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Abstract. In this paper, we prove an enhanced version of the Erdős-Lovász Tihany Conjecture for line graphs of multigraphs. That is, for every graph $G$ whose chromatic number $\chi(G)$ is more than its clique number $\omega(G)$ and for nonnegative integer $\ell$, any two integers $s, t \geq 3$ with $s + t = \chi(G) + 1$, there is a partition $(S, T)$ of the vertex set $V(G)$ such that $\chi(G[S]) \geq s$ and $\chi(G[T]) \geq t + \ell$. In particular, when $\ell = 1$, we can obtain the same result just for any $s, t \geq 4$. The Erdős-Lovász Tihany conjecture is a special case when $\ell = 0$.

1. Introduction

For a (multi)graph $G = (V, E)$, let clique number $\omega(G)$ be the size of largest clique in $G$ and chromatic number $\chi(G)$ be the smallest integer $k$ such that $V(G)$ can be partitioned into $k$ independent sets. For a subset $S \subseteq V(G)$, let $G[S]$ be the induced subgraph of $G$ by $S$. In 1968, Erdős and Lovász \cite{rudin} made the following famous conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1. (Erdős-Lovász Tihany Conjecture). For every graph $G$ with $\chi(G) > \omega(G)$ and any two integers $s, t \geq 2$ with $s + t = \chi(G) + 1$, there is a partition $(S, T)$ of the vertex set $V(G)$ such that $\chi(G[S]) \geq s$ and $\chi(G[T]) \geq t$.

The only settled cases of this conjecture are $(s, t) \in \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 3), (3, 4), (3, 5)\}$, see \cite{balogh, kahn, stiebitz, stiebitz2}. This conjecture is also known to be true for some special classes of graphs, such as line graphs of multigraphs (Kostochka and Stiebitz \cite{kostochka}), quasi-line graphs and graphs with independent number two (Balogh, Kostochka, Prince and Stiebitz \cite{balogh2}).

A connected graph $G$ is double-critical if $\chi(G) = t$ but $\chi(G \setminus \{x, y\}) = t - 2$ for every edge $xy \in E(G)$. The following well-known conjecture is the case of $s = 2$ of Conjecture 1.1.

Conjecture 1.2. (Double-Critical Graph Conjecture \cite{rudin}). For $t \geq 3$, the only double-critical $t$-chromatic graph is $K_t$. 
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From [7], Conjecture 1.2 holds when \( t \leq 5 \). For \( t \geq 6 \), Conjecture 1.2 remains wide open, and we even do not know if every double-critical \( t \)-chromatic graph contains \( K_4 \) as a subgraph.

As Conjecture 1.1 implies Conjecture 1.2 which seems hopeless to prove at this moment, we would like to study a version of Conjecture 1.1 that does not imply Conjecture 1.2. In other words, is Conjecture 1.1 true if \( s, t \geq 3 \)?

As a starting point, we consider line graphs. It turns out that Conjecture 1.1 can be greatly enhanced: when \( s, t \geq 3.5\ell + 2 \), we can find a clique of size \( s \) to delete in the line graph \( L(G) \) such that the chromatic number of the remaining graph is at least \( t + \ell \) (other than \( t \) in Conjecture 1.1).

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( s, t \) and \( \ell \) be arbitrary integers with \( 3.5\ell + 2 \leq s \leq t, \ell \geq 0 \). If the line graph \( L(G) \) of some multigraph \( G \) has chromatic number \( s + t - 1 > \omega(L(G)) \), then it contains a clique \( Q \) of size \( s \) such that \( \chi(L(G) - Q) \geq t + \ell \).

Note that when \( \ell = 0 \), Theorem 1.1 implies the result of Kostochka and Stiebitz [4]. When \( \ell = 1 \), the bounds on \( s, t \) can be made a little tighter.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( s \) and \( t \) be arbitrary integers with \( 4 \leq s \leq t \). If the line graph \( L(G) \) of some multigraph \( G \) has chromatic number \( s + t - 1 > \omega(L(G)) \), then it contains a clique \( Q \) of size \( s \) such that \( \chi(L(G) - Q) \geq t + 1 \).

In the next section, we prove the main results. In the final section, we have further discussion.

### 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

The case \( \ell = 0 \) is the Erdős-Lovász Tihany Conjecture for line graphs of multigraphs and has been proved by [4]. So in the following, we just consider that \( \ell > 0 \). Let \( s, t \geq 3.5\ell + 2 \) when \( \ell \geq 2 \) and \( s \geq 4 \) when \( \ell = 1 \). Suppose that \( G \) is a counterexample to the theorems with fewest vertices. Then \( G \) is connected.

For a vertex \( v \in V(G) \), let \( d(v) \) be the degree and \( N(v) \) be the set of neighbors of \( v \). Let \( \Delta(G) \) be the maximum degree of \( G \). Note that \( d(v) \geq |N(v)| \). Let \( E(v) = \{ e \in E(G) : e = uv \text{ for some } u \in N(v) \} \) and \( E(uv) = \{ e \in E(G) : \text{the endpoints of } e \text{ are } u \text{ and } v \} \). We denote \( |E(uv)| = m(uv) \) and \( |E(v)| = m(v) = d(v) \). For \( S_v \subseteq E(v) \), let \( V_{S_v} = \{ u \in N(v) : E(uv) \cap S_v \neq \emptyset \} \).

A triangle in \( G \) consists of three mutually adjacent vertices. The maximum number of edges between vertices in triangles in \( G \) will be denoted by \( \tau(G) \). Let \( \omega'(G) = \max\{ \tau(G), \Delta(G) \} \). Then \( \omega'(G) = \omega(L(G)) \). Note that \( |E(G)| \geq \chi'(G) = \chi(L(G)) = s + t - 1 \geq 7\ell + 1 \). By Shannon’s theorem [9], \( \left\lfloor \frac{3\Delta(G)}{2} \right\rfloor \geq \chi'(G) = s + t - 1 \geq 2s - 1 \). So \( s \leq \Delta(G) \).

For all vertices with degree \( \Delta(G) \), we choose \( v \) such that \( |N(v)| \) is as large as possible. Let \( N(v) = \{ v_1, \ldots, v_d \} \). We also assume that \( m(vv_1) \geq m(vv_2) \geq \ldots \geq m(vv_d) \).

Next, we pick \( S_v \subseteq E(v) \) with \( s \) edges according to the following rules. We pick one edge for \( S_v \) successively from each edge set of \( E(vv_1), \ldots, E(vv_d) \) and delete the selected edges. We repeat the above step in the remaining graph. If we cannot pick edges in \( E(vv_i) \) for some \( i \in [d] \), then let us start a new cycle to pick edges from \( E(vv_1) \) until we have selected \( s \) edges. Let \( S_v \cap E(vv_i) = S(vv_i), |S(vv_i)| = s(vv_i) \). Thus, we have that \( s(vv_1) \geq s(vv_2) \). . . \( s(vv_d) \geq 1 \), and furthermore, we have the following useful fact:

For each \( 1 \leq i < j \leq d - 1 \), if \( s(vv_i) - s(vv_j) \geq 2 \), then \( s(vv_j) = m(vv_j) \).
We shall consider edge-coloring of \( G \), which is equivalent to the vertex-coloring of \( L(G) \). Since \( G \) is a counterexample and \( S_v \) forms a clique in \( L(G) \), we have \( \chi'(G-S_v) \leq t+\ell-1 \). Let \( G' = G-S_v \), and let \( \varphi : E(G') \to \{1, \ldots, t+\ell-1\} \) be a proper \((t+\ell-1)\)-edge-colouring of \( G' \). For vertex \( x \in V(G) \), let
\[
\varphi(x) = \{ \varphi(e) : e \in E(G') \cap E(x) \} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\varphi}(x) = \{1, \ldots, t+\ell-1\} \setminus \varphi(x).
\]

Since \( s + t - 1 = \chi'(G) > \omega'(G) \geq \Delta(G) \geq d(v) \) and all \( s \) edges of \( S_v \) are incident with \( x \),
\[
(1) \quad |\overline{\varphi}(v)| \geq t + \ell - 1 - (d(v) - s) \geq t + \ell - 1 - (s + t - 1 - s) = \ell + 1.
\]

We denote \( \overline{\varphi}(v) = \{c_1, \ldots, c_{\ell+1}\} \). Let \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{s-\ell-1} \) be colors different from \([t+\ell-1]\), which together with \([t+\ell-1]\) gives \( s+t-2 \) colors. We can always choose a set of \( s-\ell-1 \) edges \( T_0 \subseteq S_v \) and color them with \( \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{s-\ell-1}\} \). We will specify how to choose \( T_0 \), but once chosen, we let \( T_0 = \{e_{\alpha_1}, e_{\alpha_2}, \ldots, e_{\alpha_{s-\ell-1}}\} \) such that \( \phi(e_{\alpha_i}) = \alpha_i \). Let \( S_0 = S_v - T_0 = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{\ell+1}\} \) be the set of remaining uncolored edges in \( S_v \).

**Claim 2.1.** \(|N(v)| \leq \ell + 2\).

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that \(|N(v)| = d \geq \ell + 3\). By the choice of \( S_v \), \(|V_{S_v}| = d' = \min\{d, s\} \geq \ell + 3\). Let \( V_{S_v} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{d'}\} \). We show that \( G \) has a proper \((s+t-2)\)-edge-coloring.

For \( 1 \leq i \leq d' \), we color one edge in \( E(vv_i) \) with \( \alpha_i \) and denote the edge by \( e_{\alpha_i} \). Among all the uncolored edges in \( E(v) \), we choose edge set \( S_0 \) with \(|S_0| = \ell + 1\) such that \( V_{S_0} = \{v_i : vv_i \in S_0\} \) is maximized. Label the edges of \( S_0 \) as \( e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{\ell+1} \). Then we color the uncolored edges of \( S_v \setminus S_0 \) with \( \alpha_{d'+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s-\ell-1} \) arbitrarily and denote by \( e_{\alpha_i}, i \in \{d'+1, \ldots, s-\ell-1\} \).

We try to color \( e_i \in S_0 \) with \( c_i \in \overline{\varphi}(v) \). It is not possible only when \( c_i \) appears on an edge, say \( e_{i_1}^t \), incident with the endpoint of \( c_i \). We construct a bipartite graph \( T \) with parts \( S_0 = \{e_1, \ldots, e_{\ell+1}\} \) and \( T_0 = \{e_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, e_{\alpha_{s-\ell-1}}\} \). Let \( e_i e_{\alpha_j} \in E(T) \) if and only if there is no edge colored \( c_i \) that is incident with the endpoints of \( e_i \) and \( e_{\alpha_j} \). Since \( d_T(e_i) \geq d'-2 \geq \ell+1 = |S_0| \), \( T \) contains a matching saturating \( S_0 \) by Hall’s Theorem. Now, we can color \( e_i \) with \( c_i \) and recolor \( e_{i_1}^t \) with the color of the edge that is matched with \( e_i \). Therefore, \( G \) has an \((s+t-2)\)-edge-coloring, a contradiction. \( \square \)

**Claim 2.2.** \(|N(v)| \geq 3\).

**Proof.** Assume that \(|N(v)| \leq 2\). Then \( s(vv_1) \geq \frac{\ell}{2} \geq \ell + 1 \). Let \( S_0 \subseteq S(vv_1) \). Let \(|S(vv_2) \cap T_0| = \min\{s(vv_2), s-\ell-1\} \), and
\[
A(v_1 v_2) = \{\alpha : \alpha \notin \varphi(v) \text{ and } \alpha \text{ does not appear on the edges between } v_1 \text{ and } v_2\}.
\]

Then
\[
|A(v_1 v_2)| \geq (t + \ell - 1) - (d(v) - s) - m(v_1 v_2) = (t + s - 1) + \ell - (d(v) + m(v_1 v_2)) \\
\geq (t + s - 1) + \ell - \tau(G) \geq (t + s - 1) + \ell - (t + s - 2) = \ell + 1.
\]

Furthermore, as \( d(v) \geq d(v_1) \),
\[
(2) \quad m(vv_2) = d(v) - m(vv_1) \geq d(v_1) - (m(vv_1) + m(v_1 v_2)).
\]

If \( s(vv_2) \geq \ell + 1 \), then we can make \( \ell + 1 \) colors in \( A(v_1 v_2) \) available to use on uncolored edges in \( E(vv_1) \), by recoloring the edges at \( v_1 \) with colors on edges in \( S(vv_2) \). On the other hand, if
Claim 2.4. \(s(vv_2) < \ell + 1\), then we have

\[s(vv_1) - s(vv_2) = s - 2s(vv_2) \geq \begin{cases} 1.5\ell + 2 \geq 2, & \text{if } \ell \geq 2, \\ 4 - 2 = 2, & \text{if } \ell = 1. \end{cases} \]

It follows from the choice of \(S_0\) that \(m(vv_2) = s(vv_2)\). So for colors appearing at edges in \(E(v_1) - (E(vv_1) \cup E(vv_1))\), we may recolor them with distinct colors at \(s(vv_2)\) by \(\mathcal{Q}\). Therefore, we can always make \(\ell + 1\) colors available for the \(\ell + 1\) uncolored edges in \(E(vv_1)\), and obtain an \((s + t - 2)\)-coloring of \(E(G)\), a contradiction. \(\square\)

Claim 2.3. \(\ell \geq 2\).

Proof. Suppose that \(\ell = 1\). By Claims 2.1 and 2.2, \(|N(v)| = 3\), and from (1), \(|\mathcal{P}(v)| \geq 2\). Since \(s \geq 4\), we have that \(s(vv_1) \geq 2\). Choose \(S_0 \subseteq S(vv_1)\). For \(i = 1, 2\), we color one edge in \(S(vv_{i+1})\) with \(\alpha_i\) and denote \(e_{\alpha_i}\) the edge. Then we color the uncolored edges of \(S_0 - S_0\) with \(\alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_{s-2}\) arbitrarily and denote \(e_{\alpha_i}\) the edge of color \(\alpha_i\).

We try to color \(e_i \in S_0\) with \(c_i \in \mathcal{P}(v)\) such \(\varphi(e_i) = c_i\). It is not possible only when \(c_i\) appears on an edge, say \(e'_i\), incident with the endpoint of \(e_i\). We construct a bipartite graph \(T\) with parts \(S_0 = \{e_1, e_2\}\) and \(T_0 = \{e_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, e_{\alpha_{s-2}}\}\) such that \(e_i e_{\alpha_j} \in E(T)\) if and only if there is an edge colored \(c_i\) that is incident with the endpoints of \(e_i\) and \(e_{\alpha_j}\). Since \(d_T(e_i) \geq d - 2 = 1\), \(T\) contains a matching edge \(e_1 e_{\alpha_j}\). We also know that if there is a matching edge saturating \(e_i\), then we can color \(e_i\) with \(c_i\) and recolor \(e'_i\) with the color of the edge that is matched with \(e_i\). Therefore, \(e_2\) is not covered by a matching edge, that is, \(e_2\) is not adjacent to \(e_{\alpha_j}\) for every \(j \neq j_0\) in \(T\). So \(e_2, e'_2\) and \(T_0 - e_{\alpha_j}\) belong to the same triangle, say \(vv_1 vv_2\). It follows that \(s(vv_3) = 1\).

Let \(A(v_1 v_2) = \{\alpha : \alpha \notin \varphi(vv_1) \cup \varphi(vv_2) \cup \varphi(v_1 v_2)\}\), and \(r = |A(v_1 v_2)|\). Then

\[r \geq t - (m(vv_1) - s(vv_1)) - (m(vv_2) - s(vv_2)) - m(v_1 v_2) = t + s(vv_1) + s(vv_2) - m(vv_1) - m(vv_2))/m(v_1 v_2) \geq t + s(vv_1) + s(vv_2) - \tau(G) \geq t + s(vv_1) + s(vv_2) - (s + t - 2) = s(vv_1) + s(vv_2) - s + 2 = s - 1 - s + 2 = 1.\]

Let \(\alpha \in A(v_1 v_2)\). Consider the subgraph \(H_{e_2, \alpha}\) induced by edges colored with \(c_2\) and \(\alpha\). The components are path and even cycles, and a component, say \(P\), contains \(v_1\). If \(P\) contains \(v_3\), then \(\varphi(v_3) = \alpha\) and there is an edge \(e^*\) incident with \(v_3\) colored with \(c_2\). Thus, we can use \(e_1\) to recolor \(e^*\), and interchange the colors \(c_2\) and \(\alpha\) on \(P\). If \(P\) does not contain \(v_3\), then we interchange the colors \(c_2\) and \(\alpha\) on \(P\) directly. So the edge incident with \(v_1\) is colored by \(c_2\) can be recolored with \(\alpha_3\). Thus, \(c_2\) can be colored with \(c_2\). Thus we obtain an \((s + t - 2)\)-coloring of \(E(G)\), a contradiction. \(\square\)

Theorem 1.2 has been proved following Claim 2.3. We assume that \(|N(v)| \geq 3\) and \(\ell \geq 2\).

Claim 2.4. \(s(vv_1) \leq \ell\).

Proof. Assume that \(s(vv_1) \geq \ell + 1\). Let \(S_0 \subseteq S(vv_1)\). For \(1 \leq i \leq d - 1\), we pick one edge in \(E(vv_{i+1})\) and color it with \(\alpha_i\), and denote \(e_{\alpha_i}\) this edge. Then we color the uncolored edges of \(S_0 - S_0\) with \(\alpha_d, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell-1}\) arbitrarily and denote \(e_{\alpha_i}\) the edge of color \(\alpha_i\).

We try to color \(S_0\) with \(\{c_1, \ldots, c_{\ell+1}\} \in \mathcal{P}(v)\). For each \(i\), if \(c_i\) is available at \(v_1\), then we color one edge in \(S_0\) with \(c_i\). Assume that \(k\) colors \(c_{p+1}, \ldots, c_{\ell+1}\) have been used on edges \(e_{p+i}, \ldots, e_{\ell+1}\) for \(p = \ell + 1 - k\), respectively. It follows that \(d(v_1) \geq m(vv_1) + p\).
For $1 \leq i \leq p$, we try to use $c_i$ to color $e_i$. As $e_i$ cannot be colored with $c_i$, the color $c_i$ appears on an edge, say $e'_i$, that is adjacent to $e_i$. We construct a bipartite graph $T$ with part $S_0' = \{e_1, \ldots, e_p\}$ and $T_0' = \{e_{a_1}, \ldots, e_{a_{s-1}}\}$ such that $e_i e_{a_j} \in E(T)$ if and only if no edge colored $c_i$ is between the endpoints of $e_i$ and $e_{a_j}$.

Let $(S_0'', T_0'')$ be the bipartite graph obtained from $T$ by deleting the endpoints of a maximum matching of $(S_0', T_0')$. Let $S_0'' = \{e_1, \ldots, e_q\} \subseteq S_0'$ and $T_0'' = \{e_{a_1}, \ldots, e_{a_q}\} \subseteq T_0'$, where $q' = s - 2\ell + q + k - 2$. Then there are no matching edges between $S_0''$ and $T_0''$. It follows that the edge colored $c_i$ is between $v_1$ and the endpoint of every edge in $S_0''$ for $i \in [q]$. That is, there is a vertex $v_j$ for $j \in \{2, \ldots, d\}$ such that all colors of $\{c_1, \ldots, c_q\}$ appear on the edges between $v_1$ and $v_j$.

Let $\ell' = \sum_{i \neq 1, j} s(vv_i)$. Then $\ell' = p - q$ due to the choice of $(S_0'', T_0'')$. It follows that

$$p - q = \ell' = \sum_{i \neq 1, j} s(vv_i) = (s - \ell - 1) - (s(vv_1) - \ell - 1) - s(vv_j).$$

As $c_1$ is an edge between $v_1$ and $v_j$, the neighbors of $e_1$ in $T_0'$ are $\cup_{i \neq 1, j} S(vv_i)$. Since $e_1$ cannot be matched in $T_0'$, $c_1$ has at most $\ell$ neighbors in $T_0'$. Therefore

$$\ell \geq d_{T_0'}(e_1) = \sum_{i \neq 1, j} s(vv_i) = (s - \ell - 1) - (s(vv_1) - \ell - 1) - s(vv_j) = \ell' = p - q.$$

As $s \geq 3.5\ell + 2$, $s(vv_1) + s(vv_j) = s - \ell' \geq s - \ell \geq 2.5\ell + 2$. Since $s(vv_1) \geq s(vv_j)$, we have $s(vv_1) \geq \ell + 2 \geq \ell' + 2$. From the way how $S_0$ is chosen, all the edges in $\cup_{i \neq 1, j} E(vv_i)$ are in $S_0$. So $s(vv_i) = m(vv_i)$ for each $i \neq 1, j$. That is,

$$\sum_{i \neq 1, j} m(vv_i) = \ell'.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} m(vv_i) = m(vv_1) + m(vv_j) + \ell' = d(v) = \Delta(G) \geq d(v_1) \geq m(vv_1) + p.$$

Then we have $m(vv_j) \geq p - \ell' = q$. Note that if $s(vv_1) \geq s(vv_j) + 2$, then $s(vv_j) = m(vv_j) \geq q$ by the choice of $S_0$, and if $s(vv_1) \leq s(vv_j) + 1$, then $s(vv_j) \geq s(vv_1) - 1 \geq \ell + 1 = p + k \geq q$. Therefore, we always have $s(vv_j) \geq q$. We may assume that $\alpha'_1, \ldots, \alpha'_q$ are colored appeared in some edges in $S(vv_j)$.

Let $A(v_1 v_j)$ be the set of colors that do not appear at edges in $E(v) \cup E(v_1 v_j)$. Let $r = |A(v_1 v_j)|$. For each $\alpha \in A(v_1 v_j)$ and $i \in [q]$, the subgraph $H_{c_i, \alpha}$ formed by edges colored with $c_i$ or $\alpha$ contains a component $P$ with $v_1 \in P$. Clearly, $P$ is a path or an even cycle. Interchange the colors $c_i$ and $\alpha$ on $P$, and then recolor the edge at $v_1$ of color $c_i$ with $\alpha_i$, for some $i \in [q']$, we can now color $c_i$ with $\alpha_i$. Since $s(vv_j) \geq q$, we can follow this procedure to color every $e_i$ with $c_i$ for $i \in [q]$ as long as $r \geq q$. Thus, we may assume that $r < q$. From $r \geq |\overline{\overline{S}}(v)| - k - m(v_1 v_j)$, we have

$$m(v_1 v_j) \geq |\overline{\overline{S}}(v)| - k - r \geq t + \ell - 1 - (d(v) - s) - k - r.$$

From $\ell + 1 = p + k = q + \ell' + k > r + \ell' + k$, we have

$$\tau(G) = m(vv_1) + m(vv_j) + m(v_1 v_j) \geq d(v) - \ell' + m(v_1 v_j)
\geq d(v) - \ell' + t + s - 1 + \ell - k - r - d(v) = t + s - 1 + \ell - \ell' - k - r > t + s - 1,$$
a contradiction.

For each partition of $S_v$ into $S_0$ and $T_0$ with $|S_0| = \ell + 1$, we construct a bipartite graph $T$ with part $S_0 = \{e_1, \ldots, e_{\ell+1}\}$ and $T_0 = \{e_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, e_{\alpha_{\ell-1}}\}$ such that $e_ie_{\alpha_j} \in E(T)$ if and only if no edge colored with $c_i$ is incident with the endpoints of $e_i$ and $e_{\alpha_j}$. Thus, if we can find a matching in $T$ that saturates $S_0$, then we can color $c_i$ with $c_j$ and recolor the edge at endpoints of $e_i$ that has color $c_i$ with the color of the edge that is matched with $e_i$ in $T$, which would yield an $(s + t - 2)$-coloring of $E(G)$, a contradiction. Therefore, we may assume that no matter how to select $S_0$ from $S_v$, there is no matching saturating $S_0$ in the bipartite graph $T$. From Hall’s Theorem, $d_T(e_i) < \ell + 1$. Since for each $i \in [\ell + 1],$

$$d_T(e_i) \geq |S_v| - |S_0| - \max\{|T_0 \cap S(vv_i)| + |T_0 \cap S(vv_j)| : 1 \leq i < j \leq d\},$$

and by Hall’s Theorem, $d_T(e_i) < \ell + 1$ for some $i \in [\ell + 1]$, we know that for each partition of $S_v$,

$$\max\{|T_0 \cap S(vv_i)| + |T_0 \cap S(vv_j)| : 1 \leq i < j \leq d\} \geq |S_v| - |S_0| - \ell = s - 2\ell - 1.$$

We now show that we can always find a partition of $S_v$ to fail (3). Here is how we choose $S_0$: If $s(vv_1) = \ell$, then let $S_0 = S(vv_1)$. Otherwise, there is an integer $i_0 > 1$ such that

$$\ell \leq \sum_{i=1}^{i_0} s(vv_i) \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} s(vv_i) \leq \ell - 1.$$

By the choice of $S_v, \sum_{i=1}^{i_0} s(vv_i) < 2\ell$. Thus, we can choose $S_0$ of size $\ell$ so that $|S_0 \cap S(vv_i)| \geq \left\lfloor \frac{s(vv_i)}{2} \right\rfloor$ for $i \in [i_0]$ and $S_0 \cap S(vv_i) = \emptyset$ for $i \in \{i_0 + 1, \ldots, d\}$.

Since $s \geq 3.5\ell + 2$ and $s(vv_1) \leq \ell$ by Claim 2.2, $d = |N(v)| \geq 4$ and $|S_v \cap E(vv_i)| \geq 1$ for at least four vertices $v_i \in N(v)$. Clearly, for $1 \leq i < j \leq d$,

$$|T_0 \cap S(vv_i)| + |T_0 \cap S(vv_j)| \leq 0.5\ell + \ell = 1.5\ell < 1.5\ell + 1 \leq s - 2\ell - 1,$$

a contradiction to (3).

3. Final Remarks

An enhanced version of the Erdős-Lovász Tihany Conjecture would be the following. For each integer $\ell$, there exists an integer $f(\ell)$, such that for every graph $G$ with $\chi(G) > \omega(G)$ and any two integers $s, t \geq f(\ell)$ with $s + t = \chi(G) + 1$, there is a partition $(S, T)$ of the vertex set $V(G)$ such that $\chi(G[S]) \geq s$ and $\chi(G[T]) \geq t + \ell$. In Theorem 1.1, we obtained $f(\ell) \leq 3.5\ell + 2$ for line graphs of multigraphs when $\ell \geq 0$. One immediate question is to determine $f(\ell)$ for line graphs. It is also interesting to know if $f(\ell)$ exists for other classes of graphs.
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