A NEW APPROACH TO PARTIAL POSITIVITY, A GENERALIZATION OF THE PRÉKOPA-BERNDTSSON THEOREM AND RC-POSITIVITY OF DIRECT IMAGE BUNDLES
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Abstract. In this article, using a twisted version of Hörmander’s $L^2$-estimate, we give new characterizations of notions of partial positivity, which are uniform $q$-positivity and RC-positivity. As an application of these results, we study a generalization of the Prékopa-Berndtsson theorem. Moreover, we show the RC-positivity of some sort of direct image bundle. We also discuss the definition of uniform $q$-positivity for singular Hermitian metrics.

1. Introduction

The Griffiths conjecture is one of the most important and long-standing problem in algebraic and complex geometry, which was proposed by Griffiths [Gri69]. This conjecture asserts that every ample vector bundle $E$ over a compact complex manifold $X$ has a Griffiths positive Hermitian metric. In the case that dim $X = 1$, this conjecture was proved in [CF90] and [Ume73]. However, in general, it is still open.

In relation to Griffiths’ conjecture, Yang proposed another problem. In the paper [Yan18], Yang introduced a concept of RC-positivity (cf. Definition 2.3), which is a higher-rank analogue of $(\dim X - 1)$-positivity, and proposed the following conjecture with the above notation.

**Conjecture 1.1.** ([Yan18, Question 7.11]) Assume that $E$ is weakly RC-positive, that is, the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_E(1)$ over the projectivized bundle $\mathbb{P}(E^*)$ is $(\dim X - 1)$-positive, or equivalently, uniformly $(\dim X - 1)$-positive (cf. Proposition 2.4, Definition 2.1 and 2.3). Then $E$ is RC-positive.

It is known that $E$ is weakly RC-positive if $E$ is RC-positive (cf. [Yan18, Proposition 4.1]). We can see that this conjecture is a version of the Griffiths conjecture. In fact, if dim $X = 1$, Yang’s conjecture is equivalent to the Griffiths conjecture.

On the other hand, the positivity properties of the direct images of twisted relative canonical bundle has been widely investigated by many people. The research in this field has produced many important results and applications. Since we only focus on complex analytic methods in this article, we refer to a few of them: [Ber09], [BP08], [CP17], [DNWZ20], 
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While there are various settings, one key philosophy is as follows. Let us consider a proper holomorphic submersion $f: X \to Y$ of complex manifolds with connected fibers, which is projective or Kähler. Consider also a line bundle $L \to X$ over $X$. Then if $L$ is positive in some sense, the direct image sheaf $f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L)$ is also positive in a suitable sense. In this context, we show the RC-positivity of a certain kind of direct image bundle in some local situation (= Theorem 1.6).

Before explaining the main result, we give a new characterization of partial positivity, which is called uniform $q$-positivity (cf. Definition 2.1) via Hörmander’s $L^2$-estimate. The statement is the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $D$ be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n_z$, $\omega = \sqrt{-1} \partial \overline{\partial} |z|^2$ be the standard Kähler metric on $D$, and $L \to D$ be a line bundle over $D$. For a smooth Hermitian metric $h$ on $L$ and a non-negative constant $c \geq 0$ on $D$, the following properties are equivalent for $1 \leq q \leq n$:

1. The summation of any distinct $q$ eigenvalues (counting multiplicity) of the Chern curvature $\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L,h)}$ of $(L,h)$ with respect to $\omega$ is greater than or equal to $c$.

2. For any smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function $\psi$ and any smooth $\overline{\partial}$-closed $L$-valued $(n,q)$-form $f$ with compact support, there exists $L$-valued $(n,q-1)$-form $u$ satisfying $\overline{\partial} u = f$ and

$$
\int_D |u|_{(\omega,h)}^2 e^{-\psi} dV_\omega \leq \int_D \langle ([\sqrt{-1} \partial \overline{\partial} \psi, \Lambda_\omega] + c)^{-1} f, f \rangle_{(\omega,h)} e^{-\psi} dV_\omega.
$$

The condition (2) in Theorem 1.2 allows us to add a weight $\psi$. Taking an arbitrary weight, we can estimate the curvature $\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L,h)}$. This type of condition was firstly introduced in [HI20], which was named as the twisted Hörmander condition. After that, in [DNW19] and [DNWZ20], Deng et al. generalized this notion and introduced the optimal $L^p$-estimate condition, which corresponded to the particular case of the twisted Hörmander condition when $p = 2$. These studies provide new characterizations of positivity based on the Hörmander-type condition, which was initially observed by Berndtsson in [Ber98]. Theorem 1.2 is a generalization for partial positivity of the result obtained by the authors in [DNW19].

As a higher-rank analogue, we also establish a characterization of RC-positivity. Using the following theorem, we show the RC-positivity of a certain kind of direct image bundle (= Theorem 1.6).

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $E \to D$ be a vector bundle over a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $\omega$ be the standard Kähler metric on $D$, $h$ be a smooth Hermitian metric on $E$, and $c \geq 0$ be some non-negative constant. Assume the following condition:
Let $\psi$ be a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function on $D$ and $f$ be a smooth $E$-valued $(n,n)$-form with compact support. Then there exists a solution of $\partial u = f$ satisfying
\[
\int_D |u|^2_{(\omega,h)} e^{-\psi} dV_\omega \leq \int_D \langle (|\sqrt{-1}\partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes Id_E, A_\omega | + c)^{-1} f, f \rangle_{(\omega,h)} e^{-\psi} dV_\omega.
\]

Then we obtain
\[
\text{tr}_\omega (\sqrt{-1}\Theta(E,h)a,a)_h(x) \geq c|a|^2_h(x)
\]
for any point $x \in D$ and any element $a \in E_x$. Especially, $(E,h)$ is RC-positive if $c > 0$ and RC-semi-positive if $c = 0$.

As an application of the characterization in Theorem 1.2, we generalize the Prékopa-Berndtsson theorem. In [Pré73], Prékopa proved the following theorem. If $\phi(t,x)$ is a convex function on $\mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^n_x$, then the function $\tilde{\phi}(t)$ on $\mathbb{R}^r$ defined by
\[
e^{-\tilde{\phi}(t)} = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\phi(t,x)} dx
\]
is convex.

Replacing a convex function by a plurisubharmonic function, we can consider a complex version of Prékopa’s theorem. However, it is known that the complex version of Prékopa’s theorem is not true without any additional assumptions [Kis78]. In [Ber98], Berndtsson proved the complex version of Prékopa’s theorem by assuming that the plurisubharmonic function satisfies some invariant properties. In this article, we call the following result the Prékopa-Berndtsson theorem.

**Theorem 1.4.** ([Ber98, Theorem 1.3]) Let $\varphi$ be a plurisubharmonic function on $U_z \times D_w \subset \mathbb{C}^n_z \times \mathbb{C}^m_w$, where $D_w$ is pseudoconvex. Assume that one of the following conditions holds:

1. $D$ is a connected Reinhardt domain and $\varphi(z,w_1,\cdots,w_m)$ is independent of $\arg(z_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$.
2. $D$ contains the origin and for any $z \in U$, $w \in D$, and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta w} \in D$ and $\varphi(z,e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta w}) = \varphi(z,w)$.

Then the function $\tilde{\varphi}$ defined on $U$ by
\[
e^{-\tilde{\varphi}(z)} := \int_{w \in D} e^{-\varphi(z,w)}
\]
is plurisubharmonic.

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is based on Hörmander’s $L^2$-estimates, and on a partial converse of these estimates in one variable. This research has been generalized in a variety of directions (cf. [Cor05], [DZZ14]). Taking an exhaustion of $D$ and approximating $\varphi$ by a decreasing sequence of smooth functions, we only need to consider the following situation:

- $U$ is bounded and $D$ is a bounded pseudoconvex domain.
- $\varphi \in C^\infty(U \times D)$. 

In this setting, which is the most essential case, we show the following theorem. The above assumptions ensure that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is also smooth and $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\varphi}$ can be naturally defined. As there is not much of a difference between (1) and (2) in Theorem 1.4, we only think the case (1).

**Theorem 1.5.** Let $U$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^n_z$ and $D$ be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^m_w$. Let $\varphi$ be a smooth function on $\overline{U_z \times D_w} \subset \mathbb{C}^n_z \times \mathbb{C}^m_w$. We set $\omega_0, \omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ be the standard Kähler metrics on $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n_z$, $D \subset \mathbb{C}^m_w$ and $U \times D \subset \mathbb{C}^n_z \times \mathbb{C}^m_w$, respectively. Assume that

1. $D$ is a connected Reinhardt domain and $\varphi(z, w_1, \cdots, w_m)$ is independent of $\arg(w_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$.
2. The summation of any distinct $q$ eigenvalues of $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\varphi}$ with respect to $\omega_2$ is greater than or equal to $c$, where $c \geq 0$ is a non-negative constant and $1 \leq q \leq n$.

We define the function $\tilde{\varphi}$ on $U$ by

$$e^{-\tilde{\varphi}(z)} := \int_{w \in D} e^{-\varphi(z, w)} d\omega_1(w).$$

Then the summation of any distinct $q$ eigenvalues of $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\varphi}$ with respect to $\omega_0$ is greater than or equal to $c$.

We immediately see that Theorem 1.4 follows if we take $q = 1$ and $c = 0$. Theorem 1.5 is a generalization for partial positivity of Theorem 1.4. This theorem gives a quantitative estimate of the eigenvalues of $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\varphi}$. See also Theorem 6.2 in the situation that $D$ is not bounded.

As an application of Theorem 1.3, we show the RC-positivity of a vector bundle whose fibers are weighted $L^2$-spaces of holomorphic sections. Let $U$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^n_z$ and $X$ be an $m$-dimensional compact Kähler manifold. We set $\omega_0$ be the standard Kähler metric on $U$ and $\omega$ be a Kähler metric on $X$. We consider a trivial holomorphic submersion $\pi : \mathcal{X} = U \times X \to U$ on $U$ whose fibers are equal to $X$. Let $p : \mathcal{X} \to X$ be the second projection map and $\tilde{\omega} := \pi^* \omega_0 + p^* \omega$. Suppose that $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{X}$ is a holomorphic line bundle over $\mathcal{X}$. Set

$$X_z := \pi^{-1}(z), \quad L_z := \mathcal{L}|_{X_z}, \quad E_z := H^0(X_z, K_{X_z} \otimes L_z)$$

for $z \in U$. In the following formulations, we always assume that every $E_z$ has the same dimension. In this situation, we consider

$$E := \bigcup_{z \in U} \{z\} \times E_z.$$

By the assumption, $E$ admits a structure of holomorphic vector bundles. It is known that $E$ is Nakano positive if $\mathcal{L}$ is smooth positive line bundle (for more general results, see [Ber09] or [LY14]). In the case that $\mathcal{L}$ has partial positivity, we can prove the RC-positivity of $E$.

**Theorem 1.6.** Assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is uniformly $(n - 1)$-positive with respect to $\tilde{\omega}$. Then $E$ is RC-positive.
The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce definitions of $q$-positivity, uniform $q$-positivity, and RC-positivity. We also explain the result of Hörmander’s $L^2$-estimate which we use in this article. In Section 3, we characterize uniform $q$-positivity by using the Hörmander $L^2$-estimate. We also show the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and 1.3. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.5. In Section 5, we discuss the RC-positivity of the vector bundle in Theorem 1.6 and propose a conjecture. In Section 6, we propose a definition of uniform $q$-positivity for singular Hermitian metrics and explain some further application.
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2. Preliminaries

Notation.

- $dV_\omega := \frac{\omega^n}{n!}$: the volume form determined by $\omega$.
- $C^{k}_{(p,q)}(X, E) := C^k(X, \Lambda^{p,q}T^*_X \otimes E)$ for $0 \leq k \leq +\infty$.
- $\mathcal{D}_{(p,q)}(X, E)$: the space of smooth sections of $\Lambda^{p,q}T^*_X \otimes E$ with compact support.
- $L^2_{(p,q)}(X, E; \omega, h)$: the space of $L^2$ sections of $\Lambda^{p,q}T^*_X \otimes E$ with respect to $\omega$ and $h$.
- $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{(\omega, h)} := \int_X \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{(\omega, h)} dV_\omega$.
- $\|\alpha\|^2_{(\omega, h)} := \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle_{(\omega, h)}$.
- $D'_{\psi}$: the adjoint operator of $D_{\psi}$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{(\omega, h)}$.
- $\overline{\partial}$: the adjoint operator of $\overline{\partial}$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{(\omega, h)}$.
- $\Delta_{\psi}^1 := D'_{\psi} D_{\psi} + D_{\psi} D'_{\psi}$, $\Delta_{\psi}^2 = \overline{\partial} \partial + \overline{\partial} \partial$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{(\omega, h)}$.
- $L_{\omega}$: the operator defined by $\omega \wedge \cdot$.
- $\Lambda_{\omega}$: the adjoint operator of $L_{\omega}$.
- $[\cdot, \cdot]$: the graded Lie bracket.
- $\mathbb{B}^n_r := \{(z_1, \cdots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2 < r^2\}$.

In [AG62], Andreotti and Grauert introduced partial positivity notions and studied partially vanishing cohomology. Here, we introduce the notions of $q$-positivity and uniform $q$-positivity for smooth Hermitian metrics on line bundles.

Definition 2.1. (cf. [AG62], Yan19 Definition 2.1]) Let $L \to X$ be a holomorphic line bundle over a complex manifold $X$ with $\dim X = n$. Let $h$ be a smooth Hermitian metric on $L$. We say that

1. $(L, h)$ is $q$-(semi-)positive if the Chern curvature $\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L, h)}$ has at least $(n-q)$ (semi-)positive eigenvalues at any point on $X$. We also say that $L$ is $q$-(semi-)positive if there exists a smooth Hermitian metric $h$ on $L$ such that $(L, h)$ is $q$-(semi-)positive.
(2) \((L, h)\) is uniformly \(q\)-(semi)-positive if there exists a smooth Hermitian metric \(\omega\) such that the summation of any distinct \((q + 1)\) eigenvalues of the Chern curvature \(\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{(L, h)}\) with respect to \(\omega\) is (semi-)positive at any point on \(X\). We also say that \(L\) is uniformly \(q\)-(semi)-positive if there exist a smooth Hermitian metric \(h\) on \(L\) and a smooth Hermitian metric \(\omega\) such that \((L, h)\) is uniformly \(q\)-(semi)-positive with respect to \(\omega\).

A simple computation yields that uniform \(q\)-(semi-)positivity implies \(q\)-(semi-)positivity. Note that usual (semi-)positivity corresponds to 0-(semi-)positivity. Conversely, it is known that the above two positivity notions are equivalent over a compact complex manifold.

**Proposition 2.2.** ([Yan19, Proposition 2.2]) Let \(X\) be a compact complex manifold and \(L\) be a \(q\)-positive line bundle. Then \(L\) is a uniformly \(q\)-positive line bundle.

Next, we also give definitions of RC-positivity and weak RC-positivity, which were introduced by Yang in [Yan18].

**Definition 2.3.** ([Yan18, Definition 3.3]) A Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle \((E, h)\) over a complex manifold \(X\) is called RC-positive (resp. RC-negative) if at any point \(x \in X\) and for any non-zero element \(a \in E_x\), there exists a vector \(v \in T_xX\) such that

\[ (\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{(E, h)}(v, v)a, a)_h > 0 \] (resp. < 0).

We also call \((E, h)\) weakly RC-positive if there exists a smooth Hermitian metric \(h\) on the tautological line bundle \(\mathcal{O}_E(1)\) over \(\mathbb{P}(E^*)\) such that \((\mathcal{O}_E(1), h)\) is \((\dim X - 1)\)-positive.

Note that Griffiths positivity implies RC-positivity. Moreover, if \(\dim X = 1\), RC-positivity is equivalent to Griffiths positivity. If \(\text{rank} E = 1\), RC-positivity is the same concept as \((\dim X - 1)\)-positivity.

Finally, we mention the following result, which was initially obtained by Hörmander [Hör65]. Hörmander’s \(L^2\)-estimate is fundamental and important in several complex variables. In our paper, we use this \(L^2\)-estimate to characterize several notions of partial positivity. Here, we adopt the following form.

**Theorem 2.4.** (cf. [Dem82], [Dem, Theorem (5.1)] and [Dem-book, Theorem 6.1 in Chapter VIII]) Let \((X, \omega)\) be a complete Kähler manifold, \(\omega\) be another Kähler metric which is not necessarily complete, and \((E, h) \to X\) be a holomorphic line bundle. We also let \(A_{(\omega, h)} = [\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{(E, h)}, A_\omega]\) be the curvature operator in bidegree \((n, q)\) for \(q \geq 1\). Assume that \(A_{(\omega, h)}\) is positive definite everywhere on \(\wedge^n \mathcal{O}^* X \otimes E\). Then for any \(\overline{\partial}\)-closed \(f \in L^2_{(n, q)}(X, E; \omega, h)\), there exists \(u \in L^2_{(n, q - 1)}(X, E; \omega, h)\) such that \(\overline{\partial} u = f\) and

\[ \int_X |u|^2_{(\omega, h)} dV_\omega \leq \int_X \langle A_{(\omega, h)}^{-1} f, f \rangle_{(\omega, h)} dV_\omega, \]

where we assume that the right-hand side is finite.
3. A characterization of partial positivity via $L^2$-estimates

3.1. **Uniform $q$-positivity.** In this subsection, we discuss a characterization of uniform $q$-positivity in terms of $L^2$-estimates. Before proving Theorem 1.2, we prepare the following lemma. The proof is a simple computation.

**Lemma 3.1.** (cf. [Dem] (4.10)] and [Dem-book] Proposition (5.8) in Chapter VI) Let the notation be the same as in Theorem 1.2. We also let $f$ be any $\overline{\partial}$-closed $L$-valued $(n, q)$-form. At a fixed point $p \in X$, we take a coordinate $(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ around $p$ such that

$$\omega = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j, \quad \sqrt{-1} \Theta(L, h) = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_j dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j.$$ 

We write

$$f = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_q \leq n} f_{i_1 \cdots i_q} dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}_{i_q} \otimes e_L$$

for a local holomorphic frame $e_L$ of $L$ around $p$. Then we get

$$[\sqrt{-1} \Theta(L, h), \Lambda_\omega] f = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_q \leq n} (\sum_{k=1}^{q} \gamma_{i_k}) f_{i_1 \cdots i_q} dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}_{i_q} \otimes e_L.$$ 

Then we give a proof of Theorem 1.2. The idea for the proof is based on the arguments in [DNW19, Theorem 2.1] and [DNWZ20, Theorem 3.1].

**Proof of Theorem 1.2** (1) $\implies$ (2). We have

$$[\sqrt{-1} \Theta(L, h), \Lambda_\omega] = [\sqrt{-1} \Theta(L, h), \Lambda_\omega] + [\sqrt{-1} \partial \overline{\partial} \psi, \Lambda_\omega]$$

for any smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function $\psi$. We fix a smooth $\overline{\partial}$-closed $L$-valued $(n, q)$-form $f$ with compact support. The assumption of (1) and Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$\langle [\sqrt{-1} \Theta(L, h), \Lambda_\omega] f, f \rangle_{(\omega, h)} \geq c |f|_{(\omega, h)}^2.$$ 

The curvature operator $[\sqrt{-1} \Theta(L, h), \Lambda_\omega]$ is positive definite on $\Lambda_{n,q} T^* D \otimes L$ everywhere. Therefore, by using Theorem 2.1, we can solve the $\overline{\partial}$-equation $\overline{\partial} u = f$ as follows

$$\int_{D} |u|^2_{(\omega, h)} e^{-\psi} dV_{\omega} \leq \int_{D} \langle [\sqrt{-1} \Theta(L, h, e^{-\psi}), \Lambda_\omega]^{-1} f, f \rangle_{(\omega, h)} e^{-\psi} dV_{\omega}$$

$$\leq \int_{D} \langle [\sqrt{-1} \partial \overline{\partial} \psi, \Lambda_\omega] + c \rangle^{-1} f, f \rangle_{(\omega, h)} e^{-\psi} dV_{\omega} < +\infty$$

for some $u \in L^2_{(n,q-1)}(D, L; \omega, he^{-\psi})$.

(2) $\implies$ (1). For any smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function $\psi$ and any $\overline{\partial}$-closed $f \in \mathcal{D}_{(n,q)}(D, L)$, we get a solution $u \in L^2_{(n,q-1)}(D, L; he^{-\psi})$ of $\overline{\partial} u = f$ satisfying

$$\|u\|_{(\omega, \psi, he^{-\psi})}^2 \leq \langle \langle [\sqrt{-1} \partial \overline{\partial} \psi, \Lambda_\omega] + c \rangle^{-1} f, f \rangle_{(\omega, \psi, he^{-\psi})}.$$
Set \( g := ([\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi], \Lambda_\omega] + c)^{-1} f \). We obtain
\[
|\langle \langle g, f \rangle \rangle_{(\omega,he-\psi)}|^2 = |\langle \langle g, \bar{\partial} u \rangle \rangle_{(\omega,he-\psi)}|^2
\leq |\langle \langle \bar{\partial}^* g, u \rangle \rangle_{(\omega,he-\psi)}|^2
\leq ||\bar{\partial}^* g||^2_{(\omega,he-\psi)} ||u||^2_{(\omega,he-\psi)}
\leq ||\bar{\partial}^* g||^2_{(\omega,he-\psi)} |\langle \langle g, f \rangle \rangle_{(\omega,he-\psi)}|.
\]

Using the Bochner-Kodaira-Nakano identity \( \Delta''_\psi = \Delta'_{\psi} + [\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L,he-\psi)}, \Lambda_\omega] \) (cf. [Dem, (4.6)]), we have
\[
||\bar{\partial}^* g||^2_{(\omega,he-\psi)} = |\langle \langle \Delta''_{\psi} - \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial}, g \rangle \rangle_{(\omega,he-\psi)}
= |\langle \langle \Delta'_{\psi} g, g \rangle \rangle_{(\omega,he-\psi)} + \langle \langle [\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L,he-\psi)}, \Lambda_\omega] g, g \rangle \rangle_{(\omega,he-\psi)} - ||\bar{\partial} g||^2_{(\omega,he-\psi)}
\leq ||D^*_{\psi} g||^2_{(\omega,he-\psi)} + \langle \langle [\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L,he-\psi)}, \Lambda_\omega] g, g \rangle \rangle_{(\omega,he-\psi)} + \langle \langle [\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi, \Lambda_\omega] g, g \rangle \rangle_{(\omega,he-\psi)}.
\]

Therefore, we get
\[
\langle \langle g, ([\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi, \Lambda_\omega] + c)g \rangle \rangle_{(\omega,he-\psi)}
\leq ||D^*_{\psi} g||^2_{(\omega,he-\psi)} + \langle \langle [\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L,he-\psi)}, \Lambda_\omega] g, g \rangle \rangle_{(\omega,he-\psi)} + \langle \langle [\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi, \Lambda_\omega] g, g \rangle \rangle_{(\omega,he-\psi)},
\]
that is,
\[
(3.1) \quad 0 \leq ||D^*_{\psi} g||^2_{(\omega,he-\psi)} + \langle \langle [\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L,he-\psi)}, \Lambda_\omega] - c) g, g \rangle \rangle_{(\omega,he-\psi)}.
\]

We give a proof by contradiction. In other words, we suppose that the summation of some distinct \( q \) eigenvalues of \( \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L,h)} \) with respect to \( \omega \) is less than \( c \) at some point \( a \in D \). We can assume that \( o = a \in D \), where \( o \) is the origin of \( \mathbb{C}^n \). Let \( \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \) be the eigenvalues of \( \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L,h)} \) with respect to \( \omega \), which are globally defined on \( D \). Changing the coordinate by some unitary transformation, we take a coordinate \((z_1, \cdots, z_n)\) centered at \( o \) such that
\[
\omega = \sqrt{-1} \sum d z_j \wedge d \bar{z}_j,\]
on \( D \) and
\[
\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L,h)} = \sqrt{-1} \sum \gamma_j d z_j \wedge d \bar{z}_j
\]
at \( o \). Without any loss of generality, we suppose that
\[
\gamma_1(o) + \cdots + \gamma_q(o) - c < 0.
\]

We fix an open neighborhood \( U \) of \( o \) and a local holomorphic frame \( e_L \) of \( L \) on \( U \). We define
\[
F := dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}_q \otimes e_L \in C^\infty_{(n,q)}(U, L).
\]
Then we have
\[
\langle ([\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L,h)}, \Lambda_\omega] - c) F, F \rangle_{(\omega,h)}(o) = \langle (\sum_{j=1}^q \gamma_j - c) F, F \rangle_{(\omega,h)}(o)
\]
\[
= \langle (\sum_{j=1}^q \gamma_j(o) - c) |e_L|^2 \rangle_{h} < 0.
\]

We take a positive constant \( \delta > 0 \) such that
\[
\langle ([\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L,h)}, \Lambda_\omega] - c) F, F \rangle_{(\omega,h)}(o) = \langle (\sum_{j=1}^q \gamma_j(o) - c) |e_L|^2 \rangle_{h} = -2\delta.
\]
Since \( \langle ([\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L,h)}, \Lambda_\omega] - c) F, F \rangle_{(\omega,h)} \) has continuous coefficients, we take a sufficiently small \( r \in (0, +\infty) \) such that \( \mathbb{B}_r \subset U \subseteq D \) and
\[
\langle ([\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L,h)}, \Lambda_\omega] - c) F, F \rangle_{(\omega,h)} < -\delta
\]
on \( \mathbb{B}_r^n \).

We take a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function \( \psi(z) = |z|^2 - \frac{z^2}{4} \) on \( D \). Let \( \chi \) be a cut-off function on \( \mathbb{B}_r^n \) such that \( \chi \) is smooth, \( 0 \leq \chi \leq 1 \), \( \text{supp} \chi \subset \mathbb{B}_r^n \) and \( \chi |_{\mathbb{B}_{r/2}^n} \equiv 1 \). We set
\[
\chi := (-1)^{n+q-1} \chi q d z_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d z_n \wedge d \bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d \bar{z}_{q-1} \otimes e_L \text{ and } g := \overline{\partial} \chi. \text{ Then } g \text{ is a } \partial \text{-closed } L\text{-valued } (n, q)\text{-form with compact support and}
\]
\[
g = dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d \bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d \bar{z}_q \otimes e_L
\]
on \( \mathbb{B}_{r/2}^n \). We remark that \( \sqrt{-1} \partial \overline{\partial} (m \psi), \Lambda_\omega \rangle f = m q f \) for \( f \in \wedge^{n,q} T_D^* \otimes L \). We define
\[
f_m := \langle (\sqrt{-1} \partial \overline{\partial} (m \psi), \Lambda_\omega \rangle + c) g = (mq + c) g. \text{ It clearly holds that } f_m \text{ is an also } \partial \text{-closed } L\text{-valued } (n, q)\text{-form with compact support. Then } g \text{ satisfies the inequality (3.1) for every } m \psi. \text{ Considering the commutation relation } \sqrt{-1} \partial \Lambda_\omega, \overline{\partial} = D_{m \psi}^\ast \text{ (cf. [Dem-book (1.1) in Chapter VII]), we have that}
\]
\[
D_{m \psi}^\ast g = 0
\]
on \( \mathbb{B}_{r/2}^n \) since \( \omega \) is the standard Kähler metric and \( g \) has constant coefficients on \( \mathbb{B}_{r/2}^n \), and
\[
|D_{m \psi}^\ast g|_{(\omega,h)}^2 \leq C_1
\]
for some positive constant \( C_1 > 0 \) which is independent of \( m \) and \( \psi \) on \( \mathbb{B}_r^n \).

Since \( g = F \) on \( \mathbb{B}_{r/2}^n \), we know that \( \langle ([\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L,h)}, \Lambda_\omega] - c) g, g \rangle_{(\omega,h)} < -\delta \) on \( \mathbb{B}_{r/2}^n \) and
\[
\langle ([\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L,h)}, \Lambda_\omega] - c) g, g \rangle_{(\omega,h)} \leq C_2 \text{ for some positive constant } C_2 > 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{B}_r^n. \text{ Consequently,}
\]
we can compute the right-hand side of (3.1) for \( g \) and \( m \psi \) as follows:

\[
0 \leq \int_D |D_{m \psi}^* g|_{(\omega, h)}^2 e^{-m \psi} dV_\omega + \int_D \langle \langle [\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L, h)}, \Lambda], c \rangle g, g \rangle_{(\omega, h)} e^{-m \psi} dV_\omega \\
= \int_{\mathbb{B}_r^n | \mathbb{B}_{r/2}^n} |D_{m \psi}^* g|_{(\omega, h)}^2 e^{-m \psi} dV_\omega + \int_{\mathbb{B}_r^n | \mathbb{B}_{r/2}^n} \langle \langle [\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L, h)}, \Lambda], c \rangle g, g \rangle_{(\omega, h)} e^{-m \psi} dV_\omega \\
+ \int_{\mathbb{B}_r^n | \mathbb{B}_{r/2}^n} \langle \langle [\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(L, h)}, \Lambda], c \rangle g, g \rangle_{(\omega, h)} e^{-m \psi} dV_\omega \\
\leq (C_1 + C_2) \int_{\mathbb{B}_r^n | \mathbb{B}_{r/2}^n} e^{-m \psi} dV_\omega - \delta \int_{\mathbb{B}_{r/2}^n} e^{-m \psi} dV_\omega.
\]

Since \( \psi > 0 \) on \( \mathbb{B}_r^n \setminus \mathbb{B}_{r/2}^n \), the first term goes to zero as \( m \to +\infty \) by Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem. The second term has a negative upper bound

\[
-\delta \int_{\mathbb{B}_{r/2}^n} e^{-m \psi} dV_\omega < -\delta |\mathbb{B}_{r/2}^n|
\]

which is independent of \( m \) since \( \psi < 0 \) on \( \mathbb{B}_{r/2}^n \). Taking a sufficiently large \( m >> 1 \), we get

\[
(C_1 + C_2) \int_{\mathbb{B}_r^n | \mathbb{B}_{r/2}^n} e^{-m \psi} dV_\omega - \delta \int_{\mathbb{B}_{r/2}^n} e^{-m \psi} dV_\omega < 0,
\]

which is a contradiction. \( \square \)

3.2. RC-positivity. In this subsection, we give a characterization of RC-positivity via \( L^2 \)-estimates. This is a higher-rank analogue of Theorem 1.2. Although the proof is almost identical to the proof of Theorem 1.2, we show it for the sake of completeness.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3** We take an arbitrary smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function \( \psi \) and an arbitrary \( f \in \mathcal{D}_{(n, n)}(D, E) \). Repeating the argument in the proof of Theorem 1.2 (cf. [DNWZ20, Theorem 3.1] or [Ina20, Proposition 2.7]), we obtain the following inequality

\[
0 \leq \|D_{\psi}^* g\|_{(\omega, h e^{-\psi})} + \langle \langle [\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(E, h)}, \Lambda], c \rangle g, g \rangle_{(\omega, h e^{-\psi})},
\]

where \( g = ([\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes Id_E, \Lambda] + c)^{-1} f \).

We give a proof by contradiction. We assume that there exists some point \( x \in D \) and some element \( a \in E_x \setminus \{0\} \) such that

\[
\text{tr}_\omega(\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(E, h)} a, a)_h(x) < c |a|_{h}^2(x).
\]

We may assume that \( x = o \in D \). Since \( h \) has smooth coefficients, we can take a sufficiently small \( r \in (0, +\infty) \) such that \( B^n_r \subseteq D, E|_{\mathbb{B}^n_r} \) is trivial, and

\[
\text{tr}_\omega(\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(E, h)} a, a)_h - c |a|_{h}^2 < -\delta
\]

3.2. RC-positivity. In this subsection, we give a characterization of RC-positivity via \( L^2 \)-estimates. This is a higher-rank analogue of Theorem 1.2. Although the proof is almost identical to the proof of Theorem 1.2, we show it for the sake of completeness.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3** We take an arbitrary smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function \( \psi \) and an arbitrary \( f \in \mathcal{D}_{(n, n)}(D, E) \). Repeating the argument in the proof of Theorem 1.2 (cf. [DNWZ20, Theorem 3.1] or [Ina20, Proposition 2.7]), we obtain the following inequality

\[
0 \leq \|D_{\psi}^* g\|_{(\omega, h e^{-\psi})} + \langle \langle [\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(E, h)}, \Lambda], c \rangle g, g \rangle_{(\omega, h e^{-\psi})},
\]

where \( g = ([\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes Id_E, \Lambda] + c)^{-1} f \).

We give a proof by contradiction. We assume that there exists some point \( x \in D \) and some element \( a \in E_x \setminus \{0\} \) such that

\[
\text{tr}_\omega(\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(E, h)} a, a)_h(x) < c |a|_{h}^2(x).
\]

We may assume that \( x = o \in D \). Since \( h \) has smooth coefficients, we can take a sufficiently small \( r \in (0, +\infty) \) such that \( B^n_r \subseteq D, E|_{\mathbb{B}^n_r} \) is trivial, and

\[
\text{tr}_\omega(\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{(E, h)} a, a)_h - c |a|_{h}^2 < -\delta
\]
on $\mathbb{B}^n_r$ for some positive constant $\delta > 0$. Here we regard $a$ as a section of $E$ with constant coefficients.

As in the proof of Theorem 1.2 we take a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function $\psi(z) = |z|^2 - \frac{r^2}{4}$ and a cut-off function $\chi$ such that $\text{supp}\chi \subseteq \mathbb{B}^n_r$ and $\chi|_{\mathbb{B}^n_{r/2}} \equiv 1$. We consider the following $E$-valued $(n, n)$-form with compact support

$$ g = \chi adZ \wedge d\bar{Z} $$

on $D$. Here we use the notation

$$ dZ = dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n, \quad d\bar{Z} = d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}_n $$

for simplicity. We also define

$$ f_m := ([\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}(m\psi) \otimes Id_E, \Lambda_\omega] + c)g = (mn + c)g. $$

Note that $f_m \in \mathcal{D}_{(n, n)}(D, E)$. Hence, we see that $g$ satisfies the inequality \text{(3.2)} for each $m\psi$.

We compute the terms $\langle [\sqrt{-1} \Theta(E, h), \Lambda_\omega](sdZ \wedge d\bar{Z}), sdZ \wedge d\bar{Z}\rangle_{(\omega, h)}$ and $\text{tr}_\omega(\sqrt{-1} \Theta(E, h)s, s)_h$ for any section $s$ of $E$. Note that $sdZ \wedge d\bar{Z} \in C^\infty_{(n, n)}(D, E)$. We write the curvature tensor $\sqrt{-1} \Theta(E, h)$ as

$$ \sqrt{-1} \Theta(E, h) = \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} \Theta_{j\bar{k}} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k, $$

where $\Theta_{j\bar{k}}$ are operators on each $E_t$. Then we get

$$ \langle [\sqrt{-1} \Theta(E, h), \Lambda_\omega](sdZ \wedge d\bar{Z}), sdZ \wedge d\bar{Z}\rangle_{(\omega, h)} = \langle (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Theta_{j\bar{j}} s) dZ \wedge d\bar{Z}, sdZ \wedge d\bar{Z}\rangle_{(\omega, h)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\Theta_{j\bar{j}} s, s)_h $$

and

$$ \text{tr}_\omega(\sqrt{-1} \Theta(E, h)s, s)_h = \text{tr}_\omega(\sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} (\Theta_{j\bar{k}} s, s)_h dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\Theta_{j\bar{j}} s, s)_h. $$

Hence, on $\mathbb{B}^n_{r/2}$, the inequality \text{(3.4)} implies that

$$ \langle ([\sqrt{-1} \Theta(E, h), \Lambda_\omega] - c)g, g\rangle_{(\omega, h)} < -\delta. $$

Then, taking a sufficiently large $m >> 1$ and repeating the argument in the proof of Theorem 1.2 again, we conclude that the inequality \text{(3.3)} contradicts the inequality \text{(3.2)}, which completes the proof. \qed
In this section, we generalize the Prékopa-Berndtsson theorem and prove Theorem 1.5. First, we give the proof in the case that \( c > 0 \). Then we show the proof in the case \( c = 0 \) by attributing it to the previous case. We also show that uniform \( q \)-positivity is preserved with respect to the decreasing sequence.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.5: the case \( c > 0 \). In this subsection, we give a proof of Theorem 1.5 in the case that \( c > 0 \). Note that we can prove (2) \( \Rightarrow \) (1) without assuming that \( D \) is pseudoconvex. Therefore, we can use the characterization (2) in Theorem 1.2 to prove Theorem 1.5. Otherwise we may take \( U \) as a bounded pseudoconvex domain since the conclusion in Theorem 1.5 is a local property.

**Proof of Theorem 1.5: the case \( c > 0 \).** Let \( \pi : U \times D \rightarrow U \) be the projection \((z,w) \mapsto z\). Here we adopt the notation \( dZ = dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \), \( dW = dw_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dw_m \) for simplicity. Take a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function \( \psi \) and a smooth \( \bar{\partial} \)-closed \((n,q)\)-form with compact support
\[
f = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_q \leq n} f_{i_1 \cdots i_q}(z) dZ \wedge dz_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{i_q}
\]
on \( U \). We define a smooth \( \bar{\partial} \)-closed \((n + m,q)\)-form \( \tilde{f} \) on \( U \times D \) such that
\[
\tilde{f} = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_q \leq n} \pi^* f_{i_1 \cdots i_q} dZ \wedge dW \wedge dz_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{i_q}
\]
where \( \tilde{f}_{i_1 \cdots i_q} \) is a function on \( U \times D \) satisfying \( \tilde{f}_{i_1 \cdots i_q}(z,w) = f_{i_1 \cdots i_q}(z) \) for \((z,w) \in U \times D \). By the assumption of \( \varphi \) and Lemma 3.1, we have
\[
\langle [\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} (\varphi + \pi^* \psi), \Lambda_{\omega_2}] f, f \rangle_{\omega_2} \geq c |f|_{\omega_2}^2 + \langle [\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \pi^* \psi, \Lambda_{\omega_2}] f, f \rangle_{\omega_2},
\]
for any \( f \in C_\infty((n+m,q))(U \times D) \). Since
\[
\frac{\partial (\pi^* \psi)}{\partial \bar{w}_j} = 0
\]
for \( 1 \leq j \leq m \), we can see that
\[
\langle (c + [\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \pi^* \psi, \Lambda_{\omega_2}])^{-1} \tilde{f}, \tilde{f} \rangle_{\omega_2}(z,w) = \langle (c + [\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi, \Lambda_{\omega_0}])^{-1} f, f \rangle_{\omega_0}(z)
\]
at any point \((z,w) \in U \times D \). Note that \( [\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} (\varphi + \pi^* \psi), \Lambda_{\omega_2}] \) is positive definite everywhere on \( \wedge^{n+m,q} T^*(U \times D) \). Combining the inequality (4.1) and the equation (4.2) we obtain the
following estimate
\[
\int_{U \times D} |\tilde{u}|^2_{\omega_2} e^{-(\varphi + \pi^* \psi)} dV_{\omega_2} \leq \int_{U \times D} \langle [\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} (\varphi + \pi^* \psi), \Lambda_{\omega_2}]^{-1} \tilde{f}, \tilde{f} \rangle_{\omega_2} e^{-(\varphi + \pi^* \psi)} dV_{\omega_2}
\]
\[
\leq \int_{U \times D} \langle (c + [\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \pi^* \psi, \Lambda_{\omega_2}])^{-1} \tilde{f}, \tilde{f} \rangle_{\omega_2} e^{-(\varphi + \pi^* \psi)} dV_{\omega_2}
\]
\[
= \int_{U} \langle (c + [\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi, \Lambda_{\omega_0}])^{-1} f, f \rangle_{\omega_0} e^{-\psi} \left( \int_{D} e^{-\varphi} dV_{\omega_1} \right) dV_{\omega_0}
\]
\[
= \int_{U} \langle (c + [\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi, \Lambda_{\omega_0}])^{-1} f, f \rangle_{\omega_0} e^{-\left(\varphi + \psi\right)} dV_{\omega_0}
\]
\[
< +\infty
\]
for some solution \( \tilde{u} \) of \( \bar{\partial} \tilde{u} = \tilde{f} \) from the result of Theorem 2.4. We take the uniquely determined \( L^2 \)-minimal solution \( \tilde{u} \), that is, \( \tilde{u} \in (\ker \bar{\partial})^\perp \). We remark that \( \tilde{u} \in C_{(n+m,q-1)}(\mathbb{C}) \) by the ellipticity of \( \Delta'' \) (for example, see [Dem-book, Remark (4.6) in Chapter VIII]).

Since \( \tilde{u} \) has the minimal \( L^2 \)-norm, we can write \( \tilde{u} \) as the following form
\[
\tilde{u} = \sum_{1\leq j_1<\cdots<j_{q-1}\leq n} \tilde{u}_{j_1\cdots j_{q-1}} dZ \wedge dW \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_{q-1}}.
\]
We have that
\[
\frac{\bar{\partial} \tilde{u}_{j_1\cdots j_{q-1}}}{\partial \bar{w}_k} = 0
\]
for every \( 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_{q-1} \leq n \) and \( 1 \leq k \leq m \), that is, \( \tilde{u}_{j_1\cdots j_{q-1}} \) is holomorphic in each \( w_j \). Then we consider another \((n+m,q)\)-form \( \tilde{u} \) such that
\[
\tilde{u}(z,w) = \tilde{u}_{j_1\cdots j_{q-1}}(z,w_1 e^{-1\theta_1}, \cdots, w_m e^{-1\theta_m}) dZ \wedge dW \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_{q-1}}
\]
\[
+ \sum_{1\leq k_1<\cdots<k_{q-1}\leq n} \sum_{\{k_1,\cdots,k_{q-1}\} \neq \{j_1,\cdots,j_{q-1}\}} \tilde{u}_{k_1\cdots k_{q-1}}(z,w) dZ \wedge dW \wedge d\bar{z}_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}_{k_{q-1}}
\]
for \( (\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \). Since \( \tilde{u}_{j_1\cdots j_{q-1}} \) is holomorphic in \( w \), we get \( \bar{\partial} \tilde{u} = f \). We can also show that
\[
\int_{U \times D} |\tilde{u}|^2_{\omega_2} e^{-(\varphi + \pi^* \psi)} dV_{\omega_2} = \int_{U \times D} |\hat{u}|^2_{\omega_2} e^{-(\varphi + \pi^* \psi)} dV_{\omega_2}
\]
since \( \varphi \) and \( \pi^* \psi \) are independent of \( \arg(w_j) \). The uniqueness of the \( L^2 \)-minimal solution implies that \( \tilde{u} = \hat{u} \). Hence, we obtain that \( \tilde{u}_{j_1\cdots j_{q-1}}(z,w_1,\cdots,w_m) \) is also invariant under the rotation of each \( w_j \). Consequently, we have that each \( \tilde{u}_{j_1\cdots j_{q-1}} \) is independent of \( w_j \) since \( \tilde{u}_{j_1\cdots j_{q-1}} \) is holomorphic in \( w_j \).

We define the \((n,q-1)\)-form \( u \) on \( U \) as follows
\[
u(z) = \sum_{1\leq j_1<\cdots<j_{q-1}\leq n} u_{j_1\cdots j_{q-1}}(z) dZ \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_{q-1}},
\]
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where \( u_{j_1 \cdots j_{q-1}}(z) = (-1)^m \tilde{u}_{j_1 \cdots j_{q-1}}(z, w) \). We can see that \( \overline{\partial}u = f \) and

\[
\int_{U} \langle (c + [\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi, \Lambda_{\omega_0}])^{-1}f, f \rangle_{\omega_0} e^{-(\phi + \psi)} dV_{\omega_0} \geq \int_{U \times D} |\tilde{u}|^2_{\omega_2} e^{-(\phi + \pi^* \psi)} dV_{\omega_2}
\]

\[
\geq \int_{U} |u|^2_{\omega_0} e^{-\psi} \left( \int_{D} e^{-\varphi} dV_{\omega_1} \right) dV_{\omega_0}
\]

\[
= \int_{U} |u|^2_{\omega_0} e^{-(\varphi + \psi)} dV_{\omega_0}
\]
on \( U \). In other words, we can find a solution \( u \) satisfying the condition (2) in Theorem 1.2 on \( U \) for any additional weight \( \psi \) and any smooth \( \overline{\partial} \)-closed \((n, q)\)-form \( f \) with compact support. Therefore, the summation of any distinct \( q \) eigenvalues of \( \varphi \) with respect to \( \omega_0 \) is greater than or equal to \( c \) by Theorem 1.2.

\[\square\]

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.5: the case \( c = 0 \). In this subsection, we show a proof of Theorem 1.5 in the case that \( c = 0 \). Before proving it, we prepare the following lemma. We use the same notation as in the previous subsection.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( \varphi \) be a smooth function on \( U \). Suppose that there exists a sequence of smooth functions \( \{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \) decreasing to \( \varphi \) pointwise such that the summation of any distinct \( q \) eigenvalues of \( \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_j \) with respect to \( \omega_0 \) is greater than or equal to some non-negative constant \( c \geq 0 \). Then the summation of any distinct \( q \) eigenvalues of \( \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi \) with respect to \( \omega_0 \) is greater than or equal to \( c \).

It is well-known that Lemma 4.1 holds in the case that \( q = 1 \), that is, \( \varphi_j \) are plurisubharmonic functions.

**Proof.** We use the characterization in Theorem 1.2. Since the result is a local property, we may assume that \( U \) is pseudoconvex. It is enough to show that for any smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function \( \psi \) and any smooth \( \overline{\partial} \)-closed \((n, q)\)-form \( f \) with compact support, there exists a solution of \( \overline{\partial}u = f \) satisfying

\[
\int_{U} |u|^2_{\omega_0} e^{-(\phi + \psi)} dV_{\omega_0} \leq \int_{U} \langle ([\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi, \Lambda_{\omega_0}] + c)^{-1}f, f \rangle_{\omega_0} e^{-(\phi + \psi)} dV_{\omega_0}.
\]

The assumption of \( \varphi_j \) implies that we get a solution of \( \overline{\partial}u_j = f \) satisfying

\[
\int_{U} |u_j|^2_{\omega_0} e^{-(\phi_j + \psi)} dV_{\omega_0} \leq \int_{U} \langle ([\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi, \Lambda_{\omega_0}] + c)^{-1}f, f \rangle_{\omega_0} e^{-(\phi_j + \psi)} dV_{\omega_0}
\]

\[
\leq \int_{U} \langle ([\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi, \Lambda_{\omega_0}] + c)^{-1}f, f \rangle_{\omega_0} e^{-(\phi + \psi)} dV_{\omega_0}
\]

< +\infty.
for each \( j \in \mathbb{N} \). Note that the right-hand side of the above inequality has an upper bound independent of \( j \) and \( \{u_k\}_{k \geq j} \) forms a bounded sequence in \( L^2_{(n,q-1)}(U, \mathbb{C}; \omega_0, e^{-(\varphi_j + \psi)}) \). Therefore, we find a weakly convergent subsequence \( \{u_{j_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \) by using a diagonal argument and monotonicity of \( \{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \), which is the standard argument of \( L^2 \)-solutions of \( \overline{\partial} \). We have that \( \{u_{j_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \) weakly converges in \( L^2_{(n,q-1)}(U, \mathbb{C}; \omega_0, e^{-(\varphi_j + \psi)}) \) for every \( j \) and the weak limit denoted by \( u_\infty \) satisfies \( \overline{\partial} u_\infty = f \) and

\[
\int_U |u_\infty|^2 e^{-(\varphi + \psi)} d\omega_0 \leq \int_U (\langle |\sqrt{-1}\overline{\partial} \overline{\partial} \psi, A_{\omega_0}| + c)^{-1} f, f \rangle \omega_0 e^{-(\varphi + \psi)} d\omega_0
\]

due to the monotone convergence theorem. Then we complete the proof. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 4.5** the case \( c = 0 \). Let \( \varphi_\epsilon := \varphi + \epsilon(|z|^2 + |w|^2) \) for \( \epsilon > 0 \). We also define the function \( \tilde{\varphi}_\epsilon \) on \( U \) by

\[
e^{-\tilde{\varphi}_\epsilon(z)} := \int_{w \in D} e^{-\varphi(z,w)} d\omega_1(w).
\]

Then the summation of any distinct \( q \) eigenvalues of \( \sqrt{-1}\overline{\partial} \overline{\partial} \varphi_\epsilon \) with respect to \( \omega_2 \) is greater than or equal to \( \epsilon q \). We also see that \( \varphi_\epsilon \) satisfies the invariance property of (1) in Theorem 4.5. Then, by using Theorem 4.5 in the case that \( c > 0 \), we have that the summation of any distinct \( q \) eigenvalues of \( \sqrt{-1}\overline{\partial} \overline{\partial} \tilde{\varphi}_\epsilon \) with respect to \( \omega_0 \) is also greater than or equal to \( \epsilon q \). Especially, the summation of any distinct \( q \) eigenvalues of \( \sqrt{-1}\overline{\partial} \overline{\partial} \tilde{\varphi}_\epsilon \) with respect to \( \omega_0 \) is greater than or equal to \( 0 \) for all \( \epsilon > 0 \). Note that \( \tilde{\varphi}_\epsilon \) is decreasing to \( \tilde{\varphi} \) pointwise as \( \epsilon \to 0 \) due to the monotone convergence theorem. Then, thanks to Lemma 4.1 we can conclude that the summation of any distinct \( q \) eigenvalues of \( \sqrt{-1}\overline{\partial} \overline{\partial} \tilde{\varphi} \) with respect to \( \omega_0 \) is greater than or equal to \( 0 \). \( \square \)

5. **RC-positivity of direct images**

In this section, we show a proof of Theorem 4.6. We use the same notation and convention as in the introduction. Let \( h \) be a smooth Hermitian metric on \( \mathcal{L} \). Then \( E \) has a canonical Hermitian metric \( H \) induced by \( h \), which is defined as follows. For any \( z \in U \) and \( u \in E_z \),

\[
|u|^2_{H_z} := \int_{X_z} c_m h u \wedge \overline{u}
\]

where \( c_m = \sqrt{-1} |m|^2 \). Then we prove that the metric \( H \) on \( E \) induced by a uniformly \( q \)-positive metric \( h \) on \( \mathcal{L} \) is RC-positive. To be precise, we show the following result. Set \( n := \dim U \), \( m := \dim X \), and \( c > 0 \) be a positive constant. For a general notion of relative differential forms and the definitions of \( \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}} \) and \( \overline{\partial}_U \), we refer the reader to [Ber09, Section 4], [LY14, Section 2] or [MT08, Section 2].

**Theorem 5.1.** (= Theorem 4.6) Let \( h \) be a smooth Hermitian metric on \( \mathcal{L} \) such that the summation of any distinct \( n \) eigenvalues of \( \sqrt{-1}\Theta(\mathcal{L}, h) \) is greater than or equal to \( c \) with
respect to \(\tilde{\omega}\). We also let \(H\) be the metric induced by \(h\) in the same configuration as above. Then we obtain
\[
\text{tr}_{\omega_h}(\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{(E,H)}a,a)_H(z) \geq c|a|^2_H(z)
\]
for any \(z \in U\) and \(a \in \ker \Omega = H^0(X_z, K_{X_z} \otimes L_z)\).

**Proof.** We use the same notation as in the proof Theorem 1.3. To use the characterization of Theorem 1.3, we fix a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function \(\psi\) on \(U\) and \(F \in \mathcal{D}(n,n)(U,E)\). We denote \(F\) by \(F = fdZ \wedge d\bar{Z}\). Taking an \(L\)-valued \((m,0)\)-form to represent \(F\) on \(\mathcal{X}\), we can view \(F\) as an \(L\)-valued \((n + m, n)\)-form on \(\mathcal{X}\). Note that \(F\) is not unique, but \(F = fdZ \wedge d\bar{Z}\) is uniquely determined. We fix a point \(a \in \mathcal{X}\) and a coordinate \((w_1, \ldots, w_m)\) centered at \(p(a)\) such that \(\omega = \sqrt{-1}\sum_{k=1}^m dw_k \wedge d\bar{w}_k\) at \(p(a)\). Since \(F\) is holomorphic in \(w\)-variables, \(F\) is \(\bar{\partial}\)-closed on \(\mathcal{X}\). The assumption of \(h\) implies that the curvature operator \(\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{(L_{\bar{h} \circ \pi^{-1}}, \Lambda_{\bar{\omega}})}\) is positive definite on \(\wedge^{n+m,n} T^* \mathcal{X} \otimes L\) (cf. Lemma 3.1). Since all of our settings are local, we may assume that \(U\) is pseudoconvex. Then \(\mathcal{X}\) is a complete Kähler manifold. By using the \(L^2\)-estimate in Theorem 2.4, we obtain a solution of \(\bar{\partial}_\mathcal{X} u = F\) satisfying
\[
\int_{\mathcal{X}} |u|_{(\bar{\omega}, \bar{h})}^2 e^{-\pi \psi} dV_{\bar{\omega}} \leq \int_{\mathcal{X}} \langle [\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{(L_{\bar{h}})}, + \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* \pi^* \psi, \Lambda_{\bar{\omega}}], F \rangle_{(\bar{\omega}, \bar{h})} e^{-\pi \psi} dV_{\bar{\omega}} \leq \int_{\mathcal{X}} \langle (c + [\sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* \pi^* \psi, \Lambda_{\bar{\omega}}]), F \rangle_{(\bar{\omega}, \bar{h})} e^{-\pi \psi} dV_{\bar{\omega}}.
\]
On the above coordinate, we write \(F = \tilde{f} dZ \wedge dW \wedge d\bar{Z}\). Then, at the point \(a\), we have
\[
\langle (c + [\sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* \pi^* \psi, \Lambda_{\bar{\omega}}], F \rangle_{(\bar{\omega}, \bar{h})} = \frac{1}{(c + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_j})} |\tilde{f}|_{(\bar{\omega}, \bar{h})}^2 = \frac{1}{(c + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_j})} |f_z|^2_{(\omega, h)},
\]
where \(\pi(a) = z\) and \(f_z = f|_{X_z} \in H^0(X_z, K_{X_z} \otimes L_z)\). Note that \(|f_z|^2_{(\omega, h)} d\omega = c_m h f_z \wedge \bar{f}_z\) on \(X_z\) and \(|F|^2_{(\bar{\omega}, \bar{h})} dV_{\bar{\omega}} = |f_z|^2_{(\omega, h)} d\omega d\bar{\omega} = c_m h f_z \wedge \bar{f}_z d\omega d\bar{\omega}\) at \(a \in \mathcal{X}\). Therefore, we obtain
\[
\int_{\mathcal{X}} \langle (c + [\sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* \pi^* \psi, \Lambda_{\bar{\omega}}], F \rangle_{(\bar{\omega}, \bar{h})} e^{-\pi \psi} dV_{\bar{\omega}}
= \int_{x \in U} \frac{1}{(c + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_j})} e^{-\psi} \left( \int_{X_z} c_m h f_z \wedge \bar{f}_z d\omega \right)
= \int_U \frac{1}{(c + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_j})} |f|^2_{H} e^{-\psi} d\omega
= \int_U \langle (c + [\sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial} \otimes Id_E, \Lambda_{\omega_0}], F \rangle_{(\omega_0, h)} e^{-\psi} d\omega_0.
\]
Then we take the uniquely determined $L^2$-minimal solution $u$ such that $u \in (\ker \bar{\partial})^\perp$. The ellipticity of $\Delta''$ implies that $u$ is smooth. On the coordinate $(z_1, \ldots, z_n, w_1, \ldots, w_m)$ around $a$, $u$ has the following form

$$u = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_{n-1} \leq n} \tilde{u}_{j_1 \cdots j_{n-1}} dZ \wedge dW \wedge dz_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_{n-1}},$$

that is, $u$ does not have any terms including $d\bar{w}_k$. Therefore, $\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{j_1 \cdots j_{n-1}}}{\partial w_k} = 0$ for each $1 \leq k \leq m$, which implies that $\tilde{u}_{j_1 \cdots j_{n-1}}$ are holomorphic in each $w_k$. We write

$$u = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_{n-1} \leq n} u_{j_1 \cdots j_{n-1}} dZ \wedge dz_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_{n-1}}$$

globally. Note that $u_{j_1 \cdots j_{n-1}}$ is not unique, but $u_{j_1 \cdots j_{n-1}}(z) = u_{j_1 \cdots j_{n-1}}|_{X_z}$ is uniquely determined and $u_{j_1 \cdots j_{n-1}}(z) \in E_z = H^0(X_z, K_{X_z} \otimes L_z)$ due to the above observation. Then we see that $u_{j_1 \cdots j_{n-1}} \in C^\infty(U, E)$ and $u \in C^\infty(P(n,n-1) \times U, E)$. By the definition of $\mathcal{F}_U$, it clearly holds that $\mathcal{F}_U u = f$ on $U$. Moreover, thanks to the condition that $\tilde{\omega} = \pi^* \omega_0 + p^* \omega$ again, we obtain

$$\int_X |u|^2_{(\omega, H)} e^{-\pi^* \psi} dV_\omega = \int_{z \in U} e^{-\psi} \left( \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_{n-1} \leq n} \int_{X_z} c_n h u_{j_1 \cdots j_{n-1}} \wedge u_{j_1 \cdots j_{n-1}}(z) \right) dV_\omega$$

$$= \int_U |u|^2_{(\omega_0, H)} e^{-\psi} dV_\omega.$$

Consequently, we get

$$\int_U |u|^2_{(\omega_0, H)} e^{-\psi} dV_\omega \leq \int_U \langle (c + [\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes Id_E, \Lambda_{\omega_0}])^{-1} F, F \rangle_{(\omega_0, H)} e^{-\psi} dV_\omega$$

for any smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function $\psi$ and $F \in \mathcal{D}(n,n)(U, E)$, which implies that $(E, H)$ is RC-positive thanks to Theorem 1.3.

Finally, we propose the following conjecture, which is related to Conjecture 1.1.

**Conjecture 5.2.** Assume that $E$ is weakly RC-positive. Is $S^k E \otimes E$ RC-positive for every $k \geq 1$?

Here, $S^k E$ is the $k$-th symmetric power of $E$. I explain the relationship between Theorem 1.6 and Conjecture 5.2. Let us consider a holomorphic vector bundle $E$ of rank $r$ over a complex manifold $X$. Let $\mathbb{P}(E^*)$ be the projectivized bundle, $\pi : \mathbb{P}(E^*) \rightarrow X$ be the natural projection, and $\mathcal{O}_{E^*}(1)$ be the tautological line bundle associated to $E$ over $\mathbb{P}(E^*)$. We take a small enough open subset $U$ of $X$ such that $E|_U = U \times V$ is trivial, where $V$ is an $r$-dimensional complex vector space. We consider the projective space $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$ and the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$. Then we have $\mathbb{P}(E^*) = U \times \mathbb{P}(V^*)$ on $U$. Let
Let $p : \mathbb{P}(E^*) = U \times \mathbb{P}(V^*) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V^*)$ be the second projection. It is known that $\mathcal{O}_E(1) = p^* \mathcal{O}(1)$ (cf. [Dem-book, Section 15 in Chapter V]). Therefore, for any $z \in U$, it follows that

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}(E^*)_z, K_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(r+k) \mid_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)_z}) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}(V^*), K_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)} \otimes \mathcal{O}(r+k)),$$

where $\pi^{-1}(z) = \mathbb{P}(E^*)_z$. Hence, this situation satisfies the assumption in Theorem 1.6.

If $E$ is weakly RC-positive, $\mathcal{O}_E(1)$ is $(\dim X - 1)$-positive. Then $\mathcal{O}_E(r+k)$ is also $(\dim X - 1)$-positive for all $k \geq 1$. We have the following canonical isomorphism

$$\pi_*(K_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(r+k)) \cong S^k E \otimes \det E.$$ 

By Proposition 2.2, we know that there exist a smooth Hermitian metric $h$ on $\mathcal{O}_E(r+k)$ and “some” smooth Hermitian metric $\omega$ on $\mathbb{P}(E^*)$ such that $(\mathcal{O}_E(r+k), h)$ is uniformly $(\dim X - 1)$-positive with respect to $\omega$. If we can take $\omega$ as $\omega = \pi^* \omega_0 + p^* \omega_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)}$ locally for the standard Kähler metric $\omega_0$ on $U$ and a Kähler metric $\omega_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)}$ on $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$, we can give an affirmative answer to Conjecture 5.2 by using Theorem 1.6. While there are still technical problems, this approach seems to be useful for Conjecture 1.1 and 5.2.

6. Further Study

In this section, we discuss the definition of uniform $q$-positivity for singular Hermitian metrics on line bundles. Note that we can consider the condition (2) in Theorem 1.2 without assuming that $h$ is smooth.

**Definition 6.1.** Let $L$ be a holomorphic line bundle over an $n$-dimensional complex manifold $X$ and $h$ be a singular Hermitian metric on $L$. Set $1 \leq q \leq n$ and $c \geq 0$. We say that $(L, h)$ is uniformly $(q-1)-c$-positive if for any point $x \in X$, there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of $x$ such that for any relatively compact pseudoconvex domain $D$ in $U$, $(L, h)$ satisfies the condition (2) in Theorem 1.2 on $D$.

Thanks to Theorem 1.2, in the case that $h$ is smooth, the above definition is equivalent to uniform $(q-1)$-positivity. Under this formulation, we can show Theorem 1.5 without assuming the condition that $D$ is bounded. The proof remains the same.

**Theorem 6.2.** (cf. Theorem 1.5) Let $U$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^n_z$ and $D$ be a pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^m_w$. Let $\varphi$ be a smooth function on $U \times D$. Assume that $\varphi$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) in Theorem 1.7. We define the function $\tilde{\varphi}$ on $U$ by

$$e^{-\tilde{\varphi}(z)} := \int_{w \in D} e^{-\varphi(z, w)} d\omega_1(w).$$

Then, if $\tilde{\varphi} \equiv -\infty$, $(C, e^{-\tilde{\varphi}})$ is uniformly $(q-1)$-c-positive in the sense of Definition 6.1.
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