Spin treacle in a frustrated magnet observed with spin current
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By means of spin current, the flow of spin angular momentum, we find a regime of “spin treacle” in a frustrated magnetic system. To establish its existence, we have performed spin transport measurements in nanometer-scale spin glasses. At temperatures high enough that the magnetic moments fluctuate at high frequencies, the spin Hall angle, the conversion yield between spin current and charge current, is independent of temperature. The spin Hall angle starts to decrease at a certain temperature \( T^f_c \) and completely vanishes at a lower temperature. We argue that the latter corresponds to the spin freezing temperature \( T_f \) of the nanometer-scale spin glass, where the direction of conduction electron spin is randomized by the exchange coupling with the localized moments. The present experiment quantitatively verifies the existence of a distinct “spin treacle” between \( T_f \) and \( T^f_c \). We have also quantified a time scale of fluctuation of local magnetic moments in the spin treacle from the spin relaxation time of conduction electrons.

PACS numbers: 72.25.Ba, 72.25.Mk, 75.70.Cn, 75.75.-c

I. INTRODUCTION

For several decades, spin glass (SG) has been extensively studied as a prototype of complex system characterized by frustration and randomness1–3. Thus, understanding its ground state and any excitation modes is of importance not only in condensed matter physics but also in a wide range of scientific areas4. Recently, it has drawn renewed interest from the viewpoint of quantum information engineering, where it is well-known as the basis of quantum annealing5,6.

SG appears when magnetic impurities are randomly distributed in a nonmagnetic noble metal host2,8. The interaction between the localized moments is mediated by conduction electron spins, which is referred to as the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interaction9–11. As a result of the random distribution of magnetic impurities and the RKKY interaction, SG exhibits a cusp anomaly in the magnetic susceptibility as a function of temperature under zero field cooling (ZFC), and takes a constant value under filed cooling (FC)12,13. The cusp temperature is called spin freezing temperature \( T_f \), below which the magnetic moments freeze randomly.

According to recent quantum coherence14 and spin transport measurements15 in nanometer-scale SG devices, the magnetic moments fluctuate at higher temperatures than \( T_f \) and keep fluctuating even below \( T_f \). Here we note that \( T_f \) in Refs. 13 and 14 was determined from magnetization measurements with the thin films. However, this \( T_f \) might be different from that of nanoscale wires. It is in general very difficult to detect tiny magnetic signals in nanoscale samples on-chip with the conventional methods such as magnetization15,16, electron spin resonance (ESR)17,18, muon spin resonance (μSR)17,18, nuclear magnetic resonance19–21, and neutron scattering measurements22,23. Thus, it is an important and challenging task to develop a new experimental method to characterize \( T_f \) for nanoscale samples.

In this paper, we propose a new method to determine \( T_f \) of nanoscale SG using spin current, flow of spin angular momentum only. Some of the present authors have already shown that the inverse spin Hall effect (ISHE) which enables us to convert the spin current into the charge current starts to decrease at \( T^f_c \), 4–5 times higher temperature than \( T_f \) of the thin film24. Here we find a temperature region where the ISHE signal vanishes completely. This corresponds to the SG state in nanowires, which was difficult to evaluate quantitatively by previous theories and experiments. Thanks to the new definition of \( T_f \) for SG nanowires proposed in the present work, we are able to verify that there is an additional region (\( T_f < T < T^f_c \)) in between the SG state and the paramagnetic (PM) state, that we call spin treacle (ST), as highlighted in Fig. 1 (we will explain this figure in more detail in Sec. III B). These experimental results show that the ISHE is a powerful method to detect spin dynamics and spin fluctuations even in nanoscale samples.
with complex magnetic structures on-chip. In addition, we have measured the correlation time $\tau_c$ of the localized moments in ST through the spin relaxation time of conduction electrons.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The SHE device is based on a lateral spin valve structure where a SG (Cu$_{99.5-x}$Mn$_x$Bi$_{0.5}$ or Au$_{78}$Fe$_{22}$) nanowire is inserted in between two ferromagnetic permalloy (Ni$_{81}$Fe$_{19}$, hereafter Py) wires, and those three nanowires are bridged by a Cu wire. Samples were patterned using electron beam lithography onto a thermally oxidized silicon substrate coated with polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) resist for depositions of Py and Cu, or coated with ZEP 520A resist for depositions of CuMnBi and AuFe.

A pair of Py wires was first deposited using an electron beam evaporator under a base pressure of $6 \times 10^{-7}$ Pa. The width and thickness of the Py wires are 100 and 30 nm, respectively. The CuMnBi (or AuFe) middle wire was next deposited by magnetron sputtering using a CuMnBi (or AuFe) target. The Bi concentrations used in this work was fixed at 0.5%, while the Mn concentration $x$ was changed from 4.2 to 10.6% for Cu$_{99.5-x}$Mn$_x$Bi$_{0.5}$ (i.e., Cu$_{95.3}$Mn$_{4.2}$Bi$_{0.5}$, Cu$_{91.3}$Mn$_{8.2}$Bi$_{0.5}$, and Cu$_{88.9}$Mn$_{10.5}$Bi$_{0.5}$). The width and thickness of the CuMnBi middle wire are 250 and 20 nm, respectively. For the AuFe middle wire, on the other hand, the width and the thickness are 120 nm and 30 nm, respectively. The post-baking temperature for the PMMA resist was kept below 100°C after the deposition of CuMnBi alloys since bismuth has a low melting temperature (270°C). Before deposition of a Cu bridge, we performed a careful Ar ion beam etching for 1 minute in order to clean the surfaces of the Py and CuMnBi middle wires. After the Ar ion etching, the device was moved to another chamber without breaking a vacuum and subsequently the Cu bridge was deposited by a Joule heating evaporator using a 99.9999% purity source. Both the width and thickness of the Cu bridge are 100 nm.

The SHE and nonlocal spin valve (NLSV) measurements have been carried out using an ac lock-in amplifier and a $^4$He flow cryostat. The magnetization measurements were performed with a commercial superconducting quantum interferometry device (SQUID) magnetometer, MPMS (Quantum Design).

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Magnetization measurements of bulk and thin film of CuMnBi

To determine $T_f$ of bulk CuMnBi and thin CuMnBi film, we performed the magnetization $M$ measurements. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show $M$ of bulk Cu$_{88.9}$Mn$_{10.5}$Bi$_{0.5}$ and 140 nm thick Cu$_{88.9}$Mn$_{10.5}$Bi$_{0.5}$ film. A clear cusp structure can be seen both in bulk and thin film samples, but $T_f$ of thin film is 80-90% of that of bulk. We observe the similar tendency for the other Mn concentrations and also for an AuFe alloy (see Supplemental Material in Ref. 25).

![FIG. 1: Illustrations of ISHE in CuMnBi at three different temperature regimes: (a) $T > T^*$ (PM), (b) $T_f < T < T^*$ (ST), and (c) $T < T_f$ (SG). Black arrows with red and blue spheres are conduction electrons with spin-up and spin-down, respectively, and red and blue arrows show those trajectories. The shadows indicate fluctuations of conduction electron spins and magnetic moments of Mn. Yellow and gray arrows indicate the charge current density $j_c$ generated at the Bi site (green sphere) due to the ISHE and a magnetic interaction between the Mn sites, respectively. The $x$, $y$, and $z$ axes in (a) correspond to the directions of $j_c$, $j_s$, and $\sigma$ above $T^*$, respectively.](image1)

![FIG. 2: Magnetizations $M$ of (a) bulk Cu$_{88.9}$Mn$_{10.5}$Bi$_{0.5}$ and (b) 140 nm thick Cu$_{88.9}$Mn$_{10.5}$Bi$_{0.5}$ film. The open and closed circles indicate $M$ under ZFC and FC, respectively. The arrows in (a) and (b) indicate $T_f$ of bulk and film samples, respectively.](image2)
B. ISHE measurements in CuMnBi nanowire

After characterizing $T_1$ of bulk and thin film of CuMnBi, we now focus on the spin transport property obtained with CuMnBi nanowires. The ISHE measurements were performed using the spin absorption method in the lateral spin valve structure\textsuperscript{22}. The inset of Fig. 3(a) shows a typical spin Hall device. As explained in the previous section, the SHE device consists of two Py wires and Cu$_{99.5-5x}$Mn$_x$Bi$_{0.5}$ ($x = 4.2, 8.2$, and $10.6$) wire, which are bridged by a Cu stripe. CuMn is a typical SG material\textsuperscript{26}, but a small amount ($0.5\%$) of Bi impurities is added to CuMn, in order to induce a large ISHE\textsuperscript{27-30}. By flowing an electric current $I$ on one of the Py wires [top wire in the inset of Fig. 3(a)] to the Cu stripe, spin accumulation is generated at the interface between Py and Cu. A spin current flows in the Cu stripe (downward) as a result of diffusion process of the spin accumulation. When a strong spin-orbit material, i.e., CuMnBi, is placed underneath the Cu stripe within the spin diffusion length of Cu ($\sim 1 \mu m$ at low temperatures), a part of spin current is injected into CuMnBi because of a stronger spin-orbit interaction due to the Bi impurities\textsuperscript{20}. When the magnetization of the Py wire is fully polarized along the Cu stripe ($|B| > 0.3 T$), a spin-to-charge conversion is generated along the CuMnBi wire direction as shown in Fig. 3(a):

$$j_c = a_{\text{CuMnBi}}(j_x \times \sigma) = a_{\text{CuMnBi}}j_x \hat{x},$$

where $j_c$, $a_{\text{CuMnBi}}$, $j_x$, $\sigma$, and $\hat{x}$ are the charge current density generated in the CuMnBi, spin Hall angle of CuMnBi, the spin current density injected into CuMnBi, the polarization direction of conduction electron spin, and the unit vector along the CuMnBi wire direction, respectively. The bottom Py wire is used to estimate the spin diffusion length $\lambda_{\text{CuMnBi}}$ or spin relaxation time $\tau_{\text{CuMnBi}}$ (by using $\lambda_{\text{CuMnBi}} = \sqrt{D\tau_{\text{CuMnBi}}}$ where $D$ is the diffusion constant) of CuMnBi, as explained in the next subsection.

In Fig. 4, we show ISHE resistances $R_{\text{ISHE}}$ of Cu$_{99.5-5x}$Mn$_x$Bi$_{0.5}$ with two different Mn concentrations ($x = 4.2$ and $10.6$). $R_{\text{ISHE}}$ is defined as the detected voltage drop $V$ along the CuMnBi wire (proportional to $j_c$ in Eq. (1)) divided by the injection current $I$ from Py to Cu [see the inset of Fig. 3(a)]. When $B > 0.3 T$ (or $< -0.3 T$), $R_{\text{ISHE}}$ is fully saturated. At $100 K$ higher than $T_1(\sim 25 - 45 K)$ of bulk CuMnBi, a clear negative ISHE signal $[2\Delta R_{\text{ISHE}} = R_{\text{ISHE}}(B > 0.3 T) - R_{\text{ISHE}}(B < -0.3 T)]$ is observed for both Mn concentrations. This shows that the ISHE occurs at the Bi impurity sites and the Mn impurities do not contribute to the ISHE. However, at low temperatures, the ISHE signals become smaller and vanishes at around $20 K$ for Mn $10.6\%$.

We show the temperature dependence of the amplitudes of ISHE resistances $|\Delta R_{\text{ISHE}}|$ for Cu$_{99.5-5x}$Mn$_x$Bi$_{0.5}$ with two different Mn concentrations ($x = 4.2$ and $10.6$) in Fig. 4. With decreasing temperature from $T = 200 K$, $|\Delta R_{\text{ISHE}}|$ increases because the spin diffusion length of the Cu bridge becomes longer. However, it starts to decrease at $80 \sim 120 K$ and vanishes at $10 \sim 20 K$.

In order to quantitatively evaluate the reduction of the ISHE and the vanishing ISHE in CuMnBi, we obtained the spin Hall angle of Cu$_{99.5-5x}$Mn$_x$Bi$_{0.5}$ normalized by that of Cu$_{99.5}$Bi$_{0.5}$, i.e., $\eta$ as the function of temperature\textsuperscript{14}. We note that the ISHE in CuMnBi originates from the skew scattering at the Bi impurity sites\textsuperscript{14,26,30}. In other words, $a_{\text{CuMnBi}}$ is independent of the Mn concentration. Therefore, in principle, $\eta$ should be 1. This is realized in the high temperature region for all the Mn concentrations, as shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. S2 in Ref. \textsuperscript{25}. With decreasing temperature, on the other hand, $\eta$ starts to deviate from 1 at $T^*$ and becomes smaller with decreasing temperature. Here $T^*$ is defined as the temperature where $\eta(T + \Delta T) - \eta(T)$ becomes smaller than $4\%$ (in the present case, $\Delta T \sim 10 K$) as we increase $T$. The temperature dependence of $\eta$ can be explained as follows. Above $T^*$, the magnetic moments at the Mn sites fluctuate very fast, as shown in
FIG. 5: Temperature dependence of $\eta$, the spin Hall angle of Cu$_{99.5-x}$Mn$_x$Bi$_{0.5}$ ($x = 4.2$ and $10.6$) normalized by that of Cu$_{99.5}$Bi$_{0.5}$. The solid and open arrows indicate $T^*$ and $T_f$, respectively.

C. NLSV measurements with CuMnBi nanowire

In order to evaluate the spin diffusion length $\lambda_{\text{CuMnBi}}$ and the spin diffusion time $\tau_{\text{CuMnBi}}$ of CuMnBi, we performed NLSV measurements with and without the CuMnBi nanowire. The typical NLSV data are shown in Fig. 6. By inserting the CuMnBi wire, the NLSV signal $R_S$ with detected at the bottom Py wire in the inset of Fig. 3(a) is reduced, compared to the nonlocal spin valve signal without the CuMnBi wire $R_S$ without. This is because most of the spin current flowing in the Cu channel shown in the inset of Fig. 3(a) is absorbed into the CuMnBi wire and the rest of the spin current reaches the bottom Py wire, leading to a reduced nonlocal spin signal. From the reduction rate $R_S^\text{with}/R_S^\text{without}$, the spin diffusion length $\lambda_{\text{CuMnBi}}$ can be obtained, as depicted in Fig. 7. In the present case, $\lambda_{\text{CuMnBi}}$ is comparable to or smaller than the thickness of the CuMnBi nanowire (20 nm). Thus, we have adopted the one-dimensional spin diffusion model.

FIG. 6: NLSV signals with and without the Cu$_{95.3}$Mn$_{4.2}$Bi$_{0.5}$ wire measured at $T = 10$ K.

FIG. 7: Temperature dependence of $\lambda_{\text{CuMnBi}}$ for Cu$_{99.5-x}$Mn$_x$Bi$_{0.5}$ ($x = 4.2$ and $10.6$).

IV. DISCUSSIONS

A. Evaluation of $T_f$ of spin glass nanowire

As mentioned in Sec. III B, we argue that the temperature where $\eta$ vanishes corresponds to $T_f$ of SG nanowire, below which the SG state starts to emerge. To check
the validity of the determination of $T_f$ in SG nanowires using the ISHE measurements, we plot $T_f$ as well as $T^*$ as a function of the Mn concentration $x$ in Fig. 8. It is obvious that $T_f$ determined from the spin transport measurements increases linearly with $x$, as in the case of bulk SG.\(^{12,17,21,31–33}\) Note that a linear fit passes through the origin neither for the nanowire nor bulk SGs. Moreover, $T_f$ of our nanowire is about half of that of bulk CuMnBi determined from the magnetization measurements [see Figs. 2 and 8]. A similar size effect was already established for thin SG films.\(^{13,14}\) From these experimental facts, we conclude that $T_f$ of SG nanowires can be determined with the ISHE measurements and the ISHE measurements are powerful for the detection of tiny magnetic signals via spin current on-chip.\(^{35}\)

On the other hand, to fully prove that $T_f$ obtained with the ISHE measurements correspond to those measured with standard magnetometry, one needs micro-SQUID measurements. That would be the future work.

**B. Spin treacle in spin glass**

Now we focus on the intermediate regime ($T_i < T < T^*$) in between PM and SG, as shown in the light-blue region in Fig. 8. In general, $T_i$ can be determined from a cusp in the magnetization versus temperature curve. It is believed that the SG and PM states exist below and above $T_i$, respectively. However, the present spin transport measurements clearly show that there is another regime in between the two, which we name “spin treacle (ST)”.$^{18}$ Even for $T > T_i$, the conduction electron spins feel magnetic fluctuations of the magnetic moments, as illustrated in Fig. 1(b). Such a subtle fluctuation can be detected by spin current. In fact, Campbell et al.\(^{18}\) pointed out the possibility of a regime of stretched exponential local spin correlations just above $T_i$ in AgMn and AuFe alloys using $\mu$SR measurements and concluded that this was an intrinsic precursor to SG freezing. The present work not only reinforces the appearance of ST but also extends the applicable scope to the long-wavelength spin current rather than the local response seen by muons. In addition, in Ref. \(^{13}\) the concentration dependence of $T^*$ was not shown in detail.

Here we see that $T_i$ linearly increases with increasing $x$, while $T^*$ seems to be proportional to $x^\gamma$ where $\gamma \approx 1/3$, although further experimental and theoretical works are desirable to fully understand this exponent.

We examine the ST in another SG system. For this purpose, we have performed ISHE measurements in AuFe, another typical SG alloy. As shown in Fig. S3 in Ref. \(^{23}\) $\Delta R_{\text{ISHE}}$ starts to decrease at $T^* \approx 5T_f$ and becomes flat at $T_f$. This result clearly shows that ST is a common feature in SG materials. The difference between CuMnBi and AuFe is that $\Delta R_{\text{ISHE}}$ vanishes or takes a finite value below $T_f$. This originates from the spin-orbit interaction of host metal. Cu has so weak spin-orbit interaction that the ISHE cannot be detected. Thus, a small number of Bi impurities are added to induce ISHE.\(^{23}\) In the present case, the number of Mn impurities are more than several times larger than that of Bi impurities. The injected spin current loses the information of spin direction due to the slowing dynamics of Mn impurities before being scattered at the Bi site, leading to zero ISHE signal. On the other hand, Au has an intrinsic ISHE due to its stronger spin-orbit interaction.\(^{21}\) The injected spin current can be scattered by Au atoms (even without being scattered by Fe impurities), leading to a finite ISHE signal. The difference of the spin-orbit interaction also appears in anomalous Hall effect (AHE)\(^{24,36,37}\); the AHE in AuFe shows a typical cusp structure at $T_f$ below which the difference between ZFC and FC in the AHE can be seen, while such a clear cusp cannot be seen in the AHE in CuMn and CuMnBi.

Compared to magnetization measurements, a larger magnetic field is needed to clearly detect the AHE and the ISHE (at least 0.3 T for the ISHE in the present setup). Such a comparably large magnetic field would slightly reduce $T_f$.\(^{24,36}\) To avoid the possible reduction of $T_f$ with an applied magnetic field, the shape of a spin injection ferromagnetic nanowire in the inset of Fig. 2(a) can be changed so as to have the spin polarization along the Cu bridge without the magnetic field.\(^{38}\) As for AuFe, a Hall cross device consisting of a ferromagnet with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy and a AuFe cross bar can also be used for the determination of $T_f$ in the zero magnetic field limit.\(^{39,40}\)

**C. Evaluation of correlation time of localized moments in spin treacle**

We have further investigated the correlation time $\tau_c$ of localized magnetic moments in ST. For this purpose, we first obtained the spin relaxation time $\tau_{\text{CuMnBi}}$ of conduction electrons from Fig. 1(b) using the relation $\lambda_{\text{CuMnBi}} = \text{FIG. 8: Phase diagram of SG proposed in the present work.}$
FIG. 9: (a) Temperature dependence of the spin relaxation rate $1/\tau_{\text{CuMnBi}}$ of Cu$_{99.5-x}$Mn$_x$Bi$_{0.5}$ ($x = 4.2, 8.2$ and $10.6$). (b) Correlation time $\tau_c$ of Mn moments above $T_1$ determined by spin relaxation time measurements with $\Delta \approx \tau_c$ (b) Correlation time.

The inverse of $\tau_{\text{CuMnBi}}$, i.e., spin relaxation rate, is plotted as a function of $T$ in Fig. 9(a). With decreasing temperature, the spin relaxation rate increases and tends to be saturated especially for $x = 10.6\%$ as $T$ approaches $T_1$. A similar temperature dependence has been discussed in the muon depolarization rate and also in the linewidth of ESR spectra. The $\mu$SR and spin transport measurements detect the precession of muon and conduction electron spins, respectively. At high enough temperatures, the muon and conduction electron spins do not feel the fast motion of Mn localized moments. With decreasing temperature, the fluctuation of the localized moments becomes slower, and the muon and conduction electron spins start to couple with this fluctuation, resulting in the enhancement of $1/\tau_{\text{CuMnBi}}$.

Now we relate $1/\tau_{\text{CuMnBi}}$ with $\tau_c$ in SG nanowire. As with muon relaxation and inelastic spin-flip neutron scattering, $\tau_c$ can be deduced from the spin current relaxation, as we shall discuss below. We start with the Kubo-Toyabe theory commonly used in the analysis of $\mu$SR. In the present case, however, the “spin” is the polarization of the spin current. In the high frequency limit (i.e., the motionally-narrowed regime), there is an explicit form for the relaxation time (see Ref. [17] and references therein). On the other hand, if we go to lower frequencies in the model, i.e., close to the freezing temperature, the Kubo-Toyabe approach that assumes a single effective field with a decay of a simple exponential is not sufficient for the spin current. In Ref. [14], a quantity $1/(T_1)_{\text{Mn}}$ was defined from the asymptotic form of the longitudinal correlation function for the spin polarization of the spin current $G_{zz}(t) \propto \exp\left[-t/(T_1)_{\text{Mn}}\right]$ (see Ref. [14] and references therein). ($T_1)_{\text{Mn}}$ denotes the relaxation time of the spin current affected only by the fluctuation of Mn moments. In the high frequency limit, we have the following relation between $(T_1)_{\text{Mn}}$ and $\tau_c$:

$$\tau_c = \frac{h^2}{2\Delta^2} \left( \frac{1}{(T_1)_{\text{Mn}}} - \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{CuMn}}} \right).$$

In order to deduce $\tau_c$ as a function of temperature for a given concentration, we need a value for $\Delta$. From the microscopic viewpoint, the field acting on the spin current, which is a macroscopic quantity, is generated by a sum of operators on the Mn moments and should scale as the concentration, similarly to the molecular field leading to cooperative magnetic order. We therefore take $\Delta = a k_B T_1$, i.e., proportional to the freezing temperature $T_1$ with a prefactor $a$ that should be considerably larger than 1, to reflect the frustration of the SG interactions: the freezing temperature is expected to be an order of magnitude smaller than the molecular fields.

According to the results of the $\mu$SR in bulk SGs, $\tau_c$ obeys the following temperature law:

$$\tau_c \propto \left( 1 - \frac{T_1}{T} \right)^{-b},$$

where $b$ is the exponent of $1 - T_1/T$. Thus, we plot $\tau_c$ with $a \approx 4$ as a function of $1 - T_1/T$ in Fig. 9(b). It nicely follows a power law of $(1 - T_1/T)^{-b}$ with $b \approx 2.5$. In addition, the data obtained with different experimental methods ($\mu$SR and neutron scattering) for bulk samples of CuMn are superimposed onto the same fitting line. The result gives consistent estimates of the spin-spin correlation time for Mn, despite the fact that the length scales probed are very different: while both muons and neutrons are sensitive to local dipole interactions, the spin current can detect the spin fluctuation over long wavelengths. This fact clearly verifies that spin relaxation measurements are comparable techniques to $\mu$SR and neutron scattering measurements but more suitable for nanoscale frustrated magnetic systems to detect the slowing magnetic dynamics.
Finally, we mention the differences of the results and analyses between the present work and the previous publication, as well as the differences between spin current and muons as magnetic probes. In Ref. 14, the CuMnBi SG nanowires with lower concentrations of Mn led to lower freezing temperatures $T_f$ and it was not then clear whether the spin Hall angle was reduced by a finite amount or would vanish completely. The higher concentrations studied here allow us to see clearly a vanishing spin Hall angle measurements linearly increases with increasing the magnetic impurity concentration, as in the case of bulk SG. In the temperature region of $T_f < T < T^\ast$, we find the ST regime, which would correspond to the regime hypothesized by $\mu$SR experiments but has not been distinguished by other conventional experimental techniques for bulk SG materials. Furthermore, the slowing dynamics of localized spins in the ST regime can also be quantitatively evaluated through the spin relaxation measurements of conduction electrons. The present result not only demonstrates how quantitative characterization of magnetic fluctuations on nanometer-scale samples is possible using spin transport measurements, but also paves the way to study other magnetic systems where the spin fluctuations are essential.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the spin transport measurements demonstrate that a ST regime emerges between the SG and PM phases. The spin Hall angle of CuMnBi is constant in the temperature range of $T > T^\ast$ corresponding to the PM state, but starts to decrease below $T^\ast$ and eventually vanishes. $T_f$ determined from the spin Hall angle measurements linearly increases with increasing the magnetic impurity concentration, as in the case of bulk SG. In the temperature region of $T_f < T < T^\ast$, we find the ST regime, which would correspond to the regime hypothesized by $\mu$SR experiments but has not been distinguished by other conventional experimental techniques for bulk SG materials. Furthermore, the slowing dynamics of localized spins in the ST regime can also be quantitatively evaluated through the spin relaxation measurements of conduction electrons. The present result not only demonstrates how quantitative characterization of magnetic fluctuations on nanometer-scale samples is possible using spin transport measurements, but also paves the way to study other magnetic systems where the spin fluctuations are essential.
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