region. It is known (see Section 4.2.1 of [24]) that $M^{(3)}$ can be written in the form

$$M^{(3)}(\lambda) = L(\lambda)\lambda^{\alpha\sigma_3}\tilde{G}_3, \quad 2\alpha \notin \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \tag{D.8}$$

with the branch of $\lambda^{\pm\alpha}$ chosen with $0 < \arg\lambda < 2\pi$. Here

$$L(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda/2}\begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi(\alpha+\beta)}\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(1+2\alpha)}\varphi(\alpha+\beta, 1+2\alpha, \lambda) & e^{i\pi(\alpha-\beta)}\frac{\Gamma(2\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}\varphi(-\alpha+\beta, 1-2\alpha, \lambda) \\ -e^{-i\pi(\alpha-\beta)}\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(1+2\alpha)}\varphi(1+\alpha+\beta, 1+2\alpha, \lambda) & e^{i\pi(\alpha+\beta)}\frac{\Gamma(2\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)}\varphi(1-\alpha+\beta, 1-2\alpha, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} \tag{D.9}$$

is an entire function, with

$$\varphi(a, b; z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1)}{c(c+1)\cdots(c+n-1)}\frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad c \neq 0, -1, -2, ..., \tag{D.10}$$

and $\tilde{G}_3$ is the constant matrix

$$\tilde{G}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \tilde{g} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{g} = -\frac{\sin\pi(\alpha+\beta)}{\sin 2\pi\alpha}. \tag{D.11}$$

If $2\alpha$ is an integer, we have

$$M^{(3)}(\lambda) = \tilde{L}(\lambda)\lambda^{\alpha\sigma_3}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & m(\lambda) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{D.12}$$

$$m(\lambda) = \frac{(-1)^{2\alpha+1}}{\pi}\sin\pi(\alpha+\beta)\ln(\lambda e^{-i\pi}), \tag{D.13}$$

where $\tilde{L}(\lambda)$ is analytic at 0, and the branch of the logarithm corresponds to the argument of $\lambda$ between 0 and $2\pi$.

# References


[1] Arguin, L.P., Belius, D. and Bourgade, P., 2017. Maximum of the characteristic polynomial of random unitary matrices. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 349(2), pp.703-751.

[2] Arguin, L.P., Belius, D., Bourgade, P., Radziwiłł, M. and Soundararajan, K., 2019. Maximum of the Riemann zeta function on a short interval of the critical line. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 72(3), pp.500-535.

[3] Assiotis, T., Bailey, E.C. and Keating, J.P., 2019. On the moments of the moments of the characteristic polynomials of Haar distributed symplectic and orthogonal matrices. *arXiv:1910.12576*, to appear in *Annales de l'Institut Poincaré*, D.

[4] Assiotis, T. and Keating, J.P., 2020. Moments of moments of characteristic polynomials of random unitary matrices and lattice point counts. *Random Matrices: Theory and Applications*, p.2150019.

[5] Baik, J. and Rains, E.M., 2001. Algebraic aspects of increasing subsequences. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 109(1), pp.1-65.

[6] Bailey, E.C. and Keating, J.P., 2019. On the moments of the moments of the characteristic polynomials of random unitary matrices. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 371(2), pp.689-726.

[7] Basor, E.L., 1978. Asymptotic formulas for Toeplitz determinants. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 239, pp.33-65.

[8] Basor, E.L., 1979. A localization theorem for Toeplitz determinants. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 28(6), pp.975-983.

[9] Basor, E.L. and Ehrhardt, T., 2001. Asymptotic formulas for determinants of a sum of finite Toeplitz and Hankel matrices. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 228(1), pp.5-45.

[10] Basor, E.L. and Ehrhardt, T., 2002. Some identities for determinants of structured matrices. *Linear algebra and its applications*, 343, pp.5-19.

[11] Basor, E.L. and Ehrhardt, T., 2002. Asymptotic formulas for the determinants of symmetric Toeplitz plus Hankel matrices. *Toeplitz matrices and singular integral equations*, pp. 61-90.

[12] Basor, E.L. and Ehrhardt, T., 2009. Determinant computations for some classes of Toeplitz-Hankel matrices. *Operators and Matrices*, 3(2), pp.167-186.

[13] Berestycki, N., Webb, C. and Wong, M.D., 2018. Random Hermitian matrices and Gaussian multiplicative chaos. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 172(1-2), pp.103-189.

[14] Böttcher, A. and Silbermann, B., 1981. The asymptotic behavior of Toeplitz determinants for generating functions with zeros of integral orders. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 102(1), pp.79-105.

[15] Böttcher, A. and Silbermann, B., 1985. Toeplitz matrices and determinants with Fisher-Hartwig symbols. *Journal of functional analysis*, 63(2), pp.178-214.

[16] Böttcher, A. and Silbermann, B., 1986. Toeplitz operators and determinants generated by symbols with one Fisher-Hartwig singularity. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 127(1), pp.95-123.

[17] Charlier, C., 2019. Asymptotics of Hankel determinants with a one-cut regular potential and Fisher–Hartwig singularities. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2019(24), pp.7515-7576.

[18] Charlier, C., Gharakhloo, R., 2021, Asymptotics of Hankel determinants with a Laguerre-type or Jacobi-type potential and Fisher-Hartwig singularities. *Advances in Mathematics*, 383.

[19] Chhaibi, R., Madaule, T. and Najnudel, J., 2018. On the maximum of the C$\beta$E field. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 167(12), pp.2243-2345.

[20] Chhaibi, R. and Najnudel, J., 2019. On the circle, $GMC^{\gamma} = \varprojlim C\beta E_n$ for $\gamma = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta}}$, $(\gamma \leq 1)$. *arXiv:1904.00578*.

[21] Claeys, T. and Fahs, B., 2016. Random matrices with merging singularities and the Painlevé V equation. *SIGMA. Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications*, 12, p.031.

[22] Claeys, T., Fahs, B., Lambert, G. and Webb, C., 2019. How much can the eigenvalues of a random Hermitian matrix fluctuate? *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 170(9), pp.2085-2235.

[23] Claeys, T., Glesner, G., Minakov, A., Yang, M., 2021. Asymptotics for averages over classical orthogonal ensembles. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, rnaa354, https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnaa354.

[24] Claeys, T., Its, A. and Krasovsky, I., 2011. Emergence of a singularity for Toeplitz determinants and Painlevé V. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 160(2), pp.207-262.

[25] Claeys, T. and Krasovsky, I., 2015. Toeplitz determinants with merging singularities. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 164(15), pp.2897-2987.

[26] Deift, P., Its, A. and Krasovsky, I., 2011. Asymptotics of Toeplitz, Hankel, and Toeplitz+ Hankel determinants with Fisher-Hartwig singularities. *Annals of Mathematics*, pp.1243-1299.

[27] Deift, P., Its, A. and Krasovsky, I., 2012. Toeplitz matrices and Toeplitz determinants under the impetus of the Ising model. Some history and some recent results. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 66(9), pp.1260-1438.

[28] Diaconis, P. and Evans, S., 2001. Linear functionals of eigenvalues of random matrices. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 353(7), pp.2615-2633.

[29] Diaconis, P. and Shahshahani, M., 1994. On the eigenvalues of random matrices. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 31(A), pp.49-62.

[30] Ehrhardt, T., 2001. A status report on the asymptotic behavior of Toeplitz determinants with Fisher-Hartwig singularities. *Recent advances in operator theory* (pp. 217-241). Birkhäuser, Basel.

[31] Ehrhardt, T. and Silbermann, B., 1997. Toeplitz determinants with one Fisher–Hartwig singularity. *Journal of functional analysis*, 148(1), pp.229-256.

[32] Fahs, B., 2021. Uniform asymptotics of Toeplitz determinants with Fisher–Hartwig singularities. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 383(2), pp.685-730.

[33] Fisher, M.E. and Hartwig, R.E., 1969. Toeplitz determinants: some applications, theorems, and conjectures. *Advances in Chemical Physics: Stochastic processes in chemical physics*, pp.333-353.

[34] Fyodorov, Y.V., Gnutzmann, S. and Keating, J.P., 2018. Extreme values of CUE characteristic polynomials: a numerical study. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 51(46), p.464001.

[35] Fyodorov, Y.V., Hiary, G.A. and Keating, J.P., 2012. Freezing transition, characteristic polynomials of random matrices, and the Riemann zeta function. *Physical Review Letters*, 108(17), p.170601.

[36] Fyodorov, Y.V. and Keating, J.P., 2014. Freezing transitions and extreme values: random matrix theory, and disordered landscapes. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 372(2007), p.20120503.

[37] Garoni, T.M., 2005. On the asymptotics of some large Hankel determinants generated by Fisher–Hartwig symbols defined on the real line. *Journal of mathematical physics*, 46(4), p.043516.

[38] Hughes, C.P., Keating, J.P. and O'Connell, N., 2001. On the Characteristic Polynomial of a Random Unitary Matrix. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 220(2), pp.429-451.

[39] Its, A. and Krasovsky, I., 2008. Hankel determinant and orthogonal polynomials for the Gaussian weight with a jump. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 458, pp.215-248.

[40] Kahane, J.P., 1985. Sur le chaos multiplicatif. *Ann. Sci. Math. Québec*, 9(2), pp.105-150.

[41] Kallenberg, O., 1976. Random Measures. *Academic Press*.

[42] Kallenberg, O., 2001. Foundations of Modern Probability, 2nd Edition. *Springer*.

[43] Krasovsky, I.V., 2007. Correlations of the characteristic polynomials in the Gaussian unitary ensemble or a singular Hankel determinant. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 139(3), pp.581-619.

[44] Moreno, A.F., Martínez-Finkelshtein, A. and Sousa, V.L., 2010. On a conjecture of A. Magnus concerning the asymptotic behaviour of the recurrence coefficients of the generalized Jacobi polynomials. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 162(4), pp.807-831.

[45] Nikula, M., Saksman, E. and Webb, C., 2020. Multiplicative chaos and the characteristic polynomial of the CUE: The $L^1$-phase. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 373(6), pp.3905-3965.

[46] Paquette, E. and Zeitouni, O., 2018. The maximum of the CUE field. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2018(16), pp.5028-5119.

[47] Rhodes, R. and Vargas, V., 2014. Gaussian multiplicative chaos and applications: a review. *Probability Surveys*, 11, pp.315-392.

[48] Simon, B., 2005. Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle. *American Mathematical Society*.

[49] Webb, C., 2015. The characteristic polynomial of a random unitary matrix and Gaussian multiplicative chaos - The $L^2$-phase. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 20.

[50] Widom, H., 1973. Toeplitz determinants with singular generating functions. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 95(2), pp.333-383.