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1 Definition of a regular graph

We are going to deal with graphs which occurred in work [5], [6] on the parametric geometry of numbers, but may be of interest on their own.

Set

\[ \mu := (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l, -\beta_1, \ldots, -\beta_m), \]

where \( n := l + m > 2 \), each \( \alpha_i \) and each \( \beta_j \) is non-negative, and where

\[ \alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_l = \beta_1 + \ldots + \beta_m \neq 0. \]

A \( \mu \)-system is an \( n \)-tuple of functions \( P_1(q), \ldots, P_n(q) \) defined for \( q \geq 0 \), which are continuous, satisfy \( P_1 \leq P_2 \leq \ldots \leq P_n \) and \( P_1(0) = \ldots = P_n(0) = 0 \). Moreover, each \( P_i \) is piecewise linear, with only finitely many linear pieces in any interval with positive end points, and slopes among \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l, -\beta_1, \ldots, -\beta_m \). In every interval where each \( P_i \) is linear, their slopes will be \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l, -\beta_1, \ldots, -\beta_m \) in some order, so that \( P_1(q) + \ldots + P_n(q) = 0 \) by (1.2).

A \( \mu \)-system will be called proper if for each \( q > 0 \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), the sum of the slopes of \( P_1, \ldots, P_i \) to the left of \( q \) does not exceed the sum of the slopes to the right of \( q \). The union of the graphs of \( P_1, \ldots, P_n \) will be called a \( \mu \)-graph. A \( \mu \)-system and its graph will be called regular if the graph is invariant under multiplication by some real number \( \tau > 1 \), i.e. under the map \( x \mapsto \tau x \).

In [.] a regular, proper graph was constructed for the case when \( \alpha := (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l) \) equals \((m, \ldots, m)\) and \( \beta := (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m) \) equals \((l, \ldots, l)\). Here we will generalize this construction to arbitrary vectors subject to (1.2).
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2 Construction of a regular graph

Set $k = \text{lcm}(l, m)$. Given $\rho = (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k)$ with each $\rho_i > 1$, we will build a graph $G = G(\mu, \rho)$ depending on the parameters $\mu = (\alpha, -\beta)$ and $\rho$. The components of $\alpha, \beta$ need not be ordered by size but our construction will depend on the ordering of the components.

Set $\sigma_0 = 1$, $\sigma_r = \rho_1 \cdots \rho_r$ for $1 \leq r \leq k$ and $\tau = \sigma_k = \rho_1 \cdots \rho_k$. For every $t \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\tau^t = \tau^t \sigma_0 < \tau^t \sigma_1 < \cdots < \tau^t \sigma_k = \tau^{t+1}.$$ 

The points $a_t, b_t$ with $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ of [5] will be replaced by $k$-tuples $(a_0^t, a_1^t, \ldots, a_{k-1}^t)$ and $(b_0^t, b_1^t, \ldots, b_{k-1}^t)$, where for $0 \leq r < k$

$$(2.1) \quad a_r^t = \tau^t \sigma_r (1, u_r) \quad \text{and} \quad b_r^t = \tau^t \sigma_r (1, v_r)$$

for some numbers $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}$ and $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}$. Observe that for given $r$, the points $a_r^t$ will lie on a line $L_r$ of slope $u_r$ emanating from the origin, and the points $b_r^t$ on a line $M_r$ of slope $v_r$.

When $sk \leq r < (s + 1)k$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that $r = sk + h$ with $0 \leq h < r$, set $\rho_r = \rho_h$, $u_r = u_h$, $v_r = v_h$ and $\sigma_r = \tau^h \sigma_h$. For instance, when $k \leq r < 2k$, we have $\sigma_r = \tau \sigma_h = \rho_1 \rho_2 \cdots \rho_k \rho_1 \rho_2 \cdots \rho_h$. We again define $a_r^t, b_r^t$ by (2.1) and note that for $r = sk + h$ we obtain

$$a_r^t = \tau^h a_h^t, \quad b_r^t = \tau^h b_h^t.$$ 

We write $\alpha_r = \alpha_i$ if $r \equiv i \pmod{l}$ with $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $\beta_r = \beta_j$ if $r \equiv j \pmod{m}$ with $1 \leq j \leq m$. Notice that $r \equiv r' \pmod{k}$ implies $r \equiv r' \pmod{l}$ and $r \equiv r' \pmod{m}$, so that we obtain the same pair $i, j$ for $r$ and $r'$. Therefore when $k < lm$, we only need $r$ in $0 \leq r < k$. Further denote line segments with end points $x, y$ by $[x, y]$ and set for $0 \leq r < k$

$$A_r^t = [a_r^t, b_{r+l}^t], \quad B_r^t = [b_r^t, a_{r+m}^t].$$

In our construction $A_r^t$ will have slope $\alpha_{r+1}$ and $B_r^t$ will have slope $-\beta_{r+1}$. Our graph $G(\mu, \rho)$ consists of $0$ and all the line segments $A_r^t, B_r^t$ with $0 \leq r < k$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Observe that in every interval $[\tau^t \sigma_r, \tau^t \sigma_{r+1}]$, the graph $G$ will consist of line segments of slopes $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l, -\beta_1, \ldots, -\beta_m$ which add up to $0$ by (1.2).

For $q > 0$, let the points of $G$ be $(q, y_1(q)), \ldots, (q, y_n(q))$ with $y_1(q) \leq \cdots \leq y_n(q)$. The functions $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ with $P_i(0) = 0, P_i(q) = y_i(q)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ are a $\mu$-system with graph $G$, so that $G$ is a $\mu$-graph. Moreover $G$ is invariant under multiplication by $\tau$, hence is a regular graph.

In the following Figure 1, we indicate the principle of construction of such a graph in the case $(l, m) = (3, 2)$ in the interval $[\tau^t, \tau^{t+1}]$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in the depicted situation we have $u_i < v_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq 5$, which guarantees that the underlying $\mu$-system is proper.
We next will show how it all works in general with $u_0, \ldots, u_{k-1}$ and $v_0, \ldots, v_{k-1}$ as functions of $\mu, \rho$. Going from $a_t^r$ with $0 \leq r < k$ to $b_t^{r+l}$ via $A_t^r$, and then via $B_t^{r+l}$ to $a_t^{r+n}$ (look at the bold line segments for $r = 0$ in the picture), e.g.

\[
\begin{align*}
a_t^r \xrightarrow{A_t^r} b_t^{r+l} & \xrightarrow{B_t^{r+l}} a_t^{r+n}, \\
& (\text{note that } r + l, r + n \text{ may exceed } k - 1) \text{ the ordinate will change by}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{r+1}(\tau^{r+1})^{\tau^{r+1}} & \tau^r - \beta_{r+1+l+1}^{r+1}(\tau^{r+1})^{\tau^{r+1}}(\tau^{r+1})^{\tau^{r+1}} = \tau^r(\alpha_{r+1}^{\psi^r} - 1 - \beta_{r+1+l+1}^{\psi^r})(\psi^r - \psi^r), \\
& \text{where } \psi^r = \rho_{r+1}\rho_{r+2} \cdots \rho_{r+s} \text{ (the superscript indicates the number of factors).}
\end{align*}
\]

On the other hand, the ordinate at $a_t^{r+n} - a_t^r$ is

\[
\tau^{r+1}u_{r+n} - \tau^r u_r = \tau^r(u_{r+n} - u_r),
\]

so that comparison with (2.1) and division by $\tau^r u_r$ yields

\[
\begin{align*}
u_r - \chi_r u_{r+n} & = -U_r, \\
& \text{with } \chi_r := \psi^r \text{ and}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
U_r & = \alpha_{r+1}(\psi^r - 1) - \beta_{r+1+l+1}(\psi^r_n - \psi^r_r).
\end{align*}
\]
These equations hold for $0 \leq r < k$, and yield $k$ linear relations for $u_0, \ldots, u_{k-1}$.

In a similar way, considering the path

$$b'_r \overset{b^i_r}{\longrightarrow} a^i_{r+m} \overset{A^i_{r+m}}{\longrightarrow} b'_{r+n},$$

we obtain

(2.6) $v_r - \chi_r v_{r+n} = -V_r,$

with

(2.7) $V_r = -\beta_{r+1}(\psi_r^m - 1) + \alpha_{r+m+1}(\psi_r^m - \psi_r^m).$

From now until the end of section 3 we will assume $l, m$ to be relatively prime. Thus also $n, k$ are coprime. By (2.4) for $r, r + n, r + 2n$ we have

$$u_r = -U_r + \chi_r u_{r+n}$$

$$= -U_r - \chi_r U_{r+n} + \chi_r \chi_{r+n} u_{r+2n}$$

$$= -U_r - \chi_r U_{r+n} - \chi_r \chi_{r+n} U_{r+2n} + \chi_r \chi_{r+n} \chi_{r+2n} u_{r+3n}$$

Continuing in this way we obtain after $k$ steps:

(2.8) $u_r = -U_r - \chi_r U_{r+n} - \cdots - (\chi_r \chi_{r+n} \cdots \chi_{r+(k-2)n}) U_{r+(k-1)n} + (\chi_r \chi_{r+n} \cdots \chi_{r+(k-1)n}) u_{r+kn}.$

But $u_{r+kn} = u_r$, and its coefficient in (2.8) is

$$\chi_r \chi_{r+n} \cdots \chi_{r+(k-1)n} = \chi_0 \chi_1 \cdots \chi_{k-1} = \tau^n$$

since our subscripts are residue classes modulo $k$, and since $n, k$ are coprime, $r, r + n, \ldots, r + (k-1)n$ runs through all these classes, and in view of $\chi_h = \rho_{h+1} \cdots \rho_{h+n}$, each $\rho_i$ occurs in $n$ of the numbers $\chi_0, \ldots, \chi_{k-1}$. Therefore (2.8) is

(2.9) $$(\tau^n - 1) u_r = U_r + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (\chi_r \chi_{r+n} \cdots \chi_{r+(j-1)n}) U_{r+jn}.$$

The analogous equation for $v_r$, with $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_{k-1}$ replaced by $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_{k-1}$ yields

(2.9') $$(\tau^n - 1) v_r = V_r + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (\chi_r \chi_{r+n} \cdots \chi_{r+(j-1)n}) V_{r+jn}.$$

In combination with (2.5) this gives

(2.10) $u_r = \frac{1}{\tau^n - 1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \alpha_{r+1+jn}(\psi_r^{jn+l} - \psi_r^{jn}) - \beta_{r+1+l+jn}(\psi_r^{(j+1)n} - \psi_r^{jn+l}) \right),$

(2.10') $v_r = \frac{1}{\tau^n - 1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \alpha_{r+1+m+jn}(\psi_r^{(j+1)n} - \psi_r^{jn+m}) - \beta_{r+1+l+jn}(\psi_r^{jn+m} - \psi_r^{jn}) \right).$
3 On proper graphs

Our regular graph $G(\mu, \rho)$ is proper if $v_r \geq u_r$ for $0 \leq r < k$, and by our equations for $u_r$ and $v_r$, this is certainly true if each $V_r \geq U_r$, and by (2.5), (2.7) this holds if

$$\alpha_{r+m+1}(\psi_r^n - \psi_r^m) - \alpha_{r+1}(\psi_r^l - 1) + \beta_{r+l+1}(\psi_r^n - \psi_r^l) - \beta_{r+1}(\psi_r^m - 1) \geq 0$$

for each $r$.

In the case when $m = 1$, $\beta_1 = l$ we have $\psi_r^n = \tau \rho_{r+1}$, $\psi_r^m = \rho_{r+1}$, $\psi_r^l = \tau$ and $\alpha_{r+m+1} = \alpha_{r+2}$, so that (3.1) becomes

$$\alpha_{r+2}(\tau - 1)\rho_{r+1} - \alpha_{r+1}(\tau - 1) + l(\tau - 1)(\rho_{r+1} - 1) \geq 0,$$

which is the same as

$$(\alpha_{r+2} + l)\rho_{r+1} - (\alpha_{r+1} + l) \geq 0.$$

Replacing $r$ by $r - 1$, the condition for being proper becomes

$$\rho_r \geq \frac{\alpha_r + l}{\alpha_{r+1} + l}$$

for $r = 1, \ldots, l$.

In order to obtain a condition that assures properness in the general case, we set

$$\Omega := \max_{i,j}\{\alpha_i + \beta_j\}$$

as well as

$$\omega := \min_{i,j}\{\alpha_i + \beta_j\},$$

where $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Moreover, we assume that

$$\omega > 0.$$  

Then (3.1) certainly holds for each $r$, provided

$$\omega(\psi_r^n + 1) \geq \Omega(\psi_r^l + \psi_r^m)$$

for $r = 0, \ldots, k - 1$,

so that in view of (3.2) we may conclude that properness is guaranteed by the condition that for any $r \in \{0, \ldots, k - 1\}$ we have

$$\frac{\psi_r^n + 1}{\psi_r^l + \psi_r^m} \geq \frac{\Omega}{\omega}.$$  

From (3.3) it easily follows that for given $\mu = (\alpha, \beta)$, hence given $\Omega/\omega$, the graph $G(\mu, \rho)$ is certainly proper if each $\rho_i$ is sufficiently large.

We conclude this section by showing in Figure 2 the example of our regular, proper graph $G(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$ obtained for the parameters

- $l = 3$, $m = 2$, 

• \( \rho_i = \sqrt[3]{2} \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, 6 \), hence \( \tau = 4 \),

• \( \alpha = (1/2, 1, 3/2), \beta = (2, 1) \).

We restrict the picture to the part with \( q \) in the interval \([\tau^0, \tau^1] = [1, 4]\). It is plain to see that this graph is proper as any \( b_r^0 = \sigma_r(1, v_r) \) lies above the corresponding \( a_r^0 = \sigma_r(1, u_r) \), so that \( v_r > u_r \).

Figure 2
4 The case when \( l, m \) are not coprime

We now finally assume \( k, l \) to have greatest common factor \( d > 1 \), so that \( k = lm/d \). We set \( n' = n/d, k' = k/d = lm/d^2 \) and note that \( n', k' \) are coprime. Given a residue class \( f \) modulo \( d \), the line segments

\[
(4.1) \quad A'_r, B'_r \text{ with } r \equiv f \pmod{d}
\]

have endpoints \( a'_s, b'_w \) with \( s \equiv w \equiv f \pmod{d} \) and slopes \( \alpha^t_{r+1}, -\beta^t_{r+1} \). The union of \( 0 \) and the line segments (4.1) is a graph \( G' \), and \( G \) is the union of \( G^1, \ldots, G^d \). With \( \mathbf{\mu}' \in \mathbb{R}^{n'} \) having the components \( \alpha_i, -\beta_j \) with \( i \equiv j \equiv f \pmod{d} \), the graph \( G' \) is a \( \mathbf{\mu}' \)-graph, i.e. it is like a \( \mathbf{\mu} \)-graph, except that the associated functions \( P^1, \ldots, P^{n'} \) will in every interval where each of them is linear, have the components of \( \mathbf{\mu}' \) as slopes in some order. Thus when \( \gamma' \) is the sum of these components, we will have

\[
P^1(q) + \ldots + P^{n'}(q) = \gamma'q.
\]

Now let us go back to (2.4). Note that when \( h \) runs through the residue classes modulo \( k' \), then \( f + dh \) runs through the residue classes modulo \( k \) which are \( \equiv f \pmod{d} \). Furthermore, \( u^f_h := u_{f+dh} \) will run through the slopes \( u_r \) belonging to \( G' \). With \( U^f_h := U_{f+hd}, \chi^f_h := \chi_{f+hd}, (2.4) \) yields

\[
(4.2) \quad u^f_h - \chi^f_h u^f_{h+n'} = -U^f_h.
\]

In analogy to (2.8) we have

\[
(4.3) u^f_h = -U^f_h - \chi^f_h U^f_{h+n'} - \chi^f_{h+n'} U^f_{h+2n'} - \ldots - (\chi^f_h \chi^f_{h+n'} \ldots \chi^f_{h+(k'-2)n'}) U^f_{h+(k'-1)n'} + (\chi^f_h \chi^f_{h+n'} \ldots \chi^f_{h+(k'-1)n'}) u^f_{h+k'n'}
\]

with subscripts modulo \( k' \). We have \( k'n' \equiv 0 \pmod{k'} \) so that \( u^f_{h+k'n'} = u^f_h \), with coefficient \( \chi^f_h \chi^f_{h+n'} \ldots \chi^f_{h+(k'-2)n'} = \chi^f_h \chi^f_{h+1} \ldots \chi^f_{h+(k'-1)} \), since with \( n', k' \) coprime, \( 0, n', \ldots, (k' - 1)n' \) runs through all the residue classes modulo \( k' \). Each \( \rho_i \) is a factor of \( n' \) of the numbers \( \chi^f_0, \ldots, \chi^f_{k'-1} \) so that this coefficient is \( \tau^{n'} \). Therefore (4.3) gives

\[
(\tau^{n'} - 1) u^f_h = U^f_h + \sum_{j=1}^{k'-1} (\chi^f_h \chi^f_{h+n'} \ldots \chi^f_{h+(j-1)n'}) U^f_{h+jn'}.
\]

An analogous relation holds for \( v^f_h = v_{f+dh} \).

5 Final Remark

The regular graph of [6] inspired a conjecture on diophantine approximation which was established in [3],[4]. As recently more attention has been devoted to diophantine approximation with weights (see for example [1],[2],[7],[8]), it may be expected that the present graph will have application in the weighted setting.
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