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Abstract

The Quadratic Density Hales Jewett conjecture with 2 letters states that for large enough $n$, every dense subset of $\{0,1\}^n$ contains a combinatorial line where the wildcard set is of the form $\gamma \times \gamma$ with $\gamma \subset \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. We show in an elementary way that every dense subset of $\{0,1\}^n$ for sufficiently large $n$ contains two elements whose difference set is of the type $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$ where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$ are both nonempty subsets of $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. Further we give examples of dense subspaces of $\{0,1\}^n$, for any $n \geq 4$, such that the wildcard set of the combinatorial lines obtained only take the form $\gamma \times \gamma$ with $|\gamma| = 4k$ for some integer $k > 0$.

1 Introduction

Consider for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the $n \times n$ grid where each entry $\{(i,j) : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ is filled with either 0 or 1, i.e the set $\{0,1\}^n$.

The following is the first case of a central open conjecture in Ramsey theory, as mentioned by Gowers[1], and perhaps first posed by Bergelson:

**Conjecture 1** (Quadratic Density Hales Jewett with 2 letters). For any $0 < \delta < 1$, there exists $QDHJ(\delta)$ so that for any $n \geq QDHJ(\delta)$, for any subset $S \subset \{0,1\}^n$ with $|S| \geq \delta \cdot 2^n$, there exist two elements $s^{(0)}, s^{(1)} \in S$ such that the set $\{(i,j) : s^{(0)}_{ij} \neq s^{(1)}_{ij}\}$ is the same where $\{s^{(0)}_{ij} = 0, s^{(1)}_{ij} = 1\}$, where $\{(i,j) \in \gamma \times \gamma, \gamma \subset \{1,2,\ldots,n\}\}$ with $\gamma$ nonempty.

This is the quadratic base case with $k = 2$ letters of the general Polynomial Density Hales Jewett conjecture stated later as Conjecture 2. To state this conjecture formally, we first introduce some notation, which we essentially borrow from Walters[3].

For any given $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, consider the set of words of length $n$, with each letter of the word being an element of $[k] := \{1,2,\ldots,k\}$. Formally this is written as $K = [k]^n$, and we also denote the set $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ by $[n]$. For any $a \in K, \gamma \subset [n]$ and $1 \leq x \leq k$ we denote by $b = a \oplus x\gamma$ the element of $[k]^n$ which is obtained by setting $b_i = x$ if $i \in \gamma$ and $b_i = a_i$ otherwise. A combinatorial line is a set of the form $\{a + x\gamma : 1 \leq x \leq k\}$. We call $\gamma$ the wildcard set for the combinatorial line.

In the context of the Polynomial Hales Jewett theorem, we are looking at not just a linear coordinate space such as $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ but at $d$-dimensional coordinate grids, $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}^d$ for all positive integers $d$. In these cases, for the set of words of length $n^d$ with $k$ letters, we use the symbol $[k]^{nd}$. When $k = 2$, for any two words $s_1, s_2 \in \{0,1\}^{nd}$, we call the difference set of $s_1, s_2$ as the coordinate points where the words differ.
We state the Polynomial Hales Jewett theorem in a form articulated by Walters 3, and later by McCutcheon 2, and which is a generalization of the original theorem proven by Bergelson and Leibman 4.

**Theorem 1** (Polynomial Hales Jewett:). For positive integers \( k, r \), there exists a positive integer \( N(k, r) \) such that for all \( n \geq N(k, r) \) whenever \( K[n] := [k]^n \times [k]^n \times \cdots \times [k]^n \) is \( r \)-colored, there exists \( a \in K[n] \) and \( \gamma \subset [N] \) such that the set of points \( \{ a \oplus x_1 \gamma \oplus x_2 (\gamma \times \gamma) \oplus \cdots \oplus x_d \gamma^d : 1 \leq x_i \leq k \} \) is monochromatic.

As noted by Walters 3, the original Polynomial Van der Waerden theorem follows straightforwardly from this Polynomial Hales Jewett theorem.

Stronger density versions of these coloring statements have been established over the years. The density version of van der Waerden’s theorem 14 is Szemeredi’s theorem, of which several celebrated proofs are known. 11, 10, 15, 17, 18, 19.

**Theorem 2** (Szemeredi:). For every positive integer \( k \) and every \( \delta > 0 \) there exists a positive integer \( N(k, \delta) \) so that for any \( n \geq N(k, \delta) \) and every subset \( A \subset [n] \) of size at least \( \delta \cdot n \) contains an arithmetic progression of length \( k \).

It is easy to show that the original Hales Jewett theorem 12 implies the classical van der Waerden theorem by considering the base \( k \) representations of the integers in question. In 1991, Furstenberg and Katznelson proved the density version of Hales Jewett theorem 7 from which Szemeredi’s theorem follows as a corollary. Later several other proofs were also found 6, 8, 9.

We state the Density Hales Jewett theorem here:

**Theorem 3** (Density Hales Jewett:). For every positive integer \( k \) and any \( \delta > 0 \), there exists a positive integer \( DHJ(k, \delta) \) such that for any \( n \geq DHJ(k, \delta) \), a subset \( A \subset [k]^n \) with density at least \( \delta \) contains a combinatorial line of size \( k \).

The \( k = 2 \) version of the density Hales Jewett theorem follows from Sperner’s theorem; where we interpret a string of 0’s and 1’s of length \( n \) to be a subset of \( \{1, 2, ..., n\} \), and a combinatorial line is interpreted as two subsets \( A, B \) such that one of them, say \( A \) is completely contained inside the other. Thus to not contain a combinatorial line, we are looking at a maximal antichain which by Sperner’s theorem has size at most \( \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \), and by Stirling’s approximation, \( \frac{\binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}}{2^n} \sim \frac{2^n}{\sqrt{n}} \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). Thus for large enough \( n \), we are forced to contain a combinatorial line.

Later, Bergelson established the polynomial van der Waerden theorem and polynomial Szemeredi theorem in the same paper 5, for polynomials with integer coefficients and without constant terms. Such polynomials are referred to as integral polynomials. We state the theorems below:

**Theorem 4** (Polynomial van der Waerden:). Suppose that \( p_1, p_2, ..., p_m \) are \( m \) integral polynomials. Then there exists a positive integer \( N(m) \) such that for all \( n \geq N(m) \), there exists \( a \) and \( 0 < d \leq n \) such that the set \( \{ a \} \cup \{ a + p_i(d) : 1 \leq i \leq m \} \) is monochromatic.

**Theorem 5** (Polynomial Szemeredi:). Suppose that \( p_1, p_2, ..., p_m \) are \( m \) integral polynomials and \( 0 < \delta < 1 \) any small real number. Then there exists a positive integer \( N(m, \delta) \) such that
for all \( n \geq N(m, \delta) \), and any set \( A \subset [n] \) of size at least \( \delta \cdot n \), there exists \( a \) and \( 0 < d \leq n \) such that the set \( \{a\} \cup \{a + p_i(d) : 1 \leq i \leq m\} \) is monochromatic.

There is a natural polynomial density Hales Jewett conjecture that generalizes Theorem\(^5\) which we state below. It would be a generalization of both the Polynomial density Hales Jewett theorem as well as the Density Hales Jewett theorem. For \( k = 2 \), and only considering the quadratic term, we stated the corresponding version in Conjecture\(^1\)

**Conjecture 2** (Polynomial Density Hales Jewett). For positive integers \( k \) and a small \( 0 < \delta < 1 \), there exists a positive integer \( N(k, \delta) \) such that for all \( n \geq N(k, \delta) \) whenever we consider \( A \subset K[n] = [k]^n \times [k]^{n^2} \times \cdots \times [k]^{n^d} \) with cardinality at least \( \delta \cdot k^n + n^2 + \cdots + n^d \), there exists \( a \in K[n] \) and \( \gamma \subset [N] \) such that the set of points \( \{a \oplus x_1 \gamma \oplus x_2 (\gamma \times \gamma) \oplus \cdots \oplus x_d \gamma^d : 1 \leq x_i \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq d\} \) is contained in \( A \).

Here briefly outline how the conjectured Quadratic Density Hales Jewett theorem implies Sarkozy’s theorem\(^13\) which is a special case of the Polynomial Szemerédi theorem outlined above. By a similar argument to the one outlined below, one can also show that the Polynomial Density Hales Jewett theorem implies the Polynomial Szemerédi theorem.

We consider the finitary statement of Sarkozy’s theorem; that given any \( 0 < \delta < 1 \), there would exist some integer \( N(\delta) > 0 \) so that for all \( n \geq N(\delta) \), any subset of \([n]\) with at least \( \delta \cdot n \) many elements contains two numbers that differ by a non zero integer square. For the given \( \delta \), consider the square of length \( m_n = \lceil \sqrt{2n^2 + n} \rceil \) and all the integers \( n^2 + i \) with \( i \in A \subset [n] \) where \( |A| \geq \delta \cdot n \). We can consider for each \( i \), all possible sequences of 1’s and 0’s inside the \( m_n \times m_n \) square grid so that the sum of the 1’s is exactly \( n^2 + i \). It’s apparent that for large enough \( n \) we have a dense subset of \([0,1]\)^{\(m_n\)}, and by Conjecture\(^1\) we would have two numbers of the form \( n^2 + i, n^2 + i + \gamma_i^2 \), where \( \gamma_i \) is the size of the wildcard set, and where \( i, i + |\gamma_i|^2 \) both belong to \( A \), which would establish Sarkozy’s theorem.

In this paper, we show one can construct dense subsets of \([0,1]\)^{\(n^2\)} that have certain restrictions on the shape of the wildcard sets for the combinatorial line. It is easy to see, as should be clear from the discussion in the next section, that when we consider the set of all elements of \([0,1]\)^{\(n^2\)} with an even number of 1’s, then upon symmetric differences, we also have a set with an even number of 1s. This is a vector subspace of index 2 inside \( \mathbb{F}_2^n \). The other coset is the set of elements with an odd number of 1’s. One can thus consider either of these cosets which are dense subspaces and the difference set will always have an even number of elements. One can thus rule out wildcard sets of odd size. Since the coloring Polynomial Hales Jewett theorem is true, the two cosets above can be considered to be a partition of \([0,1]\)^{\(n^2\)} into two colors, and at least one of them would have a combinatorial line and thus also a difference set of the form \( \gamma \times \gamma \), and we would be forced to have \(|\gamma|\) even. It’s easy to see that because of the coset structure for subspaces in \( \mathbb{F}_2^n \), once we find two elements whose difference set is of the square form \( \gamma \times \gamma \), we also find the same in the other coset. This argument also extends in the obvious way for subspaces of any index \( n \geq 3 \).

Here we prove the following:

**Theorem 6.** For \( \delta = \frac{1}{2^{3k}} \), where \( k \geq 2 \) is any integer, for any \( n \geq k \) \( \Box \) there exist subsets of \([0,1]\)^{\(n^2\)} of density \( \delta \), containing exactly \( 2n^2 - k \) elements, so that the difference set of any two elements of this subset that constitute a combinatorial line, is of the form \( \gamma \times \gamma \) with \(|\gamma|\) a

\( ^1 \)This can also be extended easily for \( \lfloor \sqrt{k} \rfloor \leq n \leq k \).
Following our arguments, one should in principle be able to construct possibly more complicated subspaces which would force stronger restrictions on the structure of $\gamma$ for the difference sets of the combinatorial lines which take the form $\gamma \times \gamma$ for some nonempty $\gamma \subset \{1, 2 \ldots n\}$.

We now state the following theorem:

**Theorem 7.** For any $\delta > 0$, there exists $N(\delta)$ such that for all $n \geq N(\delta)$, for any nonempty $\gamma_1 \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ we have for any subset $S$ of $\{0, 1\}^{n^2}$ with $|S| \geq \delta \cdot 2^{n^2}$, two elements $s_1, s_2 \in S$ such that their difference set is of the form $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$ where $\gamma_2 \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ is a non-empty subset.

In order to get a combinatorial line of the rectangular form, it will be enough to find two elements in $S$ such that their symmetric difference is of the rectangular form $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$, and the set of 1’s in either of these two elements is a superset of this set $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$. This will likely require a large count of the number of pairs $(s_i, s_j)$ to force one pair to satisfy this property. This will be more involved than our quick proof where we obtain just one pair of elements with difference set of the rectangular form.

In Section 5 we outline the transition from the above statement with rectangular difference sets to square difference sets of the form $\gamma \times \gamma$.

\section{Symmetric differences and addition in the vector space $\mathbb{F}_2^2$}

Consider any $S \subset \{0, 1\}^{n^2}$ with density at least $\delta$. For any two elements $s^{(i)}, s^{(j)} \in S$, denote the set of entries where the elements $s^{(i)}$ and $s^{(j)}$ differ, by $\Delta_{i,j} = \{(m, p) \in [n] \times [n] : s^{(i)}(mp) \neq s^{(j)}(mp)\}$.

For distinct $i, j, k$, $\Delta_{i,j}$ is the symmetric difference of $\Delta_{i,k}$ and $\Delta_{j,k}$, because the set of entries where any $s^{(i)}$ and $s^{(j)}$ (any arbitrary elements of $\{0, 1\}^{n^2}$) differ are exactly either of those entries where $s^{(i)}$ and $s^{(j)}$ are equal and simultaneously $s^{(i)}, s^{(k)}$ are unequal, or vice versa. Especially taking any fixed $s^{(k_0)}$ to be the element with all 0’s, $\Delta_{i,k_0}$ is simply the set of coordinates where $s^{(i)}$ has 1’s, and we consider $\Delta_{i,k_0}$ to be $s^{(i)}$ itself. We denote the symmetric difference by the symbol $\Delta$ and $\Delta_{a,b} = s^{(a)} \Delta s^{(b)}$.

We denote the empty set by $\emptyset$.

Taking symmetric differences in our case is equivalent to the properties of addition in the vector space $\mathbb{F}_2^2$ over the field $\mathbb{F}_2$. Henceforth, we talk about addition in $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ in place of symmetric differences.$^2$

For $M = 2^m - 1$ many given elements (where $m \geq 2$), we can get exactly $M$ many elements upon addition in $\mathbb{F}_2^n$, when considering a vector subspace of $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ with $m$ basis vectors.

With $M = 15$, below is one possibility.

---

$^2$As a generic possibility, we have:
\[ a_1 + a_2 = a_3 + a_4 = a_5 + a_6 = a_7 + a_8 = a_9 + a_{10} = a_{11} + a_{12} = a_{13} + a_{14} = a_{15} \]  
\[ a_1 + a_3 = a_2 + a_4 = a_5 + a_7 = a_6 + a_8 = a_9 + a_{11} = a_{10} + a_{12} = a_{13} + a_{15} = a_{14} \]  
\[ a_1 + a_4 = a_2 + a_3 = a_5 + a_8 = a_6 + a_7 = a_9 + a_{12} = a_{10} + a_{11} = a_{14} + a_{15} = a_{13} \]  
\[ a_1 + a_5 = a_2 + a_6 = a_3 + a_7 = a_4 + a_8 = a_9 + a_{13} = a_{10} + a_{14} = a_{11} + a_{15} = a_{12} \]  
\[ a_1 + a_6 = a_2 + a_5 = a_3 + a_8 = a_4 + a_7 = a_9 + a_{14} = a_{10} + a_{13} = a_{12} + a_{15} = a_{11} \]  
\[ a_1 + a_7 = a_2 + a_8 = a_3 + a_5 = a_4 + a_6 = a_9 + a_{15} = a_{11} + a_{13} = a_{12} + a_{14} = a_{10} \]  
\[ a_1 + a_8 = a_2 + a_7 = a_4 + a_5 = a_3 + a_6 = a_{10} + a_{16} = a_{11} + a_{14} = a_{12} + a_{13} = a_{9} \]  
\[ \vdots \]

There are 8 further lines of equalities that are already determined by these relations.

In fact one can check that \( \{a_1, a_3, a_7, a_{15}\} \) is a set of basis elements of this subspace, in terms of which we can write all the other elements as all possible sums over \( F_2 \), and the previous chains of equalities are all satisfied.

We consider any arbitrary \( m \) elements \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m \) among which there are no nontrivial relations through symmetric differences, this being possible since \( F_2^{n^2} \) is \( n^2 \) dimensional and \( m \leq n^2 \).

Considering all the sums from the basis set \( \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\} \), with coefficients in \( F_2 \), we get exactly \( M = (2^m - 1) \) non zero elements. We enumerate these elements as \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_M \)

\[
\gamma_1 = a_1^{(1)} + a_2^{(1)} = a_3^{(1)} + a_4^{(1)} = \ldots \\
\gamma_2 = a_1^{(2)} + a_2^{(2)} = a_3^{(2)} + a_4^{(2)} = \ldots \\
\vdots \\
\gamma_k = a_1^{(k)} + a_2^{(k)} = a_3^{(k)} + a_4^{(k)} = \ldots \\
\]

where \( 1 \leq k \leq 2^{m^2} \), and \( \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k \) are all the distinct elements of \( \{0, 1\}^{n^2} \) obtained in the process, and each \( a_i^{(k)} \) are elements in \( S \). We denote the length of the \( k \)'th row as \( l_k \), which is the number of equalities appearing in the \( k \)'th row.

By the properties of addition in \( F_2^{n^2} \), in every row of the above enumeration the same element can clearly appear at most once. Thus each \( l_k \leq M/2 \). Also, because of property 6 listed earlier, whenever we have a sequence of equalities \( \gamma_k = a_m^{(k)} + a_n^{(k)} = a_p^{(k)} + a_q^{(k)} \), we also have, for pairwise distinct \( j_1, j_2, k \), that \( \gamma_{j_1} = a_m^{(k)} + a_p^{(k)} = a_n^{(k)} + a_q^{(k)} \), \( \gamma_{j_2} = a_m^{(k)} + a_q^{(k)} = a_n^{(k)} + a_p^{(k)} \).

Considering all the pairwise equalities from above, every triple \( a_m^{(k)}, a_n^{(k)}, a_p^{(k)} \) appears at most 3 times among the elements of these pairwise equalities, because of the above two properties. Also, per pairwise equality sign, we get the appearance of four distinct triples. We thus have

\[
\sum_k 4 \left( \frac{l_k}{2} \right) \leq 3 \left( \frac{M}{3} \right) 
\]

And also,

\[
\sum_k l_k = \left( \frac{M}{2} \right), \quad l_k \leq \frac{M}{2}, \forall k.
\]
keeping the first $m$ terms as before. This is a finite dimensional vector subspace with the sumset taking as many values as the number of elements in the subspace: analogous to the relations (3 - 10) above, for each $a_k, 1 \leq k \leq M$, we have a unique chain of $2^{n-1} - 1$ equalities that are uniquely determined; for $a_k$ and any other $a_l, l \neq k, 1 \leq l \leq M$, the element $(a_k - a_l) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n^2}$ is added to $a_l$ to get $a_k$.

3 Proof of Theorem 6

Proof of Theorem 6. For a cell $(x, y)$ in the grid, in the standard way the first coordinate increases downwards along a column when the second coordinate is fixed, and along a row the second coordinate increases for a fixed value of the first coordinate.

Given any $\delta = 1/2^k$, with integer $k \geq 2$, we construct a specific subset of $\{0, 1\}^{n^2}$ of cardinality $\delta \cdot 2^{n^2}$. We can construct $(n^2 - k)$ many linearly independent subsets of $\mathbb{F}_2^{n^2}$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$, and get $2^{n^2-k} - 1$ many non-zero elements. We show that we can choose $n^2 - k$ many independent sets such that the $2^{n^2-k} - 1$ symmetric differences all miss the square shapes of the form $\gamma \times \gamma$ where $\gamma \subset \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n\}$, with $|\gamma|$ of the forms $4k + 1, 4k + 2, 4k + 3$.

We are constructing a particular $\mathbb{F}_2^{n^2-k}$ dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_2^{n^2}$ in the process.

We show this for $k = 2$; consider the basis set $\{(x, y)\}, \forall x, y \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ where $x < y$, the sets of the form $\{(t, t), (t+1, t+1)\}$ with $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$, the sets of the form $\{(x, y), (x+1, y+1)\}$ for all $x < y$ with $x+1 \leq n, y+1 \leq n$, sets of the form $\{(x, n), (x+1, n)\}$ for $x = n-2, n-4, \ldots$, as well as sets of the form $\{(1, y), (1, y+1)\}$ with $y = 3, 5, \ldots$. This is a “spiralling” set of overlapping basis elements in the upper triangular part of the grid and all singleton sets on the lower triangular part of the grid, and basis sets each with two consecutive elements on the diagonal. We have $n^2 - 2$ basis elements in the process and these are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_2$. Suppose we constructed a set of the form $\gamma \times \gamma$ with linear combinations of these elements. It is clear while taking linear combinations of these basis elements, we would have an even number of elements on the diagonal, as well as an even number of elements on the upper triangular part of the grid. If we considered $4k + 2$ many elements on the diagonal, then we would have to consider $1 + 2 + \cdots + (4k + 1) = (2k + 1)(4k + 1)$ many elements in the upper triangular grid, and this is a contradiction. Thus the only possibility is to get wildcards sets $\gamma \times \gamma$ with $|\gamma|$ divisible by 4.

4 Rectangular and square wildcard sets

Proof of Theorem 7. Observe that $(\gamma_a \times \gamma_b) + (\gamma_a \times \gamma_c) = \gamma_a \times (\gamma_b + \gamma_c)$ where addition is in $\mathbb{F}_2^{n^2}$.

Consider for any fixed $\gamma$, the sets $\gamma_i := \gamma \times \{i\}$, for all $i \in [n]$. Suppose we have a subset $S \subset \{0, 1\}^{n^2}$ with density $\delta$, whose elements we enumerate as $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{|S|}$. If there exist some $\gamma_i, \gamma_j$ such that $\gamma_i + s_t = b, \gamma_j + s_l = b$ for the same fixed $b \in \{0, 1\}^{n^2}$, then $\gamma_i + \gamma_j = \gamma \times \{i, j\} = s_t + s_l$; in which case we are done. Such a thing is forced for values of $n \geq \left\lceil \frac{1}{\delta} \right\rceil$: consider the set of all pairs of elements $(\gamma_i, s_t)$ of which there are $n \cdot \delta \cdot 2^{n^2}$ many.

\[3\text{The question of finding dense subsets of } \mathbb{F}_2^n \text{ and checking whether the sumset has a large vector subspace, has been studied and there is an example of a set of density } 1/4 \text{ whose sumset does not contain a subspace of dimension } \sqrt{n}. \] [20]

\[4\text{This is one of many choices of subspaces and basis sets which prove the same result.}\]
If for any pair \((\gamma_i, s_t)\) we have \(\gamma_i + s_t = s_j\) for some \(j \in [S]\), we are done. Otherwise each of these pairs sum to some element in \(\{0,1\}^{n^2} \setminus S\), and there are \((1 - \delta) \cdot 2n^2\) many such elements. Thus there has to be some fixed \(b\) to which two pairs sum to, in which case again we are done as before.

By considering different values of \(\gamma\) above, we will find many pairs of elements of \(S\) such that the difference set is of the rectangular form. In order to find a monochromatic line we would need to find two elements \(a, b\) with \(a + b = c\), where \(c\) has the rectangular form and the set of 1's in \(a\) are a superset of those in the rectangular form in \(c\).

In case of just the square wildcard sets of the form \(\gamma \times \gamma\), we do not have the simple case of two square wildcard sets adding up to a square wildcard set. In this case, for any set \(\gamma \subset \{1,2, \ldots, n\}\) with \(|\gamma| \geq 3\), we would need to add up several more sets to get zero. For this set \(\gamma\), consider the set of all nonempty subsets \(\mathcal{P}_\gamma\) of \(\gamma\). Consider the sets \(\{a \times a : a \subset \mathcal{P}_\gamma\}\). Upon adding all the elements of this set we would get 0: every \((i, i)\) inside \(\gamma \times \gamma\) gets counted exactly \((2^{|\gamma|} - 1) + 1\) many times, and every pair \((i, j)\) \(\in \gamma \times \gamma\) with \(i \neq j\) gets counted \((2^{|\gamma|} - 2) + 1\) many times, both even for \(|\gamma| > 3\). One can also consider for some disjoint \(\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \subset \{1,2, \ldots, n\}\), the sets \(\{(a \cup \gamma_2) \times (a \cup \gamma_2) : a \subset \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1}\}\), where \(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1}\) is the set of all subsets (including the empty set) of \(\gamma_1\), with again \(|\gamma_1| \geq 3\). In this case too, upon adding all the elements we would get 0.

For the minimum case of \(|\gamma| = 3\), we have to add up seven elements to get zero. In comparison to the rectangular case, this still requires investigation to ensure we can always force a large number of pairs of elements of our dense subset of \(\{0,1\}^{n^2}\) to sum to an element of the square wildcard set form.
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