INTERACTION DECOMPOSITION FOR PRESHEAVES
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Abstract. Consider a collection of vector subspaces of a given vector space and a collection of projectors on these vector spaces, can we decompose the vector space into a product of vector subspaces such that the projectors are isomorphic to projections? We provide an answer to this question by extending the relation between the intersection property and the interaction decomposition ([11]) to the projective case. This enables us to classify the decompositions of interactions for factor spaces. We then extend these results for presheaves from a poset to the category of modules by adding the data of a section functor when it exists.

1. Introduction

1.1. Motivation. Let us note \textbf{Gr} \(V\) the poset of \((k-)\)vector subspaces of a given \((k-)\)vector space \(V\) and let \(\mathcal{A}\) be a poset. \textbf{Gr} \(V\) is called the Grassmannian of \(V\); the set of poset morphisms, i.e. increasing function, from \(\mathcal{A}\) to \textbf{Gr} \(V\) shall be noted \(\text{hom}(\mathcal{A}, \textbf{Gr} V)\); let \(U \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{A}, \textbf{Gr} V)\), assume that \(V\) is a finite dimensional Hilbert space and that there is a collection \((e_a, a \in \mathcal{A})\) of elements of \(V\) such that for any \(a \in \mathcal{A}\), \(U(a) = <e_b, b \leq a>\), where \(<e_b, b \leq a>\) is the vector subspace of \(V\) generated by the collection \((e_b, b \leq a)\). One can ask when one can find \((u_b, b \in \mathcal{A})\) an orthogonal basis of \(V\) such that for any \(a \in \mathcal{A}\), \(U(a) = \bigoplus_{b \leq a} <u_b>\).

To answer this question one needs to know how to decompose each \(U(a)\) in a compatible manner with the poset structure \(\mathcal{A}\) and compatible with the orthogonal projection \(\pi_a : V \rightarrow U(a)\). The study of when one can find a decomposition of \(\mathcal{A}\) compatible with \(\mathcal{A}\) is the subject of [11] (injective case). In Appendix B [3], in the context of factor spaces \((\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}^n\) see Definition 2.1) and for a specific scalar product one can show that such decomposition exists (Proposition B.4 [3]) (a similar result holds is stated in [1], [7]). However the proof heavily relies on the explicit expression of the Mobius function of the boolean poset \(\{0, 1\}^n\) and seemed to be restricted to the case of factor spaces and to specific scalar products. Given \(\mathcal{A}\) a finite poset, a collection of projectors \((\pi_a, a \in \mathcal{A})\) from \(V\) to \(V\) and...
U = (Imπa, a ∈ ℱ) ∈ hom(ℱ, GrV), by using tools and methods very different in spirit from the ones found in the literature on interaction models ([3]), we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition for a decomposition of U compatible with (πa, a ∈ ℱ) to exist. This will enable us to classify the interaction decompositions for factor spaces. We shall then extend this result to posets that are not necessarily finite (A ∈ ℋf Notation 4.2) and couples of functor, presheaf from a ℱ to the category of modules, Mod.

1.2. Structure of this document. In Section 2 we give some general properties for a collection of endomorphisms of a vector space, (πa, a ∈ ℱ), over a finite poset and define (Definition 2.4) what a compatible decomposition with respect to (πa, a ∈ ℱ) is and how it relates to the interaction decomposition of factor spaces (see Question). We state the intersection condition (I) (Proposition 2.6) for (πa, a ∈ ℱ) decomposable and set the problematic of this document.

In Section 3 we show that the intersection property (Definition 3.7) implies that (πa, a ∈ ℱ) is decomposable (Theorem 3.2 Corollary 3.2), as a consequence we show that conditional expectations to factor spaces for a given measure are decomposable if and only if the measure is a product measure (Corollary 3.3); this classifies all the possible interaction decompositions of factor spaces. We believe this application is in itself an improvement with respect to what is know on the decomposition in interaction spaces. We then extend (Section 4) these results for presheaves in the category of (R-)modules (Definition 4.3 Definition 4.7 Theorem 4.1 Corollary 4.4). We conclude by remarking that these results can be applied to extend the Gram-Schmidt process to more general posets that N.

2. What is an interaction decomposition?

As the interaction decomposition in [3] is stated for collection of random variables, let us first give some reasons for why solving this question can be interesting in probability; let I be a finite set, it indexes random variables, let for all i ∈ I, Ei be a finite set with the discrete σ-algebra, in which the i-th random variable takes its values, E = ∏i∈IEi is the configuration space; for ω ∈ E, one has that pri(ω) = ω(i), and for a ⊆ I non empty, we will note ω|a as xa. We will call Ea = ∏i∈aEi and,

\[ p_a : E \to E_a, \quad x \mapsto x_a \]

Let • be a given singleton. Then there is only one application of domain E to • that we call π∅: we pose ω∅ = p∅(ω). The σ-algebra one considers implicitly on ∏i∈IEi will be the Borel algebra with respect to the product topology, i.e. the smallest algebra that makes the projections, for any a ⊆ I, πa measurable, here as the Ei are finite and I is finite is coincides with the dicrete σ-algebra on E. Let us denote ℵa the smallest σ-algebra that makes pa measurable, i.e generated by the cylindric events \( \{ ω_a \} := \{ ω_1 ∈ E : ω_{1,a} = ω_a \} \).
Notation 2.1. For any measurable space \((X, \mathcal{F})\) let us denote \(\mathcal{M}(E, \mathcal{F})\) the set of measurable function and \(\mathcal{M}_b(E, \mathcal{F})\) the set of bounded measurable functions, i.e. \(\mathcal{L}^\infty(E, \mathcal{F})\). Let \(\mathbb{P}(E)\) be the set of probability measures of \(E\).

Notation 2.2. We shall note the image measure \(p_a \mathbb{P}\), i.e. the marginalisation of \(\mathbb{P}\) over \(E_a\), as \(\mathbb{P}_a\).

Definition 2.1. For any \(a \in \mathcal{P}(I)\), let \(V(a)\) be the vector subspace of \(V\) constituted of functions \(f\) that can be factorised by \(p_a\), in other words there is \(\tilde{f}\) such that \(f = \tilde{f} \circ p_a\). \(V(a)\) is called the \(a\)-factor space, \(V(a) = \mathcal{M}_b(E, \mathcal{F}_a)\).

As \(E\) is finite one can define for a probability \(\mathbb{P}\) its support,

\[
\text{Supp} \mathbb{P} = \{\omega \in E : \mathbb{P}(\omega) = 0\}
\]

Let \(\mathbb{P}\) be the probability measure on \(E\) associated to the collection of random variables \(I\), for any \(a \subseteq I\), let \(\pi_a : V \to V(a)\) be such that \(\pi_a = E_a[|\mathcal{F}_a]\) the conditional expectation with respect to the \(a\) factor space; we take as convention that for any cylinder events if \(\mathbb{P}(\{\omega_a\}) = 0\), \(E_a[|\mathcal{F}_a](\omega) = 0\); therefore for any \(a \subseteq \mathcal{A}\), \(f \in \mathcal{M}_b(E), E[f|\mathcal{F}_a] = 1[\text{in Supp} \mathbb{P}_a] \sum_{\omega : \omega_a = \omega} \frac{p(\omega_a)}{p(\omega)} f(\omega').
\]

Notation 2.3. We shall note the set of endomorphisms of \(V\) as \(\mathcal{L}(V)\). For \(\pi = (\pi_i \in \mathcal{L}(V), i \in I)\) the collection \((\text{Im} \pi_i, i \in I)\) will be called the image of \(\pi\) and denoted as \(\text{Im} \pi\).

Proposition 2.1. Let \(\pi\) be a collection of projectors of \(V\) over \(\mathcal{A}\). \(\text{Im} \pi \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{A}, \text{Gr} V)\) if and only if for any \(a, b \in \mathcal{A}\) such that \(b \leq a\), \(\pi_a \pi_b = \pi_b:\)

Proof. Assume that \(\text{Im} \pi_b \subseteq \text{Im} \pi_a\) for \(b \leq a\) then \(\pi_a \pi_b = \pi_b\); suppose \(\pi_a \pi_b = \pi_b\) then \(\text{Im} \pi_b \subseteq \text{Im} \pi_a\). \(\Box\)

Remark 2.1. When \(\text{Im} \pi \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{A}, \text{Gr} V)\), let for \(b \leq a\), \(G(a) = \text{Im} \pi_a\) and \(G_b^a = i_{\text{Im} \pi_a}; G\) is a functor from \(\mathcal{A}\) to \(\text{Gr} V\), where \(\text{Gr} V\) has as morphisms inclusions; we shall call \(G(\pi)\) the canonical functor associated to \(\pi\).

Notation 2.4. For \(V\) a vector space, we shall note \(\text{sub}(V)\) the subcategory of subvector spaces of \(V\).

Definition 2.2. Let \(\mathcal{A}\) be a poset, \(\pi = (\pi_a, a \in \mathcal{A})\) a collection of endomorphisms of \(V\). If for any \(a, b \in \mathcal{A}\) such that \(b \leq a\) there is \(f_b^a \in \mathcal{L}(V)\) such that,

\[
\pi_b = f_b^a \circ \pi_a
\]

we will say that \(\pi\) is presheafable in \(\text{sub}(V)\).

Definition 2.3. For any poset \(\mathcal{A}\), we shall call the nerve of \(\mathcal{A}\), denoted as \(N(\mathcal{A})\), any strictly increasing sequence of \(\mathcal{A}\); in particular we shall call \(N(\mathcal{A})\) any strictly increasing sequence of \(n\)-elements.
Example 2.1. \(N(\mathcal{A})_2 = \{(a, b) \in \mathcal{A}^2 : a < b\}\).

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \(\pi\) be presheafable in \(\text{sub}(V)\) and \((f^a_b, (a, b) \in N(\mathcal{A})_1)\) a collection that satisfies Equation (1); let \(F^a_b = \text{id}\) and \(F^a_a = f^a_b|\text{Im}\pi_a\) when \(b \leq a\), \(F(\pi)\) is a presheaf from \(\mathcal{A}\) to \(\text{sub}(V)\) that we shall call the canonical presheaf associated to \(\pi\).

**Proof.** For any \((a, b) \in N(\mathcal{A})_1\), \(\text{Im}f^a_b(\text{Im}\pi_a) \subseteq \text{Im}\pi_b\) therefore \(F^a_b\) is well defined. Furthermore for \(a \geq b \geq c\) and \(v \in V\), \(F^c_bF^a_b\pi_a(v) = f^b_c\pi_b(v) = \pi_c(v) = F^c_c\pi_a(v)\); as \(\pi_a\) is surjective on its image, \(F^b_c = F^a_c\).

Let \((f^a_b, (a, b) \in N(\mathcal{A})_1)\) that satisfies Equation (1), for \(b \leq a\), \(f^a_b\pi_a = \pi_b = f^a_b\pi_b\) therefore \(F^a_b = F^a_a\) which justifies why we can call \(F\) canonical.

\(\square\)

**Proposition 2.3.** Let \(\mathcal{A}\) be a poset, \(\pi = (\pi_a, a \in \mathcal{A})\) a collection of projectors of \(V\). \(\pi\) is presheafable in \(\text{sub}(V)\) if an only if for any \(a, b \in N(\mathcal{A})_1\), \(\pi_a\pi_b = \pi_a\).

**Proof.** Let us assume that \(\pi\) is presheafable, and let \((f^a_b, (a, b) \in N(\mathcal{A})_1)\) be such that Equation (1) holds for any \((a, b) \in N(\mathcal{A})_1\). Let \((a, b) \in N(\mathcal{A})_1\) and \(v \in \text{Im}\pi_b\) then, \(\pi_a\pi_b = f^a_b\pi_b\pi_b = f^a_b\pi_b = \pi_a\); let \(\pi\) be such that for \((a, b) \in N(\mathcal{A})_1\), \(\pi_a\pi_b = \pi_a\) then by definition \(\pi\) is presheafable \((f^a_b = \pi_a)\).

\(\square\)

**Remark 2.2.** A presheafable collection of projectors over \(\mathcal{A}\), is not necessarily such that \(\text{Im}\pi \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{A}, \text{Gr} V)\) and a collection of projectors such that \(\text{Im}\pi \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{A}, \text{Gr} V)\) is not necessarily presheafable: let \(\pi_1, \pi_2\) two projectors, \(\pi_1\pi_2 = \pi_2\) is equivalent to having \(\pi_1\pi_2 \subseteq \pi_1\), as one can rewrite \(\pi_1\pi_2 = \pi_2\) as \((\text{id} - \pi_1)\pi_2 = 0\); \(\pi_1\pi_2 = \pi_1\) is equivalent to \(\text{ker}\pi_2 \subseteq \text{ker}\pi_1\) as one can rewrite \(\pi_1\pi_2 = \pi_1\) as \(\pi_1(\text{id} - \pi_2) = 0\) which says that \(\text{Im}(\text{id} - \pi_2) \subseteq \text{ker}\pi_1\) and \(\text{Im}(\text{id} - \pi_2) = \text{ker}\pi_2\).

**Proposition 2.4.** Let \(I\) be a finite set, \(E = \prod_{i \in I} E_i\), \(\mathbb{P}\) a probability measure on \(E\); \((\pi_a = E[|\mathcal{F}_a], a \in \mathcal{P}(I))\) is such that \(\text{Im}\pi \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{A}, \text{Gr} V)\) and is presheafable.

**Proof.** For any \(f \in \mathcal{A}_b(E)\) and \(a, b \in \mathcal{A}\) such that \(b \leq a\), \(E[E[f|\mathcal{F}_a]|\mathcal{F}_b] = E[f|\mathcal{F}_b]\) therefore by Proposition 2.3 \(\pi\) is presheafable; for \(f \in \mathcal{F}_b\), \(E[f|\mathcal{F}_b] = f1[. \in \text{Supp}\mathbb{P}_b], E[E[f|\mathcal{F}_b]|\mathcal{F}_a] = E[f|\mathcal{F}_a]1[. \in \text{Supp}\mathbb{P}_b] = E[f|\mathcal{F}_b]\), therefore by Proposition 2.1.

\(\square\)

**Notation 2.5.** Let \(\mathcal{A}\) be a poset, let \(\mathcal{A}^* = \mathcal{A} \oplus 1\) be the poset sum of \(\mathcal{A}\) and the one element poset 1, i.e. any \(a \in \mathcal{A}^*\) is in \(\mathcal{A}\) or is equal to 1 and for any \(a \in \mathcal{A}\), \(a \leq_{\mathcal{A}^*} 1\).

**Definition 2.4.** Let \(V\) be a vector space, \(\mathcal{A}\) be a finite poset and \((\pi_a, a \in \mathcal{A})\) a collection of endomorphisms of \(V\). Let \(U(\pi)\) be the application from \(\mathcal{A}^*\) to \(\text{Gr} V\) such that for any \(a \in \mathcal{A}\), \(U(a) = \text{Im}\pi(a)\), \(U(1) = V\). \((\pi_a, a \in \mathcal{A})\) is decomposable if and only if there is a collection of vector subspaces of \(V\), \((S_a, a \in \mathcal{A}^*)\) such that
(1) \( \bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}} i_{V}^{S_{a}} : \bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}} S_{a} \rightarrow V \) is an isomorphism; let us recall that for any \( w = (w_{a}, a \in \mathcal{A}) \in \bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}} S_{a}, \bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}} i_{V}^{S_{a}}(w) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} w_{a} \).

(2) for any \( a \in \mathcal{A}, \pi_{a}(v) = \sum_{b \leq a} w_{b} \).

We shall note \( \bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}} i_{V}^{S_{a}} \) as \( \phi \); we shall say that \( (S_{a}, a \in \mathcal{A}) \) is the decomposition of \( \pi \).

For \( I \) finite, \( (E_{i}, i \in I) \) a collection of finite sets and \( P \in \mathcal{P}(E) \), we shall say that \( (E[^{\setminus} \mathcal{P}_{a}], a \in \mathcal{P}(I)) \) admits an interaction decomposition if it is decomposable.

Let us recall what a decomposable collection of vector spaces is (Definition 2.1[11]).

**Definition 2.5.** \((S_{a}, a \in \mathcal{A})\) is a decomposition of \( U \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{A}, \text{Gr}(V)) \) if and only if,

1. for all \( a \in \mathcal{A}, S_{a} \in \text{Gr}(U(a)) \).
2. for all \( a \in \mathcal{A}, \bigoplus_{b \leq a} i_{U(a)}^{S_{b}} : \bigoplus_{b \leq a} S_{b} \rightarrow U(a) \) are isomorphisms and \( \bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}} i_{V}^{S_{a}} \) is an isomorphism on its image.

We shall note \( i_{U(a)}^{S_{b}} \) as \( \phi_{a} \); we shall say that \( U \) is decomposable.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let \( \pi = (\pi_{a}, a \in \mathcal{A}) \) be decomposable and \((S_{a}, a \in \mathcal{A}^{*})\) the decomposition of \( \pi \), let for any \( a \in \mathcal{A}^{*}, \phi_{a} : \bigoplus_{b \leq a} S_{b} \rightarrow U(\pi)(a) \) be such that
\[
\phi_{a}(\bigoplus_{b \leq a} w_{b}) = \sum_{b \leq a} w_{b}.
\]
Then \( (\phi_{a}, a \in \mathcal{A}) \) is a natural transformation from \( \bigoplus S^{a} \) to \( G(\pi) \) and a natural transformation from \( \bigoplus S_{a} \) to \( F(\pi) \), it is also an isomorphism, \( U(\pi) \) is decomposable and \( (\pi_{a}, a \in \mathcal{A}) \) is presheafable.

**Proof.** For any \( v \in \bigoplus_{b \leq a} S_{b} \) and \( a \in \mathcal{A}, \phi^{-1} \pi_{a}(\phi(v)) = \bigoplus_{b \leq a} S_{b} \bigoplus_{b \leq a} S_{b} \bigoplus_{b \leq a} S_{b} \bigoplus_{b \leq a} S_{b} (v) \) therefore
\[
\phi_{a}(\bigoplus_{b \leq a} S_{b}) = U(a) \text{ and } \phi_{a} = \phi(1 \bigoplus_{b \leq a} S_{b})^{\text{presheafable}} (v) \text{ is well defined and is an isomorphism; from this remark one can conclude that } (\phi_{a}, a \in \mathcal{A}) \text{ is a natural transformation from } \bigoplus S^{a} \text{ to } G(\pi) \text{ and a natural transformation from } \bigoplus S_{a} \text{ to } F(\pi), \text{ it is also an isomorphism, } U(\pi) \text{ is decomposable. } (\pi_{a}, a \in \mathcal{A}) \text{ is presheafable because } \bigoplus_{b \leq a} S_{b} \bigoplus_{b \leq a} S_{b} \bigoplus_{b \leq a} S_{b}^{*} \text{ is presheafable.}
\]

**Definition 2.6.** Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a poset, \( a, b \in \mathcal{A} \). We shall say that \( \mathcal{A} \) possesses an intersection for \((a, b)\) when there is \( d \) such that,
∀c ∈ A, c ≤ a & c ≤ b ⇒ c ≤ d

d is unique and we shall note it a ∩ b. We shall say that A possesses all its intersections when it possesses intersections for any couple.

Proof. Let d and d₁ be two intersections for a, b, then d ≤ a, d ≤ b and d ≤ d₁ and by exchanging d and d₁ one gets d₁ ≤ d and therefore d = d₁. □

Example 2.2. Let I be any set then P(I) possesses all its intersections.

Proposition 2.6. Let (πₐ, b ∈ A) be decomposable and (Sₐ, b ∈ A) its decomposition; let v ∈ V, w ∈ ⨁ Sₐ such that v = ∑ₐ wₐ; for any a ∈ A* pose sₐ : V → V as sₐ(v) = wₐ. For any a, b ∈ A, sₐsₐ = δₐ(b)sₐ and,

\[ \piₐπₐb = \sum_{c ≤ a \land c ≤ b} sₐ \]

If A possesses all its intersections then,

(I) ∀a, b ∈ A πₐπₐb = πₐ∩b

Proof. By definition sₐsₐ = δₐ(b)sₐ,

\[ \piₐπₐb = \sum_{d ≤ a} sₐ(\sum_{c ≤ b} sₐ) = \sum_{d ≤ a} \sum_{c ≤ b} δₐ(c)sₐ = \sum_{c ≤ a \land c ≤ b} sₐ \]

When A possesses all its intersections πₐ∩b = ∑c ≤ a∩b sₐ = ∑c ≤ a \land c ≤ b sₐ.

Question. (1) When (I) holds for a collection of endomorphism of V is this collection decomposable?
(2) For what P does (Ep{|Fra}, a ∈ P(I)) admit an interaction decomposition, i.e is decomposable?

3. NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR THE INTERACTION DECOMPOSITION OF PROJECTORS FROM A FINITE POSET TO Vect

Definition 3.1. A poset A is locally finite if for any b ≤ a, [b, a] = \{c ∈ A : b ≤ c ≤ a\} is finite.

Definition 3.2. (Zeta function) Let A be a locally finite poset, let ζ : ⨁ₐ∈A R → ⨁ₐ∈A R be such that for any λ ∈ ⨁ₐ∈A R and a ∈ A,
\[ \zeta(\lambda)(a) = \sum_{b \leq a} \lambda_b \]

\( \zeta \) is the zeta function of \( \mathcal{A} \).

**Proposition 3.1.** *Mobius inversion* The zeta function of a locally finite poset \( \mathcal{A} \) is invertible, we shall note \( \mu \) its inverse and there is \( f : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{Z} \) such that for any \( \lambda \in \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{R} \) and \( a \in \mathcal{A} \),

\[ \mu(\lambda)(a) = \sum_{b \leq a} f(a, b) \lambda_b \]

We shall note \( f \) as \( \mu \) making the reference to \( \mathcal{A} \) implicit.

**Proof.** By applying Proposition 2 [10]. \( \square \)

**Definition 3.3.** Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a locally finite poset and \( M \) a \( (R-) \) module; let \( M_{\mathcal{A}} = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}} M \). The zeta function of \( \mathcal{A} \) with values in \( M \), \( \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(M) : M_{\mathcal{A}} \to M_{\mathcal{A}} \), is such that for any \( m \in M_{\mathcal{A}} \),

\[ \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(M)(m)(a) = \sum_{b \leq a} m_a \]

We shall note \( \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(M) \) as \( \zeta_{\mathcal{A}} \) making the reference to \( M \) implicit.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a locally finite poset, \( M \) a module, \( \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(M) \) is invertible, we shall call its inverse the Mobius function with values in \( M \) and note it as \( \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(M) : M_{\mathcal{A}} \to M_{\mathcal{A}} \). Furthermore, for any \( m \in M_{\mathcal{A}} \) and \( a \in \mathcal{A} \),

\[ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(m)(a) = \sum_{b \leq a} \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(a, b)m_b \]

**Proof.** For any \( m \in M \) and \( b \in \mathcal{A} \),

\[ \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(m)(b) = \sum_{c \leq b} \sum_{d \leq c} \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(c, a)m_a \]

and similarly \( \mu_{\mathcal{A}}\zeta_{\mathcal{A}} = id \).

**Definition 3.4.** Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a finite poset, let \( (\pi_a, a \in \mathcal{A}) \) be a collection of endomorphism of \( V \). Let \( \Pi((\pi_a, a \in \mathcal{A})) : V \to V_{\mathcal{A}} \) be such that for any \( v \in V \), \( \Pi(v)(a) = \pi_a(v) \). For any \( a \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( v \in V \) let \( s_a(v) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \Pi(v)(a) \), as \( \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}\mu_{\mathcal{A}}\Pi = \Pi \),

\[ \pi_a(v) = \sum_{b \leq a} s_b(v) \]
**Remark 3.1.** For $\mathcal{A}$ locally finite, $(\pi_a(v), a \in \mathcal{A})$ is in general not in $V_{\mathcal{A}}$, therefore we decide to restrict our attention to $\mathcal{A}$ finite for the moment.

**Notation 3.1.** For any poset, let $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the set of lower-sets of $\mathcal{A}$, i.e. subsets of $\mathcal{A}$ such that for any $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $a \leq b$ one has that $a \in \mathcal{B}$. We shall also call $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ the poset topology of $\mathcal{A}$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$, let $\hat{a} = \{b \in \mathcal{A} : b \leq a\}$, $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ a locally finite poset, if $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, then one has the following commutation relations,

\[(5) \quad \zeta_{\mathcal{B}} \mbox{pr}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}} = \mbox{pr}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}} \]

\[(6) \quad \mu_{\mathcal{B}} \mbox{pr}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}} = \mbox{pr}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}} \mu_{\mathcal{A}} \]

**Proof.** Let $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $v \in V_{\mathcal{A}}$,

\[\zeta_{\mathcal{B}}(\mbox{pr}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}}(v))(b) = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{A}, c \leq b} v_c = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{A}, c \leq b} v_c = \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(v)(b) \]

Therefore $\zeta_{\mathcal{B}} \mbox{pr}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}} = \mbox{pr}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}$, therefore $\mbox{pr}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}} = \mu_{\mathcal{B}} \mbox{pr}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mbox{pr}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}} \mu_{\mathcal{B}} = \mu_{\mathcal{B}} \mbox{pr}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}}$.

**Definition 3.5.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a any poset and $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$,

\[(7) \quad W_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{B}) = \{v \in V_{\mathcal{A}} : \forall a, c \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \hat{a} \cap \mathcal{B} = \hat{c} \cap \mathcal{B} \implies v_a = v_c\} \]

**Example 3.1.** Let $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, 1'\}$ where $0 \leq 1$ and $0 \leq 1'$; $\mathcal{W}_0 = \{(v, v, v) : v \in V\}$.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a locally finite poset, for any $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(V_{\mathcal{B}}) \subseteq W_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{B})$. If there is $b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{B} = \hat{b}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ has all its intersections $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(V_{\mathcal{B}}) = W_{\mathcal{A}}$.

**Proof.** Let $v \in V_{\mathcal{B}}$, for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(v)(a) = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}} v_a$. Therefore if $\hat{a} \cap \mathcal{B} = \hat{b} \cap \mathcal{B}$, $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(v)(a) = \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(v)(b)$.

Let $u \in W_{\mathcal{A}}(\hat{b})$ and $v \in V_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $u = \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(v)$, for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(v1[\in \hat{b}]) = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}} v_c = u_{\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}} = u_a = \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(v)(a)$; therefore $v = v1[\in \hat{b}]$.

**Remark 3.2.** Let us remark that $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(V_{\mathcal{B}})$ is not equal to $W_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{B})$ in general; let us consider $\mathcal{A} = \{a, b, c, d\}$, with, $a \leq c$, $a \leq d$, $b \leq c$, $b \leq d$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{a, b\}$, asking for $v \in W_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{B})$ is the same than asking for $v_c = v_d$, which can always be fulfilled: let $u = (s_a, s_b, s_c, s_d)$ elements in $V$ such that $s_c = s_d \neq 0$, then $\zeta(u) \in W_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{B})$ but $u \notin V_{\mathcal{B}}$. 

\[\square\]
**Definition 3.6.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be any poset that possesses all its intersections, let $V$ be a vector spaces, a collection $(\pi_a \in \mathcal{L}(V), a \in \mathcal{A})$ is said to verify the intersection property if,

$$(I) \quad \forall a,b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \pi_a \pi_b = \pi_{ab}$$

**Example 3.2.** Let $\mathcal{A} = \{0,1,1’\}$, with $0 \leq 1, 0 \leq 1’$; let $(V, <, >)$ be a Hilbert space, such that there are three closed subspaces $S_0, S_1, S_1’$ such that $V = S_0 \oplus S_1 \oplus S_1’$; let $V_0 = S_0$, $V_1 = S_0 \oplus S_1$, $V_1’ = S_0 \oplus S_1’$. Let $\pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_1’$ be the orthogonal projection on respectively $V_0, V_1, V_1’$, then $\pi_0 \pi_1’ = \pi_0 = \pi_1 \cap 1’$.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite poset that possesses all its intersections, and let $(\pi_a \in \mathcal{L}(V), a \in \mathcal{A})$ that satisfies the intersection property, for any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$s_b \pi_a = 1[ b \leq a ] s_b$$

**Proof.** Let us remark that for any $b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $c \leq b$, $\Pi_{ab} \circ \pi_b (c) = \Pi_{ab} (c)$; therefore for any $b \leq a$,

$$s_b \pi_a = pr_a^b pr_b^a \mu_{ab} \Pi_{ab} \pi_a = pr_a^b \mu_{ab} pr_b^a \Pi_{ab} \pi_a$$

and as noted just before $pr_a^b \Pi_{ab} \pi_a = pr_a^b \Pi_{ab} \pi_a = s_b$. Furthermore for any $b \in \mathcal{A}$, $\pi_b \pi_a = \pi_{ab} = \pi_{ab} \pi_a$, therefore $\text{Im} \Pi_{ab} \pi_a \subseteq W_a$ and so $s_b \pi_a = 1[ b \leq a ] s_b \pi_a$ and therefore $s_b \pi_a = 1[ b \leq a ] s_b$.

$$\square$$

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite poset that possesses all its intersections, $V$ a vector space and $(\pi_a \in \mathcal{L}(V), a \in \mathcal{A})$ a collection that verifies the intersection property $(I)$. For any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$s_a s_b = \delta_a (b) s_a$$

**Proof.** For any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$s_a s_b = s_a \sum_{c \leq b} \mu(b,c) \pi_c (v) = \sum_{c \leq b} \mu(b,c) s_a \pi_c (v)$$

$$s_a s_b = \sum_{c \leq b} \mu(b,c) 1[ a \leq c ] s_a = s_a \sum_{a \leq c \leq b} \mu(b,c)$$

and $\sum_{a \leq c \leq b} \mu(b,c) = \delta_a (b)$, by definition of $\mu$.

$$\square$$

Proposition 3.5 simply relies on two remarks: firstly that for any $\mathcal{A}$ finite and $(\pi_a \in \mathcal{L}(V), a \in \mathcal{A})$, if for any $b \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mu_{ab} (\text{Im} \Pi \circ \pi_b) \subseteq V_b$ then for any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $b \leq a$, $s_b \pi_a = 1[ b \leq a ] s_b \pi_a$; secondly that if $(\pi_a, a \in \mathcal{A})$ is a collection of projectors, for any $b \leq a$, $s_b \pi_a = s_b$, as shown in the proof of 3.5. For this reason it seems natural to want to redefine the intersection property for a collection of projectors of $V$ over any finite poset to be that $\mu_{ab} (\text{Im} \Pi \circ \pi_b) \subseteq V_b$; this would still
allow us to get Theorem 3.1. However showing (I) is in practice much easier than showing the following (I').

**Definition 3.7.** (Intersection property) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite poset, we shall say that a collection of projectors of $V$, $(\pi_a, a \in \mathcal{A})$, satisfies the intersection property if,

$$(\Gamma') \quad \forall b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \mu_\mathcal{A}(\text{Im} \Pi \circ \pi_b) \subseteq V_b$$

**Remark 3.3.** Condition (I') is equivalent to asking that for any $v \in V$ and any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $\pi_a \pi_b = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{A} \cap b} s_c$, and for $\mathcal{A}$ finite that possesses all its intersections and a collection of projectors, (I) is equivalent to (I').

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite poset, $(\pi_a, a \in \mathcal{A})$ a collection of projectors of $V$ that verifies the intersection property; for any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$s_a s_b = \delta_a(b) s_a$$

**Proof.** As $\mu_\mathcal{A}(\text{Im} \Pi \circ \pi_b) \subseteq V_b$, for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $v \in V$, $(s_b(\pi_a(v)) \in V_\mathcal{A}$, therefore for any $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $s_b \pi_a = 1[b \leq a] s_b \pi_a$. For any $b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $c \leq b$, $\Pi_\mathcal{A} \circ \pi_b(c) = \Pi_\mathcal{A}(c)$; therefore for any $b \leq a$,

$$s_b \pi_a = \text{pr}_b^a \mu_\mathcal{A} \Pi_\mathcal{A} \pi_a = \text{pr}_b^a \mu_a \text{pr}_a^\mathcal{A} \Pi_\mathcal{A} \pi_a = \text{pr}_b^\mathcal{A} \Pi_\mathcal{A}$$

Therefore $s_b \pi_a = s_b$: the end of the proof is exactly the same than the one of Theorem 3.1.

**Corollary 3.1.** (Intersection property and decomposability)

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite poset, $V$ a vector space and $(\pi_a, a \in \mathcal{A})$ a collection of projectors of $V$ that verifies the intersection property (I'). Let for $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $S_b = \text{Im} s_b$, and let $\bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}} S_b$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, one has that $\zeta_a \bigg|_{\text{Im} \pi_a}$ and $\zeta_a \bigg|_{\text{Im} \pi_a}$ are isomorphisms.

**Proof.** For any collection $(\pi_a \in \mathcal{P}(V), a \in \mathcal{A})$ one has that $\text{Im} \zeta_a \subseteq \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}} S_b$ and for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\text{Im} \zeta_a \subseteq \bigoplus_{b \leq a} S_b$; when $(\pi_a, a \in \mathcal{A})$ is a collection of projectors that verifies (I'), from Theorem 3.2, for any $b \leq a, \pi_a s_b = \sum_{c \leq a} s_c s_b = s_b$ and $S_b \subseteq \text{Im} \pi_a$, so $\text{Im} \zeta_a = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}} S_b$ and $\text{Im} \zeta_a = \bigoplus_{b \leq a} S_b$. As $\zeta_a, \zeta_a$ are injective (Proposition 3.1),

$$\bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}} S_b \quad \bigoplus_{b \leq a} S_b$$

$\zeta_a \bigg|_{\text{Im} \pi_a}$ and $\zeta_a \bigg|_{\text{Im} \pi_a}$ are isomorphisms.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite poset, $V$ a vector space; a collection of projections of $V$, $(\pi_a, a \in \mathcal{A})$, satisfies the intersection property if and only if it is decomposable.
Proof. If $(\pi_a, a \in \mathcal{A})$ is decomposable Proposition 2.4 and Remark 3.3 imply that it satisfies (I').

For any $v \in V$, let $s_1(v) = v - \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} s_a(v)$, for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $s_a s_1(v) = s_a(v) - s_a(v) = 0 = s_1 s_a(v)$. Let $S_1 = \text{Im} s_1$ then, $(S_b, b \in \mathcal{A}^*)$ is a decomposition of $U$ and for any $v \in V$, and $a \in \mathcal{A}^*$, $\pi_a(v) = \sum_{b \leq a} s_a(v)$, where $\pi_1 = \text{id}$. 

\[ \square \]

Corollary 3.3. (Interaction Decomposition for factor spaces)

Let $I$ be a finite set, $(E_i, i \in I)$ a collection of finite sets, and $\mathbb{P}$ a probability measure on $E$, $(E_a[,|\mathcal{F}_a], a \in \mathcal{P}(I))$ is decomposable if and only if $\mathbb{P}$ is a product measure, i.e there is $(p_i \in \mathbb{P}(E_i), i \in I)$ such that $\mathbb{P} = \otimes_{i \in I} p_i$.

Proof. $\mathcal{P}(I)$ possesses all its intersections; if $(\pi_a = E_a[,|\mathcal{F}_a], a \in \mathcal{P}(I))$ is decomposable, then by Corollary 3.2 for any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $\pi_a \pi_b = \pi_{a \cap b}$. Therefore for any $i \in I$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}_a(E, \mathcal{F})$, $\pi_{a(i)} \pi_{a(i)^*} (f) = \pi_{a(i)} (f) = E[f]$, and so $\mathbb{P}$ is a product measure.

Let $\mathbb{P}$ be a product measure, then for any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $\pi_a \pi_b = \pi_{a \cap b}$ and by Theorem 3.3 $(E_a[,|\mathcal{F}_a], a \in \mathcal{P}(I))$ is decomposable. \[ \square \]

4. Interaction Decomposition for presheaves in $\text{Mod}$

Definition 4.1. Let $\text{Split}$ be the subcategory of $(R-)\text{Mod} \times (R-)\text{Mod}^{op}$ that has as objects $(M, M)$ with $M$ a $(R-)\text{module}$ and for any $M, M_1$ two modules, $\text{Split}((M, M), (M_1, M_1)) = \{(s, r) \in \text{Mod}(V, V_1) \times \text{Mod}(V_1, V) : rs = \text{id}\}$.

Proof. Let $(s, r) \in \text{Split}((M, M), (M_1, M_1))$, $(s_1, r_1) \in \text{Split}((M_1, M_1), (M_2, M_2))$, $rr_1s_1s = \text{id}$. \[ \square \]

Remark 4.1. Let $\pi_1, \pi_2$ be the two projections from respectively $\text{Split} \rightarrow \text{Mod}$, $\text{Split} \rightarrow \text{Mod}^{op}$ defined as $\pi_1(V, V) = \pi_2(V, V) = V$ for $V$ and object of $\text{Split}$ and for a morphism of $\text{Split}$, $\pi_1(s, r) = s$, $\pi_2(s, r) = r$. Any functor $H$ from a poset $\mathcal{A}$ to $\text{Mod} \times \text{Mod}^{op}$ defines a couple of functor/presheaf $(\pi_1 H, \pi_2 H)$ and for any couple of functor/presheaf $(G, F)$ there is a unique a functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\text{Mod} \times \text{Mod}^{op}$, $H$, such that $\pi_1 H = G$, $\pi_2 H = F$; similarly any functor $H$ from a poset $\mathcal{A}$ to $\text{Split}$ defines a couple of functor/presheaf $(\pi_1 H, \pi_2 H)$, any couple $(G, F)$ of functor/presheaf from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\text{Mod}$ defines a functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\text{Split}$ if and only if for any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $b \leq a$, $F^b G_a = \text{id}$. From now on when we refer to a functor from $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Split}$ we shall refer to its couple $(\pi_1 H, \pi_2 H)$.

Remark 4.2. Let $(G, F)$, $(G_1, F_1)$ be two functors from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\text{Split}$ and $\phi \in \text{Split}^\mathcal{A}((G, F), (G_1, F_1))$; for any $a, b \in A$ such that $b \leq a$, $\phi_a G_a^b = G_{1,a}^b \phi_b$ and
Proposition 4.1. Let \((G, F), (G_1, F_1)\) be two functors from \(\mathcal{A}\) to \(\text{Split}\) and \(\phi : (G, F) \to (G_1, F_1)\) be a natural transformation. Let \(\text{Im}\phi = (\text{Im}\pi_1 \ast \phi, \text{Im}\pi_2 \ast \phi)\), \(\text{Im}\phi\) is a functor from \(\mathcal{A}\) to \(\text{Split}\).

Proof. Let \(g = \text{Im}\pi_1 \ast \phi, f = \text{Im}\pi_2 \ast \phi\), for any \(a, b \in \mathcal{A}\) such that \(a \geq b\), and \(v \in g(a), f^a_b g^a_b(v) = F^a_b G^a_b(v) = v\).

\[\square\]

Proposition 4.2. Let \(I\) be any set and \(((G_i, F_i), i \in I)\) a collection of functors from \(\mathcal{A}\) to \(\text{Split}\); \((\bigoplus G_i, \bigoplus F_i)\) and \((\prod G_i, \prod F_i)\) are functors from \(\mathcal{A}\) to \(\text{Split}\).

Proof. Let \(g = \prod G_i, f = \prod F_i\), let \(a, b \in \mathcal{A}\) such that \(b \leq a\), let \(v \in \prod G_i(a)\), for any \(j \in I\), \(f^a_b G^a_b(v) = F^a_b G^a_b(v) = v\).

\[\square\]

Proposition 4.3. Let \((G, F)\) be a functor from a poset \(\mathcal{A}\) to \(\text{Split}\), for any \(a \geq b \geq c\),

\[F^a_b G^a_c = G^a_b\]

Proof. \(F^a_b G^a_c = F^a_b G^a_b G^b_c = G^a_b.\)

\[\square\]

Proposition 4.4. Let \((G, F)\) be a functor from \(\mathcal{A}\) to \(\text{Split}\) and \((G_1, F_1)\) a functor from \(\mathcal{A}\) to \(\text{Mod} \times \text{Mod}^p\); if there is an epimorphism \(\phi\) from \((G, F)\) to \((G_1, F_1)\) then \((G_1, F_1)\) is a functor from \(\mathcal{A}\) to \(\text{Split}\).

Proof. Let \(a, b \in \mathcal{A}\) such that \(b \leq a\), one has that \(F^a_b G^a_1 a \phi_b = \phi_b F^a_b G^b_1 a = \phi_b\) and as \(\phi_b\) is an epimorphism, \(F^a_b G^a_1 a = id\).

\[\square\]

Definition 4.2. Let \(\mathcal{A}\) be any poset and \(G : \mathcal{A} \to \text{Mod}\) be a functor. For any \(a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}\), let \(G_1[a \leq .](b) = G(b)\) if \(b \geq a\) and otherwise \(G_1[a \leq .](b) = 0\); for \(a \leq c \leq b\), \(G_1[a \leq .]c = G_1[b \leq .]c\) if \(a \leq c\) and \(c \leq b\), \(G_1[a \leq .]c = 0\) (as 0 is initial in \(\text{Mod}\)). \(G_1[a \leq .]c\) is a functor from \(\mathcal{A}\) to \(\text{Mod}\).

Similarly let \(F\) be a presheaf from \(\mathcal{A}\) to \(\text{Mod}\), let for any \(a, b \in \mathcal{A}\) and \(a \leq b\), \(F_1[a \leq .](b) = F(b)\) and otherwise \(F_1[a \leq .](b) = 0\), for \(a \leq c \leq b\), \(F_1[a \leq .]c = F^b_c.\)

\(F_1[a \leq .]c\) is a presheaf.

Proof. Let \(a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{A}\) such that \(d \leq c \leq b\), if \(a \leq d\) then \(G_1[a \leq .]d G_1[a \leq .]d = G^a_d G^a_c = G^a_d = G^a_c\) and \(F_1[a \leq .]d F_1[a \leq .]d = F^b_c F^b_c = F^b_c\) if \(a \leq d\); if \(a \not\leq d\) then \(G_1[a \leq .]d G_1[a \leq .]d = G^a_d G^a_c = G^a_d = 0\), \(F_1[a \leq .]d F_1[a \leq .]d = F^b_c F^b_c = F^b_c\), \(a \leq b\).
0F1[\alpha \leq .]_c = F1[\alpha \leq .]_c^0.

\square

Notation 4.1. Let \( M \) be an object of \( \text{Mod} \) and let \( \text{Gp}(M) \) be the groupoid that has as object \( M \) and as morphisms the isomorphisms of \( \text{Mod}(M,M) \).

Definition 4.3. (Decomposable) Let \( H \) be a functor from \( \mathcal{A} \) to \( \text{Split} \) and let \((G_a,F^a), a \in \mathcal{A}\) be a collection of functors from \( \mathcal{A} \) to \( \text{Mod} \times \text{Mod}^{op} \) such that for any \( a \in \mathcal{A} \) there is a module \( M_a \) such that \((G_a,F^a)\) is a functor from \( \mathcal{A} \) to \( \text{Gp}(M) \times \text{Gp}(M) \). We shall say that \( H \) is decomposable if there is such a collection \((G_a,F^a), a \in \mathcal{A}\) for which \( H \) is isomorphic to \(( \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} G_a, \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} F^a \)). When \( H \) is decomposable we shall call \(( \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} G_a, \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} F^a \)) its decomposition and note it as \(( \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} S_a, \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} S^a)\).

Corollary 4.1. Let \( H \) be a decomposable functor from \( \mathcal{A} \) to \( \text{Split} \) and \(( \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} S_a, \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} S^a)\) its decomposition, \(( \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} S_a, \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} S^a)\) is a functor from \( \mathcal{A} \) to \( \text{Split} \) and for any \( a \in \mathcal{A} \), \((S_a, S^a)\) is too.

Proof. By Proposition 4.3 \(( \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} S_a, \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} S^a)\) is a functor from \( \mathcal{A} \) to \( \text{Split} \). Let \( a, b, c \in \mathcal{A} \) such that \( c \leq b \) and \( v \in \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} S_d(c) \), \( \prod_{d \in \mathcal{A}} S_a^b \prod_{d \in \mathcal{A}} S_d(v)(a) = v_a = S_a^b S_a^c(v_a) \). \( \square \)

Remark 4.3. Let \( H \) be a decomposable functor from \( \mathcal{A} \) to \( \text{Split} \) and \(( \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} S_a, \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} S^a)\) its decomposition, for any \( a, b, c \in \mathcal{A} \) such that \( c \leq b \), \( S_{a \in \mathcal{A}} S_{a \in \mathcal{A}}^c = S_{a \in \mathcal{A}}^b \).

Proposition 4.5. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a poset and \((G,F)\) a functor from \( \mathcal{A} \) to \( \text{Split} \), for any \( a, b, c \in \mathcal{A} \) such that \( c \leq b \leq a \),

\[ (10) \quad F^b_c(ker G^b_a) \subseteq ker G^c_a \quad F^c_a(\text{Im} G^c_a) = \text{Im} G^c_b \]

Proof. For any \( a, b, c \in \mathcal{A} \) such that \( c \leq b \leq a \), \( F^c_b G^b_a = id \), therefore \( ker G^b_a = 0 \); \( F^c_b G^b_a = G^c_b \) (Proposition 4.3) therefore \( F^c_b(\text{Im} G^c_a) = \text{Im} G^c_b \).

\( \square \)

Definition 4.4. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a poset and \((G,F)\) a functor from \( \mathcal{A} \) to \( \text{Split} \), let \( R(\alpha, \alpha) = \text{Im} G^\alpha \alpha \), let \( \alpha \geq b \geq c \), let us call \( R_{ab}^c : \text{Im} G^\alpha_a \rightarrow \text{Im} G^\alpha_c \) the unique morphism that satisfies \( R_{ab}^c G^\alpha_a \| R(\alpha, \beta) = G^\alpha_c \| R(\alpha, \gamma) \) and for \( \alpha \geq \beta \geq \alpha \), let
Let \( R_{\beta a}^\alpha : R(\alpha, a) \rightarrow R(\beta, a) \) be such that \( R_{\beta a}^\alpha = F_\beta |_{R(\alpha, a)} \).

For \( \alpha \geq \beta \geq a \geq b \), \( R(\alpha, b) \subseteq R(\alpha, a) \), we shall note the inclusion as \( L_{ab}^{\alpha \beta} \), let \( L_{\alpha a}^\beta = G_\alpha^\beta |_{R(\alpha, a)} \).

We shall call \( L(G, F) \) the left coupling of \( (G, F) \) and \( R(G, F) \) its right coupling.

**Proof.** By Proposition 4.5 \( R \) is well defined. For any \( a \geq b \geq c \), \( G_a^c G_b^c = G_a^c \), therefore \( R(a, c) = \text{Im} G_a^c \subseteq \text{Im} G_a^c = R(a, b) \), and \( G_a^b (\text{Im} G_b^c) = \text{Im} G_a^c \) and \( L \) is well defined. \( \square \)

**Remark 4.4.** Let \( (G, F) \) be a functor from \( \mathcal{A} \) to \( \text{Split} \), for any \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A}, G_{|_\alpha} \) induces a functor monomorphism \( G_\alpha^\beta : G_{|_\alpha} \rightarrow G(\alpha) \) and a presheaf monomorphism \( G_\alpha^{\beta F} : F_\alpha \rightarrow F(\alpha); L(\alpha, \cdot) = \text{Im} G_\alpha^\beta, R(\alpha, \cdot) = \text{Im} G_\alpha^{\beta F} \). Furthermore, \( G_\alpha^{\beta L(\alpha, \cdot)} \) and \( G_\alpha^{\beta F(\alpha, \cdot)} \) are isomorphisms.

**Proposition 4.6.** Let \( (G, F) \) be a functor from \( \mathcal{A} \) to \( \text{Split} \), \( L \) its left coupling, \( R \) its right coupling; for any \( \alpha, \beta, a, b \in \mathcal{A} \) such that \( \alpha \geq \beta \geq a \geq b \),

\[
L_{ab}^\alpha R_{\beta a}^\alpha = L_{ab}^\beta \tag{11}
\]

\[
R_{\beta b}^\alpha R_{\beta a}^\alpha = R_{\beta b}^\beta R_{\beta a}^\alpha \tag{12}
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \alpha \geq \beta \geq a \geq b \), for any \( v \in R(\beta, b) \), \( L_{ab}^\alpha R_{\beta a}^\alpha(v) = G_\alpha^\beta (v) = L_{ab}^\beta (v) \).

For \( v \in F(\alpha), v_1 \in F(\beta) \), \( R_{\beta a}^\alpha G_\alpha^a(v) = F_\alpha^\beta G_\alpha^a(v) = G_\alpha^\beta (v) \) and \( \text{ Im } G_\alpha^{\beta F} (v_1) = G_\beta^\alpha (v_1); R_{\beta b}^\beta R_{\beta a}^\alpha G_\alpha^a(v) = R_{\beta b}^\beta F_\alpha^\beta G_\alpha^a(v) = R_{\beta b}^\beta G_\alpha^\beta (v) = G_\beta^\beta (v) \), by construction \( G_\beta^\beta F_\beta^a(v) = R_{\beta b}^\beta G_\beta^\alpha \).

\[
F(a) \xrightarrow{\partial_{\beta a}^\alpha} R(\alpha, a) \xrightarrow{\partial_{\beta b}^\alpha} R(\beta, a) \xrightarrow{\partial_{\beta b}^\beta} R(\beta, b) \tag{13}
\]

\[
\xymatrix{ F(a) \ar[r]^\partial_{\beta a}^\alpha & R(\alpha, a) \ar[r]^\partial_{\beta b}^\alpha & R(\beta, a) \ar[r]^\partial_{\beta b}^\beta & R(\beta, b) }
\]

\( \square \)

**Definition 4.5.** Let \( \mathcal{A}_1 \) be the subposet of \( \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \) constituted of couples \((\alpha, a)\) such that \( a \leq \alpha \).
Proposition 4.7. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a poset, \( C \) be any category; let \( M_1 = \{((\alpha,a),(\alpha,b)) : (\alpha,a), (\alpha,b) \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } a \geq b \} \), \( M_2 = \{((\alpha,a),(\beta,a)) : (\alpha,a), (\beta,a) \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \alpha \geq \beta \} \), and let \( (G^i_j; i, j \in M_1 \cup M_2 : i \leq j) \) be such that for any \((\alpha,a),(\alpha,b),(\alpha,c) \in \mathcal{A} \) such that \((\alpha,a) \geq (\alpha,b) \geq (\alpha,c)\),

\[
G^a_b G^a_c = G^a_c
\]

for any \((\alpha,a),(\beta,a),(\gamma,a) \) such that \((\alpha,a) \geq (\beta,a) \geq (\gamma,a)\),

\[
G^\alpha_\beta G^\alpha_\gamma = G^\alpha_\gamma
\]

and for any \((\alpha,a),(\beta,a),(\beta,b) \) such that \((\alpha,a) \geq (\beta,a) \geq (\beta,b)\), i.e. \( \alpha \geq \beta \geq a \geq b \),

\[
G^\beta_a G^\gamma_a = G^\beta_a G^\gamma_a
\]

Then \( G \) extends into a unique functor \( G_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C \), we shall also denote this extension as \( G \).

Proof. Let us remark that for any \((\alpha,a),(\beta,b) \in \mathcal{A} \) such that \((\alpha,a) \geq (\beta,b)\), then \((\alpha,a) \geq (\beta,b)\). Let \( G_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C \) be a functor such that for any \((\alpha,a) \geq (\beta,a)\), \( G_1^a_b = G^a_b \) and for any \((\alpha,a) \geq (\beta,a)\), \( G_1^\beta_a = G^\beta_a \), then for any \((\alpha,a) \geq (\beta,b)\), \( G_1^\beta_b = G^\beta_a G^\beta_b \). Therefore there can be only one functor that extends \( G \) to \( \mathcal{A} \).

Let for any \((\alpha,a) \geq (\beta,b)\), \( G_1^\beta_b = G^\beta_a G^\beta_b \).

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G(\beta,b) & \xrightarrow{G^\beta_b} & G_1^\beta_a \\
\downarrow G_{ab}^\beta & & \downarrow G_{oa}^\beta \\
G(\alpha,b) & \rightarrow & G(\alpha,a)
\end{array}
\]

(14)

For any \((\alpha,a) \geq (\beta,b) \geq (\gamma,c)\),

\[
G_1^\beta_b G_1^\gamma_c = G^a_b G^\beta_a G^\gamma_c = G^a_b G^\beta_a G^\gamma_a G^\gamma_c = G^a_c G^\gamma_c
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G(\gamma,c) & \xrightarrow{G^\gamma_c} & G_1^\gamma_b \\
\downarrow G_{bc}^\gamma & & \downarrow G_{ob}^\gamma \\
G(\beta,c) & \rightarrow & G(\beta,b)
\end{array}
\]

(15)
Remark 4.5. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a poset, if one applies Proposition 4.7 for $\mathcal{A}^{op}$ then one extends $G$ to a presheaf.

Corollary 4.2. Let $(G, F)$ be a functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to Split, let $(L, R)$ be its left and right coupling; $(L, R)$ has a unique extension into a functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to Split.

Proof. By construction for any $(\alpha, a), (\beta, a), (\gamma, a) \in \mathcal{A}_1$ such that $(\alpha, a) \geq (\beta, a) \geq (\gamma, a)$, $L_{\alpha a}^\beta = L_{\alpha a}^\gamma$, and for any $(\alpha, a), (\beta, b), (\gamma, c) \in \mathcal{A}_1$ such that $(\alpha, a) \geq (\beta, b) \geq (\gamma, c)$, $L_{\alpha a}^\beta L_{\beta b} = L_{\gamma c}^\alpha$. Therefore by Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 4.7 $L$ extends into a unique functor from $\mathcal{A}_1$ to Mod.

By construction for any $(\alpha, a), (\beta, a), (\gamma, a) \in \mathcal{A}_1$ such that $(\alpha, a) \geq (\beta, a) \geq (\gamma, a)$, $R_{\gamma a}^\beta R_{\gamma a}^\alpha = R_{\gamma a}^\gamma$. Let $(\alpha, a), (\beta, b), (\gamma, c) \in \mathcal{A}_1$ such that $(\alpha, a) \geq (\beta, b) \geq (\gamma, c)$ and $v \in G(a)$, $R_{\alpha a}^\beta R_{\alpha a}^\gamma G_a(v) = R_{\alpha a}^\beta G_a F_a G_a(v) = G_a F_a G_a(v) = R_{\alpha a}^\gamma G_a(v)$; as $G_a$ is surjective, $R_{\alpha a}^\beta R_{\alpha a}^\gamma = R_{\alpha a}^\gamma$. Therefore by Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 4.7 $R$ extends into a unique presheaf from $\mathcal{A}_1$ to Mod.

For any $\alpha \geq a \geq b$ and $v \in G(b)$, $R_{\alpha b}^\gamma R_{\alpha b}^\gamma G_b^\gamma(v) = R_{\alpha b}^\gamma G_b^\gamma F_b G_b^\gamma(v) = G_b^\gamma F_b G_b^\gamma(v) = G_b^\gamma(v)$, as $G_b^\gamma$ is surjective, $R_{\alpha b}^\gamma R_{\alpha b}^\gamma = \text{id}$; for $\alpha \geq b \geq a$ and $v \in R(b, a)$, $R_{\alpha b}^\gamma R_{\alpha b}^\gamma v = F_b^\gamma G_b^\gamma(v) = v$; therefore for any $(\alpha, a) \geq (\beta, b), R_{\beta b}^\alpha R_{\alpha a}^\beta = R_{\beta b}^\alpha R_{\alpha a}^\beta L_{\alpha a}^\beta = R_{\beta b}^\alpha L_{\alpha a}^\beta = \text{id}.

Remark 4.6. For $(G, F)$ a functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to Split, and $(\alpha, a) \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $L_{\alpha a}^\alpha = G_a^\alpha$, $R_{\alpha a}^\alpha = F_a^\alpha$. Indeed, let us recall that $R(a, a) = F(a)$, $R_{\alpha a}^\alpha = F_a^\alpha$, and $R_{\alpha a}^\alpha = F_a^\alpha$. Therefore $R_{\alpha a}^\alpha F_a^\alpha = R_{\alpha a}^\alpha F_a^\alpha$. For $v \in G(a)$, $R_{\alpha a}^\alpha F_a^\alpha(v) = F_a^\alpha v$; therefore for any $(\alpha, a) \geq (\beta, b), R_{\beta b}^\alpha R_{\alpha a}^\beta = R_{\beta b}^\alpha R_{\alpha a}^\beta L_{\alpha a}^\beta = R_{\beta b}^\alpha L_{\alpha a}^\beta = \text{id}.

Corollary 4.3. Let $(G, F)$ be a functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to Split, for any $\alpha, \beta, a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\alpha \geq \beta \geq a$, $L_{\alpha a}^\beta$ is an isomorphism, its inverse is $R_{\alpha a}^\beta$.

Proof. For any $\alpha \geq \beta \geq a$, $L_{\alpha a}^\beta = L_{\alpha a}^\beta \text{Im}G_a^\beta$ and as $G_a^\beta$ is injective and $G_a^\beta G_a^\beta = G_a^\beta$, $\text{Im}L_{\alpha a}^\beta = \text{Im}G_a^\beta = L(a, a)$; therefore $L_{\alpha a}^\beta$ is an isomorphism. Furthermore by Corollary 4.2 $R_{\alpha a}^\beta$ is the inverse of $L_{\alpha a}^\beta$.

Definition 4.6. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be any poset, let $(G, F)$ be a functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to Split. For any $(\alpha, a) \in \mathcal{A}_1$, let $V(a, a) = \prod_{b \leq a} G(a)$ (which in the previous section we would note as $G(a)_a$). For any $\alpha, \beta, a, b$ such that $\alpha \geq \beta \geq a \geq b$ let $V_{\alpha b}^\alpha = \prod_{c \leq b} G(a)$, $V_{\beta a}^\beta = \prod_{c \leq a} G(a)$, $V_{\alpha b}^\alpha V_{\beta a}^\beta : V(a, a) \to V(\beta, a)$ be such that for any $v \in V(a, a)$ and $c \leq a$
a, V^{\alpha \alpha}(v)(c) = F_{\beta}^\alpha(v_c), V^{\beta}_{\alpha a}(v) : V(\beta, a) \to V(\alpha, a) be such that for any v \in V(\beta, a) and c \leq a, V^{\alpha \alpha}(v)(c) = G^{\beta}_{\alpha}(v_c).

**Proposition 4.8.** Let \( \mathcal{A} \), \( (G, F) \) be a functor from \( \mathcal{A} \) to \( \text{Split} \). \( \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} G_1[a \leq .], \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} F_1[a \leq .] \) is a functor from \( \mathcal{A} \) to \( \text{Split} \). \((V_1, V_r)\) extends into a unique functor from \( \mathcal{A} \) to \( \text{Split} \).

**Proof.** Let \( b, c \in \mathcal{A} \) such that \( c \leq b \), let us note \( \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} F_1[a \leq .] \) as \( F \) and \( \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} G_1[a \leq .] \) as \( G \); for any \( v \in \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} G_1[a \leq .](c) \), and \( a \in \mathcal{A} \), \( FG(v)(a) = F^b_{a}G_{a}^c(v_a)1[a \leq b]1[a \leq \beta] = v_a1[a \leq c] = id \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} G_1[a \leq .](c) \), \((\zeta_1)\) (this also shows that \( G_1[a \leq .], F_1[a \leq .] \) is a functor from \( \mathcal{A} \) to \( \text{Split} \).

For \( \alpha \geq a \geq b \geq c \), \( V_{a a}^{\alpha \beta}V_{\alpha a}^{\alpha c} = V_{a a}^{\alpha c}V_{\alpha a}^{\alpha b} = V_{a a}^{\alpha a} \). For any \( \alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma \geq a \), any \( v \in V(\alpha, c) \), any \( c \leq a \), \( V_{\alpha a}^{\beta \alpha}V_{\alpha a}^{\gamma a}(v)(c) = G_{\gamma}^aG_{\alpha}^a(v_c) = G_{\gamma}^a(v_c) = V_{\alpha a}^{\gamma a}(v)(c) \) and for any \( v \in V(\alpha, a) \), and \( c \leq a \), \( V_{\alpha a}^{\beta \alpha}V_{\alpha a}^{\alpha \alpha}(v)(c) = F_{\beta}^\gamma F_{\beta}^\gamma(v_c) = F_{\gamma}^\gamma(v_c) = V_{\alpha a}^{\gamma \alpha}(v)(c) \).

Let \( \alpha \geq \beta \geq a \geq b \), \( v \in V(\beta, b) \); for any \( c \leq a \), \( V_{\alpha a}^{\beta \beta}V_{\alpha a}^{\gamma \beta}(v)(c) = G_{\alpha}^a(V_{\gamma \alpha}^{\beta \alpha}(v)(c)) = G_{\alpha}^a(v_c1[c \leq b]) = G_{\alpha}^b(v_c)1[c \leq b] \), \( V_{\alpha a}^{\beta \beta}V_{\alpha a}^{\gamma \beta}(v)(c) = V_{\alpha a}^{\beta \beta}(v)(c)1[c \leq b] = G_{\alpha}^a(v_c)1[c \leq b] \); similarly, one has that \( V_{\alpha a}^{\beta \beta}V_{\alpha a}^{\gamma \beta} = V_{\beta \beta}^aV_{\alpha a}^{\gamma \beta} \). Therefore by Proposition 4.9 \((V_1, \mathcal{A}) \) extends to a functor from \( \mathcal{A}_1 \) to \( \text{Mod} \) and \( V_r \) to a presheaf from \( \mathcal{A}_1 \) to \( \text{Mod} \).

For any \( \alpha \geq a \geq b \), \( c \leq a \), \( V_{\beta a}^{\alpha a}V_{\alpha a}^{\beta b}(v)(c) = F_{\beta}^\alpha G_{\alpha}^a(v_c) = v_c \). For any \( \alpha \geq a \geq b \), \( V_{\beta a}^{\alpha a}V_{\alpha a}^{\beta b} = V_{\beta a}^{\alpha a}V_{\alpha a}^{\beta b} \), \( V_{\beta a}^{\alpha a}V_{\alpha a}^{\beta b} = id \), therefore for any \( \alpha \geq (\beta, b) \), \( V_{\beta a}^{\alpha a}V_{\alpha a}^{\beta b} = V_{\beta a}^{\alpha a}V_{\alpha a}^{\beta b} \).

Until now in this subsection there was no constraint on \( \mathcal{A} \), in order to be able to define \( \zeta_\alpha(G(\alpha)) \) on \( V(\alpha, \alpha) \) we will have to assume that \( \tilde{\alpha} \) is finite for any \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \).

**Notation 4.2.** The class of posets that are such that \( \tilde{\alpha} \) is finite for any \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \) will be denoted as \( \mathcal{A}_f \).

**Remark 4.7.** Let \( \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_f \) and \((G, a \in \mathcal{A}) \) a collection of functors from \( \mathcal{A} \) to \( \text{Mod} \), \( \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} G_1[a \leq .] = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}} G_1[a \leq .] \), indeed for any \( b \in \mathcal{A} \), \( \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} G_1[a \leq b] = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}} G_1[a \leq b] = G_a(b) \).

**Proposition 4.9.** Let \((G, F)\) be a functor from \( \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_f \) to \( \text{Split} \), for any \( \alpha, a \in \mathcal{A} \), \( \zeta(\alpha, a) = \zeta(\alpha)(\alpha)(a) \) : \( V(\alpha, a) \to V(\alpha, a) \) and \( \mu(\alpha, a) = \mu_\alpha(G(\alpha)) : V(\alpha, a) \to V(\alpha, a) \), \( \zeta, \mu \in \text{Mod}^{\text{self}}(V_r, V_r) \).
Lemma 4.1. Let $V, V_1$ be two modules, $\mathcal{A}$ a finite poset, and $l : V \to V_1$ a linear application; let $L : V_0^\mathcal{A} \to V_1^\mathcal{A}$ be such that $L(v)(a) = l(v)_a$. \( \zeta^\mathcal{A}(V_1)L = L_{\zeta^\mathcal{A}}(V) \) and $\mu^\mathcal{A}(V_1)L = L_{\mu^\mathcal{A}}(V)$.

Proof. For any $v \in V_0^\mathcal{A}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $L(\zeta^\mathcal{A}(V)(v))(a) = l(\sum_{b \leq a} v_b) = \sum_{b \leq a} l(v_b)$ is $\zeta^\mathcal{A}(V_1)(L(v))(a)$. Futhermore $\mu^\mathcal{A}(V_1)\zeta^\mathcal{A}(V_1)L_{\mu^\mathcal{A}}(V) = \mu^\mathcal{A}(V_1)L_{\zeta^\mathcal{A}}(V) \mu^\mathcal{A}(V)$ so $\mu^\mathcal{A}(V_1)L = L_{\mu^\mathcal{A}}(V)$.

Remark 4.8. $\zeta, \mu$ are in general not natural transformations from $V$ to $V_1$ because for $\alpha \geq a \geq b$, $V_{ab}^\alpha \zeta(\alpha, b) \neq \zeta(\alpha, a) V_{ab}^\alpha$.

Notation 4.3. For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, we shall note $\zeta(\alpha, \alpha)$ as $\zeta^\alpha$.

Definition 4.7. (Intersection property) Let $(G, F)$ be a functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to Split. For any $(\alpha, a) \in \mathcal{A}$, let $\pi^\alpha_a = L_{\alpha a}^\alpha R_{\alpha a}^\alpha$, $\pi^\alpha_{aa}$ is a projector. For a given $\alpha$, we shall denote this collection as $\pi^\alpha$.

$(G, F)$ is said to satisfy the intersection property for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ if $\pi^\alpha$ satisfies the intersection property (I) and is said to satisfy the intersection property if it satisfies it for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. As $(L, R)$ is a functor from $\mathcal{A}_1$ to Split (Corollary 4.8) $\pi^{\alpha a}_a = L_{\alpha a}^\alpha R_{\alpha a}^\alpha L_{\alpha a}^\alpha R_{\alpha a}^\alpha = L_{\alpha a}^\alpha R_{\alpha a}^\alpha$.

Remark 4.9. Let $(G, F)$ be a functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to Split; let $(\alpha, a) \in \mathcal{A}_{\hat{\alpha}}$, let us remark that $\pi^\alpha_a = L_{\alpha a}^\alpha L_{\alpha a}^\alpha F_{\alpha}^a = L_{\alpha a}^\alpha F_{\alpha}^a = G_{\alpha}^a F_{\alpha}^a$. Therefore the intersection property is equivalent to for any $(\alpha, a) \in \mathcal{A}_{\hat{\alpha}}$, for any $v \in G(\alpha)$, $\text{Im}(G_{\beta}^b F_{\alpha}^b G_{\alpha}^b F_{\alpha}^b) \subseteq \text{Im}(G_{\alpha}^b F_{\alpha}^b)$. If $\mathcal{A}$ has all its intersections, the intersection property is equivalent to for any $(\alpha, a), (\alpha, b) \in \mathcal{A}_{\hat{\alpha}}$, $G_{\beta}^b F_{\alpha}^b G_{\alpha}^b F_{\alpha}^b = G_{\alpha}^b F_{\alpha}^b$.

Remark 4.10. Let $(G, F)$ be a functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to Split, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, $(\pi^\alpha_{ab}, a \in \hat{\alpha})$ is presheafable as for any $v \in R(\alpha, a)$ and $(\alpha, a), (\alpha, b) \in \mathcal{A}$, such that $b \leq a$, $\pi^{\alpha}_{ab}(v) = \pi^{\alpha}_{ab} \pi^{\alpha a}_a(v)$.

Remark 4.11. Let $(G, F)$ be a functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to Split, for any $(\alpha, a) \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $\text{Im}(\pi^\alpha_a) = R(\alpha, a)$.

Proposition 4.10. Let $(G, F)$ be a functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to Split, if $(G, F)$ verifies the intersection property for $\alpha$ then it verifies it for any $\beta \leq \alpha$.
Proposition 4.11. Let \((G, F)\) be a functor from \(\mathcal{A}\) to \(\text{Split}\), for any \((\alpha, a) \in \mathcal{A}_1\) let us denote \(R_{\mid (\alpha, a)}\) as \(R^\alpha\); \(R^\alpha_{ab}, b \leq a\) is a natural transformation from \(R(\alpha, a)\) to \(R^\alpha\); let us denote \(\phi(\alpha, a) : R(\alpha, a) \to \lim b R^\alpha_{ab}(b)\) its limit. One has that \((\lim b R^\alpha_{ab}(b), (\alpha, a) \in \mathcal{A}_1)\) is a subobject of \(V_r\) that we shall note as \(M\) and \(\phi : R \to M\) is a natural transformation, furthermore it is an isomorphism.

Proof. By definition \(\lim b R^\alpha_{ab}(b) \subseteq V(\alpha, a)\); let \(\alpha, a, b, c, e \in \mathcal{A}\) such that \(\alpha \geq a \geq b \geq c \geq c_1\) and \(v \in \lim e R^\alpha_{ac}(c)\), one has that \(R^\alpha_{ac}(V_{rab}(v)(c)) = R^\alpha_{ac}(v(c)) = v(c)\). Let \(\alpha \geq \beta \geq a \geq b \geq b_1\) and \(v \in \lim e R^\alpha_{ac}(c)\), one has that \(V^\alpha_{\beta b}(v)(b) \in R(\beta, b)\) and \(R^\beta_{\beta b}(V^\alpha_{\beta b}(v)(b)) = R^\beta_{\beta b}(V^\alpha_{\beta b}(v)(b)) = R^\beta_{\beta b}(V^\alpha_{\beta b}(v)(b)) = R^\beta_{\beta b}(v(b)) = R^\beta_{\beta b}(v(b))\) and therefore \(R^\beta_{\beta b}(V^\alpha_{\beta b}(v)(b)) = V^\alpha_{\beta b}(v(b))\). For any \((\alpha, a), (\beta, b) \in \mathcal{A}_1\) such that \(\alpha \geq (\beta, b), \lim e R^\alpha_{bc}(e)\) is an isomorphism.

For any \((\alpha, a) \in \mathcal{A}_1\), \((\alpha, a)\) is initial in \((\alpha, \hat{a})\), therefore \(\phi(\alpha, a)\) is an isomorphism.

Therefore so is \(\phi\).

\(\square\)

Proposition 4.12. Let \((G, F)\) be a functor from \(\mathcal{A}\) to \(\text{Split}\), if \((G, F)\) satisfies the intersection property, \(j = \mu^M_{V_r}\phi \in \text{Split}^{\mathcal{A}}((L, R), (V_r, V_r))\) is a monomorphism.

Proof. Let \((\alpha, a) \in \mathcal{A}_1\) and \(v \in R(\alpha, a)\), for any \(b \leq a\), \(j(\alpha, a)L^\alpha_{ab}(v)(b) = \mu(\alpha, a)(R^\alpha_{ab}(v), c \leq a)(b) = \mu(\alpha, a)(R^\alpha_{ab}(v), c \leq a)(b)\) as \(\mu\) is an endomorphism of \(V_r\). Furthermore as \(R(\alpha, a) = \text{Im} \mu^\alpha_{aa}, \phi(\alpha, a)L^\alpha_{aa}(v) = \phi(\alpha, a)(v) \in \text{Im} \mu^\alpha_{aa}V^\alpha_{aa}\), therefore for any \(b \leq a\), \(\mu(\alpha, a)(\phi(\alpha, a)(v))(b) = 0\) and so \(j(\alpha, a)L^\alpha_{aa}(v) = V^\alpha_{aa}j(\alpha a)\).

Therefore \(j(\alpha, a)L^\alpha_{aa} = V^\alpha_{aa}j(\alpha a)\).

Let \(b \leq a\), \(V^\alpha_{aa}j(\alpha, a)L^\alpha_{ab} = j(\alpha, a)L^\alpha_{ab} = V^\alpha_{aa}V^\alpha_{ab}j(\alpha, b) = V^\alpha_{aa}V^\alpha_{ab}j(\alpha, b)\). \(V^\alpha_{aa}\) is a monomorphism therefore, \(j(\alpha, a)L^\alpha_{aa} = V^\alpha_{aa}j(\alpha a)\).

For \(\alpha \geq \beta \geq a\), \(j(\alpha, a)L^\beta_{aa} = V^\beta_{aa}j(\beta, a)\) holds even if \((G, F)\) does not satisfy the intersection property.

\(\phi\) is a isomorphism from \(R\) to \(M\), \(i_{V_r}\) is a monomorphism and \(\mu\) is an isomorphism from \(V_r\) to \(V_r\) therefore \(j\) is a monomorphism.

\(\square\)

Proposition 4.13. Let \((G, F)\) be a functor from \(\mathcal{A}\) to \(\text{Split}\), let \(b \in \mathcal{A}\). For any \((\alpha, a) \in \mathcal{A}_1\) if \(a \geq b\) let \(G_b(\alpha, a) = G(\alpha)\) and if not \(G_b(\alpha, a) = 0\); let \(\alpha \geq a \geq a_1\),
if \(a_1 \geq b\), let \(G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} = G_{\beta \alpha a}^{\beta \alpha a} = id\), if not \(G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} = G_{\beta \alpha a}^{\beta \alpha a} = 0\), let \(\alpha \geq \beta \geq a\), if \(a \geq b\) let \(G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} = F_{\beta}^{\alpha} \) and \(G_{b \alpha a}^{\beta \alpha a} = G_{\beta a}^{\beta a}\), if not, \(G_{b \alpha a}^{\beta a} = 0 = G_{b \alpha a}^{\beta \alpha a}\). \((G_{\beta}, G_{\beta})\) extend into a unique functor from \(\mathcal{A}_1\) to \(\text{Split}\). For any \((\alpha, a) \in \mathcal{A}_1\), if \(a \geq b\) let \(pr_b(\alpha, a) : V(\alpha, a) \to G(\alpha)\) be such that for any \(v \in V(\alpha, a)\), \(pr_b(v) = v_b\), if \(a \neq b\), \(pr_b(v) = 0\). \(pr_b \in \text{Split}^{\mathcal{A}_1}((V_1, V_r), (G_b, G^0))\).

**Proof.** Let \(b \in \mathcal{A}_1, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1, G_{b(\alpha, a)}(G(\alpha)1(\alpha, b) \leq \alpha)\) if \(b \neq \alpha\), \(1(\alpha, b) \leq \alpha\) if \(b \leq \alpha\), therefore for \(\alpha \geq a \geq a_1 \geq a_2, G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} = G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} = G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a}\).

Let \(\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma \geq a\), if \(a \geq b, G_{b \alpha a}^{\beta \alpha a} G_{\gamma}^{\gamma a} = G_{b \alpha a}^{\beta \alpha a} G_{\gamma}^{\gamma a} G_{b \alpha a}^{\gamma a} = F_{\beta}^{\gamma} F_{\beta}^{\gamma} = G_{\gamma a}^{\gamma a}, \) and if \(a \neq b, G_{b \alpha a}^{\beta \alpha a} G_{b \alpha a}^{\gamma a} = 0 = G_{b \alpha a}^{\gamma a} G_{b \alpha a}^{\beta \alpha a} G_{b \alpha a}^{\gamma a} = G_{\gamma a}^{\gamma a}\). Furthermore for \(\alpha \geq \beta \geq a \geq c\), if \(c \geq b, G_{b \alpha a}^{\beta \alpha a} G_{b \alpha a}^{\beta c} = G_{b \alpha a}^{\beta \alpha a} G_{b \alpha a}^{\beta c} = G_{b \alpha a}^{\beta \alpha a} G_{b \alpha a}^{\beta c}, G_{b \alpha a}^{\beta \alpha a} G_{b \alpha a}^{\beta \alpha a} = F_{\alpha}^{\gamma c} G_{\alpha}^{\gamma a} \). Therefore by Proposition 4.7, \(G_{\alpha}, G_{\alpha}\) extend respectively to a functor and a presheaf from \(\mathcal{A}_1\) to \(\text{Mod}\).

Let \(\alpha \geq a \geq a_1\), if \(b \leq a_1, G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} = id\) if \(b \neq a_1, G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} := 0 \to 0\) therefore \(G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} = id\); let \(\alpha \geq \beta \geq a, G_{b \alpha a}^{\beta \alpha a} G_{b \alpha a}^{\beta a} = id\); therefore \((G_{\beta}, G_{\beta})\) is a functor from \(\mathcal{A}_1\) to \(\text{Split}\).

Let \(\alpha \geq a \geq a_1\), if \(b \leq a_1, pr_b(\alpha, a)V_{\alpha a}^{\alpha a} = pr_b(\alpha, a_1) = G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} pr_b(\alpha, a_1), pr_b(\alpha, a)V_{\alpha a}^{\alpha a} = pr_b(\alpha, a) = G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} pr_b(\alpha, a), \) and if \(b \neq a_1, \) for any \(v \in V(\alpha, a_1), G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} pr_b(\alpha, a_1)(v) = 0 = v_b[1 \leq a_1] = pr_b(\alpha, a)V_{\alpha a}^{\alpha a} \) and \(pr_b(\alpha, a_1)V_{\alpha a}^{\alpha a} = 0 = G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} pr_b(\alpha, a). \) For \(\alpha \geq \beta \geq a \geq b \leq a, pr_b(\alpha, a)V_{\alpha a}^{\alpha a} = pr_b(\alpha, a) = G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} pr_b(\alpha, a); \) if \(b \neq a, pr_b(\beta, a)V_{\alpha a}^{\alpha a} = 0 = G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} pr_b(\beta, a) \) and \(pr_b(\alpha, a)V_{\alpha a}^{\alpha a} = 0 = G_{b \alpha a}^{\alpha a} pr_b(\beta, a). \)

**Definition 4.8.** Let \((G, F)\) be a functor from \(\mathcal{A} \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}_f\) to \(\text{Split}\). For any \(a \in \mathcal{A}\) let \((S_a, S^a) = \text{Impr} \circ j : \mathcal{A}_1 \to \text{Split}\)

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \((G, F)\) a functor from \(\mathcal{A} \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}_f\) to \(\text{Split}\) that satisfies the intersection property, \(j|_{\mathcal{M}}^{lin}(L, R) \to (\bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}_1} S_a, \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1} S^a)\) is an isomorphism. We shall note \(j|_{\mathcal{M}}^{lin}\) as \(\psi\).

**Proof.** From Proposition 4.12, \(j\) is a monomorphism furthermore from Corollary 3.4 for any \(a \in \mathcal{A}_1, \text{Im} j(\alpha, a) = \bigoplus_{b \leq a} S_b(\alpha, a) = \bigoplus_{b \leq a} S_b(\alpha, a). \)

**Corollary 4.4.** Let \((G, F)\) be a functor from \(\mathcal{A} \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}_f\) to \(\text{Split}\), \((G, F)\) satisfies the intersection property if and only if \((G, F)\) is decomposable.

**Proof.** Let \((G, F)\) be a functor from \(\mathcal{A} \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}_f\) to \(\text{Split}\) that satisfies the intersection property: let us recall that \((L, R)\) is a functor from \(\mathcal{A}_1\) to \(\text{Split}\) (Corollary 4.2) and that for any \(\alpha \geq \beta \geq a, L_{\alpha a}^{\beta a}\) is an isomorphism. Let us recall that for any
\[ \alpha \geq \beta \geq a, \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{A}} S_b^{\beta a} \psi(\beta, a) = \psi(\alpha, a) L_{\alpha a}^{\beta a}, \text{ where } \psi(\alpha, a), \psi(\beta, a), L_{\alpha a}^{\beta a} \text{ are isomorphisms; therefore } \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{A}} S_b^{\beta a} \text{ is an isomorphism and as } (\bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{A}} S_b, \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{A}} S_b) \text{ is a functor from } \mathcal{A} \text{ to Split its inverse is } \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{A}} S_b^{\beta a} \text{ and } S_{\alpha a}^{\beta a} \text{ is an isomorphism (its inverse is } S_{\alpha a}^{\beta a}); \text{ for } \alpha \geq a \geq a_1 \geq b, S_{\alpha a}^{\beta a} \text{ is also an isomorphism.}

Let us remark that \( \{(a, a) \in A_1 : a \in \mathcal{A}\} \) is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{A} \); let for any \( a \in \mathcal{A} \), \( C^a = S^a|_{\mathcal{A}} \) and \( C_\alpha = S_\alpha|_{\mathcal{A}} \); by definition \( (C^a, C_\alpha) \) is a functor from \( \mathcal{A} \) to \( \text{Split} \); for any \( a_2 \geq a_1 \geq a \), \( C_{a_1}^{a_2} = S_{a_1 a_2} = S,a_2 = S_{a_1 a_2} = S_{a_1} a_2 \) is an isomorphism. Furthermore \( (G, F) \cong (L, R)|_{\mathcal{A}} \) (Remark \( \ref{remark} \)), therefore \( (G, F) \) is decomposable and its decomposition is \( (\bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}} C^a, \bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}} C_\alpha) \).

Let \( \mathcal{A} \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}, (G, F) = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}} C^a, \bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}} C_\alpha \), let \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \), for any \( a \leq \alpha \) and \( c \leq \alpha \) and \( v \in G(\alpha) \), \( \pi^\alpha v (v) (c) = F^\alpha a (v) (c) = v_a 1 [c \leq a] \). Let us denote \( \pi^\alpha \) simply as \( \pi \) and \( \mu_b \) as \( \mu \); for any \( v \in \text{Im} \mathcal{H}(\pi) \) and \( a \in \bar{\alpha} \), \( \mu(v)(a) = \sum_{b \leq a} \mu(a, b) \bigoplus v_c = \bigoplus_{c \leq a} \sum_{b \leq a} \mu(a, b) v_c = v_a \times a. \)

Furthermore for \( a \leq \alpha \) and \( b \leq \alpha \) and \( c \leq \alpha \) and \( v \in G(\alpha) \), \( \pi_a \pi_b v (v) (c) = v_a 1 [c \leq a] \times b \leq c \times c = \sum_{c \leq a} v_c \times c = \sum_{c \leq a} \mu(v)(c) \). Therefore \( (G, F) \) satisfies the intersection property.

\[ \square \]

5. Conclusion

Several applications of Theorem \( \ref{theo} \) can be considered, for example one can give a process that extends the Gram-Schmidt process from \( \mathbb{N} \) to any finite poset, and if one extends Theorem \( \ref{theo} \) to the case of separable Hilbert spaces then one gets this process for separable Hilbert spaces and countable posets.

Notations

1. For \( I \) any set we shall denote \( \mathcal{P}(I) \) the set of subsets of \( I \).
2. For \( \mathcal{A} \) a poset, \( \mathcal{A}^* \) is the poset sum of \( \mathcal{A} \) and the one element poset 1.
3. The class of posets that are such that \( \bar{a} \) is finite for any \( a \in \mathcal{A} \) will be denoted as \( \bar{\mathcal{A}} \).
4. \( k - \text{Vect} \) is the category of \( k \)-vector spaces, \( R - \text{Mod} \) is the category of \( R \) modules; if \( k \) and \( R \) are implicit, we shall simply note them as \( \text{Vect} \) and \( \text{Mod} \).
5. Let \( B \) be a subset of \( A \), we shall note its complementary \( B^c \).
6. Let \( C \) be a locally small category, for any two object, \( A, B \), of \( C \) we shall note \( \text{hom}_C(A, B) \) as \( C(A, B) \).
7. A collection of element over a set \( I \) shall be noted as \( (x_i, i \in I), (x_i; i \in I) \) or \( (x_i \in X_i, i \in I) \) if one wants to precise that for any \( i \in I, x_i \in X_i \).
(8) Let \( A, B_1, B, C \) be sets such that \( B_1 \subseteq B \), \( f : A \to B_1 \), \( g : B \to C \) be two applications, for any \( v \in A \), we shall note \( gf(v) = g(f(v)) \).

(9) Let \( I \) be any set, \( (V_i, i \in I) \) a collection of modules over a fixed ring \( R \) and \( v \in \prod_{i \in I} V_i \) such that for any \( i \neq j \), \( v(i) = 0 \), we shall denote \( v \) as \( v_i \times j \).

(10) Let \( C \) be any category, \( A_1, A, B_1, B \) object of \( C \) with \( i : A_1 \to A \) a subobject of \( A \) and \( j : B_1 \to B \) a subobject of \( B \). Let \( \phi : A \to B \) be morphism when it exists we shall note \( \phi^{B_1}_{A_1} \) the unique morphisms such that \( \phi i = j \phi^{B_1}_{A_1} \).

(11) Let \( C, C_1, C_2 \) be three categories, let \( F, G : C \to C_1 \) be two functor, \( H : C_1 \to C_2 \) a functor and \( \phi : F \to G \) a natural transformation. We shall note \( H \star \phi \) their whiskering (Appendix A Definition A.3.5 [5]).
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