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Abstract

This work investigates the normal deviation of a slow-fast system with Lévy noise. Different from the case of Brownian motion, the tightness of the deviation can not be proved by Ascoli-Arzela theorem directly. Using appropriate perturbed test functions and truncation technique, the deviation theorem can be proved by the martingale approach.

Keywords. Slow-fast systems, Deviation, Martingale approach, Slow manifold, Averaging principle

Mathematics subject classification. 70K70, 60G51, 60G46.

1. Introduction

This paper mainly considers the slow-fast system with Lévy noises as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx^\varepsilon(t)}{dt} &= Ax^\varepsilon(t)dt + f(x^\varepsilon(t), y^\varepsilon(t))dt + \sigma_1 dL(t), \\
\frac{dy^\varepsilon(t)}{dt} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon}By^\varepsilon(t)dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}g(x^\varepsilon(t), y^\varepsilon(t))dt + \sigma_2 dL_1^{1/\varepsilon}(t),
\end{align*}
\]

(1.1)

where \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is a small parameter, \( x^\varepsilon(0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, y^\varepsilon(0) = y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( t \in [0, T] \). \( x^\varepsilon(t) \) and \( y^\varepsilon(t) \) are slow and fast component in (1.1). \( A, B \) are linear matrices with negative eigenvalues, and nonlinearities \( f, g \) are Lipschitz continuous. \( L(t) \) and \( L_1^{1/\varepsilon}(t) \) are independent Lévy processes, which are used to characterize random models with jumping items. And many stochastic processes can be attributed to it [1, 2, 3].

Slow-fast systems are widely applied in diverse areas, for instance, feedback control of mobile robot [4] and compartmental model [5]. As an efficient tool to reduce complexity of stochastic slow-fast system, averaging principle has been employed widely in the analysis of dynamical behavior [6]. With regarding to strong convergence, we refer to Givon [7] and Golec et al. [8, 9]. Liu [10] investigated the stochastic averaging principle on infinite interval for local Lipschitz systems. Additionally, Givon [11] and Mao [12] established the averaging principle for stochastic differential equations (SDEs) with jumps. Furthermore, Xu et al. investigated properties of stochastic dynamical systems subject to Lévy noise [14]. Averaging principle has been employed to obtain asymptotic results for SDEs driven
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by fractional Brownian motion \cite{15, 16, 17}. Recent developments of stochastic averaging principle were presented in \cite{18}.

There exist fruitful works in the averaging principle, notwithstanding, there is few research in the normal deviation of averaging principle \cite{19, 20}. Up to now this problem has been treated only in the case of Brownian motion. Consequently, the normal deviation for slow-fast system with Lévy noise is going to be our focus, which can not be extended from the case of Brownian motion intuitively \cite{20, 22}. For instance, the tightness of deviation component can not be proved by Ascoli-Arzela theorem directly. Owing to the one-to-one correspondence between the solution to SDEs and martingale problem, it is equal to analyze the solution of martingale problem. Therefore, the martingale method is a powerful tool to construct the weak convergence, and more are elaborated in \cite{23}. Inspired by this work, it has been applied diffusely in the weak convergence for stochastic systems \cite{24, 25}. For instance, the weak convergence from original systems to averaged systems, with weak convergence of fast component, was extended \cite{26}. The martingale method was also applied to stochastic gene networks \cite{27}, and diffusion approximation for stochastic advection in Burgers equation \cite{28}. The existence of weak solution to SDEs and the limit of adaptive search algorithm were carried out via martingale representation \cite{29, 30}.

The normal deviation is focused after constructing the averaging principle for slow-fast system with Lévy noise. In our work, how to capture the structure of normal deviation explicitly is not at all intuitive, which is different from the case of Brownian motion. Then it is divided into two parts. To prove the tightness, perturbed test function, based on slow manifold, plays a critical role in the martingale approach. The slow manifold for slow-fast random dynamical systems is proved by the Lyapunov-Perron method \cite{31}. Then the tightness is proved in Lemma 5.3 and is a consequence of Lemma 5.2, by perturbed test function and truncation technique. Finally, the deviation theorem, including an extra small Gaussian term, is characterized by the martingale method, perturbed test functions and exponential tracking property of slow manifold in Lemma 5.5.

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, Preliminaries and main results are given. Averaged equation is constructed in Section 3. In Section 4, the random slow manifold is proved. Finally, the deviation theorem of averaging principle is analyzed along martingale approach in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries and main results

\[ dL(t) \text{ and } dL^{1/\varepsilon}_1(t) \text{ are defined as independent Lévy noises,} \]

\[ dL(t) = dw(t) + \int_{|z|<1} z\tilde{N}(dt,dz), \]

\[ dL^{1/\varepsilon}_1(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} dw_1(t) + \int_{|z|<c} z\tilde{N}^{1/\varepsilon}_1(dt,dz), \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

where \{\(dw(t)\)\}_{t \geq 0} and \{\(dw_1(t)\)\}_{t \geq 0} are \(\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}\)-Brownian noises. \(\tilde{N}(dt,dz)\) and \(\tilde{N}^{1/\varepsilon}_1(dt,dz)\) are compensated martingale measures, associated with independent Poisson random measures \(N(dt,dz)\) and \(N^{1/\varepsilon}_1(dt,dz)\), which are defined as follows,

\[ \tilde{N}(dt,dz) = N(dt,dz) - \nu(dz)dt, \]
\[ \tilde{N}_{1/\varepsilon}^{1/\varepsilon} (dt, dz) = N_{1/\varepsilon}^{1/\varepsilon} (dt, dz) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} v_1 (dz) dt, \]

where \( v \) and \( v_1 \) are Lévy measures.

There follow assumptions which are needed in our argument:

(A2.1) \( A, B \) are linear matrix with negative eigenvalues satisfying:

\[
\begin{align*}
|e^{A t} x| & \leq e^{-\gamma_A t} |x|, t \leq 0, \\
|e^{B t} y| & \leq e^{-\gamma_B t} |y|, t \geq 0, \\
|e^{A t} x| & \leq e^{-\gamma_A t} |x|, t \geq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

for all \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \), where constants \( \gamma_A' > 0, \gamma_A > 0 \) and \( \gamma_B > 0 \), and we denote by \( | \cdot | \) the Euclidean norm.

(A2.2) For any \( x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n \), there exist constants \( L_f, L_g > 0 \), such that

\[
\begin{align*}
|f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_2)| & \leq L_f (|x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2|), \\
|g(x_1, y_1) - g(x_2, y_2)| & \leq L_g (|x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2|),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( L_g < \min \{ \sqrt{\frac{\pi f}{6}}, \gamma_B \} \).

(A2.3) For all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( y \in \mathbb{R}^n \), there exist positive constants \( C_f \) and \( C_g \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
|f(x, y)| & \leq L_f (C_f + |x| + |y|), \\
|g(x, y)| & \leq L_g (C_g + |x| + |y|).
\end{align*}
\]

(A2.4) For \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( u \geq t, \mathbb{E}_x^t [V(x, \hat{h}^0 (u; x))] \) has continuous second-partial derivatives with respect to \( x \). \( \mathbb{E}_x^t [V(x, \hat{h}^0 (u; x))] \) is convergent uniformly on \( x \) as \( u \to \infty \), where \( V(x, \hat{h}^0 (u; x)) \) denotes the first-partial or second-partial derivative of \( f \) and \( f_x' \) with respect to \( x \).

With above assumptions, we have the following main result, which is proved in Section 5.

**Theorem 2.1.** Under the assumptions (A2.1) to (A2.4), the deviation component \( \vartheta (t) \), which is defined as follows,

\[ \vartheta (t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} (x^\varepsilon (t) - x (t)), \]

where \( x^\varepsilon (t) \) is original slow component, and \( x (t) \) is averaged slow component, \( \vartheta (t) \) weakly converges to \( \vartheta (t) \), which is supposed to be the unique solution (in the sense of in distribution) to the following equation,

\[
d\vartheta (t) = A \vartheta (t) dt + \tilde{f}_x (x (t)) dt + \sqrt{H (x (t))} dW,
\]

where \( \tilde{f}_x (x (t)) \) denotes the derivate of \( f (x (t)) \) with respect to \( x \), and

\[ H (x (t)) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{H}_{i,j} (x (t)) = 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_0^\infty H_{x(t)}^{i,j} (s) ds, \]

and

\[ H_{x(t)}^{i,j} (s) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ [f_i (x (t), \hat{h}_{x(t)}^0 (s)) - \tilde{f}_i (x (t))] [f_j (x (t), \hat{h}_{x(t)}^0 (0)) - \tilde{f}_j (x (t))] \right\}, \]
where \( \hat{h}_{x(t)}^{0} \) is asymptotic form of random slow manifold, which is proved in Section 4.

3. Averaged equation

This Section gives the averaged equation.

**Theorem 3.1.** The averaged equation is defined as follows,

\[
dx(t) = Ax(t) \, dt + \tilde{f}(x(t)) \, dt + \sigma_1 dw(t) + \int_{|z| < 1} \sigma_1 z \tilde{N}(dz, dt),
\]

and \( x(0) = x_0 \), if the assumptions (A2.1) to (A2.3) are satisfied, then

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |x^\varepsilon(t) - x(t)|^2 \right] \leq C_{(T, L_f, \gamma_A, D(p), \sigma_1)} \varepsilon \delta, \tag{3.2}
\]

where the constant \( C_{(T, L_f, \gamma_A, D(p), \sigma_1)} > 0 \), and \( \varepsilon \delta \to 0 \) when \( \varepsilon \to 0 \).

Before proving this result, some priori estimates are needed.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( x^\varepsilon(t) \) be \( R^n \)-valued and defined on \([0, T]\). Suppose the (A2.1) to (A2.3) hold, the slow-fast system (1.1) admits a unique solution in \( L^2[0, T] \) which is a space of square-integrable functions defined on \([0, T]\).

\[
\begin{align*}
x^\varepsilon(t) &= e^{At} x_0 + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)} f(x^\varepsilon(s), y^\varepsilon(s)) \, ds + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)} \sigma_1 w(s), \\
y^\varepsilon(t) &= e^{Bt} y_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t e^{B(t-s)} g(x^\varepsilon(s), y^\varepsilon(s)) \, ds + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{B(t-s)} \sigma_2 dw_1(s)
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof:** The lemma is proved by Picard’s iteration schemes, and we omit it.

**Lemma 3.3.** Consider the fast equation with frozen-\(x\),

\[
dy_x(t) = By_x(t) \, dt + g(x, y_x(t)) \, dt + \sigma_2 dw_1(t) + \int_{|z| < 1} z \tilde{N}_1(dz, dt), \tag{3.4}
\]

where \( y_x(0) = y_1 \), under the conditions (A2.1) to (A2.3), then \( y_x \) has invariant measure \( \mu_x(y) \), moreover, define Markov semigroup \( P^x_t \),

\[
|P^x_t f(x, y_x(t)) - \tilde{f}(x)| < e^{-\eta t} (1 + |x| + |y|). \tag{3.5}
\]

**Proof:** The proof is refered to Appendix.

**Lemma 3.4.** Suppose the Assumptions (A2.1) to (A2.3) hold, then for \( t \in [0, T] \),

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |x^\varepsilon(t)|^2 \right] \leq C_1,
\]

and

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |x^\varepsilon(t)|^2 \right] \leq C_2,
\]

where the constants \( C_1, C_2 > 0 \).
Proof: The proof is similar to \textsuperscript{32}.

The averaging principle is constructed as follows:

\textbf{Proof of Theorem 3.1.} Do a partition of time interval $[0,T]$ of size $\delta$, when $k = \lceil t/\delta \rceil$ and $t \in [k\delta, \min\{(k+1)\delta, T\})$, define auxiliary processes,

$$
\hat{y}^\varepsilon(t) = e^{B(t-k\delta)\varepsilon}y_{k\delta} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{k\delta}^{t} e^{B(t-s)\varepsilon}g(x^\varepsilon([s/\delta] \delta), \hat{y}^\varepsilon(s)) \, ds + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_{k\delta}^{t} e^{B(t-s)\varepsilon} \sigma_2 dw_1(s) + \int_{|x|<1} e^{B(t-s)\varepsilon} z\sigma_2 N_1^{1/\varepsilon}(dz, ds),
$$

(3.6)

and

$$
\hat{x}^\varepsilon(t) = e^{At}x_0 + \int_{0}^{t} e^{A(t-s)} f(x^\varepsilon([s/\delta] \delta), \hat{y}^\varepsilon(s)) \, ds + \int_{0}^{t} e^{A(t-s)} \sigma_1 dw(s) + \int_{|x|<1} e^{A(t-s)} z\sigma_1 \tilde{N}(dz, ds).
$$

Then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |x^\varepsilon(t) - \hat{x}^\varepsilon(t)|^2 \right] = \mathbb{E}\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left[ \int_{0}^{t} e^{A(t-s)} \left[ f(x^\varepsilon(s), \hat{y}^\varepsilon(s)) - f(x^\varepsilon([s/\delta] \delta), \hat{y}^\varepsilon(s)) \right] \, ds \right]^2
\leq \frac{C_{T,L_f}^2}{\gamma_A} \mathbb{E}\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{0}^{t} \left[ |x^\varepsilon(s) - x^\varepsilon([s/\delta] \delta)|^2 + |y^\varepsilon(s) - \hat{y}^\varepsilon(s)|^2 \right] \, ds
= I_1 + I_2.
$$

Hence,

$$
I_1 \leq \frac{4C_{T,L_f}^2}{\gamma_A} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| (I - e^{(t/\delta)\varepsilon A}) e^{tA}x_0 \right|^2 + \frac{4C_{T,L_f}^2}{\gamma_A} \mathbb{E}\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A} f(x^\varepsilon(s), y^\varepsilon(s)) \, ds \right|^2 + \frac{4C_{T,L_f}^2}{\gamma_A} \mathbb{E}\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A} \sigma_1 dw(s) \right|^2 + \frac{4C_{T,L_f}^2}{\gamma_A} \mathbb{E}\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A} \sigma_1 z\tilde{N}(dz, ds) \right|^2
\leq I_{11} + I_{12} + I_{13} + I_{14},
$$

(3.8)

where

$$
I_{11} = \frac{4C_{T,L_f}^2}{\gamma_A} \mathbb{E}\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| (I - e^{(t/\delta)\varepsilon A}) e^{tA}x_0 \right|^2 \leq C_{T,L_f,\gamma_A} \delta^2,
$$

where constant $C_{T,L_f,\gamma_A} > 0$. Then by Lemma 3.4,

$$
I_{12} \leq \frac{8C_{T,L_f}^2}{\gamma_A} \mathbb{E}\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_{0}^{[t/\delta] \delta} \left( e^{(t-s)A} - e^{(t-[t/\delta] \delta - s)A} \right) f(x^\varepsilon(s), y^\varepsilon(s)) \, ds \right|^2
$$

and
According to Gronwall inequality and Assumptions (A2.1) to (A2.3),

\[ + \frac{8C_{TL}L_e}{\gamma_A} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_{t/\delta}^{t} f \left( x^{\varepsilon} (s) , y^{\varepsilon} (s) \right) ds \right|^2 \leq C(T,L_f,\gamma_A,D(p)) \delta^2, \]

and

\[ I_{13} \leq \frac{8C_{TL}L_e}{\gamma_A} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_{t/\delta}^{t} \left( e^{\left( \frac{t}{\delta} \right) \delta - t} A - I \right) e^{2(t-s)A} \sigma_1^2 ds \right| + \frac{8C_{TL}L_e}{\gamma_A} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_{t/\delta}^{t} e^{2(t-s)A} \sigma_1^2 ds \right| \leq C(T,L_f,\gamma_A) \delta^2. \]

Furthermore,

\[ I_{14} \leq \frac{4C_{TL}L_e}{\gamma_A} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A} \sigma_1 z_N (dz, ds) - \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A} \sigma_1 z_N (dz, ds) \right|^2 \]

\[ \leq C(T,L_f,\gamma_A) \delta^2. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.9)

From (3.8) to (3.9),

\[ I_1 \leq C(T,L_f,\gamma_A,D(p)) \delta^2. \]

According to Gronwall inequality and Assumptions (A2.1) to (A2.3),

\[ \mathbb{E} \left| y^{\varepsilon} (t) - \tilde{y}^{\varepsilon} (t) \right|^2 \leq \frac{C(T,L_f,\gamma_A,D(p)) \delta^2}{\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\varepsilon}}. \]

So,

\[ I_2 \leq C(T,L_f,\gamma_A,D(p)) \delta^2. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.10)

Then from (3.8) to (3.10),

\[ \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| x^{\varepsilon} (t) - \hat{x}^{\varepsilon} (t) \right| \right]^2 \leq C(T,L_f,\gamma_A,D(p)) \delta^2. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.11)

Compute the following part,

\[ \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \hat{x}^{\varepsilon} (t) - x (t) \right| \right]^2 \leq 4 \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left[ \int_{0}^{t} e^{A(t-s)} \left[ f \left( x^{\varepsilon} (k\delta) , \tilde{y}^{\varepsilon} (s) \right) - \tilde{f} \left( x^{\varepsilon} (k\delta) \right) \right] ds \right]^2 \]

\[ + 4 \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left[ \int_{0}^{t} e^{A(t-s)} \left[ \tilde{f} \left( x^{\varepsilon} (k\delta) \right) - \tilde{f} \left( x^{\varepsilon} (s) \right) \right] ds \right]^2 \]

\[ + 4 \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left[ \int_{0}^{t} e^{A(t-s)} \left[ \tilde{f} \left( x^{\varepsilon} (s) \right) - \tilde{f} \left( x (s) \right) \right] ds \right]^2 \]

\[ \leq I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.12)
Note that the averaged coefficient also satisfies the Lipschitz condition,

\[ I_4 \leq C_{(T, L, \gamma, \sigma, \sigma_1)} \delta^2, \quad I_5 \leq C_{(T, L, \gamma, \sigma, \sigma_1)} \delta^2, \]

and

\[ I_6 \leq C_{(T, L, \gamma, \sigma)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\dot{x}^\varepsilon(t) - x(t)|^2 \right]. \]

To estimate \( I_3 \), construct the process \( \bar{y}^x(k\delta), \bar{y}^\varepsilon(k\delta) \), which is coincide with \( \hat{y}^x \) in distribution,

\[
\bar{y}^x(k\delta), \bar{y}^\varepsilon(k\delta) \left( \frac{S}{\varepsilon} \right) = e^{B/s} \hat{y}^\varepsilon(k\delta) + \int_0^{s/\varepsilon} e^{B(s/\varepsilon - u)} g \left( x^\varepsilon(k\delta), \bar{y}^x(k\delta), \bar{y}^\varepsilon(k\delta)(u) \right) du + \int_0^{s/\varepsilon} e^{B(s/\varepsilon - u)} \sigma_2 dw_1(s) + \int_0^{s/\varepsilon} \int_{|z|<1} e^{B(s/\varepsilon - u)} z \sigma_2 \bar{N}_1'(dz, du). \tag{3.13}
\]

Then,

\[
I_3 \leq 8 \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil t/\delta \rceil - 1} \int_{k\delta}^{(k+1)\delta} e^{A(t-s)} \left[ f \left( x^\varepsilon(k\delta), \hat{y}^\varepsilon(k\delta) \right) - \bar{f} \left( x^\varepsilon(k\delta) \right) \right] ds \right]^2 + 8 \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left[ \int_{[t/\delta]}^t e^{A(t-s)} \left[ f \left( x^\varepsilon(k\delta), \hat{y}^\varepsilon(k\delta) \right) - \bar{f} \left( x^\varepsilon(k\delta) \right) \right] ds \right]^2
\]

\[ = I_3^1 + I_3^2, \]

where

\[
I_3^1 \leq 8 \varepsilon^2 [T/\delta]^2 \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq k \leq [T/\delta] - 1} \left[ \int_0^{\delta/\varepsilon} e^{A(\delta-s\varepsilon)} \left[ f \left( x^\varepsilon(k\delta), \bar{y}^x(k\delta), \bar{y}^\varepsilon(k\delta)(s) \right) - \bar{f} \left( x^\varepsilon(k\delta) \right) \right] ds \right]^2 \leq 8 \varepsilon^2 [T/\delta]^2 \max_{0 \leq k \leq [T/\delta] - 1} I_k^\varepsilon, \tag{3.14}
\]

Cauchy-Schwarz’s inequality and \((A.2)\) yield that,

\[
I_k^\varepsilon = \int_0^{\delta/\varepsilon} \int_0^{\delta/\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \left\{ e^{A(\delta-s\varepsilon)} \left[ f \left( x^\varepsilon(k\delta), \bar{y}^x(k\delta), \bar{y}^\varepsilon(k\delta)(s) \right) - \bar{f} \left( x^\varepsilon(k\delta) \right) \right] \right\} ds \, d\tau
\]

\[
= \int_0^{\delta/\varepsilon} \int_\tau^{\delta/\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \left\{ e^{A(\delta-\tau)} \left[ f \left( x^\varepsilon(k\delta), \bar{y}^x(k\delta), \bar{y}^\varepsilon(k\delta)(\tau) \right) - \bar{f} \left( x^\varepsilon(k\delta) \right) \right] \right\} e^{A(\delta-s\varepsilon)} \left[ f \left( x^\varepsilon(k\delta), \bar{y}^x(k\delta), \bar{y}^\varepsilon(k\delta)(s) \right) - \bar{f} \left( x^\varepsilon(k\delta) \right) \right] ds \, d\tau
\]

\[
\leq \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left\{ e^{A(\delta-\tau)} \left[ f \left( x^\varepsilon(k\delta), \bar{y}^x(k\delta), \bar{y}^\varepsilon(k\delta)(\tau) \right) - \bar{f} \left( x^\varepsilon(k\delta) \right) \right] \right\} \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left[ f \left( x^\varepsilon(k\delta), \bar{y}^x(k\delta), \bar{y}^\varepsilon(k\delta)(s) \right) - \bar{f} \left( x^\varepsilon(k\delta) \right) \right] \right\} \right\}^{1/2} \int_0^{\delta/\varepsilon} \int_\tau^{\delta/\varepsilon} \quad d\tau \]

\[ \leq 7. \]
\[
\leq C(\sigma_2, T, L_g, C_g) \int_0^{\delta/\varepsilon} \int_\tau^{\delta/\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{\eta(s-\tau)}{2}} ds d\tau
\leq C(\sigma_2, T, L_g, C_g) \left( \frac{4}{\eta^2} e^{-\frac{\eta^2}{2}} - \frac{4}{\eta^2} + \frac{2\delta}{\eta^2} \right).
\]

And
\[
I_3^2 \leq 8 \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left[ \int_t^{t+\delta} e^{A(t-s)} \left[ f(x(\kappa \delta), y(\kappa \delta)) - \tilde{f}(x(\kappa \delta)) \right] ds \right]^2 = C(T, L_f, L_g) \delta^2, \tag{3.15}
\]

From (3.14) to (3.15), it has
\[
I_3 \leq C(\sigma_2, T, L_g, C_g) \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}.
\]

Choose suitable \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( \delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \), when \( \varepsilon \to 0, \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \to 0 \),
\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |x^\varepsilon(t) - x(t)|^2 \right] \leq C(\sigma_2, L_g, C_g, T, L_f, L_g, D(p), \sigma_1) \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}. \tag{3.16}
\]

The theorem thus follows.

4. Priori estimates: random slow manifold

In order to define the perturbed test function, it needs to construct the slow manifold of slow-fast system. Firstly, construct the two-sided Lévy process \( L_t(\omega) := \omega(t), t \in \mathbb{R} \) defined on \( (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \), where \( \Omega = D_0(\mathbb{R}, R^n) \),
\[
D_0 = \{ \omega : \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \lim_{s \uparrow t} \omega(s) = \omega(t-), \lim_{s \downarrow t} \omega(s) = \omega(t) \text{ exist and } \omega(0) = 0 \},
\]
the topology is defined as follows,
\[
d_R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : |\omega_1(t) - \omega_2(\lambda t)| \leq \varepsilon, |\ln \frac{\arctan(\lambda t) - \arctan(\lambda s)}{\arctan(t) - \arctan(s)}| \leq \varepsilon \}
\]
for every \( t, s \in R \) and some \( \lambda \in \Lambda^R \), where
\[
\Lambda^R = \{ \lambda : R \to R; \lambda \text{ is injective increasing, } \lim_{t \to -\infty} \lambda(t) = -\infty, \lim_{t \to \infty} \lambda(t) = \infty \}.
\]

Then \( (D_0, B(D_0)) \) is a separable metric space, where \( B(D_0) \) is Borel \( \sigma \)-algebra. The flow is given by
\[
\theta : R \times D_0 \to D_0, \theta_t \omega(\cdot) \mapsto \omega(\cdot + t) - \omega(t),
\]
which is a Caratheodory function. Then \( (D_0, B(D_0), (\theta_t))_{t \in R} \) is a metric dynamical system generated by two-sided Lévy process. Similarly, the corresponding two-sided Lévy process of \( L_1(t) \) can be constructed.
A random dynamical system taking values in the measurable space over a metric dynamical system \((\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}_0), P, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})\) is defined by a mapping,

\[ \varphi : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, \]

which is \(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) - \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)\) measurable. And it satisfies the cocycle property,

\[ \varphi(0, \omega, \cdot) = \text{id}_H = \text{identity on } H \text{ for each } \omega \in \Omega, \]

\[ \varphi(t + s, \omega, \cdot) = \varphi(t, \theta_s \omega, \varphi(s, \omega, \cdot)) \text{ for each } s, t \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega. \]

Then we need to prove the invariant manifold of this system

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\tilde{x}^\varepsilon}{dt}(t) &= \varepsilon A\tilde{x}^\varepsilon(t) dt + \varepsilon f(\tilde{x}^\varepsilon(t), \tilde{y}^\varepsilon(t)) dt + \sigma_1 dL^\varepsilon(t), \\
\frac{d\tilde{y}^\varepsilon}{dt}(t) &= B\tilde{y}^\varepsilon(t) dt + g(\tilde{x}^\varepsilon(t), \tilde{y}^\varepsilon(t)) dt + \sigma_2 dL_1(t),
\end{align*}
\]

which is scaled version of (1.1), where

\[ dL^\varepsilon(t) = \sqrt{\varepsilon}dw(t) + \int_{|z|<1} z\tilde{N}^\varepsilon(dt,dz), \]

where

\[ \tilde{N}^\varepsilon(dt,dz) = N^\varepsilon(dt,dz) - \varepsilon v'(dz) dt, \]

and

\[ dL_1(t) = dw_1(t) + \int_{|z|<1} z\tilde{N}_1(dt,dz), \]

where

\[ \tilde{N}_1(dt,dz) = N_1(dt,dz) - v_1'(dz) dt. \]

Lemma 4.1.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\eta^\varepsilon}{dt}(t) &= \varepsilon A\eta^\varepsilon(t) dt + \sigma_1 dL^\varepsilon(t), \\
\frac{d\xi}{dt}(t) &= B\xi(t) dt + \sigma_2 dL_1(t).
\end{align*}
\]

The above equations has stationary solution,

\[
\begin{align*}
\eta^\varepsilon(\omega) &= \sigma_1 \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-As\varepsilon}dL^\varepsilon(s, \omega), \\
\xi(\omega) &= \sigma_2 \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-Bs}dL_1(s, \omega).
\end{align*}
\]

Proof: The proof refers to [33].

Then take the transform,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{u}^\varepsilon \\
\tilde{v}^\varepsilon
\end{pmatrix} := T^\varepsilon(\omega, \tilde{x}^\varepsilon, \tilde{y}^\varepsilon) := 
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{x}^\varepsilon - \eta^\varepsilon(\omega) \\
\tilde{y}^\varepsilon - \xi(\omega)
\end{pmatrix},
\]
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Lemma 4.2. Assume the assumptions for system (4.4) exists, Therefore, its inverse transform can also generated an random dynamical system. According to [31, 33], the solution mapping can generate an random dynamical system. The random differential equations are given as follows,

\[
\begin{align*}
&du^\varepsilon (t) = \varepsilon Au^\varepsilon (t) \, dt + \varepsilon f (u^\varepsilon (t) + \eta^\varepsilon (t), v^\varepsilon (t) + \xi (t)) \, dt, \\
&dv^\varepsilon (t) = Bv^\varepsilon (t) \, dt + g (u^\varepsilon (t) + \eta^\varepsilon (t), v^\varepsilon (t) + \xi (t)) \, dt.
\end{align*}
\] (4.4)

According to [31, 33], the solution mapping can generate an random dynamical system. Therefore, its inverse transform can also generated an random dynamical system.

Before proving random slow manifold, define following Banach spaces,

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{\gamma}^{A-} &= \{ \Phi^{A-} : (-\infty, 0] \to \mathbb{R}^n \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^-} |e^{\gamma t} \Phi^{A-}_t| < \infty \}, \\
C_{\gamma}^{B-} &= \{ \Phi^{B-} : (-\infty, 0] \to \mathbb{R}^n \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^-} |e^{\gamma t} \Phi^{B-}_t| < \infty \}, \\
C_{\gamma}^{A+} &= \{ \Phi^{A+} : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} |e^{\gamma t} \Phi^{A+}_t| < \infty \}, \\
C_{\gamma}^{B+} &= \{ \Phi^{B+} : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} |e^{\gamma t} \Phi^{B+}_t| < \infty \}.
\end{align*}
\]

Lemma 4.2. Assume the assumptions (A2.1) to (A2.3) are satisfied, the invariant manifold for system (4.4) exists,

\[ M (\omega) = \{(u_0, h^\varepsilon (\omega, u_0)) : u_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \}, \]

where

\[ h^\varepsilon (\omega, u_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{B \varepsilon s} g (u^\varepsilon (s; u_0) + \eta^\varepsilon (s), v^\varepsilon (s; v_0) + \xi (s)) \, ds, \] (4.5)

which is a Lipschitz mapping with the coefficient,

\[ L_h = \frac{L_g}{\gamma_B - \gamma} \frac{1}{1 - \rho (\varepsilon)}. \]

Proof:

Step 1: \((u_0, v_0) \in M^\varepsilon (\omega) \Leftrightarrow \exists \Phi^\varepsilon (t) = (u^\varepsilon (t), v^\varepsilon (t)) \in C_{\gamma}^-\), and

\[
\begin{align*}
&u^\varepsilon (t) = e^{\varepsilon A t} u_0 + \varepsilon \int_0^t e^{\varepsilon A (t-s)} f (u^\varepsilon (s) + \eta^\varepsilon (s), v^\varepsilon (s) + \xi (s)) ds, \\
v^\varepsilon (t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{B(t-s)} g (u^\varepsilon (s) + \eta^\varepsilon (s), v^\varepsilon (s) + \xi (s)) ds,
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[ M^\varepsilon (\omega) = \{(u_0, v_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi^\varepsilon (t) = (u^\varepsilon (t), v^\varepsilon (t)) \in C_{\gamma}^- \}. \]

The solution to (4.1) is defined as follows,

\[
\begin{align*}
&u^\varepsilon (t) = e^{\varepsilon A t} u_0 + \varepsilon \int_0^t e^{\varepsilon A (t-s)} f (u^\varepsilon (s) + \eta^\varepsilon (s), v^\varepsilon (s) + \xi (s)) ds, \\
v^\varepsilon (t) = e^{\varepsilon A t} v (t) + \int_{t}^{t} e^{B(t-s)} g (u^\varepsilon (s) + \eta^\varepsilon (s), v^\varepsilon (s) + \xi (s)) ds.
\end{align*}
\]
If \((u_0, v_0) \in M^\varepsilon(\omega)\), from the definition of \(M^\varepsilon(\omega)\) and \(- (\gamma_B - \gamma) > 0\), when \(\tau \to -\infty\)
\[
\left| e^{B(t-\tau)}u^\varepsilon(\tau) \right| \leq \sup_{\tau \in R^-} \left\{ e^{-\gamma u(t-\tau)} e^{\gamma^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon(\tau)} \right\} e^{-\gamma^\varepsilon u}
\leq \| u^\varepsilon \|_{C^g_B} e^{-\gamma B t} e^{-(\gamma_B - \gamma) \tau},
\] (4.6)
then (4.6) tends to zero.

Then it can obtain that
\[
v^\varepsilon(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{B(t-s)} g(u^\varepsilon(s) + \eta^\varepsilon(s), v^\varepsilon(s) + \xi(s))ds,
\]
vice versa.

**Step 2:** Define the Lyapunov-Perron transform, and prove it is a contraction.

Define the Lyapunov-Perron transform,
\[
K^\varepsilon(\Phi^\varepsilon(t)) = \begin{bmatrix} K_\Lambda\varepsilon(\Phi^\varepsilon(t)) \\ K_B\varepsilon(\Phi^\varepsilon(t)) \end{bmatrix},
\]
(4.7)
where
\[
K_\Lambda\varepsilon(\Phi^\varepsilon(t)) : C^- \to C^-_{\Lambda}, K_B\varepsilon(\Phi^\varepsilon(t)) : C^- \to C^-_B,
\]
then,
\[
\| K_\Lambda\varepsilon(\Phi^\varepsilon) \|_{C^-_A} = \sup_{t \in R^-} \left| e^{\gamma^\varepsilon t} \left\{ e^{\gamma^\varepsilon A t} u_0 + \varepsilon \int_0^t e^{\varepsilon A(t-s)} f(u^\varepsilon(s) + \eta^\varepsilon(s), v^\varepsilon(s) + \xi(s))ds \right\} \right|
\leq \sup_{t \in R^-} e^{\gamma^\varepsilon t - \varepsilon^\gamma^\varepsilon A t} u_0 + C_{(\gamma, \gamma_A, L, \eta, \varepsilon, \xi)} + \frac{C_f}{\gamma^\varepsilon A}
+ \varepsilon L_f \sup_{t \in R^-} \left\{ \int_0^t e^{(\gamma - \varepsilon^\gamma^\varepsilon A)(t-s)} \left( \| u^\varepsilon \|_{C^-_A} + \| v^\varepsilon \|_{C^-_B} \right)ds \right\}
\leq C_{(C_f, \gamma, \gamma_A, L, \varepsilon, u_0, t, \eta, \xi)} + \frac{\varepsilon L_f}{\gamma - \varepsilon^\gamma^\varepsilon A} \| \Phi^\varepsilon \|_{C^-},
\]
(4.8)
and
\[
\| K_B\varepsilon(\Phi^\varepsilon) \|_{C^-_B} = \sup_{t \in R^-} \left\{ e^{\gamma^\varepsilon t} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^t e^{B(t-s)} g(u^\varepsilon(s) + \eta^\varepsilon(s), v^\varepsilon(s) + \xi(s))ds \right\} \right\}
\leq L_g \sup_{t \in R^-} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^t e^{(\gamma - \gamma_B)(t-s)}ds \right\} \left( \| u^\varepsilon \|_{C^-_A} + \| v^\varepsilon \|_{C^-_B} \right) + C_{(C_g, \gamma_B, \gamma, \gamma_A, L, \eta, \varepsilon, \xi)}
\leq C_{(C_g, \gamma_B, \gamma, \gamma_A, L, \eta, \varepsilon, \xi)} + \frac{L_g}{\gamma_B - \gamma} \| \Phi^\varepsilon \|_{C^-_B}.
\]
(4.9)
where constant \(C_{(C_g, \gamma_B, \gamma, \gamma_A, L, \eta, \varepsilon, \xi)} > 0\). According to (4.8) and (4.9),
\[
\| K^\varepsilon(\Phi^\varepsilon) \|_{C^-} = \| K_\Lambda\varepsilon(\Phi^\varepsilon) \|_{C^-_A} + \| K_B\varepsilon(\Phi^\varepsilon) \|_{C^-_B}
\leq C_{(C_f, C_g, \gamma, \gamma_A, L, \varepsilon, u_0, t, \eta, \xi)} + \left( \frac{\varepsilon L_f}{\gamma - \varepsilon^\gamma^\varepsilon A} + \frac{L_g}{\gamma_B - \gamma} \right) \| \Phi^\varepsilon \|_{C^-_B},
\]
(4.10)
Therefore, we prove that $K$ where

$$\rho (\varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon L_f}{\gamma - \varepsilon \gamma_A} + \frac{L_g}{\gamma_B - \gamma},$$

(4.11)

when $\varepsilon = 0$,

$$\rho (0) = \frac{L_g}{\gamma_B - \gamma} < 1.$$  

(4.12)

With the addition of $\varepsilon$, $\rho (\varepsilon)$ increases, then it can find $\varepsilon_0$ to make the $0 < \rho (\varepsilon) < 1$. Therefore, we prove that $K^\varepsilon$ maps $C_\gamma$ into itself. Furthermore, it can prove that

$$\|K^\varepsilon (\Phi_1^\varepsilon) - K^\varepsilon (\Phi_2^\varepsilon)\|_{C_\gamma} = \|K^\varepsilon_A (\Phi_1^\varepsilon) - K^\varepsilon_A (\Phi_2^\varepsilon)\|_{C_\gamma} + \|K^\varepsilon_B (\Phi_1^\varepsilon) - K^\varepsilon_B (\Phi_2^\varepsilon)\|_{C_\gamma}$$

$$\leq \left( \frac{\varepsilon L_f}{\gamma - \varepsilon \gamma_A} + \frac{L_g}{\gamma_B - \gamma} \right) \|\Phi_1^\varepsilon - \Phi_2^\varepsilon\|_{C_\gamma}.$$  

(4.13)

Therefore, it is a contraction. By the Banach fixed point theorem, there exists a fixed point.

**Step 3:** Dependence of this fixed point on the initial value.

For $(u_0, v_0), (u'_0, v'_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|\Phi^\varepsilon (t, \omega, (u_0, v_0)) - \Phi^\varepsilon (t, \omega, (u'_0, v'_0))\|_{C_\gamma}$$

$$= \|\Phi^\varepsilon (t, \omega, (u_0, v_0)) - \Phi^\varepsilon (t, \omega, (u'_0, v'_0))\|_{C_\gamma} + \|\Phi^\varepsilon (t, \omega, (u_0, v_0)) - \Phi^\varepsilon (t, \omega, (u'_0, v'_0))\|_{C_\gamma}$$

$$\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^-} \left\{ e^{\gamma t} \left\{ e^{A t} |u_0 - u'_0| + \varepsilon \int_t^0 e^{A (t-s)} \left[ f (u^\varepsilon (s; u_0) + \eta^\varepsilon (s), v^\varepsilon (s; v_0) + \xi (s)) - f (u^\varepsilon (s; u'_0) + \eta^\varepsilon (s), v^\varepsilon (s; v'_0) + \xi (s)) \right] ds \right\} \right\}$$

$$+ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^-} \left\{ e^{\gamma t} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^t e^{B (t-s)} \left[ g (u^\varepsilon (s; u_0) + \eta^\varepsilon (s), v^\varepsilon (s; v_0) + \xi (s)) - g (u^\varepsilon (s; u'_0) + \eta^\varepsilon (s), v^\varepsilon (s; v'_0) + \xi (s)) \right] ds \right\} \right\}$$

$$\leq |u_0 - u'_0| + \rho (\varepsilon) \|\Phi^\varepsilon (t, \omega, (u_0, v_0)) - \Phi^\varepsilon (t, \omega, (u'_0, v'_0))\|_{C_\gamma}.$$  

Hence,

$$\|\Phi^\varepsilon (t, \omega, (u_0, v_0)) - \Phi^\varepsilon (t, \omega, (u'_0, v'_0))\|_{C_\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \rho (\varepsilon)} |u_0 - u'_0|.$$  

**Step 4:** Construct the graph:

$$h^\varepsilon (\omega, u_0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{Bs} g (u^\varepsilon (s; u_0) + \eta^\varepsilon (s), v^\varepsilon (s; v_0) + \xi (s)) ds.$$  

(4.14)

Similarly,

$$|h^\varepsilon (\omega, u_0) - h^\varepsilon (\omega, u'_0)| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-Bs} g (u^\varepsilon (s; u_0) + \eta^\varepsilon (s), v^\varepsilon (s; v_0) + \xi (s)) ds \right|$$.  
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\[
-g(u^\varepsilon(s; u'_0) + \eta^\varepsilon(s), v^\varepsilon(s; v'_0) + \xi(s))] \, ds \leq \frac{L_g}{\gamma_B - \gamma 1 - \rho(\varepsilon)} |u_0 - u'_0|.
\]

Define that,
\[
L_h = \frac{L_g}{\gamma_B - \gamma 1 - \rho(\varepsilon)}.
\]

**Step 5:** Invariance of manifold.

\[\Phi(t, \omega, M(\omega)) \subseteq M(\theta_t \omega).\]

For each \((u_0, v_0) \in M(\omega), \Phi(s, \omega, (u_0, v_0)) \subseteq M(\theta_s \omega).\)

By cocycle property,
\[
\Phi(t + s, \omega, (u_0, v_0)) = \Phi(t, \theta_s \omega, \Phi(s, \omega, (u_0, v_0))).
\]

Therefore,
\[
\Phi(s, \omega, (u_0, v_0)) \subseteq M(\theta_s \omega).
\]

Under the assumptions (A2.1) to (A2.3), the invariant manifold for system (4.4) exists.

**Lemma 4.3.** Based on the Assumptions (A2.1) to (A2.3), the invariant manifold
\[
M(\omega) = \{ (u_0, h^\varepsilon(\omega, u_0)) : u_0 \in R^n \},
\]
has exponential tracking property: for any \((u_0, v_0) \in R^n,\)
\[
|u^\varepsilon(t, \omega, (u_0, v_0)) - u^\varepsilon(t, \omega, (u'_0, v'_0))| + |v^\varepsilon(t, \omega, (u_0, v_0)) - v^\varepsilon(t, \omega, (u'_0, v'_0))| \leq \frac{e^{-\gamma t}}{1 - \rho(\varepsilon)} |v_0 - v'_0|.
\]

**Proof:** Define that
\[
\Psi^\varepsilon(t, \omega, (\hat{u}_0, \hat{v}_0)) = \Phi^\varepsilon(t, \omega, (u_0, v_0)) - \Phi^\varepsilon(t, \omega, (u'_0, v'_0)) = (\hat{u}^\varepsilon(t), \hat{v}^\varepsilon(t)),
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
\begin{cases}
d\hat{u}^\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon A\hat{u}^\varepsilon(t) \, dt + \varepsilon \Delta f(\hat{u}^\varepsilon(t) + \eta^\varepsilon(t), \hat{v}^\varepsilon(t) + \xi(t)) \, dt, \\
d\hat{v}^\varepsilon(t) = B\hat{v}^\varepsilon(t) \, dt + \Delta g(\hat{u}^\varepsilon(t) + \eta^\varepsilon(t), \hat{v}^\varepsilon(t) + \xi(t)) \, dt,
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\Delta f(\hat{u}^\varepsilon(t) + \eta^\varepsilon(t), \hat{v}^\varepsilon(t) + \xi(t)) = f(u^\varepsilon(t, u_0) + \eta^\varepsilon(t), v^\varepsilon(t, v_0) + \xi(t)) - f(u^\varepsilon(t, u'_0) + \eta^\varepsilon(t), v^\varepsilon(t, v'_0) + \xi(t)),
\]
\[
\Delta g(\hat{u}^\varepsilon(t) + \eta^\varepsilon(t), \hat{v}^\varepsilon(t) + \xi(t)) = g(u^\varepsilon(t, u_0) + \eta^\varepsilon(t), \hat{v}^\varepsilon(t, v_0) + \xi(t)) - g(u^\varepsilon(t, u'_0) + \eta^\varepsilon(t), \hat{v}^\varepsilon(t, v'_0) + \xi(t)).
\]
and
\[ \Delta g (\dot{u}^\varepsilon (t) + \eta^\varepsilon (t), \dot{v}^\varepsilon (t) + \xi (t)) = g (u^\varepsilon (t, u_0) + \eta^\varepsilon (t), v^\varepsilon (t, v_0) + \xi (t)) - g (u^\varepsilon (t, u'_0) + \eta^\varepsilon (t), v^\varepsilon (t, v'_0) + \xi (t)), \]

and
\[ \hat{u}_0 = u_0 - u'_0, \hat{v}_0 = v_0 - v'_0. \]

Then
\[ v_0 = h^\varepsilon (\omega, u_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{Bs} g (u^\varepsilon (s; u_0) + \eta^\varepsilon (s), v^\varepsilon (s; v_0) + \xi (s)) ds. \]

The solution to (4.17) is
\[
\begin{cases}
\dot{u}^\varepsilon (t) = \varepsilon \int_t^{+\infty} e^{\varepsilon \gamma (t-s)} \Delta f (\dot{u}^\varepsilon (s) + \eta^\varepsilon (s), \dot{v}^\varepsilon (s) + \xi (s)) ds, \\
\dot{v}^\varepsilon (t) = e^{Bt} \hat{v}_0 + \int_0^t e^{B(t-s)} \Delta g (\dot{u}^\varepsilon (s) + \eta^\varepsilon (s), \dot{v}^\varepsilon (s) + \xi (s)) ds.
\end{cases}
\]

Construct Lyapunov-Perron map:
\[ \Gamma^\varepsilon (\Psi^\varepsilon (t)) = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_A^\varepsilon (\Psi^\varepsilon (t)) \\ \Gamma_B^\varepsilon (\Psi^\varepsilon (t)) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.18) \]

where
\[ \Gamma_A^\varepsilon (\Psi^\varepsilon (t)) : C^+_\gamma \to C^+_A, \quad \Gamma_B^\varepsilon (\Psi^\varepsilon (t)) : C^+_\gamma \to C^+_B, \quad (4.19) \]

then it has,
\[
\| \Gamma_A^\varepsilon (\Psi^\varepsilon) \|_{C^+_A} \leq \sup_{t \in R^+} \left\{ \varepsilon L_f \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-\varepsilon \gamma_A (t-s) + \gamma t} (|\dot{u}^\varepsilon (s)| + |\dot{v}^\varepsilon (s)|) ds \right\} \\
\leq C_{(C_f, \gamma, \gamma_A, L_f, \varepsilon, u_0, t, \eta, \xi)} + \frac{\varepsilon L_f}{\gamma - \varepsilon \gamma_A} \| \Psi^\varepsilon \|_{C^+_A}, \quad (4.20)
\]

and
\[
\| \Gamma_B^\varepsilon (\Psi^\varepsilon) \|_{C^+_B} \leq |\hat{v}_0| + L_g \sup_{t \in R^+} \left\{ \int_0^t e^{(\gamma - \gamma_B)(t-s)} ds \right\} \left( \| \dot{u}^\varepsilon \|_{C^+_A} + \| \dot{v}^\varepsilon \|_{C^+_B} \right) \\
\leq |\hat{v}_0| + C_{(C_g, \gamma_B, \gamma, \gamma_A, L_g, \varepsilon, \eta, \xi)} + \frac{L_g}{\gamma - \gamma_B} \| \Psi^\varepsilon \|_{C^+_A}. \quad (4.21)
\]

From (4.20) and (4.21),
\[
\| \Gamma^\varepsilon (\Psi^\varepsilon) \|_{C^+_A} = \| \Gamma_A^\varepsilon (\Psi^\varepsilon) \|_{C^+_A} + \| \Gamma_B^\varepsilon (\Psi^\varepsilon) \|_{C^+_B} \\
\leq C_{(C_f, C_g, \gamma, \gamma_A, L_f, \varepsilon, \hat{v}_0, t, \eta, \xi)} + \left( \frac{\varepsilon L_f}{\gamma - \varepsilon \gamma_A} + \frac{L_g}{\gamma - \gamma_B} \right) \| \Psi^\varepsilon \|_{C^+_A}. \]
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Let 
\[\rho(\varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon L_f}{\gamma - \varepsilon \gamma_A} + \frac{L_g}{\gamma B - \gamma}.\]

Furthermore, it can prove that 
\[\|e^{Bt}(\hat{u}_0 - \hat{v}_0)\|_{C^B_+} = \|e^{-\gamma nt}L_h(\hat{u}_0 - \hat{v}_0)\|_{C^B_+} \leq \frac{\varepsilon L_g L_h}{\gamma - \varepsilon \gamma_A}\|\Psi - \Psi_2\|_{C^*_+},\]

where 
\[L_h = \frac{L_g}{\gamma B - \gamma - \rho(\varepsilon)}.\]

It leads to, 
\[\|\Gamma^*(\Psi_T^\varepsilon) - \Gamma^*(\Psi_2^\varepsilon)\|_{C^*_+} = \|\Gamma_A^*(\Psi_T^\varepsilon) - \Gamma_A^*(\Psi_2^\varepsilon)\|_{C^*_+} + \|\Gamma_B^*(\Psi_T^\varepsilon) - \Gamma_B^*(\Psi_2^\varepsilon)\|_{C^*_+} \leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon L_g L_h}{\gamma - \varepsilon \gamma_A} + \frac{\varepsilon L_f}{\gamma - \varepsilon \gamma_A} + \frac{L_g}{\gamma B - \gamma}\right)\|\Psi - \Psi_2\|_{C^*_+}.\]

Define that, 
\[\hat{\rho}(\varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon L_f}{\gamma - \varepsilon \gamma_A} + \frac{L_g}{\gamma B - \gamma} = \frac{1}{1 - \rho(\varepsilon)}.\]

On conclusion, there is a unique fixed point in \(C^*_+.\) It has 
\[|u^\varepsilon(t, \omega, (u_0, v_0)) - u^\varepsilon(t, \omega, (u'_0, v'_0))| + |v^\varepsilon(t, \omega, (u_0, v_0)) - v^\varepsilon(t, \omega, (u'_0, v'_0))| \leq \frac{e^{-\gamma t}}{1 - \rho(\varepsilon)}|v_0 - v'_0|.

**Theorem 4.4.** \(\hat{h}^{1/\varepsilon}(\omega, x_0) = \hat{h}^{1/\varepsilon}(\omega, u_0) + \xi^{1/\varepsilon}(\omega)\) is slow manifold for the system (1.1), where 
\[\hat{h}^{1/\varepsilon}(\omega, x_0) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-Bs/\varepsilon} \left[ u^\varepsilon(s/\varepsilon; u_0) + \eta(s), v^\varepsilon(s/\varepsilon; v_0) + \xi^{1/\varepsilon}(s) \right] ds, \quad (4.22)\]

where 
\[\xi^{1/\varepsilon}(\omega) = \frac{\sigma_2}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-Bs/\varepsilon} dL(s, \omega). \quad (4.23)\]

**Proof:** Based on Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, this lemma can be proved.
5. Deviation limit

According to Theorem 3.1, \( x^\varepsilon(t) \) converges to \( x(t) \) up to errors \( O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}) \). Therefore, define that

\[
x^\varepsilon(t) = \frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} (x^\varepsilon(t) - x(t)),
\]
then original slow component \( x^\varepsilon(t) \), which is defined in (1.1), could be rewritten as follows,

\[
x^\varepsilon(t) = x(t) + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\delta}} x^\varepsilon(t),
\]

owing to that \( \delta \) is related to \( \varepsilon \), define \( \vartheta^\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} (x^\varepsilon(t) - x(t)), \)

Consider the deviation component \( \vartheta^\varepsilon(t) \) as follows,

\[
\vartheta^\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} (x^\varepsilon(t) - x(t)),
\]

To analyze the deviation, divide \( \vartheta^\varepsilon(t) \) into two parts,

\[
\vartheta^\varepsilon(t) = \vartheta_1^\varepsilon(t) + \vartheta_2^\varepsilon(t),
\]

where

\[
d\vartheta_1^\varepsilon(t) = A\vartheta_1^\varepsilon(t) dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left[ f\left(x^\varepsilon(t), \hat{\omega}^0(t/\varepsilon)\right) - \bar{f}(x(t))\right] dt,
\]

and

\[
d\vartheta_2^\varepsilon(t) = A\vartheta_2^\varepsilon(t) dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left[ f\left(x^\varepsilon(t), y^\varepsilon(t)\right) - f\left(x^\varepsilon_{\hat{\delta}}(t), \hat{\omega}^0(t/\varepsilon)\right)\right] dt,
\]

where \( x^\varepsilon_{\hat{\delta}}(t) \) is coupling with \( \hat{\omega}^0(t/\varepsilon) \).

To give \( \hat{\omega}^0(t/\varepsilon) \), following lemmas are needed.

**Lemma 5.1.** The asymptotic form of the slow manifold for system (1.1) is \( \hat{h}^\varepsilon(\omega, u_0) = h^0(\omega, u_0) + \xi^{1/\varepsilon}(\omega) \) in distribution, where

\[
h^0(\omega, u_0) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{Bs/\varepsilon} g\left(u_0, v^0(s; v_0) + \xi^{1/\varepsilon}(s)\right) ds.
\]

**Proof:** To obtain the asymptotic form of slow manifold, construct the critical manifold firstly.
Let $\varepsilon = 0$, 
\[
\begin{align*}
  \frac{dx^0}{dt} &= 0, \\
  \frac{dy^0}{dt} &= B y^0(t) dt + g(x^0(t), y^0(t) + \xi(t)) dt.
\end{align*}
\]
By the Lyapunov-Perron method, the slow manifold can be constructed,
\[
\mathcal{M}^0(\omega) = \{ (x_0, h^0(\omega, x_0)) : x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \},
\]
where
\[
h^0(\omega, u_0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{Bs} g(u_0, v^0(s; v_0) + \xi(s)) ds.
\]
Then
\[
| h^\varepsilon(\omega, u_0) - h^0(\omega, u_0) | = \left| \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{Bs} \left( g(u^\varepsilon(s) + \eta^\varepsilon(s), v^\varepsilon(s) + \xi(s)) \\
- g(u^0(s), v^0(s) + \xi(s)) \right) ds \right| \\
\leq | Lg \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{Bs} \left( |u^\varepsilon(s) - u^0(s)| + |v^\varepsilon(s) - v^0(s)| \right) ds |,
\]
where the influence of $\eta^\varepsilon$ can be ignored, and
\[
|u^\varepsilon(t) - u^0(t)| \leq | e^{\varepsilon A t} u_0 - u_0 + \varepsilon \int_t^t e^{\varepsilon A (t-s)} f(u^\varepsilon(s) + \eta^\varepsilon(s), v^\varepsilon(s) + \xi(s)) ds | \\
\leq | \int_t^t e^{\varepsilon A u} Au_0 du | + \left\{ \varepsilon C L \int_t^0 e^{-\varepsilon \gamma^0_A (t-s)} ds \right\} \\
\leq C_{(L_f, \gamma^0_A)} \left( 1 - e^{-\varepsilon \gamma^0_A t} \right),
\]
which is obtained from Lemma 3.2, then
\[
|v^\varepsilon(t) - v^0(t)| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^t e^{B(t-s)} \left( g(u^\varepsilon(s) + \eta^\varepsilon(s), v^\varepsilon(s) + \xi(s)) \\
- g(u^0(s), v^0(s) + \xi(s)) \right) ds \right| \\
\leq Lg \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\gamma_B(t-s)} \left( C_{(L_f, \gamma^0_A)} \left( 1 - e^{-\varepsilon \gamma^0_A s} \right) + |v^\varepsilon(s) - v^0(s)| \right) ds \right\} \\
\leq C_{(L_f, \gamma^0_A)} Lg \left( \frac{1}{-\gamma_B + \varepsilon \gamma^0_A} e^{-\varepsilon \gamma^0_A t} + \frac{1}{\gamma_B} \right) + \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\gamma_B(t-s)} |v^\varepsilon(s) - v^0(s)| ds \right\}.
\]
Therefore,
\[
\|v^\varepsilon(t) - v^0(t)\|_{C^2_t} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \left\{ e^{\gamma t} \left\{ C_{(L_f, \gamma^0_A)} Lg \left( \frac{1}{-\gamma_B + \varepsilon \gamma^0_A} e^{-\varepsilon \gamma^0_A t} + \frac{1}{\gamma_B} \right) \\
+ \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\gamma_B(t-s)} |v^\varepsilon(s) - v^0(s)| ds \right\} \right\} \right\}
\]
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\[
\begin{align*}
&\leq C_{(L,f,\gamma_A')} L_g \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^-} \left( \frac{1}{-\gamma_B + \varepsilon \gamma_A'} e^{\gamma t - \varepsilon \gamma_A' t} + \frac{1}{\gamma_B} e^{\gamma t} \right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{\gamma_B - \gamma} \| v^\varepsilon(t) - v^0(t) \|_{C_{\gamma}^p}.
\end{align*}
\]

Define that
\[
\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^-} q(t, \varepsilon) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^-} \left( \frac{1}{-\gamma_B + \varepsilon \gamma_A'} e^{\gamma t - \varepsilon \gamma_A' t} + \frac{1}{\gamma_B} e^{\gamma t} \right)
\leq q \left( -\frac{1}{\varepsilon \gamma_A'} \ln \frac{\gamma_B - \varepsilon \gamma_A'}{\gamma_B (\gamma - \varepsilon \gamma_A')}, \varepsilon \right)
\leq \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_B (\gamma - \varepsilon \gamma_A')} - \frac{1}{\gamma_B}.
\]

We have,
\[
|v^\varepsilon(t) - v^0(t)| \leq e^{-\gamma t} \left\{ C_{(L,f,\gamma_A')} L_g \left( \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_B (\gamma - \varepsilon \gamma_A')} - \frac{1}{\gamma_B} \right) \cdot \frac{\gamma_B - \gamma}{\gamma_B - \gamma - 1} \right\},
\]
and (5.7) can be estimated as follows,
\[
\begin{align*}
|h^\varepsilon(\omega, u_0) - h^0(\omega, u_0)| &\leq \left| L_g \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\gamma s} \left( C_{(L,f,\gamma_A')} \left( 1 - e^{-\varepsilon \gamma_A' s} \right) \\
&+ e^{-\gamma s} \left\{ C_{(L,f,\gamma_A')} L_g \left( \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_B (\gamma - \varepsilon \gamma_A')} - \frac{1}{\gamma_B} \right) \cdot \frac{\gamma_B - \gamma}{\gamma_B - \gamma - 1} \right\} \right) ds \right|
\leq L_g C_{(L,f,\gamma_A')} \frac{1}{\gamma_B} - L_g C_{(L,f,\gamma_A')} \frac{1}{\gamma_B - \varepsilon \gamma_A'} \\
&+ L_g C_{(L,f,\gamma_A')} L_g \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_B (\gamma - \varepsilon \gamma_A')} \frac{\gamma_B - \gamma}{\gamma_B - \gamma - 1} \frac{1}{\gamma_B - \gamma} \\
&- L_g C_{(L,f,\gamma_A')} L_g \frac{1}{\gamma_B \gamma_B - \gamma - 1} \frac{1}{\gamma_B - \gamma},
\end{align*}
\]
with \( \varepsilon \to 0 \),
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} |h^\varepsilon(\omega, u_0) - h^0(\omega, u_0)| = 0.
\]

On the conclusion, the asymptotic form of the slow manifold for original system (1.1) is
\[ \dot{h}^0(\omega, x_0) = h^0(\omega, u_0) + \xi^{1/\varepsilon}(\omega) \] in distribution.

Some definitions in the martingale approach are presented. On the basis of the Kurtz \[34\] and Kushner \[23\], define an infinitesimal operator as following: we say that \( \varsigma(\cdot) \in D(\tilde{\Pi}^\varepsilon) \), the domain of \( \tilde{\Pi}^\varepsilon \), and \( \tilde{\Pi}^\varepsilon \varsigma = g \) if
\[
p - \lim_{\delta \to 0} \left[ \frac{\mathbb{E} \varsigma(t + \delta) - \varsigma(t)}{\delta} - g(t) \right] = 0,
\]
where \( p - \lim \) is defined as follows: \( \varsigma = p - \lim_{\delta} \varsigma^\delta \) if and only if
\[
\sup_{t, \delta} \mathbb{E} |\varsigma^\delta(t)| < \infty,
\]
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\[
\lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathbb{E} \left| \zeta^\delta (t) - \zeta (t) \right| = 0,
\]
for each \( t \).

Let \( M^\varepsilon = \{ \zeta \in M : \sup_t |\zeta (t)| < \infty, \zeta (t) : F^\varepsilon_t \text{ - measurable} \} \). \( \mathbb{E}^\varepsilon_t \) represents the conditional expectation on \( \sigma \)-algebra \( F^\varepsilon_t \). And Kurtz(1975) proved the following lemma.

If \( \zeta (\cdot) \in D(\hat{\Pi}^\varepsilon) \), then
\[
\zeta (t) - \int_0^t \hat{\Pi}^\varepsilon \zeta (u) \, du =: M^\varepsilon (t),
\]
is a martingale, and also
\[
\mathbb{E}^\varepsilon_t \zeta (t + s) - \zeta (s) = \int_t^{t+s} \mathbb{E}^\varepsilon \hat{\Pi}^\varepsilon \zeta (u) \, du.
\]

In order to analyze the normal deviation, the truncation technique is needed. Define the truncated component as follows:

For each \( K > 0 \), let
\[
\tilde{\vartheta}^\varepsilon,K_1 (t) = \vartheta^\varepsilon_1 (t), \quad (5.8)
\]
for \( t < \inf \{ s : |\vartheta^\varepsilon_1 (s)| > K \} \), and \( \lim \limsup_{K \to \infty} \sup_{\varepsilon \to 0} P \left( \sup_{t \leq T} |\vartheta^\varepsilon,K_1 (t)| \geq K \right) = 0 \) for each \( T < \infty \).

\( \vartheta^\varepsilon,K_1 (t) \) is said to be the \( K \)-truncation of \( \vartheta^\varepsilon_1 (t) \).

Then \( \vartheta^\varepsilon,K_1 (t) \) is defined as follows,
\[
d\vartheta^\varepsilon,K_1 (t) = A\vartheta^\varepsilon,K_1 (t) \, dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} q^\varepsilon,K (\vartheta^\varepsilon,K_1 (t)) \left[ f \left( x^\varepsilon_0 (t), \hat{h}^0 (t/\varepsilon) \right) - \bar{f} (x (t)) \right] dt, \quad (5.9)
\]
where
\[
q^\varepsilon,K (\vartheta^\varepsilon,K_1 (t)) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{when } \vartheta^\varepsilon,K_1 (t) \in S_K, \\
0, & \text{when } \vartheta^\varepsilon,K_1 (t) \in \mathbb{R}^n - S_K,
\end{cases} \quad (5.10)
\]
and \( S_K = \{ \vartheta : |\vartheta| \leq K \} \).

**Lemma 5.2.** Let \( \vartheta^\varepsilon,K_1 (t) \) be \( \mathbb{R}^n \)-valued process with paths on \( D ([0, T) ; \mathbb{R}^n) \), for each \( T < \infty \), satisfying that
\[
\lim_{K \to \infty} \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} P \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\vartheta^\varepsilon,K_1 (t)| \geq K \right\} = 0,
\]
for each \( \zeta \in C^2_0 (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \), there exists \( \zeta^\varepsilon,K (\cdot) \) such that \( \zeta^\varepsilon,K (\cdot) \in D(\hat{\Pi}^\varepsilon,K) \), and
\[
\left\{ \hat{\Pi}^\varepsilon,K \zeta^\varepsilon,K (\cdot) : \varepsilon \geq 0, t \leq T \right\}
\]
is uniformly integrable and for each \( \varepsilon \)
\[
p-\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left[ \zeta^\varepsilon,K (\cdot) - \zeta (\vartheta^\varepsilon,K_1 (\cdot)) \right] = 0, \quad (5.12)
\]
Then, \( \vartheta^\varepsilon,K_1 (t) \) is tight on \( D^n ([0, T) ; \mathbb{R}^n) \).
Lemma 5.3. Under the conditions (A2.1) to (A2.4), the truncated component, $\hat{\sigma}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t)$, which is defined in (5.10), is tight in $D([0,T];\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof: From the truncation technique, it can be obtained:

$$\lim_{K \to \infty} \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} P \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \hat{\sigma}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t) \right| \geq K \right\} = 0.$$

According to Lemma 5.2, it needs to show that $\{\hat{\Pi}^{\varepsilon,K} \zeta^{\varepsilon,K}(\cdot) : \varepsilon \geq 0, t \leq T\}$ is uniformly integrable, and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} P \left\{ \left| \zeta^{\varepsilon,K}(\cdot) - \zeta(\hat{\sigma}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} = 0. \quad (5.13)$$

Define that

$$\zeta^{\varepsilon,K}(t) = \zeta(\hat{\sigma}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) + \zeta_1^{\varepsilon,K}(\hat{\sigma}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t)),$$  
$$\text{where}$$

$$\zeta_1^{\varepsilon,K}(\hat{\sigma}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) = q^{\varepsilon,K} \int_t^T \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} s_0(\hat{\sigma}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) E^\varepsilon_t \left[ f(x(t),\hat{h}_0^{0}(s/\varepsilon)) - \bar{f}(x(t)) \right] ds, \quad (5.15)$$

$E^\varepsilon_t$ represents the conditional expectation on the $\sigma$-algebra $F^\varepsilon_t$, which is generated by $\{x^{\varepsilon}_h(s), \tilde{x}(s), \hat{h}_0^0(s) : s \leq t\}$. The $\hat{h}_0^0(s)$ represents the fast component, whose initial value is defined by $\hat{h}_0^0(\omega, x_0)$, with fixed-$x(t)$.

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} E \left| \zeta_1^{\varepsilon,K}(\hat{\sigma}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) \right| = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sqrt{\varepsilon} E \left| q^{\varepsilon,K} \int_t^T \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} s_0(\hat{\sigma}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) E^\varepsilon_t \left[ f(x(t),\hat{h}_0^{0}(s)) - \bar{f}(x(t)) \right] ds \right|$$

$$\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sqrt{\varepsilon} E \left| q^{\varepsilon,K} \int_t^T \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} s_0(\hat{\sigma}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) (e^{-(2\gamma-\tilde{L}_0-1)(s-t/\varepsilon)} - 1) ds \right|$$

$$\leq O \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} \right). \quad (5.16)$$

From the definition of the infinitesimal operator,

$$\hat{\Pi}^{\varepsilon,K} \zeta^{\varepsilon,K}(t) = \rho - \lim_{\delta \to 0} \left[ E_{\delta}^{\varepsilon,K} \left( \hat{\sigma}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t + \delta) - \zeta^{\varepsilon,K}(t) \right) \right]$$

$$= \rho - \lim_{\delta \to 0} \left[ E_{\delta}^{\varepsilon,K} \left( \hat{\sigma}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t + \delta) - \zeta(\hat{\sigma}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) \right) \right]$$

$$+ p - \lim_{\delta \to 0} \left[ E_{\delta}^{\varepsilon,K} \left( \hat{\sigma}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t + \delta) - \zeta_1^{\varepsilon,K}(\hat{\sigma}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) \right) \right]$$

$$= \hat{\Pi}^{\varepsilon,K} \zeta(\hat{\sigma}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) + \hat{\Pi}^{\varepsilon,K} \zeta_1^{\varepsilon,K}(\hat{\sigma}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t)),$$
where

\[ \hat{\Pi}^\varepsilon,K(\vartheta_i^\varepsilon,K(t)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varsigma_\theta_i(\vartheta_i^\varepsilon,K(t)) q^\varepsilon,K(\vartheta_i^\varepsilon,K(t)) \left[ f_i(x(t), \hat{h}_x(t)/\varepsilon) - \tilde{f}_i(x(t)) \right] \]

and

\[ \hat{\Pi}^\varepsilon,K_1(\vartheta_1^\varepsilon,K(t)) = - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varsigma_\theta_1(\vartheta_1^\varepsilon,K(t)) q^\varepsilon,K(\vartheta_1^\varepsilon,K(t)) \left[ f_i(x(t), \hat{h}_x(t)/\varepsilon) - \tilde{f}_i(x(t)) \right] \\
+ q^\varepsilon,K \int_t^T \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \varsigma_\theta_{i,j}(\vartheta_i^\varepsilon,K(t)) E_i^\varepsilon \left[ f_i(x(t), \hat{h}_x(t) (s/\varepsilon)) - \tilde{f}_i(x(t)) \right] ds \\
+ q^\varepsilon,K \int_t^T \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \varsigma_\theta_{i,j}(\vartheta_i^\varepsilon,K(t)) E_i^\varepsilon \left[ f_i(x(t), \hat{h}_x(t) (s/\varepsilon)) - \tilde{f}_i(x(t)) \right] ds \\
+ \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_t^T \sum_{i=1}^{n} q^\varepsilon,K \int_t^{t+\delta} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \varsigma_\theta(\vartheta_i^\varepsilon,K(t)) E_i^\varepsilon \left[ f_i(x(t), \hat{h}_x(t) (s/\varepsilon)) - \tilde{f}_i(x(t)) \right] ds \\
- q^\varepsilon,K \int_t^T \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varsigma_\theta_1(\vartheta_1^\varepsilon,K(t)) \left[ f_i(x(t), \hat{h}_x(t) (s/\varepsilon)) - \tilde{f}_i(x(t)) \right] ds \right \} \\
= \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2 + \mathcal{E}_3 + \mathcal{E}_4 + \mathcal{E}_5. \quad (5.18)

It has,

\[ \hat{\Pi}^\varepsilon,K(\vartheta_i^\varepsilon,K(t)) + \mathcal{E}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varsigma_\theta_i(\vartheta_i^\varepsilon,K(t)) q^\varepsilon,K(\vartheta_i^\varepsilon,K(t)) \left[ f_i(x(t), \hat{h}_x(t)/\varepsilon) - \tilde{f}_i(x(t)) \right] \\
- \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varsigma_\theta_i(\vartheta_i^\varepsilon,K(t)) q^\varepsilon,K(\vartheta_i^\varepsilon,K(t)) \left[ f_i(x(t), \hat{h}_x(t)/\varepsilon) - \tilde{f}_i(x(t)) \right] \\
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} q^\varepsilon,K(\vartheta_i^\varepsilon,K(t)) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varsigma_\theta_i(\vartheta_i^\varepsilon,K(t)) \left[ f_i(x(t), \hat{h}_x(t)/\varepsilon) - \tilde{f}_i(x(t), \hat{h}_x(t)/\varepsilon) \right] \\
= \mathcal{E}_{11}. \]

Then by the definition of truncation component and \[33\],

\[ \mathcal{E}_{11} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varsigma_\theta_i(\vartheta_i^\varepsilon,K(t)) \frac{f_i(x(t), \hat{h}_x(t)/\varepsilon)}{\partial x} \vartheta_i^\varepsilon,K(t) + O(\varepsilon) \]
\[ = \mathcal{E}_1 + O(\varepsilon). \quad (5.19)\]

By the truncation technique, \[5.19\] is uniformly integrable. With Lemma \[3.3\] it has

\[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{E}_2| \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sqrt{\varepsilon} q^\varepsilon,K \int_{t/\varepsilon}^{T/\varepsilon} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \varsigma_\theta_{i,j}(\vartheta_i^\varepsilon,K(t)) e^{-(2\gamma n - L_g - 1)(s-t)/\varepsilon} ds A_{\vartheta_i^\varepsilon,K(t)} \right] \]
\[
\begin{align*}
&\leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} q^{\varepsilon,K} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \xi_{\theta_i, \theta_j}(\dot{\theta}_i^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) \left( 1 - e^{-(2\gamma H - L_g - 1)(T-t)/\varepsilon} \right) A \tilde{\theta}_i^{\varepsilon,K}(t) \right| \\
&\leq O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}).
\end{align*}
\]
Similarly,
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}_3 &= q^{\varepsilon,K} \int_{t}^{T} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \xi_{\theta_i, \theta_j}(\dot{\theta}_i^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) \mathbb{E}_t^\varepsilon \left[ f_i(x(t) , \dot{h}_0(t) (s/\varepsilon)) - \tilde{f}_i(x(t)) \right] ds \\
&= q^{\varepsilon,K} \int_{t}^{T/\varepsilon} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \xi_{\theta_i, \theta_j}(\dot{\theta}_i^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) \mathbb{E}_t^\varepsilon \left[ f_i(x(t), \dot{h}_0(t) (s)) - \tilde{f}_i(x(t)) \right] ds \\
&\leq \mathcal{E}_{41} + \mathcal{E}_{42} + \mathcal{E}_{43}.
\end{align*}
\]
By truncated technique and uniform boundness, it is bounded almost surely. Therefore, \(\mathcal{E}_3\) is uniformly integrable.
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}_4 &= \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\varepsilon,K}_{\xi^{\varepsilon,K}_1}(\dot{\theta}_i^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) \\
&= q^{\varepsilon,K} \int_{t}^{T} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \xi_{\theta_i, \theta_j}(\dot{\theta}_i^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) \left\{ \mathbb{E}_t^\varepsilon \left[ f_i(x(t), \dot{h}_0(t) (s/\varepsilon)) - \tilde{f}_i(x(t)) \right] \right\} x_j \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma_1^2 q^{\varepsilon,K}}{2} \int_{t}^{T} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \xi_{\theta_i, \theta_j}(\dot{\theta}_i^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) \left\{ \mathbb{E}_t^\varepsilon \left[ f_i(x(t), \dot{h}_0(t) (s/\varepsilon)) - \tilde{f}_i(x(t)) \right] \right\} x_j x_k ds \\
&\quad + q^{\varepsilon,K} \int_{|z|<1} \int_{t}^{T} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n} \left\{ \zeta_1(\varepsilon) (x(t) + z) - \zeta_1(\varepsilon) (\dot{\theta}_i^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) - \zeta_1 (x(t)) - \zeta_1 (\dot{\theta}_i^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) \right\} v(dz) ds \\
&= \mathcal{E}_{41} + \mathcal{E}_{42} + \mathcal{E}_{43}.
\end{align*}
\]
By Assumption (A2.4), it has
\[
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{E}_{41}| = O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}),
\]
and
\[
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{E}_{42}| = O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}).
\]
Take the Taylor expansion \(\mathcal{T}\),
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}_{43} &= q^{\varepsilon,K} \int_{|z|<1} \left\{ \zeta_1(\varepsilon) (x(t) + z) - \zeta_1(\dot{\theta}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) - \zeta_1 (x(t)) - \zeta_1 (\dot{\theta}_1^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) \right\} \zeta_1 z \right\} v(dz) \\
&= q^{\varepsilon,K} \int_{|z|<1} \int_{t}^{T} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \xi_{\theta_i, \theta_j}(\dot{\theta}_i^{\varepsilon,K}(t)) \left\{ \mathbb{E}_t^\varepsilon \left[ f_i(x(t) + \theta z, \dot{h}_0(t) (s/\varepsilon)) - \tilde{f}_i(x(t) + \theta z) \right] \right\} x_j x_k ds \sigma_1^2 z^2 \right\} v(dz),
\end{align*}
\]
where $0 < \theta < 1$. Therefore,

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{E}_{43}| = O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}).$$

Hence, it follows

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{E}_{4}| = O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}).$$

For $\mathcal{E}_{5}$,

$$\mathcal{E}_{5} = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{E}_{t}^{\epsilon} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} q^{\epsilon,K}(\mathcal{E}_{i}^{\epsilon}) \mathbb{E}_{t}^{\epsilon} \left[ \int_{t}^{T} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} h_{\xi(t)}(s/\varepsilon) - \bar{f}_{i}(x(t)) \right] ds \right\}.$$

Owing to the property of conditional expectation,

$$\mathcal{E}_{5} = 0.$$

On conclusion, $\zeta^{\epsilon,K}(\cdot) \in D(\hat{\Pi}^{\epsilon,K})$, and $\{\hat{\Pi}^{\epsilon,K} \zeta^{\epsilon,K}(\cdot) : \varepsilon \geq 0, t \leq T\}$ is uniformly integrable.

Therefore, $\vartheta_{1}^{\epsilon,K}(t)$ is tight on $D([0, T); \mathbb{R}^{n})$.

The key method, martingale method, is shown in the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.4.** Let $\vartheta_{1}^{\epsilon,K}(t)$ be $\mathbb{R}^{n}$-valued and defined on $[0, T)$. If $\vartheta_{1}^{\epsilon,K}(t)$ be tight on $D([0, T); \mathbb{R}^{n})$, and for each $\zeta(\cdot) \in C^{4}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R})$, set of $C^{4}$ functions with compact support, and each $T < \infty$, then there exists a $\zeta^{\epsilon,K}(\cdot) \in D(\hat{\Pi}^{\epsilon,K})$, the domain of $\hat{\Pi}^{\epsilon,K}$, such that

$$p\text{-lim}_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left[ \zeta^{\epsilon,K}(\cdot) - \zeta(\vartheta_{1}^{\epsilon,K}(\cdot)) \right] = 0,$$

and

$$p\text{-lim}_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left[ \hat{\Pi}^{\epsilon,K} \zeta^{\epsilon,K}(\cdot) - \Pi^{K} \zeta(\vartheta_{1}^{\epsilon,K}(\cdot)) \right] = 0.$$

Then, $\vartheta_{1}^{\epsilon,K}(\cdot) \Rightarrow \vartheta_{1}^{K}(\cdot)$, where $\vartheta_{1}^{K}(\cdot)$ is the unique weak solution of (2.2).

**Proof:** This is a slight extension of Theorem 2 in [23].

**Lemma 5.5.** Under the assumptions (A2.1) to (A2.4), $\vartheta_{1}^{\epsilon}(t)$ converges to $\vartheta_{1}(t)$, which is the solution to the following equation,

$$d\vartheta_{1}(t) = A\vartheta_{1}(t) dt + \tilde{f}_{x}(x(t)) dt + \sqrt{H(x(t))} dw.$$  (5.26)

**Proof:** Define the truncated equation,

$$d\vartheta_{1}^{K}(t) = A\vartheta_{1}^{K}(t) dt + \tilde{f}_{x}(x(t)) dt + \sqrt{H(x(t))} dw,$$  (5.27)
define operators of solution to (5.27) as follows,

$$\Pi_\varepsilon (\varphi_1^K (t)) = \frac{\partial_\varepsilon (\varphi_1^K (t))}{\partial \varphi_1^K} (A\varphi_1^K (t) + \bar{f}_x (x(t))) dt + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon (\varphi_1^K (t))}{\partial (\varphi_1^K)^2} \bar{H} (x(t)) dt. \quad (5.28)$$

According to Lemma 5.3, first condition (5.24) is satisfied. By Lemma 5.3, when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $E_4$ and $E_5$ tend to 0. For $E_3$,

$$E_3 = q^{\varepsilon,K} \int_{t/\varepsilon}^{T/\varepsilon} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \varphi_{t,j} (\varphi_1^{\varepsilon,K} (t)) E_i \left[ f_i (x(t), \hat{h}_0 (s)) - \bar{f}_i (x(t)) \right] ds \left[ f_j (x(t), \hat{h}_0 (t/\varepsilon)) - \bar{f}_j (x(t)) \right]$$

$$+ q^{\varepsilon,K} \int_{t/\varepsilon}^{T/\varepsilon} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \varphi_{t,j} (\varphi_1^{\varepsilon,K} (t)) E_i \left[ f_i (x(t), \hat{h}_0 (s)) - \bar{f}_i (x(t)) \right] ds \left[ f_j (x(t), \hat{h}_0 (t/\varepsilon)) - \bar{f}_j (x(t)) \right]$$

$$= E_3^{31} + E_3^{32}. \quad (5.29)$$

For $E_3^{31}$, with aid of the property of stationary solution, define

$$H_{x(t)}^{i,j} (s, t) = E \left\{ \left[ f_i (x(t), \hat{h}_0 (s)) - \bar{f}_i (x(t)) \right] \left[ f_j (x(t), \hat{h}_0 (t/\varepsilon)) - \bar{f}_j (x(t)) \right] \right\}, \quad (5.30)$$

define that $\tau = s - t/\varepsilon$, $H_{x(t)}^{i,j} (\tau) = H_{x(t)}^{i,j} (s, t)$,

$$\tilde{H}_{i,j} (x(t)) = 2 \int_0^\infty H_{x(t)}^{i,j} (\tau) d\tau,$$

when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$E_3^{31} \rightarrow E (x(t)) \frac{\varphi_{t,j} (\varphi_1^{\varepsilon,K} (t))}{2}. \quad (5.31)$$

According to Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 4.3,

$$ E |E_3^{32}| = O(\varepsilon).$$

Define that

$$\varphi_2^{\varepsilon,K} (\varphi_1^{\varepsilon,K} (t)) = q^{\varepsilon,K} \int_t^T \varphi_{t,j} (\varphi_1^{\varepsilon,K} (t)) \varphi_1^{\varepsilon,K} E_i \left[ f'_x (x(t), \hat{h}_0 (s/\varepsilon)) - \bar{f}'_x (x(t)) \right] ds, \quad (5.32)$$

then

$$\Pi^{\varepsilon,K} \varphi_2^{\varepsilon,K} (\varphi_1^{\varepsilon,K} (t)) = -q^{\varepsilon,K} \varphi_2 (\varphi_1^{\varepsilon,K} (t)) \varphi_1^{\varepsilon,K} E_i \left[ f'_x (x(t), \hat{h}_0 (t/\varepsilon)) - \bar{f}'_x (x(t)) \right]$$

$$+ q^{\varepsilon,K} \int_t^T \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\varphi_{t,j} (\varphi_1^{\varepsilon,K} (t)) \varphi_{t,j} E_i \left[ f'_{x,i} (x(t), \hat{h}_0 (s/\varepsilon)) - \bar{f}'_{x,i} (x(t)) \right]$$

$$\downarrow$$
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Thanks to the Assumptions (A2.2), Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 3.1, satisfies

Then, by Assumption (A2.4), it has

Therefore,

On the conclusion, $\vartheta^\varepsilon_1 (\cdot) \Rightarrow \vartheta^K_1 (\cdot)$ in $D ([0, T); \mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $P^K (\cdot)$ and $P (\cdot)$ denote the probabilities induced by $\vartheta^K_1 (\cdot)$ and $\vartheta_1 (\cdot)$ on $D ([0, T); \mathbb{R}^n)$, and the martingale problem has unique solution for each initial point, from \[23\], the uniqueness of $P (\cdot)$ implies that $P (\cdot)$ agrees with $P^K (\cdot)$ on all Borel sets of the set of paths in $D ([0, T); \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then when $K \to \infty$, $\vartheta^\varepsilon_1 (\cdot) \Rightarrow \vartheta_1 (\cdot)$ in $D ([0, T); \mathbb{R}^n)$. This proof is completed.

**Lemma 5.6.** Under the assumptions (A2.1) to (A2.4), $\vartheta^\varepsilon_2 (t)$, which is defined as follows,

$$
\frac{d \vartheta^\varepsilon_2 (t)}{dt} = A \vartheta^\varepsilon_2 (t) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left[ f (x^\varepsilon (t), y^\varepsilon (t)) - f (x^\varepsilon_k (t), \hat{y}^0 (t/\varepsilon)) \right],
$$

satisfies

$$
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \vartheta^\varepsilon_2 (t) \right|^2 \right] \leq C (T, L_f, \gamma, \rho (\varepsilon), \hat{\rho} (\varepsilon)) \varepsilon.
$$
Proof: By Assumption (A2.1) and Lemma 4.3

\[ \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\vartheta^{\varepsilon}_{2}(t)|^{2} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{A(t-s)} \left[ f(x^{\varepsilon}(s), y^{\varepsilon}(s)) - f(x_{h_{0}}^{\varepsilon}(s), \hat{h}_{0}(s/\varepsilon)) \right] ds \right\}^{2} \]

\[ \leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\{ \frac{L_{f}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{A(t-s)} \left[ |x^{\varepsilon}(s) - x_{h_{0}}^{\varepsilon}(s)| + |y^{\varepsilon}(s) - \hat{h}_{0}(s/\varepsilon)| \right] ds \right\}^{2} \]

\[ \leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\{ \frac{\rho_{0} - \rho_{1}}{(1 - \rho(\varepsilon)) \sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\gamma_{A}(t-s)-\gamma_{s}/\varepsilon} ds \right\}^{2} \]

\[ \leq C(T, L_{f}, \gamma_{A}, \rho(\varepsilon), \rho(\varepsilon)) O(\varepsilon). \]

Proof of Theorem 2.1: Thanks to Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.6 \( \vartheta(t) \) weakly converges to \( \vartheta(t) \),

\[ d\vartheta(t) = A\vartheta(t) dt + \tilde{f}_{x}(x(t)) dt + \sqrt{\tilde{H}(x(t))} dw. \]

Remark 5.7. Take \( g(x, y) = g(y) \),

\[ dy(t) = By(t) dt + g(y(t)) dt + \sigma_{2} dw_{1}(t) + \int_{|z| < 1} z \sigma_{2} \bar{N}(dz, dt). \]

For original slow-fast system (1.1), the Assumption (A2.3) could be replaced by the conditions, where the second derivatives of \( f(x, y) \) and \( g(y) \) are bounded, then Theorem 2.1 is proved.

Theorem 5.8. Under the assumptions (A2.1) to (A2.4), the corrected component, which is defined by averaged component plus the deviation component, satisfies the following equation,

\[ d\bar{x}(t) = dx(t) + \sqrt{\varepsilon} d\vartheta(t) \]

\[ = Ax(t) dt + \tilde{f}(x(t)) dt + \sigma_{1} dw(t) + \int_{|z| < 1} \sigma_{1} z \bar{N}(dz, dt) \]

\[ + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \left[ A\vartheta(t) dt + \tilde{f}_{x}(x(t)) \vartheta(t) dt + \sqrt{\tilde{H}(x(t))} dw \right] \]

\[ = A\bar{x}(t) + \tilde{f}(\bar{x}(t)) dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\tilde{H}(\bar{x}(t))} dw \]

\[ + \sigma_{1} dw(t) + \int_{|z| < 1} \sigma_{1} z \bar{N}(dz, dt). \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.35)

Proof: This theorem is obtained according to Theorem 2.1

With aid of the Theorem 2.1 and slow manifold, the slow component \( x^{\varepsilon}(t) \), which is defined in (1.1), is approximated by the corrected component \( \bar{x}(t) \) of (5.35), in the sense of distribution in \( D([0, T); \mathbb{R}^{n}) \).

Remark 5.9. For slow-fast system with Brownian motion, under the Assumption (A2.1) to (A2.4), Theorem 2.1 can be obtained.
6. Concluding Remarks

The normal deviation for slow-fast systems with Lévy noise is described explicitly through the martingale method, truncation technique, and perturbed test function. Besides, the connection between averaging principle and slow manifold is strengthened. Our results show that the modified slow component satisfies the stochastic differential equation under small noise, hence, it can lay a foundation for follow-up research on large deviation principles for this kind of system.

Appendix: Proof for Lemma 3.3

Proof: By the Assumptions (A2.1) to (A2.3),

\[
\mathbb{E}|y^{\mu_t}_x(t)|^2 \leq 4e^{-\gamma_B t}|y_1|^2 + 4t\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t e^{-2\gamma_B (t-s)} [g(x, y^{\mu_t}_x(s))]^2 ds\right)
+ 4\left(\int_0^t e^{-2\gamma_B (t-s)} \sigma_2^2 ds\right) + 4CE\left(\int_0^t \int_{|z|<1} e^{-2\gamma_B (t-s)} z^2 \sigma_2^2 v_1(\,dz, \,ds)\right),
\]

then,

\[
e^{2\gamma_B t}\mathbb{E}|y^{\mu_t}_x(t)|^2 \leq 4|y_1|^2 + 4tL_y^2\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t e^{2\gamma_B s} [g(x, y^{\mu_t}_x(s))]^2 ds\right)
+ 4\left(\int_0^t e^{2\gamma_B s} \sigma_2^2 ds\right) + 4CE\left(\int_0^t \int_{|z|<1} e^{2\gamma_B s} z^2 \sigma_2^2 v_1(\,dz, \,ds)\right)
\leq 4|y|^2 + (e^{2\gamma_B t} - 1) C_{(t,x,L_y,C,G,z,\sigma_2)} + 12tL_y^2\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t e^{2\gamma_B s} [y^{\mu_t}_x(s)]^2 \,ds\right),
\]

where constant \(C_{(t,x,L_y,C,G,z,\sigma_2)} > 0\). According to the Gronwall inequality,

\[
\mathbb{E}|y^{\mu_t}_x(t)|^2 \leq C_{(t,x,L_y,C,G)} e^{-2(\gamma_B - 6L_y^2)t},
\]

and

\[
\mathbb{E}|y^{\mu_t}_x(t) - y^{\mu_t}_x(t)|^2 = |y' - y|^2 + 2E\int_0^t \left\langle B(y^{\mu_t}_x(s) - y^{\mu_t}_x(s)), (y^{\mu_t}_x(s) - y^{\mu_t}_x(s)) \right\rangle ds
\leq C |y'_1 - y_1| e^{-2(\gamma_B - 6L_y^2)t}. \quad (A.1)
\]

For any \(f\), define the Markov semigroup \(P^x_t\),

\[
P^x_t F(y'_1) = \mathbb{E}(F(y^{\mu_t}_x(t))), t \geq 0,
\]

for any bounded continuous function \(F\). There exists the unique invariant measure \(\mu_x\),

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P^x_t f(y'_1) \mu(dy'_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y'_1) \mu(dy'_1),
\]
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Moreover,
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y'_1|^2 \mu(dy'_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_t^x |y'_1|^2 \mu(dy'_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}|y'_1(t)|^2 \mu(dy'_1) \leq C_{(\sigma_2,t,y_1,L_g,C_g)} (1+|x|^2),
\]
where the constant \(C_{(\sigma_2,t,y_1,L_g,C_g)} > 0\).

Define the averaging function,
\[
\bar{f}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x,y_1) \mu(dy_1).
\]
We arrive at (3.5),
\[
\left| P_t^x f(x,y_x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x,y_1) \mu(dy_1) \right| < C_{(\sigma_2,t,L_g,C_g)} e^{-2(\gamma B-6L_g^2)t} (1+|x|+|y|), \tag{A.2}
\]
with aid of the Assumption (A2.1) to (A2.3), denote that \(\eta = 2(\gamma B - 6L_g^2) > 0\).
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