Orientations on the moduli stack of compactly supported perfect complexes over a non-compact Calabi–Yau 4-fold
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Abstract

We consider a Calabi–Yau 4-fold \((X, \omega)\), where \(X\) is quasi-projective and \(\omega\) is a nowhere vanishing section of its canonical bundle \(K_X\). The (derived) moduli stack of compactly supported perfect complexes \(\mathcal{M}_X\) is \(-2\)-shifted symplectic and thus has an orientation bundle \(O^\omega \to \mathcal{M}_X\) in the sense of Borisov–Joyce \([8]\) necessary for defining Donaldson–Thomas type invariants of \(X\). For any smooth projective compactification \(\bar{X}\), such that \(D = \bar{X} \setminus X\) is strictly normal crossing, we define orientation bundles on the stack \(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}} \times_{\mathcal{M}_D} \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}\) and express these as pullbacks of \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundles in gauge theory, constructed using positive Dirac operators on the double of \(X\). As a result, we relate the orientation bundle \(O^\omega \to \mathcal{M}_X\) to a gauge-theoretic orientation on the classifying space of compactly supported K-theory. Using orientability of the latter, we obtain orientability of \(\mathcal{M}_X\). We also define an orientation bundle on the moduli stack \(\mathcal{M}_Y\) of perfect complexes of a projective spin manifold \(Y\), and prove it is trivializable. Identification of orientation bundles on \(\mathcal{M}_X, \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}} \times_{\mathcal{M}_D} \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}\) and \(\mathcal{M}_Y\) with pullbacks of \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundles on K-theoretic spaces allows us to consider the compatibility of orientations under direct sums.

Contents

1 Introduction 2

2 Orientation on non-compact Calabi–Yau 4-folds 8

2.1 Moduli stacks of perfect complexes and shifted symplectic structures . . . . 8

2.2 Orientation bundles on moduli stacks of perfect complexes . . . . . . . 11

2.3 Orientation for a non-compact Calabi–Yau via algebraic excision principle . . 15
3 Background and some new methods

3.1 Moduli space of connections ........................................ 23
3.2 Background on topological stacks ................................ 23
3.3 Orientation bundles on $\mathcal{B}_P$ in a compact case ........ 25
3.4 H-spaces and $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded H-principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles ... 26
3.5 Serre duality on Dolbeault resolutions ............................ 30
3.6 Transport along complex line bundles ............................ 32
3.7 Excision isomorphism for complex elliptic symbols .......... 33

4 Proof of Theorem 2.23 .................................................. 43

4.1 Relative framing on the double ...................................... 44
4.2 Moduli space of vector bundles generated by global sections . 46
4.3 Homotopy commutative diagram of H-spaces ................. 50
4.4 Common resolution .................................................... 53
4.5 Main part of the proof ................................................ 58

5 Orientation groups for non-compact Calabi–Yau 4-folds .......... 63

5.1 Orientation on compactly supported K-theory .................... 64

1 Introduction

Donaldson-Thomas type theory for Calabi–Yau 4-folds has been developed by Borisov–Joyce [8], Cao–Leung [17] and Oh–Thomas [65]. The construction relies on having Serre duality

$$\text{Ext}^2(E^\bullet, E^\bullet) \cong \text{Ext}^2(E^\bullet, E^\bullet)^*.$$ 

for a perfect complex $E^\bullet$. This gives a real structure on $\text{Ext}^2(E^\bullet, E^\bullet)$, and one can take its real subspace $\text{Ext}^2(E^\bullet, E^\bullet)_R$. Borisov–Joyce [8, Definition 3.25] define orientations as a square root of the natural isomorphism

$$\det(L_X)^2 \cong \mathcal{O}_X$$

for any $-2$-shifted symplectic derived scheme $X$. On moduli stacks of sheaves, this is equivalent to the orientation of $\text{Ext}^2(E^\bullet, E^\bullet)_R$ or in the language of [65] to a choice of a class of positive isotropic subspaces.

For the Borisov–Joyce class or Oh–Thomas class to be defined in singular homology, respectively Chow homology, one needs to show that these choices can be made continuously. This was proved for compact Calabi–Yau 4-folds in Cao–Gross–Joyce [11]. However, many conjectural formulas have been written down e.g. in the works of Cao–Kool [12], [13] and [14], Cao–Kool–Monavari [15], [16], Cao–Maulik–Toda [18], [19] and Cao–Toda [20], [21] for DT4 invariants of non-compact Calabi–Yau 4-folds, where additionally the moduli spaces can be non-compact and one has to use localization (see Oh–Thomas [65, §6] and Cao–Leung
The construction of virtual structure sheaves of Oh–Thomas [65] for non-compact Calabi-Yau 4-folds and its localization also depends on existence of global orientation. Moreover, in string theory the DT4-invariants over Hilbert (respectively Quot) schemes of points were studied by Nekrasov [61] and Nekrasov–Piazzalunga [62]. There they are interpreted as certain contour integrals computing residues labeled by solid partitions or equivalently fixed points of the \((\mathbb{C}^*)^4\) action on \(\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^4)\). The dependence on signs (orientations) is explained in [62, §2.4.2].

The main goal of this work is to justify these results geometrically by proving orientability of the moduli stack \(\mathcal{M}_X\) of compactly supported perfect complexes for any quasi-projective Calabi–Yau 4-fold which we achieve as discussed below. Of particular importance are general toric Calabi–Yau 4-folds and due to work of Nekrasov and his collaborators especially \(\mathbb{C}^4\) which was considered from a mathematical point of view in Cao–Kool [12] and Cao–Kool–Monavari [15]. We also prove orientability of moduli spaces of stable pairs and of Hilbert schemes. A Calabi–Yau \(n\)-fold \((X, \omega)\) here is a smooth quasi-projective variety \(X\) of dimension \(n\) over \(\mathbb{C}\), such that \(\omega\) is a nowhere vanishing (algebraic) section of its canonical bundle \(K_X\). Some orientation results for special cases have been already obtained by Cao–Kool–Monavari in [16, §4.2].

An important feature of our general results is that we are able to express our orientation on \(\mathcal{M}_X\) in terms of differential geometric ones. This allows us to consider compatibility of choices of trivializations of the orientation bundle \(O^\omega \to \mathcal{M}_X\) under direct sums, which is related to constructing vertex algebras and Lie algebras on the homology of \(\mathcal{M}_X\) as done by Joyce in [43] and used for expressing wall-crossing formulae by Gross–Joyce–Tanaka in [36].

In §2 we introduce briefly the language of derived algebraic geometry and recall the results of Pantev–Toën–Vaquié–Vezzosi [66] and Brav–Dyckerhoff [10] about existence of shifted symplectic structures. For any smooth (quasi-)projective variety \(X\), we introduce twisted virtual canonical bundles \(\Lambda_L\) for any coherent sheaf \(L\) on the moduli stack of (compactly supported) perfect complexes \(\mathcal{M}_X\). If \((X, \Theta)\) is a spin manifold as in Definition 2.9, then the virtual canonical bundle is defined by \(K_{\mathcal{M}_X} = \Lambda_\Theta\), where \(\Theta\) is the corresponding theta characteristic. In Definition 2.10 we define the orientation bundle \(O^S \to \mathcal{M}_X\) over the moduli stack of perfect complexes on a projective spin 4-fold \(X\) and prove that it is trivializable:

**Theorem 1.1** (see Theorem 2.13). Let \((X, \Theta)\) be smooth, projective and spin of complex dimension 4, and

\[
O^S \to \mathcal{M}_X \quad (1.1)
\]

the orientation bundle from Definition 2.10. Let \(\Gamma_X : (\mathcal{M}_X)^{\text{top}} \to C_X\) be as in Definition 2.12 and apply the topological realization functor \((-)^{\text{top}}\) from Blanc [7, Definition 3.1] to 1.1 to obtain a \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle

\[
(O^S)^{\text{top}} \to (\mathcal{M}_X)^{\text{top}}.
\]

There is a canonical isomorphism of \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundles

\[
(O^S)^{\text{top}} \cong \Gamma_X(O^\mathbb{P}_C^{\mathbb{P}_C^+}) ,
\]
where $O_C^D \to \mathcal{C}_X$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle from Joyce–Tanaka–Upmeier [46, Definition 2.22] applied to the positive Dirac operator $D_+: S_+ \to S_-$ as in Cao–Gross–Joyce [11, Theorem 1.11]. In particular, $O^S \to \mathcal{M}_X$ is trivializable.

We describe examples where this can be used to construct orientations on non-compact Calabi–Yau 4-folds using Corollary 2.15. These include

a) $\mathbb{C}^4$.

b) $\text{Tot}(K_V \to V)$ for every smooth projective variety $V$, where $K_V$ is the canonical line bundle and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V) = 3$.

c) $\text{Tot}(L_1 \oplus L_2 \to S)$ for any smooth projective surface $S$, where $L_1, L_2$ are line bundles such that $L_1 \otimes L_2 \cong K_S$.

d) $\text{Tot}(E \to C)$ for any smooth projective curve $C$, where $E$ is a rank 3 vector bundle, such that $\Lambda^3 E = K_C$.

This is a simple generalization of the work of Cao–Gross–Joyce [11], and we mention it here as one sometimes asks, whether a non-compact Calabi–Yau 4-fold is embeddable in a compact Calabi–Yau 4-fold. This shows that at least for the sake of orientations, one could ask instead about embeddings into projective spin 4-folds.

Instead of trying to answer the question of existence of “spin compactifications” of Calabi–Yau 4-folds, we define in Proposition/Definition 2.20 for any non-compact Calabi–Yau 4-fold $X$ and its smooth projective compactification $\bar{X}$ the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}_{DNC}} \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$ of perfect complexes identified at the divisor $D_{NC} = \bar{X} \setminus X$ which we require to be strictly normal crossing. We define an orientation bundle $O^{\mathcal{D}} \to \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}} \times_{\mathcal{M}_{DNC}} \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$ depending on extension data $\mathcal{D}$, which contains the information about a choice of order $\text{ord}$ of the smooth irreducible divisors in $D_{NC} = \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_i$. Under the inclusion into the first component

$$\zeta : \mathcal{M}_X \to \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}} \times_{\mathcal{M}_{DNC}} \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$$

the bundle $O^{\mathcal{D}}$ pulls back to $O^D \to \mathcal{M}_X$. This construction mimics the excision techniques from gauge theory as in Donaldson–Kronheimer [24] and Upmeier [85] and is more natural. The analog in topology would be considering vector bundles $E, F \to X^+$ identified on $+$, where $(X^+, +)$ is the one point compactification of $X$ by the point $+$. This is known to generate $K_0^c(X)$ which is the natural topological analog of compactly supported perfect complexes on $X$. We collect here the orientability results stated in §2 (see Theorem 2.23 and Theorem 2.26):

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $(X, \omega)$ be a smooth Calabi–Yau 4-fold and $\bar{X}$ its smooth projective compactification by a strictly normal crossing divisor $D_{NC}$. Choose $\text{ord}$ and the extension data $\mathcal{D}$ as in Proposition/Definition 2.20, then the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle

$$O^{\mathcal{D}} \to \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}} \times_{\mathcal{M}_{DNC}} \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$$
is trivializable. Let \( C_{DNC}, \tilde{C}_X \) be the topological space of maps from Definition 2.12. Let \( D^\circ_O \to C_X \times_{C_{DNC}} \tilde{C}_X \) be the trivializable \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundle from (4.14) defined in Definition 4.12. If \( \Gamma : (M_{\tilde{X}} \times_{M_{DNC}} M_{\tilde{X}})^{\text{top}} \to C_{\tilde{X}} \times_{C_{DNC}} \tilde{C}_X \) is the map from Definition 2.22, then there exists a canonical isomorphism

\[
\mathcal{I}^\odot : \Gamma^* (D^\circ_O) \cong (O^{\theta_{\infty}})^{\text{top}}.
\]

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \((X, \omega)\) be a Calabi–Yau 4-fold, then the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundle \( O^\omega \to M_X \) from Definition 2.8 is trivializable.

Moreover, fix a smooth projective compactification \( \tilde{X} \), such that \( D_{NC} = \tilde{X} \setminus X \) is a strictly normal crossing divisor, and choose \( \text{ord} \) as in Definition/Proposition 2.20 on \( \tilde{X} \). Let \((O^\omega)^{\text{top}} \to (M_X)^{\text{top}}\) be the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundle obtained by applying the topological realization functor \((-)^{\text{top}}\) to (1.2), then for the above choices there is a canonical isomorphism

\[
\mathcal{I}^{\text{ord}} : (\Gamma_{\text{cs}}^*)^* (O^{\text{cs}}) \cong (O^\omega)^{\text{top}},
\]

where \( O^{\text{cs}} \to C^{\text{cs}}_X \) is the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundle from Definition 2.24 and \( C^{\text{cs}}_X = \text{Map}_{\text{cso}}((X^+, +), (BU \times \mathbb{Z}, 0)) \) is the topological space classifying \( K^{\text{cs}}_0 (X) \) and \( \Gamma^{\text{cs}} : (M_X)^{\text{top}} \to C^{\text{cs}}_X \) from Definition 2.24.

We argue in Remark 2.27, that with some extra care, the isomorphism \( \mathcal{I}^{\text{ord}} \) can be shown to be independent of \( \tilde{X} \) and \( \text{ord} \). Moreover, many examples have natural choices of \( \tilde{X} \) with \( D_{NC} = D_1 \) for a single smooth divisor at infinity \( D_1 \) as discussed in Remark 2.28. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.2, we obtain the orientability of Hilbert schemes and moduli spaces of stable pairs as shown in Theorem 2.30 by extending each ideal sheaf or stable pair by a structure sheaf on \( D_{NC} \).

In §3, we recall some background from Cao–Gross–Joyce [11] and their orientability result [11, Theorem 1.11]. We then develop some technical tools for transport along complex determinant line bundles of complex pseudo-differential operators generalizing the work of Upmeier [85]. We require such generalizations, because in allowing \( \tilde{X} \) to be any compactification of \( X \), we lose the ability to work with real structures and real line bundles, as there is no analog for the real Dirac operator \( D_{+} \) on \( \tilde{X} \). We can still do excisions natural up to contractible choices of isotopies and use these to restrict everything back into \( X \) where the operator \( D_{+} \) exists.

In §4.1 we construct the doubled spin manifold \( \tilde{Y} \) from \( X \) and its moduli space (topological stack) \( B_{Y_+} \) of connections on pairs of principal bundle \( P, Q \to \tilde{Y} \) identified on \( \tilde{T} \). This space has a product orientation as defined in Definition 4.2 which corresponds to the product of the orientation \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-torsors at each pair of connections. The resulting orientation bundle is denoted \( D_O(Y) \) and its pullback to pairs of vector bundles on \( \tilde{X} \) identified on \( D_{NC} \) is denoted by \( D_O \).
The bundles $D_0$ and $O^{\partial \infty}$ restricted to holomorphic vector bundles generated by global sections are related in [3,4] as strong H-principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles (see Definition 3.8) by constructing isotopies (natural up to contractible choices) between the real structures used to obtain them. This tells us that $O^{\partial \infty}$ is trivializable and allows us to express compatibility under direct sums in $\mathfrak{F}$. As the theory developed for identifying the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles relies on constructing natural isotopies of algebraic and gauge theoretic isomorphisms of complex determinant line bundles, and this part of it works in great generality in any dimension, the author hopes to use it to construct orientation data as in Joyce–Upmeier [47] for any non-compact Calabi–Yau 3-fold.

Finally, in [5] we discuss the relations of orientations under direct sums in K-theory. For given extension data $\infty$, we obtain the result in Proposition 5.3 which expresses choices of trivialization of $O^{\partial \infty} \to \mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}^\text{NC}} \mathcal{M}_X$ in terms of orientations on the K-theoretic space $C_X \times_{C^\text{NC}} C_X$ with the property $\pi_0(C_X \times_{C^\text{NC}} C_X) = K^0(\bar{X} \cup_{D^\text{NC}} X)$. The signs comparing the orientations are more complicated, but restrict to the expected result under the inclusion $\zeta: \mathcal{M}_X \to \mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}^\text{NC}} \mathcal{M}_X$ and $\kappa^\text{cs} : C^\text{cs}_X \to C_X \times_{C^\text{NC}} C_X$.

**Theorem 1.4** (see Theorem 5.4). Let $C^\text{cs}_\alpha$ denote the connected component of

$$C^\text{cs}_\alpha = \text{Map}_{\text{co}}((X^+, +), (BU \times \mathbb{Z}, 0))$$

corresponding to $\alpha \in K^0_{\text{cs}}(X)$. Let $O^\text{cs} \to C^\text{cs}_X$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle from Definition 2.2 and Remark 2.25, and $O^{\partial \infty}_\alpha = O^\text{cs}|_{C^\text{cs}_\alpha}$. Let $\mu_{BU \times \mathbb{Z}} : (BU \times \mathbb{Z}) \times (BU \times \mathbb{Z}) \to BU \times \mathbb{Z}$ be the natural multiplication map and $\mu_{\text{cs}} : C^\text{cs}_X \times C^\text{cs}_X \to C^\text{cs}_X$ the map induced by composition with $\mu_{BU \times \mathbb{Z}}$. Consider also the map $\mu_{\mathcal{M}_X} : \mathcal{M}_X \times \mathcal{M}_X \to \mathcal{M}_X$ given by addition of perfect complexes $([E], [F]) \to [E \oplus F]$. There are natural isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles:

$$\tau^\text{cs} : O^\text{cs} \boxtimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} O^\text{cs} \to \mu_{\text{cs}}^* (O^\text{cs}) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^\omega : O^\omega \boxtimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} O^\omega \to \mu_{\mathcal{M}_X}^* (O^\omega).$$

Let $\tau^\text{cs}_{\alpha, \beta} = \tau^\text{cs}|_{C^\text{cs}_\alpha \times C^\text{cs}_\beta}$. Then the following is true for all $\alpha, \beta \in K^0_{\text{cs}}(X)$:

$$\tau^\text{cs}_{\beta, \alpha} = (-1)^{\tilde{\chi}(\alpha, \alpha)\tilde{\chi}(\beta, \beta) + \tilde{\chi}(\alpha, \beta)\tau^\text{cs}_{\alpha, \beta}},$$

where $\tilde{\chi} : K^0_{\text{cs}}(X) \times K^0_{\text{cs}}(X) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the compactly supported Euler form. Moreover, if orientations $o^\text{cs}_\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_2 \to O^\text{cs}_\alpha$ are chosen, for all $\alpha \in K^0_{\text{cs}}(X)$, then there are $\epsilon_{\alpha, \beta} \in \{ -1, 1 \}$, defined by

$$\tau^\text{cs}_{\alpha, \beta}(o^\text{cs}_\alpha \boxtimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} o^\text{cs}_\beta) = \epsilon_{\alpha, \beta} \mu_{\text{cs}}^* (o^\text{cs}_{\alpha + \beta}),$$

such that they satisfy

$$\epsilon_{\beta, \alpha} = (-1)^{\tilde{\chi}(\alpha, \alpha)\tilde{\chi}(\beta, \beta) + \tilde{\chi}(\alpha, \beta)\epsilon_{\alpha, \beta}}.$$

Let $(\mathcal{M}_X)_\alpha$ be the open and closed substack of $\mathcal{M}_X$ of perfect complexes $E$ representing a compactly supported K-theory class $\alpha \in K^0_{\text{cs}}(X)$, such that $(\mathcal{M}_X)_\alpha^{\text{top}} = (\Gamma^\text{cs})^{-1} (C^\text{cs}_\alpha)$. Let $(O^\omega)^{\text{top}} = (O^\omega)^{\text{top}}|_{(\mathcal{M}_X)_\alpha^{\text{top}}}$,

$$o^\alpha = \mathcal{F}^\text{ord}_X \left( (\Gamma^\text{cs})^* (o^\text{cs}_\alpha) \right)$$

for any open analytic subset $X$. Let $\mathcal{K}^{\text{ord}}_X^{\text{top}} : \mathcal{K}^{\text{ord}}_X \to \mathcal{K}^{\text{top}}_X$ be the natural map. Then, for any open analytic subset $X$ and $\alpha \in K^0_{\text{cs}}(X)$, the natural map

$$\mathcal{K}^{\text{ord}}_X \to \mathcal{K}^{\text{ord}}_X^{\text{top}}$$

is an isomorphism.
orientations of \((O_\alpha)^{\text{top}}\) given using \(\mathcal{I}^{\text{top}}\) from Theorem 1.3 then these satisfy

\[
(\phi^\omega_{\alpha,\beta})^{\text{top}}(\phi^\omega_\alpha \boxdot_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \phi^\omega_\beta) = \epsilon_{\alpha,\beta} \mu_{\mathcal{M}_X}^{\ast}(\phi^\omega_{\alpha+\beta}),
\]

where \((\phi^\omega_{\alpha,\beta})^{\text{top}} = (\phi^\omega)^{\text{top}}|_{(\mathcal{M}_X)^{\text{top}} \times (\mathcal{M}_X)^{\text{top}}}.

Using this, we formulate a version of [46, Theorem 2.27] for the compactly supported orientation group \(\Omega_{\text{cs}}^{X}\) adapted to the compactly supported case. Finally we discuss two natural examples \(\mathbb{C}^4\) and local \(\mathbb{P}^1\) for which it is easy to choose orientations compatible under direct sums (see Proposition 5.6 and Example 5.7).

As a result, we obtain that for all three stacks \(\mathcal{M}_Y, \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}} \times_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{NC}}} \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}\) and \(\mathcal{M}_X\) for \((Y, \Theta)\) projective spin 4-fold, \((X, \omega)\) a non-compact Calabi–Yau 4-fold and \(\bar{X}\) a smooth compactification of \(X\), one can construct Joyce’s vertex algebras on their homology as in [43]. For \(\mathcal{M}_X\) the signs \(\epsilon_{\alpha,\beta}\) from Theorem 1.4 are used in constructing the vertex algebra, which could be used to express wall-crossing formulas for (localized) DT4 invariants using the framework of Gross–Joyce–Tanaka [36].

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Dominic Joyce for his supervision and many helpful suggestions and ideas.

We are indebted to Markus Upmeier for patient explanation of his work. We also thank Chris Brav, Yalong Cao, Simon Donaldson, Mathieu Florence, Michel van Garrel, Jacob Gross, Maxim Konsevich, Martijn Kool, Edwin Kutas, Alexei Latyntsev, Davesh Maulik, Sven Meinhardt, Hector Papoulias, Artan Sheshmani, Yuuji Tanaka, Richard Thomas, Bertrand Toën and Andrzej Weber.

The author was supported by a Clarendon Fund Scholarship at the University of Oxford.

Notation

If \(E \rightarrow X\) is a complex vector bundle, we denote \(\text{det}(E) = \Lambda^\text{dim} E\) its complex determinant line bundle. We write \(\text{det}^{\ast}(E)\) to denote its dual. If \(E\) is real we write \(\text{det}_\mathbb{R}(E) = \Lambda^\text{dim}_\mathbb{R} E\).

If \(L_1, L_2 \rightarrow Y\) are two line bundles we will use the notation \(L_1 L_2 = L_1 \otimes L_2\).

If \(P : H_0 \rightarrow H_1\) is an operator between Hilbert spaces, we write \(P^{\ast}\) to denote its adjoint.

If \(D \subset X\) is a divisor, we write \(E(D) = E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_D\).

If \(s : V \rightarrow W\) is a map of vector bundles \(V, W \rightarrow X\) and \(Q \rightarrow X\) another vector bundle, then we will write

\[s = s \otimes \text{id}_Q : V \otimes Q \rightarrow W \otimes Q.\]
2 Orientation on non-compact Calabi–Yau 4-folds

In this section, we review the definition of orientation on the moduli stack of perfect complexes of a Calabi–Yau 4-fold which uses the existence of $-2$-shifted symplectic structure as defined by Pantev–Toën–Vaquié–Vezzosi in [66]. We introduce some new notions of orientation in more general cases which we use together with compactification of non-compact Calabi–Yau 4-folds to prove that the moduli stack of compactly supported perfect complexes is orientable.

2.1 Moduli stacks of perfect complexes and shifted symplectic structures

Here we recall the construction of moduli stacks of perfect complexes that we will be working with and the shifted symplectic structures on them.

Let $X$ be a smooth algebraic variety. Its category $D^b\text{Coh}(X)$ of complexes of sheaves with coherent cohomologies does not have a moduli stack of its objects in the setting of standard algebraic stacks. Instead, one needs to rely on the methods provided by the theory of higher stacks and derived stacks. For a thorough discussion of these two terms, one can look at Toën and Vezzosi [84], [81] and [83] in the setting of model categories (see Hovey [42] and Hirschhorn [40] ) and and Lurie [55] in the setting of $\infty$-categories (see Lurie [57] or [56]). Both higher stacks and derived stacks over $\mathbb{C}$ form the infinity categories $\text{HSta}_\mathbb{C}$ and $\text{DSta}_\mathbb{C}$. There exists an inclusion $\infty$-functor $i : \text{HSta}_\mathbb{C} \to \text{DSta}_\mathbb{C}$ and its adjoint truncation $\infty$-functor $t_0 : \text{DSta}_\mathbb{C} \to \text{HSta}_\mathbb{C}$, which relate the two categories. Note that the $\mathbb{C}$ points of the stacks are left invariant under these functors.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a dg-category (for background on dg-categories see Keller [49] and Toën [80]). Toën [80] introduces homotopy theory of dg-categories which is then used in Toën and Vaquié [82] to define for $\mathcal{T}$ its associated moduli stack as a derived stack $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{T}$ which classifies the pseudo-perfect dg-modules of $\mathcal{T}^{op}$. This defines a functor from the homotopy category of dg-categories to the homotopy category of derived stacks:

$$\mathcal{M}(-) : \text{Ho}(\text{dg - Cat})^{op} \to \text{Ho}(\text{DSta}).$$

One can compose this with the truncation functor

$$t_0 : \text{Ho}(\text{DSta}) \to \text{Ho}(\text{HSta})$$

mapping to the homotopy category of higher (infinity) stacks. We denote the composition by $\mathcal{M}_(-) = t_0 \circ \mathcal{M}(-)$.

Definition 2.1. Let $X$ be quasi-projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$, then we use the notation $\mathcal{M}_X$, $\mathcal{M}_X$ for $\mathcal{M}_{L_{\text{pe}}(X)}$, respectively $\mathcal{M}_{L_{\text{pe}}(X)}$, where $L_{\text{pe}}(X)$ is the dg-category of perfect complexes on $X$. When $X$ is smooth and projective, it is shown by Toën–Vaquié in [82] Corollary
3.29] that $\mathcal{M}_X$ is a locally geometric derived stack which is locally of finite type. A locally geometric derived stack corresponds to a union of open geometric sub-stacks, and thus it has a well defined cotangent complex $L_{\mathcal{M}_X}$ in the dg-category of perfect modules on $\mathcal{M}_X$ denoted by $L_{pe}(\mathcal{M}_X)$.

For $X$ smooth and projective, both $\mathcal{M}_X$ and $\mathcal{M}_X$ can be expressed as mapping stacks in the homotopy categories of the $\infty$-categories $\text{DSta}_C$ and $\text{HSta}_C$. Let $\text{Perf}_C$ be the derived stack of perfect dg-modules/complexes over $C$ as defined in [81, Definition 1.3.7.5] when applied to complexes of vector spaces over $C$ as the chosen homotopy algebraic geometric context. Taking its truncation $\text{Perf}_C = t_0(\text{Perf}_C)$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_X = \text{Map}(X, \text{Perf}_C) \quad \mathcal{M}_X = \text{Map}(X, \text{Perf}_C) \, .$$

(2.1)

**Definition 2.2.** If $X$ is just a quasi-projective variety that is not smooth or is not projective, then we will denote the mapping stacks using a superscript:

$$\mathcal{M}^X = \text{Map}(X, \text{Perf}_C) \quad \mathcal{M}^X = \text{Map}(X, \text{Perf}_C) \, .$$

(2.2)

**Remark 2.3.** One can show that if $X$ is not smooth, then $\mathcal{M}_X$ will not be equal to $\mathcal{M}^X$ in general, as the former can classify objects of $\text{QCoh}(X)$ which are not perfect. When $X$ is a quasi-projective smooth variety over $C$, then $L_{pe}(X)$ is still a finite type dg-category, but its associated moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_X$ behaves differently. Instead of classifying all objects in $L_{pe}(X)$ it only classifies perfect complexes with proper support which form the subcategory of left proper objects.

From the construction of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_X$, it follows that there exists a universal functor

$$\mathcal{F} : L_{pe}(X) \rightarrow L_{pe}(\mathcal{M}_X) \, .$$

(2.3)

As $L_{pe}(X)$ is smooth and $L_{pe}(\mathcal{M}_X)$ is rigid, Brav–Dyckerhoff [10, Corollary 2.6] construct an object $\mathcal{E}_X$ in $L_{pe}(X) \otimes L_{pe}(\mathcal{M}_X)$, which can be viewed as an object in $L_{pe}(X \times \mathcal{M}_X)$.

If $X$ itself is proper, we can use the description of $\mathcal{M}_X$ as a mapping stack to construct a universal complex on $X \times \mathcal{M}_X$: If

$$u : X \times \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow \text{Perf}_C$$

(2.4)

is the canonical morphisms for $\mathcal{M}_X$ as a mapping stack, and $\mathcal{U}_0$ is the universal complex on $\text{Perf}_C$ used by Pantev–Toën–Vaquié–Vezzosi in [66], then one defines the universal complex

$$\mathcal{U}_X = u^*(\mathcal{U}_0)$$

on $X \times \mathcal{M}_X$. It can be shown that the universal complex corresponds to the dual of $\mathcal{E}_X$:

$$\mathcal{U}_X \cong \mathcal{E}_X^\vee \, .$$
This follows because the universal functor from (2.3) can be expressed as either
\[ F \mapsto \pi_M^*(\pi_X^*(F) \otimes E_\psi^\vee) \]
or as
\[ F \mapsto \pi_M^*(\pi_X^*(F) \otimes U_X). \]
When \( X \) is quasi-projective and not necessarily proper, we will also use the notation
\[ U_X = E_\psi^\vee X. \]

Let \( \Pi_{1,2}, \Pi_{1,3} : X \times M_X \times M_X \to X \times M_X \) be the projections to the first and second, resp. third component, and \( L \) a coherent sheaf on \( X \), then one can construct the following perfect complex on \( M_X \times M_X \):
\[ \mathcal{E}xt_L = \text{Hom}_{M_X \times M_X}(\Pi_{1,2}^*U_X, \Pi_{1,3}^*U_X \otimes \pi_1^*L). \]
(2.6)
We used the notation from Brav–Dyckerhoff [10] or Gaitsgory–Rozenblyum [33], which we refer to for further background regarding questions of duality in the derived setting. One should think of \( \text{Hom}_{M_X \times M_X}(-,-) \) as first taking the derived Hom on perfect complexes and then pushing forward under the projection \( \pi_X : X \times M_X \times M_X \to M_X \times M_X \). This is possible as \( U_X \) has proper support in the \( X \) factor.

Let \( \sigma : M_X \times M_X \to M_X \times M_X \) be the map interchanging the factors. Applying [10, Corollary 2.5] to the the definition of \( \mathcal{E}xt_L \) in (2.6), one obtains the isomorphism
\[ \mathcal{E}xt_L \cong \sigma^!(\mathcal{E}xt_{(K_X \otimes L^\psi)}^\vee)[-4]. \]
(2.7)
This is the global Serre isomorphism which for every pair of complexes with proper support \(([E], [F])\) corresponding to a point in \( M_X \times M_X \) is given as follows:
\[ \text{Ext}^i(E, F \otimes L) \cong \text{Ext}^{4-i}(F^* \otimes L, E^* \otimes K_X)^* \]
\[ \cong \text{Ext}^{4-i}(F^*, E^* \otimes K_X \otimes L^\psi)^*. \]
All operations are always assumed to be derived. We now define a new family of complexes and line bundles on \( M_X \) depending on a choice of a coherent sheaf on \( X \):

**Definition 2.4.** Let \( X \) be smooth and quasi-projective and \( L \) coherent sheaf on \( X \), then we define
\[ \mathbb{P}_L = \Delta_{M_X}^! \mathcal{E}xt_L, \]
(2.8)
where \( \Delta_{M_X} : M_X \to M_X \times M_X \) is the diagonal morphism. In [70], Schürg–Toën–Vezzosi construct a perfect determinant map
\[ \text{det} : \text{Perf}_C \to \text{Pic}. \]
For a perfect complex \( C \) on a derived stack \( S \) corresponding to a map \( u : S \to \text{Perf} \), we denote by \( \text{det}(C) \) the line bundle corresponding to the composition \( \text{det} \circ u \). As (2.8) is perfect, we construct the \( L \)-twisted virtual canonical bundle \( \Lambda_L = \text{det}(\mathbb{P}_L) \).
It follows from \cite[Proposition 3.3]{10} that the tangent complex of $\mathcal{M}_X$ can be expressed as
\[
\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M}_X) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_X}[1]
\] (2.9)
From the duality (2.7), we obtain the isomorphisms
\[
\theta_L : \mathbb{P}_L \to \mathbb{P}_{(K_X \otimes L^\vee)[-4]},
\] (2.10)
\[
i_L : \Lambda L \to \Lambda^*_L(K_X \otimes L^\vee).
\] (2.11)
We use the term Calabi–Yau manifold in the following sense.

**Definition 2.5.** A Calabi–Yau $n$-fold is a pair $(X, \omega)$, where $X$ is a smooth quasi-projective variety of dimension $n$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\omega$ is a global non-vanishing algebraic section of the canonical bundle $K_X$ of $X$. In this case, we use the notation $K_{\mathcal{M}_X} = \Lambda \mathcal{O}_X$ introduced in \cite[§3.2]{47}. Then applying the above to $L = \mathcal{O}_X$, we obtain the isomorphisms
\[
\theta^\omega : \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M}_X) \to \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{M}_X)[-2],
\] (2.12)
\[
i^\omega : K_{\mathcal{M}_X} \to K^*_{\mathcal{M}_X}.
\] (2.13)
Brav and Dyckerhoff prove in \cite[Proposition 5.3]{10} and \cite[Theorem 5.5 (1)]{10} that the isomorphism $\theta^\omega$ comes from a $-2$-shifted symplectic form $\Omega$ on $\mathcal{M}_X$.

This extra condition to have a $-2$-shifted symplectic stack $(\mathcal{M}_X, \Omega)$ is necessary for constructing Borisov–Joyce fundamental classes as in \cite{8} and Oh–Thomas classes from \cite{65}.

### 2.2 Orientation bundles on moduli stacks of perfect complexes

In this subsection, we review the known results of orientation on compact Calabi–Yau 4-folds, and we define new orientation bundles which we will use in later sections to prove the orientability for the non-compact case.

There is a different but equivalent approach to constructing a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle other than taking a real line bundle (or a complex line bundle with a real structure) and its associated orientation bundle.

**Definition 2.6.** Let $L \to X$ be a complex line bundle over some variety, stack or a topological space. Additionally, let us assume, that there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{I} : L \to L^*$. Then consider the adjoint isomorphism $\mathcal{J}$ which is the composition of
\[
L \otimes L \xrightarrow{\text{id}_L \otimes \mathcal{I}} L \otimes L^* \to \mathbb{C},
\]
where the second isomorphism is the canonical one. Then one can define the *square root $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle associated with $\mathcal{I}$* denoted by $O^\mathcal{I}$. This bundle is given by the sheaf of its sections (which we denote the same way):
\[
O^\mathcal{I}(U) = \{ o : L|_U \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}|_U : o \otimes o = \mathcal{J} \}.
\]
As this will be important for the proof of Theorem 2.23, we mention here how this construction is related to the one using real structures.

**Remark 2.7.** Let \( I : L \rightarrow L^* \) be an isomorphism and \( \langle -, - \rangle \) a metric on \( L \). There is the induced isomorphism \( \mu' : L \rightarrow L \) such that \( \langle -, \mu'(l) \rangle = I(l) \) for all \( l \in L \). As an anti-linear endomorphism, we take its second power \((\mu')^2\) which is given by a multiplication by a strictly positive real function \( s \) on \( X \). In particular \( \mu = \sqrt{\mu'} \) is a well defined real structure on \( L \). Let \( L_\mu \) denote the real line bundle of fixed points and \( \text{or}(L_\mu) \) its associated orientation bundle, then it is easy to see that \( \text{or}(L_\mu) \) is canonically isomorphic to \( O^2 \). For a nice exposition of orientations on \( O(n, \mathbb{C}) \) bundles see Oh-Thomas [65].

We recall the definition of orientations on \(-2\)-shifted derived stacks (see Borisov–Joyce [8, Definition 2.12]), which can now be applied also to non-compact Calabi–Yau 4-folds.

**Definition 2.8.** Let \((S, \Omega)\) be a \(-2\)-shifted symplectic derived stack. Let

\[
\theta^\Omega : T(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(S)[-2]
\]

be the isomorphism associated to the \(-2\) shifted symplectic form. Taking the determinants and inverting, one obtains the isomorphism

\[
i^\Omega : \det(\mathbb{L}_S) \rightarrow \det(\mathbb{L}_S)^*.
\]

The orientation bundle \( O^\Omega \rightarrow S \) is the square root \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) bundle associated to \( i^\Omega \). Let \((X, \omega)\) be a Calabi–Yau 4-fold as in Definition 2.5 then we have \( K_{\mathcal{M}_X} = \det(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}_X}) \). The isomorphisms \( \theta^\omega \) and \( i^\omega \) from (2.12) and (2.13) correspond to \( \theta^\Omega \) and \( i^\Omega \) respectively, where \((\mathcal{M}_X, \Omega)\) is the \(-2\)-shifted symplectic derived stack from Definitions 2.1 and 2.5. We denote in this case the orientation bundle by \( O^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X \).

From now on we will restrict ourselves to working only in higher stacks \( \text{HSta}_\mathbb{C} \). By this we mean that we take truncations \( S = t_0(S) \) everywhere and restrict bundles and their isomorphism constructed on \( S \) to \( S \) by the canonical inclusion \( S \hookrightarrow S \).

When \( X \) is a compact Calabi–Yau 4-fold, Cao–Gross–Joyce [11, Theorem 1.15] prove that \( O^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X \) is trivializable. One could generalize their result by replacing the requirement of \( X \) being Calabi–Yau by a weaker one.

**Definition 2.9.** Let \( X \) be a smooth projective variety and \( K_X \) its canonical divisor. A divisor \( \Theta \), such that \( 2\Theta = K_X \) is called a theta characteristic. We say that \((X, \Theta)\) for a given choice of a theta characteristic \( \Theta \) is spin.

Using this, we construct orientation for the case when \( X \) is smooth projective and spin.

**Definition 2.10.** When \( X \) is spin and a choice of \( \Theta \) is made, we will also use the notation \( K_{\mathcal{M}_X} = \Lambda_\Theta \). Applying (2.11) to the case \( L = \Theta \), one obtains an isomorphism of line bundles

\[
i^\Theta := i_\Theta : K_{\mathcal{M}_X} \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{M}_X}^*.
\]

We define the orientation \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundle \( O^\Theta \) on \( \mathcal{M}_X \) as the associated \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundle to the isomorphism \( i^\Theta \).
Remark 2.11. A choice of $\Theta$ is equivalent to a choice of spin structure on $X^{an}$ (see Atiyah \cite[Proposition 3.2]{Atiyah}).

Before we state the generalization of Cao–Gross–Joyce \cite[Theorem 1.15]{CaoGrossJoyce} to projective spin varieties, we recall some terminology used to formulate it.

Blanc \cite{Blanc} and Simpson \cite{Simpson}, define the topological realization $\infty$-functor:

$$(-)^{\text{top}} : \text{HSta}_C \to \text{Top}$$

as the simplicially enriched left Kan extension of the functor $(-)^{\text{an}} : \text{Aff}_C \to \text{Top}$, which maps every finite type affine scheme over $\mathbb{C}$ to its analytification.

Definition 2.12. Let $Z$ be a projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{M}^Z$, be the mapping stack from (2.2). Let

$$u_Z : Z \times \mathcal{M}^Z \to \text{Perf}_C$$

be the canonical map. Applying $(-)^{\text{top}}$ and using Blanc \cite[§4.2]{Blanc}, we obtain

$$(u_Z)^{\text{top}} : Z^{an} \times (\mathcal{M}^Z)^{\text{top}} \to BU \times \mathbb{Z},$$

where $BU = \lim_{n \to \infty} BU(n)$. This gives us

$$\Gamma_Z : (\mathcal{M}^Z)^{\text{top}} \to \text{Map}_{C^0}(Z^{an}, BU \times \mathbb{Z}),$$

by the universal property of $\text{Map}_{C^0}(-, -)$, where $\text{Map}_{C^0}(-, -)$ denotes the mapping space bifunctor in $\text{Top}$. For any topological space $T$ we use the notation

$$C_T = \text{Map}_{C^0}(T, BU \times \mathbb{Z}).$$

Theorem 2.13. Let $(X, \Theta)$ be smooth, projective and spin (see Definition 2.9) of complex dimension 4, and

$$O^S \to \mathcal{M}_X$$

the orientation bundle from Definition 2.10. Let $\Gamma_X : (\mathcal{M}_X)^{\text{top}} \to C_X$ be as in Definition 2.12 and apply $(-)^{\text{top}}$ to (2.14) to obtain a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle

$$(O^S)^{\text{top}} \to (\mathcal{M}_X)^{\text{top}}.$$

There is a canonical isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles

$$(O^S)^{\text{top}} \cong \Gamma_X(O^{\mathcal{D}_+} C),$$

where $O^{\mathcal{D}_+} C \to C_X$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle from Joyce–Tanaka–Upmeier \cite[Definition 2.22]{JoyceTanakaUpmeier} applied to the positive Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}_+ : S_+ \to S_-$ as in Cao–Gross–Joyce \cite[Theorem 1.11]{CaoGrossJoyce}. In particular, $O^S \to \mathcal{M}_X$ is trivializable.
Proof. This is a simple generalization of the proof of [11, Theorem 1.15] relying on the fact that Theorem 3.5 requires $X^{an}$ to be a spin manifold to trivialize the orientation bundle on $B_X$. We only discuss the corresponding real structure on the differential geometric side in Definition 3.15 and leave the details to the reader.

Remark 2.14. Note that one can also state the equivalent of Cao–Gross–Joyce [11, Theorem 1.15 (c)], expressing the comparison of orientations under direct sums on $M_X$ in terms of the comparison on $C_X$.

For any smooth quasi-projective $X$ and its smooth projective compactification $i : X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$ there is an open embedding of higher stacks

$$\xi : M_X \hookrightarrow M_{\bar{X}}$$

which for each perfect complex $[E^\bullet]$ with compact support on $X$ maps to $[i_*(E^\bullet)]$. The result is a perfect complex by [79, Lemma 36.25.1]. This is inverse to the map induced by the derived pullback by $i$. Suppose, that $X$ is Calabi–Yau and that there exists $\bar{X}$ smooth with an open embedding $X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$, where $\bar{X}$ is spin. We say that $\bar{X}$ is a spin compactification of $X$. We now state the weaker result about orientability for non-compact Calabi-Yau 4-fold.

Corollary 2.15. Let $X$ be a Calabi–Yau 4-fold, and let $\bar{X}$ be a spin compactification of $X$ with a choice of $\Theta$ and an isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{O}_X \cong \Theta|_X$, then there exists an induced isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ bundles on $M_X$:

$$O^2 \cong \xi^*(O^{\Theta}).$$

In particular $M_X$ is then orientable.

Proof. Let $E$ be a perfect complex on $X$ with a proper support, then the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsors at $[E]$ of both of the above $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles are given by

$$\{o_E : \det\left(\text{Ext}^\bullet(E, E)\right) \cong \mathbb{C} \text{ s.t. } o_E^* \circ o_E = i^*|_E\}$$

where $i^*$ is the Serre duality, and we used the isomorphism $E \otimes \Theta \cong E$ induced by $\phi$. Thus we have a natural identification of both $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles.

Remark 2.16. If $\bar{X}$ is a spin compactification of $X$ and $\bar{X} \setminus X = D_{NC}$ is a divisor. Let $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_i$ be its decomposition into irreducible components. If we can write the canonical divisor of $\bar{X}$ as $K_{\bar{X}} = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i D_i$, where $a_i \equiv 0(\text{mod } 2)$, then one can take

$$\Theta = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{a_i^2}{2} D_i$$

as the square root. After choosing a meromorphic section $\omega^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of $\Theta$ with poles and zeros on $D_{NC}$, one obtains an isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{O}_X \cong \Theta|_X$. Then the condition of Corollary 2.15 is satisfied.
**Example 2.17.** The simplest example is $\mathbb{C}^4$. While its natural compactification $\mathbb{P}^4$ is not spin, one can choose to compactify it as $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$ or $(\mathbb{P}^1)^\times 4$ which are both spin, both of which satisfy the property in Remark 2.16.

**Example 2.18.** Let $S$ be a smooth projective variety $0 \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(S) = k \leq 4$ and let $E \to S$ be a vector bundle, s.t. $\det(E) = K_S$. Then $X = \text{Tot}(E \to S)$ is Calabi–Yau. Taking its smooth compactification $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{P}(E \oplus \mathcal{O}_S)$ with the divisor at infinity $D = \mathbb{P}(E) \subset \mathbb{P}(E \oplus \mathcal{O}_S)$, one can show that $K_X = -(\text{rk}(E) + 1)D$. If $\text{rk}(E) \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$, we see that we can choose $\Theta = \mathcal{O}_X(-\frac{\text{rk}(E)+1}{2})$ which satisfies the property of Remark 2.16. In particular, if $\text{rk}(E) + k = 4$, this is an example of Corollary 2.15, when $\text{rk}(E) = 1, 3$.

If $X = \text{Tot}(L_1 \oplus L_2 \to S)$ for a smooth projective surface $S$ and its line bundles $L_1, L_2$, s.t. $L_1 L_2 = K_S$, then the spin compactification can be obtained as $\mathbb{P}(L_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_S) \times_S \mathbb{P}(L_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_S)$.

**Example 2.19.** Suppose we have a toric variety $X$ (see Fulton [30], Cox [23]) given by a fan in the lattice $N = \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose it is smooth and it contains the natural cone spanned by $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$. Define the hyperplanes

$$H_i = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = i\}.$$ 

Then $X$ is Calabi–Yau if and only if all the primitive vectors of rays of the fan lie in $H_1$. A simple generalization of this well known statement shows that $X$ has a theta characteristic if and only if all the primitive vectors lie in $H_{\text{odd}} = \bigcup_{i \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1} H_i$. In particular, starting from a toric Calabi–Yau $X$, one can compactify $X$ to a projective smooth toric variety $\tilde{X}$ by adding divisors corresponding to primitive vectors. One can ask, whether there exists such a compactification with all new primitive vectors lying in $H_{\text{odd}}$.

In general this is not the case. Consider the fan in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the primitive vectors $(2, -1), (1, 0), (0, 1), (-1, 2)$, then this toric CY2 does not have a spin compactification. The problem in even dimension comes from blowing up at points which always ends up violating the spin condition.

To avoid having to answer the question of existence of spin-compactifications, we develop a different general approach in the next section.

### 2.3 Orientation for a non-compact Calabi–Yau via algebraic excision principle

Let $(X, \omega)$ be a Calabi–Yau 4-fold over $\mathbb{C}$, let $\tilde{X}$ be a smooth compactification of $X$ with the divisor $D_{NC} = \tilde{X} \setminus X$ being strict normal crossing. Such a compactification exists by [39, Main Theorem 1]. Then we will consider pairs of perfect complexes $(E, F)$ on $\tilde{X}$, such that

$$E|_{D_{NC}} \simeq F|_{D_{NC}}.$$ 

We will take the difference of the determinants $\det(\text{Ext}^\bullet(E, E))$ and $\det(\text{Ext}^\bullet(F, F))$ and cancel the contributions which live purely on the divisor. One could think of this as some
version of algebraic excision principle. Let us now make the described method more rigorous.

Let \((X, \omega), \bar{X}\) and \(D_{NC}\) be as in the paragraph above, then we can write \(D_{NC}\) as the union
\[
D_{NC} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} D_i
\]
(2.15)
where each \(D_i\) is a smooth divisor. We require \(\omega\) to be algebraic, then there exists a unique meromorphic section \(\bar{\omega}\) of \(K_{\bar{X}}\) s.t. \(\bar{\omega}|_X = \omega\). The poles and zeroes of \(\bar{\omega}\) express \(K_{\bar{X}}\) uniquely in the following form:
\[
K_{\bar{X}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i D_i, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]
(2.16)
In particular, we may write for the canonical line bundle:
\[
K_{\bar{X}} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{O}(a_i D_i) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{O}(\text{sgn}(a_i) D_i)^{a_i}.
\]
For a line bundle \(L\) and non-zero global section \(s_i\) of \(\mathcal{O}(D_i)\) one has the usual exact sequence of coherent sheaves:
\[
0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow L(D_i) \rightarrow L(D_i) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{D_i} \rightarrow 0
\]
As all the operations used to define \(P_{L}^*\) in Definition 2.4 are derived, we obtain a distinguished triangle
\[
P_L \rightarrow P_{L(D_i)} \rightarrow P_{L(D_i) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{D_i}} \rightarrow [1] \rightarrow P_L[1].
\]
(2.17)
Both \(\mathcal{M}_X\) and \(\mathcal{M}_{D_i}\) can be expressed as mapping stacks \(\text{Map}_{\text{Hstac}}(\bar{X}, \text{Perf}_C)\) and \(\text{Map}_{\text{Hstac}}(D_i, \text{Perf}_C)\), respectively. Let \(\text{inc}_{D_i} : D_i \rightarrow \bar{X}\) be the inclusion, then we denote by \(\rho_{D_i} : \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{D_i}\) the morphisms induced by the pullback \((\text{inc}_{D_i})^* : L_{pe}(\bar{X}) \rightarrow L_{pe}(D_i)\).

We will now show that
\[
P_{L(D_i) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{D_i}} \cong (\text{id}_{D_i} \times \rho_{D_i})^* P_L(D_i)|_{D_i},
\]
(2.18)
where we use the same notation for the complexes \(P\) on \(\bar{X}\) and \(D\). To see this, one needs to show that if \(U\) is the universal perfect complex on \(\bar{X} \times \mathcal{M}_X\) and \(U_{D_i}\) on \(D_i \times \mathcal{M}_{D_i}\), then the following identification holds:
\[
U|_{D_i \times \mathcal{M}_X} = (\text{id}_{D_i} \times \rho_{D_i})^* U_{D_i}.
\]
This follows from the homotopy-commutativity of the following diagram:
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{X} \times \mathcal{M}_X & \rightarrow & \text{Perf}_C \\
\text{inc}_{D_i} \times \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}_X} & & \\
D_i \times \mathcal{M}_X & \rightarrow & D_i \times \mathcal{M}_{D_i}
\end{array}
\]
where both maps into $\text{Perf}_C$ are the natural ones. To see that it commutes, notice that both compositions give the map $\rho_{D_1} : \mathcal{M}_X \to \mathcal{M}_{D_1}$ when using the natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Ho}(\text{Hsta}_C)}(D_i \times \mathcal{M}_X, \text{Perf}_C) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Ho}(\text{Hsta}_C)}(\mathcal{M}_X, \mathcal{M}_{D_i}).$$

Thus we have the following equivalences

$$\begin{align*}
P_L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_i} &= \Pi_{2*}(\mathcal{U}' \otimes \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_i} |_{\mathcal{D}_1}) \\
&\cong \Pi_{2*}((\text{inc}_{D_1} \times \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}_X})_*(\mathcal{U}' \otimes \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_i} |_{\mathcal{D}_1\times \mathcal{M}})) \\
&\cong \Pi_{2*}((\text{id}_{D_1} \times \rho_{D_1})^*(\mathcal{U}'_{D_1} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{D_1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_i} |_{\mathcal{D}_1})) \\
&\cong \rho_{D_i}^*(\mathcal{P}_{L(D_i)} |_{\mathcal{D}_i}),
\end{align*}$$

where $\Pi_i$ denotes the projection to the $i$th component in any product. We used in the last step the isomorphism of exact functors $\Pi_{2*} \circ (\text{id}_{D_1} \times \rho_{D_1})^* \cong \rho_{D_i}^* \circ \Pi_{2*}$. After taking determinants of $\langle 2.17 \rangle$ and using $\langle 2.18 \rangle$, we obtain the isomorphisms

$$\begin{align*}
\xi^-((L(D_i), D_i, s_i)) : \Lambda_{L(D_i)} &\to \Lambda^*_{\mathcal{D}_i} \otimes \rho_{D_i}^* \Lambda_{L(D_i)} |_{\mathcal{D}_i} \\
\xi^+(L, D_i, (s_i)^{-1}) : \Lambda_L &\to \Lambda^* \otimes \left(\rho_{D_i}^* \Lambda_{L(D_i)} |_{\mathcal{D}_i}\right)
\end{align*}
\langle 2.19 \rangle$$

Let us introduce the following notation

$$\mathcal{M}_D := \prod_{i=1}^N \mathcal{M}_{D_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = \prod_{i=1}^N \rho_{D_i} : \mathcal{M}_X \to \mathcal{M}_D.$$ 

We use the above to construct a new $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle on $\mathcal{M}_X \times_{\rho_* \mathcal{M}_D, \rho} \mathcal{M}_X$. We also define $\rho_{\text{DNC}} : \mathcal{M}_X \to \mathcal{M}^{\text{DNC}}$, using that both stacks are mapping stacks and the inclusion $\text{inc}_{\text{DNC}} : \mathcal{D}_{\text{NC}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$. We have the obvious map $\mathcal{M}^{\text{DNC}} \to \mathcal{M}_{D_i}$ induced by the inclusion $D_i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{NC}}$. This induces

$$\text{sp} : \mathcal{M}_X \times_{\rho_* \mathcal{M}_D, \rho} \mathcal{M}_X \to \mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}_D} \mathcal{M}_X.$$ 
\langle 2.20 \rangle

We will often not distinguished between the two stacks, as the latter is introduced for a purely technical reason.

**Proposition/Definition 2.20.** Let $(X, \omega)$ be a Calabi–Yau 4-fold, $\mathcal{X}$ its smooth projective compactification and $D_{\text{NC}} = \mathcal{X}\setminus X$ a strict normal crossing divisor, let $\mathcal{X}$ be the extension to a meromorphic section of $K_X$ of the holomorphic volume form $\omega$ of $X$. Let $\text{ord}(D_{\text{NC}})$ denote the decomposition of $D_{\text{NC}}$ into irreducible components as in $\langle 2.15 \rangle$, which also specifies their order, such that there exist $0 \leq N_1 \leq N_2 \leq N$, such that $a_i = 0$ for $0 < i \leq N_1$, $a_i > 0$ for $N_1 < i \leq N_2$ and $a_i < 0$ for $N_2 < i \leq N$, where $a_i$ are the coefficients from $\langle 2.16 \rangle$. We will assume that $N_1 = 0$, as $D_i$ with $i \leq N_1$ will not contribute in the following construction.

Define *Extension data* as the following ordered collection of sections

$$\varpi := \left((s_{i,k})_{i,j \in \{1, \ldots, N_2\}, \ 1 \leq k \leq a_i}, (t_{l,j})_{j \in \{N_2+1, \ldots, N\}, \ 1 \leq l \leq -a_j}\right), \quad s_{i,k} : \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X(D_i), \quad t_{l,j} : \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X(D_j).$$
such that \( \prod_{i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}} s_{i,k} \prod_{j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}} (t_{j,l})^{-1} = \tilde{\omega} \) and \( s_{i,k}, t_{j,k} \) are meromorphic with zeros only on \( D_i \), resp. \( D_j \).

Consider the following complex line bundle on \( \mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}_D, \rho} \mathcal{M}_\widetilde{X} \):

\[
\mathcal{L}_{X,D} = \pi_1^* \Lambda O_{\tilde{X}} \otimes (\pi_2^* \Lambda O_{\tilde{X}})^*,
\]

where \( \mathcal{M}_\widetilde{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}_D, \rho} \mathcal{M}_\widetilde{X} \) are the natural projections. For a choice of \( \mathfrak{ord} \) and extension data \( \triangledown \), there exists a unique isomorphism

\[
\vartheta_{\triangledown} : \mathcal{L}_{X,D} \to (\mathcal{L}_{X,D})^*,
\]

In particular, we define a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundle \( O_{\text{sp}}^{\triangledown} \to \mathcal{M}_\widetilde{X} \times_{\mathcal{M}_D, \rho} \mathcal{M}_\widetilde{X} \) as the square root bundle associated to (2.22). We define the pullback \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundle using (2.20):

\[
O^{\triangledown} = \text{sp}^*(O_{\text{sp}}^{\triangledown}) \to \mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}_D, \rho} \mathcal{M}_\widetilde{X}.
\]

Let \( \zeta : \mathcal{M}_X \to \mathcal{M}_\widetilde{X} \times_{\mathcal{M}_D, \rho} \mathcal{M}_\widetilde{X} \) be the open embedding of higher stacks which at a \( \mathbb{C} \) point corresponding to a complex \( [E] \) is given by \( [E] \to (i_*(E), 0) \), where \( i : X \to \widetilde{X} \) is the inclusion. Then there is a natural isomorphism

\[
\zeta^*(O^{\triangledown}) \cong O^\omega
\]

of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundles on \( \mathcal{M}_X \).

**Proof.** The Serre duality isomorphism on \( \widetilde{X} \) gives us \( \mathcal{L}_{X,D} \cong (\pi_1^* \Lambda K_{\widetilde{X}})^* \otimes \pi_2^* \Lambda K_{\widetilde{X}} \). Let us discuss an iterative procedure relying on the choice of \( \triangledown \) that will yield an isomorphism

\[
\tau_{\triangledown} : (\pi_1^* \Lambda K_{\widetilde{X}})^* \otimes \pi_2^* \Lambda K_{\widetilde{X}} \cong (\pi_1^* \Lambda O_{\widetilde{X}})^* \otimes \pi_2^* \Lambda O_{\widetilde{X}}.
\]

Composing the two we will obtain \( \vartheta_{\triangledown} \).

In the first step we consider three cases. If \( \text{sgn}(a_1) = 0 \), then we skip to \( D_2 \). If \( \text{sgn}(a_1) > 0 \), then we have

\[
\xi^-(K_{\widetilde{X}}, D_1, s_{1,1}) : \Lambda K_{\widetilde{X}} \to \Lambda K_{\widetilde{X}}|_{D_1} \otimes \rho_{D_1}^* \Lambda K_{\widetilde{X}}|_{D_1}.
\]

We get the isomorphism

\[
(\pi_1^* \Lambda K_{\widetilde{X}})^* \otimes \pi_2^* \Lambda K_{\widetilde{X}} \cong (\pi_1^* \Lambda K_{\widetilde{X}}|_{D_1})^* \otimes \pi_2^* \Lambda K_{\widetilde{X}}|_{D_1} \otimes (\pi_1^* \Lambda K_{\widetilde{X}}|_{D_1})^* \otimes \pi_2^* \Lambda K_{\widetilde{X}}|_{D_1},
\]

where for the second isomorphism, we use the commutativity of the diagram

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}_D} \mathcal{M}_X & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \mathcal{M}_X \\
\mathcal{M}_X \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \mathcal{M}_\widetilde{X} \xrightarrow{\rho_{D_1}} \mathcal{M}_{D_1}
\end{align*}
\]
If $\text{sgn}(a_1) < 0$, then using $\xi(K_X, D_1, t_{N+2+1,1})$ and similar arguments, we obtain
\[
(\pi_1^* \Lambda_{K_X}^*) \otimes \pi_2^* \Lambda_{K_X} \cong (\pi^* \Lambda_{K_X+D_1})^* \otimes \pi_2^* \Lambda_{K_X+D_1}.
\]
Repeating this, we reach in finitely many steps the required isomorphism $\tau_{\infty}$.

Consider a point $[E] \in \mathcal{M}_X$, then at the corresponding point $([\tilde{E}], 0) \in \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{X}} \times \mathcal{M}_D$, the isomorphism $\vartheta_{\infty}$ is given by
\[
\det(\text{Ext}^\bullet(E, E)) \cong \det^*(\text{Ext}^\bullet(E, E \otimes K_X)) \cong \det^*(\text{Ext}^\bullet(E, E)),
\]
where we use that $\Lambda_L|_0$ is trivial. The first isomorphism is Serre duality and the second one is the composition of isomorphisms induced by $E \xrightarrow{s_{t, k}} E(D_i)$ and $E(-D_j) \xrightarrow{t_{j,l}} E$. As $E$ is compactly supported in $X$, these isomorphisms compose into $E \xrightarrow{i_\omega} E$ by the assumption on $\vartheta_{\infty}$. Therefore $\vartheta_{\infty}|_{([E], 0)}$ coincides with $i_\omega|_{[E]}$ and their associated $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles are identified.

**Remark 2.21.** From the above proof, one can see that the isomorphism (2.22) depends on $\text{ord}$. However, using Serre duality on $D_i$, the adjunction formula $K_{D_i} = (K_X + D_i)|_{D_i}$ and canceling possible restrictions to intersections of the divisors, one is able to permute between two different orders showing that the resulting isomorphism $\vartheta_{\infty}$ depends on $\infty$ only up to permutations of the divisors $D_i$.

The important property of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle $O^{\vartheta_{\infty}}$ is that it is going to allow us to use index theoretic excision on the side of gauge theory to prove its triviality. In particular, one should think of the pairs of quasi-isomorphism classes of perfect complexes $([E], [F])$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{X}} \times \mathcal{M}_{DNC} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{X}}$ as similar objects to the relative pairs in [85, Definition 2.5] with identification given in some neighborhood of the divisor $D$. In particular, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle $O^{\vartheta}$ only cares about the behavior of the complexes in $X$.

**Definition 2.22.** Recall that from Definition 2.12 we have the maps $\Gamma_{\tilde{X}} : (\mathcal{M}_X)^{\text{top}} \to \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{X}}$ and $\Gamma_{D_{\text{NC}}} : (\mathcal{M}_{D_{\text{NC}}})^{\text{top}} \to \mathcal{C}_{D_{\text{NC}}}$. We define $\Gamma$ as the composition
\[
(\mathcal{M}_X \times \mathcal{M}_{D_{\text{NC}}})^{\text{top}} \to (\mathcal{M}_X)^{\text{top}} \times^{h}_{(\mathcal{M}_{D_{\text{NC}}})^{\text{top}}} (\mathcal{M}_X)^{\text{top}} \to \mathcal{C}_X \times^{h}_{\mathcal{C}_{D_{\text{NC}}}} \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{X}} \cong \mathcal{C}_X \times_{\mathcal{C}_{D_{\text{NC}}}} \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{X}},
\]
(2.26)
The first map is induced by the homotopy commutative diagram obtained from applying $(-)^{\text{top}}$ to the Cartesian diagram
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{X}} \times \mathcal{M}_{D_{\text{NC}}} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{X}} \\
\downarrow \\
\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{X}} \times \mathcal{M}_{D_{\text{NC}}} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{X}} \\
\downarrow \\
\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{X}} \times \mathcal{M}_{D_{\text{NC}}} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{X}}
\end{array}
\]
The second map uses homotopy commutativity of
\[
\begin{array}{c}
(\mathcal{M}_X)^{\text{top}} \\
\downarrow \Gamma_X \\
\mathcal{C}_X
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
(\mathcal{M}_{D_{\text{NC}}})^{\text{top}} \\
\downarrow \Gamma_{D_{\text{NC}}} \\
\mathcal{C}_{D_{\text{NC}}}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
(\mathcal{M}_X)^{\text{top}} \\
\downarrow \Gamma_X \\
\mathcal{C}_X
\end{array}
\]
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The final homotopy equivalence is the result of the map \((\text{inc}_{D_{NC}})^\text{an} : (D_{NC})^\text{an} \to X^\text{an}\) being a cofibration. The map \(C_X \to C_{D_{NC}}\) is a fibration so the homotopy fiber-product is given by the strict fiber-product up to homotopy equivalences.

We now state the theorem which follows from Proposition 4.22 and is the main tool in proving orientability of \(\mathcal{M}_X\).

**Theorem 2.23.** Let \(X\) be a smooth Calabi–Yau 4-fold, \(\bar{X}\) its smooth projective compactification by a strictly normal crossing divisor \(D_{NC}\). Choose \(\text{ord}\) and the extension data \(\varnothing\) as in Proposition/Definition 2.20, then the \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle

\[
O_{\varnothing} \to \mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}_X} \bar{X}
\]  

(2.27)
is trivializable. Let \(C_{D_{NC}}, C_{\bar{X}}\) be the topological space of maps from Definition 2.12. Let \(D^C_{\varnothing} \to C_{\bar{X}} \times_{C_{D_{NC}}} C_{\bar{X}}\) be the trivializable \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle from (4.14) defined in Definition 4.12. If \(\Gamma : (\mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}} \times_{\mathcal{M}_{D_{NC}}} \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}})_{\text{top}} \to C_{\bar{X}} \times_{C_{D_{NC}}} C_{\bar{X}}\)

is the map from Definition 2.22, then there exists a canonical isomorphism

\[
\mathcal{Y}_{\varnothing} : \Gamma^*(D^C_{\varnothing}) \cong (O_{\varnothing})_{\text{top}}.
\]  

(2.28)

We now reinterpret this result to apply it to the orientation bundle of interest \(O^\omega \to \mathcal{M}_X\).

**Definition 2.24.** let \(\zeta : \mathcal{M}_X \to \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}} \times_{\mathcal{M}_{D_{NC}}} \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}\) be the open embedding of stacks from Proposition/Definition 2.20. The map

\[
\Gamma \circ \zeta_{\text{top}} : (\mathcal{M}_X)_{\text{top}} \to C_{\bar{X}} \times_{C_{D_{NC}}} C_{\bar{X}}
\]

factors through \(C_{\bar{X}} \times_{C_{D_{NC}}} \{0\} \hookrightarrow C_{\bar{X}} \times_{C_{D_{NC}}} C_{\bar{X}}\), where

\[
C_{\bar{X}} \times_{C_{D_{NC}}} \{0\} = \text{Map}_{C^0}(\langle \bar{X}, D_{NC} \rangle, (BU \times \mathbb{Z}, 0))
\]

\[
= \text{Map}_{C^0}(\langle \bar{X}/D_{NC} \rangle, [D_{NC}], (BU \times \mathbb{Z}, 0))
\]

\[
= \text{Map}_{C^0}(\langle X^+, + \rangle, (BU \times \mathbb{Z}, 0)),
\]

and \(X^+\) is the one point compactification by the point \(+\). The space

\[
C_\mathcal{X}^\text{cs} = \text{Map}_{C^0}(\langle X^+, + \rangle, (BU \times \mathbb{Z}, 0))
\]
is the classifying space of compactly supported K-theory on \(X\) (see Spanier [75], Ranicki–Roe [69, §2], May [59, Chapter 21]): \(\pi_0(C_\mathcal{X}^\text{cs}) : = K_\mathcal{O}^0(X)\). We can write \(\Gamma \circ \zeta_{\text{top}}\) as the composition of

\[
(\mathcal{M}_X)_{\text{top}} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{\text{top}}} C_\mathcal{X}^\text{cs} \xrightarrow{\kappa_{\text{cs}}} C_X \times_{C_{D_{NC}}} C_{\bar{X}}.
\]  

(2.29)

We define \(O^\text{cs} : = (\kappa_{\text{cs}})^*(D_{O}^C)\).
The next result follows immediately from Proposition/Definition 2.20 and Theorem 2.23

**Remark 2.25.** From the definition of $D^c_{GG}$ in (4.14) and Lemma 4.4, one can show that $O^{cs}$ does not depend on the choice of compactification $\tilde{X}$ and any other choices made. This can be seen as it only depends on the map $G^c_{\Gamma} \circ \kappa^{cs}$, where $G^c_{\Gamma}$ from (4.16).

**Theorem 2.26.** Let $(X, \omega)$ be a Calabi–Yau 4-fold as in Definition 2.23, then the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle

$$O^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$$

from Definition 2.8 is trivializable.

Moreover, fix a smooth projective compactification $\tilde{X}$, such that $D_{NC} = \tilde{X} \setminus X$ is a strictly normal crossing divisor, and choose $\text{ord}$ as in Definition/Proposition 2.20 on $\tilde{X}$. Let $(O^{\omega})^{top} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_X)^{top}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle obtained by applying $(-)^{top}$ to (2.30), then for the above choices there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{J}^{\text{pl}}_{\tilde{X}} : (\Gamma^{cs}_{\tilde{X}})^* (O^{cs}) \cong (O^{\omega})^{top},$$

where $\Gamma^{cs}_{\tilde{X}} : (\mathcal{M}_X)^{top} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^c_{\tilde{X}}$ from (2.29).

**Proof.** One defines $\mathcal{J}^{\text{pl}}_{\tilde{X}} (\infty)$ as the restriction of (2.28) under $\zeta^{top}$:

$$\mathcal{J}^{\text{pl}}_{\tilde{X}} (\infty) : (\Gamma^{cs}_{\tilde{X}})^* (O^{cs}) \cong (\zeta^{top})^* \circ (D^c_{GG})^{top} \cong (\zeta^{top})^* \circ (O^{\vartheta,cs})^{top} \cong (O^{\omega})^{top}.$$

As both $O^\omega$ and $O^{cs}$ are independent of $\tilde{X}$ and $\infty$. We obtain for a given $\text{ord}$ a family of isomorphism $\mathcal{J}^{\text{pl}}_{\tilde{X}} (\infty) : (\Gamma^{cs}_{\tilde{X}})^* (O^{cs}) \cong (O^{\omega})^{top}$ parameterized by the possible choices of $\infty$. Any two choices of $s_{i,k}$ differ by $\mathbb{C}^*$ (and same holds for $t_{j,l}$). Therefore this set corresponds to $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\sum |a_i| - 1}$ which is connected, therefore $\mathcal{J}^{\text{pl}}_{\tilde{X}} (\infty)$ is independent of $\infty$ and we denote the resulting isomorphism $\mathcal{J}^{\text{pl}}_{\tilde{X}}$.

**Remark 2.27.** One should expect that the isomorphism $\mathcal{J}^{\text{pl}}_{\tilde{X}}$ does not depend on $\tilde{X}$ and $\text{ord}$. This will not be proved here as using the methods developed in §3.7, §4.4 and §4.5 is tedious. We only sketch the idea.

To show independence from $\text{ord}$, one should translate Remark 2.21 into pseudo-differential operators by expressing the contributions on $D_i \cap D_j$ as pseudo-differential operators supported in some neighborhood of $D_i \cap D_j$ and then use similar argument to §4.4 and §4.5. If $\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2$ are two smooth compactification, one can construct

$$\tilde{X} \xleftarrow{\ \ X_1 \quad q_1 \quad q_2 \quad X_2,}$$

where $q_1$ and $q_2$ are blow-ups and $\tilde{X}$ is a smooth compactification of $X$. Then one should choose extension data $\varpi_i$ on $X_i$ and $\varpi_i$ on $\tilde{X}$ such that one can relate the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-torsors

$$O^{\vartheta,\varpi_i} \mid_{[q_i^*(E_i).q_i^*(F_i),\varphi_i]} \quad \text{and} \quad O^{\vartheta,\varpi_i} \mid_{[E_i,F_i,\varphi]},$$
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where $[E_i, F_i, \phi_i]$ is a $C$ point of $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}_i} \times_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{pc}}_X} \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}_i}$, where $E_i, F_i$ are vector bundles generated by global sections on $\bar{X}_i$. As one has the isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-torsors $D_O|_{[E_i, F_i, \phi_i]} \cong D_O|_{[q^*_{\bar{E}_i}(E_i), q^*_{\bar{F}_i}(F_i), q^*_{\bar{\phi}_i}(\phi_i)]}$, where $D_O$ is defined in (4.1), one can also relate the isomorphism of these torsors constructed in the proof of Proposition 4.22.

**Remark 2.28.** Even without proving the claims of Remark 2.27, the result in Theorem 2.26 is often sufficient. E.g., suppose $X$ is from Example 2.18. Here we do not need the condition on $\text{rk}(E)$ anymore. The natural compactification described there has a single irreducible smooth divisor at infinity.

Let us discuss another straightforward consequence of the framework used in Theorem 2.23. For $(X, \omega)$ a quasi-projective Calabi–Yau 4-fold, let $M$ be the a moduli scheme of stable pairs (see Cao–Maulik–Toda [19] and Cao–Kool–Monavari [16]) or ideal sheaves of proper subvarieties. To make sense out of Serre duality, following the approach in Kool–Thomas [51, §3], we choose a compactification $\bar{X}$ as Theorem 2.23.

**Definition 2.29.** Let $E \to X \times M$ be the universal perfect complex on $M$. Using the inclusion $\text{inc}_X : X \to X$ we obtain the pushforward universal sheaf $\bar{E} \to \bar{X} \times M$. We have the following isomorphism, where $(-)_0$ denotes the traceless part:

$$i^\omega_M : \text{det}(\text{Hom}_M(\bar{E}, \bar{E})_0) \cong \text{det}(\text{Hom}_M(\bar{E}, \bar{E})_0)$$

$$\cong \text{det}^*(\text{Hom}_M(\bar{E}, \bar{E} \otimes K_X)_0) \cong \text{det}^*(\text{Hom}_M(\bar{E}, \bar{E})_0)$$

The orientation bundle $O^\omega_M \to M$ is defined as the square root $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle associated with $i^\omega_M$.

Let $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ be a moduli stack of stable pairs or ideals sheaves on $\bar{X}$ with the projection $\pi_{\mathbb{G}_m} : \bar{\mathcal{M}} \to M$ which is a $[*/\mathbb{G}_m]$ principal bundle. We have an inclusion $\eta : \bar{\mathcal{M}} \to \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}} \times_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{pc}}_X} \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$ given on points by mapping $[\bar{E}] \mapsto ([\bar{E}, O_{\bar{X}}])$.

**Theorem 2.30.** Let $(X, \omega)$ be a quasi-projective Calabi–Yau 4-fold, let $\bar{X}$, be its compactification as in Theorem 2.23. Let $O^\omega_{\bar{M}} \to M$ be the orientation bundle from Definition 2.29 for $M$ a moduli scheme of stable pairs or ideals sheaves of proper subschemes of $X$. There is a canonical isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles

$$\pi^\omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}(O^\omega_{\bar{M}}) \cong \eta^*(O^{\mathbb{G}_m}).$$

In particular, $O^\omega_{\bar{M}} \to M$ is trivializable.

**Proof.** The universal perfect complex $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{\bar{M}}$ on $\bar{M}$ is given by $(\text{id}_X \times \pi_{\mathbb{G}_m})^*(\bar{E})$. We have the isomorphism

$$\text{det}(\text{Hom}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{\bar{M}}, \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{\bar{M}})_0) \cong \text{det}(\text{Hom}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{\bar{M}}, \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{\bar{M}})) \text{det}^*(\text{Hom}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}))$$

$$\cong \eta^*(L_{\bar{X}, D}).$$
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such that the following two resulting isomorphism are identified:

\[
\det(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}})_0) \cong \eta^*(\mathcal{L}_{X,D}) \cong \eta^*(\mathcal{L}_{X,D}^*),
\]

\[
\cong \det^*(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}})_0),
\]

\[
det(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}})_0) \cong \pi^*_0(\mathcal{G}_m(i\omega_{\mathcal{M}})).
\]

As a result, the \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundles associated to these are canonically isomorphic and we apply Theorem 2.23.

The reader interested in computations is welcome to skip the next two sections. We discuss sign comparisons under direct sums of perfect complexes in [45].

3 Background and some new methods

3.1 Moduli space of connections

We review here some basic concepts from gauge theory. For the introduction to connections and the classical treatment of their moduli spaces, see Donaldson and Kronheimer [24].

Let \(X\) be a smooth connected manifold of dimension \(n\). Let \(\pi : P \to X\) be a principal \(G\) bundle for a connected Lie group \(G\) with the Lie algebra \(\mathfrak{g}\). Upon fixing a connection \(\nabla_0\) on \(P\), one obtains the identification of the set of all connections \(\mathcal{A}_P\) with the affine space \(\Gamma^\infty(\Lambda^1_X(\text{ad}(P)))\) of \(\text{ad}(P)\) valued one forms on \(X\). The topology on \(\mathcal{A}_P\) is taken to be the topology under this identification.

A gauge transformation \(\gamma\) on \(P\) is an element of \(\text{Aut}(P)\) such that it preserves the fibers and is equivariant with respect to the right \(G\) action. We denote the infinite dimensional Lie group of gauge transformations (gauge group) by \(\mathcal{G}_P\). One can define the action of the gauge group \(\mathcal{G}_P\) on the space of connection \(\mathcal{A}_P\) as an action of an automorphism on a distribution. This action will be continuous and as such gives a groupoid \([\mathcal{G}_P \times \mathcal{A}_P \rightrightarrows \mathcal{A}_P]\) in the category of topological spaces.

The next subsection recalls the notion of topological stacks, which were used by Joyce–Tanaka–Upmeier [46] to define moduli spaces of connections.

3.2 Background on topological stacks

The definition of a topological stack follows at first the standard definition of stacks over sites. It can be found together with all basic results in Noohi [63] and Metzler [60], the homotopy theory of topological stacks is developed by Noohi in [64].
**Definition 3.1.** Consider the standard topology on the category of topological spaces $\text{Top}$. A topological stack $\mathcal{X}$ is a stack over this site, such that there is a representable epimorphism (called a chart)

$$X \to \mathcal{X},$$

where $X$ is a topological space considered as a stack.

For each groupoid of topological spaces $[G \rightrightarrows X]$, one defines a prestack $[X/G]$, such that the objects of the groupoid $[X/G](W)$ correspond to the continuous maps $W \to X$ for any $W \in \text{Ob}('\text{Top}')$. The morphisms between two such maps $\alpha : W \to X$ and $\beta : W \to X$, are given by the continuous maps $W \to G$ which are mapped respectively to $\alpha$ and $\beta$ under the two maps $G \rightrightarrows X$ of the groupoid. The stack $[X/G]$ associated to $[G \rightrightarrows X]$ corresponds to the stack associated to this prestack. The following result makes working with topological stacks much simpler.

**Proposition 3.2** (Noohi [63, p.26]). Every topological stack $\mathcal{X}$ has the form of an associated stack $[X/G]$ for some topological groupoid $[G \rightrightarrows X]$. The canonical map $X \to [X/G]$ gives a chart of $\mathcal{X}$. Conversely $[X/G]$ associated to any groupoid is a topological stack.

The definition of a topological stack given in [63] is more complicated and depends on the choice of a class of morphisms called local fibrations (LF). Instead, we are using Noohi’s definition of topological stacks from [64] which corresponds to pretopological stacks in [63].

In [64], Noohi proposes a homotopy theory for a class of topological stacks called hoparacompact. A hoparacompact topological stack $\mathcal{X}$ has a chart $\phi : X \to \mathcal{X}$ satisfying the property that for every $\mu : T \to \mathcal{X}$, where $T$ is a paracompact topological space, the base change of $\phi$ by $\mu$ is shrinkable. A shrinkable map $X \to Y$ of topological spaces admits a section $s : Y \to X$ with a fiber-wise strong deformation retraction of $X$ to $s(Y)$.

Let $\text{TopSta}_{hp}$ denote the 2-category of hoparacompact topological stacks.

**Definition 3.3.** A classifying space of $\mathcal{X}$ in $\text{TopSta}_{hp}$ is a topological space $X = \mathcal{X}^{cla}$ with a representable map $\pi^{cla} : X \to \mathcal{X}$ such that for any $T \to \mathcal{X}$, where $T$ is a topological space, its base change $T \times X \to T$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Noohi provides a functorial existence of the classifying space $\mathcal{X}^{cla}$ for every hoparacompact topological stack $\mathcal{X}$, such that the resulting space is paracompact. The classifying space gives us the homotopy type of $\mathcal{X}$. In fact, [64, Corollary 8.9] states that the functor $(-)^{cla} : \text{TopSta}_{hp} \to \text{Para}^{ho}$ is an equivalence of categories. In both categories, we have inverted their homotopy equivalences using localization.

Note that both $\mathcal{A}_P$ and $\mathcal{G}_P$ are paracompact topological spaces which implies that the associated quotient stack $\mathcal{B}_P = [\mathcal{A}_P / \mathcal{G}_P]$ will be hoparacompact. The corresponding classifying space is obtained by taking the universal bundle $E\mathcal{G}_P$ to construct:

$$\mathcal{B}_P^{cla} = [\mathcal{A}_P / \mathcal{G}_P]^{cla} = (\mathcal{A}_P \times E\mathcal{G}_P) / \mathcal{G}_P.$$
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The map \( \pi^{\text{cla}} : \mathcal{B}_P^{\text{cla}} \to \mathcal{B}_P \) from Definition \([3.1]\) which induces homotopy equivalence in \( \text{TopSta}_{hp} \) is induced by the projection \( \mathcal{A}_P \times EY_P \to \mathcal{A}_P \). We will be working with bundles over this topological stack which are equivalent to equivariant bundles over the space \( \mathcal{A}_P \).

Moreover, taking for each isomorphism class of \( U(n) \)-bundles a unique representative \( P \), we can take disjoint union of \( \mathcal{B}_P \) denoted by

\[
\mathcal{B}_X = \bigcup_{[P]} \mathcal{B}_P .
\]

(3.1)

It is well known that there is a homotopy equivalence of the following topological spaces:

\[
\Sigma : \mathcal{B}_X^{\text{cla}} \to \text{Map}_{CS}(X, \bigcup_m BU(m)) .
\]

(3.2)

### 3.3 Orientation bundles on \( \mathcal{B}_P \) in a compact case

For \( X \) compact the orientation bundles on \( \mathcal{B}_P \) are treated in full generality in Joyce–Tanaka–Upmeier \([46]\) and Cao–Gross–Joyce \([11]\). We give a small overview of how these are defined and the results there as a motivation for working with the non-compact case.

Let \( \pi : \mathcal{B}_P \to X \) be a principal bundle over a smooth manifold. For two real vector bundles \( E_0, E_1 \to X \) a smooth real differential operator \( D : \Gamma^\infty(E_0) \to \Gamma^\infty(E_1) \) is an operator that locally looks like a matrix with the inputs being linear combinations of partial derivatives with real smooth coefficient (the precise definition can be found for example in Joyce \([44, \S 1.3]\) or Lawson–Michelson \([52, \S 3.4]\)). With a differential operator \( D \), one can associate its degree \( d(D) \) and its symbol \( \sigma(D) \) corresponding to its leading order coefficients.

Let \( \pi_{T^*X} : T^*X \to X \) be the cotangent bundle, then the symbol is given by a section of \((\pi_{T^*X}(E_0))^* \otimes \pi_{T^*X}(E_1)\) on it which is polynomial of degree \( d(D) \) along the fiber of \( T^*X \). One says that \( D \) is elliptic when the symbol is an isomorphism of vector bundles outside of the zero section.

For any \( \nabla_P \in \mathcal{A}_P \), we can twist an elliptic real operator \( D \) by the associated connection \( \nabla_{\text{ad}(P)} \), to obtain a new elliptic differential operator

\[
D^\nabla_{\text{ad}(P)} : \Gamma^\infty(\text{ad}(P) \otimes E_0) \to \Gamma^\infty(\text{ad}(P) \otimes E_1) ,
\]

(3.3)
as described in \([11, \text{Definition 1.2}]\). This gives a continuous family of elliptic differential operators as defined in Atiyah and Singer \([5]\). Elliptic operators on a compact manifold are Fredholm, thus the dimensions of their kernels and cokernels are finite. Atiyah and Singer \([5]\) (see also \([3.7]\)) produce a real line bundle on the continuous family of real elliptic operators with the fiber:

\[
\det_{\mathbb{R}}(D_y) = \det_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Ker}(D_y)) \otimes \det_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Coker}(D_y))^* \]

(3.4)
at each \( y \) in the family over \( Y \). For a real vector space \( V \), we use the notation

\[
\det_{\mathbb{R}}(V) = \Lambda^\dim(V)_{\mathbb{R}} .
\]

(3.5)
One can apply it to our case when \( X \) is compact to obtain a \( \mathcal{G}_P \) equivariant line bundle \( \det^D_P \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_P \), which descends to a line bundle on \( \mathcal{B}_P \).

**Definition 3.4** (Joyce–Tanaka–Upmeier [46]). One can take now the quotient of the \( \mathbb{R}^* \) bundle of local trivializations of \( \det^D_P \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_P \) by \( \mathbb{R}^>0 \) to obtain an orientation bundle \( \text{or}(\det^D_P) \), which will descend to a bundle \( \mathcal{O}^D_P \) on \( \mathcal{B}_P \). This is the **orientation bundle for the moduli stack of connections on \( P \) with respect to \( D \)**. On the union \( \mathcal{B}_X \) from (3.1), we denote the union of \( \mathcal{O}^D_P \) by \( \mathcal{O}^D \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_X \).

In the following, we will discuss the results from Cao–Gross–Joyce [11], where orientability in the case when \( D \) is the positive Dirac operator \( \gamma^+D \), and \( X \) is an 8 dimensional compact manifold was proved. The reader can consult Friedrich [29] or Bourguignon et al. [38] for the necessary background on spin structures, spinors and Dirac operators. We will give a quick overview.

Let \( (X, g) \) be an orientable Riemannian manifold of dimension \( n \), let \( F \rightarrow X \) be its orthonormal frame bundle with the structure group \( SO(n) \), then a spin structure corresponds to a choice of a \( \text{Spin}(n) \) bundle \( \tilde{F} \rightarrow X \) such that there is a double covering map \( \tilde{F} \rightarrow F \) of fiber bundles that is compatible with the covering map \( \text{Spin}(n) \rightarrow SO(n) \). If \( n = 8 \), one has an associated bundle \( S \) to \( \tilde{F} \) of real spinors which splits into the positive and negative spinor subbundles corresponding to the irreducible representations of \( \text{Spin}(n) \):

\[
S = S_+ \oplus S_-
\]

One also has an elliptic operator \( \bar{\nabla}_+ : \Gamma^\infty(S_+) \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty(S_-) \) called the **positive Dirac operator**.

Cao, Gross and Joyce showed in [11, Theorem 1.11] that bundles \( \mathcal{O}^D_P \) on \( \mathcal{B}_P \) are trivializable for all principal \( SU(m) \) and \( U(m) \) bundles \( P \). Let \( \mathcal{C}_X \) be the space from Definition 2.12.

It classifies the K-theory on \( X \) i.e. \( \pi_0(\mathcal{C}) = K^0(X) \). Joyce, Tanaka and Upmeier construct in [46, §2.4.2] maps \( \Sigma_P : \mathcal{B}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_X \) and \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundles \( \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_X \) in [46, Definition 2.22], such that

\[
(\Sigma_P)^* \left( \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_C \right) \cong (\pi^{\text{cla}})^* \left( \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_P \right)
\]

They prove:

**Theorem 3.5** (Cao–Gross–Joyce [11, Theorem 1.11]). Let \( X \) be a compact spin manifold of dimension 8, then the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundles \( \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_X \) and \( \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_X \) are trivializable. In particular, for any principal \( SU(m) \) or \( U(m) \) bundle \( P \rightarrow X \), the orientation bundle \( \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_P \) is isomorphic to the trivial \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundle on \( \mathcal{B}_P \).

### 3.4 H-spaces and \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded H-principal \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundles

For the proof of Theorem 2.23, we will rely on the properties of special principal \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundles under homotopy-theoretic group completion of H-spaces. For background on H-spaces, see Hatcher [37, p. 3.C] and Cao-Gross–Joyce [11, §3.1].
Definition 3.6. A triple \((X, e_X, \mu_X)\) of a topological space \(X\), its point \(e_x \in X\) and a continuous map \(\mu_X : X \times X \to X\) is called an \(H\)-space, when both \(\mu_X(e_X, -)\) and \(\mu_X(-, e_X)\) are homotopic to \(\text{id}_X\). It will be called \textit{admissible} when the following homotopies exist:

\[
\mu_X \circ \sigma_X \simeq \mu_X, \quad \mu_X \circ (\text{id}_X \times \mu_X) \simeq \mu_X \circ (\mu_X \times \text{id}_X).
\]

(3.6)

Here, \(\sigma_X : X \times X \to X \times X\) interchanges the factors. An admissible \(H\)-space \(X\) is \textit{group-like} if \(\pi_0(X)\) is a group. This is equivalent to existence of a homotopy inverse \(\nu_X : X \to X\), such that

\[
\mu_X \circ (\nu_X \times \text{id}_X) \circ \Delta_X \simeq \text{id}_X \simeq \mu_X \circ (\text{id}_X \times \nu_X) \circ \Delta_X,
\]

where \(\Delta_X : X \to X \times X\) is the diagonal.

We will always assume that our \(H\)-spaces are admissible. Note that there are many ways to include higher homotopies into the theory of \(H\)-spaces. For \(A^n\)-spaces see Stasheff [76] and [77]. For \(E\sim\)-spaces see May [58], for \(\Gamma\)-spaces see Segal [72]. While \(E\sim\)-spaces and \(\Gamma\)-spaces are roughly the same, \(A\infty\) spaces do not require commutativity. All our spaces fit into these frameworks which by [46, Example 2.19] give us additional control over the \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundles on them.

One also defines \(H\)-maps as the obvious maps in the category of \(H\)-spaces. We use the notion of \textit{homotopy-theoretic group completions} from May [58, §1]. One has the following universality result for homotopy theoretic group completion, that we will use throughout.

**Proposition 3.7** (Caruso–Cohen–May–Taylor [22, Proposition 1.2]). Let \(f : X \to Y\) be a homotopy-theoretic group-completion. If \(\pi_0(X)\) contains a countable cofinal sequence, then for each weak \(H\)-map \(g : X \to Z\), where \(Z\) is group-like, there exists a unique up to weak homotopy equivalence weak \(H\)-map \(g' : Y \to Z\), such that \(g' \circ f\) is weakly homotopy equivalent to \(g\).

Note that weak \(H\)-maps correspond to relaxing the commutativity to hold only up to weak homotopy equivalences. As we are going to be working over compact families homotopy equivalent to CW complexes, we will not differentiate between the two.

We take the following definition and proposition from Cao–Gross–Joyce [11].

**Definition 3.8.** Let \((X, e_X, \mu_X)\) be an \(H\)-space. A weak \(H\)-principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle on \(X\) is a principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle \(P \to X\), such that there exists an isomorphism \(P\) of principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundles on \(X \times X\)

\[
p : P \boxtimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P \to \mu_X^*(P).
\]

A strong \(H\)-principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle on \(X\) is a pair \((Q, q)\) of a trivializable principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle \(Q \to X\) and an isomorphism of \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) principal bundles on \(X \times X\)

\[
q : Q \boxtimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q \to \mu_X^*(Q),
\]

such that under the homotopy \(h : \mu_X \circ (\text{id}_X \times \mu_X) \simeq \mu_X \circ (\mu_X \times \text{id}_X)\) the following two isomorphisms of the \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundles on \(X \times X \times X\) are identified.
(id_X \times \mu_X)^*(q) \circ (id \times q) : Q \boxtimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q \boxtimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q \to \left(\mu_X \circ (id_X \times \mu_X)\right)^* Q

and

(\mu_X \times id_X)^*(q) \circ (q \times id) : Q \boxtimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q \boxtimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q \to \left(\mu_X \circ (\mu_X \times id_X)\right)^* Q.

The isomorphisms of weak H-principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundles are the isomorphisms of principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundles. The isomorphism \(i : (P,p) \to (Q,q)\) of strong H-principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundles has to solve

\[ \mu_X^* i \circ p = q \circ (i \boxtimes i). \]

Notice that if \(f : Y \to X\) is a morphism of H-spaces and \(P \to X\) (resp. \((Q,q)\) is a weak (resp. strong) H-principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle, then its pull back \(f^* P\) (resp. \((f^*Q,(f \times f)^*q)\) is a weak (resp. strong) H-principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle on \(Y\). The next proposition [11, Proposition 3.5] gives the converse.

**Proposition 3.9.** Let \(f : X \to Y\) be a map of H-spaces which is a homotopy-theoretic group completion, then for

(i) a weak H-principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle \(P \to X\), there exists a weak H-principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle \(P' \to Y\) such that \(f^*(P')\) is isomorphic to \(P\).

(ii) a strong H-principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle \((Q,q)\) on \(X\), there exists a strong H-principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle \((Q',q')\) on \(Y\) unique up to a canonical isomorphism, such that \((f^*Q',(f \times f)^*q')\) is isomorphic to \((Q,q)\).

We often suppress the maps \(\mu_X\) and \(e_X\) for an H-space \(X\), we also write \(Q\) instead of \((Q,q)\) for a strong H-principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle when \(q\) is understood.

The following are new definitions that will be necessary to establish that the isomorphism (2.28) is an isomorphism of strong H-principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundles and to keep track of the correct signs under summation.

**Definition 3.10.** A \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle \(O \to X\) together with a continuous map \(\text{deg}(O) : X \to \mathbb{Z}_2\) is a \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-graded \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle. If \(O_1,O_2\) are \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-graded then the isomorphism

\[ O_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} O_2 \cong O_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} O_1. \]

differs by the sign \((-1)^{\text{deg}(O_1)\text{deg}(O_2)}\) from the naive one. Moreover, \(O_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} O_2\) has grading \(\text{deg}(O_1) + \text{deg}(O_2)\). A pullback of a \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-graded \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle, is naturally \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-graded. An isomorphism of \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-graded \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundles has to preserve the grading.

For a \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-graded \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle to be H-principal we additionally require the isomorphisms of Definition 3.8 to be isomorphisms of \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-graded \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundles. Let \((O,p)\) be a strong H-principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-graded \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle. Its dual \((O^*,p^*)\) will be defined to be a strong H-principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-graded \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle, such that as \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle \(O^* = O\) and the isomorphism

\[ p^* : O^* \boxtimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} O^* \cong \mu_X^*(O^*), \]

is given by \(p^* = (-1)^{\text{deg}(\pi_1(O))\text{deg}(\pi_2(O))}p\), where \(\pi_1,\pi_2\) are the projections \(X \times X \to X\).
Example 3.11. An example of an H-space is the topological space \((B_X)^{\text{cla}}\) from (3.2), where the multiplication \(\mu_{B_X} : B_X \times B_X \to B_X\) is given by mapping 
\[([\nabla_P], [\nabla_Q]) \mapsto [\nabla_P \oplus \nabla_Q],\]
and we take \((\mu_{B_X})^{\text{cla}} : (B_X)^{\text{cla}} \times (B_X)^{\text{cla}} \to (B_X)^{\text{cla}}\) to obtain one on the classifying space. The space \(C_X\) from §3.3 and Definition 2.12 is also an H-space, because \(BU \times \mathbb{Z}\) is. In fact, both of them are group-like.

The bundle \(O_X^{\vartheta_+} \to C_X\) is strong H-principal bundle, as follows from [11, Lemma 3.10] together with Theorem 3.5. It is \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-graded (see [46], [85]). The degree on each connected component \(C_\alpha\) corresponding to \(\alpha \in K^0(X)\) is given by
\[\deg(O_X^{\vartheta_+})|_{C_\alpha} = \chi(\alpha, \alpha),\] (3.7)
where \(\chi^{\vartheta_+} : K_0(X) \times K_0(X) \to \mathbb{Z}\) is defined as in [46, Definition 2.20] using \(E_\bullet = \hat{D}_+\) for the Dirac operator on \(X\). Therefore the \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle
\[(O^\vartheta)^{\text{cla}} \to (B_X)^{\text{cla}}\]
is \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-graded strong H-principal with the grading given by
\[\deg((O^\vartheta|_{B_p})^{\text{cla}}) = \chi_x([P], [P]),\]
where \([P] \in K^0(X)\) is the K-theory class of \(P\).

Example 3.12. Let \(X\) be a quasi-projective Calabi–Yau 4-fold. Let \(\mu_M : \mathcal{M}_X \times \mathcal{M}_X \to \mathcal{M}_X\) be the map corresponding to taking sums of perfect complexes. Then an isomorphism
\[\phi^\omega : O^\omega \boxtimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} O^\omega \to \mu_\star^{\text{top}}(O^\omega)\]
was constructed in [11, Definition 3.12]. This also works when \(X\) is not projective. Therefore if \(O^\omega\) is trivializable, then the pair
\[(O^\omega)^{\text{top}} \to (\mathcal{M}_X)^{\text{top}}\]
together with \((\phi^\omega)^{\text{top}}\) gives a strong H-principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle.

We also construct in Proposition 4.7 the isomorphism
\[\phi^{\theta_{\text{tot}}} : O^{\theta_{\text{tot}}} \boxtimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} O^{\theta_{\text{tot}}} \to \mu_\star^{\text{top}}(O^\omega),\]
where
\[\mu_{\mathcal{M}_{\hat{X}}, \mathcal{M}_{\hat{X}}^{\text{top}}} : \mathcal{M}_{\hat{X}} \times \mathcal{M}_{\hat{X}}^{\text{top}} \mathcal{M}_{\hat{X}} \times \mathcal{M}_{\hat{X}}^{\text{top}} \mathcal{M}_{\hat{X}} \to \mathcal{M}_{\hat{X}} \times \mathcal{M}_{\hat{X}}^{\text{top}} \mathcal{M}_{\hat{X}}\]
corresponds to summation on both components of \(\mathcal{M}_{\hat{X}} \times \mathcal{M}_{\hat{X}}^{\text{top}} \mathcal{M}_{\hat{X}}\). The pair
\[\left((O^{\theta_{\text{tot}}}^{\text{top}}, (\phi^{\theta_{\text{tot}}})^{\text{top}})\right)\]
is a strong H-principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle if it is trivializable.
Lemma 3.13. Let \( O_1, O_2 \to X \) be \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded strong (resp. weak) \( H \)-principal \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundles. Then \( O_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} O_2 \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded strong (resp. weak) \( H \)-principal \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundle.

Proof. Let \( q_i : O_i \otimes O_i \to \mu_X^i(O_i) \) be the isomorphisms from Definition 3.8. Then we define

\[
q : (O_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} O_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (O_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} O_2) \overset{\text{Def 3.10}}{=} (O_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} O_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (O_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} O_2) \\
\cong_{p_1 \otimes p_2} \mu_X^1(O_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mu_X^1(O_2) \cong \mu^X(O_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} O_2).
\]

Notice that we get an extra sign \((-1)^{\deg(\pi_1^*(O_2)) \deg(\pi_2^*(O_1))}\). This isomorphism solves the associativity condition from Definition 3.8.

\[\blacksquare\]

3.5 Serre duality on Dolbeault resolutions

Let us recall the notion of Serre duality and how it relates Dolbeault operators with Dirac operators. On a Kähler manifold \( X \), we will use the notation \( \mathcal{A}^{p,q} = \Lambda^{p,q}T^*X \) and \( \mathcal{A}^{p,q} = \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{A}^{p,q}) \) for the bundles of \((p,q)\) forms and their global sections. For background see Friedrich [29] and [38] for Dirac operators and Griffiths–Harris [35] for Serre duality and Dolbeault resolutions.

Definition 3.14. Let \( X \) be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension 4. Choose a volume form \( \Omega \in A^{4,4} \). Then we have the standard definition of the Hodge star:

\[\star : \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{A}^{p,q}) \to \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{A}^{4-p,4-q}) ,\]

given by

\[\alpha \wedge \star \beta = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \Omega ,\]

where \( \langle -, - \rangle \) is the hermitian metric on forms. Let \( K_X \) denote the canonical bundle of \( X \), \( \mathcal{A}^{0,\text{even}} = \mathcal{A}^{0,0} \oplus \mathcal{A}^{0,2} \oplus \mathcal{A}^{0,4} \) and \( \mathcal{A}^{0,\text{odd}} = \mathcal{A}^{0,1} \oplus \mathcal{A}^{0,3} \). We define then the anti-linear maps

\[
\#_1 : \mathcal{A}^{0,\text{even}} \to \mathcal{A}^{0,\text{even}} \otimes K_X , \quad \#_2 : \mathcal{A}^{0,\text{odd}} \to \mathcal{A}^{0,\text{odd}} \otimes K_X \\
\#_1^\text{op} : \mathcal{A}^{0,\text{even}} \otimes K_X \to \mathcal{A}^{0,\text{even}}, \quad \#_2^\text{op} : \mathcal{A}^{0,\text{odd}} \otimes K_X \to \mathcal{A}^{0,\text{odd}} ,
\]

by

\[
\#_1|_{\mathcal{A}^{0,2q}} = (-1)^q\star , \quad \#_2|_{\mathcal{A}^{0,2q+1}} = (-1)^{q+1}\star ,
\]

\[
\#_1^\text{op}|_{\mathcal{A}^{4,2q}} = (-1)^q\star , \quad \#_2^\text{op}|_{\mathcal{A}^{4,2q+1}} = (-1)^{q+1}\star .
\]

These solve \( \#_1^\text{op} \circ \#_1 = \text{id} \) and \( \#_1 \circ \#_1^\text{op} = \text{id} \).

For a holomorphic vector bundle bundle \( L \to X \), consider the operator

\[L = \bar{\partial}_L + \bar{\partial}_L^* : \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{A}^{0,\text{even}} \otimes L) \to \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{A}^{0,\text{odd}} \otimes L) ,\]
where $\bar{\partial}_L$ is the Dolbeault operator on $L$. When $L = \mathcal{O}_X$, then we simply write $D = D_{\mathcal{O}_X}$. We have the commutativity relations $D_{K_X} \circ \#_1 = \#_2 \circ D$ and $\#_2^{op} \circ D_{K_X} = D \circ \#_1^{op}$. In particular, we obtain the isomorphisms
\[
\det(D) \cong \overline{\det(D_{K_X})} \cong \det(D_{K_X})^*,
\]
\[
\det(D_{K_X}) \cong \overline{\det(D)} \cong \det(D)^*.
\]
where the second isomorphism on both lines uses the hermitian metrics on forms which descend to a hermitian metric on the determinant. The two lines give isomorphisms which are inverses of each other.

If $E$ is a complex vector bundle with a hermitian metric and a metric connection $\nabla_E$, then we can extend $\ast$ to $\ast_E : \Gamma^\infty(E \otimes \mathcal{A}^{p,q}) \to \Gamma^\infty(E \otimes \mathcal{A}^{4-q,4-q})$ which is also anti-linear. We also obtain the isomorphism
\[
\det(D^{\nabla_E}) \cong \overline{\det(D_{K_X}^{\nabla_E})} \cong \det(D_{K_X}^{\nabla_E})^*.
\]
Notice that, because we did not assume $(\partial^{\nabla_E})^2 = 0$, we do not have any duality on cohomologies, as these can not be defined, however the above still makes sense for the rolled up operators.

Assume now that $X$ is Calabi–Yau with $\omega$ its holomorphic volume form, we have the real structures of vector bundles
\[
\#_1 : \mathcal{A}^{0,even}_0 \to \mathcal{A}^{0,even}_0,
\]
\[
\#_2 : \mathcal{A}^{0,odd}_0 \to \mathcal{A}^{0,odd}_0
\]
from (3.8) as $\#_1^2 = \text{id}$, where we use the identification $\omega : \mathcal{O}_X \to K_X$ under which $\#_1^{op} = \#_1$. We therefore obtain
\[
\det(D^{\nabla_E}) \cong \overline{\det(D_{K_X}^{\nabla_E})} \cong \det(D_{K_X}^{\nabla_E})^*.
\]

Using Friedrich [29, §3.4], we see that taking the real part of $D : \mathcal{A}^{0,even}_0 \to \mathcal{A}^{0,odd}_0$ gives $\partial : S^+ \to S^-$. In particular, the real line of of fixed points in $\det(D^{\nabla_E})$ with respect to (3.10) is canonically identified with $\det_R(D^{\nabla_E})$ in this case.

We saw in Definition 2.10 that to obtain real structures, we need not restrict ourselves to the Calabi–Yau case. We discuss here the pairing that would replace the one in [11, Definition 3.24] in the proof of Theorem 2.13.

**Definition 3.15.** Suppose $X$ is spin with a choice of theta characteristic $\Theta$. Then we have the pairing
\[
\wedge^S : (\mathcal{A}^{0,k} \otimes \Theta) \otimes (\mathcal{A}^{0,4-k} \otimes \Theta) \to \mathcal{A}^{4,4},
\]
and the corresponding spin Hodge star $\ast^S : \mathcal{A}^{0,q} \otimes \Theta \to \mathcal{A}^{0,4-q} \otimes \Theta$
\[
(\beta \otimes t) \wedge^S \ast^S (\alpha \otimes s) = (\beta \otimes t, \alpha \otimes s)\Omega \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}^{0,k}, s, t \in \Gamma^\infty(\Theta).
\]
As a result, we have the real structures:

\[
\begin{align*}
\#_1^S & : \mathcal{A}^{0, \text{even}} \otimes \Theta \to \mathcal{A}^{0, \text{even}} \otimes \Theta, \\
\#_2^S & : \mathcal{A}^{0, \text{odd}} \otimes \Theta \to \mathcal{A}^{0, \text{odd}} \otimes \Theta
\end{align*}
\] (3.11)

where again \(\#_1^S|_{\mathcal{A}^{0,2q} \otimes \Theta} = (-1)^q \#^S\) and \(\#_2^S|_{\mathcal{A}^{0,2+1} \otimes \Theta} = (-1)^{q+1} \#^S\). We can also generalize the Calabi–Yau case to obtain

\[
D_\Theta \circ \#_1^S = \#_2^S \circ D_\Theta
\]

and

\[
\det(D_\Theta^\nabla_E) \cong \det(D_\Theta^\nabla_{E'}) \cong \det(D_\Theta^\nabla_E)^*.
\] (3.12)

The real part of \(D_\Theta\) is again identified with \(\mathcal{P}_+\).

### 3.6 Transport along complex line bundles

We will now develop a theory for working with complex determinant line-bundles of continuous families of pseudo-differential operators, which will be used later. We also review the excision principle stated formally in Upmeier [85, Theorem 2.10].

From now on we will be assuming that all real line bundles come with a choice of a metric and all complex vector bundles with a choice of a hermitian metric. Note that the spaces of metrics are convex and therefore contractible. When we use convex, we automatically mean non-empty.

**Definition 3.16.** Let \(M\) be a topological space and \((C,c)\) a pointed contractible set. Let \(L \to M \times C\) be a complex line bundle, then consider the line bundle

\[
\pi_M^*(L_c) \to M \times C \quad \text{where} \quad L_c = L|_{M \times \{c\}}
\]

and \(\pi_M : M \times C \to M\) is the projection to the first factor. The space of unitary isomorphisms \(\phi : \pi_M^*(L_c) \sim L\), such that \(\phi|_{M \times \{c\}} = \text{id}_{L_c}\), will be denoted by \(\text{Iso}(L,C,c)\).

It is contractible, because any two elements \(\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \text{Iso}(L,C,c)\) differ by a map \(\phi_1^{-1} \phi_2 : M \times C \to U(1)\) which restricts to an identity on \(M \times \{c\}\). As \(C\) is contractible, the space of such maps is also contractible. We call the elements of \(\text{Iso}(L,C,c)\) transport along \(L\).

Let \(d \in C\) be a different point and choose an element \(\phi \in \text{Iso}(L,C,c)\), then we obtain the isomorphism \(\phi|_{M \times \{d\}} : L_c \sim L_d\). Let us denote the set of isomorphisms constructed in such a way by \(\text{Iso}(L,C,c,d)\).

**Remark 3.17.** For two \(d,c \in C\), we obtain a homeomorphism \(\text{ch}(c,d) : \text{Iso}(L,C,c) \to \text{Iso}(L,C,d)\) by

\[
(\phi : \pi_M^*(L_c) \to L) \mapsto (\phi \circ (\phi|_{M \times \{d\}})^{-1} : \pi_M^*(L_d) \to L),
\]

with the property that \(\text{ch}(d,c) \circ \text{ch}(c,d) = \text{id}\). Therefore, we are always free to change the basepoint.
If \( L \to M \times C \) is a complex line bundle with a real structure \( \tau : L \to \bar{L} \), then we construct \( L^\tau \) the real subbundle of \( \tau \) invariant points. There exists a unique orthogonal isomorphism 
\[
\phi^\tau : \pi^*_M(L^\tau_c) \to L^\tau ,
\]
such that \( \phi^\tau|_{M \times \{c\}} = \text{id}_{L^\tau} \).

Using that \( L = L^\tau \otimes_R C \equiv L \), we see that \( \phi^\tau \otimes_R \text{id}_C \) gives us a natural unique choice of isomorphisms in \( \text{Iso}(L, C, c) \). Therefore in presence of real structures, we naturally reduce the set \( \text{Iso}(L, C, c) \) to a single element \( \phi^\tau \otimes_R \text{id}_C \) which we call the real transport isomorphism for \( L \) and \( \tau \). If one forms the orientation bundle \( \text{or}(L^\tau) \), then continuous transport along its fibers can be identified with the real transport isomorphism.

### 3.7 Excision isomorphism for complex elliptic symbols

Let us now consider families of pseudo-differential operators and their symbols. Pseudo-differential operators over \( \mathbb{R}^n \) are explained in Hörmander [41]. For background on pseudo-differential operators on manifolds, we recommend Lawson–Michelson [52 §3.3], Atiyah–Singer [4 §5], Donaldson–Kronheimer [24 p. 7.1.1], and Upmeier [85 Appendix A]. The definition is more complicated, so we will not review it here. However, one should think of them as generalizations of differential operators which can concentrate solutions into compact subsets.

Let \( X \) be a manifold, \( E_0, E_1 \to X \) complex vector bundles, \( P : \Gamma^\infty_{cs}(E_0) \to \Gamma^\infty(E_1) \) pseudo-differential operator of degree \( m \), then its symbol \( \sigma(P) : \pi^*(E_0) \to \pi^*(E_1) \), where \( \pi : T^*X \to X \) is the projection map, is a homogeneous of degree \( m \) on each fiber of \( T^*X \) linear homomorphism. One says that \( P \) is elliptic, when its symbol \( \sigma(P) \) is an isomorphism outside of the zero section \( X \subset T^*X \). We will denote the set of degree \( m \) elliptic pseudo-differential operators by \( e\Psi DO_m(E_0, E_1) \). The maps \( p : \pi^*(E_0) \to \pi^*(E_1) \) homogeneous of degree \( m \), such that outside of the zero sections they are isomorphisms, are called elliptic symbols of degree \( m \). We denote their set by \( E_{\ell l}^m(E_0, E_1) \). The symbol map

\[
\sigma : e\Psi DO_m(E_0, E_1) \to E_{\ell l}^m(E_0, E_1)
\]

defines a continuous map which respects addition, scalar multiplication, composition and taking duals (see [4 §5] for details).

We will be working with continuous families of symbols and pseudo-differential operators as defined in [4 p. 491] or as in Upmeier [85 Appendix]. For a topological space \( M \), we denote the corresponding set of elliptic pseudo-differential \( M \)-families by \( e\Psi DO_m(E_0, E_1; M) \) and the elliptic symbol \( M \)-families by \( E_{\ell l}^m(E_0, E_1; M) \). The symbol map on families will be also denoted by \( \sigma : e\Psi DO_m(E_0, E_1; M) \to E_{\ell l}^m(E_0, E_1; M) \). The properties discussed above also apply in families.
If \( X \) is compact then each \( P \in e\Psi DO_m(E_0, E_1; M) \) gives an \( M \)-family of Fredholm operators between Hilbert spaces containing \( \Gamma^\infty(E_0) \) and \( \Gamma^\infty(E_1) \) such that \( \ker(P) \) and \( \text{coker}(P) \) lie in \( \Gamma^\infty(E_0) \) and \( \Gamma^\infty(E_1) \) respectively.

Let \( P \) be a continuous \( Y \)-family of Fredholm operators \( P_y : H_0 \to H_1 \) for each \( y \), where \( H_i \) are Hilbert spaces. Determinant line bundle \( \det(P) \to Y \) of \( P \) is defined in Zinger [86] using stabilization (in this case one only needs \( H_i \) to be Banach spaces) and in Upmeier [85, Definition 3.4], Freed [26] or Quillen [68]. We will use the conventions from [85, Definition 3.4]. It can be shown that the obtained topology is equivalent to the one induced by stabilization while paying attention to the tedious conventions with signs.

**Definition 3.18** (Phillips [67]). Let \( P : H \to H \) be a self adjoint Fredholm operator on the Hilbert space \( H \). The essential spectrum \( \text{spec}_{\text{ess}}(P) \) is the set \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \), such that \( P - \lambda I \) is not Fredholm. We denote by \( \text{spec}(P) \) the spectrum of \( P \). For each \( \mu > 0 \), such that \( \pm \mu \notin \text{spec}(P) \) and \( (-\mu, \mu) \cap \text{spec}_{\text{ess}}(P) = \emptyset \), one defines \( V_{(-\mu, \mu)}(P) \subset H \) as the subspace of eigenspaces of \( P \) for eigenvalues \( -\mu < \lambda < \mu \). If \( P \) is positive semi-definite, we will also write \( V_{[0, \mu]}(P) \).

If \( P \) is skew adjoint, we will also denote the set of its eigenvalues by \( \text{spec}(P) \) (note that \( \text{spec}(P) = \text{ispec}(-iP) \)).

For a \( Y \) family of self adjoint Fredholm operators, one can choose \( \mathfrak{U} \subset Y \) sufficiently small, such that \( V_{(-\mu, \mu)}(P) \) becomes a vector bundle on \( O \). This can be used to define topology on the union of determinant lines as in [85, Definition 3.4]. For each \( y \in Y \) the determinant line \( \det(P)y \) is given by

\[
\det(P)_y = \det(P_y) \otimes \det(P_y^*)^*,
\]

where we use \( \det(V) = \Lambda^{\dim(V)}(V) \) for a complex vector space \( V \). The bundle \( \det(P) \) is \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded with degree \( \text{ind}(P) \), where \( \text{ind}(P) = \dim(\text{Ker}(P_y)) - \dim(\text{Ker}(P_y^*)) = \text{ind}(P_y) \). This is well defined as \( \text{ind}(P_y) \) is constant in a continuous family. In particular, if we have two \( Y \)-families \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \), then the isomorphism

\[
\det(P_1) \otimes \det(P_2) \cong \det(P_2) \otimes \det(P_1)
\]

differs from the naive one by the sign \((-1)^{\text{ind}(P_1)\text{ind}(P_2)} \). Working with complex line bundles this sign is of no particular importance after setting the natural square root of \(-1\) to be \( i \), therefore we will not pay much attention to this. However, it plays a role if one considers real elliptic symbols and their real determinant line bundles.

**Definition 3.19.** Let \( E_0, E_1 \to X \) be vector bundles on compact smooth manifold \( X \). Let \( p \in \mathcal{E}ll_m(E_0, E_1; M) \). The space \( \sigma^{-1}(p) \subset e\Psi DO(E_0, E_1; M) \) is convex. Consider the family \( \mathcal{P} \in e\Psi DO_m(E_0, E_1; M \times \sigma^{-1}(p)) \), which for each \( P \in \sigma^{-1}(p) \), restricts on \( M \times \{P\} \) to \( P \in e\Psi DO_m(E_0, E_1; M) \). Making a contractible choice of \( P_0 \in \sigma^{-1}(p) \), we obtain the
If \( P_1 \in \sigma^{-1}(p) \) is different from \( P_0 \), then choosing \( \mu \in \text{Iso}(\det(\mathcal{P}), \sigma^{-1}(p), P_0) \) gives us an isomorphisms \( \phi \mid_{M \times \{ P_0 \}} : \det(P_0) \to \det(P_1) \). Therefore, we can define the determinant line bundle of a symbol family \( p \) by \( \det(p) \equiv \det(P_0) \) up to a contractible choice with isomorphisms \( \det(P_0) \sim \det(P_1) \) parameterized by \( \text{Iso}(\det(\mathcal{P}), \sigma^{-1}(p), P_0) \) for two such choices \( P_0, P_1 \).

Let us now assume that \( M = M' \times C \), where \( C \) is a contractible set (in the future this will usually be \( I = [0,1] \) or \( I^2 \)). Continuing with the notation from Definition 3.19, we want to construct \( (\det(p), C, c) \) in a well defined way for some \( c \in C \). Choosing \( P_0 \in \sigma^{-1}(p) \), we define \( (\det(p), C, c) = (\det(P_0), C, c) \). If \( P_1 \neq P_0 \) in \( \sigma^{-1}(p) \), then any isomorphism in \( \tau \in (\det(\mathcal{P}), \sigma^{-1}(p), P_0, P_1) \) identifies the sets \( \det(P_0, C, c) \) and \( \det(P_1, C, c) \) by choosing \( \phi \in \det(P_0, C, c) \) and \( \psi \in \det(P_1, C, c) \), such that the following diagram commutes

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi^*_C(\det(P_0))|_{M' \times \{ c \}} & \phi \to & \det(P_0) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\pi^*_C(\det(P_1))|_{M' \times \{ c \}} & \psi \to & \det(P_1).
\end{array}
\]

Being able to choose a representative \( P_0 \) and deform between them will be useful for constructing natural elements of \( \text{Iso}(\det(p), C, c) \). We will call constructions natural, if they are given up to contractible choices.

We will be using the results of Upmeier [85, Proposition 3.5], which can be interpreted for elliptic symbols after making a convex choice of appropriate representatives. We mention the ones important to us.

**Proposition 3.20.** Let \( p^\pm \in \mathcal{Ell}_m(E_0^\pm, E_1^\pm; M) \).

(i) **(Functoriality.)** If \( \mu_0 : E_0^+ \to E_0^- \), \( \mu_1 : E_1^+ \to E_1^- \) are isomorphisms such that

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H_0^+ & p^+ \to & H_1^+ \\
\downarrow \pi^*(\mu_0) & & \downarrow \pi^*(\mu_1) \\
H_0^- & p^- \to & H_1^- 
\end{array}
\]

commutes, then there is a natural isomorphism \( \det(p^+) \to \det(p^-) \).

(ii) **(Direct sums.)** There is a natural isomorphism

\[
\det(p^+ \oplus p^-) \to \det(p^+) \det(p^-).
\]
(iii) (Adjoints.) There is a natural isomorphism

\[ \det((p^+)^*) \to \det^*(p^+) . \] (3.16)

(iv) (Triviality.) If \( p^+ = \pi^*(\mu) \) for some isomorphism \( \mu : E_0^+ \to E_1^+ \), then there is a natural isomorphism

\[ \det(p^+) \to \mathbb{C} . \] (3.17)

Proof. For (i) the make a convex choice of a pair \((P^+, P^-) \in \sigma^{-1}(p^+) \times \sigma^{-1}(p^-)\) commuting with \( \mu_0, \mu_1 \) and apply [85, Proposition 3.5 (i)]. The rest follows similarly from [85, Proposition 3.5 (ii), (iii) and (v)].

Let us now discuss a family of symbols, which will be of particular importance to us. First we recall the notion of reducing the degree of symbols to 0.

Definition 3.21. Let \( X \) be compact and \( p \in \mathcal{E}l_{ll}(E_0, E_1; Y) \), then the associated degree 0 symbol is given by \((pp^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}p \in \mathcal{E}l_{ll}(E_0, E_1; Y)\). Let \( P \in \sigma^{-1}(p) \), then

\[ (1 + PP^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}p \in e\Psi DO_0(E_0, E_1; Y) \]

has the symbol \((pp^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}p \in \mathcal{E}l_{ll}(E_0, E_1; Y)\) and its kernel and cokernel coincides with those of \( P \). Therefore, we have a natural isomorphism

\[ \det(p) \cong \det ((pp^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}p) . \]

Proposition 3.22 (Proposition 2.3 in [85]). If \( p^\pm \in \mathcal{E}l_{ll}(E_0^\pm, E_1^\pm; Y) \), \#_0^\pm, \#_1^\pm \) are real structures on \( E_0^\pm \) and \( E_1^\pm \) commuting with \( p^\pm \), then they commute with the associated degree 0 symbols. If \( U \subset X \) is an open subset and \( \mu_0 : E_0^+|_U \to E_0^-|_U \) and \( \mu_1 : E_1^+|_U \to E_0^-|_U \) are isomorphism, such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\pi^*(E_0^+)|_{T\cdot U} & \overset{p^+}{\longrightarrow} \pi^*(E_1^+)|_{T\cdot U} \\
\downarrow_{\pi^*(\mu_0)} & \phantom{\downarrow} \downarrow_{\pi^*(\mu_1)} \\
\pi^*(E_0^-)|_{T\cdot U} & \overset{p^-}{\longrightarrow} \pi^*(E_0^-)|_{T\cdot U}
\end{align*}
\]

is commutative, then after replacing \( p^\pm \) by the associated degree 0 symbols the commutativity of the diagram is preserved.

From now on, we will only be working with degree zero symbols.

Definition 3.23. If \( L \subset X \times Y \) is a family of compact subsets of \( X \) given by \( L_y \) for each \( y \in Y \) and \( p \in \mathcal{E}l_{ll}(E_0, E_1; Y) \), then it is compactly supported in \( L \) if there exists a continuous family of isomorphism \( \tau_y : E_0|_{X \setminus L_u} \to E_1 \), such that on \( T^*(X \setminus L_y) \), we have \( p_y = \pi^*\tau_y \) and there exist \( 0 < c \leq C \) for each \( y \), such that

\[ c \leq ||\tau_{(x,y)}|| \leq C, \forall x \in X \setminus L_y, y \in Y . \]
If \( U \supset L \) is a family of some relatively compact open subsets of \( X \) given by \( U_y \) for each \( y \in Y \), then a representative \( P \in \sigma^{-1}(p) \) is said to be \textit{compactly supported} in \( U \), if there exists a continuous family of \( \chi_y \in C^\infty_{cpt}(U_y, [0, 1]) \), such that \( \chi_y|_{\mathcal{K}_y} = 1 \) and
\[
\chi_y P \circ \chi_y + (1 - \chi^2_y) \tau_y = P.
\]
The kernel and cokernel of such \( P \) consists of smooth sections supported in \( \text{supp}(\chi) \) and \( P \) is Fredholm even if \( X \) is not compact.

The set of \( P \in \sigma^{-1}(p) \) compactly supported in \( U \) is convex. Therefore, we can define for a given \( U \) the line bundle \( \text{det}_U(p) \to Y \) in the same way as in Definition 3.19. If \( U_1, U_2 \supset L \) are different, then for \( U = U_1 \cup U_2 \), we have natural isomorphisms \( \text{det}_{U_1}(p) \cong \text{det}_{U}(p) \cong \text{det}_{U_2}(p) \). We will therefore omit specifying the subscript.

\textbf{Remark 3.24.} The transport along determinant line bundles is well behaved with respect to the sum of symbols. Let \( C \) be contractible and \( p, q \in \mathcal{E}ll_m(E_0, E_1; Y \times C) \), and \( q \in \mathcal{E}ll_m(F_0, F_1; Y \times C) \), then there exists a map
\[
\text{Iso}(\text{det}(p), C, c_0) \times \text{Iso}(\text{det}(q), C, c_0) \to \text{Iso}(\text{det}(p \oplus q), C, c_0)
\]
for each \( c_0 \in C \). It maps each \((\psi_1, \psi_2) \in \text{Iso}(\text{det}(p), C, c_0) \times \text{Iso}(\text{det}(q), C, c_0)\) to
\[
\psi : \text{det}(p_{c_0} \oplus q_{c_0}) \to \text{det}(p \oplus q),
\]
which is given such that the following diagram commutes.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{det}(p_{c_0}) & \text{det}(q_{c_0}) & \text{det}(p \oplus q) \\
\psi_1 \circ \psi_2 & \text{det}(p) \text{det}(q) & \\
\text{det}(p_{c_0} \oplus q_{c_0}) & \psi & \text{det}(p \oplus q)
\end{array}
\]

We now recall a family that can be used to reduce the support of symbols to smaller subsets.

\textbf{Definition 3.25.} Let \( E_0^+, E_1^+ \) be vector bundles on \( X \) and \( p^+ \in \mathcal{E}ll_0(E_0^+, E_1^+; Y) \). Let \( U, V \subset X \) be open and \( V \) relatively compact, \( U \cup V = X \) and \( \mu_0 : E_0^+|_U \to E_0^-|_U, \mu_1 : E_1^+|_U \to E_1^-|_U \) isomorphism, such that
\[
p_- \circ \pi^* \mu_0 = \pi^* \mu_1 \circ p_+.
\]
Choose a function \( \chi \in C^\infty_{cpt}(V, [0, 1]) \) with \( \chi|_{X \setminus U} = 1 \). Then the following \( Y \times I \)-family of symbols:
\[
t \in I \mapsto (p^+, p^-, \mu_0, \mu_1)^t = \begin{pmatrix}
(1 - t + t \chi)p^+ \\
t(1 - \chi)(1 - t + t \chi)p^+ \mu_0 \\
(1 - t + t \chi)(1 - \chi)p^+ \mu_1\end{pmatrix}
\]
is elliptic. We call it the \textit{excision} \( I \)-family.
We begin by restricting to \( \xi \) corresponds to the adjoint of the functoriality isomorphism \((3.14)\)

\[
\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi^* \mu_1^* \cr \pi_0^* \mu_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left[ t(1 - \chi)\text{id} + (1 - t + t\chi) \left( \frac{0}{(\mu_1^*)^-1 p^+ - \mu_0^-}(p^-)^* \right) \right].
\]

The second term in the large square bracket is skew-adjoint. We see that the sum in the bracket will not have eigenvalues 0 for any \( \xi \in T^*X \).

If \( p^\pm \) are compactly supported then \((p^+, p^-, \mu_0, \mu_1)^\xi\) will also be. In particular, we can construct \( \det((p^+, p^-, \mu_0, \mu_1)^\chi) \) and consider the transport along it. Notice also that \((p^+, p^-, \mu_0, \mu_1)^\xi\) has support in \( \supp(\chi) \subset V \). Thus using this deformation for \( p^\pm \) compactly supported, we have successfully restricted the domain we need to work with to a smaller one.

**Proposition 3.27.** Let \( X \) be compact, \( E^\pm_0, E^\pm_1 \), complex vector bundles on \( X \) and \( p^\pm \in \mathcal{E}ll_0(E^\pm_0, E^\pm_1; Y) \). If \( p^\pm \) are compactly supported in \( L \subset X \), and there exist unitary isomorphisms

\[
\mu_0 : E^+_0|_U \to E^-_0|_U, \quad \mu_1 : E^+_1|_U \to E^-_1|_U,
\]

for some \( U \supset L \), such that \( p^- \circ \pi^*(\mu_0) = \pi^*(\mu_1) \circ p^+ \) on \( T^*U \). Let \( U \supset U' \supset L \) and \( \chi \in C^\infty_c(X \setminus U', [0, 1]) \) with \( \chi|_{X \setminus U} = 1 \). Then there is a natural \( \Phi \in \text{Iso}(\det((p^+, p^-, \mu_0, \mu_1)^\chi), I, 0) \) such that

\[
\det(p^+)^{\Phi}_{|[Y \times \{1\}]} \cong \det((p^+, p^-, \mu_0, \mu_1)^\chi) \cong \det((p^+, p^-, \mu_0, \mu_1)^\chi) \cong \mathbb{C}
\]

corresponds to the adjoint of the functoriality isomorphism \((3.14)\).

**Proof.** We begin by restricting to \( X = U' \), as all the symbols, we are working with are compactly supported in \( L \). Then \( \chi = 0 \) and

\[
\det((p^+, p^-, \mu_0, \mu_1)^0) = \det\begin{pmatrix} p^+ & 0 \\ 0 & -(p^-)^* \end{pmatrix} = \det(p^+)^* (p^+).
\]

\[
\det((p^+, p^-, \mu_0, \mu_1)^0) = \det\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi^*(\mu_1) \\ \pi^*(\mu_0) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cong \mathbb{C},
\]

where for the last isomorphism, we choose the obvious representative \( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu_1^* \\ \mu_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \).

The set of compactly supported pairs \((P^+, P^-) \in \sigma^{-1}(p^+) \times \sigma^{-1}(p^-) \) commuting with \( \mu_0, \mu_1 \) on compactly supported sections is convex. Choose one such \((P^+, P^-) \) and construct

\[
\Psi_t = \begin{pmatrix} (1 - t)P^+ \\ t\mu_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1^* \\ -(1 - t)(P^-)^* \end{pmatrix} \in \sigma^{-1}(p^+, p^-, \mu_0, \mu_1)^0.
\]
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By composing $\Psi_t$ with \[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & \mu_0^{-1} \\
(\mu_1^*)^{-1} & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\] we identify its determinant with the determinant of
\[
\tilde{\Psi}_t = \begin{pmatrix}
t \text{id} & -(1-t)P^* \\
(1-t)P & t \text{id}
\end{pmatrix} : E_0 \oplus F_1 \to E_0 \oplus F_1.
\]
Let $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^>0$ and $\mathcal{U} \subset Y$ be chosen sufficiently small as in Upmeier [85, Definition 3.4], such that $V_{[0,\nu]}(\tilde{\Psi}_0^* \tilde{\Psi}_0)$ is a vector bundle.

Notice that $\tilde{\Psi}_t^* \tilde{\Psi}_t = \tilde{\Psi}_t^* \tilde{\Psi}_t^*$. Moreover, by spectral theorem each non-zero eigenvalue $\lambda^2 \in (0, \nu)$ of $\tilde{\Psi}_0^* \tilde{\Psi}_0$ has multiplicity $2k$ for some positive integer $k$ and then $\tilde{\Psi}_0$ has eigenvalues $i\lambda$, $-i\lambda$ each of multiplicity $k$ in its set of eigenvalues $\text{spec}(\tilde{\Psi}_0)$. The eigenvectors of $\tilde{\Psi}_t^* \tilde{\Psi}_t$ remain the same, but corresponding eigenvalues are $\lambda^2(1-t)^2 + t^2$. We therefore define
\[
\nu(t) = \nu(1-t)^2 + t^2
\]
and we have a natural isomorphism
\[
V_{[0,\nu]}(\tilde{\Psi}_0^* \tilde{\Psi}_0) \cong V_{[0,\nu(t)]}(\tilde{\Psi}_t^* \tilde{\Psi}_t) \tag{3.19}
\]
given by the identity for all $t \in I$ (here one extends to $t = 1$ by considering the same finite set of eigenvectors which now have eigenvalue 1), which gives a continuous isomorphism of vector bundles on $\mathcal{U} \times I$ and restricts to identity for $t = 0$. The isomorphisms of determinant line bundles is then given by
\[
\alpha_\nu(t) : \det(\Psi_0) \overset{\text{Def. 3.4}}{\cong} \det(V_{[0,\nu]}(\Psi_0^* \Psi_0))^\ast \det(V_{[0,\nu]}(\Psi_0 \Psi_0^*)) = \det(V_{[0,\nu(t)]}(\Psi_t^* \Psi_t))^\ast \det(V_{[0,\nu(t)]}(\Psi_t \Psi_t^*)) \overset{\text{Def. 3.4}}{\cong} \det(\Psi_t).
\]
We see also that $\alpha_\nu(0) = \text{id}_{\det(\Psi_0)}$. To see that this isomorphism is independent of $\nu$, we can restrict to a single point $y \in Y$. Let $\nu' > \nu > 0$, then for $\Psi_0(y)$ choose its diagonalization when restricted to $V_{[0,\nu]}(\Psi_0^* \Psi_0)$. From looking at the definition [85, Definition 3.4] it is then easy to see that
\[
\alpha_{\nu'}(t) = \prod_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Spec}(\Psi_0) \\
\nu < |\mu| < \nu'}} \frac{(1-t) + \mu^{-1}t}{[(1-t)^2 + |\mu|^{-2}t^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \alpha_\nu(t).
\]
As each $\mu = i\lambda$ comes with its conjugate of the same multiplicity, the factor is equal to one. Let $\alpha' : \det(\Psi_0) \cong \det(P)\det(P^*) \cong \mathbb{C}$ be isomorphism combining (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16), then it can be checked in the same way that
\[
\alpha_\nu(1) = \prod_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Spec}(\Psi_0) \\
0 < |\mu| < \nu}} \frac{|\mu|^2}{\mu} \alpha'.
\]
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where the factor again becomes one. By covering $Y$ by such sets $U_i$ and choosing appropriate $\nu_i$, we can glue the isomorphisms on $U_i \times I$, because they coincide on the overlaps $(U_i \cap U_j) \times I$. We denote the result by $\alpha(t) : \det(\tilde{\Psi}_0) \to \det(\tilde{\Psi}_t)$.

The isomorphism $\Phi \in \text{Iso}(\langle p^+, p^-, \mu_0, \mu_1 \rangle_0, I, 0)$, $\Phi_t : \det(\Psi_0) \to \det(\Psi_t)$, is defined by $\det(\Psi_0) \xrightarrow{\Phi(t)} \det(\Psi_t)$, $\det(\tilde{\Psi}_0) \xrightarrow{\alpha(t)} \det(\tilde{\Psi}_t)$, where the vertical arrows are induced by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu_0^{-1} \\ \mu_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

**Remark 3.28.** One should interpret Proposition 3.27 as stating that the isomorphism $\det(p^+)\det(p^-) \to \mathbb{C}$ using functoriality is an element of $\text{Iso}(\langle p^+, p^-, \mu_0, \mu_1 \rangle_0, I, 0)$ which has a natural pre-image in $\text{Iso}(\langle p^+, p^-, \mu_0, \mu_1 \rangle_0, X)$, $C_0$, $0$. This will be important in Corollary 3.31 and §4.5, because the latter space is contractible while the former is not.

The following is the main family that we will rely on in the proof of Theorem 2.23.

**Definition 3.29.** Let $X$ be compact, $E_0^\pm, E_i^\pm, F_0^\pm, F_i^\pm$ vector bundles on $X$, $p^\pm \in \mathcal{E}ll_0(E_0^\pm, E_i^\pm; Y)$, $q^\pm \in \mathcal{E}ll_0(F_0^\pm, F_i^\pm; Y)$.

Let $U, V \subset X$ open, such that $U \cup V = X$. Let $K \subset X$ compact such that $X \setminus U \subseteq K \subset V$. Choose $\chi_U \in C^\infty_0(X \setminus K, [0, 1])$ and $\chi_V \in C^\infty(K^0 \cap [0, 1])$, such that $\chi_U|_{X \setminus V} = 1$ and $\chi_V|_{X \setminus U} = 1$. If there exist isomorphisms $\mu_i : E_i^+|_U \to E_i^-|_U$, $\nu_i : F_i^+|_U \to F_i^-|_U$, $\kappa_i : E_i^+|_V \to F_i^+|_V$ and $\lambda_i : E_i^-|_V \to F_i^-|_V$ for $i = 0, 1$, such that

i. on $T^*U$ $p^- \circ \pi^* \mu_0 = \pi^* \mu_1 \circ p^+$, $q^- \circ \pi^* \mu_0 = \pi^* \nu_1 \circ q^+$,

ii. on $T^*V$ $\pi^* \kappa_0 \circ p^- = q^+ \circ \pi^* \kappa_0$, $\pi^* \lambda_0(p^-)^* = (q^-)^* \pi^* \lambda_1$,

iii. on $T^*(U \cap V)$ $\lambda_0 \circ \mu_0 = \nu_0 \circ \kappa_0$, $\lambda_1 \circ \mu_1 = \nu_1 \circ \kappa_1$. 
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The following $Y \times I^2$ family of symbols is elliptic and we call it the excision $I^2$-family:

$$
\mathcal{F} = \left( p^+, p^-, \mu_0, \mu_1 \atop q^+, q^-, \nu_0, \nu_1 \atop \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \lambda_0, \lambda_1 \right)^{\chi_U \cdot \chi_V}_{t,u} = \left( (p^+, p^-, \mu_0, \mu_1)^{\chi_V}, (q^+, q^-, \nu_0, \nu_1)^{\chi_V}, \kappa_0 \oplus \lambda_1, \kappa_1 \oplus \lambda_0 \right)^{\chi_{t,u}}.
$$

One can prove its ellipticity by permuting the entries and applying Remark 3.26 twice.

For the rest of the section, we suppress writing the parameter space $Y$, so that when we write $I^2$, we mean $Y \times I^2$.

**Definition 3.30.** As $\mathcal{F}$ is elliptic, we have $\det(\mathcal{F}) \to I^2$. We define $I_i \subset I^2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ by

$$
I_1 = \{(t, 0)\}, \quad I_2 = \{(1, u)\}, \quad I_3 = \{(0, u)\}, \quad I_4 = \{(t, 1)\}.
$$

Then $L_i(\mathcal{F})$ are defined as the restrictions of $\det(\mathcal{F})$ to $I_i$. We can construct the spaces $\Iso(L_i(\mathcal{F}), I_i, 0)$, where $0 \in I_i$ is always the closest point to $(0, 0)$ in $I^2$. There exist obvious concatenation maps

$$
\circ_{1,2} : \Iso(L_2(\mathcal{F}), I_2, 0) \times \Iso(L_1(\mathcal{F}), I_1, 0) \to \Iso(L_1(\mathcal{F}) \cup L_2(\mathcal{F}), I_1 \cup I_2, (0, 0)) \, , \\
\circ_{3,4} : \Iso(L_4(\mathcal{F}), I_4, 0) \times \Iso(L_3(\mathcal{F}), I_3, 0) \to \Iso(L_3(\mathcal{F}) \cup L_4(\mathcal{F}), I_3 \cup I_4, (0, 0)) \, .
$$

Let us discuss simple consequences of the definitions and Proposition 3.27 which will be used in §4.5 to construct an explicit natural isotopy of real structures. Note that the following is slightly more general than necessary for the proof.

**Corollary 3.31.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the excision $I_2$-family from Definition 3.29.

i. There exists a natural element of $\Iso(L_2(\mathcal{F}), I_2, 0)$ such that its image in $\Iso(L_2(\mathcal{F}), I_2, 0)$ corresponds to the isomorphism $\det(\chi^+_2, \chi^-_2, \mu_0, \mu_1)^{\chi_V} \cdot \det^{\ast}(\chi^+_2, \chi^-_2, \nu_0, \nu_1)^{\chi_V} \cong \mathbb{C}$ for functoriality (3.14) with respect to $\kappa_0 \oplus \lambda_1$, $\kappa_1 \oplus \lambda_0$.

ii. If $V = X$, $K = X$ then $\chi_U = 0$ and for each $t \in I$ there is a natural element of $\Iso(l, I, 0)$, where

$$
|t|_u = \det \left( p^+, p^-, \mu_0, \mu_1 \atop q^+, q^-, \nu_0, \nu_1 \atop \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \lambda_0, \lambda_1 \right)^{0, \chi_V}_{t,u} \, ,
$$

such that its image in $\Iso(l, I, 0, 1)$ correspond to the isomorphism $\det(\chi^+_l, \chi^-_l, \mu_0, \mu_1)^{\chi_V} \cdot \det^{\ast}(\chi^+_l, \chi^-_l, \nu_0, \nu_1)^{\chi_V} \cong \mathbb{C}$ from functoriality (3.14) with respect to $\kappa_0 \oplus \lambda_1$, $\kappa_1 \oplus \lambda_0$.
iii. Let $\phi_i \in \text{Iso}(L_i(\mathcal{F}), I_i, 0)$, then $(\phi_2 \circ \phi_1)|_{(1,1)} : \det(\mathcal{F}_{(0,0)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \det(\mathcal{F}_{(1,1)})$ and $(\phi_4 \circ \phi_3)|_{(1,1)} : \det(\mathcal{F}_{(0,0)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \det(\mathcal{F}_{(1,1)})$ are naturally isotopic.

iv. For $\mathcal{F}$ we define its reversed $I_2$-family

$$\xrightarrow{\ast} \mathcal{F} = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} p^+, q^+, \kappa_0, \kappa_1 \\ p^-, q^-, -\lambda_0, -\lambda_1 \\ \mu_0, \mu_1, -\nu_0, -\nu_1 \end{array} \right)^{\chi_V : \chi_U}.$$ 

Let $\phi_1 \in \text{Iso}(L_1(\mathcal{F}), I, 0)$, $\phi_2 \in \text{Iso}(L_2(\mathcal{F}), I, 0)$ and $\psi_3 \in \text{Iso}(L_3(\mathcal{F}), I, 0)$, $\psi_4 \in \text{Iso}(L_4(\mathcal{F}), I, 0)$. Then the isomorphisms

$$\det(p^+) \det^*(p^-) \det^*(q^+) \det(q^-) \xrightarrow{\sim} \det(\mathcal{F}_{(0,0)}) \xrightarrow{(\phi_2 \circ \phi_1)|_{(1,1)}} \det(\mathcal{F}_{(1,1)}) \cong \mathbb{C},$$

$$\det(p^+) \det^*(p^-) \det^*(q^+) \det(q^-) \xrightarrow{\sim} \det(\mathcal{F}_{(0,0)}) \xrightarrow{(\psi_3 \circ \psi_4)|_{(1,1)}} \det(\mathcal{F}_{(1,1)}) \cong \mathbb{C},$$

are naturally isotopic.

**Proof.** The construction of isomorphisms in parts i. and ii. is a direct application of Proposition 3.27.

For part iii., we use that $I_1 \cup I_2$ is a homotopy retract of $I^2$, therefore the isomorphism

$$\det(\mathcal{F}_{(0,0)}) \cong L_1(\mathcal{F}) \cup L_2(\mathcal{F})$$

extends naturally to the entire $I^2 : \det(\mathcal{F}_{(0,0)}) \cong \det(\mathcal{F})$. Restricting it to $I_3 \cup I_4$, we obtain an element of

$$\text{Iso}(L_3(\mathcal{F}) \cup L_4(\mathcal{F}), I_3 \cup I_4, (0, 0)).$$

As this set is contractible, we obtain the required isotopy.

We construct here the isotopy from iv. Untangling the definitions 3.29 and 3.25 one sees that using the permutations $E_0^+ \oplus E_1^- \oplus F_0^+ \oplus F_0^- \cong E_0^+ \oplus F_1^+ \oplus E_1^- \oplus F_1^-$ and $E_1^+ \oplus E_0^- \oplus F_0^+ \oplus F_1^- \cong E_1^+ \oplus F_0^+ \oplus E_0^- \oplus F_1^-$ identifies the symbols $\mathcal{F}_{(t,0)}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{(0,t)}$ such that at $t = 0$ this coincides with

$$\det(p^+) \det^*(p^-) \det^*(q^+) \det(q^-) \cong \det(p^+) \det^*(p^+) \det^*(q^+) \det^*(p^-) \det(q^-).$$

The family $u \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{(1,u)}$ corresponds to the interpolation between the following two symbols:
After using the above permutation, the family $u \mapsto F_{u,1}$ becomes an interpolation between the above symbols with the only difference that now $\chi_U$ is replaced by $(1-\chi_V)$. Interpolating by $v \mapsto v\chi_U + (1-v)(1-\chi_V)$, we deform between the two families along families of elliptic operators on the interval $I$. We obtain a triangle family of elliptic operators:

$$\begin{align*}
F_{(1,0)} &= F_{\text{per}}(1,0) \\
F_{(1,1)} &= F_{\text{per}}(1,1) \\
F_{(1,0)} &= F_{\text{per}}(0,1)
\end{align*}$$

where $F_{\text{per}}$ denotes the family $\leftrightarrow$ after permutation. The edge between $F_{(1,1)}$ and $F_{\text{per}}(1,1)$ has naturally trivial determinant. Therefore, one can construct isotopies in the same way as in iii.

**Remark 3.32.** In the proof of iv. we permute the det$^*(p^-)$det$^*(q^+)$ twice, therefore we do not obtain a sign contribution. However, in the future we will not pay attention to this and rely on the following convention. If two isomorphism of line bundles $\phi, \psi : L_0 \to L_1$ are related by $\phi = e^{i\pi\theta} \psi$, where $\theta \in (-1, 1]$ is constant, then we define the isotopy $s \mapsto \phi_s = e^{i\pi s\theta}$. This is only possible because here the line bundles are complex.

**Definition 3.33.** Let $p \in \text{Ell}(E_0,E_1;Y)$ be compactly supported in $L \subset X$, let $\tau_i$ on $E_i$ for $i = 0, 1$ be real structures, such that $\pi^*\tau_1 \circ p = p \circ \pi^*\tau_0$. Then for $U \supset L$, the set of $P \in \sigma^{-1}(p)$ which are real compactly supported in $U$ is convex. Therefore we have a natural real structure $\det(\tau) : \det(p) \to \det(p)$. If $Y = Y' \times C$ for $C$ contractible, we always take the natural element of $\text{Iso}(\det(p), C, c)$ to be the real transport isomorphism for all $c \in C$. One can define the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle $\text{or}(p) \to Y$ as the orientation bundle of the real bundle $\det(p)^{\det(\tau)}$. The natural element of $\text{Iso}(\det(p), C, c)$ then corresponds to the transport along fibers of $\text{or}(P)$ which was used in Upmeier [85] and Donaldson-Kronheimer [24] to define excision of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles for real symbols.

We will use the categorified excision principle theorem stated in [85, Theorem 2.10] which makes use of the family in Definition 3.25.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2.23

In this section, we first construct a double $\hat{Y}$ for our manifold $X$, such that the “compactly supported” orientation on $X$ can be identified with the one on $\hat{Y}$. We use homotopy theoretic group completion to reduce the problem to trivializing the orientation $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles on the moduli space of vector bundles generated by global sections. Then we express the isomorphism $\vartheta_{\infty}$ from Proposition/Definition 2.20 using purely vector bundles in §4.4. We use the theory from §3.6 and §3.7 to construct natural isotopies between the real structures on
compactly supported pseudo-differential operators on gauge theory side with the real structure $\partial_{bc}$, which exist on compact families. The final result of this section is contained in Proposition 4.22.

### 4.1 Relative framing on the double

Here we construct the double of a non-compact $X$, such that it can be used in §4.3 to define orientations back on moduli spaces over $X$.

**Definition 4.1.** Let $X$ be a non-compact spin manifold $\dim \mathbb{R}(X) = n$. Let $K \subset X$ be a compact subset. Choose a point $p \in K$. Let $g$ be the metric on $X$ and

$$\text{dist}_g(p, -) : X \to [0, \infty)$$

$$\text{dist}_g(p, q) = \inf\{\text{length}_g(\gamma) \mid \gamma : [0, 1] \to X, \gamma(0) = p, \gamma(1) = q, \gamma \text{ piecewise smooth}\}.$$

Choose a smooth approximation $d_g : X \to [0, \infty)$ of $\text{dist}_g(p, -)$. Then for a generic $c > \max\{d_g(x) : x \in K\}$ the set $Y = \{y \in X \mid d(g) \leq c\}$ is a manifold with the boundary $\partial Y = \{y \in X \mid d(g) \leq c\}$. Normalizing the gradient $\text{grad}(d_g)$ restricted to $\partial Y$, we obtain a normal vector $\nu$ to $\partial Y$.

Let $U$ be the tubular neighborhood of $\partial Y$ in $X$, then it is diffeomorphic to $(-1, 1) \times \partial Y$ and $U \cap Y$ is a collar. One therefore has smooth structure on $\tilde{Y} = Y \cup_{\partial Y} Y$. The tangent bundle $TY$ is obtained by gluing two copies of $TX|_Y$ along $TX|_{\partial Y}$ along

$$r(\nu) : TX|_{\partial Y} \to TX|_{\partial Y},$$

where $r(\nu)$ at each tangent spaces, corresponds to reflection along $\nu$.

Let $E$ be the orthonormal frame bundle on $X$, then the orthonormal frame bundle on $\tilde{Y}$ can be expressed as a gluing of two copies of $E|_Y$. Let $\varepsilon \to \partial Y$ be the oriented frame bundle on $\partial Y$ with the orientation compatible with the one on $Y$, $\varepsilon \to E|_{\partial Y}$ given by mapping each frame $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}\}$ to $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}, \nu\}$, then $E|_{\partial Y} \cong \varepsilon \times_{SO(n-1)} O(n)$. Define the map $\alpha : \varepsilon \times_{SO(n-1)} O(n) \to \varepsilon \times_{SO(n-1)} O(n)$ to be the reflection $r(e_n)$ along $e_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ acting on $O(n)$ from the left. This gives a gauge transformation $\alpha$ of $E|_{\partial Y}$, along which we glue the two copies of $E|_Y$. The resulting bundle is the orthonormal frame bundle $\tilde{E} \to \tilde{Y}$. Let $Q \to X$ be the $\text{Pin}(n)$ bundle. Let $p : Q \to E$ be the double cover, then $p^{-1}(\varepsilon) \to \partial Y$ is a $\text{Spin}(n - 1)$ bundle, and $p^{-1}(\varepsilon) \times_{\text{Spin}(n-1)} \text{Pin}(n) \cong Q|_{\partial Y}$. Let $e_n \in \text{Pin}(n)$ be a pre-image of $r(e_n)$ under $\text{Pin}(n) \to O(n)$. It commutes with each element of $\text{Spin}(n-1)$, so we obtain a well defined $\beta : Q|_{\partial Y} \to Q|_{\partial Y}$ by acting on $\text{Pin}(n)$ on the left with $e_n$. We glue two copies of $Q|_Y$ along $\beta$ to obtain the $\text{Pin}(n)$ bundle $\tilde{Q} \to \tilde{Y}$, which is a double cover $\pi : \tilde{Q} \to \tilde{E}$ respecting the right action of $\text{Pin}(n)$ and $O(n)$.

A choice of orientation on $X$ corresponds to an $SO(n)$ subbundle $F \subset E$ and its complement $\bar{F} \subset E$ with the opposite orientation. Using the above, we have obtained a subbundle $\tilde{F} \subset \tilde{E}$ which glues a copy of $F$ to a copy of $\bar{F}$. Moreover, we have its $\text{Spin}(n)$ double cover $\bar{P} = \pi^{-1}(\bar{F}) \to \bar{F}$. The resulting manifold $\bar{Y}$ is therefore spin.
Let \( T = X \setminus K \), then define \( \tilde{T} = (\tilde{T} \cap Y) \cup (-Y) \), where, we denote by \(-Y\) the opposite oriented copy of \( Y \). Let \( P, Q \to \tilde{Y} \), be two \( U(n) \)-bundles, such that they are isomorphic on \( \tilde{T} \).

We define now the moduli stack of pairs of connections on principal bundles identified on \( \tilde{T} \). We do so, as we plan to use excision as discussed in Definition 3.33 to obtain a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundle which depends purely on data on \( X \).

**Definition 4.2.** Consider the space \( \mathcal{A}_P \times \mathcal{A}_Q \times \mathcal{G}_{P,Q,\tilde{T}} \), where \( \mathcal{G}_{P,Q,\tilde{T}} \) is the set of smooth isomorphisms \( \tilde{\phi} : P|_{\tilde{T}} \to Q|_{\tilde{T}} \). Let \( \mathcal{G}_P \times \mathcal{G}_Q \) be the product of gauge groups. We have a natural action

\[
(\mathcal{G}_P \times \mathcal{G}_Q) \times (\mathcal{A}_P \times \mathcal{A}_Q \times \mathcal{G}_{P,Q,\tilde{T}}) \to \mathcal{A}_P \times \mathcal{A}_Q \times \mathcal{G}_{P,Q,\tilde{T}}
\]

\[
(\gamma_P, \gamma_Q, \nabla_P, \nabla_Q, \tilde{\phi}) \mapsto (\gamma_P(\nabla_P), \gamma_Q(\nabla_Q), \gamma_Q \circ \tilde{\phi} \circ (\gamma_P)^{-1})
\]

We denote the quotient stack by \( \mathcal{B}_{P,Q,\tilde{T}} = [\mathcal{A}_P \times \mathcal{A}_Q \times \mathcal{G}_{P,Q,\tilde{T}}/\mathcal{G}_P \times \mathcal{G}_Q] \). Let us define the union

\[
\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{Y},\tilde{T}} = \bigcup_{[P],[Q]} \mathcal{B}_{P,Q,\tilde{T}},
\]

where we chose representatives \( P, Q \) for the isomorphism classes.

There exist natural maps \( \mathcal{B}_{\tilde{Y},\tilde{T}} \xrightarrow{\nu_1} \mathcal{B}_{Y,\tilde{T}} \xrightarrow{\nu_2} \mathcal{B}_{Y} \) induced by \( \mathcal{A}_P \times \mathcal{A}_Q \times \mathcal{G}_{P,Q,\tilde{T}} \to \mathcal{A}_Q \) and \( \mathcal{A}_P \times \mathcal{A}_Q \times \mathcal{G}_{P,Q,\tilde{T}} \to \mathcal{A}_P \). Let \( O^{\tilde{\phi}+} \to \mathcal{B}_{Y} \) be the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundles from \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) then we define

\[
D_0(\tilde{Y}) = p_1^*(O^{\tilde{\phi}+}) \boxtimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} p_2^*((O^{\tilde{\phi}+})^*),
\]

(4.1)

where \((O^{\tilde{\phi}+})^*\) is defined in Definition 3.10. Let us now construct an explicit representative \( (\mathcal{B}_{Y,\tilde{T}})^{\text{cla}} \)

**Definition 4.3.** Let \( P \) and \( Q \) be \( U(n) \)-bundles on \( \tilde{Y} \). Consider the Following two quotient stacks

\[
\mathcal{P}_Q = [\mathcal{A}_P \times \mathcal{A}_Q \times \mathcal{G}_{P,Q,\tilde{T}} \times P/\mathcal{G}_P \times \mathcal{G}_Q],
\]

\[
\mathcal{Q}_P = [\mathcal{A}_P \times \mathcal{A}_Q \times \mathcal{G}_{P,Q,\tilde{T}} \times Q/\mathcal{G}_P \times \mathcal{G}_Q].
\]

which are \( U(n) \)-bundles on \( \tilde{Y} \times \mathcal{B}_{P,Q,\tilde{T}} \). We have a natural isomorphism \( \tau_{P,Q} : \mathcal{P}_Q|_{\tilde{T} \times \mathcal{B}_{P,Q,\tilde{T}}} \to \mathcal{Q}_P|_{\tilde{T} \times \mathcal{B}_{P,Q,\tilde{T}}} \) given by

\[
[\nabla_P, \nabla_Q, \tilde{\phi}, p] \mapsto [\nabla_P, \nabla_Q, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi}(p)].
\]

After taking appropriate unions, we obtain bundles \( \mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2 \to \tilde{Y} \times \mathcal{B}_{Y,\tilde{T}} \) with an isomorphism \( t \) on \( \tilde{T} \times \mathcal{B}_{Y,\tilde{T}} \). Pulling \( P \), back to \( \tilde{Y} \times (\mathcal{B}_{Y,\tilde{T}})^{\text{cla}} \), we obtain \( \mathcal{P}_1^{\text{cla}} \) fiber bundles, which are \( U(n) \)-bundles on each connected components for some \( n \geq 0 \). Together with the isomorphism \( \tau^{\text{cla}} \), these induce two maps

\[
p_1, p_2 : \tilde{Y} \times \mathcal{B}_{Y,\tilde{T}} \to \bigsqcup_n BU(n),
\]
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with a unique (up to contractible choices) homotopy \( H_p : \tilde{T} \times B_{\tilde{Y}, \tilde{T}} \times I \to \bigsqcup_n BU(n) \) between \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) restricted to \( \tilde{T} \times B_{\tilde{Y}, \tilde{T}} \). We obtain the following homotopy commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(B_{\tilde{Y}, \tilde{T}} \times B_{\tilde{Y}, \tilde{T}})_{\text{cla}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{C_0}(\tilde{Y}, \bigsqcup BU(n)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Map}_{C_0}(\tilde{Y}, \bigsqcup BU(n)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{C_0}(\tilde{T}, \bigsqcup BU(n)).
\end{array}
\]

(4.2)

This induces a map

\[
B_{\tilde{Y}, \tilde{T}} \to V_{\tilde{Y}} \times_{V_{\tilde{T}}} V_{\tilde{Y}},
\]

where we use the notation \( V_{Z} = \text{Map}_{C_0}(Z, \bigsqcup BU(n)) \) for each topological space \( Z \).

If \( \tilde{T} \hookrightarrow X \) is a NDR pair then so is \( \tilde{T} \hookrightarrow Y \). Is it then a cofibration and the left vertical and lower horizontal arrow of (4.2) are fibrations. This implies that the natural map \( V_{\tilde{Y}} \times_{V_{\tilde{T}}} V_{\tilde{Y}} \to V_{\tilde{Y}} \times_{V_{\tilde{T}}} V_{\tilde{Y}} \) is a homotopy equivalence. By homotopy inverting, we construct

\[
\mathcal{R} : (B_{\tilde{Y}, \tilde{T}})_{\text{cla}} \to V_{\tilde{Y}} \times_{V_{\tilde{T}}} V_{\tilde{Y}}.
\]

It can be shown by following the arguments of Atiyah–Jones \[3\], Singer \[74\], Donaldson \[24, Prop 5.1.4\] and Atiyah—Bott \[2\] that this is a homotopy equivalence. We therefore have the bundle \( (D_O(\tilde{Y}))_{\text{cla}} \to V_{\tilde{Y}} \times_{V_{\tilde{T}}} V_{\tilde{Y}} \).

We summarize some obvious statements about the above constructions.

**Lemma 4.4.** The spaces \( (B_{\tilde{Y}, \tilde{T}})_{\text{cla}}, V_{\tilde{Y}} \times_{V_{\tilde{T}}} V_{\tilde{Y}} \) are H-spaces. The maps \( (p_1)_{\text{cla}}, (p_2)_{\text{cla}}, \mathcal{R} \) are H-maps. In particular, \( (D_O(\tilde{Y}))_{\text{cla}} \to (B_{\tilde{Y}, \tilde{T}})_{\text{cla}} \simeq V_{\tilde{Y}} \times_{V_{\tilde{T}}} V_{\tilde{Y}} \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded strong H-principal \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundle and there exists a unique strong H-principal \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundle \( D^c_O(\tilde{Y}) \to \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{Y}} \times_{\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{T}}} \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{Y}} \) up to canonical isomorphisms, such that the is a canonical isomorphism

\[
q^*(D^c_O(\tilde{Y})) \cong D_O(\tilde{Y}),
\]

where \( q : V_{\tilde{Y}} \times_{V_{\tilde{T}}} V_{\tilde{Y}} \to \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{Y}} \times_{\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{T}}} \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{Y}} \) is the homotopy theoretic group completion.

**Proof.** The last statement follows using Proposition 3.9 (ii). \( \square \)

### 4.2 Moduli space of vector bundles generated by global sections

Let us recall the definition of the moduli space of holomorphic vector bundles generated by global sections from Cao-Gross–Joyce \[11, Definition 3.18\]. For definition of Ind-schemes see for example Gaitsgory–Rozenblyum \[32\], for general treatment of indization of categories see Kashiwara-Shapira \[48, §6\]. For \( Z \) a scheme over \( \mathbb{C} \), this moduli space is defined as the mapping Ind-scheme :

\[
\mathcal{T}_Z = \text{Map}_{\text{IndSch}_\mathbb{C}}(Z, \text{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)),
\]

where \( \text{IndSch}_\mathbb{C} \) is the category of Ind-schemes over \( \mathbb{C} \) and we view \( \text{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \) as an object in this category.
**Definition 4.5.** Induced by the embedding of schemes $\mathcal{D}_{NC} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$, we obtain a map $\rho^\text{vb}_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}} : \mathcal{T}_X \to \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}}$. We can construct the fiber-product in $\text{Ind-schemes}$ $\mathcal{T}_X \times_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}}} \mathcal{T}_X$. There is a natural map $\Delta_X : \mathcal{T}_X \to \mathcal{M}_X$ which maps every isomorphism class $[E, s_0, \ldots, s_m]$ of vector bundles and its global generating sections to $[E]$, where $E$ is the perfect complex with the vector bundle $E$ in degree zero. Together with the map $\Delta_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}} : \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}} \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}}$ constructed in the same way, we obtain a homotopy commutative diagram in higher stacks:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{T}_X \xrightarrow{\rho^\text{vb}_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}}} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}} \\
\downarrow \Delta_X \quad \downarrow \Delta_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}} \quad \downarrow \Delta_X \\
\mathcal{M}_X \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}} \\
\end{array}
$$

which induces the map

$$
\Delta : \mathcal{T}_X \times_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}}} \mathcal{T}_X \to \mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}}} \mathcal{M}_X.
$$

We denote here by $\times_{\times}$ the homotopy fiber product in $\HSta_C$ which for the first term coincides with the one in $\text{IndSch}_C$.

Recall, that we have from Definition 2.12 the topological realization functor

$$(\ )^{\text{top}} : \HSta_C \to \Top.$$

By the definition of $(-)^{\text{top}}$ (also see [7, Proposition 3.2.1]), it commutes with co-limits. This tells us that for an Ind-scheme $S$ considered as a higher stack represented by the sequence of closed embeddings of finite type schemes $S_0 \to S_1 \to S_2 \to \ldots$, its topological realization $(S)^{\text{top}}$ is the co-limit in the $\Top$ of the sequence

$$S_0^{\text{an}} \to S_1^{\text{an}} \to S_2^{\text{an}} \to \ldots.$$ 

Using that filtered co-limits commute with finite limits, we can express $\mathcal{T}_X \times_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}}} \mathcal{T}_X$ as the filtered co-limit of

$$\mathcal{T}^p_X \times_{\mathcal{T}^p_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}}} \mathcal{T}^p_X,$$

where $\mathcal{T}^p_Z = \text{Map}_{\text{Sch}}(Z, \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}^p))$ for any scheme $Z$. From this, it also follows that $(\mathcal{T}_X \times_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}}} \mathcal{T}_X)^{\text{top}} = \text{lim}_{p \to \infty} (\mathcal{T}^p_X)^{\text{an}} \times_{(\mathcal{T}^p_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}})^{\text{an}}} (\mathcal{T}^p_X)^{\text{an}} = \mathcal{T}^{\text{an}}_X \times_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{an}}_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}}} \mathcal{T}^{\text{an}}_X$. The topology of these spaces is described in Friedlander–Walker [27].

We have therefore constructed a map

$$\Delta^{\text{top}} : \mathcal{T}^{\text{an}}_X \times_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{an}}_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}}} \mathcal{T}^{\text{an}}_X \to (\mathcal{M}^{\text{an}}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{an}}_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}}} \mathcal{M}^{\text{an}}_X)^{\text{top}}. \tag{4.3}$$

Similarly to Friedlander–Walker [28, Proposition 2.8], one can give $\mathcal{T}^{\text{an}}_X \times_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{an}}_{\mathcal{D}_{NC}}} \mathcal{T}^{\text{an}}_X$ a structure of an $E_\infty$ space as defined in May [58], which in particular implies that it is a commutative and associative H-space or equivalently an $E_3$ space. On $\mathcal{M}_X$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\text{D}_{NC}}$, 
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we also have a natural multiplication $\mu_{\mathcal{M}_X} : \mathcal{M}_X \times \mathcal{M}_X \to \mathcal{M}_X$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{DNC}}} : \mathcal{M}^{\text{DNC}} \times \mathcal{M}^{\text{DNC}} \to \mathcal{M}^{\text{DNC}}$ given by taking direct sums of perfect complexes. They are commutative and associative in the homotopy category of higher stacks. We obtain the following homotopy commutative diagram of higher stacks

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{DNC}}} \mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{DNC}}} \mathcal{M}_X & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \times \pi_3} & \mathcal{M}_X \times \mathcal{M}_X \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{M}_X}} \mathcal{M}_X \\
\mathcal{M}^{\text{DNC}} \times \mathcal{M}^{\text{DNC}} & \xrightarrow{\pi_2 \times \pi_4} & \mathcal{M}^{\text{DNC}} \times \mathcal{M}^{\text{DNC}} \\
\end{array}
$$

It induces the multiplication

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{DNC}}} \mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{DNC}}} \mathcal{M}_X \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{M}_X}} \mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{DNC}}} \mathcal{M}_X \tag{4.4}
$$

which can be easily checked to be commutative and associative in the homotopy category of higher stacks. In fact, as it is a homotopy fiber product of $\Gamma$-objects, it is itself one in $\text{HSta}_C$ (see Bousfield–Friedlander [9, §3] for definition of $\Gamma$-objects in model categories and Blanc [7, p. 45] for the construction in this case). Therefore its topological realization $(\mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{DNC}}} \mathcal{M}_X)^{\text{top}}$ is an $H$-space which is possible to enrich to an $E_\infty$-space.

We now state an important lemma about the map $\Delta$. We use in the proof the language of spectra (see Strickland [78] and Lewis–May [34]). We only use that the infinite loop space functor $\Omega^\infty : \text{Sp} \to \text{Top}$ preserves homotopy equivalences, where $\text{Sp}$ is the category of topological spectra.

**Lemma 4.6.** The map $\Delta^{\text{top}} : \mathcal{T}^{an}_X \times_{\mathcal{T}^{an}_{\text{DNC}}} \mathcal{T}^{an}_X \to (\mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{DNC}}} \mathcal{M}_X)^{\text{top}}$ is a homotopy theoretic group completion of $H$-spaces.

**Proof.** Let us recall that in a symmetric closed monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ with the internal hom functor $\text{Map}_\mathcal{C}(-,-)$ the contravariant functor $\mathcal{C} \to \text{Map}_\mathcal{C}(C,D)$ maps co-limits to limits. In particular push-outs are mapped to pullbacks. The homotopy category of higher stacks is symmetric closed monoidal as shown by Toën–Vezzosi in [84, Theorem 1.0.4].

Let $D_{\text{NC}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_i$ be the normal crossing divisor at $\infty$. We want to show that the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
D_{\text{NC}} \xrightarrow{i_{\text{DNC}}} \bar{X} \\
\downarrow^{i_{\text{DNC}}} \\
\bar{X}
\end{array}
$$

has a push-out $\bar{X} \cup_{D_{\text{NC}}} \bar{X}$ which corresponds to gluing the two copies of $\bar{X}$ along the embedding $D_{\text{NC}} \xrightarrow{i_{\text{DNC}}} \bar{X}$. As this is a closed embedding, Schwede [71, Corollary 3.7] states
that there exist a scheme $\bar{X} \cup_{D_{NC}} \bar{X}$ which completes the diagram to a push-out diagram. Moreover, the result of Ferrand [25, §6.3] states that if $Z_1 \xrightarrow{i_1} Y \xrightarrow{i_2} Z_2$ is a diagram where the arrows are closed embeddings and $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ are projective, then $Z_1 \cup_Y Z_2$ is projective when there exist $n, n' > 0$, such that $i_1^*(O_{Z_1}(1))^\otimes n \cong i_2^*(O_{Z_2}(1))^\otimes n'$. This is evidently true in our case, as we use equal embeddings $i_{D_{NC}}$.

Combining the above results, we conclude that there are natural isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}^{D_{NC}}} \mathcal{M}_X \cong \text{Map}_{\text{HStac}}(\bar{X} \cup_{D_{NC}} \bar{X}, \text{Perf}_C) = \mathcal{M}^{\bar{X} \cup_{D_{NC}} \bar{X}},$$

$$\mathcal{T}_X \times_{\tau_{D_{NC}}} \mathcal{T}_X \cong \text{Map}_{\text{IndSch}}(\bar{X} \cup_{D_{NC}} \bar{X}, \text{Gr}^\infty(C)) = \mathcal{T}_{\bar{X} \cup_{D_{NC}} \bar{X}}.$$  

In fact, under these isomorphisms, the map $\Delta$ from Definition 4.5 corresponds to the natural map $\Delta_{\bar{X} \cup_{D_{NC}} \bar{X}} : \mathcal{T}_{\bar{X} \cup_{D_{NC}} \bar{X}} \to \mathcal{M}^{\bar{X} \cup_{D_{NC}} \bar{X}}$.

For a quasi-projective variety $Y$ over $\mathbb{C}$, Friedlander–Walker define in [28, Definition 2.9] the space $K^{\text{semi}}(Y)$ as the infinity loop space $\Omega^\infty T^\text{an}_Y$, where they use that $T^\text{an}$ is an $E_\infty$-space. Therefore there is a map $T^\text{an}_Y \to K^{\text{semi}}(Y)$, which is a homotopy theoretic group completion by [54, p. 6.4] and [53, §2]. For a dg-category $\mathcal{D}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, Blanc [7, Definition 4.1] defines the connective semi-topological K-theory $K^\text{st}(\mathcal{D})$ in the category $\text{Sp}$. Moreover, in [7, Theorem 4.21], he constructs an equivalence between the $K^\text{st}(\mathcal{D})$ and the spectrum of the topological realization of the higher moduli stack of perfect modules of $\mathcal{D}$. This induces in particular a homotopy equivalence

$$\Omega^\infty K^\text{st}(\text{Perf}(Y)) \to (\mathcal{M}^Y)^\text{top}$$

of H-spaces. In [1, Theorem 2.3], Antieau–Heller prove existence of a natural homotopy equivalence between the H-spaces $\Omega^\infty K^\text{st}(\text{Perf}(Y))$ and $K^{\text{semi}}(Y)$. The composition $T^\text{an}_Y \to K^{\text{semi}}(Y) \to \Omega^\infty K^\text{st}(\text{Perf}(Y)) \to (\mathcal{M}^Y)^\text{top}$ for $Y = \bar{X} \cup_{D_{NC}} \bar{X}$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Delta_{\bar{X} \cup_{D_{NC}} \bar{X}}^\text{top}$. We have thus shown that $\Delta_{\bar{X} \cup_{D_{NC}} \bar{X}}$ is a homotopy theoretic group-completion. □

We now make $O^{\oplus} \to \mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}^{D_{NC}}} \mathcal{M}_X$ into a weak H-principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle with respect to $\mu_M$ in (4.4)

**Proposition 4.7.** Let $O^{\oplus} \to \mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}^{D_{NC}}} \mathcal{M}_X$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle from Proposition/Definition 2.20, then there exists an isomorphism

$$\phi^{\oplus} : O^{\oplus} \boxtimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} O^{\oplus} \to \mu_M^*(O^{\oplus}),$$

where $\mu_M$ is from (4.4). Moreover, we have

$$(id_{\mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}^{D_{NC}}} \mathcal{M}_X} \times \mu_M)^* (\phi^{\oplus})(id \times \phi^{\oplus}) = (\mu_M \times id_{\mathcal{M}_X \times_{\mathcal{M}^{D_{NC}}} \mathcal{M}_X})^* (\phi^{\oplus}) \circ (\phi^{\oplus} \times id).$$

*This moduli stack is denoted in Blanc [7] by $\mathcal{M}^D$. Unlike the moduli stacks in Toën–Vaquié, it classifies only perfect dg-modules over $\mathcal{D}$ and not the pseudo-perfect ones. For the case $\mathcal{D} = I_{\text{pe}}(Y)$ it therefore coincides with the mapping stack $\mathcal{M}^Y$. When $Y$ is projective and smooth, we already know that $\mathcal{M}^Y$ and $\mathcal{M}_Y$ are equivalent.
Proof. We construct such $\phi_{sp}^{\theta}$ for $O_{sp}^{\theta} \rightarrow M_{\bar{X}} \times_{M_{D}} M_{\bar{X}}$ from Proposition/Definition 2.20. Then we use $sp: M_{\bar{X}} \times_{M_{D}} M_{\bar{X}} \rightarrow M_{\bar{X}} \times_{M_{D}} M_{\bar{X}}$ to pull it back.

Let $p = ([E_1], [F_1], [E_2], [F_2]) \in M_{\bar{X}} \times_{M_{D}} M_{\bar{X}} \times M_{\bar{X}} \times M_{D} M_{\bar{X}}$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-point, where we omit specifying the isomorphism on $D_i$. The restriction of the line bundle $\mu_{M}(\pi_{1}^{*}\Lambda_{O_{X}} \otimes (\pi_{2}^{*}\Lambda_{O_{X}})^{*})|_{\{p\}}$ corresponds to

$$\text{det}(\text{Ext}^{*}(E_1 \oplus E_2, E_1 \oplus E_2))\text{det}^{*}(\text{Ext}^{*}(F_1 \oplus F_2, F_1 \oplus F_2)).$$

Which is isomorphic to

$$\text{det}(\text{Ext}^{*}(E_1, E_1))\text{det}(\text{Ext}^{*}(E_2, E_2))\text{det}(\text{Ext}^{*}(E_1, E_2))\text{det}(\text{Ext}^{*}(E_2, E_1))$$

$$\text{det}^{*}(\text{Ext}^{*}(F_2, F_1))\text{det}^{*}(\text{Ext}^{*}(F_1, F_2))\text{det}^{*}(\text{Ext}^{*}(F_2, F_2))\text{det}^{*}(\text{Ext}^{*}(F_1, F_1)) . \quad (4.6)$$

The terms in the middle cancel using the iterative arguments from the proof of Proposition/Definition 2.20:

$$\text{det}(\text{Ext}^{*}(E_1, E_2))\text{det}(\text{Ext}^{*}(E_2, E_1))\text{det}^{*}(\text{Ext}^{*}(F_2, F_1))\text{det}^{*}(\text{Ext}^{*}(F_1, F_2)) \cong \mathbb{C}. \quad (4.7)$$

Note that the complex line bundles given by $\text{det}(\text{Ext}^{*}(E_1, E_2))$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded with the degree $\chi_X(E_1, E_2)$, where $\chi_X(-, -): K_0(\bar{X}) \times K_0(\bar{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the Euler form of the category $D^b\text{Coh}(X)$. If $\alpha : M_{\bar{X}} \rightarrow K_0(\bar{X})$ is mapping $[E]$ to its K-theory class, then $\Lambda_{O_X}$ has degree $\chi_X(\alpha, \alpha)$. Let $\alpha_i = \alpha \circ \pi_i$, where $\pi_i : M_{\bar{X}} \times_{M_{D}} M_{\bar{X}} \times M_{\bar{X}} \times M_{D} M_{\bar{X}} \rightarrow M_{\bar{X}}$ is the projection to the $i$'th component. Permuting the left-over terms in (4.6) after using (4.7), we obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{z} : \mu_{M}(\pi_{1}^{*}\Lambda_{O_{X}} \otimes (\pi_{2}^{*}\Lambda_{O_{X}})^{*}) \rightarrow (\pi_{1}^{*}\Lambda_{O_{X}}) \otimes (\pi_{2}^{*}\Lambda_{O_{X}})^{*} \otimes (\Lambda_{O_{X}}^{*}),$$

which comes with the extra sign $(-1)^{\chi_X(a_2, a_2) + \chi_X(a_4, a_4) + \chi_X(a_3, a_3)}$. \qed

4.3 Homotopy commutative diagram of $H$-spaces

Our goal now is to relate the algebro-geometric constructions of §4.2 to the gauge-theoretic ones in §4.1. From now on, we will omit writing $(-)^{an}(\text{resp. } (-)^{cla})$ to denote analytifications (resp. classifying space functor), where it is clear.

Definition 4.8. Using the notation from Definition 4.3 we have an obvious map

$$\Lambda : \mathcal{T}^{an}_X \times_{\mathcal{T}^{an}_{PNC}} \mathcal{T}^{an}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_X \times_{\mathcal{V}_{PNC}} \mathcal{V}_X$$

(4.8)

which corresponds to the inclusion of holomorphic maps into the continuous maps to $\text{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^{\infty})^{an}$. This map is continuous as convergent sequences of maps are mapped to convergent sequences of continuous maps (see Friedlander–Walker [27]).

Let us now construct a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle on $\mathcal{V}_X \times_{\mathcal{V}_{PNC}} \mathcal{V}_X$ by pulling back (4.1).
**Definition 4.9.** Let $\bar{T}_i \supset D_i$ be closed tubular neighborhoods of the divisors and $\epsilon_i > 0$, chosen in such a way, that around $D_i \cap D_j$

$$1 \leq i < j \leq N \text{ then } (1 + \epsilon_i)\bar{T}_i \subset T_j.$$ 

We have the homotopies $H_i : \bar{T}_i \to D_i$ which can be extended to $\tilde{H}_i : I \times \bar{X} \to \bar{X}$, such that $\tilde{H}_i|_{I \times \bar{T}_i} = H_i$ and

$$\tilde{H}_i(t, -)|_{\bar{X} \setminus (1 + \epsilon_i)T_i} = \text{id}_{\bar{X} \setminus (1 + \epsilon_i)T_i}.$$ 

We can then concatenate these homotopies. For $\tilde{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2$, we define

$$\tilde{H}_{1,2}(t, x) = \begin{cases} \tilde{H}_1(2t, x) & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \tilde{H}_2(2t - 1, \tilde{H}_1(1, x)) & \frac{1}{2} < t \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

Repeating this for all $i \leq N$. We obtain $\tilde{H} = \tilde{H}_{1,2, \ldots, N}$. We denote $H = \tilde{H}|_T$, where $T = \bigcup_{i=1}^N T_i$. The pullback along $\tilde{H}(1, -)$ and $H(1, -)$ induces a homotopy equivalences

$$\Upsilon : \mathcal{V}_X \times_{\nu_{\partial NC}} \mathcal{V}_X \to \mathcal{V}_Y \times_{\nu_{\partial}} \mathcal{V}_Y,$$

$$\Upsilon^c : \mathcal{C}_X \times_{c_{\partial NC}} \mathcal{C}_X \to \mathcal{C}_Y \times_{c_{\partial}} \mathcal{C}_Y, \quad (4.10)$$

which we use from now on to identify the spaces.

As $X \subset \bar{X}$ is Calabi–Yau with a natural choice of spin structure. Choosing $K = X \setminus T$, where $T$ is the interior of $\bar{T}$, we construct $\bar{Y}$ as in Definition 4.1. We then have a map

$$G_{\bar{Y}} : \mathcal{V}_X \times_{\nu_{\partial}} \mathcal{V}_X \to \mathcal{V}_Y \times_{\nu_{\partial}} \mathcal{V}_Y, \quad (4.11)$$

s.t. if $m_1, m_2 : \bar{X} \to \bigcup_n BU(n)$ are two maps identical on $T$, then $\Sigma_Y(m_1, m_2) = (\bar{m}_1, \bar{m}_2)$ for each $(m_1, m_2) \in \mathcal{V}_X \times_{\nu_{\partial}} \mathcal{V}_X$, where

$$\bar{m}_1|_{-Y} = m_1|_{-Y}, \quad \bar{m}_2|_{-Y} = m_1|_{-Y}. \quad (4.12)$$

We used $-Y$ to denote the copy of $Y$ from Definition 4.1 with the opposite orientation. It is easy to see that $H_{\bar{Y}}$ is an $\text{H}$-map. We define the strong H-principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle:

$$D_O := \Upsilon^* \circ G_{\bar{Y}}(D_O(\bar{Y})). \quad (4.13)$$

**Remark 4.10.** We discuss the map

$$G_{\bar{Y}} \circ \Upsilon : \mathcal{V}_X \times_{\nu_{\partial NC}} \mathcal{V}_X \to \mathcal{V}_Y \times_{\nu_{\partial}} \mathcal{V}_Y$$

to understand the bundle $D_O$ better, as we will want to construct an isomorphism $\Gamma^*(D_O) \cong (\Delta^{\text{top}})^*(\mathcal{O}_{\partial NC}^{\text{top}})$. Let $[E, F, \phi]$ be a triple corresponding to a point in $\mathcal{V}_X \times_{\nu_{\partial NC}} \mathcal{V}_X$, where $E, F \to \bar{X}$ are complex vector bundles and

$$\phi : E|_{\partial NC} \to F|_{\partial NC}$$
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an isomorphism.

Let \([\tilde{E}, \tilde{F}, \tilde{\phi}] = G_Y \circ \Upsilon([E, F, \phi])\) where \(\tilde{E}, \tilde{F} \to \tilde{Y}\) are vector bundles and \(\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{E}|_{\tilde{T}} \sim \tilde{F}|_{\tilde{T}}\). Then \(\tilde{E}\) is given by gluing \(E|_Y\) to \(E|_Y\) along identity on \(E|_{\partial Y}\) and \(\tilde{F}\) by gluing \(F|_Y\) to \(E|_Y\) along \(\tilde{\phi}|_{\partial Y} : E|_{\partial Y} \to F|_{\partial Y}\), where \(\phi : E|_{\partial Y} \to F|_{\partial Y}\) is the isomorphism obtained by

\[
E|_{\partial Y} \cong H(1, -)^*(E|_{D_{NC}}) \cong H(1, -)^*(F|_{D_{NC}}) \cong F|_{\partial Y}.
\]

The isomorphism \(\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{E}|_{\tilde{T}} \to \tilde{F}|_{\tilde{T}}\) is \(\phi\) on \(\tilde{T} \cup Y\) and identity on the entire copy \(\sim Y\).

Notice that \(\phi|_{D_{NC}} \neq \phi\), however we have an isomorphism \(\phi_i : E|_{\tilde{T}_i} \to F|_{\tilde{T}_i}\), given by

\[
E|_{\tilde{T}_i} \cong H_i(1, -)^*(E|_{D_i}) \cong H_i(1, -)^*(F|_{D_i}) \cong F|_{\tilde{T}_i}.
\]

It can then be deformed along isomorphisms into \(\tilde{\phi}|_{\tilde{T}_i}\) by deforming \(\tilde{H}_i\) into \(\tilde{H}\) which only changes the map around intersections with the divisors.

**Remark 4.11.** The choices made to construct \(T\) and \(X\) are contractible. This can be seen as for each \(T_i\) the construction of \(T_i\) corresponds to the splitting of

\[
0 \to TD_i \to TX \to ND_i \to 0,
\]

where \(ND_i\) is the normal bundle of \(D \hookrightarrow X\). The different splittings are parameterized by the sections of the vector bundle \(\text{Hom}(ND_i, TD_i)\) on \(D_i\). Different choices of sizes of these neighborhoods are parameterized by some small \(\epsilon_i\). Notice that our additional condition for \(T_i \subset T_j\) whenever \(j > i\) around \(D_i \cap D_j\) corresponds to choosing \(\epsilon_i < \epsilon_j\). The set of these choices is also contractible. The construction of \(\tilde{H}\) can also be made independent up to contractible choices.

Additionally, for each choice of the data above, the \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle \(D_O \to \mathcal{V}_X \times_{\mathcal{V}_{D_{NC}}} \mathcal{V}_X\) is independent of the choices made during the construction of \(\tilde{Y}\) in Definition 4.1. This can be seen, by applying the excision argument for orientation bundles recalled in Definition 3.33 together with the description of the map \(G_Y \circ \Upsilon\) in Remark 4.10. The upshot is that \(D_O\) is independent of the choices up to canonical isomorphism.

**Definition 4.12.** Let \(\Pi_m : BU(m) \to BU \times \mathbb{Z}\) be the map induced by \(BU(m) \to BU\) and \(BU(m) \to \{m\} \in \mathbb{Z}\), then

\[
\mathcal{V}_X \times_{\mathcal{V}_{D_{NC}}} \mathcal{V}_X \overset{\Omega_m \in \Pi_m}{\longrightarrow} C_X \times_{C_{D_{NC}}} C_X
\]

is a homotopy theoretic group completion of H-spaces. Using Proposition 3.9, we therefore obtain a unique up to natural isomorphisms strong H-principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle

\[
D_O^C \to C_X \times_{C_{D_{NC}}} C_X \tag{4.14}
\]

with a unique isomorphism of strong H-principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundles \(\Omega^*(D_O^C) \cong D_O\). This bundle can also be defined as the pullback

\[
D_O^C = (G^C_\chi)^*(D_O^C(\tilde{Y})) \tag{4.15}
\]

where \(\chi \in H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}_2)\).
where
\[ C^C_Y : C_X \times C_{\Omega_K} \cong C_X \times c_r C_X \to C^C_Y \times c_r C_Y \]  
(4.16)
is associated to \(G_Y\) from \((4.11)\) using Proposition 3.7 and \(D^c_G(\hat{Y})\) from Lemma 4.4.

Using Proposition 3.7, we also have a map \(\Gamma\) completing the following homotopy commutative diagram of \(H\)-spaces:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{T}_{X \times T_{\Omega_K}} & \xrightarrow{\Lambda} & \mathcal{V}_X \times \mathcal{V}_X \\
\downarrow^\Delta_{\text{top}} & & \downarrow \eta \\
(\mathcal{M}_X \times \mathcal{M}_{\Omega_K})_{\text{top}} & \xrightarrow{\Gamma} & C_X \times c_r C_X
\end{array}
\]  
(4.17)
The map \(\Gamma\) was expressed explicitly in Definition 2.22.

### 4.4 Common resolution

Recall that the isomorphism \(\vartheta_{\Omega_K} : \mathcal{L}_{X,D} \to (\mathcal{L}_{X,D})^*\) in Proposition/Definition 2.20 was constructed using Serre duality together with an isomorphism \(\tau_{\Omega_K}\) in (2.25) for which we used the isomorphisms \(\xi^-(L, D_i, s_i)\) and \(\xi^+(L, D_j, t_j)\) from (2.19), where \(L\) was some line bundle of the form \(\mathcal{O}_X(\sum_{k=1}^N c_k D_k)\). We want to consider the pullback \(\Delta^*(\mathcal{O}_{\Omega_K})\) to \(T_X \times T_{\Omega_K} T_X\) and express \(\tau_{\Omega_K}\) in terms of vector bundles and their Dolbeault resolutions and therefore relate it to (pseudo-)differential operators. For determinant bundles of perfect complexes, we use here the conventions from Knudsen–Mumford [50].

In each step of the proof of Definition/Proposition 2.20 which gave us in the end \(\tau_{\Omega_K}\), we used the isomorphisms \(\pi_1^*(\xi^-((L, D_i, s_i,k))\) and \(\pi_1^*(\xi^-((L, D_i, s_i,k))\) (resp. \(\pi_1^*(\xi^-((L, D_j, t_j,l))\) \(\pi_2^*(\xi^-((L, D_j, t_j,l))\)) to construct the isomorphism

\[
\pi_1^* \Lambda(L(D_i)) (\pi_1^* A_L)^* \pi_2^* \Lambda(L(D_j)) (\pi_2^* A_L)^* \cong \pi_1^* \circ \rho^* (\Lambda(L(D_i))) (\pi_2^* \circ \rho^* (\Lambda(L(D_j))))^* \cong \mathbb{C}. \]  
(4.18)

We would like to replace \(\Lambda(L(D_i))\) with something that does not require restriction to codimension one, as this would take us outside of the realm of vector bundles.

**Remark 4.13.** For the sake of readability, we will assume \(L = \mathcal{O}_X\), we also only keep track of the label of the divisor \(i\) (i.e. we write \(s_i = s_{i,k}\)) and work only with \(\xi^-(s_i) := \xi^- \mathcal{O}_X(D_i, s_i)\). It is obvious how to extend this to non-trivial \(L\) and steps using \(\xi^+(L, D_i, t_j)\).

**Definition 4.14.** Let \(\mathcal{U}_{vb} \to \hat{X} \times T_{\hat{X}}\) be the universal vector bundle generated by global sections. Similarly to what was done in 2.1, we define

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}_{vb} &= \pi_{1,2}^* (\mathcal{U}_{vb} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{vb}), \\
\mathcal{E}_{vb}(D_i) &= \pi_{1,2}^* (\mathcal{U}_{vb} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{vb}) \otimes \pi_1^* (\mathcal{O}(D_i)), \\
\mathcal{F}_{vb} &= \pi_{1,3}^* (\mathcal{U}_{vb} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{vb}), \\
\mathcal{F}_{vb}(D_i) &= \pi_{1,3}^* (\mathcal{U}_{vb} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{vb}) \otimes \pi_1^* (\mathcal{O}(D_i)),
\end{align*}
\]  
(4.19)
where \( \pi_{1,2}, \pi_{1,3} : \tilde{X} \times T_X \times \mathcal{T}_{\text{NC}} \to \tilde{X} \times T_X \) are the projection to the first and second component, resp. first and third component and \( \pi_1 \) projects to \( \tilde{X} \).

We have again the exact sequences

\[
0 \to \mathcal{E}_{vb} \to \mathcal{E}_{vb}(D_i) \to (\mathcal{E}_{vb}(D_i))|_{D_i \times T_X \times \mathcal{T}_{\text{NC}} \times T_X} \to 0, \\
0 \to \mathcal{F}_{vb} \to \mathcal{F}_{vb}(D_i) \to (\mathcal{F}_{vb}(D_i))|_{D_i \times T_X \times \mathcal{T}_{\text{NC}} \times T_X} \to 0.
\]

Define the cones \( C_1(s_i) = \mathcal{E}_{vb} \xrightarrow{\pi_1^*(s_i)} \mathcal{E}_{vb}(D_i) \) and \( C_2(s_i) = \mathcal{F}_{vb} \xrightarrow{\pi_2^*(s_i)} \mathcal{F}_{vb}(D_i) \). These are locally free resolutions of \( (\mathcal{E}_{vb}(D_i))|_{D_i \times T_X \times \mathcal{T}_{\text{NC}} \times T_X} \cong (\mathcal{E}_{vb}(D_i))|_{D_i \times T_X \times \mathcal{T}_{\text{NC}} \times T_X} \). Let \( \Phi_{D_i} \) be this isomorphism. We have the following complex of sheaves on \( \tilde{X} \times T_X \times \mathcal{T}_{\text{NC}} \times T_X \):

\[
\mathcal{E}_{vb} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{vb} \xrightarrow{\pi_1^*(s_i) \oplus \pi_2^*(s_i)} \mathcal{E}_{vb}(D_i) \oplus \mathcal{F}_{vb}(D_i) \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{E}}_{vb}(D_i) - \Phi_{D_i} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{F}}_{vb}(D_i)} \mathcal{E}_{vb}(D_i)|_{D_i \times T_X \times \mathcal{T}_{\text{NC}} \times T_X},
\]

where \( \rho_{\mathcal{E}}_{vb}(D_i), \rho_{\mathcal{F}}_{vb}(D_i) \) are the restrictions of sections to \( D_i \times T_X \times \mathcal{T}_{\text{NC}} \times T_X \).

**Proposition 4.15.** Define the sheaf

\[
\mathcal{K}_{s_i} := \text{ker}(\rho_{\mathcal{E}}_{vb}(D_i) + \Phi_{D_i} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{F}}_{vb}(D_i)),
\]

then \( \mathcal{K}_{s_i} \) is locally free.

**Proof.** To see this, we restrict to \( \tilde{X} \times T_X \times \mathcal{T}_{\text{NC}} \times T_X \) which is a projective scheme for all \( p \). The subscheme \( D_i \times T_X \times \mathcal{T}_{\text{NC}} \times T_X \) is a Cartier divisor. Therefore \( \mathcal{E}_{vb}(D_i)|_{D_i \times T_X \times \mathcal{T}_{\text{NC}} \times T_X} \), which is a vector bundle supported in a Cartier divisor, has projective dimension one. As \( \rho_{\mathcal{E}}_{vb}(D_i) + \Phi_{D_i} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{F}}_{vb}(D_i) \) is surjective morphism from a locally free sheaf, its kernel must also be locally free.

As

\[
\tilde{X} \times T_X \times \mathcal{T}_{\text{NC}} \times T_X = \lim_{p \to \infty} (\tilde{X} \times T_X \times \mathcal{T}_{\text{NC}} \times T_X),
\]

\( \mathcal{K}_{s_i} \) is locally free. \( \square \)

The map \( \pi_1^*(s_i) \oplus \pi_2^*(s_i) \) from (4.20) factors through \( \mathcal{K}_{s_i} \), so we can write it as a composition of

\[
\mathcal{E}_{vb} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{vb} \xrightarrow{\text{fact}_{s_i}} \mathcal{K}_{s_i} \xrightarrow{\text{inc}_{s_i}} \mathcal{F}_{vb}(D_i) \oplus \mathcal{F}_{vb}(D_i).
\]

The following is a simple consequence of the construction.

**Proposition 4.16.** Let \( \mathcal{C}(\text{fact}_{s_i}) \) be the cone of \( \text{fact}_{s_i} \), then we have the quasi-isomorphisms \( \pi_{\mathcal{C}_1} : \mathcal{C}(\text{fact}_{s_i}) \to C_1(s_i) \) and \( \pi_{\mathcal{C}_2} : \mathcal{C}(\text{fact}_{s_i}) \to C_2(s_i) \):

\[
\pi_{\mathcal{E}}_{vb} \xrightarrow{\pi_1^*(s_i)} \mathcal{E}_{vb}(D_i) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{F}}_{vb} \xrightarrow{\pi_2^*(s_i)} \mathcal{F}_{vb}(D_i) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{E}}_{vb} \xrightarrow{\pi_1^*(s_i)} \mathcal{E}_{vb}(D_i) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{F}}_{vb} \xrightarrow{\pi_2^*(s_i)} \mathcal{F}_{vb}(D_i).
\]
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Moreover, we obtain the commutative diagram of quasi-isomorphisms

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C(\text{fact}_s) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{c_1}} & C_1(\pi_1^*(s_i)) \\
& \pi_{c_2} & \xrightarrow{} C_2(\pi_1^*(s_i)) .
\end{array}
\] (4.21)

Using

\[
\Delta^* \circ \pi_1^*(\Lambda_{O_X}) \cong \det(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{E}_{vb})), \quad \Delta^* \circ \pi_1^*(\Lambda_{D_i}) \cong \det(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{E}_{vb}(D_i)))
\]

\[
\Delta^* \circ \pi_2^*(\Lambda_{O_X}) \cong \det(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{F}_{vb})), \quad \Delta^* \circ \pi_2^*(\Lambda_{D_i}) \cong \det(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{F}_{vb}(D_i))),
\]

where \(\pi_T : \tilde{X} \times \tau_{\tilde{X}} \times T_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times T_{\tilde{X}}\) the projection and \(\Delta : T_{\tilde{X}} \times T_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \tau_{\tilde{X}} \times T_{\tilde{X}}\) from Definition 4.5 together with Proposition 4.16, we can write the pullback of (4.18) under \(\Delta : T_{\tilde{X}} \times T_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \tau_{\tilde{X}} \times T_{\tilde{X}}\) as

\[
\begin{align*}
\det(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{E}_{vb}(D_i))) & \det^*(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{E}_{vb})) \det(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{F}_{vb})) \det^*(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{F}_{vb}(D_i))) \\
& \cong \det(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{C}(\pi_1^*(s_i)))) \det^*(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{C}_2(\pi_1^*(s_i)))) \\
& \cong \det(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{C}(\text{fact}_{s_i}))) \det^*(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{C}(\text{fact}_{s_i}))) \cong \mathbb{C} .
\end{align*}
\]

We omit specifying that the push-forward is derived. It is in fact the only operation where we need to take derived functor as we are working with vector bundles. Equivalently, we could write this as

\[
\begin{align*}
\det(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{E}_{vb}(D_i))) & \det^*(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{E}_{vb})) \det(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{F}_{vb})) \det^*(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{F}_{vb}(D_i))) \\
& \cong \det(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{C}(\pi_1^*(s_i)))) \det^*(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{C}_2(\pi_1^*(s_i)))) \cong \mathbb{C} .
\end{align*}
\] (4.22)

The last isomorphism is the product of

\[
\begin{align*}
\det(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{E}_{vb}(D_i))) & \det^*(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{E}_{vb})) \det(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{E}_{vb} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{vb})) \det^*(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{K}_{s_i})) \cong \mathbb{C} \\
\det(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{K}_{s_i})) & \det^*(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{E}_{vb} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{vb})) \det(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{F}_{vb})) \det^*(\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{F}_{vb}(D_i))) \cong \mathbb{C} ,
\end{align*}
\] (4.23)

which uses that the vector bundles which we are pushing forward and then take determinants of fit into the exact complexes:

\[
\begin{align*}
C(\pi_{c_1}) = 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{vb} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{vb} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{s_i} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{vb} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{vb}(D_i) \rightarrow 0 , \\
C(\pi_{c_2}) = 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{vb} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{vb} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{s_i} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{vb} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{vb}(D_i) \rightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
\] (4.24)

We are left only with vector bundles and their complexes, therefore we express everything using their Dolbeault resolutions. From now on, we will restrict everything to a \(\mathbb{C}\)-point \([E, F, \phi] \in T_{\tilde{X}} \times T_{\tilde{X}}\) (or equivalently its analytification \(T_{\tilde{X}}^{an} \times T_{\tilde{X}}^{an}\)). However, everything we do works in compact families.
Definition 4.17. Let $E$ and $F$ be vector bundles generated by global sections and $\phi : E|_{D_{NC}} \to F|_{D_{NC}}$ an isomorphism. Then we have $\mathcal{E}_{vb}|_{X \times \{[E,F,\phi]\}} \cong \text{End}(E)$, $\mathcal{F}_{vb}|_{X \times \{[E,F,\phi]\}} \cong \text{End}(F)$, $\mathcal{E}_{vb}(D_i)|_{X \times \{[E,F,\phi]\}} \cong \text{End}(E)(D_i)$, $\mathcal{F}_{vb}(D_i)|_{X \times \{[E,F,\phi]\}} \cong \text{End}(F)(D_i)$. We also define

$$K_{s_i} := \mathcal{K}_{s_i}|_{X \times \{[E,F,\phi]\}}, \quad C_1(s_i) = \mathcal{C}_1(\pi^*(s_i))|_{X \times \{[E,F,\phi]\}}, \quad C_2(s_i) = \mathcal{C}_2(\pi^*(s_i))|_{X \times \{[E,F,\phi]\}},$$

(4.25)

and restriction of any other cone $C(\cdot)$ will be denoted as $C(\cdot)$. The restrictions of maps facts $s_i$, inc $s_i$, $\pi C_1$ and $\pi C_2$ we write as $f_{s_i}$, $i_{s_i}$, $\pi C_1$ and $\pi C_2$.

We have the following exact sequences from (4.24):

$$0 \to \text{End}(E) \oplus \text{End}(F) \overset{d_F}{\rightarrow} K_{s_i} \oplus \text{End}(E) \overset{d_E}{\rightarrow} \text{End}(E)(D_i) \to 0$$

$$0 \to \text{End}(E) \oplus \text{End}(F) \overset{d_F}{\rightarrow} K_{s_i} \oplus \text{End}(F) \overset{d_F}{\rightarrow} \text{End}(F)(D_i) \to 0,$$

(4.26)

where

$$d_E^k = \left(\begin{array}{c}
-f_{s_i} \\
\pi_{\text{End}(E)}
\end{array}\right), \quad d_F^k = \left(\begin{array}{c}
\pi_{\text{End}(E)(D_i)} \circ i_{s_i} \\
s_i
\end{array}\right)$$

(4.27)

and similarly for $F$.

We recall the following well known isomorphism between determinants in gauge theory and algebraic geometry.

**Definition 4.18.** Let $V \to \bar{X}$ be a holomorphic vector bundle, then there is a construction of its derived global sections functor as $R\Gamma^*(V) = \Gamma(V \otimes \mathcal{A}^{0,*})$, where the differential is given by $\bar{\partial}_V = \bar{\partial}^{\nabla_V}$, where $\nabla_V$ is the corresponding Chern connection. Let

$$D_V = \bar{\partial}_V + \bar{\partial}^* : \Gamma(V \otimes \mathcal{A}^{0,\text{even}}) \to \Gamma(V \otimes \mathcal{A}^{0,\text{odd}}),$$

then Hodge theory gives us the natural isomorphisms

$$\det(D_V) \cong \det(R\Gamma^*(V)).$$

Let $V$ denote also the underlying smooth complex vector bundle, then $\sigma(D_V) = \text{id}_{s_V} \otimes \sigma(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)$. We denote its associated degree 0 symbol from Definition 3.21 by $\sigma_V$. Using Definition 3.21, we have the isomorphism

$$\kappa_V : \det(R\Gamma^*(V)) \simto \det(\sigma_V).$$

(4.28)

Note that $\sigma_V$ depends only on the underlying complex vector bundle $V$ for a given metric on $\bar{X}$.
Lemma 4.19. Let $\tilde{X}$ be a smooth complex manifold, let $Y$ be a compact space and $V_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} V_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} V_2$ be a $Y$ family of exact sequences of holomorphic vector bundles on $Y$ with metrics. Let $d_0 \oplus d_1^* : (V_0 \oplus V_2) \otimes A^{0,i} \rightarrow V_1 \otimes A^{0,i}$ also denote the induced morphism on the Dolbeault resolutions. We have then, the $Y \times I$ family $(\sigma_{V_0 \oplus V_2}, \sigma_{V_1}, d_0 \oplus d_1^*, d_0 \oplus d_1^*)^0$ from Definition (3.18). Let $L \rightarrow Y \times I$ be its determinant line bundle and $\varphi \in \text{Iso}(L, I, 0)$, then there exists a natural isotopy between

$$\text{det}(\mathcal{R}\Gamma^*(V_0)) \text{det}(\mathbb{R}\Gamma^*(V_2)) \text{det}^*(\mathcal{R}\Gamma^*(V_1)) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{C}$$

constructed in [50, Corollary 2] and

$$\text{det}(\mathcal{R}\Gamma^*(V_0)) \text{det}(\mathbb{R}\Gamma^*(V_2)) \text{det}^*(\mathcal{R}\Gamma^*(V_1)) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{V_0 \oplus V_2}(\sigma_{V_1}^{-1})} \text{det}(\sigma_{V_0}) \text{det}(\sigma_{V_2}) \text{det}^*(\sigma_{V_1}) \xrightarrow{\varphi|_1} \mathbb{C}.$$  

Proof. Using the metric, we obtain the splitting morphism $d_s : V_2 \rightarrow V_1$. Deforming into a metric which respects this splitting, we have $d_s = d_1^*$. Under the identification $V_1 \cong V_0 \oplus V_2$, the Dolbeault differential becomes

$$\bar{\partial}_{V_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}_{V_0} & \bar{\partial}_{V_2} \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}_{V_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\bar{\partial}_{V_2}$ is some $V_2$ valued $(0,1)$-form. We define $V_1^t$ to be the $Y \times I$ family of holomorphic structure on $V_1$ by

$$\bar{\partial}_{V_1^t} = \bar{\partial}_{V_1} := \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}_{V_0} & (1-t)\bar{\partial}_{V_2} \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}_{V_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$  

The sequence at $V_0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ splits at $t = 1$. We have on $Y \times I$ the isomorphism

$$\text{det}(\mathcal{R}\Gamma^*(V_0)) \text{det}(\mathbb{R}\Gamma^*(V_2)) \text{det}^*(\mathcal{R}\Gamma^*(V_1^t)) \cong \mathbb{C},$$

which at $t = 1$ corresponds under $\varphi_{V_0 \oplus V_2}(\varphi_{V_1}^{-1})$ to having having representatives of $\sigma_{V_0} \oplus \sigma_{V_2} = \sigma_{V_0 \oplus V_2}$ and $\sigma_{V_1}$ which commute with $d_0 \oplus d_1^* : (V_0 \oplus V_2)A^{0,\text{even}} \rightarrow V_1 \otimes A^{0,\text{even}}$ and $d_0 \oplus d_1^* : (V_0 \oplus V_2)A^{0,\text{odd}} \rightarrow V_1 \otimes A^{0,\text{odd}}$ and using functoriality 3.14. By Proposition 3.27 there is a natural element $\varphi' \in \text{Iso}(L, I, 0)$ such that $\varphi'|_1$ correspond to this. Deforming the metric back into the the one that we have started with, we obtain the result. $\square$

The exact complexes (4.26) give us the isomorphisms (4.23) restricted to $[E, F, \varphi]$, such that after applying (4.28) and using Lemma 4.19 these correspond to the transport along the determinants of the following global excision $I$-families.

$$\begin{align*}
(\sigma_{\text{End}(E) \oplus \text{End}(F)} \oplus \sigma_{\text{End}(E)(D_i)} \oplus \sigma_{K_{s_i}} \oplus \sigma_{\text{End}(E)}, d_0^0 \oplus (d_1^1)^*, d_0^0 \oplus (d_1^1)^*)^0, \\
(\sigma_{\text{End}(E) \oplus \text{End}(F)} \oplus \sigma_{\text{End}(E)(D_i)} \oplus \sigma_{K_{s_i}} \oplus \sigma_{\text{End}(F)}, d_0^0 \oplus (d_1^1)^*, d_0^0 \oplus (d_1^1)^*)^0.
\end{align*}$$

Expressing the isomorphism as transport along complex line bundles will be useful in the next section, where we will fit it into an $I^2$-family and deform it.
4.5 Main part of the proof

Here we give the main part of the proof of Theorem 2.23. We first begin by constructing an \( I^2 \)-excision family of symbols. For a holomorphic vector bundle \( V \), we denote by \( V \) the sheaf of its holomorphic section as well as the corresponding complex vector bundle. We continue using the simplified notation from Remark 4.13.

Recall from Remark 4.10 that we have the isomorphism \( \phi_i : E|_{T_i} \rightarrow F|_{T_i} \) such that \( \phi_i|_{D_i} = \phi|_{D_i} \) at the point \( [E, F, \phi] \) in \( T_X \times T_{\text{per}}^{\mathbb{R}}, T_X \). We will again restrict our attention to a single step as in the previous section. We will use the notation \( V = \text{End}(E), W = \text{End}(F) \).

Consider the induced isomorphisms \( \Phi_i : V|_{T_i} \rightarrow W|_{T_i} \). First, we need to construct \( \Phi_{K_i} : K_i|_{T_i} \rightarrow K_i|_{T_i} \), which will remove the contributions of \( K_i \) from \( T_i \) such that it commutes with all other maps.

We have the following diagrams, where the second one follows from the first one using the octahedral axiom in \( D^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \) and the triangles are distinguished.

From the second diagram, we have the short exact sequences

\[
0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow K_i \rightarrow W(D_i) \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow K_i \rightarrow W(D_i) \rightarrow 0. \tag{4.30}
\]

The defining exact sequence for \( K_i \) is

\[
0 \rightarrow K_i \rightarrow V(D_i) \oplus W(D_i) \rightarrow V(D_i)|_{D_i} \rightarrow 0.
\]

Restricting it to \( D_i \), we have to complete by \( V(D_i) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_D, \mathcal{O}_D) = V|_{D_i} \) on the left, to get the exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow V|_{D_i} \rightarrow K_i|_{D_i} \rightarrow V(D_i)|_{D_i} \oplus W(D_i)|_{D_i} \rightarrow V(D_i)|_{D_i} \rightarrow 0. \tag{4.31}
\]

We get the same sequence also by replacing the terms \( V|_{D_i} \) on the left and right by \( W|_{D_i} \). Projecting \( V(D_i)|_{D_i} \oplus W(D_i)|_{D_i} \rightarrow V(D_i)|_{D_i} \) and \( V(D_i)|_{D_i} \oplus W(D_i)|_{D_i} \rightarrow W(D_i)|_{D_i} \), we obtain the restriction of \( (4.30) \) to \( D_i \). Now the following diagram is commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rightarrow & K_i \rightarrow V(D_i) \oplus W(D_i) \rightarrow V(D_i)|_{D_i} \rightarrow 0 \\
\downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \text{id} \\
0 & \rightarrow & K_i \rightarrow V(D_i) \oplus W(D_i) \rightarrow V(D_i)|_{D_i} \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
\]
which induces \( \mathcal{V}|_{D_i} \xrightarrow{-\Phi_i|_{D_i}} \mathcal{W}|_{D_i} \) on the left most non-vanishing terms of (4.31) after restriction. In particular, we obtain the following commutative diagram of short exact sequences:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{W}|_{D_i} & \rightarrow & K_{s_i}|_{D_i} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{V}(D_i)|_{D_i} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{-\Phi_i^{-1}|_{D_i}} & & \downarrow{id} & & \downarrow{\Phi_i|_{D_i}} & & & & \\
0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{V}|_{D_i} & \rightarrow & K_{s_i}|_{D_i} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{W}(D_i)|_{D_i} & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

(4.32)

Now that we understand \( K_{s_i} \) restricted to \( D_i \), we can construct the appropriate \( \Phi_{K_{s_i}} \).

**Definition 4.20.** We first split the short exact sequence \( 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow K_{s_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(D_i) \rightarrow 0 \) as a sequence of smooth vector bundles, where we denote the corresponding retraction \( r_E : K_{s_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \). The map \( f_{s_i} : \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W} \rightarrow K_{s_i} = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W}(D_i) \) has the form \( \begin{pmatrix} \text{id}_\mathcal{V} & -a \\ 0 & s_i \end{pmatrix} \), where from (4.32), we see that \( a|_{D_i} = \Phi_i^{-1} \). We can restrict to a sufficiently small tubular neighborhood \( T_i \) such that \( a|_{T_i} \) is invertible and satisfies the condition in Definition 3.23. As the choice of \( \Phi_i \) on \( T_i \), such that \( \Phi_i|_{D_i} = \Phi|_{D_i} \) is contractible, we can replace \( \Phi_i^{-1} \) with \( a \). Now on \( T_i \), we define the splitting of

\[
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}|_{T_i} \rightarrow K|_{T_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(D_i)|_{T_i} \rightarrow 0
\]

by setting the retraction \( r_F : K_{s_i}|_{T_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}|_{T_i} \) to be \( r_F = (-\Phi_i) \circ r_E \). We define \( \Phi_{K_{s_i}} : K_{s_i}|_{T_i} \rightarrow K_{s_i}|_{T_i} \) as the composition

\[
K_{s_i}|_{T_i} \cong \mathcal{W}|_{T_i} \oplus \mathcal{V}(D_i)|_{T_i} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_i & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi_i^{-1} \end{pmatrix}} \mathcal{V}|_{T_i} \oplus \mathcal{W}(D_i)|_{T_i} \cong K_{s_i}|_{T_i}.
\]

**Lemma 4.21.** On \( T_i \) the sum \( \cos(t)\Phi_{K_{s_i}} + i\sin(t)\text{id}|_{K_{s_i}} \) is an automorphism of \( K_{s_i}|_{T_i} \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \).

**Proof.** From the choice of splittings in Definition 4.20 it follows that \( \text{id}|_{K_{s_i}} : \mathcal{W}|_{D_i} \oplus \mathcal{V}(D_i)|_{D_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}|_{D_i} \oplus \mathcal{W}(D_i)|_{D_i} \) corresponds to \( \begin{pmatrix} -\Phi_i^{-1}|_{D_i} & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi_i|_{D_i} \end{pmatrix} \). We can express \( f_{s_i} : \mathcal{V}|_{T_i} \oplus \mathcal{W}|_{T_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}|_{T_i} \oplus \mathcal{V}(D_i)|_{T_i} \) as \( \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_i & \text{id}_\mathcal{W} \\ s_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \) on \( T_i \). Outside of \( D_i \), we get

\[
\text{id}|_{K_{s_i}} = f_{s_i} \circ f_{s_i}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{id} & -\Phi_i^{-1} \\ 0 & s_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_i^{-1} \\ \Phi_i & \text{id} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Phi_i^{-1} & 0 \\ s_i & \Phi_i \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The map \( \cos(t)\Phi_{K_{s_i}} + i\sin(t)\text{id}|_{K_{s_i}} \) is then given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix} e^{-it\Phi_i^{-1}} & 0 \\ s_i e^{it\Phi_i} \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{W}|_{D_i} \oplus \mathcal{W}(D_i)|_{D_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}|_{D_i} \oplus \mathcal{W}(D_i)|_{D_i},
\]

which is invertible. 

The following proposition implies Theorem 2.23.

**Proposition 4.22.** The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle $(O^{\partial_{\infty}})^{top}$ from (2.27) is trivializable. Moreover, there is a canonical isomorphism of strong $H$-principal $\mathbb{Z}$-bundles

$$\mathcal{Y}^{\partial_{\infty}}_{\text{top}} : (\Delta^{top})^* ((O^{\partial_{\infty}})^{top}) \cong \Lambda^* (D_O) = \Lambda^* \circ \Upsilon^* \circ G^*_Y (D_O (\tilde{Y}))$$

from (4.1) and a canonical isomorphism of strong $H$-principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles

$$\mathcal{Y}^\infty : ((O^{\partial_{\infty}})^{top} \cong \Gamma^* (D_O^C)$$

for the given extension data $\infty$.

**Proof.** We construct here an isotopy between $(\Delta^{top})^* ((O^{\partial_{\infty}})^{top})$ from Proposition/Definition 2.20 and the real structure on the Dolbeault operators obtained by excising as complex operators around $D_{\text{NC}}$ and using $\#_1, \#_2$ from Definition 3.14. Then we construct for each $[E, F, \phi] \in \mathcal{T}_X \times_{\tau_{\text{NC}}} \mathcal{T}_X$ a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle $O \to I$, such that

$$O|_0 \cong (\Delta^{top})^* ((O^{\partial_{\infty}})^{top})|_{[E, F, \phi]}, \quad O|_1 \cong \Lambda^* (D_O)|_{[E, F, \phi]},$$

where the isomorphisms are canonical and the constructions all work in compact families.

We have the following commutative diagram of exact sequences of complex vector bundles on $T_i$:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & V|_{T_i} \oplus W|_{T_i} & d^0_\epsilon & K_{s_i}|_{T_i} \oplus V|_{T_i} & d^1_\epsilon & \rightarrow & V(D_i)|_{T_i} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & \Phi_{s_i} & & \Phi_i & & \\
0 & \rightarrow & V|_{T_i} \oplus W|_{T_i} & d^0_\rho & K_{s_i}|_{T_i} \oplus W|_{T_i} & d^1_\rho & \rightarrow & W(D_i)|_{T_i} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\end{array}
$$

In the notation of Definition 3.29, we now have:

$$p^+ = \sigma_{V \oplus W \oplus V(D_i)}, \quad p^- = \sigma_{V \oplus W \oplus W(D_i)}, \quad q^+ = \sigma_{K_{s_i} \oplus V}, \quad q^- = \sigma_{K_{s_i} \oplus W},$$

$$\mu_0 = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\Phi_i & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \Phi_{s_i}^{-1} \\
0 & \Phi_i & 0 \\
\end{array} \right) = \mu_1, \quad \nu_0 = \Phi_{K_{s_i}} \oplus \Phi_i = \nu_1,$$

$$\kappa_0 = d^0_\rho \oplus (d^1_\rho)^* = \kappa_1, \quad \lambda_0 = d^0_F \oplus (d^1_F)^* = \lambda_1.$$

Choosing small $\epsilon_1 > \epsilon_2$, we get $(1 - \epsilon_1)T_i \subset (1 - \epsilon_2)T_2 \subset T_i$. We set $U_i = T_i$, $V_i = \tilde{X} \setminus (1 - \epsilon_1)\tilde{T}_i$ and $K = \tilde{X} \setminus (1 - \epsilon_2)\tilde{T}_i$ as in Definition 3.29. We then make a contractible choice of $\chi_{U_i}$ and $\chi_{V_i}$. Deforming $t \mapsto t \chi_{U_i} = \chi_{U_i}^t$, we obtain an $I$-family of excision $I_2$-families

$$t \mapsto \mathcal{F}_t = \left( \begin{array}{c}
p^+, p^-, \mu_0, \mu_1 \\
q^+, q^-, \nu_0, \nu_1 \\
\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \lambda_0, \lambda_1 \\
\end{array} \right)_{\chi_{U_i}^t, \chi_{V_i}^t}$$
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Here we use for a given excision $I^2$-family the notation from Corollary \[3.31\]. At $t = 0$, transport along $L_3(F_0) \cup L_4(F_0)$ coincides with the transport along \[4.29\]. The transport along $L_1(F_1) \cup L_2(F_1)$ is naturally isotopic to this by Corollary \[3.31\] iii. Moreover, as $\chi_{V_i} = 0$ near $D_i$ we can deform $d^E_i$, $d^F_i$, $d^1_F$ (see \[4.27\]) through the family of isomorphisms

$$
t \mapsto \left( f_{si}, \frac{t \pi_{V_i}(D_i) \circ i_{si}}{s_i}, \frac{f_{si}}{s_i} \right).
$$

This ends up splitting the $I_2$-excision family into two.

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_{V \oplus W}, \sigma_{V \oplus W} & 0 & \Phi_i^{-1} \\
\Phi_i & 0 & \Phi_i^{-1} \\
\Phi_{K_{si}}, \Phi_{K_{si}} & f_{si}, f_{si}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\chi_{V_i}, \chi_{V_i} \\
\chi_{V_i}, \chi_{V_i} \\
\chi_{V_i}, \chi_{V_i}
\end{pmatrix}
\oplus
\begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_{V(D_i)}, \sigma_{W(D_i)} & \Phi_i, \Phi_i \\
\sigma_{V}, \sigma_{W}, & f_{si}, f_{si} \\
s_i, s_i, & s_i, s_i
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\chi_{V_i}, \chi_{V_i} \\
\chi_{V_i}, \chi_{V_i} \\
\chi_{V_i}, \chi_{V_i}
\end{pmatrix}
\tag{4.34}
\]

We will show that the first $I_2$-family has no contribution to the transport isomorphism, while the transport along the second one composes over all steps into an isomorphism which together with $\#_1, \#_2$ from \[3.14\] corresponds to the real structure of a compactly supported real pseudo-differential operator constructed from the positive Dirac operator on $X$. Let us use $\sigma_A$ to denote the first term and $\sigma_B$ for the second one.

We have the following deformation through isomorphisms:

\[
I \ni t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}
\sin(t) & \cos(t) \Phi_i^{-1} \\
\cos(t) \Phi_i & \sin(t)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where the second one follows from Lemma \[4.21\].

Deforming the isomorphisms in $\sigma_A$ and then applying Corollary \[3.31\] iv., we express the transport along $L_1(\sigma_A) \cup L_2(\sigma_A)$ as the transport along $L_3(\sigma'_A) \cup L_4(\sigma'_A)$ for the family

\[
\sigma'_A = \begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_{V \oplus W}, \sigma_{K_{si}} & f_{si}, f_{si} \\
\sigma_{V \oplus W}, \sigma_{K_{si}}, f_{si}, f_{si} \\
id, id, -id, -id
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\chi_{V_i}, \chi_{V_i} \\
\chi_{V_i}, \chi_{V_i} \\
\chi_{V_i}, \chi_{V_i}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

now replacing $\chi_{V_i}$ with 0 and using Remark \[3.32\] to rotate $\pm id$ to id, we see from Corollary \[3.31\] ii. that the transport along $L_3(\sigma'_A) \cup L_4(\sigma'_A)$ corresponds to \[3.14\] on $\sigma_{V \oplus W}$ and $\sigma_{K_{si}}$ using identity.

Therefore after using Corollary \[3.31\] iii. the isomorphisms \[4.22\] is naturally isotopic to

\[
\det(\text{Ext}^*(E, E(D_i))) \det^*(\text{Ext}^*(E, E)) \det(\text{Ext}(F, F)) \det^*(\text{Ext}(F, F(D_i))) \cong \det(\text{Ext}(E, E(D_i))) \det^*(\text{Ext}(F, F)) \det^*(\text{Ext}(F, F(D_i))) \cong \mathbb{C},
\]

where the second isomorphism is obtained from the transport along $L_1(\sigma_B) \cup L_2(\sigma_B)$ for the excision $I^2$-family $\sigma_B$.  
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Recall from Remark 4.10 that we can deform $\phi_i$ into $\tilde{\phi}$ on $T_i$ and therefore $\Phi_i$ to the corresponding $\Phi : \mathcal{V}|_T \to \mathcal{W}|_T$. We set $U = \bigcup_i U_i$, $V = \bigcap_i V_i$, $K = \bigcap_i K_i$. Choosing $\chi_U, \chi_V$, we can interpolate for each $i$ between these and $\chi_U, \chi_V$. We obtain the transport along $L_1(\sigma_{\text{main}}) \cup L_2(\sigma_{\text{main}})$ for the family

$$\sigma_{\text{main}} = \begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_Y, \sigma_W, & \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi} \\
\sigma_{Y(D_i)}, \sigma_{W(D_i)}, & \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi} \\
s_i, s_i, & s_i, s_i
\end{pmatrix}$$

which by Corollary 3.31 i. corresponds to transporting along the $I$-families $(\sigma_Y, \sigma_W, \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi})^\chi_Y$ and $(\sigma_Y(D_i), \sigma_W(D_i), \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi})^\chi_Y$ and then identifying the compactly supported symbols using $s_i \oplus s_i : \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{V}(D_i) \oplus \mathcal{W}(D_i)$. Combining the single steps we considered above in the right order with $s_{i,k}, t_{j,l}$ specified by $\triangleright$, we see that the isomorphism (we label here the adjoint of (2.25) the same way)

$$\tau_{\chi|[E,F,\phi]} : \det(\Ext(E,E)) \det^*(\Ext(E,E(K_X))) \det^*(\Ext(F,F)) \det(\Ext(F,F(K_X))) \cong \mathbb{C}$$

is expressed up to natural isotopies depending only on the extension data $\triangleright$ as

$$\det(\sigma_Y) \det^*(\sigma_{Y(K_X)}) \det^*(\sigma_W) \det(\sigma_{W(K_X)}) \cong \det(\sigma_Y, \sigma_W, \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi})^\chi_Y \det^*(\sigma_Y(K_X), \sigma_W(K_X), \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi})^\chi_Y$$

where the first isomorphism corresponds to transporting along $(\sigma_Y, \sigma_W, \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi})^\chi_Y$ and $(\sigma_Y(K_X), \sigma_W(K_X), \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi})^\chi_Y$. Choosing

$$\alpha \in \text{Iso}(\det(\sigma_Y, \sigma_W, \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi})^\chi_Y, I, 1), \quad \beta \in \text{Iso}(\det(\sigma_Y(K_X), \sigma_W(K_X), \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi})^\chi_Y, I, 1).$$

we obtain for each $t$ the isomorphisms

$$\det(\sigma_Y, \sigma_W, \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi})^\chi_Y \cong \det(\sigma_Y, \sigma_W, \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi})^\chi_Y$$

$$\cong \det(\sigma_Y(K_X), \sigma_W(K_X), \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi})^\chi_Y \cong \det(\sigma_Y(K_X), \sigma_W(K_X), \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi})^\chi_Y. \quad (4.36)$$

From Definition 3.14 we see that $\#_1^\text{op}$, $\#_2^\text{op}$ commutes with the above symbols as it commutes with the corresponding degree 1 symbols and $\tilde{\Phi}$ is unitary. Using this, the last term in (4.37) becomes isomorphic to $\det^*(\sigma_Y, \sigma_W, \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi})^\chi_Y$. Thus for each $t$, we have a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-torsor $O_t$ after applying Definition 2.6 to (1.37).

We claim that $O_{1|1} \cong \Lambda^*(D_{\mathcal{O}})|_{[E,F,\phi]}$. To see this note that

$$\det(\sigma_Y, \sigma_W, \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi})^\chi_Y \cong \det^*(\sigma_Y, \sigma_W, \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi})^\chi_Y$$

obtained by composing the action of $\omega$ and $\#_1^\text{op}$, $\#_2^\text{op}$ coincides with the real structure in (3.9) on $X$ Calabi–Yau. Let

$$[\tilde{E}, \tilde{F}, \tilde{\phi}] = G_Y \circ \Upsilon \circ \Lambda([E,F,\phi]),$$
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and $\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}$ be the associated principal $U(n)$-bundles to $\tilde{E}, \tilde{F}$. Then $D_O|_{[\tilde{E}, \tilde{F}, \phi]} = \text{or}(\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{P}}^{\text{ad}(\tilde{P})}) \otimes Z_2 \text{or}(\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Q}}^{\text{ad}(\tilde{Q})})$, where we choose in families $\nabla_{\tilde{P}}$ and $\nabla_{\tilde{Q}}$ as partial connections in the direction $\tilde{Y}$. We denote by $\sigma_{\text{ad}(\tilde{P})}$ the degree 0 symbol associated to $\text{id}_{\pi^* \text{ad}(\tilde{P})} \otimes \sigma(\tilde{D}_+)$, where $\tilde{D}_+$ is the positive Dirac operator on $\tilde{Y}$. Then by excision from Definition 3.33 we have the natural isomorphism

$$\text{or}(\sigma_{\text{ad}(\tilde{P})}) \otimes Z_2 \text{or}(\sigma_{\text{ad}(\tilde{Q})}) \cong \text{or}(\sigma_{\text{ad}(\tilde{P})}, \sigma_{\text{ad}(\tilde{Q})}, \tilde{\Phi}), \tilde{\Phi}) \chi_{\tilde{V}} \cong O_1.$$ 

Using (4.36), we see that the real structures used to define $O|_0$ and $(\Delta^{\text{top}})^* (O^{\text{d}})|_{[E,F,\phi]}$ are naturally isotopic for the given extension data $\nabla$, therefore, we obtain a canonical isomorphism

$$(\Delta^{\text{top}})^* (O^{\text{d}})|_{[E,F,\phi]} \cong O|_0,$$

giving us

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{cb}} : \Lambda^*(D_O) \cong (\Delta^{\text{top}})^* ((O^{\text{d}})^{\text{top}}). \quad (4.38)$$

In particular, $(\Delta^{\text{top}})^* ((O^{\text{d}})^{\text{top}})$ trivializable and using Proposition 4.7 it is strong $H$-principal. To show that (4.38) is an isomorphism of strong $H$-principal $Z_2$-bundles, consider the point $[E_1 \oplus E_2, F_1 \oplus F_2, \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2]$. We get the additional terms $\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2), \text{Hom}(E_2, E_1)$ $\text{Hom}(F_1, F_2)$ and $\text{Hom}(F_2, F_1)$ which cancel out as shown in the proof of Proposition 4.7. In construction of the $H$-principal structure of $D_O(\hat{Y})$, one uses the complex structure of the off-diagonal entries in [46, Example 2.11]. Using a similar procedure to the one we used for identification of real structure, these two cancellations can be identified. The signs in Lemma 3.13 and Lemma 4.4 and in the construction of $\phi^{\text{d}} : O^{\text{d}} \otimes O^{\text{d}} \to \mu^* \mathcal{M}(O^{\text{d}})$ were chosen so that (4.38) is a strong $H$-principal isomorphism of $Z_2$-bundles. This can be shown using that the transport along complex determinant line bundles behaves well with respect to direct sums as stated in Remark 3.24. Using Proposition 3.9 (i) and (ii), we obtain from (4.38) the canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{cb}} : \Gamma^*(D_O) \cong (O^{\text{d}})^{\text{top}}.$$ 

5 Orientation groups for non-compact Calabi–Yau 4-folds

In this final section, we describe the behavior of orientations under direct sums. We recall the notion of orientation group from [46] and formulate the equivalent version of [46, Theorem 2.27] for the non-compact setting, where we replace $K$-theory with compactly supported $K$-theory. For background on compactly supported cohomology theories, see Spanier [75], Ranicki–Roe [69, §2]. From the algebraic point of view, see the discussion in Joyce–Song [45, §6.7] and Fulton [31, p. 18.1].
5.1 Orientation on compactly supported K-theory

In Definition 4.12 we define the strong H-principal \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundle \( D^0_C \rightarrow C_\bar{X} \times_{C_{DNC}} C_\bar{X} \). We first describe its commutativity rules as in [46, Definition 2.22].

**Definition 5.1.** Let \( \mu_C : C_\bar{X} \times_{C_{DNC}} C_\bar{X} \times C_\bar{X} \times_{C_{DNC}} C_\bar{X} \rightarrow C_\bar{X} \times_{C_{DNC}} C_\bar{X} \) be the summation map and

\[
\tau : D^0_C \otimes D^0_C \rightarrow \mu_C(D^0_C)
\]

(5.1)

be the isomorphism of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundles on \( C_\bar{X} \times_{C_{DNC}} C_\bar{X} \times C_\bar{X} \times_{C_{DNC}} C_\bar{X} \), which makes \( (D^0_C, \tau) \) into a strong H-principal \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundle.

We recall the notion of Euler-form as defined in Joyce–Tanaka–Upmeier [46, Definition 2.22] for real elliptic differential operators.

**Definition 5.2.** Let \( X \) be a smooth compact manifold, \( E_0, E_1 \) vector bundles on \( X \) and \( P : E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \) a real or complex elliptic differential operator as in §3.3. Let \( E, F \rightarrow X \) be complex vector bundles, the Euler form \( \chi_P : K^0(X) \times K^0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) is defined by

\[
\chi_P([E], [F]) = \text{ind}(\sigma(P) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}^{*}(\text{Hom}(E,F)))
\]

together with bi-additivity of \( \chi_P \), where for a vector bundle \( V \), we use \([V] \in K^0(X)\) for its K-theory class and \( \text{ind}(–) \) denotes the complex index of a complex operator. We used the notation from §3.7 for symbols of operators. In particular, if \( X \) is spin and \( P = \bar{\theta}_+ \), we write \( \chi^X_X := \chi_{\bar{\theta}} \). Similarly, if \( X \) is a complex manifold and \( D = \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^* : A^{0,\text{even}} \rightarrow A^{0,\text{odd}} \) is the Dolbeault operator, then we use \( \chi_X := \chi_{\bar{\partial}} \).

Recall also that for a smooth complex projective variety \( X \), we have \( K^0(X) \cong K_0(X) \), where \( K_0(X) \) is the Grothendieck group associated to \( D^b\text{Coh}(X) \). Let \( \chi^\text{alg}_X : K_0(X) \times K_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) be defined by

\[
\chi^\text{alg}_X(E, F) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \text{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Ext}^i(E, F)),
\]

then under \( K^0(X) \cong K_0(X) \), we have \( \chi_X = \chi^\text{alg}_X \).

**Proposition 5.3.** Let \( i_1, i_2 : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X} \cup_{D_{NC}} \bar{X} \) be the inclusions of the two copies of \( \bar{X} \).

Let \( \gamma(\alpha, \beta) \in K^0(\bar{X} \cup_{D_{NC}} \bar{X}) \) denote a K-theory class, such that \( i^*_1(\gamma(\alpha, \beta)) = \alpha \) and \( i^*_2(\gamma(\alpha, \beta)) = \beta \). We have the bijection

\[
\pi_0(C_{\bar{X}} \times_{C_{DNC}} C_{\bar{X}}) = K^0(\bar{X} \cup_{D_{NC}} \bar{X}).
\]

Let \( C_{\gamma(\alpha, \beta)} \) be the components corresponding to \( \gamma(\alpha, \beta) \), \( D^0_C|_{\gamma(\alpha, \beta)} \) the restriction of \( D^0_C \) to it, and

\[
\tau_{\gamma_1(\alpha_1, \beta_1), \gamma_2(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} : D^0_C|_{\gamma_1(\alpha_1, \beta_1)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D^0_C|_{\gamma_2(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} \rightarrow \mu_C(D^0_C|_{\gamma_1(\alpha_1, \beta_1)+\gamma_2(\alpha_2, \beta_2)})
\]
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the restriction of the isomorphism \( \tau \) in \([5.1]\). Then the following equation holds:

\[
\tau_{\gamma_2(\alpha,\beta_2), \gamma_1(\alpha,\beta_1)} = (-1) \left( \chi_\hat{X}(\alpha,\alpha_1) - \chi_\hat{X}(\beta_1,\beta_1) \right) \left( \chi_\hat{X}(\alpha_2,\alpha_2) - \chi_\hat{X}(\beta_2,\beta_2) \right) + \chi_\hat{X}(\alpha,\alpha_2) - \chi_\hat{X}(\beta_1,\beta_2)
\]

\[
\tau_{\gamma_1(\alpha,\beta_1), \gamma_2(\alpha,\beta_2)}.
\]

(5.2)

Suppose a choice of orientation \( o_\gamma(\alpha,\beta) : \mathbb{Z}_2 \to D^c_\Omega(\gamma(\alpha,\beta)) \) is given for each \( \gamma(\alpha,\beta) \in K^0(\hat{X} \cup_{D^c_{NC}} \hat{X}) \), then define \( \epsilon_{\gamma_1(\alpha,\beta_1), \gamma_2(\alpha,\beta_2)} \in \{-1, 1\} \) by

\[
\epsilon_{\gamma_1(\alpha,\beta_1), \gamma_2(\alpha,\beta_2)}(o_{\gamma_1(\alpha,\beta_1)} \boxtimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} o_{\gamma_2(\alpha,\beta_2)}) = \epsilon_{\gamma_1(\alpha,\beta_1), \gamma_2(\alpha,\beta_2)} o_{\gamma_1(\alpha,\beta_1) + \gamma_2(\alpha,\beta_2)}.
\]

These signs solve:

\[
\epsilon_{\gamma_2(\alpha,\beta_2), \gamma_1(\alpha,\beta_1)} = (-1) \left( \chi_\hat{X}(\alpha,\alpha_1) - \chi_\hat{X}(\beta_1,\beta_1) \right) \left( \chi_\hat{X}(\alpha_2,\alpha_2) - \chi_\hat{X}(\beta_2,\beta_2) \right) + \chi_\hat{X}(\alpha,\alpha_2) - \chi_\hat{X}(\beta_1,\beta_2)
\]

\[
\epsilon_{\gamma_1(\alpha,\beta_1), \gamma_2(\alpha,\beta_2)}.
\]

Let \( (M_\gamma(\alpha,\beta))^{\text{top}} = \Gamma^{-1}(C_\gamma(\alpha,\beta)), \quad (O_{\gamma(\alpha,\beta)}^{\text{top}})^{\text{top}} = (O_{\gamma(\alpha,\beta)}^{\text{top}}|_{(M_\gamma(\alpha,\beta))^{\text{top}}})^{\text{top}} \) and

\[
o_{\gamma(\alpha,\beta)}^{\text{alg}} = \mathcal{F}_{\gamma(\alpha,\beta)}(\Gamma^{*}(o_{\gamma(\alpha,\beta)}))
\]

the orientations on \( (O_{\gamma(\alpha,\beta)}^{\text{top}})^{\text{top}} \) obtained using \( \mathcal{F}_{\gamma} \) from \([2.28]\). Let

\[
\tau_{\gamma_2(\alpha,\beta_2), \gamma_1(\alpha,\beta_1)}(o_{\gamma_2(\alpha,\beta_2)}^{\text{alg}} \boxtimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} o_{\gamma_2(\alpha,\beta_2)}^{\text{alg}}) = (\phi_{\gamma(\alpha,\beta)})^{\text{top}}|_{(M_\gamma(\alpha,\beta))^{\text{top}} \times (M_\gamma(\alpha,\beta))^{\text{top}}},
\]

where \( \phi_{\gamma(\alpha,\beta)} \) is from Proposition \( [4.7] \). Then they satisfy

\[
\tau_{\gamma_1(\alpha,\beta_1), \gamma_2(\alpha,\beta_2)}(o_{\gamma_1(\alpha,\beta_1)}^{\text{alg}} \boxtimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} o_{\gamma_2(\alpha,\beta_2)}^{\text{alg}}) = \epsilon_{\gamma_1(\alpha,\beta_1), \gamma_2(\alpha,\beta_2)} o_{\gamma_1(\alpha,\beta_1) + \gamma_2(\alpha,\beta_2)}^{\text{alg}}.
\]

Proof. We first describe a version of the statement for \( (D^c_\Omega(\tilde{Y}), \tilde{\tau}) \), where this pair is a strong H-principal \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundle from Lemma \([4.4]\). Then using excision, this will follow for \( D^c_\Omega \).

One can express \( D^c_\Omega(\tilde{Y}) \) as a product of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-bundles \( p_1^{\text{alg}}(O^{\text{alg}}) \otimes p_2^{\text{alg}}(O^{\text{alg}})^{*} \) from Example \( [3.11] \), where \( C_\gamma^{\text{alg}} \xrightarrow{p_1} C_\gamma \times_{D^c_{NC}} C_\gamma \xrightarrow{p_2} C_\gamma \) are the projections. Using Definition \([3.10]\) and Lemma \([3.13]\) together with Joyce–Tanaka–Upmeier \([46, \text{p. 2.26}]\), one can show that for each \( \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\beta}_1), \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\beta}_1) \), we have the formula

\[
\tilde{\tau}_{\tilde{\gamma}_2(\tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{\beta}_2), \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\beta}_1)} = (-1) \left( \chi_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}_1) - \chi_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\beta}_1) \right) \left( \chi_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{\alpha}_2) - \chi_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{\beta}_2, \tilde{\beta}_2) \right) + \chi_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}_2) - \chi_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\beta}_2).
\]

(5.3)

Two points \([E^\pm, F^\pm, \phi^\pm]\) of \( \mathcal{V}_X \times \mathcal{V}_{\tilde{X}} \) map to \([\tilde{E}^\pm, \tilde{F}^\pm, \tilde{\phi}^\pm] \in \mathcal{V}_{\tilde{X}} \times \mathcal{V}_{\tilde{Y}} \mathcal{V}_{\tilde{Y}} \), as described in \([4.12]\). Using excision in \( \text{ind} \) and Definition \([5.2]\), one shows that

\[
\chi_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{E}^\pm, \tilde{F}^\pm, \tilde{\phi}^\pm) = \chi_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{E}^+), \tilde{F}^+, \tilde{\phi}^+) - \chi_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{E}^-, \tilde{F}^-, \tilde{\phi}^-) = \chi_X(\text{[}E^+\text{]}, \text{[}E^-\text{]}), \chi_X(\text{[}F^+\text{]}, \text{[}F^-\text{]}).
\]
Using group completion and biadditivity of $\chi$, we obtain
\[
\chi^R_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2) - \chi^R_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_2) = \chi_{\tilde{X}}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) - \chi_{\tilde{X}}(\beta_1, \beta_2),
\]
where $\tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\beta}_i$ are K-theory classes glued from $\alpha_i, \beta_i$ as in [4.12], from which we obtain (5.2) after applying it to (5.3).

To conclude the final statement of the proposition, one applies Proposition 4.22.

Recall from Definition 2.24 that we have the map $\Gamma_{\text{cs}}^X : (M_X)^{\text{top}} \to C_{X}^{\text{cs}}$. There exists a compactly supported Chern character which is an isomorphism
\[
\text{ch}_{\text{cs}} : K_{\text{cs}}^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \to H_{\text{cs}}^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \quad (5.4)
\]
of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded rings. We also have the Euler form on $H_{\text{cs}}^{\text{even}}(X, \mathbb{Q})$:
\[
\bar{\chi} : H_{\text{cs}}^{\text{even}}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \times H_{\text{cs}}^{\text{even}}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}
\]
\[
\bar{\chi}(a, b) = \deg (a \vee b \cdot \text{td}(T_{X}^*))_4. \quad (5.5)
\]
Combining (5.4) and (5.5), one gets
\[
\bar{\chi} : K_{\text{cs}}^0(X) \times K_{\text{cs}}^0(X) \to \mathbb{Z}. \quad (5.6)
\]

Note that, we have $\bar{\chi}(\alpha, \beta) = \chi_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})$, where for a class $\alpha \in K_{\text{cs}}^0(X)$, $\tilde{\alpha}$ denotes the class of $K^0(X)$ extended trivially to $D_{NC}$.

We can state the main result for comparison of signs under sums in non-compact Calabi–Yau 4-folds.

**Theorem 5.4.** Let $C_{\alpha}^{\text{cs}}$ denote the connected component of $C_X^{\text{cs}} = \text{Map}_{\text{cs}}^\ast((X^+, +), (BU \times \mathbb{Z}, 0))$ corresponding to $\alpha \in K_{\text{cs}}^0(X)$. Let $O_{\alpha}^{\text{cs}} \to C_{X}^{\text{cs}}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle from Definition 2.24 and Remark 2.25, and $O_{\alpha}^{\text{cs}} = O_{\alpha}^{\text{cs}}|_{C_{\alpha}^{\text{cs}}}$. Let $\tau_{\alpha}^{\text{cs}}$ denote the restriction of $\tau$ from (5.1) to $C_{\alpha}^{\text{cs}} \times C_{\alpha}^{\text{cs}}$ and $\tau_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{cs}} = \tau_{\alpha}^{\text{cs}}|_{O_{\alpha}^{\text{cs}} \times O_{\beta}^{\text{cs}}}$. Then the following is true for all $\alpha, \beta \in K_{\text{cs}}^0(X)$:
\[
\tau_{\beta, \alpha}^{\text{cs}} = (-1)^{\bar{\chi}(\alpha, \beta) + \bar{\chi}(\alpha, \beta)} \tau_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{cs}}. 
\]
Moreover, if orientations $o_{\alpha}^{\text{cs}} : \mathbb{Z}_2 \to O_{\alpha}^{\text{cs}}$ are chosen, for all $\alpha \in K_{\text{cs}}^0(X)$, then there are $\epsilon_{\alpha, \beta} \in \{-1, 1\}$, defined by
\[
\tau_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{cs}}(o_{\alpha}^{\text{cs}} \boxtimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} o_{\beta}^{\text{cs}}) = \epsilon_{\alpha, \beta} o_{\alpha + \beta}^{\text{cs}},
\]
such that they satisfy
\[
\epsilon_{\beta, \alpha} = (-1)^{\bar{\chi}(\alpha, \beta) + \bar{\chi}(\alpha, \beta)} \epsilon_{\alpha, \beta}.
\]
Let $(M_X)^{\text{top}} = (\Gamma^{\text{cs}})^{-1}(C_{\alpha}^{\text{cs}})$, $(O_{\alpha}^{\text{cs}})^{\text{top}} = (O_{\alpha}^{\text{cs}})^{\text{top}}|_{(M_X)^{\text{top}}}$, $O_{\alpha}^{\text{cs}} = \mathcal{J}_{X}^{\text{red}}((\Gamma^{\text{cs}})^{\ast}(o_{\alpha}^{\text{cs}}))$. 
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orientations of \((O^{\alpha})^{\top}\) given using \(\mathcal{Y}_X^\partial\) from Theorem 2.26 (see also Remark 2.27 and 2.28), then these satisfy
\[
\langle \phi_{\alpha,0}\beta \rangle^{\top} (\alpha_\alpha^{\top} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \alpha_\beta^{\top}) = \epsilon_{\alpha,0,\beta},
\]
where \((\phi_{\alpha,0,\beta})^{\top} = (\phi_{\alpha,0,\beta})^{\top}|_{(\mathcal{M}_X)_{\alpha}^{\top \times (\mathcal{M}_X)_{\beta}^{\top}}}^{\top}\) and \(\phi_{\alpha} : O^{\alpha} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} O^\omega \to \mu^{*}_{\mathcal{M}_X}(O^\omega)\) as in Example 7.12.

**Proof.** This follows immediately from Proposition 5.3 after setting \(\beta_1 = 0\) and \(\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2 = \beta\) together with using that the restriction of \(\phi^{\partial_{\omega}}\) to \(\mathcal{M}_X\) corresponds to \(\phi_{\alpha}\). 

We now discuss the orientation group from Joyce–Tanaka–Upmeier [46, Definition 2.26] applied to \(K_{\alpha}^0(X)\) instead of \(K^0(X)\) in our non-compact setting. Let \(X\) be an open Calabi-Yau and let \(O^{\cs} \to C^{\cs}\) as described in Theorem 5.4. The compactly supported orientation group is defined as
\[
\Omega^{\cs}_X(X) = \{(\alpha, o^{\cs}_\alpha) : \alpha \in K_{\cs}^0(X), o^{\cs}_\alpha \text{ orientation on } C^{\cs}_\alpha \}.
\]
The multiplication is given by
\[
(\alpha, o^{\cs}_\alpha) \ast (\beta, o^{\cs}_\beta) = (\alpha + \beta, o^{\cs}_{\alpha + \beta}),
\]
where \(o^{\cs}_{\alpha + \beta} = \tau^{\cs}_{\alpha,0,\beta}(o^{\cs}_\alpha \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} o^{\cs}_\beta)\). This defines a group structure. The orientation group is a principal \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle \(\Omega^{\cs}_X(X) \to K_{\cs}^0(X)\) and a choice of orientations on \(C^{\cs}\) corresponds to a choice of a trivialization of \(\Omega^{\cs}_X(X)\). Note that one always picks the preferred choice of \(o^{\cs}_0\), such that
\[
(0, o^{\cs}_0) \ast (0, o^{\cs}_0) = (0, o^{\cs}_0).
\]

Let us describe the method used in [46, Theorem 2.27] for extending orientation. One can choose an isomorphism
\[
K_{\cs}^0(X) \cong \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{j=1}^p \mathbb{Z}_{2^{p_j}} \times \prod_{k=1}^q \mathbb{Z}_{m_k},
\]
where \(m_k > 2\) odd and \(p_k > 0\). This isomorphism is equivalent to a choice of generators in \(K_{\cs}^0(X)\). Fixing a choice of isomorphism (5.8), choose orientation on each \(C^{\cs}_\alpha\), \(\alpha = (a_1, \ldots, a_p, (b_j)_{j=1}^p, (c_k)_{k=1}^q)\) where only one coefficient is 1 and the rest are zero. Use \(\tau^{\cs}\) to obtain orientations for all \(\alpha \in K_{\cs}^0(X)\) by adding generators going from left to right in the form \((a_1, \ldots, a_p, (b_j)_{j=1}^p, (c_k)_{k=1}^q)\) and using in each step
\[
o^{\cs}_{\alpha^g} = \tau^{\cs}(\alpha, g)(o^{\cs}_{\alpha^g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} o^{\cs}_g,
\]
where \(g\) is a generator. As a result we obtains the trivialization of the \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-bundle:
\[
\text{Or}(\mathcal{O}) : \Omega^{\cs}_X(X) \cong K_{\cs}^0(X) \times \{-1, 1\} \cong \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{j=1}^p \mathbb{Z}_{2^{p_j}} \times \prod_{k=1}^q \mathbb{Z}_{m_k} \times \{-1, 1\},
\]
where \(\mathcal{O}\) is the set of orientation on \(C^{\cs}_\alpha\) for the chosen generators \(\alpha\). Let \(\tilde{\chi}_{ij} := \tilde{\chi}(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)\), where \(\alpha_i\) is the generator with \(\alpha_i = 1\) and the rest of the coefficients zero. Joyce–Tanaka–Upmeier [46] prove the following.
Theorem 5.5. Let $Or(\mathfrak{o})$ be the isomorphism \((5.9)\) for a given choice of orientations $\mathfrak{o}$ on generators corresponding to the isomorphism \((5.8)\). Let $G$ be the 2-torsion subgroup of $K^0_{\text{cs}}(X)$. Then:

(i) Define the map $\Xi : G \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ as follows. For each $\gamma \in G$ and orientation $o_{\gamma}^{cs}$ on $C_{\gamma}^{cs}$ set

$$\gamma, o_{\gamma}^{cs} \ast (\gamma, o_{\gamma}^{cs}) = (0, \Xi(\gamma) \cdot o_{0}^{cs}),$$

where $o_{0}^{cs}$ is the preferred choice \((5.7)\). Then $\Xi$ is a group homomorphism.

(ii) Using $Or(\mathfrak{o})$ from \((5.9)\) to identify $\Omega_{cs}(X)$ with $\prod_{i=1}^{p} \mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{j=1}^{p} \mathbb{Z}_{2^p} \times \prod_{k=1}^{q} \mathbb{Z}_{m_0} \times \{-1, 1\}$ the induced group structure on the latter becomes

$$(a_1, \ldots, a_r, (b_j)^p_{j=1}, (c_k)^q_{k=1}, o) * (a'_1, \ldots, a'_r, (b'_j)^p_{j=1}, (c'_k)^q_{k=1}, o')$$

$$= (a_1 + a'_1, \ldots, a_r + a'_r, (b_j + b'_j)^p_{j=1}, (c_k + c'_k)^q_{k=1}, (-1)^{\sum_{1 \leq k < i \leq 1} (\bar{x}_k + \bar{x}_i)}a_k a_i \Xi(\gamma) \cdot o \cdot o'),$$

where $\gamma = (0, \ldots, 0, (\bar{b}_j)^p_{j=1}, (0)^q_{k=1})$ and $\bar{b}_j$ is defined as follows. For each $b_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^p}$ take the unique $0 \leq \bar{b}_j < 2^{p_j}$ such that $b_j = \lceil \bar{b}_j \rceil$. Do this also for $\bar{b}_j'$. Then $\bar{b}_j = 0$ if $b_j' + b_j' < 2^{p_j}$ and $\bar{b}_j = [2^{p_j} - 1]$ if $b_j' + b_j' \geq 2^{p_j}$.

By construction, the form in Theorem 5.5 depends on the choice of generators and the choice of orientations on their connected components. However, sometimes one can choose generators naturally. Moreover, if $\tilde{\chi} = 0$ and $G = \{0\}$, then we say that $Or(\mathfrak{o})$ is compatible under direct sums. In this case, we have

$$\tau_{a, b}^{cs}(o_{a}^{cs} \boxtimes o_{b}^{cs}) = o_{a+b}^{cs},$$

where $\{o_{a}^{cs}\}_{a \in K^0_{cs}(X)}$ are the choice of orientations determined by $Or(\mathfrak{o})$. We describe the simplest case when this is true.

Proposition 5.6. Let $X$ be such that $H_{cs}^{even}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by

$$\{ \text{ch}(E) : E \in \text{Ob}(\text{Coh}(X)), \text{dim}(\text{supp}(E)) \leq 1 \}$$

and $G = \{0\}$, then $Or(\mathfrak{o})$ is compatible under direct sums for any choice of orientations on generators $\mathfrak{o}$.

Example 5.7. On $\mathbb{C}^4$, we have $K^0_{\text{cs}}(\mathbb{C}^4) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and the natural generator is the K-theory class of a point sheaf $\mathcal{O}_x$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}^4$. Let $E \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a vector bundle, s.t. $rk(E) = 3$ and $\det(E) = K_X$, then $K^0_{\text{cs}}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and it is freely generated by the classes of $\mathcal{O}_x$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ for $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$. In both cases, we see that Proposition 5.6 holds. This is no longer the case for local $\mathbb{P}^2$. 
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