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Abstract. In this paper we analyse the stability of the system of partial differential equations modelling scalar image velocimetry. We first revisit a successful numerical technique to reconstruct velocity vectors $u$ from images of a passive scalar field $\psi$ by minimising a cost functional, that penalises the difference between the reconstructed scalar field $\phi$ and the measured scalar field $\psi$, under the constraint that $\phi$ is advected by the reconstructed velocity field $u$, which again is governed by the Navier-Stokes equations. We investigate the stability of the reconstruction by applying this method to synthetic scalar fields in two-dimensional turbulence, that are generated by numerical simulation. Then we present a mathematical analysis of the nonlinear coupled problem and prove that, in the two dimensional case, smooth solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations are uniquely determined by the measured scalar field. We also prove a conditional stability estimate showing that the map from the measured scalar field $\psi$ to the reconstructed velocity field $u$, on any interior subset, is Hölder continuous.

1. Introduction

Scalar image velocimetry (SIV) is the reconstruction of the fluid velocity field $u$ from measurements of a scalar field $\psi$, that is advected by $u$. This idea, which dates back to the works [10, 23, 24], is applied in weather forecasting models using e.g. satellite images of clouds or ocean temperature. For a background of the technique see for instance [14] and references therein.

SIV also finds applications in medical flow imaging and in experimental fluid mechanics. For instance in the laser induced fluorescence (LIF) technique, a fluid is seeded with fluorescent molecules and laser light is focused into a thin sheet, where it is absorbed by the fluorescent molecules followed by spontaneous emission of light which is then recorded by a camera (see e.g. [21] and references therein). Assuming that the recorded light intensity is proportional to the fluorescence concentration $\psi$, the velocity field $u := u_1 e_x + u_2 e_y$, with $e_x, e_y$ the Cartesian unit vectors, can be reconstructed by invoking the scalar transport equation,

$$\partial_t \psi + u \cdot \nabla \psi - \lambda \Delta \psi = 0,$$

(1)

where $\lambda$ is the scalar diffusivity. A direct inversion of the scalar transport equation only provides the component $u^\perp$ of $u$ that is normal to $\psi$ isolines,

$$u^\perp = \frac{(-\partial_t \psi + \lambda \Delta \psi) \nabla \psi}{|\nabla \psi|^2}.$$  

Finding all components of $u$ requires additional constraints, for example, conservation of hydrodynamic variables.

Inspired by recent developments on computational methods for SIV [13], in this work we study mathematically the stability of the map from $\psi$ to $u$. We show, in
particular, that under suitable a priori assumptions, the velocity field is uniquely
determined by the measured scalar field, thereby giving a partial theoretical justi-
fication to the computational approaches. The analysis uses conditional stability
estimates as a workhorse for understanding of inverse problems in a spirit similar
to that of the seminal work by Bukhgeêm and Klibanov [4].

To fix a configuration for the stability analysis we consider a soap film expe-
riement from [13]. In that work, a soap film with a thickness of \( \sim 10 \mu m \) is formed
in the 10 cm gap between two vertical parallel nylon wires. Turbulence in the film
is generated by piercing the film with an array of cylindrical obstacles, resulting in
chaotically interacting wake vortices. These perturbations are accompanied by film
thickness fluctuations which behave similar as those of a passive scalar. The soap
film is illuminated and light reflections are recorded at high speed. The recorded in-
terference pattern depends on the film thickness fluctuations and therefore behaves
similar as a passive scalar.

Motivated by this experiment, we consider a particular Cartesian geo-
metry, \( \Omega = (-a, a) \times (-b, b) \), with \( a, b > 0 \), and write \( Q = \Omega \times (0, T) \) for \( T > 0 \). Our proofs
generalize to many other two dimensional settings but the above choice allows for
convenient notations. We assume that the flow satisfies the slip or no-slip condi-
tions on the vertical boundaries, \( x = \pm a \), and that the scalar field satisfies the no flux
condition there, but the boundary conditions for both the velocity \( u \) and the scalar
field \( \psi \) on the top and bottom boundaries \( y = \pm b \) are unknown.

Determination of \( u \) given \( \psi \) is possible only if \( \psi \) satisfies some non-degeneracy
condition. Indeed, a constant \( \psi \) satisfies (1) but gives no information on \( u \). To
state our main result in a simplified form (see Theorem 2 below for the pre-
cise formulation), we assume that the spatial derivative of \( \psi \) does not vanish identically
on the left boundary \( x = -a \) at any time.

The simplified formulation is as follows. Let \( u \) and \( \tilde{u} \) be smooth velocity fields
satisfying the Navier-Stokes equations, together with, say, the no-slip boundary con-
tion on \( x = \pm a \), and let \( \psi \) and \( \tilde{\psi} \) be smooth scalar fields satisfying (1) with \( u \) and
\( \tilde{u} \), respectively, together with the no flux boundary condition on \( x = \pm a \). Suppose,
moreover, that for all \( t \in (0, T) \) there is \( y \in (-b, b) \) such that \( \partial_y \psi(-a, y, t) \neq 0 \).
Then for every space time domain \( \omega \), such that \( \overline{\omega} \subset Q \) (here and below \( \overline{X} \)
denotes the closure of the set \( X \)), there exists \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \) and \( C > 0 \) such that the following
stability estimate holds

\[
\| u - \tilde{u} \|_{L^2(\omega)} \leq C \| \psi - \tilde{\psi} \|_{H^\alpha(Q)},
\]

whenever \( u \) and \( \tilde{u} \) satisfy an a priori bound. Observe that this estimate in particular
shows that the scalar field \( \psi \) uniquely determines the velocity field \( u \). It also gives
an upper bound similar to those applied in [2, 7] to obtain sharp error estimates
for finite element data assimilation methods. Although the estimate (2) can not be
directly applied in that context, it is a first step towards an analysis of the error
propagation in computational velocity reconstruction based on SIV.

Our approach combines a stream function formulation for the two dimensional
Navier-Stokes’ equations, with the classical pressure velocity formulation. First,
using a stream function \( \Theta \) to represent the velocity field \( u \), the convection–diffusion
equation for the scalar field \( \phi \) defines a transport equation for \( \Theta \). Provided the
scalar field satisfies the non-degeneracy condition \( \partial_y \psi \neq 0 \) on the left boundary,
this transport equation can be solved in a neighbourhood of the left boundary.
This way we show that $u$ can be reconstructed locally given the scalar field $\psi$. Then to extend this local reconstruction to an arbitrary subdomain in the interior of the space time domain, we apply a unique continuation result for the transient linearized Navier-Stokes’ equations [16]. Observe that the local reconstruction step is possible whenever the velocity $u$ is known along a curve segment transverse to the level curves of $\psi$. In our configuration this holds on the lateral boundaries thanks to the chosen boundary conditions. A variant of this local reconstruction approach, using classical techniques, has recently been applied to SIV in [20].

The outline of the paper is as follows. First in section 2 we recall a recent technique for computational velocity reconstruction, and study its stability computationally in Section 3. Then in Section 4 we prove the conditional stability estimate. The paper ends with concluding remarks in Section 5.

2. An example of a computational method for SIV

In this section we describe a variational method for the reconstruction of the space and time dependent velocity field $u$, pressure field $p$ and scalar field $\phi$ from a measured space and time dependent scalar field $\psi$, also referred to as the true scalar field. We assume perfect knowledge of $\psi$ over a space time volume of $\Omega \times (0, T)$, where $\Omega$ and $(0, T)$ represent the corresponding spatial and temporal dimensions. The reconstruction method divides $(0, T)$ into segments. The $i$-th segment starts and ends at $t_0 = (i - 1) \tau$ and $t_1 = t_0 + \tau$, respectively, where $\tau$ is referred to as the segment time. The reconstruction scheme solves a sequence of optimisation problems for the unknown state variable $w = (u, p, \phi)$ at the start of each segment, i.e. at $t = t_0$. We use a subscript on a field variable to indicate a time instance, e.g. $w_0 = w(t_0)$. Finding $w_0$ in each segment involves an iterative scheme, and the initial guess for the iteration is taken from the reconstructed field $w_1$ at $t = t_1$ obtained in the preceding segment.

2.1. The cost function and its minimisation. Defining the state variable as: $w = (u, p, \phi)$, the velocity reconstruction method finds the initial conditions for the state variable $w_0$ in each time segment, by minimising the deviation between the reconstructed scalar field $\phi$ and the measured scalar field $\psi$ integrated over $\Omega \times (0, T)$. The corresponding cost functional for the method reads:

\[
\mathcal{J} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \| \phi - \psi \|^2 dt,
\]

where the norm $\| \cdot \|$ is based on the $L^2(\Omega)$ inner product, denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Equation (3) is minimised under the constraint that $w_1$ is related to $w_0$ via the conservation equations of fluid momentum, fluid mass and scalar field:

\[
R(w) = \begin{pmatrix}
\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p - \nu \Delta u \\
\nabla \cdot u \\
\partial_t \phi + u \cdot \nabla \phi - \lambda \Delta \phi
\end{pmatrix} = 0.
\]

Here $\nu$ is the fluid kinematic viscosity and $\lambda$ is scalar diffusivity. Adding constraint (4) to equation (3) using the Lagrange multiplier $\hat{w} = (\hat{u}, \hat{p}, \hat{\phi})$ results in the following constrained cost functional, which is referred to as the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$:

\[
\mathcal{L} = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \| \phi - \psi \|^2 + \langle \hat{w}, R(w) \rangle \right) dt.
\]
Minimising $\mathcal{L}$ of (5) w.r.t. $w_0$ involves computing the gradient of $\mathcal{L}$ w.r.t $w_0$, i.e.

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta w_0} = \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta u_0} \\ \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta p_0} \\ \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \phi_0} \end{array} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}_0 \\ 0 \\ -\hat{\phi}_0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

This expression for $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta w_0}$ contains the Lagrange multiplier $\hat{w} = (\hat{u}, \hat{p}, \hat{\phi})$, whose evolution equation and initial and final conditions read:

$$\begin{cases} 
-\partial_t \hat{u} - u \cdot (\nabla \hat{u} + \nabla \hat{u}^T) - \nabla \hat{p} - \nu \Delta \hat{u} - \phi \nabla \hat{\phi} = 0, \\
-\nabla \cdot \hat{u} = 0, \\
-\partial_t \hat{\phi} - u \cdot \nabla \hat{\phi} - \lambda \Delta \hat{\phi} + \phi - \psi = 0 
\end{cases} \tag{7a}$$

$$\hat{u}_1 = 0, \quad \hat{\phi}_1 = 0, \tag{7b}$$

$$\hat{u}_0 = 0, \quad \hat{\phi}_0 = 0. \tag{7c}$$

Equation (7a) governs the evolution of the Lagrange multiplier $\hat{w} = (\hat{u}, \hat{p}, \hat{\phi})$, showing that $\hat{u}$ is incompressible and is forced along gradients of $\hat{\phi}$, and that both $\hat{u}$ and $\hat{\phi}$ are advected by $u$ and are subjected to diffusion. The diffusion coefficients $-\nu$ and $-\lambda$ of these transport equations are negative, and therefore these equations are integrated backward in time from $t = t_1$ to $t = t_0$. The ‘starting’ conditions $\hat{w}_1$ at $t = t_1$ are given by equation (7b), while the ‘final’ conditions $\hat{w}_0$ at $t = t_0$ define the optimisation update direction of $w_0$ via (6). This direction approaches zero, when $w_0$ reaches an extremum of $\mathcal{L}$, which corresponds to the condition of (7c).

To find $w_0$ we use the Polak-Rebiere variant of the conjugate gradient method [18], which updates $w_0$ along a search direction $h$ related to $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta w_0}$. The initial guess for $w_0$ is $w_1$ from the previous time segment, and the step length along $h$ is varied using Brent’s line minimisation algorithm [3], until the minimum of the functional $\mathcal{J}_t$ from (3), in this direction is found. The conjugate gradient algorithm is continued until the relative change in $\mathcal{J}_t$ between two consecutive iterations drops below 0.01. A reconstruction typically require $\sim 10^2$ conjugate gradient steps and $\sim 10$ Brent minimisation steps per conjugate gradient step. Therefore the computational effort of both methods is equivalent to that of $\sim 10^3$ computational fluid dynamics simulations.

It was shown in [13] that the reconstruction method method produces unstable results when the segment time $\tau$ is larger than the flow correlation time $\mathcal{T}$, which for the system described above corresponds to $\tau \gtrsim 0.1$. The instability is related to the ill posed-ness of the initial value problem for chaotic systems, which corresponds to the cost functional developing multiple minima, when $\tau$ exceeds $\mathcal{T}$, see for instance [17]. In order to stabilise the method for these cases regularisation terms may be added to the functional of (3). In this work we restrict ourselves to $\tau \lesssim 0.1$, which does not require the use of these regularisation terms.

3. Numerical investigation of the stability of SIV

3.1. Setup. We apply the computational velocity reconstruction to a two dimensional, incompressible, decaying turbulent flow field in a space time domain $\Omega \times (0, T)$. The spatial domain $\Omega = (-\pi, \pi)^2$ is a bi-periodic square with side length...
\[ L = 2\pi \] and the temporal domain \((0, T)\) has a size of \(T = 8\), which is referred to as the reconstruction time. The objective is to reconstruct the velocity field \(u\) from the measured space and time dependent scalar field \(\psi\). To distinguish between the reconstructed velocity field and the reference velocity field, giving the advection of \(\psi\), we denote the former by \(u\) and the latter by \(v\). It is re-emphasised that we have access to perfect information of \(\psi\) on \(\Omega \times (0, T)\).

Both \(\psi\) and \(v\) start from random initial conditions and the initial conditions are normalised, such that \(\mathcal{W} = \|v\| = 1\) and \(\|\psi\| = 1\) at \(t = 0\). The diffusivity is \(\lambda = 2 \times 10^{-3}\) and the viscosity is \(\nu = 1 \times 10^{-3}\), which corresponds to a Reynolds number of \(\text{Re} = \mathcal{W} L / \nu = 6.3 \times 10^3\) based on the initial velocity scale \(\mathcal{W}\) and a Schmidt number of \(\text{Sc} = \nu / \lambda = 1/2\). We furthermore use a segment time of \(\tau = 8 \times 10^{-2}\).

The true velocity field \(v\) and the true (measured) scalar field \(\psi\) are generated on a \(256^2\) grid by numerically integrating \((4)\). Subsequently the scalar measurement is interpolated to a \(128^2\) grid and the reconstruction fields \(u\) and \(\phi\) are obtained on this \(128^2\) grid by iteratively integrating equations \((4, 6, 7)\). On both the \(256^2\) and \(128^2\) grids, equations \((4, 7a)\) are advanced in time using a computational time step of \(\Delta t = 10^{-3}\). Spatial derivatives in these equations are computed using the Fourier basis functions. Time integration is performed using the second-order explicit Adams–Bashforth scheme for the advection terms and the second-order implicit Crank–Nicolson scheme for the diffusion terms. For further details on the numerical methods see [11, 12, 13].

### 3.2. Results

Figure 1(left) shows the reconstruction error \(\epsilon = \|u - v\|^2\) as a function of time, where it is recalled, that \(u\) is the reconstructed velocity and \(v\) is the reference velocity field. It is seen, that with time, \(\epsilon\) first decreases and after \(t > 4\), the error levels off.

Recalling the time segmentation described in the first paragraph if Section 2 the time dependent behaviour in Figure 1(left) indicates that the reconstruction depends on the quality of the initial guess for the initial condition at the start of each segment. In the first segment the initial guess is zero, while in each consecutive segment the initial guess becomes closer to the reference solution, explaining the
observed decrease in $\epsilon$ with time. Also recall that the stability of the unique continuation problem for parabolic equations degenerates towards $t = 0$, so a similar effect is expected also for globally coupled solutions.

We now study the convergence of the reconstructed $u$ as a function of the true (measured) $\psi$. To this end we generate multiple simulations of true scalar fields $\psi$ that are advected by different ‘true’ velocity fields $v$. For these simulations the conditions at $t = 0$ for $\psi$ are identical but the conditions for $v$ differ. Differences in a quantity $q$ between two simulations are denoted by $q - \tilde{q}$. The relative difference in the initial conditions is varied between $10^{-5}$ and $10^{-1}$. To study the convergence of $u$ as a function of $\psi$, we plot in Figure 1(right) $\|u - \tilde{u}\|^2$ as a function of $\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|^2$. It is noted that we average this norm over a time interval of $6 < t < 8$. During this time interval the computational method has converged roughly to a steady state, as show in Figure 1(left).

Figure 1(right) shows that there are two regimes in the dependence of the variation of the reconstructed velocity $\|u - \tilde{u}\|^2$ on the variation of the true scalar $\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|^2$:

\[
\|u - \tilde{u}\|^2 \sim \begin{cases} 
\text{constant} & \text{for } \|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|^2 \lesssim 10^{-5} \\
\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|^2 & \text{for } \|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|^2 \gtrsim 10^{-5} \end{cases}.
\]

These regimes are indicated by the dashed lines in Figure 1(right) which have a slope of zero and one, respectively. In these regimes, the variation of the true scalar $\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|^2$ (and of the true velocity $\|v - \tilde{v}\|^2$) is smaller and larger, respectively, than the error of the reconstructed velocity $\|u - \tilde{u}\|^2$. Consequently, the variation of the reconstructed velocity $\|u - \tilde{u}\|^2$ in these two regimes is set by $\|u - v\|^2$ (constant) and by $\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|^2$, respectively. The level of stagnation depends on the time and
space resolution of the Navier-Stokes’ solver, which limits the accuracy of the reconstructed velocity. The second regime of Eq. (8) corresponds to Lipschitz stability of the velocities. In view of the classical stability result [8] for data assimilation problems subject to the heat equation, Lipschitz stability is feasible thanks to the fact that only the initial data of \( u \) are unknown in this computational example. We also show that the local reconstruction step is Lipschitz stable, see Remark 1 below. We leave a precise numerical analysis of the computational error as a topic of a future work, see [7] for such an analysis of data assimilation problems subject to the heat equation.

For illustration purposes, we show in Figure 2(top) two true scalar fields, \( \psi \) and \( \tilde{\psi} \), at \( t = 6 \), that are advected by two ‘true’ velocity fields \( v \) and \( \tilde{v} \). At \( t = 0 \) it was assumed that \( \tilde{\psi} = \psi \) and that \( \tilde{v} = v + \Delta v \) where \( ||\Delta v||/||v|| = 10^{-1} \). Figure 2(bottom) shows the curl of the corresponding reconstructed velocity fields, \( u \) and \( \tilde{u} \), at \( t = 6 \).

4. Stability analysis

In this section we will derive a stability estimate that gives a mathematical interpretation of the stability illustrated by the right plot of Figure 1. Compared to the numerical example we consider a more challenging setting where not only the initial data but also the boundary data on parts of the boundary are unknown.

To fix the ideas we consider a simplified geometric configuration similar to that of a two-dimensional soap film experiment, described above. Let \( \Omega = (-a,a) \times (-b,b) \) and write \( Q = \Omega \times (0,T) \). The vertical boundaries of the domain are defined by

\[
\Sigma = \Sigma_- \cup \Sigma_+, \quad \Sigma_\pm = \{(x,y) : x = \pm a \text{ and } y \in (-b,b)\}.
\]

Let \( u \) be a solution to the Navier–Stokes equations in \( Q \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t u - \nu \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla) u + \nabla p &= 0, \\
\nabla \cdot u &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

On \( \Sigma \) we assume that \( u \) satisfies either homogeneous Dirichlet conditions, \( u = 0 \), or slip conditions, i.e. \( u \cdot n = 0 \), where \( n \) is the outward pointing normal on \( \Sigma \). On the top and bottom boundaries the boundary data are unknown. The viscosity coefficient \( \nu > 0 \) is known.

We suppose that a passive scalar \( \psi \) satisfies

\[
\partial_t \psi + u \cdot \nabla \psi - \lambda \Delta \psi = 0
\]

in \( Q \), together with the no flux boundary condition

\[
u_1 \psi - \lambda \partial_x \psi = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma.
\]

Observe that since \( u_1 = 0 \) on \( \Sigma \) this reduces to a Neumann condition in practice. The diffusivity coefficient \( \lambda > 0 \) is a known constant.

Recall that the inverse problem to find \( u \) given \( \psi \) is clearly unsolvable if \( \psi \) is a constant function, so we make the standing assumption that this is not the case. More precisely we will assume that \( \psi \) is non-constant in space on \( \Sigma \).

It is well-known that the Navier-Stokes equations admit smooth solutions in the two dimensional case, see e.g. [22] Remark 3.7, p. 303. For simplicity, we assume that both \( u \) and \( \psi \) are smooth in \( \Omega \times (0,T) \).

Note that smoothness of \( \psi \) in the interior of \( Q \) actually follows from the interior Schauder estimates for (10) and smoothness of \( u \), see e.g. [15] Theorem 8.12.1, p.
However, as we do not assume any boundary conditions on the horizontal boundaries \( y = \pm b \), we do not enter into discussion of smoothness properties of \( \psi \).

4.1. **Stream function.** As \( \Omega \) is a two-dimensional simply connected domain, the vanishing of divergence \( \nabla \cdot u = 0 \) implies that there is \( \Theta \) such that

\[
\tag{12}
u = (\partial_y \Theta, -\partial_x \Theta).
\]

Observe that \( \partial_y \Theta \partial_x \psi - \partial_x \Theta \partial_y \psi = \zeta \)

where \( \zeta = \zeta_\psi = -\partial_t \psi + \lambda \Delta \psi \). Defining the (time-dependent) vector field

\[
\tag{13}X = X_\psi = -\partial_y \psi \partial_x + \partial_x \psi \partial_y
\]
on \( \Omega \), we can write equivalently

\[
\tag{14}X \Theta = \zeta,
\]

viewing the vector field \( X \) as the differential operator defined by \( \tag{13} \).

As \( \partial_y \Theta = u_1 = 0 \) on \( \Sigma \), due to the boundary condition on \( u \), and as the stream functions \( \Theta \) and \( \Theta + c \), with \( c \in \mathbb{R} \) a constant, give the same \( u \), we may assume that

\[
\tag{15} \Theta \big|_{\Sigma_\perp} = 0.
\]

We can view \( \tag{14} \text{–} \tag{15} \) as a transport equation for \( \Theta \). Observe that the vector field \( X \) and the right-hand side \( \zeta \) are known as \( \psi \) is known.

Suppose that \( \partial_y \psi(p_0) \neq 0 \) for a point \( p_0 \in \Sigma_\perp \times (0, T) \). Then near \( p_0 \), we can rewrite \( \tag{14} \) as

\[
\tag{16}\partial_x \Theta + \beta \partial_y \Theta = f
\]

where \( \beta = - (\partial_y \psi)^{-1}(\partial_x \psi) \) and \( f = (\partial_y \psi)^{-1} \zeta \). Together with the boundary condition \( \tag{15} \), this transport equation can be solved near \( p_0 \). In particular, recalling \( \tag{12} \), we see that \( \psi \) determines \( u \) near \( p_0 \). We will next study the continuity of the map \( \psi \mapsto u \) near \( p_0 \). Observe that this map is non-linear, both \( \beta \) and \( f \) depend on \( \psi \).

4.2. **On stability of linear transport equations.** In this section we study linear transport equations in an abstract setting and the meaning of the variables here are different from those above.

Consider the equation

\[
\tag{17}\partial_t u + \beta \cdot \nabla u = f
\]

with the initial condition \( u|_{t=0} = 0 \). We are interested in the continuity properties of the map \( (f, \beta) \mapsto u \). However, let us first recall the standard continuity result for the map \( f \mapsto u \) with \( \beta \) fixed. The exposition below was inspired by [9, Theorem 7, p. 131] where more complicated Hamilton–Jacobi equations are considered. Contrary to this reference, we need to keep track of the dependence on \( \beta \) of the constants in the estimates.

Let \( T > 0 \), suppose that \( \beta \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)) \) and choose

\[
R \geq \| \beta \|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)}.
\]
Furthermore, define \( r(t) = R(T - t) \), let \( B(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) be the open ball of radius \( r \), with a fixed centre and outward pointing normal \( n_B \), and consider the energy

\[
E(t) = \int_{B(r(t))} u^2(t, x) \, dx.
\]

Then for a solution \( u \) of (17),

\[
\partial_t E \leq CE + \int_{B(r)} f^2 \, dx,
\]

where

\[
C = 1 + \|\beta\|_{L^\infty(0, T; W^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))}.
\]

Indeed, using

\[
\partial_t u^2 = 2u\partial_t u = -2u\beta \cdot \nabla u + 2uf - \beta \cdot \nabla u^2,
\]

we get

\[
\partial_t E = \int_{B(r)} 2u\partial_t u \, dx + r' \int_{\partial B(r)} u^2 \, dx = \int_{B(r)} 2uf - \beta \cdot \nabla u^2 \, dx + r' \int_{\partial B(r)} u^2 \, dx \leq \int_{B(r)} 2uf + u^2 \nabla \cdot \beta \, dx,
\]

where we used \( |n_B \cdot \beta| \leq R = -r' \).

Now (18) follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. We write

\[
\tilde{C} = \{ t \in (0, T) : x \in B(r(t)) \}.
\]

Grönwall’s inequality implies the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Let \( \beta \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)) \). Then there is \( C > 0 \) such that

\[
\|u(t)\|_{L^2(B(r(t)))} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(C)}, \quad t \in (0, T),
\]

for all \( u \in C^1(C) \) satisfying (17) and \( u|_{t=0} = 0 \). The constant \( C \) depends only on \( T \) and the norm of \( \beta \).

We need also higher order energy estimates. For notational simplicity, let us now consider only the case \( n = 1 \). Let \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). If \( u \) satisfies (17), then \( v = \partial_x^k u \) satisfies

\[
\partial_t v + \beta \partial_x v = \tilde{f}, \quad \tilde{f} = \partial_x^k f - [\partial_x^k, \beta \partial_x] u.
\]

We write

\[
\tilde{E}_k = \int_{B(r)} v^2 \, dx, \quad E_K = \sum_{k=0}^K \int_{B(r)} (\partial_x^k u)^2 \, dx,
\]

Applying (18) to \( v \) gives

\[
\partial_t \tilde{E}_k \leq C\tilde{E}_k + \int_{B(r)} \tilde{f}^2 \, dx \leq C\tilde{E}_k + \int_{B(r)} (\partial_x^k f)^2 \, dx + C\tilde{E}_k,
\]

(20)
where the constant depends only on the $L^\infty(0,T; W^{k,\infty}({\mathbb R}))$ norm of $\beta$. The sum of the estimates (20) for $k = 0, \ldots, K$ gives

$$
(21) \quad \partial_t E_K \leq C E_K + C \sum_{k=0}^{K} \int_{B(r)} (\partial_x^k f)^2 \, dx.
$$

Grönwall’s inequality gives the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** Let $k \geq 1$ and $\beta \in L^\infty(0,T; W^{k,\infty}({\mathbb R}))$. Then there is $C > 0$ such that

$$
\|u(t)\|_{H^k(B(r(t)))} \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{k} \|\partial_x^j f\|_{L^2({\mathbb R})}, \quad t \in (0,T),
$$

for all $u \in C^{k+1}({\overline{C}})$ satisfying (17) and $u|_{t=0} = 0$. The constant $C$ depends only on $T$ and the norm of $b$.

We can apply a similar argument also to the time derivative. We will need only the first derivative in time $v = \partial_t u$. Then

$$
\partial_t v + \beta \partial_x v = \tilde{f}, \quad \tilde{f} = \partial_t f - (\partial_t \beta) \partial_x u.
$$

Writing $\tilde{E} = \int_{B(r)} v^2 \, dx$ and applying (13) to $v$ gives

$$
\partial_t \tilde{E} \leq C \tilde{E} + C \int_{B(r)} \tilde{f}^2 \, dx \leq C \tilde{E} + \int_{B(r)} (\partial_t f)^2 \, dx + CE_1,
$$

where the constant depends only on $W^{1,\infty}((0,T) \times {\mathbb R})$ norm of $\beta$. The sum of this and (21) with $K = 1$, together with Grönwall’s inequality, gives the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** Let $\beta \in W^{1,\infty}((0,T) \times {\mathbb R})$. Then there is $C > 0$ such that

$$
\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2(B(r(t)))} + \|u(t)\|_{H^1(B(r(t)))} \leq C \|f\|_{H^1({\mathbb R})}, \quad t \in (0,T),
$$

for all $u \in C^2({\overline{C}})$ satisfying (17) and $u|_{t=0} = 0$. The constant $C$ depends only on $T$ and the norm of $\beta$.

**Corollary 1.** Let $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in W^{1,\infty}((0,T) \times {\mathbb R})$ and suppose that

$$
\|\beta_j\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times {\mathbb R})} \leq R, \quad \|\beta_j\|_{W^{1,\infty}((0,T) \times {\mathbb R})} \leq R_1.
$$

Let $u_j \in C^2({\overline{C}})$ satisfy $u_j|_{t=0} = 0$ and $\partial_t u_j + \beta_j \partial_x u_j = f_j$, $j = 1, 2$. Suppose furthermore that $\|f_j\|_{H^1({\mathbb R})} \leq R_1$. Then

$$
\|u_1 - u_2\|_{H^1({\mathbb R})} \leq C \left( \|f_1 - f_2\|_{H^1({\mathbb R})} + \|\beta_1 - \beta_2\|_{W^{1,\infty}({\mathbb R})} \right),
$$

where the constant depends only on $T$ and $R_1$.

**Proof.** The function $w = u_1 - u_2$ satisfies

$$
\partial_t w + \beta_1 \partial_x w = f_1 - f_2 + (\beta_2 - \beta_1) \partial_x u_2,
$$

and from Lemma (3)

$$
\|w\|_{H^1({\mathbb R})} \leq C \|f_1 - f_2\|_{H^1({\mathbb R})} + C \|\beta_1 - \beta_2\|_{W^{1,\infty}({\mathbb R})} \|u_2\|_{H^1({\mathbb R})}.
$$

Using $\|f_2\|_{H^1({\mathbb R})} \leq R_1$, the claim follows from Lemma (3).
4.3. Local recovery. We will now apply Corollary 1 to (16).

**Proposition 1.** Let \( \psi \in H^4(Q) \) and suppose that \( \partial_y \psi(p_0) \neq 0 \) for a point \( p_0 \) in \( \Sigma_\cdot \times (0,T) \). Let \( U_0 \subset \overline{\Omega} \times (0,T) \) be a neighbourhood of \( p_0 \). Then there is a neighbourhood \( B \subset H^4(Q) \) of \( \psi \), a neighbourhood \( U \subset U_0 \) of \( p_0 \) and a constant \( C > 0 \) such that for all \( \tilde{\psi} \in B \) there holds

\[
\| \Theta - \tilde{\Theta} \|_{H^4(U)} \leq C \| \psi - \tilde{\psi} \|_{H^4(U)},
\]

where \( \Theta \) and \( \tilde{\Theta} \) are the solutions of (14)–(15) with \((X,\zeta) = (X_\psi,\zeta_\psi)\) and \((\tilde{X},\tilde{\zeta}) = (\tilde{X}_\psi,\tilde{\zeta}_\psi)\), respectively.

**Proof.** The Sobolev embedding theorem implies that \( \psi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}) \). In particular, the point value \( \partial_y \psi(p_0) \) is well-defined and there is a neighbourhood \( V \) of \( p_0 \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \) such that \( |\partial_y \psi(p)| > \epsilon \) for all \( p \in V \). Define \( \beta = - (\partial_y \tilde{\psi})^{-1} (\partial_x \psi) \) in \( V \), cf. (16), and

\[
R = 1 + \| \beta \|_{L^\infty(V)}.
\]

Write \( p_0 = (-a,y_0,t_0) \) and consider the set

\[
U = \{ (x,y,t) \in Q : x \in (-a,-a+\delta), \ |y-y_0| < R(\delta - (x+a)), \ |t-t_0| < \delta \}
\]

where \( \delta > 0 \) is small enough so that \( \overline{U} \subset V \cap U_0 \). Choose a small enough neighbourhood \( B \subset H^4(Q) \) of \( \psi \) so that \( \tilde{\psi} = (\partial_y \tilde{\psi})^{-1} (\partial_x \psi) \) satisfies \( \| \beta \|_{L^\infty(V)} \leq R \) for all \( \tilde{\psi} \in B \). Now Corollary 1 implies the claimed estimate. Indeed,

\[
\| \beta - \tilde{\beta} \|_{W^{1,\infty}(U)} \leq C \| \psi - \tilde{\psi} \|_{W^{2,\infty}(U)} \leq C \| \psi - \tilde{\psi} \|_{H^4(U)}
\]

and writing \( f = (\partial_y \psi)^{-1} \zeta \), with \( \zeta = - \partial_x \psi + \lambda \Delta \psi \), and defining \( \tilde{f} \) analogously,

\[
\| f - \tilde{f} \|_{H^1(U)} \leq C \| \psi - \tilde{\psi} \|_{W^{2,\infty}(U)} + C \| \psi - \tilde{\psi} \|_{H^4(U)}
\]

Here the constants depend on

\[
\sup_{\tilde{\psi} \in B} \| \tilde{\psi} \|_{H^4(Q)} \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \inf_{\tilde{\psi} \in B,p \in V} |\partial_y \tilde{\psi}(p)| \right)^{-1}.
\]

\[ \square \]

**Remark 1.** Using notation from the above proposition, it is immediate from equation (12) that

\[
\| u - \tilde{u} \|_{L^2(U)} \leq \| \Theta - \tilde{\Theta} \|_{H^4(U)} \leq C \| \psi - \tilde{\psi} \|_{H^4(U)},
\]

where \((\phi,u)\) and \((\tilde{\phi},\tilde{u})\) both satisfy (11) together with the boundary conditions (11) and \( u_1 = 0 \) on \( \Sigma \). Hence the map \( \psi \mapsto u \) is locally Lipschitz continuous.

4.4. Global recovery. We recall the three cylinders inequality for the linearized Navier–Stokes equation from (16), see also (1) for an earlier related result. Let \( u \in H^1(0,T;H^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)) \) be a nontrivial solution of (11) with associated pressure \( p \in L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega)) \). Let \( B(x,R) \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) denote the ball of radius \( R \) with the centre \( x \).

**Theorem 1** (16). Let \( C_0,T > 0, \ 0 < R_1 < R_2 < R_3/3 < 1, \ x_0 \in \Omega \) and let \( \epsilon > 0 \) be small. Suppose that \( B(x_0,R_3) \subset \Omega \). Then there are \( C > 0 \) and \( \alpha \in (0,1) \) such that

\[
\int_0^T \int_{B(x_0,R_2)} |u|^2 \, dx dt \leq C \left( \int_0^T \int_{B(x_0,R_1)} |u|^2 \, dx dt \right)^\alpha \left( \int_0^T \int_{B(x_0,R_3)} |u|^2 \, dx dt \right)^{1-\alpha}
\]
for all solutions to
\begin{equation}
\partial_t u - \nu \Delta u + (A \cdot \nabla)u + (u \cdot \nabla)B + \nabla p = 0,
\end{equation}
in \(Q\) and all \(A, B \in L^\infty(Q)\) satisfying \(\|A\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \leq C_0\) and \(\|B\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \leq C_0\).

This together with the local recovery implies the following global recovery.

**Theorem 2.** Let \(U \subset \mathbb{R}^2\) be open and suppose that \(\overline{U} \subset \Omega\). Let \(C_0 > 0\), \(t_0 \in (0, T)\) and \(y_0 \in (-b, b)\). Define
\[\mathcal{U} = \{u \in C^\infty(Q) : u \text{ satisfies (4), } u_1 = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma, \text{ and } \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \leq C_0\} \cap \mathcal{U}_1\].

Let \(u \in \mathcal{U}\) and let \(\psi \in H^1(Q)\) satisfy (10)–(11) and \(\partial_y \psi(p_0) \neq 0\) where \(p_0 = (-a, y_0, t_0)\). Let \(U_0 \subset \overline{U} \times (0, T)\) be a neighbourhood of \(p_0\). Then there are neighbourhoods \(\mathcal{B} \subset H^1(Q)\) of \(\psi\) and constants \(\alpha \in (0, 1)\) and \(C, s > 0\) such that if \(\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{U}\) and if \(\tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{B}\) satisfies (10)–(11), with \(u\) replaced by \(\tilde{u}\), then
\[\|u - \tilde{u}\|_{L^2((t_0-s,t_0+s) \times U)} \leq C\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_{H^s(U_0)}^\alpha\].

**Proof.** Proposition 1 implies that there are a neighbourhood \(\mathcal{B} \subset H^1(Q)\) of \(\psi\) and a neighbourhood \(U_1 \subset U_0\) of \(p_0\) such that
\[\|u - \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(U_1)} \leq C\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_{H^s(U_0)}\] for \(\tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{B}\).

The difference \(e = u - \tilde{u}\) satisfies (22) with \(A = u\) and \(B = \tilde{u}\). Indeed,
\[\partial_y e + (\nu \Delta e + (e \cdot \nabla)B = (u \cdot \nabla)(u - \tilde{u}) + ((u - \tilde{u}) \cdot \nabla)\tilde{u} = (u \cdot \nabla)u - (\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{u}\].

We can then apply Theorem 1 to \(e\). Taking \(B(x_0, R_1) \subset U_1\) it follows that for \(R_2 > 0\) as in Theorem 1 there holds
\[\int_{t_0-s}^{t_0+s} \int_{B(x_0,R_2)} |e|^2 dx dt \leq C \left(\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_{H^s(U_0)}^2\right) \left(\|u\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|	ilde{u}\|_{L^2(Q)}^2\right)^{1-\alpha}.
\]

The claim follows by iterating Theorem 1 finitely many times (see for instance [19]).

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have shown that for the SIV problem, the velocity field \(u\) is uniquely determined by the measured scalar field \(\psi\), and \(u\) depends continuously on \(\psi\). The stability is of H"older type for the interior estimates considered here. Due to the nonlinearity of the map \(\psi \mapsto u\), the scalar field in the right hand side of the stability estimate of Theorem 2 is measured in the \(H^1\)-norm. This is much stronger than the \(L^2\)-norm of the velocities in the left hand side, but it seems unlikely that it can be improved by much in the framework exposed here. The consequence of this lack of balance in the estimate is that computationally we must expect the error in the velocity to be larger than that in the scalar field, even if \(\alpha \approx 1\).

An outstanding challenge is to further develop the analysis so that it allows for error estimates for a computational method. Several building blocks for such a development are available, for convection–diffusion equations and transport in [6] [5], for parabolic problems in [7] and for the stationary linearized Navier-Stokes’ equation in [2]. In those references finite element methods are considered, but the arguments can be reinterpreted in the context of spectral or Fourier methods that we considered here.
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