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Abstract. We study the real algebraic variety of real symmetric matrices with eigenvalue multiplicities determined by a partition. We present formulas for the dimension and Euclidean Distance Degree. We use representation theory to provide a rational parametrization. For small matrices, we provide equations; for larger matrices, we explain how to find equations. We describe the ring of invariants under the action of the special orthogonal group. For the subvariety of diagonal matrices, we give the Hilbert polynomial.

1. Introduction

Let \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m) \) be a partition of \( n \). Let \( \mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2} \) be the space of real symmetric \( n \times n \) matrices. We define the variety of \( \lambda \)-partitioned eigenvalues \( V_{\mathbb{R}}(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2} \) to be the Zariski closure of the locus of matrices with eigenvalue multiplicities determined by \( \lambda \). Since we take the Zariski closure, these varieties include all matrices with eigenvalue multiplicities determined by partitions of \( n \) that are coarser than \( \lambda \).

The space of real symmetric matrices has multiple advantages over the spaces of real square matrices, complex square matrices, and complex symmetric matrices. Unlike other real matrices, real symmetric matrices have all real eigenvalues. Additionally, the real symmetric case has better properties with respect to diagonalizability than complex square or complex symmetric matrices.

We illustrate these properties with the example of \( n = 2, \lambda = (2) \), the locus of \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices with coinciding eigenvalues.

Example 1.1. Complex \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices with the repeated eigenvalue \( \mu \) can have two Jordan normal forms. The first is diagonal and the second is not. For convenience, call a \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix with coinciding eigenvalues type A if its Jordan normal form (JNF) is diagonal and type B otherwise.

JNF of a Type A matrix : \( \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \) JNF of a Type B matrix : \( \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 1 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \)

We examine the dimensions of the loci of type A and type B matrices in three cases: complex square, complex symmetric, and real symmetric. In each case, the dimension of the locus of type A matrices is 1 because scalar matrices are fixed by conjugation. For complex square matrices, the dimension of the Type B locus is 3. For complex symmetric matrices, the dimension of the Type B locus is 2. Conjugating the JNF of a Type B matrix by any
invertible matrix of the form
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  a & b \\
  c & d \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
where \(a^2 + c^2 = 0\) yields a symmetric matrix with coinciding eigenvalues. In the case of real symmetric matrices, we consider the orbit of a matrix under the action of conjugation by the orthogonal group. This action preserves symmetry, so the orbit of the JNF of a Type B matrix does not include any symmetric matrices.

The significance of these dimensions is as follows. A generic real symmetric matrix with coinciding eigenvalues is diagonalizable and a generic complex square matrix or complex symmetric matrix with coinciding eigenvalues is not. Real symmetric matrices can be studied through their diagonal restrictions.

Matrices with repeated eigenvalues have been studied in contexts from geometry [3] to classical invariant theory and linear algebra [6, 7, 8, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20]. Recently, they have come to focus in the study of curvature of algebraic varieties [4]. The principal curvatures of a variety are the eigenvalues of the second fundamental form. Coincidences of eigenvalues correspond to geometric features; for example, on a surface, a point where the eigenvalues of the second fundamental form coincide is called an umbilic. At an umbilic the best second-order approximation of a surface is given by a sphere.

A matrix is called degenerate if its eigenvalues are not all distinct. The locus of such matrices is a variety defined by the matrix discriminant. As a polynomial in the eigenvalues, the matrix discriminant is the product of the squared differences of each pair of eigenvalues, and thus it is zero exactly when the eigenvalues are not distinct. To study the variety of degenerate matrices, one considers the discriminant as a polynomial in the entries of the matrix. In [18], Parlett gives an equation for the discriminant of a matrix in its entries by describing it as the determinant of another matrix.

In a refinement of the study of degenerate matrices, some authors [7, 8, 19] have studied matrices by their number of distinct eigenvalues. In this situation, the role of the matrix discriminant is played by the set of \(k\)-subdiscriminants. The 0-subdiscriminant is the usual matrix discriminant. An \(n \times n\) matrix has exactly \(n - k\) distinct eigenvalues if and only if subdiscriminants 0 through \(k - 1\) vanish and the \(k\)-subdiscriminant does not. In [19], Roy gives an explicit description of the \(k\)-subdiscriminant of the characteristic polynomial of a matrix \(A\) in terms of the entries of \(A\). Furthermore, she expresses the \(k\)-subdiscriminant as a sum of squares.

In [8], Domokos studies the variety of matrices with a bounded number of distinct eigenvalues from the perspective of invariant theory. This variety can be characterized by its invariance under the action of conjugation by the special orthogonal group on the space of symmetric matrices. He describes the minimal degree homogeneous component of the space of invariants of the variety of matrices with a bounded number of distinct eigenvalues.

The variety of \(\lambda\)-partitioned eigenvalues appears in [15], where Kozhasov proves that it is a minimal submanifold of the space of real symmetric \(n \times n\) matrices. A minimal submanifold of a Riemannian manifold is one with zero mean curvature vector field; this generalizes
the concept of surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ that locally minimize the area. The variety of $\lambda$-partitioned eigenvalues is also mentioned in [2], where Bik and Draisma analyze its properties with respect to distance optimization.

This paper further investigates the variety of $\lambda$-partitioned eigenvalues, with each section addressing a different aspect. In Section 2, we prove a formula for the dimension. In Section 3, we use representation theory to give a rational parametrization. In Section 4, we put this parametrization to work to find equations and the degree of this variety for small $n$. We explain how representation theory can be used to extend these calculations to larger $n$. We also describe the ring of $SO(n)$-invariants. In Section 5, we turn our study to the diagonal restriction, computing its Hilbert polynomial. We show how the diagonal restriction provides a good model for distance optimization questions regarding the variety of $\lambda$-partitioned eigenvalues, presenting a theorem of Bik and Draisma for its Euclidean Distance Degree.

2. Dimension

The dimension is an important invariant of any algebraic variety. We now give a formula for the dimension of the variety of $\lambda$-partitioned eigenvalues and show how it can be proved using the fact that this variety is the $O(n)$-orbit of a certain form of diagonal matrix.

**Theorem 2.1.** The real algebraic variety $V_\mathbb{R}(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2}$ of $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2}$ with eigenvalue multiplicities corresponding to the partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)$ of $n$ has dimension $m + \binom{n}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{\lambda_i}{2}$.

**Proof.** Every real symmetric matrix $A$ can be written in the form $A = PDP^{-1}$ where $P$ is a real orthogonal matrix and $D$ is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries equal to the eigenvalues of $A$. Choose $m$ distinct eigenvalues. Arrange the matrix $D$ so that repeated eigenvalues are grouped together along the diagonal. Scalar matrices commute with all matrices, so the scalar matrix block corresponding to each eigenvalue commutes with the corresponding blocks of $P$ and $P^{-1}$. Thus any matrix $P$ with orthogonal blocks for each eigenvalue stabilizes $D$. The dimension of the orthogonal group $O(n)$ is $\binom{n}{2}$. So the dimension of the block orthogonal stabilizer of $D$ is $\sum_{i=1}^m \binom{\lambda_i}{2}$. By Proposition 21.4.3 of [21], the dimension of the orbit of a fixed diagonal matrix is $\binom{n}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{\lambda_i}{2}$. Since there are $m$ choices of eigenvalues, the dimension of the variety of matrices with multiplicities corresponding to $\lambda$ is as stated. \[\square\]

Having a formula for the dimension of this variety will help us to find its equations in Section 4.

3. Parametrization

In this section, we describe a rational parametrization of the variety of $\lambda$-partitioned eigenvalues $V_\mathbb{R}(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2}$. Real symmetric matrices are diagonalizable by orthogonal matrices. The orthogonal group is parametrized by the set of skew-symmetric matrices. We
now describe briefly the representation theory that accounts for the parametrization. For an introduction to representation theory, see [11].

A **Lie group** $G$ is smooth manifold with a group structure such that the group operations of multiplication and taking inverses are smooth maps. A Lie group is a nonlinear object but for every Lie group there is an associated linear object $g$ called a **Lie algebra**. A Lie algebra is a vector space with an alternating bilinear map called the **Lie bracket**. The vector space underlying the Lie algebra $g$ associated to the Lie group $G$ is the tangent space to $G$ at the identity. This linear object holds much information about the Lie group. We consider in particular the exponential map

$$\exp: g \to G$$

corresponding to any pair of a Lie algebra $g$ and Lie group $G$. We refer the reader to [11] for a construction of the map. What is relevant to us is that the image of $\exp$ contains a neighborhood of the identity in $G$. If $G$ is connected, the elements of this neighborhood generate $G$ as a group. Thus from the exponential map we obtain a parametrization of $G$ by $g$.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)$ be a partition of $n$ and let $\text{Diag}(\lambda)$ be a diagonal $n \times n$ matrix with diagonal entries $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m$ where each entry $\mu_i$ appears with multiplicity $\lambda_i$. Let $B$ be a skew-symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. Let $I$ be the $n \times n$ identity matrix. The map

$$p: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

$$B \mapsto (I - B)(I + B)^{-1}\text{Diag}(\lambda)(I + B)(I - B)^{-1}$$

is a partial parametrization of $V_{\mathbb{R}}(\lambda)$.

**Proof.** The Lie group $G$ is the orthogonal group $O(n)$ of real $n \times n$ matrices and its corresponding Lie algebra is the set $\text{Skew}(n)$ of real skew-symmetric $n \times n$ matrices. The exponential map is

$$\exp: \text{Skew}(n) \to O(n)$$

$$B \mapsto (I - B)(I + B)^{-1}$$

where $I$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. A real symmetric matrix $A$ can be written in the form $A = PDP^{-1}$ where $P$ is an orthogonal matrix and $D$ is a diagonal matrix. The entries of $D$ are the eigenvalues of $A$. \hfill \Box

The map $\exp$ from $\text{Skew}(n)$ to $O(n)$ is known as the **Cayley transform**. See [1] for details. Its image is the set $SO(n)$ of special orthogonal matrices minus those with $-1$ as an eigenvalue. To obtain a fuller parametrization of $V_{\mathbb{R}}(\lambda)$, we use $\exp$ to parametrize $SO(n)$ and swap any two rows in the matrices in $SO(n)$ to obtain orthogonal matrices with determinant $-1$. The matrices excluded from this method form a subvariety of codimension 1 and thus can be ignored for most numerical calculations. We utilize such a parametrization in the next section.
4. Equations

In this section, we discuss how to find equations for the varieties $V_{\mathbb{R}}(\lambda)$. The case $n = 2$ was discussed in the introduction. For $n = 3$ and most partitions of $n = 4$, we use the parametrization from Section 3 to generate points on the variety and then use interpolation to find polynomials that vanish on these points. For larger $n$, the matrices used for interpolation become too large for both our symbolic and numerical methods. We discuss how representation theory can be used to make these computations more feasible. As a first step towards studying the relevant representations, we describe the ring of invariants.

In Examples 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, we analyze the varieties $V_{\mathbb{R}}(\lambda)$ where $\lambda$ is a partition of $n = 3$ or $n = 4$. By Theorem 2.1, we know the codimension of each variety. We generate points and use interpolation to find equations on those points. We use Macaulay 2 [12] to verify that these equations generate a prime ideal of the expected codimension. This confirms that we have indeed found enough equations to generate the desired ideal.

For $n = 4$ and $\lambda = (2, 1, 1)$, our interpolation code found no polynomials of degree less than or equal to 5. As this partition is just the case of degenerate $4 \times 4$ matrices, it has been studied by other authors. The ideal is of codimension 2, generated by the (unsquared) summands in a sum of squares representation of the matrix discriminant. Parlett provides an algorithm using determinants for computing this discriminant and writing it as a sum of many squares [18]. Domokos gives a nonconstructive proof that it can be written as a sum of 7 squares [6].

**Example 4.1.** Let $n = 3$ and $\lambda = (2, 1)$. We confirm the findings of other authors that this ideal is of codimension 2 and degree 4 [20]. It is generated by the following 7 cubic polynomials. The matrix discriminant is the sum of the squares of these polynomials.

\[
\begin{align*}
-x_{11}x_{13}x_{22} + x_{11}x_{13}x_{33} + x_{12}^2x_{13} - x_{12}x_{22}x_{23} + x_{12}x_{23}x_{33} - x_{13}^2x_{22} - x_{13}x_{22}x_{33} \\
-x_{12}^2x_{23} + x_{12}x_{13}x_{22} - x_{12}x_{13}x_{33} + x_{13}^2x_{23} \\
-x_{11}x_{13}x_{23} - x_{12}x_{13}x_{23} - x_{12}x_{22}x_{23} - x_{13}x_{22}x_{33} + x_{11}x_{22}x_{33} - x_{11}x_{22}x_{33} \\
-x_{11}x_{12}x_{22} + x_{11}x_{12}x_{33} - x_{11}x_{12}x_{33} - x_{12}^2x_{23} - x_{12}x_{22}x_{33} + x_{12}x_{22}x_{33} \\
-x_{12}^2x_{23} + x_{12}x_{13}x_{23} + x_{12}x_{13}x_{33} - x_{12}x_{13}x_{33} - x_{12}x_{22}x_{33} - x_{12}x_{22}x_{33} - x_{12}x_{22}x_{33} + x_{12}x_{22}x_{33} \\
x_{11}x_{12}x_{22} - x_{11}^2x_{23} - x_{11}^2x_{33} - x_{11}x_{22}x_{23} + x_{11}x_{22}x_{33} + x_{12}^2x_{23} - x_{12}x_{33} + x_{22}^2x_{33} - x_{22}x_{23} + x_{23}^2x_{33}
\end{align*}
\]

Example 4.2. Let $n = 4$ and $\lambda = (3, 1)$. The ideal is of codimension 5 and degree 8. It is generated by the following 10 quadrics:

\[
\begin{align*}
-x_{12}x_{24} + x_{13}x_{24} \\
-x_{12}x_{24} + x_{13}x_{24} + x_{14}x_{22} - x_{14}x_{33} \\
-x_{12}x_{24} + x_{14}x_{23} \\
x_{12}x_{33} - x_{12}x_{44} - x_{13}x_{23} + x_{14}x_{24} \\
-x_{12}x_{23} + x_{13}x_{22} - x_{13}x_{44} + x_{14}x_{34} \\
x_{11}x_{34} - x_{13}x_{14} - x_{22}x_{34} + x_{23}x_{24} \\
x_{11}x_{24} - x_{12}x_{14} + x_{23}x_{34} - x_{24}x_{33} \\
-x_{11}x_{33} + x_{11}x_{44} + x_{12}^2 - x_{14}^2 + x_{22}x_{33} - x_{22}x_{44} - x_{22}^2 + x_{24}^2 \\
x_{11}x_{23} - x_{12}x_{13} - x_{23}x_{44} + x_{24}x_{34} \\
-x_{11}x_{22} + x_{11}x_{44} + x_{12} - x_{14} + x_{22}x_{33} - x_{22}^2 - x_{33}x_{44} + x_{34}^2
\end{align*}
\]
Example 4.3. Let \( n = 4 \) and \( \lambda = (2,2) \). The ideal is of codimension 4 and degree 6. It is generated by the following 9 quadrics:

\[
\begin{align*}
& x_1^2 + 4x_3^2 - x_2^2 - 4x_4^2 - 2x_1x_3x_3 + x_3^2 + 2x_2x_4x_4 - x_4^2 \\
& x_1x_2 + x_2x_2 + 2x_1x_3x_3 + 2x_1x_4x_4 - x_1x_3x_3 - x_1x_4x_4 \\
& x_1x_2 - x_1x_3x_3 + 2x_2x_4x_4 - x_1x_3x_3 + 2x_2x_4x_4 \\
& x_1x_2 - x_1x_3x_3 + 2x_2x_4x_4 - x_1x_3x_3 + 2x_2x_4x_4 \\
& x_1x_2 - x_1x_3x_3 + 2x_2x_4x_4 - x_1x_3x_3 + 2x_2x_4x_4 \\
& x_1x_2 - x_1x_3x_3 + 2x_2x_4x_4 - x_1x_3x_3 + 2x_2x_4x_4 \\
& x_1x_2 - x_1x_3x_3 + 2x_2x_4x_4 - x_1x_3x_3 + 2x_2x_4x_4 \\
& x_1x_2 - x_1x_3x_3 + 2x_2x_4x_4 - x_1x_3x_3 + 2x_2x_4x_4 \\
& x_1x_2 - x_1x_3x_3 + 2x_2x_4x_4 - x_1x_3x_3 + 2x_2x_4x_4
\end{align*}
\]

Naive interpolation strategies, both symbolic and numerical, become infeasible as \( n \) increases. To reduce the dimensions of these matrices and make these linear algebra computations more feasible, we turn to representation theory. See [11] and [17, Chapter 10] for details.

The ideal \( I(V_\mathbb{R}(\lambda)) \) is stable under the action by conjugation of the real orthogonal group \( SO(n) \) on the space \( \mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2} \) of real symmetric \( n \times n \)-matrices. Thus the degree \( d \) homogeneous component \( I(V_\mathbb{R}(\lambda))_d \) is a representation of \( SO(n) \). So to find generators of \( I(V_\mathbb{R}(\lambda)) \), we find representations of \( SO(n) \). Since \( SO(n) \) is reductive, every representation has an isotypic decomposition into irreducible representations. While these irreducible representations may be of high dimension, they can be studied through their low-dimensional highest weight spaces. We refer the reader to [11, Chapter 26] for details.

Here we examine the special case of one-dimensional representations of \( SO(n) \). These vector spaces contain polynomials that are themselves invariant under the action of \( SO(n) \), rather than simply generating an ideal which is \( SO(n) \)-stable as an ideal.

Denote by \( I(V_\mathbb{R}(\lambda))^{SO(n)} \) the graded vector space of \( SO(n) \)-invariant polynomials in \( I(V_\mathbb{R}(\lambda)) \). Let \( V_\mathbb{R}(D_\lambda) \) denote the intersection of \( V_\mathbb{R}(\lambda) \) with the variety of diagonal matrices in \( \mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2} \). We identify \( V_\mathbb{R}(D_\lambda) \) with a variety in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Let \( I(V_\mathbb{R}(D_\lambda))^{S_n} \) be the graded vector space of \( S_n \)-invariant polynomials in \( I(V_\mathbb{R}(D_\lambda)) \).

**Theorem 4.4.** \( I(V_\mathbb{R}(\lambda))^{SO(n)} \) and \( I(V_\mathbb{R}(D_\lambda))^{S_n} \) are isomorphic as graded vector spaces.

**Proof.** The Lie group \( SO(n) \) acts on the Lie algebra \( \mathbb{R}[a_{ij}] \) for \( 1 \leq i,j \leq n \) of \( n \times n \) real matrices, while \( S_n \) acts on the Lie algebra \( \mathbb{R}[a_{ii}] \) of diagonal matrices. The Lie algebra of diagonal matrices is a Cartan subalgebra of the Lie algebra of all \( n \times n \) real matrices. For all \( k \), the Weyl group of \( SO(2k+1) \) is the semidirect product \( \{ \pm 1 \}^k \rtimes S_k \) and the Weyl group of \( SO(2k) \) is the subgroup \( H_{k-1} \rtimes S_k \) where \( H_{k-1} \rtimes \{ \pm 1 \}^n \) is the kernel of the product homomorphism \( \{ \pm 1 \}^n \to \{ \pm 1 \} \) given by \( (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n) \mapsto \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_n \). By Chevalley’s restriction theorem [13, Chapter 23], there is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces \( \mathbb{R}[a_{ij}]^{SO(n)} \cong \mathbb{R}[a_{ii}]^{S_n} \) which induces an isomorphism \( I(V_\mathbb{R}(\lambda))^{SO(n)} \cong I(V_\mathbb{R}(D_\lambda))^{S_n} \).

This result is beneficial because \( I(V_\mathbb{R}(D_\lambda))^{S_n} \) is easier to study than \( I(V_\mathbb{R}(\lambda))^{SO(n)} \). See Section 5 for a discussion of \( I(V_\mathbb{R}(D_\lambda)) \).
5. Diagonal Matrices

In this section, we study the intersection of the variety of $\lambda$-partitioned eigenvalues with the variety of diagonal matrices. Recall that $V_{\mathbb{R}}(D_\lambda)$ denotes the intersection of $V_{\mathbb{R}}(\lambda)$ with the variety of diagonal matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$. We identify this with the variety in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of points with coordinates that have multiplicities given by the partition $\lambda$. Then $V_{\mathbb{R}}(D_\lambda)$ is a union of the $\frac{n!}{\lambda_1! \cdots \lambda_m!}$ subspaces given by permuting the coordinates of the subspace $V = \{(a_1, \cdots, a_2, \cdots, a_m \cdots) | a_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$ where the coordinate $a_i$ is repeated $\lambda_i$ times. So $V_{\mathbb{R}}(D_\lambda)$ is an $m$-dimensional subspace arrangement. The subspaces intersect transversally.

In [5], Derksen gives a formula for the Hilbert polynomial of the vanishing ideal of a subspace arrangement where the subspaces meet transversally. The formula depends only on the dimensions of the subspaces whose union is the subspace arrangement.

**Theorem 5.1** (Derksen). Let $V$ be an $n$-dimensional vector space and let $V_1, \ldots, V_k$ be subspaces of $V$ that intersect transversally. Let $c_i$ denote the codimension of $V_i$ in $V$. Let $A = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_k$ be a subspace arrangement and denote its vanishing ideal by $I = I(A)$. Then the Hilbert polynomial of $I$ is

$$h_I(t) = \sum_S (-1)^{|S|+1} \binom{t + n - 1 - c_S}{n - 1 - c_S}$$

where $c_S = \sum_{i \in S} c_i$ and the sum is over all nonempty $S \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$ for which $c_S < n$.

So we are able to obtain the Hilbert polynomial of $V_{\mathbb{R}}(D_\lambda)$.

**Corollary 5.2.** Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)$ be a partition of $n$. The Hilbert polynomial of the variety $V_{\mathbb{R}}(D_\lambda)$ of $n \times n$ diagonal matrices with eigenvalue multiplicities partitioned according to $\lambda$ is

$$h_{V_{\mathbb{R}}(D_\lambda)}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{[\frac{n}{m}]-1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{n!}{\lambda_1! \cdots \lambda_m!} \binom{t + n - 1 - i(n-m)}{n - 1 - i(n-m)}.$$

**Proof.** Apply Theorem 5.1. \hfill \Box

**Remark 5.3.** We note that the degree of $h_{V_{\mathbb{R}}(D_\lambda)}(t)$ is $m - 1$, which is the dimension of $V_{\mathbb{R}}(D_\lambda)$ as a projective variety.

From the Hilbert polynomial, we obtain the degree.

**Corollary 5.4.** Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)$ be a partition of $n$. The degree of the variety $V_{\mathbb{R}}(D_\lambda)$ of $n \times n$ diagonal matrices with eigenvalue multiplicities partitioned according to $\lambda$ is

$$\frac{n!}{\lambda_1! \cdots \lambda_m!}.$$
One may ask how well the diagonal restriction of the variety of \( \lambda \)-partitioned eigenvalues models the variety as a whole. With regards to distance optimization, the diagonal restriction is a quite good model. Let \( X \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) be a real algebraic variety and \( X_\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^n \) its complexification. Fix \( u \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Then the *Euclidean Distance Degree* (EDD) of \( X \) is the number of complex critical points of the squared distance function \( d_u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i - x_i)^2 \) on the smooth locus of \( X_\mathbb{C} \) [9]. It can be shown that this number is constant on a dense open subset of data \( u \in \mathbb{R}^n \). In [2], Bik and Draisma prove that the variety of \( \lambda \)-partitioned eigenvalues and its diagonal restriction have the same EDD. The diagonal restriction is a subspace arrangement, so its EDD is its number of distinct maximal subspaces.

**Theorem 5.5** (Bik and Draisma). *Let \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m) \). The Euclidean Distance Degree of the variety \( V_\mathbb{R}(\lambda) \) of \( \lambda \)-partitioned eigenvalues is \( \frac{n!}{\lambda_1! \cdots \lambda_m!} \).*
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