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Abstract. We provide a characterization of the finite dimensionality of vector spaces in terms of the right-sided invertibility of linear operators on them.

1. Introduction

In paper [5], found is a characterization of one-dimensional (real or complex) normed algebras in terms of the bounded linear operators on them, echoing the celebrated Gelfand-Mazur theorem characterizing complex one-dimensional Banach algebras (see, e.g., [1–3, 8, 10]).

Here, continuing along this path, we provide a simple characterization of the finite dimensionality of vector spaces in terms of the right-sided invertibility of linear operators on them.

2. Preliminaries

As is well-known (see, e.g., [4, 9]), a square matrix \( A \) with complex entries is invertible iff it is one-sided invertible, i.e., there exists a square matrix \( C \) of the same order as \( A \) such that

\[
AC = I \text{ (right inverse)} \quad \text{or} \quad CA = I \text{ (left inverse)},
\]

where \( I \) is the identity matrix of an appropriate size, in which case \( C \) is the inverse of \( A \), i.e.,

\[
C = A^{-1}.
\]

Generally, for a linear operator on a (real or complex) vector space, the existence of a \textit{left inverse} implies being \textit{invertible}. Indeed, let \( A : X \to X \) be a linear operator on a (real or complex) vector space \( X \) and a linear operator \( C : X \to X \) be its \textit{left inverse}, i.e.,

\[
(2.1) \quad CA = I,
\]

where \( I \) is the identity operator on \( X \). Equality (2.1), obviously, implies that

\[
\ker A = \{0\}.
\]
and hence, there exists an inverse \( A^{-1} : R(A) \to X \) for the operator \( A \), where \( R(A) \) is its range (see, e.g., [7]). Equality (2.1) also implies that the inverse operator \( A^{-1} \) is the restriction of \( C \) to \( R(A) \).

Further, as is easily seen, for a linear operator on a (real or complex) vector space, the existence of a right inverse immediately implies being surjective, which, provided the underlying vector space is finite-dimensional, by the rank-nullity theorem (see, e.g., [6,7]), is equivalent to being injective, and hence, being invertible.

With the underlying space being infinite-dimensional, the arithmetic of infinite cardinals does not allow to conclude, by the rank-nullity theorem, that the surjectivity of a linear operator on the space is equivalent to its injectivity. Hence, in this case the right-sided invertibility for linear operators need not imply invertibility. For instance, on the (real or complex) infinite-dimensional vector space \( l_\infty \) of bounded sequences, the left shift linear operator

\[
l_\infty \ni x := (x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots) \mapsto Lx := (x_2, x_3, x_4, \ldots) \in l_\infty
\]

is non-invertible since

\[
\ker L = \{(x_1, 0, 0, \ldots)\} \neq \{0\}
\]

(see, e.g., [6,7]) but has the right shift linear operator

\[
l_\infty \ni x := (x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots) \mapsto Rx := (0, x_1, x_2, \ldots) \in l_\infty
\]

as its right inverse, i.e.,

\[
LR = I,
\]

where \( I \) is the identity operator on \( l_\infty \).

Not only does the above example give rise to the natural question of whether, when the right-sided invertibility for linear operators on a (real or complex) vector space implies their invertibility, the underlying space is necessarily finite-dimensional, but also serves as an inspiration for proving the “if” part of the subsequent characterization.

3. Characterization

**Theorem 3.1** (Characterization of Finite-Dimensional Vector Spaces). A (real or complex) vector space \( X \) is finite-dimensional iff right-sided invertibility for linear operators on \( X \) implies invertibility.

**Proof.**

“Only if” part. Suppose that the vector space \( X \) is finite-dimensional with \( \dim X = n \ (n \in \mathbb{N}) \) and let \( B := \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \) be an ordered basis for \( X \).

For an arbitrary linear operator \( A : X \to X \) on \( X \), which has a right inverse \( C \), i.e.,

\[
AC = I,
\]

where \( I \) is the identity operator on \( X \), let \([A]_B\) and \([C]_B\) be the matrix representations of the operators \( A \) and \( C \) relative to the basis \( B \), respectively (see, e.g., [7]).
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Then
\[(3.2) \quad [A]_B [C]_B = I_n,\]
where \(I_n\) is the identity matrix of size \(n\) (see, e.g., [7]).

By the multiplicativity of determinant (see, e.g., [4, 9]), equality (3.2) implies that
\[\det ([A]_B) \det ([C]_B) = \det ([A]_B [C]_B) = \det (I_n) = 1.\]

Whence, we conclude that\[\det ([A]_B) \neq 0,\]
which, by the determinant characterization of invertibility (see, e.g., [4, 9]), we infer that the matrix \([A]_B\) is invertible, and hence, so is the operator \(A\) (see, e.g., [6]).

"If" part. Let us prove this part by contrapositive, assuming that the vector space \(X\) is infinite-dimensional. Suppose that \(B := \{x_i\}_{i \in I}\) is a basis for \(X\), where \(I\) is an infinite indexing set, and that \(J := \{i(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is a countably infinite subset of \(I\).

Let us define a linear operator \(A : X \to X\) on \(X\) as follows:
\[Ax_i(1) := 0, \quad Ax_i(n) := x_{i(n-1)}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad Ax_i = x_i, \quad i \in I \setminus J,\]
and
\[X \ni x = \sum_{i \in I} c_i x_i \mapsto Ax := \sum_{i \in I} c_i Ax_i,\]
where
\[x = \sum_{i \in I} c_i x_i\]
is the unique basis representation of a vector \(x \in X\) relative to the basis \(B\), in which all but a finite number of the coefficients \(c_i, \ i \in I\), called the coordinates of \(x\) relative to \(B\), are zero (see, e.g., [6, 7]).

As is easily seen, \(A\) is a linear operator on \(X\), which is non-invertible since
\[\ker A = \text{span}\left(\{x_i(1)\}\right) \neq \{0\}.\]

The linear operator \(C : X \to X\) on \(X\) defined as follows:
\[Cx_{i(n)} := x_{i(n+1)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad Cx_i = x_i, \quad i \in I \setminus J,\]
and
\[X \ni x = \sum_{i \in I} c_i x_i \mapsto Cx := \sum_{i \in I} c_i Cx_i,\]
is a right inverse for \(A\) since
\[ACx_{i(n)} := Ax_{i(n+1)} = x_{i(n)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad ACx_i = Ax_i = x_i, \quad i \in I \setminus J.\]

Thus, on a (real or complex) infinite-dimensional vector space, there exists a non-invertible linear operator with a right inverse, which completes the proof of the “if” part, a hence, of the entire statement. \(\Box\)
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