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The observation of the Hanbury-Brown and Twiss (HBT) effect with thermal light marked the beginning of a new era in the field of optical coherence. To date, all types of thermal light sources that were considered consisted of independent emitters that emit uncorrelated photons. In this Letter, we study a new class of thermal light, coined thermal biphotons, in which the independent emitters emit pairs of correlated photons. We show that for thermal biphotons, the width of the HBT peak is remarkably different than the size of their coherence area, leading to violation of the well-known Siegert relation and a breakdown of the speckle-fluctuations interpretation for the HBT effect. We provide an alternative interpretation based on a surprising connection between the HBT effect and coherent backscattering of light. We experimentally implement a pseudo-thermal biphoton source and study its unique properties by passing pairs of photons generated by spontaneous parametric down conversion through a rotating diffuser.

One of the most important steps towards our modern understanding of optical coherence was the observation of the Hanbury-Brown and Twiss (HBT) effect, in which the size of a thermal light source was inferred using intensity correlation measurements between two detectors[1, 2]. Although being completely consistent with the classical theory of light, the observation of the HBT effect triggered a heated debate on the quantum nature of photons[3]. The full quantum description of the HBT effect was finally given by Glauber in his seminal work on the quantum theory of optical coherence[4, 5], marking the birth of the field of quantum optics. Following these results, second-order coherence (or intensity interferometry) has gained significant importance in the field of quantum optics, playing a major role in phenomena such as Hong-Ou-Mandel interference[6] and the characterization of single and entangled photon sources[7, 8].

Since its first observation in the 1950s, the HBT effect was studied in a variety of different degrees of freedom of light, such as the spatial, temporal and orbital angular momentum degrees of freedom[9—11]. However, in all demonstrations to date, the HBT effect was studied for spatially incoherent thermal sources, which are modeled by a large number of independent emitters that emit uncorrelated photons (Fig.1(a)) [12]. For such sources, the classical interpretation of fluctuating Gaussian fields, and the quantum interpretation of photon bunching, predict exactly the same features of the HBT effect: the second order coherence exhibits a 2:1 peak-to-background ratio with a width that is inversely proportional to the source size. Similarly, it is generally believed that the Gaussian fields description of thermal ghost imaging is identical to the quantum theory[13—18]. An intriguing question is whether the equivalence between the Gaussian field and the photon bunching interpretations holds for other types of incoherent sources? To study this question, we introduce a new class of spatially incoherent sources, thermal biphotons, that are made of independent emitters that emit pairs of photons. Beyond reflecting new insights on the classical and quantum description of spatial coherence, understanding the coherence properties of thermal biphotons may open the door for new applications of two-photon sources with low spatial coherence.

In this Letter, we theoretically and experimentally study the coherence properties of thermal biphotons. We show that thermal biphotons exhibit a 2:1 HBT peak, as in the standard HBT effect, indicating their thermal nature. The width of the HBT peak, however, is strikingly different for thermal biphotons. We show that in contrast to the standard HBT effect with thermal light, for thermal biphotons the quantum and classical pictures are not equivalent, as they predict different widths for the HBT peak, leading to violation of the Siegert relation for thermal light. Finally, we give an alternative explanation for the observed results by unveiling a surprising relation between the HBT effect and coherent backscattering (CBS).

We begin by briefly describing the standard HBT effect with thermal light. Light from a thermal source of diameter $D$ is measured at the far-field using two detectors at angular separation $\Delta \theta$ relative to the source (Fig.1(a)). Since the thermal light field has Gaussian statistics, the instantaneous intensity distribution at the detectors’ plane exhibits rapidly fluctuating speckle patterns[19—20]. If the two detectors lie within the same speckle grain, their intensities fluctuate correlatively, and $g^{(2)}(\Delta \theta) \approx \langle I^2 \rangle / \langle I \rangle^2 = 2$, where the last equality results from the exponential statistics of thermal light[21]. Otherwise, the detectors measure intensities at uncorrelated speckle grains, yielding $g^{(2)}(\Delta \theta) = 1$. The width of the 2:1 peak in $g^{(2)}(\Delta \theta)$ is thus determined by the speckle grain size, which is given by $\Delta \theta \propto \lambda / D$, where $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the thermal light. An equivalent classical view of the HBT effect is given by utilizing the Siegert relation, $g^{(2)}(\Delta \theta) = 1 + |g^{(1)}(\Delta \theta)|^2$, which connects the first- and second-order coherence functions of thermal light[22]. According to the Van Cittert-Zernike theorem[12], the width of the first-order coherence function $g^{(1)}(\Delta \theta)$ is determined by the Fourier transform of the intensity of the thermal source. Therefore, using

\[ g^{(1)}(\Delta \theta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta \frac{\sin^2(\Delta \theta/2)}{\pi/(\lambda/2)} |g(\theta)|^2 \]
by, biphotons, the second order coherence function is given \( P_d \) determined by the structure of \( D \) corresponding positions. The length scales \( r \) the probability density of emitting one photon at posi-
tion \( r_s \) and the other at position \( r_i \), and \( |r_s, r_i| \) is a Fock state describing the emission of the two photons at the corresponding positions. The length scales \( D \) and \( d \) are determined by the structure of \( P(r_s, r_i) \). For thermal biph-
tons, the second order coherence function is given by \([21]\). 

\[
g^{(2)}(\Delta \theta) = \frac{\langle \hat{a}^\dagger(\theta)\hat{a}^\dagger(\theta + \Delta \theta)\hat{a}(\theta + \Delta \theta)\hat{a}(\theta) \rangle}{\langle \hat{a}^\dagger(\theta)\hat{a}(\theta) \rangle \langle \hat{a}^\dagger(\theta + \Delta \theta)\hat{a}(\theta + \Delta \theta) \rangle} 
\]

where \( k = 2\pi/\lambda \) is the wavenumber and \( \hat{a}^\dagger(\theta), \hat{a}(\theta) \) are the creation and annihilation operators of a photon at angle \( \theta \), respectively. Eq. (1) captures the standard thermal HBT effect, which is described by a separable probability density which is distributed homogeneously over the source size \( D \). Therefore, the different contributions in Eq. (1) constructively interfere as long as \( \Delta \theta < \lambda/D \), restoring the expected width of the standard HBT peak, and satisfying the Siegert relation.

For thermal biphoton sources, the two-photon emitters are smaller than the total size of the source \( (d < D) \), i.e. the photon pairs are emitted everywhere within the di-
ameter of the source \( D \), yet their emission is spatially correlated such that \( |r_s - r_i| < d \). Since the maximal distance between the two photons is \( d \), constructive interference is obtained for \( \Delta \theta < \lambda/d \), yielding an HBT peak which is \( D/d \) times wider relative to thermal light (Eq. (1)). In contrast, the first-order coherence function and the size of the speckle grains are still determined by the size of the source, \( D \), and are not affected by the size of the emitters. These results clearly show that in the case of thermal biphotons, the classical picture of the HBT effect collapses, as the width of the HBT peak is no longer equal to the size of a speckle grain, and the Siegert relation is broken.

To experimentally study the unique coherence features of thermal biphotons, we realize thermal biphons using scattering of spatially entangled photons by a rotating diffuser. Scattering of spatially entangled photons exhibits a wide range of unique effects, such as two-photon speckle patterns [24–29] and the observation of bosonic, fermionic, and anyonic symmetries [27]. Here, in analogy with the creation of pseudo-thermal light by a laser beam and a rotating diffuser [28], we utilize scattering of spontaneous parametric down converted light to create a source of pseudo-thermal biphotons and study their co-
herence properties. This is in contrast to previous studies who considered the coherence properties of entangled photons produced using either a coherent or an incoherent pump beam, yet without dynamic scattering of the biphotons [29–32].

A simplified illustration of the experimental setup is presented in Fig. (c). Spatially entangled photons are created via spontaneous parametric down conversion (SPDC), by pumping a 6 mm long nonlinear Beta Bar-
ium Borate (BBO) crystal with a continues-wave pump.
beam (λ = 404 nm). The twin photons are phase-randomized by passing through a rotating diffuser. The second-order coherence function is measured at the far-field using two single-photon detectors and a coincidence counting circuit. In the experiment, one detector is always kept stationary at θ = 0 while the other scans the angle θ. A polarizer and 80 nm bandpass filters (not shown) allow only photons with the desired wavelength and polarization to be measured. The two-photon emitter’s width d can be tuned in our setup by simply varying the distance Δz between the nonlinear crystal and the rotating diffuser. In our experiment, the emission angle of the entangled photons θ_{SPDC} ≈ 1° is larger than the scattering angle of the diffuser θ_{diff} ≈ 0.3°, meaning that only photons emitted at angles θ < θ_{diff} contribute to the measurement. Therefore, the effective size of the two-photon emitter at the diffuser’s plane is d ∝ θ_{diff} Δz.

We begin by reproducing the standard HBT effect, by considering the case where the size of the two-photon emitters equals the size of the source, d ≈ D. This is obtained for Δz = 100 mm (Fig. 2a), black dots). As expected, an HBT peak is observed near Δθ = 0, where the reduced peak height is due to its convolution with the 100 μm wide detectors. To study the relation between the first- and second-order coherence functions, we measure the intensity distribution of the source at the diffuser’s plane using a CMOS camera, and compute its two-dimensional Fourier transform to obtain g^{(1)}(Δθ) according to the Van Cittert-Zernike theorem [12]. For d ≈ D, both 1 + |g^{(1)}(Δθ)|^2 and g^{(2)}(Δθ) exhibit similar widths (black triangles), in accordance with the Siegert relation. This result is fully consistent with the standard HBT effect.

Next, we tune the size of the two-photon emitters such that d < D, by setting Δz = 35 mm. Here, g^{(2)}(Δθ) also exhibits an HBT peak (blue dots), yet its width is determined by the size of the emitters rather than by the total width of the source. We demonstrate this result by looking once again at 1 + |g^{(1)}(Δθ)|^2 (blue triangles). A clear violation of Siegert’s relation is observed, as the widths of the two peaks are significantly different, supporting the theoretical prediction of Eq. [1].

Thus far, we have shown that the width of the HBT peak for thermal biphotons is determined by the size of the two-photon emitter d rather than by the size of the entire source D, i.e. Δθ_{HBT} ∝ λ/d. From the classical speckle interpretation of the HBT effect with thermal light, where the width of the HBT peak is equal to the width of a speckle grain Δθ_{speckle} ∝ λ/D, one might expect that for thermal biphotons the width of the HBT peak will be equal to the width of the two-photon speckle grain. We thus examine the two-photon speckle patterns obtained by measuring the second-order coherence function for a static diffuser (Fig. 2b)). Since in SPDC the single photon distribution is spatially incoherent, no speckle pattern is observed in the intensity measurement, even though the diffuser is static (blue dots). Nevertheless, the two-photon wavefunction is spatially coherent, thus exhibiting a two-photon speckle pattern (black dots). The width of a two-photon speckle grain is Δθ_{speckle} ∝ λ/D [23], which in contrast to the standard HBT effect, is not the same as the width of the HBT peak. Interestingly, this result is related to the spatial entanglement between the photons, allowing them to break Fourier relations by being emitted by a relatively small “emitter” at the diffuser’s plane (small d) and at the same time exhibit small speckle grains at the far-field. Thus, we show that in contrast to the standard HBT effect, for thermal biphotons the quantum and the classical speckle-based interpretations are not equivalent, as the width of the HBT peak is different from the speckle size.

While the speckle interpretation fails to describe thermal biphotons, we discovered an alternative classical interpretation that is surpassingly related to coherent
backscattering of light (CBS) [33]. By virtue of Klyshko’s advanced wave picture (AWP), one of the single-photon detectors can be replaced with an equivalent classical source, the nonlinear crystal acts as a mirror, and the coincidence measurement can be replaced by measuring the intensity at the plane of the second detector [34]. Illustration of the equivalent AWP setup for our experiment is presented in the inset of Fig. 3, where a classical, well-collimated, beam propagates through a diffuser-mirror-diffuser configuration, and measured at the far-field of the diffuser. This configuration exhibits coherent backscattering (CBS), in which an enhanced disorder-averaged intensity is observed in the backscattered direction, due to the constructive interference of reciprocal paths (solid and dashed red arrows) [33, 35]. Interestingly, the intensity in the backscattered direction relative to the background is twice as large, as in the HBT effect. The width of the CBS peak is determined by the transverse size of the reciprocal paths \(d \propto \Delta z\), and is given by \(\Delta \theta_{CBS} \propto \lambda/d\), as in the HBT effect for thermal biphotons. To experimentally demonstrate the equivalence between thermal biphotons and coherent backscattering of a laser beam, we have measured the CBS peak for \(\Delta z = 35 \text{ mm}\) and \(\Delta z = 100 \text{ mm}\) (Fig. 3). Indeed, the results agree with the ones observed in Fig. 2(a), establishing a surprising connection between the HBT effect for thermal biphotons and CBS of classical light in the AWP.

In conclusion, we have studied a new type of thermal light, coined thermal biphotons, in which the photons are emitted in pairs from independent emitters. We have shown both theoretically and experimentally that in contrast to the standard HBT effect, the width of the HBT peak for thermal biphotons is determined by the size of the two-photon emitters rather than the total size of the source. Therefore, we obtain the striking result that the width of the HBT peak can be wider than the speckle size. As a result, we also observe a clear violation of the Siegert relation in the case of thermal biphotons. These results are thus inconsistent with the classical interpretation of the standard HBT effect. Finally, we use the AWP interpretation of our experiment to demonstrate a surprising connection between the HBT effect and coherent backscattering. We believe that further studies of this new class of thermal light can lead to interesting future applications. For example, inspired by classical imaging schemes that utilize pseudo-thermal light for structured illumination and speckle-free imaging [18, 36–40], it would be intriguing to consider thermal biphotons for structured illumination quantum imaging.
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