

Radiation reaction from quantum electrodynamics and its implications for the Unruh effect

Zoltán Tulipánt * ¹

¹ Institute for Theoretical Physics, Eötvös Loránd University, H-1117 Budapest,
Pázmány Péter sétány 1/A, Hungary

March 17, 2024

Abstract

The Abraham–Lorentz–Dirac theory predicts vanishing radiation reaction for uniformly accelerated charges. However, since an accelerating observer should detect thermal radiation, the charge should be seen absorbing photons in the accelerated frame which, if nothing else occurs, would influence its motion. This means that either there is radiation reaction seen in an inertial frame or there should be an additional phenomenon seen in the accelerated frame countering the effect of absorption. In this paper I rederive the Abraham–Lorentz–Dirac force from quantum electrodynamics, then I study the case of a uniformly accelerated charge. I show that in the accelerated frame, in addition to the absorption of photons due to the Unruh effect there should also be stimulated emission. The net effect of these phenomena on the motion of the charge is found to be zero.

*e-mail: tulipantz@protonmail.com

1 Introduction

Accelerated charges produce electromagnetic radiation that carries off energy according to the Larmor formula for radiated power¹ [1]

$$P = -\frac{e^2}{6\pi} \ddot{x}^\mu \ddot{x}_\mu, \quad (1)$$

where e is the electric charge and $\ddot{x}^\mu \equiv d^2x^\mu(s)/ds^2$ is the four-acceleration². If there is a loss of energy, there must also be a recoil force acting on the particle, called radiation reaction. For classical charges this can be described by the Abraham–Lorentz–Dirac (ALD) force [2]

$$F_{ALD}^\mu = \frac{e^2}{6\pi} \left[\ddot{x}^\mu - \dot{x}^\mu (\ddot{x}^\nu \dot{x}_\nu) \right]. \quad (2)$$

Since $x^\mu(s)$ gives the world-line of a massive particle, the four-velocity $\dot{x}^\mu(s)$ is time-like, in particular $\dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}_\mu = 1$ and it is orthogonal to the four-acceleration, $\dot{x}^\mu \ddot{x}_\mu = 0$. Using these relations we can recast Eq. (2) in a more familiar form,

$$F_{ALD}^\mu = \frac{e^2}{6\pi} \left[\ddot{x}^\mu + \dot{x}^\mu (\ddot{x}^\nu \ddot{x}_\nu) \right]. \quad (3)$$

For a review of the ALD radiation reaction, see Ref. [3].

This description of radiation reaction has some peculiar features. For hyperbolic motion, which is the relativistic generalization of uniform acceleration, the ALD force vanishes. This might suggest the absence of electromagnetic radiation for eternal hyperbolic motion but this result has been shown to be non-paradoxical in Refs. [4, 5]. In the case of bremsstrahlung, acceleration happens in a finite time interval and it is the difference of the fields supported by the accelerated and the inertial charge that is released as radiation. Perhaps the easiest way to cope with this is realizing that there can be no clear distinction made between radiation and other field configurations.

In Refs. [6–8] it has been shown that a uniformly accelerated observer detects thermal radiation of temperature $T_U = a/2\pi$, where $a = \sqrt{-\ddot{x}^\mu \ddot{x}_\mu}$ is the magnitude of acceleration. This phenomenon became known as the Unruh effect. In the case of an accelerated detector with multiple levels of internal energy, like the Unruh–DeWitt detector, the effect of absorbing photons from the thermal background seen in the accelerated frame can be explained in an inertial frame by the emission of photons [9]. Such an emission, which is referred to as Unruh radiation, can cause recoil. For a uniformly accelerated charge that does not have excited states, however, there is no Unruh radiation and the ALD theory predicts vanishing radiation reaction. In the accelerated frame, nonetheless, the charge should be seen absorbing quanta from the thermal background which may cause the charge to recoil. Thus, either the act of absorption observed in the accelerated frame should be countered by

¹I will use natural units unless otherwise noted. In particular, these units are derived from SI units by setting $\hbar = c = \varepsilon_0 = k_B = 1$. Another popular choice is to set $4\pi\varepsilon_0 = 1$ instead which would make the constant multiplier in the Larmor formula $2e^2/3$.

²I will use metric signature $(+ - - -)$ throughout the paper.

another phenomenon or the ALD formula gives the wrong result. However, if there would be radiation reaction for uniformly accelerated charges, or equivalently, the Unruh effect would cause recoil, that would violate the equivalence principle since we could distinguish between an inertial charge and a charge in free fall.

A problematic trait of the ALD radiation reaction is that it admits unphysical solutions, like preacceleration, i.e. the particle starts accelerating even before any external force is applied. This preacceleration has a characteristic time which in SI units is $t_0 = \mu_0 e^2 / (6\pi m c)$, where m is the mass of the particle. Such violation of causality might not be a serious problem. For an electron $t_0 \sim 10^{-24} s$, hence, in the case of subatomic particles this unphysical behavior appears in situations when quantum corrections should be applied [10]. Furthermore, Eq. (2) is valid for point-like charges and it has been shown that when taking finite-size effects into account, there is no violation of causality [11]. This provides some clues as to under what circumstances does the ALD theory give reliable results.

In order to assess what is the full classical (or semiclassical) description of radiation reaction we should turn to quantum electrodynamics (QED) which is the most precise theory of the electromagnetic interaction to date. Its classical limit correctly reproduces Maxwell's equations. Although Dirac's original derivation of the ALD force appearing in Ref. [2] was based on Maxwell's equations, effort has been made to reconstruct the classical radiation reaction from QED [12–17].

In the following, I will rederive the classical radiation reaction for a charged particle. I start in section 2 by constructing an effective action from QED by integrating out the electromagnetic field of the charge. Then, in section 3 I obtain the classical equation of motion in an inertial frame. Since in an accelerated frame the photon propagator contains the contribution of a thermal background, I will use the previously mentioned results in section 4 to determine how the Unruh effect influences the charged particle. In section 5 I consider a charge that undergoes uniform acceleration only for a finite amount of time and point out that the results of section 4 apply in this case as well. Finally, in section 6 I also derive a relation analogous to Ehrenfest's theorem that establishes a connection between expectation values.

2 Integrating over the gauge field

In the path integral approach to quantizing field theories we construct a generating functional using the action of the classical field theory. The action for the electromagnetic field coupled to a charged field or particle characterized by a $\{q_j\}_{j=1}^M$ set of variables is

$$S[\{q_j\}_{j=1}^M, A] = K[\{q_j\}_{j=1}^M] + \int d^4x \left[-J^\mu A_\mu - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right], \quad (4)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ denotes the field strength for the gauge field A_μ . The functional $K[\{q_j\}_{j=1}^M]$ is the kinetic term and J^μ is the current density for the field or particle. If it is a fermionic field described by the spinor ψ that is coupled to the electromagnetic field,

these terms are

$$K_f[\bar{\psi}, \psi] = \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(i\not{\partial} - m)\psi, \quad J_f^\mu = e\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi. \quad (5)$$

Let us separate the electromagnetic field into the field of the charge and an external field described by A_c^μ and A_e^μ respectively. Then the vector potential in Eq. (4) is replaced by $A_c^\mu + A_e^\mu$. Assuming that the external gauge field can be treated classically and that the charge has little to no effect on its source, we can keep A_e^μ fixed and neglect its contribution in the kinetic term $-F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}/4$. Thus, the only contribution of the external field will be in the interaction term of the charge. This can be seen by expanding the kinetic term of the electromagnetic field,

$$-\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x F_c^{\mu\nu}F_{c,\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x F_e^{\mu\nu}F_{e,\mu\nu} - \int d^4x \partial^\mu A_c^\nu F_{e,\mu\nu}, \quad (6)$$

where $F_{c,\mu\nu}$ and $F_{e,\mu\nu}$ denote the field strength of the field of the charge and the external gauge field respectively. The third term can be rewritten using integration by parts as

$$-\int d^4x \partial_\mu A_{c,\nu} F_e^{\mu\nu} = \int d^4x A_{c,\nu} \partial_\mu F_e^{\mu\nu}. \quad (7)$$

Since the external field is handled classically, $\partial_\mu F_e^{\mu\nu} = J_e^\nu$ is the current density associated with the source of the external field. The assumption that the radiation field should have little to no effect on the source of the external field means that J_e^μ is peaked far away from the region where A_c^μ gives a significant contribution and approaches zero everywhere else. Hence, the overlap between A_c^μ and J_e^μ is negligible and the integral of Eq. (7) can be discarded. The second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (6) can be dropped as well since it gives only a constant contribution to the action.

To deduce how radiation affects the motion of its source we can use the path integral formalism and construct an effective action for the charge by considering the contribution of every A_c^μ field configuration. I define the effective action $S_{eff}[\{q_j\}_{j=1}^M]$ that arises from integrating over the field of the charge as

$$\mathcal{N} \exp \left[i S_{eff}[\{q_j\}_{j=1}^M] \right] = \int \mathcal{D}A_c \exp \left[i K[\{q_j\}_{j=1}^M] + i \int d^4x \left\{ -J_\mu A_e^\mu - J_\mu A_c^\mu - \frac{1}{4} F_{c,\mu\nu} F_c^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2\lambda} (\partial_\mu A_c^\mu)^2 \right\} \right], \quad (8)$$

where the integral on the right-hand side is a functional integral over the configurations of the gauge field A_c^μ and the normalization constant $\mathcal{N}$ does not depend on any field variable. The additional term $\frac{1}{2\lambda} (\partial_\mu A_c^\mu)^2$ takes care of gauge fixing.

In order to obtain the effective action, the kinetic term of the electromagnetic field is cast into a more manageable form,

$$\int d^4x \left(-\frac{1}{4} F_{c,\mu\nu} F_c^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2\lambda} (\partial_\mu A_c^\mu)^2 \right) = \int d^4x \frac{1}{2} A_c^\mu \left[g_{\mu\nu} \partial^2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \right] A_c^\nu. \quad (9)$$

Performing the functional integral of Eq. (8) over the gauge field we get

$$S_{eff}[\{q_j\}_{j=1}^M] = K[\{q_j\}_{j=1}^M] - \int d^4x J_\mu(x) A_e^\mu(x) \pm \int d^4x \int d^4y \left\{ \frac{1}{2} J^\mu(x) \Delta_{\mu\nu}(x, y) J^\nu(y) \right\}. \quad (10)$$

The sign of the self-interaction term is linked to the regularization of the photon propagator $\Delta_{\mu\nu}(x, y)$ that is the solution of the equation

$$\left[g_{\mu\nu} \partial^2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \right] \Delta^{\nu\kappa}(x, y) = -\delta_\mu^\kappa \delta^{(4)}(x - y), \quad (11)$$

where the derivatives are taken with respect to x^μ . The solutions to this equation can be obtained, for example, by using Fourier-transformation. The four possible solutions for the Fourier-transform of the Green's function are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}_{\mu\nu}^{ret}(p) &= \frac{1}{(p_0 + i0)^2 - |\mathbf{p}|^2} \left[g_{\mu\nu} - (1 - \lambda) \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^2} \right], \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{\mu\nu}^{adv}(p) &= \frac{1}{(p_0 - i0)^2 - |\mathbf{p}|^2} \left[g_{\mu\nu} - (1 - \lambda) \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^2} \right], \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{\mu\nu}^F(p) &= \frac{1}{p^2 + i0} \left[g_{\mu\nu} - (1 - \lambda) \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^2} \right], \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{\mu\nu}^{F*}(p) &= \frac{1}{p^2 - i0} \left[g_{\mu\nu} - (1 - \lambda) \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $|\mathbf{p}| = \sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2}$. In the following I will use the Feynman gauge $\lambda = 1$. Expressing the propagator in position space, $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mu\nu}^{ret}(p)$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mu\nu}^{adv}$ yield the retarded and advanced propagators respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mu\nu}^{ret}(x, y) &= -g_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{2\pi} \Theta(x^0 - y^0) \delta((x - y)^2), \\ \Delta_{\mu\nu}^{adv}(x, y) &= -g_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{2\pi} \Theta(y^0 - x^0) \delta((x - y)^2), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

while $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mu\nu}^F(p)$ leads to the Feynman propagator

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu}^F(x, y) = g_{\mu\nu} \frac{i}{4\pi^2} \frac{1}{(x - y)^2 - i0}, \quad (14)$$

and $\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{F*}(x, y)$ is its complex conjugate. From these expressions we can construct the Wightman functions as

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu}^\pm(x, y) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{ret}(x, y) - \Delta_{\mu\nu}^{adv}(x, y) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta_{\mu\nu}^F(x, y) - \Delta_{\mu\nu}^{F*}(x, y) \right), \quad (15)$$

which describe the propagation of positive- and negative-frequency modes respectively as evidenced by their Fourier-transforms,

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\mu\nu}^\pm(p) = -2\pi i g_{\mu\nu} \Theta(\pm p_0) \delta(p^2). \quad (16)$$

The calculation of the different propagators is detailed in appendix A. Radiation reaction arises from the real part of the positive-frequency Wightman function, which is the same as half of the difference of the retarded and advanced propagators,

$$\text{Re}\Delta_{\mu\nu}^+(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{ret}(x, y) - \Delta_{\mu\nu}^{adv}(x, y)) = -g_{\mu\nu}\frac{1}{4\pi}\text{sgn}(x^0 - y^0)\delta((x - y)^2), \quad (17)$$

since this expression violates time reversal symmetry. However, substituting this expression into Eq. (10) cancels the self-interaction term. In fact, this problem is rooted in a more general deficiency of the ordinary Lagrangian formalism, namely its inability to take dissipative effects into account. This shortcoming can be remedied by utilizing Schwinger's closed time path (CTP) formalism [18] which is suitable for describing systems where the forward and backward evolutions are dictated by different dynamics. This has been successfully used before to obtain radiation reaction [19]. Here, the CTP effective action is defined as

$$S_{eff}^{CTP}[\{q_j, \bar{q}_j\}_{j=1}^N] = S^{(1)}[\{q_j\}_{j=1}^N] - S^{(1)}[\{\bar{q}_j\}_{j=1}^N] + S^{(2)}[\{q_j, \bar{q}_j\}_{j=1}^N], \quad (18)$$

where the kinetic term and the interaction with the external field are contained in

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(1)}[\{q_j\}_{j=1}^N] &= K[\{q_j\}_{j=1}^N] - \int d^4x J^\mu(x) A_{e,\mu}(x), \\ S^{(1)}[\{\bar{q}_j\}_{j=1}^N] &= K[\{\bar{q}_j\}_{j=1}^N] - \int d^4x \bar{J}^\mu(x) A_{e,\mu}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and the self-interaction is given by

$$S^{(2)}[\{q_j, \bar{q}_j\}_{j=1}^N] = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \int d^4y \begin{pmatrix} J^\mu(x) & \bar{J}^\mu(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mu\nu}^F(x, y) & -\Delta_{\mu\nu}^+(x, y) \\ -\Delta_{\mu\nu}^-(x, y) & -\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{F*}(x, y) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J^\nu(y) \\ \bar{J}^\nu(y) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

following Ref. [19]. The variables q_j and $\bar{q}_j$ and their corresponding current densities, $J^\mu(x)$ and $\bar{J}^\mu(x)$ describe the field or particle according to the forward and the inverse of the backward evolution respectively. Exploiting the relation $\Delta_{\mu\nu}^-(x, y) = \Delta_{\mu\nu}^+(y, x)$, the self-interaction term can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(2)}[\{q_j, \bar{q}_j\}_{j=1}^N] &= -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \int d^4y \left[J^\mu(x) J^\nu(y) \Delta_{\mu\nu}^F(x, y) - \bar{J}^\mu(x) \bar{J}^\nu(y) \Delta_{\mu\nu}^{F*}(x, y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2J^\mu(x) \bar{J}^\nu(y) \Delta_{\mu\nu}^+(x, y) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where the first two terms are self-energy corrections and the third term is responsible for radiation reaction.

3 Classical equation of motion for a point charge

Considering a point charge with mass m coupled to the electromagnetic field, the kinetic term and the current density takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
K_p[x, N] &= \int ds \left[-\frac{m}{2} \left(N^{-1}(s) \dot{x}^\mu(s) \dot{x}_\mu(s) + N(s) \right) \right], \\
K_p[\bar{x}, \bar{N}] &= \int ds \left[-\frac{m}{2} \left(\bar{N}^{-1}(s) \dot{\bar{x}}^\mu(s) \dot{\bar{x}}_\mu(s) + \bar{N}(s) \right) \right], \\
J_p^\mu(x) &= e \int ds \dot{x}^\mu(s) \delta^{(4)}(x - x(s)), \\
\bar{J}_p^\mu(x) &= e \int ds \dot{\bar{x}}^\mu(s) \delta^{(4)}(x - \bar{x}(s)),
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $x^\mu(s)$ describes the world-line of the particle following the forward evolution dynamics, while $\bar{x}^\mu(s)$ represents the world-line of the particle governed by the inverse of backward evolution dynamics. For dissipative systems, the two dynamics are different. $K_p[x, N]$ and $K_p[\bar{x}, \bar{N}]$ are functionals of the world-line while $J_p^\mu(x)$ and $\bar{J}_p^\mu(x)$ are functions of space-time coordinates. $N(s)$ is the lapse function [20] which plays the role of a Lagrange multiplier. The lapse function can be used for gauge fixing, as the action is invariant under reparametrizations of the world-line. The first term in the CTP effective action of Eq. (18) becomes

$$S_p^{(1)}[x, N] = \int ds \left[-\frac{m}{2} \left(N^{-1}(s) \dot{x}_\mu(s) \dot{x}^\mu(s) + N(s) \right) - e A_{e\mu}(x(s)) \dot{x}^\mu(s) \right], \tag{23}$$

and the second term, $S_p^{(1)}[\bar{x}, \bar{N}]$ has the same functional form. The self-interaction term takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
S_p^{(2)}[x, \bar{x}] &= -\frac{e^2}{2} \int ds \int ds' \left\{ \dot{x}^\mu(s) \dot{x}^\nu(s') \Delta_{\mu\nu}^F(x(s), x(s')) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \dot{\bar{x}}^\mu(s) \dot{\bar{x}}^\nu(s') \Delta_{\mu\nu}^{F*}(\bar{x}(s), \bar{x}(s')) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \dot{x}^\mu(s) \dot{\bar{x}}^\nu(s') \Delta_{\mu\nu}^+(x(s), \bar{x}(s')) \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

The full effective action is

$$S_p^{CTP}[x, \bar{x}, N, \bar{N}] = S_p^{(1)}[x, N] - S_p^{(1)}[\bar{x}, \bar{N}] + S_p^{(2)}[x, \bar{x}]. \tag{25}$$

By using this effective action, we omit the creation of charged particle–antiparticle pairs and the effect of charged loops which places additional limitations on the validity of this theory besides those discussed in the previous section.

The classical equation of motion can be obtained by first finding the extremum of the

effective action, thus we need to compute its variation, that is,

$$\delta S_p^{CTP}[x] = \int ds \left[\frac{\delta S_p^{CTP}[x, \bar{x}, N, \bar{N}]}{\delta x^\mu(s)} \delta x^\mu(s) + \frac{\delta S_p^{CTP}[x, \bar{x}, N, \bar{N}]}{\delta N(s)} \delta N(s) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\delta S_p^{CTP}[x, \bar{x}, N, \bar{N}]}{\delta \bar{x}^\mu(s)} \delta \bar{x}^\mu(s) + \frac{\delta S_p^{CTP}[x, \bar{x}, N, \bar{N}]}{\delta \bar{N}(s)} \delta \bar{N}(s) \right]. \quad (26)$$

The first term will provide the equation of motion for $x^\mu(s)$ and the second term takes care of the constraint on the four-velocity with $N(s)$ being interpreted as the rate of change of the proper time with respect to the world-line parameter s . The remaining terms produce the same equations for $\bar{x}^\mu(s)$ with the sign of the radiation reaction term altered. The functional derivative of the action with respect to $x^\mu(s)$ is

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\mu(s)} S_p^{CTP}[x, \bar{x}, N, \bar{N}] = m \frac{d}{ds} \left(N^{-1}(s) \dot{x}_\mu(s) \right) - e F_{e, \mu\nu} \dot{x}^\nu(s) \\ + e^2 \int ds' \dot{x}^\beta(s') \frac{d}{ds} \Delta_{\mu\beta}^F(x(s), x(s')) \\ - e^2 \int ds' \dot{x}^\alpha(s) \dot{x}^\beta(s') \partial_\mu \Delta_{\alpha\beta}^F(x(s), x(s')), \\ - e^2 \int ds' \dot{x}^\beta(s') \frac{d}{ds} \Delta_{\mu\beta}^+(x(s), \bar{x}(s')) \\ + e^2 \int ds' \dot{x}^\alpha(s) \dot{\bar{x}}^\beta(s') \partial_\mu \Delta_{\alpha\beta}^+(x(s), \bar{x}(s')), \quad (27)$$

while the functional derivative with respect to the $N(s)$ lapse multiplier yields

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta N(s)} S_p^{CTP}[x, \bar{x}, N, \bar{N}] = \frac{m}{2} \left(N^{-2}(s) \dot{x}_\mu(s) \dot{x}^\mu(s) - 1 \right). \quad (28)$$

In Eq. (27), the value of the field strength tensor $F_{e, \mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_{e, \nu}(x(s)) - \partial_\nu A_{e, \mu}(x(s))$ is taken along the world-line of the charge. For a stationary action Eq. (28) gives the constraint $\dot{x}^\mu(s) \dot{x}_\mu(s) = N^2(s)$ and Eq. (27) yields

$$m \ddot{x}_\mu(s) - e F_{e, \mu\nu} \dot{x}^\nu(s) + e^2 \int ds' \dot{x}^\alpha(s) \dot{x}^\beta(s') \left[\partial_\alpha \Delta_{\mu\beta}^F(x(s), x(s')) - \partial_\mu \Delta_{\alpha\beta}^F(x(s), x(s')) \right] \\ - e^2 \int ds' \dot{x}^\alpha(s) \dot{x}^\beta(s') \left[\partial_\alpha \Delta_{\mu\beta}^+(x(s), x(s')) - \partial_\mu \Delta_{\alpha\beta}^+(x(s), x(s')) \right] = 0. \quad (29)$$

Here, and from now on until otherwise noted, proper time will be used as the parameter s to simplify equations. Furthermore, the classical equation of motion is obtained by setting $x^\mu(s) = \bar{x}^\mu(s)$ and as a consequence, the imaginary parts that come from the propagators cancel. Note that Eq. (29) actually provides a semiclassical solution in the sense that the charge and the external field are treated classically while the field of the charge itself is treated quantum-mechanically.

Substituting Eq. (17) in Eq. (29) we get

$$m_R \ddot{x}^\mu = e F_{e,\nu}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + \frac{e^2}{6\pi} \left[\ddot{x}^\mu - \dot{x}^\mu (\ddot{x}^\nu \dot{x}_\nu) \right], \quad (30)$$

where the divergent self-energy term coming from the real part of the Feynman-propagator has been removed by defining

$$m_R = m - \frac{e^2}{8\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \quad (31)$$

which is the one-loop renormalized mass. The details of the calculation can be found in appendix B. The last term on the right-hand side of Eq. (30) is exactly the ALD force of Eq. (2).

As I have mentioned before, by using Eq. (22) we omit the creation of charged particle-antiparticle pairs and loop effects caused by charged particles. This means that if the charge is accelerated for proper time³ $\Delta\tau$, the average power of radiation should be much smaller than $m_R c^2 / \Delta\tau$. Using Eq. (1) and temporarily restoring SI units we get

$$1 \gg \frac{\mu_0 e^2}{6\pi m_R c^3} \overline{a^2} \Delta\tau \equiv t_0 \frac{\overline{a^2} \Delta\tau}{c^2}, \quad (32)$$

where $\overline{a^2}$ is the average squared magnitude of the acceleration. Thus, the circumstances under which preacceleration is predicted to occur lie outside the domain where this effective theory is reliable. The relation in Eq. (32) can be expressed in terms of the average squared change in four-velocity $\overline{\Delta v^2}$ as

$$\frac{t_0}{\Delta\tau} \frac{\overline{\Delta v^2}}{c^2} \ll 1. \quad (33)$$

4 Radiation reaction and the Unruh effect

For hyperbolic motion the squared four-acceleration is constant, i.e. $\ddot{x}^\mu \ddot{x}_\mu = -a^2$. The world-line of a particle undergoing such motion can be expressed in an inertial coordinate frame as

$$x^0(s) = \frac{1}{a} \sinh(as), \quad x^1(s) = \frac{1}{a} \cosh(as), \quad x^2(s) = 0, \quad x^3(s) = 0, \quad (34)$$

where s is the proper time and the initial conditions have been chosen such that $\dot{x}^1(0) = \dot{x}^2(0) = \dot{x}^3(0) = 0$, $x^1(0) = 1/a$ and $x^2(0) = x^3(0) = 0$. The coordinates are chosen such that $\ddot{x}^\mu$ is in the (x^0, x^1) plane. The invariant interval between two points of the world-line is

$$(x - x')^2 = \frac{4}{a^2} \sinh^2 \left(\frac{a}{2} (s - s') \right). \quad (35)$$

Substituting this into Eq. (14) we get the following expression for the Feynman propagator

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu}^F(x, x') = g_{\mu\nu} \frac{i}{4\pi^2} \frac{a^2}{4} \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \left(\frac{a}{2} (s - s') \right) - i0}, \quad (36)$$

³Both P in Eq. (1) and $m_R c^2$ are scalars and so we must divide the latter by the elapsed proper time.

which can be also written following Ref. [21] as

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\mu\nu}^F(x, x') &= g_{\mu\nu} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ik_0(s-s')} \left[\frac{1}{k^2 + i0} - \frac{2\pi i \delta(k^2)}{e^{|k_0|/T_U} - 1} \right] \\ &= g_{\mu\nu} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ik_0(s-s')} \left[\mathcal{P} \frac{1}{k^2} - 2\pi i \delta(k^2) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{e^{|k_0|/T} - 1} \right\} \right],\end{aligned}\quad (37)$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ denotes Cauchy principal value. This form makes it explicit that an observer undergoing hyperbolic motion is immersed in a thermal bath of photons with temperature $T_U = a/2\pi$ as seen from the accelerated frame. We can cast the positive-frequency Wightman function in a similar form,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\mu\nu}^+(x, x') &= -2\pi i g_{\mu\nu} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ik_0(s-s')} \delta(k^2) \left\{ \Theta(k_0) \left[1 + \frac{1}{e^{|k_0|/T} - 1} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Theta(-k_0) \left[\frac{1}{e^{|k_0|/T} - 1} \right] \right\},\end{aligned}\quad (38)$$

which clearly contains both positive and negative frequency contributions. This is due to the fact that the notions of positive and negative energy are frame-dependent and what is seen as a positive-energy mode by an inertial observer is described as a mixture of positive- and negative-energy modes by the accelerated observer. Hence, $\Delta_{\mu\nu}^+(x, x')$ should be called the Minkowski-positive-frequency Wightman function.

Using Eq. (29) we can put the classical equation of motion in flat space-time in the form

$$m_R \left(\ddot{x}^\mu(s) + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \dot{x}^\alpha(s) \dot{x}^\beta(s) \right) = e F_{e,\alpha}^\mu \dot{x}^\alpha(s) + F_{rr}^\mu, \quad (39)$$

where $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu$ are the Christoffel-symbols, F_{rr}^μ is the term that is responsible for radiation reaction and in an inertial frame it takes the form

$$F_{rr}^\mu = -e^2 \int ds' \dot{x}^\alpha(s) \dot{x}^\beta(s') \left[\delta_{\beta}^\mu \partial_\alpha \Delta^{rr}(x, x') - g_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\mu \Delta^{rr}(x, x') \right]. \quad (40)$$

The real part of the Feynman propagator is absorbed into the renormalized mass and its imaginary part is combined with the Minkowski-positive-frequency Wightman function as

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^{rr}(x, x') &= \Delta^+(x, x') - i \text{Im} \Delta^F(x, x') \\ &= -2\pi i \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ik_0(s-s')} \delta(k^2) \left\{ \Theta(k_0) \left[1 + \frac{1}{e^{|k_0|/T} - 1} \right] + \Theta(-k_0) \left[\frac{1}{e^{|k_0|/T} - 1} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{e^{|k_0|/T} - 1} \right] \right\}.\end{aligned}\quad (41)$$

I factorized each propagator as $\Delta_{\mu\nu}^F(x, x') = g_{\mu\nu} \Delta^F(x, x')$ and $\Delta_{\mu\nu}^+(x, x') = g_{\mu\nu} \Delta^+(x, x')$. In order to interpret this expression let us consider a source minimally coupled to a bosonic

field. The interaction Hamiltonian is just the linear combination of the creation and annihilation operators $\hat{a}_k^\dagger$ and $\hat{a}_k$ with coefficients $C_+(k)$ and $C_-(k)$, integrated over the phase space. The probability amplitude for emitting an additional particle into a background of n identical particles with wave vector k_μ is

$$\mathcal{A}_k(n \rightarrow n+1) = i\langle n+1 | (C_-(k)\hat{a}_k + C_+(k)\hat{a}_k^\dagger) | n \rangle = i\sqrt{n+1}C_+(k). \quad (42)$$

For $n=0$ we get that $iC_+(k)$ is simply equal to the amplitude $\mathcal{A}_k(0 \rightarrow 1)$ of emitting a single particle into the vacuum. If the particles that constitute the background follow a Bose-Einstein distribution with temperature T then to obtain the probability of emitting a particle with frequency $k_0 = \omega > 0$ we need to compute the sum of squared amplitudes weighted with $p_n = e^{-n\omega/T} (1 - e^{-\omega/T})$, which are just Boltzmann factors normalized such that $\sum_n p_n = 1$. The probability of emission becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n \int d\Omega_k |\mathcal{A}_k(n \rightarrow n+1)|^2 &= \left(1 - e^{-\omega/T}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) e^{-n\omega/T} \int d\Omega_k |\mathcal{A}_k(0 \rightarrow 1)|^2 \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{e^{\omega/T} - 1}\right) \int d\Omega_k |\mathcal{A}_k(0 \rightarrow 1)|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where integration over the spatial direction of k_μ has been carried out. We can obtain the probability of absorption similarly,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n \int d\Omega_k |\mathcal{A}_k(n \rightarrow n-1)|^2 = \frac{1}{e^{\omega/T} - 1} \int d\Omega_k |\mathcal{A}_k(1 \rightarrow 0)|^2. \quad (44)$$

Now it is clear that the first term in Eq. (41) is due to emission into a thermal background while the absorption of quanta is taken into account via the second term. Although the propagators are associated with the vacuum to vacuum transition in the inertial frame, the definition of vacuum depends on the choice of coordinates and in an accelerated frame the Minkowski vacuum is seen as a thermal background. Hence, in the Rindler frame the propagator contains the contributions of the absorption of photons from the thermal background and apparently an emission process stimulated by the Unruh effect.

Note that although the expression in Eq. (40) is manifestly covariant and its indexed constituents behave as tensors under Lorentz-transformations, it is unsuitable for use in an arbitrary coordinate frame. The reason is that $\dot{x}^\mu(s)$ and $\dot{x}^\mu(s')$ are the tangent vectors of the world-line at different points in space-time and one of them must be subjected to parallel transport before any sensible comparison can take place. This problem can be circumvented by replacing $g_{\mu\nu}$ in the expression of the propagator with the bitensor $\mathfrak{P}_{\mu\nu}(x, x')$ for parallel transport between points x and x' . This would require the calculation of products of the Christoffel-symbols $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu$, making the calculation tedious. Alternatively, we can use Eq. (40) to express the integrand of F_{rr}^μ in terms of vectors independent of s' before changing to a non-inertial frame,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{rr}^\mu &= -e^2 \int ds' \left[\dot{x}^\mu(s') \frac{d}{ds} \Delta^{rr}(x, x') - \dot{x}^\nu(s) \dot{x}_\nu(s') \partial^\mu \Delta^{rr}(x, x') \right] \\ &= -e^2 \int ds' \left[\dot{x}^\mu(s') \frac{d}{ds} \Delta^{rr}(x, x') - \cosh(a(s - s')) \partial^\mu \Delta^{rr}(x, x') \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

The derivative $\partial_\mu \Delta^{rr}(x, x')$ can be computed along the lines of Eq. (106) of appendix B. This time, however, we need to express the partial derivative using the derivative with respect to s instead of s' , thus obtaining

$$F_{rr}^\mu = -e^2 \int ds' \frac{d}{ds} \Delta^{rr}(x, x') \left[\dot{x}^\mu(s') - \cosh(a(s-s')) \frac{x^\mu(s) - x^\mu(s')}{\dot{x}_\nu(s)(x^\nu(s) - x^\nu(s'))} \right]. \quad (46)$$

At this point it is useful to introduce the Rindler coordinates ξ^μ which are related to the original Minkowski coordinates by

$$x^0 = \xi^1 \sinh(a\xi^0), \quad x^1 = \xi^1 \cosh(a\xi^0), \quad x^2 = \xi^2, \quad x^3 = \xi^3, \quad (47)$$

where $\xi^1 \in]0, \infty[$ and $\xi^0, \xi^2, \xi^3 \in]-\infty, \infty[$. These coordinates cover only the right Rindler wedge but that is sufficient for this discussion. In this frame the non-zero coordinates of the particle are

$$\xi^0(s) = s, \quad \xi^1(s) = 1/a, \quad (48)$$

and the non-zero elements of the metric are

$$g_{00} = (a\xi^1)^2, \quad g_{11} = g_{22} = g_{33} = -1. \quad (49)$$

The non-trivial relations between the different coordinate bases are

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t}_\mu &= \frac{1}{a\xi^1} \cosh(a\xi^0) \tilde{\eta}_\mu - \sinh(a\xi^0) \tilde{\zeta}_\mu, \\ \tilde{x}_\mu &= -\frac{1}{a\xi^1} \sinh(a\xi^0) \tilde{\eta}_\mu + \cosh(a\xi^0) \tilde{\zeta}_\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where $\tilde{t}_\mu$, $\tilde{x}_\mu$, $\tilde{\eta}_\mu$ and $\tilde{\zeta}_\mu$ are the basis vectors adapted for the coordinates x^0 , x^1 , ξ^0 and ξ^1 respectively. The non-zero Christoffel-symbols are

$$\Gamma_{00}^1 = a^2 \xi^1, \quad \Gamma_{01}^0 = \Gamma_{10}^0 = \frac{1}{\xi^1}. \quad (51)$$

Now we can write the vectors in Eq. (46) as

$$\dot{x}_\mu(s') = \tilde{t}_\mu \cosh(as') + \tilde{x}_\mu \sinh(as') = \tilde{\eta}_\mu \cosh(a(s-s')) - \tilde{\zeta}_\mu \sinh(a(s-s')), \quad (52)$$

$$\frac{x_\mu(s) - x_\mu(s')}{\dot{x}_\nu(s)(x^\nu(s) - x^\nu(s'))} = \tilde{\eta}_\mu + \frac{1 - \cosh(a(s-s'))}{\sinh(a(s-s'))} \tilde{\zeta}_\mu, \quad (53)$$

Finally, the term responsible for radiation reaction takes the form

$$F_{rr}^\mu = \tilde{\zeta}^\mu e^2 \int ds' \tanh\left(\frac{a}{2}(s-s')\right) \frac{d}{ds} \Delta^{rr}(x, x'). \quad (54)$$

Since $\Delta^{rr}(x, x')$ ultimately depends on the difference of s and s' we can use $d\Delta^{rr}/ds = -d\Delta^{rr}/ds'$ and we get

$$F_{rr}^\mu = -\tilde{\zeta}^\mu e^2 \frac{a}{2} \int ds' \frac{\Delta^{rr}(x, x')}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{a}{2}(s-s')\right)}. \quad (55)$$

Using Eq. (41) we can express $\Delta(x, x')$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^{rr}(x, x') &= -\pi i \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ik_0(s-s')} \text{sgn}(k_0) \delta(k^2) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \text{sgn}(s-s') \delta((s-s')^2),\end{aligned}\tag{56}$$

which allows us to calculate F_{rr}^μ ,

$$\begin{aligned}F_{rr}^\mu &= -\tilde{\zeta}^\mu e^2 \frac{a}{2} \int ds' \frac{\Delta^{rr}(x, x')}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{a}{2}(s-s')\right)} \\ &= \tilde{\zeta}^\mu a \frac{e^2}{8\pi} \int ds' \text{sgn}(s-s') \frac{\delta((s-s')^2)}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{a}{2}(s-s')\right)}, \\ &= \tilde{\zeta}^\mu a \frac{e^2}{8\pi} \int ds' \frac{\delta(s-s')}{s-s'}.\end{aligned}\tag{57}$$

Using Eq. (116) of appendix B, it can be shown that this result is zero⁴. Considering that in this frame $\ddot{x}^\mu(s) = 0$ and $\dot{x}^\mu(s) = \tilde{\eta}^\mu$, we have

$$\ddot{x}^\mu(s) + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \dot{x}^\alpha(s) \dot{x}^\beta(s) = a \tilde{\zeta}^\mu,\tag{58}$$

and thus the equation of motion can be reduced to

$$m_R a \tilde{\zeta}^\mu = e F_{e,\nu}^\mu \tilde{\eta}^\nu.\tag{59}$$

This result can be obtained from Eq. (46) with a different approach as well. Knowing that the propagator will contribute a Dirac-delta we can expand the expression inside the brackets with respect to s' about s ,

$$\dot{x}^\mu(s') - \cosh(a(s-s')) \frac{x^\mu(s) - x^\mu(s')}{\dot{x}_\nu(s)(x^\nu(s) - x^\nu(s'))} = \frac{1}{2} \ddot{x}^\mu(s) (s' - s) + \mathcal{O}((s' - s)^2).\tag{60}$$

Substituting this result into Eq. (46) and using $d\Delta^{rr}/ds = -d\Delta^{rr}/ds'$ along with integration by parts we obtain

$$F_{rr}^\mu = \frac{e^2}{8\pi} \ddot{x}^\mu(s) \int ds' \text{sgn}(s-s') \delta((s-s')^2).\tag{61}$$

This form of F_{rr}^μ is still only valid in inertial frames but it can be easily generalized by replacing the acceleration four-vector with the covariant expression in Eq. (58),

$$F_{rr}^\mu = \zeta^\mu a \frac{e^2}{8\pi^2} \int ds' \frac{\delta(s-s')}{s-s'}.\tag{62}$$

Once again, the result is zero.

⁴Although here it is inconsequential, the factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ that would be expected to occur in the last line of Eq. (57) is canceled since $s = s'$ is not a simple root of the argument of the Dirac-delta. This is also explained in appendix B where it has more significance.

This means that the Unruh effect ultimately does not influence the motion of a charged particle that has no excited states. If the accelerated charge has multiple levels of internal energy, like the Unruh-DeWitt detector [8, 9] or an atom [22], the inertial observer should see Unruh radiation, which is the spontaneous emission of quanta by the accelerated object. This claim is also supported by Refs. [23, 24]. The corresponding phenomenon in the accelerated frame is the excitation of the detector caused by the absorption of quanta from the thermal background. In Ref. [22] it has been shown that the contribution of radiation reaction to the change in energy for both an inertial and a uniformly accelerating atom coupled to a scalar field is the same. This implies that radiation reaction vanishes even for particles that can be excited by the absorption of photons. From the viewpoint of a co-accelerating observer the uniformly accelerated charge should be seen undergoing such an emission process which cancels the effect of absorption. This explains why the Unruh effect does not cause recoil and also allows us to check that the existence of the Unruh-effect does not violate the equivalence principle.

We could argue that since the thermal background observed in the accelerated frame is comprised of on-shell excitations as evidenced by Eqs. (37) and (38), the charge (which must also be on-shell) should not simply absorb photons, but scatter them, like in the case of the Compton effect. Thus, the fact that emission also occurs alongside the absorption process should not be surprising. However, considering that the stress-energy tensor satisfies $\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$, where ∇_μ is the covariant derivative, the sum of kinetic energies, as defined in the Rindler frame, is not conserved but there are additional terms involved which take into account that an observer at a fixed ξ^1 coordinate experiences acceleration $1/\xi^1$. Furthermore, the emission process is such that it cancels the effect of absorption exactly. Alternatively, we can describe the phenomenon as photon scattering with zero energy-transfer with a strong correlation between the incoming and outgoing momenta of the photon.

5 A note on bremsstrahlung

The world-line of a charge that undergoes hyperbolic motion between proper times s_1 and s_2 and performs inertial motion otherwise, all in the (x^0, x^1) plane, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
x^0(s) &= \Theta(s - s_1)\Theta(s_2 - s)\frac{1}{a}\sinh(as) \\
&\quad + \Theta(s_1 - s)\left[\frac{1}{a}\sinh(as_1) + \cosh(as_1)(s - s_1)\right] \\
&\quad + \Theta(s - s_2)\left[\frac{1}{a}\sinh(as_2) + \cosh(as_2)(s - s_2)\right], \\
x^1(s) &= \Theta(s - s_1)\Theta(s_2 - s)\frac{1}{a}\cosh(as) \\
&\quad + \Theta(s_1 - s)\left[\frac{1}{a}\cosh(as_1) + \sinh(as_1)(s - s_1)\right] \\
&\quad + \Theta(s - s_2)\left[\frac{1}{a}\cosh(as_2) + \sinh(as_2)(s - s_2)\right]. \tag{63}
\end{aligned}$$

This correctly yields the acceleration of the charge,

$$\ddot{x}^\mu(s) = \Theta(s - s_1)\Theta(s_2 - s)a\left(\sinh(as)\tilde{t}^\mu + \cosh(as)\tilde{x}^\mu\right). \quad (64)$$

The ALD radiation reaction for this motion is

$$F_{ALD}^\mu = \frac{e^2}{6\pi}a\left[\delta(s - s_1) - \delta(s - s_2)\right]\left(\sinh(as)\tilde{t}^\mu + \cosh(as)\tilde{x}^\mu\right). \quad (65)$$

After the charge resumes inertial motion, the contribution of radiation reaction to the total change in momentum will be

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta p_{ALD}^\mu &= \frac{e^2}{6\pi}a\left[\left(\sinh(as_1) - \sinh(as_2)\right)\tilde{t}^\mu + \left(\cosh(as_1) - \cosh(as_2)\right)\tilde{x}^\mu\right] \\ &= -\frac{e^2}{6\pi}a^2\left[\Delta t\tilde{t}^\mu + \Delta x\tilde{x}^\mu\right], \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

where Δt and Δx are the elapsed coordinate time and the coordinate distance between the start and end of the acceleration. We can consider a coordinate frame in which acceleration starts and ends at the same position, i.e. $\Delta x = 0$ and it becomes clear that Δp_{ALD}^μ is a time-like vector,

$$\Delta p_{ALD}^\mu = -\frac{e^2}{6\pi}a^2\Delta t\tilde{t}^\mu = -P\Delta t\tilde{t}^\mu, \quad (67)$$

where the scalar $P > 0$ was introduced in Eq. (1). This means that when a charge undergoes uniform acceleration only for a finite amount of time there is radiation reaction. In this ideal scenario the contribution of radiation reaction to the change in momentum occurs when the acceleration begins and ends but the charge does not experience radiation reaction while it is accelerated uniformly. Thus, the results discussed in the previous sections apply even when the charge is accelerated only for a finite amount of time and it experiences non-zero radiation reaction only due to transient phenomena.

6 Radiation reaction through Ehrenfest's theorem

Although in the classical limit the description based on the effective action given by Eq. (25) yields the ALD formula for radiation reaction, it would be interesting to see whether measurements of a quantum system should yield results that are consistent with the ALD theory. Building on the results of section 3, we can use Ehrenfest's theorem to see how radiation reaction manifests on the level of expectation values.

If the system starts in state ϕ at time t_1 and found to be in state ψ at some later time t_2 , the transition amplitude can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\psi|\phi\rangle &= \int_{\Sigma_2} d^3x_2 \int_{\Sigma_1} d^3x_1 \langle\psi|x_2\rangle\langle x_2|x_1\rangle\langle x_1|\phi\rangle \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_2} d^3x_2 \int_{\Sigma_1} d^3x_1 \psi^*(x_2)\phi(x_1)\langle x_2|x_1\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

where $\psi(x_2)$ and $\phi(x_1)$ are the wave functions for states ψ and ϕ respectively, integration is carried out over appropriate space-like hypersurfaces Σ_1 at time t_1 and Σ_2 at time t_2 . The temporal direction is chosen to be orthogonal to the hypersurfaces. In this context the coordinates of the particle are observables which can be represented by operators. However, as opposed to non-relativistic quantum mechanics, the coordinates of the particle are not all independent.

Now we need to compute how the system evolves between x_1 and x_2 , for which we can use the path integral formalism detailed in Ref. [25]. In this section I repeat Feynman's derivation for a relativistic system in a language consistent with the previous sections of this paper. Practically, the system is described in terms of a one-dimensional quantum field theory where the so-called field variables are the coordinates of the charge. The evolution of the system is given by

$$\langle x_2 | x_1 \rangle = \int_{\substack{x(s_i)=x_1 \\ x(s_f)=x_2}} \mathcal{D}x \mathcal{D}N e^{iS[x,N]}, \quad (69)$$

where the functional integral is over all paths that start from x_1 at initial parameter time s_i and end in x_2 at final parameter time s_f . The dynamics of the system is determined by some action $S[x, N]$ which is a functional of the world-line and the lapse function. The integration measure is non-trivial and it is given explicitly in Ref. [20].

If we want to compute the transition amplitude (ta) for an arbitrary quantity denoted by F we need to calculate

$$\langle F \rangle_{ta} \equiv \langle \psi | \hat{F} | \phi \rangle = \int_{\Sigma_2} d^3x_2 \int_{\Sigma_1} d^3x_1 \psi^*(x_2) \phi(x_1) \langle x_2 | \hat{F} | x_1 \rangle, \quad (70)$$

where $\hat{F}$ is the operator representation of the quantity over the space of state vectors. In the path integral formalism F is represented by a functional $F[x]$ and

$$\langle x_2 | \hat{F} | x_1 \rangle = \int_{\substack{x(s_i)=x_1 \\ x(s_f)=x_2}} \mathcal{D}x \mathcal{D}N F[x] e^{iS[x,N]}. \quad (71)$$

If the functional $F[x]$ is itself a functional derivative $\delta G[x]/\delta x^\mu(s)$ it follows that

$$\int_{\substack{x(s_i)=x_1 \\ x(s_f)=x_2}} \mathcal{D}x \mathcal{D}N \frac{\delta G[x]}{\delta x^\mu(s)} e^{iS[x,N]} = -i \int_{\substack{x(s_i)=x_1 \\ x(s_f)=x_2}} \mathcal{D}x \mathcal{D}N G[x] \frac{\delta S[x, N]}{\delta x^\mu(s)} e^{iS[x,N]}. \quad (72)$$

Using this we get

$$\left\langle \frac{\delta G}{\delta x^\mu(s)} \right\rangle_{ta} = -i \left\langle G \frac{\delta S}{\delta x^\mu(s)} \right\rangle_{ta}. \quad (73)$$

If we substitute unity for G , the expression on the left-hand side will vanish and we are left with

$$\left\langle \frac{\delta S}{\delta x^\mu(s)} \right\rangle_{ta} = 0 \quad (74)$$

which is equivalent to Ehrenfest's theorem.

This formalism, however, cannot accommodate dissipative effects. Instead, we need to describe the effective dynamics of the charge using the density operator. The need for this approach is also hinted by the thermal nature of the Unruh effect. The use of path integrals for systems interacting with an environment was developed in Ref. [26].

One possibility is to follow the same logic laid out in the previous part of this section and look for the probability that the system starts in a possibly mixed state at t_1 characterized by the density operator $\hat{\rho}_i$ and by measurement it is found in the state ψ at t_2 . A similar approach has also been described in Ref. [27] with the difference being in the handling of the final state. The initial density operator can be written in diagonal form as

$$\hat{\rho}_i = \sum_k P^{(i)}(\phi_k) |\phi_k\rangle \langle \phi_k|, \quad (75)$$

where the weight $P^{(i)}(\phi_k)$ represents the probability that the system is in the pure state ϕ_k at t_1 and

$$\sum_k P^{(i)}(\phi_k) = 1. \quad (76)$$

For the final state we need to use the projection operator

$$\hat{\mathbf{P}}_f = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| \quad (77)$$

With this description we can compute only the weighted sum of squared matrix elements but we can make use of the formulae for the transition amplitudes from before to obtain expressions built on the path integral formalism,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}_i \hat{\mathbf{P}}_f] &= \sum_k P^{(i)}(\phi_k) |\langle \psi | \phi_k \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_k P^{(i)}(\phi_k) \int_{\Sigma_1} d^3 x_1 \int_{\Sigma_2} d^3 x_2 \psi^*(x_2) \phi_k(x_1) \int_{\substack{x(s_i)=x_1 \\ x(s_f)=x_2}} \mathcal{D}x \mathcal{D}N e^{iS[x,N]} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\Sigma_1} d^3 x'_1 \int_{\Sigma_2} d^3 x'_2 \psi(x'_2) \phi_k^*(x'_1) \int_{\substack{x'(s_i)=x'_1 \\ x'(s_f)=x'_2}} \mathcal{D}x' \mathcal{D}N' e^{-iS[x',N']}, \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

where $\text{Tr}[\dots]$ denotes the trace of its argument. Introducing

$$\rho_i(x_1, x'_1) = \langle x'_1 | \hat{\rho}_i | x_1 \rangle = \sum_k P^{(i)}(\phi_k) \phi_k(x_1) \phi_k^*(x'_1), \quad (79)$$

we can write Eq. (78) as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}_i \hat{\mathbf{P}}_f] &= \int_{\Sigma_1} d^3 x_1 \int_{\Sigma_2} d^3 x_2 \int_{\Sigma_1} d^3 x'_1 \int_{\Sigma_2} d^3 x'_2 \rho_i(x_1, x'_1) \psi^*(x_2) \psi(x'_2) \\ &\quad \times \int \mathcal{D}x \mathcal{D}N \mathcal{D}x' \mathcal{D}N' e^{i(S[x,N] - S[x',N'])}. \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

This shows that if we are to calculate the overlap of the density operator at t_1 and the state observed at t_2 we need to use both the forward and backward evolution operator. Namely,

the arguments x_1 and x_2 are connected by forward evolution while the arguments x'_1 and x'_2 are linked together by backward evolution.

In order to interpret the quantity computed in Eq. (80) let us first discuss the case when we compare states at a fixed time. Let us consider a system that is in a mixed state described by the density operator

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_i P(\phi_i) |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_i|, \quad (81)$$

where $P(\phi_i)$ is the probability that the system is in the pure state ϕ_i and $|\phi_i\rangle$ are orthonormal. Let $\hat{\Lambda}$ be a hermitian operator with eigenvalues λ_i and their corresponding eigenvectors $|i\rangle$ that form an orthonormal basis over the Hilbert space. Then the pure states ϕ_i can be decomposed as

$$|\phi_i\rangle = \sum_j c_j^{(i)} |j\rangle. \quad (82)$$

The outcome of a single measurement of the quantity Λ will be one of the eigenvalues λ_j . If the system is in the pure state ϕ_i , the probability of that outcome is given by the conditional probability

$$P(j|\phi_i) = |\langle j|\phi_i\rangle|^2 = |c_j^{(i)}|^2. \quad (83)$$

The trace of the product of the density operator and the projection $|j\rangle\langle j|$ can be written as

$$\text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}|j\rangle\langle j|] = \sum_i P(\phi_i) |\langle j|\phi_i\rangle|^2 = \sum_i P(\phi_i) P(j|\phi_i) = \sum_i P(j \cap \phi_i). \quad (84)$$

Furthermore, the sum of all these terms is unity,

$$\sum_j \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}|j\rangle\langle j|] = \sum_i P(\phi_i) \sum_j |c_j^{(i)}|^2 = 1. \quad (85)$$

Thus the expression in Eq. (84) can be interpreted as the probability that the outcome of the measurement will be λ_j . The expression in Eq. (80) is constructed using states at different times and it can be interpreted as the transition probability from the possibly mixed state given by $\hat{\rho}_i$ to the pure state ψ .

Although Eq. (80) does not add anything new to the description of systems that are characterized by a simple action in the classical limit, we can upgrade the formula by considering instances when there is a difference between the dynamics of forward and backward evolution and the exponent of the path integral cannot be separated in the form given above. Such is the case when we are interested in radiation reaction. We can write the transition probability as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}_i \hat{\mathbf{P}}_f] &= \int_{\Sigma_1} d^3x_1 \int_{\Sigma_2} d^3x_2 \int_{\Sigma_1} d^3x'_1 \int_{\Sigma_2} d^3x'_2 \rho_i(x_1, x'_1) \psi(x_2) \psi^*(x'_2) \\ &\quad \times \int \mathcal{D}x \mathcal{D}N \mathcal{D}\bar{x} \mathcal{D}\bar{N} e^{iS_p^{CTP}[x, N, \bar{x}, \bar{N}]}. \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

Ordinarily, in the CTP formalism we demand that at the final parameter time s_f , every path should end up at the same point, i.e. $x(s_f) = \bar{x}(s_f)$. In Eq. (86) we need to let go of this constraint.

Given a quantity F we can obtain a weighted sum of squared matrix elements (sme),

$$\langle F \rangle_{sme} \equiv \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}_i \hat{F} \hat{P}_f \hat{F}] = \sum_k P^{(i)}(\phi_k) |\langle \phi_k | \hat{F} | \psi \rangle|^2. \quad (87)$$

When the system can be described by a simple action $S[x, N]$ in the classical limit the weighted sum of squared matrix elements can be written in terms of path integrals as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F \rangle_{sme} = & \int_{\Sigma_1} d^3 x_1 \int_{\Sigma_2} d^3 x_2 \int_{\Sigma_1} d^3 x'_1 \int_{\Sigma_2} d^3 x'_2 \rho_i(x_1, x'_1) \psi^*(x_2) \psi(x'_2) \\ & \times \int \mathcal{D}x \mathcal{D}N \mathcal{D}x' \mathcal{D}N' F[x] F[x'] e^{i(S[x, N] - S[x', N'])}. \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

If there are dissipative effects at play, not only we need to use the CTP action, the quantity F might not have a representation which is a functional of only one type of path, i.e. $F[x]$ or $F[x']$. Thus what was written as the weighted sum of squared matrix elements before can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F \rangle_{sme} = & \int_{\Sigma_1} d^3 x_1 \int_{\Sigma_2} d^3 x_2 \int_{\Sigma_1} d^3 x'_1 \int_{\Sigma_2} d^3 x'_2 \rho_i(x_1, x'_1) \psi^*(x_2) \psi(x'_2) \\ & \times \int \mathcal{D}x \mathcal{D}N \mathcal{D}\bar{x} \mathcal{D}\bar{N} F[x, \bar{x}] F[\bar{x}, x] e^{i S_p^{CTP}[x, N, \bar{x}, \bar{N}]}. \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

We can take a different road as well, that has been laid out in Refs. [26, 28] and compute the expectation value of the quantity F at t_2 instead, with only the initial $\hat{\rho}_i$ state of the system known to us. The expectation value of F in the final state will be

$$\langle F \rangle = \int_{\Sigma_1} d^3 x_1 \int_{\Sigma_1} d^3 x'_1 \rho_i(x_1, x'_1) \int \mathcal{D}x \mathcal{D}N \mathcal{D}\bar{x} \mathcal{D}\bar{N} F[x, \bar{x}] e^{i S_p^{CTP}[x, N, \bar{x}, \bar{N}]}. \quad (90)$$

Following the logic that lead to Eq. (74), we can use this formula to obtain Ehrenfest's theorem. Assuming that the functional $F[x, \bar{x}]$ is itself a functional derivative $\delta G[x, \bar{x}] / \delta x^\mu(s)$ we can write

$$\left\langle \frac{\delta G}{\delta x^\mu(s)} \right\rangle = -i \left\langle G \frac{\delta S_p^{CTP}}{\delta x^\mu(s)} \right\rangle, \quad (91)$$

which is analogous to Eq. (73) and upon substituting unity for G leads to

$$\left\langle \frac{\delta S_p^{CTP}}{\delta x^\mu(s)} \right\rangle = 0. \quad (92)$$

We can get the same expression for the expectation value of the functional derivatives with respect to $\bar{x}$, N and $\bar{N}$ as well. Compared to the classical limit, however, Eq. (92) gives

a different result for the expectation values of observables. This is due to the fact that in the classical case $x^\mu = \bar{x}^\mu$ but in the quantum mechanical description fluctuations can alter the result. If $\langle x^\mu - \bar{x}^\mu \rangle$ remains small, the zeroth-order term in the expansion with respect to $x^\mu - \bar{x}^\mu$ yields the same relation between the expectation values of position, velocity, acceleration and jerk as Eq. (30).

Although the discussion of the radiation reaction started from QED, where we compute transition amplitudes between pure states, in the derived effective theory we do not have direct access to degrees of freedom associated with the field of the charge since integration over them have been carried out. Similarly, in the accelerated frame the Unruh effect can be characterized by a pure state but since an observer in the right Rindler wedge cannot gather information about the excitations of the field present in the left Rindler wedge, partial tracing must be carried out over some degrees of freedom.

7 Conclusions

Classical radiation reaction can be obtained from QED and it is exactly the ALD force. Due to its dissipative nature, radiation reaction cannot be discussed within the frames of the ordinary Lagrangian formulation but this problem can be circumvented using Schwinger's closed time path method. In the quantum mechanical description the use of density operators is necessitated by the dissipative nature of the problem and a relationship between position, velocity, acceleration and jerk analogous to Ehrenfest's theorem can be established, although it may differ from the semiclassical prediction when fluctuations become large. The reliability of these results is, however, limited. Firstly, it has been assumed that the effect of the charge on the source of the external electromagnetic field is negligible and thus the external field does not respond to the charge. Secondly, the creation of charged particle-antiparticle pairs and the contribution of charged loops are omitted.

When a charge undergoes hyperbolic motion, in the accelerated frame it is seen absorbing photons from the thermal background which in turn may cause the charge to also emit photons. The net effect of absorption and emission on the motion of the charge is zero. This result is consistent with the vanishing radiation reaction obtained in an inertial frame. Thus, in order to observe the Unruh effect one must use a detector that has multiple levels of internal energy. However, the Unruh effect does not cause recoil while the charge is subjected to uniform acceleration. In the case of a finite-duration hyperbolic motion, there is radiation reaction but it contributes to the change in momentum only at the start and the end of acceleration and vanishes otherwise.

Finally, I note that the stimulated emission described in this paper should also occur due to Hawking radiation since near the horizon it resembles the Unruh effect [29]. Further work is required to show whether such an emission is directly detectable, however, the fact that the thermal radiation coming from the direction of the event horizon does not cause recoil is an important check to verify that the Unruh effect, and by extension the Gibbons-Hawking effect [30], itself does not violate the equivalence principle.

Appendix A

In order to obtain the retarded propagator in position space we need to perform partial fraction expansion on its Fourier-transform that appears in Eq. (12). Since the propagator in the Feynman gauge is proportional to the metric tensor, I drop the Lorentz indices and concentrate only on its scalar coefficient,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^{ret}(x, y) &= \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{-ip \cdot (x-y)}}{(p_0 + i0)^2 - |\mathbf{p}|^2} = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{-ip \cdot (x-y)}}{2|\mathbf{p}|} \left[\frac{1}{p_0 - |\mathbf{p}| + i0} - \frac{1}{p_0 + |\mathbf{p}| + i0} \right] \\ &= -\frac{i}{16\pi^3 r} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_0 \int_0^{\infty} d|\mathbf{p}| e^{-ip_0 t} \left(e^{i|\mathbf{p}|r} - e^{-i|\mathbf{p}|r} \right) \left[\frac{1}{p_0 - |\mathbf{p}| + i0} - \frac{1}{p_0 + |\mathbf{p}| + i0} \right].\end{aligned}\quad (93)$$

Here, $t = x^0 - y^0$ and r denotes the spatial distance between events at x^μ and y^μ . The integral with respect to p_0 can be evaluated using the relations connecting $1/(t - i0)$ and the Heaviside step function $\Theta(\omega)$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \Theta(\pm\omega) e^{-i\omega t} = \pm \frac{1}{i} \frac{1}{t \mp i0}, \quad (94)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \frac{1}{t \mp i0} e^{i\omega t} = \pm 2\pi i \Theta(\pm\omega), \quad (95)$$

and we obtain the following expression,

$$\Delta^{ret}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2 r} \Theta(t) \int_0^{\infty} d|\mathbf{p}| \left(e^{-i|\mathbf{p}|(t-r)} + e^{i|\mathbf{p}|(t-r)} - e^{i|\mathbf{p}|(t+r)} - e^{-i|\mathbf{p}|(t+r)} \right). \quad (96)$$

Finally, performing the last integral using Eq. (94) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^{ret}(x, y) &= \frac{i}{8\pi^2 r} \Theta(t) \left[\frac{1}{t-r-i0} - \frac{1}{t-r+i0} - \frac{1}{-t-r-i0} + \frac{1}{-t-r+i0} \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi r} \Theta(t) [\delta(t-r) - \delta(-t-r)].\end{aligned}\quad (97)$$

Since $r \geq 0$, $\Theta(t)\delta(-t-r) = -\Theta(t)\delta(-t-r) = 0$ and we can write

$$\Delta^{ret}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \Theta(t) \delta(t^2 - r^2). \quad (98)$$

The expression for the advanced propagator can be evaluated similarly,

$$\Delta^{adv}(x, y) = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ip \cdot x} \frac{1}{(p_0 - i0)^2 - |\mathbf{p}|^2} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \Theta(-t) \delta(t^2 - r^2). \quad (99)$$

and to obtain the Feynman propagator, once again, we need to follow along similar lines,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^F(x, y) &= \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ip \cdot x} \frac{1}{p^2 + i0} = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{-ip \cdot (x-y)}}{2|\mathbf{p}|} \left[\frac{1}{p_0 - |\mathbf{p}| + i0} - \frac{1}{p_0 + |\mathbf{p}| - i0} \right] \\ &= \frac{i}{8\pi^2 r} \left[\frac{\Theta(t)}{t-r-i0} + \frac{\Theta(-t)}{t-r+i0} + \frac{\Theta(-t)}{-t-r-i0} + \frac{\Theta(t)}{-t-r+i0} \right] \\ &= \frac{i}{8\pi^2 r} \left[\frac{1}{|t|-r-i0} + \frac{1}{-|t|-r+i0} \right] = \frac{i}{4\pi^2} \frac{1}{t^2 - r^2 - i0}.\end{aligned}\quad (100)$$

Using these results we can write half of the difference of the retarded and advanced propagator as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}(\Delta^{ret}(x, y) - \Delta^{adv}(x, y)) &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \text{sgn}(t) \delta(t^2 - r^2) \\ &= -\pi i \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ip \cdot (x-y)} \text{sgn}(p_0) \delta(p^2),\end{aligned}\quad (101)$$

and half of the difference of the Feynman propagator and its complex conjugate as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}(\Delta^F(x, y) - \Delta^{F*}(x, y)) &= \frac{i}{4\pi^2} \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{t^2 - r^2} \\ &= -\pi i \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ip \cdot (x-y)} \delta(p^2),\end{aligned}\quad (102)$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the Cauchy principal value. This allows us to express the Wightman functions in terms of the four solutions listed in Eqs. (13) and (14) as

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^\pm(x, y) &= -2\pi i \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ip \cdot x} \Theta(\pm p_0) \delta(p^2) \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{2}(\Delta^{ret}(x, y) - \Delta^{adv}(x, y)) + \frac{1}{2}(\Delta^F(x, y) - \Delta^{F*}(x, y)),\end{aligned}\quad (103)$$

or alternatively

$$\Delta^\pm(x, y) = \frac{i}{4\pi^2} \frac{1}{(t \mp i0)^2 - r^2}.\quad (104)$$

Appendix B

I will denote the two integrals in Eq. (29) of section 3 as

$$\begin{aligned}F_{se}^\mu &= -e^2 \int ds' \dot{x}^\alpha(s) \dot{x}^\beta(s') \left[\partial_\alpha \text{Re} \Delta_{\mu\beta}^F(x(s), x(s')) - \partial_\mu \text{Re} \Delta_{\alpha\beta}^F(x(s), x(s')) \right] \\ F_{rr}^\mu &= e^2 \int ds' \dot{x}^\alpha(s) \dot{x}^\beta(s') \left[\partial_\alpha \text{Re} \Delta_{\mu\beta}^+(x(s), x(s')) - \partial_\mu \text{Re} \Delta_{\alpha\beta}^+(x(s), x(s')) \right]\end{aligned}\quad (105)$$

which are the origins of the self-energy correction and the radiation reaction respectively. Using Eqs. (14) and (17) and the Sokhotski-Plemelj theorem it is easily seen that we need to compute the derivatives of the Dirac-delta distribution and that of its product with the sign distribution. At this point I introduce the notation $x^\mu \equiv x^\mu(s)$ and $y^\mu \equiv x^\mu(s')$. The derivative of the Dirac-delta distribution can be rewritten as

$$\partial_\mu \delta((x - y)^2) = 2(x_\mu - y_\mu) \delta'((x - y)^2) = -\frac{x_\mu - y_\mu}{\dot{y}^\nu (x - y)_\nu} \frac{d}{ds'} \delta((x - y)^2),\quad (106)$$

where the prime on the Dirac delta denotes its derivative with respect to its argument. Similarly, the derivative of the product of the sign and Dirac delta distributions can be rewritten as

$$\partial_\mu \left[\text{sgn}(x^0 - y^0) \delta((x - y)^2) \right] = -\frac{x_\mu - y_\mu}{\dot{y}^\nu (x - y)_\nu} \frac{d}{ds'} \left[\text{sgn}(x^0 - y^0) \delta((x - y)^2) \right].\quad (107)$$

The self-energy and radiation reaction terms become

$$\begin{aligned} F_{se}^\mu &= -\frac{e^2}{4\pi} \dot{x}_\nu \int ds' \left[\frac{\dot{y}^{[\mu}(x-y)^{\nu]}}{\dot{y}^\alpha(x-y)_\alpha} \frac{d}{ds'} \delta((x-y)^2) \right], \\ F_{rr}^\mu &= \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \dot{x}_\nu \int ds' \left[\frac{\dot{y}^{[\mu}(x-y)^{\nu]}}{\dot{y}^\alpha(x-y)_\alpha} \frac{d}{ds'} \left(\text{sgn}(x^0 - y^0) \delta((x-y)^2) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

The commutator sign on indices should be interpreted as $A_{[\mu}B_{\nu]} = A_\mu B_\nu - A_\nu B_\mu$. Using integration by parts to move the derivative from the expression containing the Dirac delta to the other parts of the integrand we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{se}^\mu &= \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \dot{x}_\nu \int ds' \delta((x-y)^2) \frac{d}{ds'} \left[\frac{\dot{y}^{[\mu}(x-y)^{\nu]}}{\dot{y}^\alpha(x-y)_\alpha} \right], \\ F_{rr}^\mu &= -\frac{e^2}{4\pi} \dot{x}_\nu \int ds' \text{sgn}(x^0 - y^0) \delta((x-y)^2) \frac{d}{ds'} \left[\frac{\dot{y}^{[\mu}(x-y)^{\nu]}}{\dot{y}^\alpha(x-y)_\alpha} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

Since $x^\mu(s)$ describes the world-line of a massive particle, $(x-y)^2 = (x(s) - x(s'))^2$ can be zero only if $s = s'$. However, $s' = s$ is not a simple root of the argument. Ordinarily, the Dirac delta of the invariant interval between x and y can be given as a combination of two Dirac delta distributions that constrain the integral to retarded and advanced times. When x and y are on the same world-line, the retarded and advanced times coincide which results in an additional factor of two,

$$\delta((x-y)^2) = \frac{1}{|\dot{y}^\beta(x-y)_\beta|} \delta(s - s'). \quad (110)$$

Furthermore, since the particle is traveling forward in time we have $\text{sgn}(\dot{x}^0) = 1$ at every point of the world-line which leads to $\text{sgn}(x^0 - y^0) = \text{sgn}(s - s')$. Thus the self-energy and radiation reaction terms will be

$$\begin{aligned} F_{se} &= \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \dot{x}_\nu \int ds' \frac{\delta(s - s')}{|\dot{y}^\beta(x-y)_\beta|} \frac{d}{ds'} \left[\frac{\dot{y}^{[\mu}(x-y)^{\nu]}}{\dot{y}^\alpha(x-y)_\alpha} \right], \\ F_{rr} &= -\frac{e^2}{4\pi} \dot{x}_\nu \int ds' \frac{\delta(s - s')}{|\dot{y}^\beta(x-y)_\beta|} \left\{ \text{sgn}(s - s') \frac{d}{ds'} \left[\frac{\dot{y}^{[\mu}(x-y)^{\nu]}}{\dot{y}^\alpha(x-y)_\alpha} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

Now, let us expand $y^\mu \equiv x^\mu(s')$ in s' about s . In order to make the following expressions easier to handle, I will denote $\Delta s = s' - s$. Due to the presence of the Dirac delta in both integrals, all $\mathcal{O}(\Delta s)$ terms of both integrands will vanish and only the pole and the finite part will remain. Thus, as we will see, it is sufficient to expand y_μ up to third order in s' ,

$$\begin{aligned} y_\mu &= x_\mu + \dot{x}_\mu \Delta s + \frac{1}{2} \ddot{x}_\mu \Delta s^2 + \frac{1}{6} \dddot{x}_\mu \Delta s^3 + \mathcal{O}(\Delta s^4), \\ \dot{y}_\mu &= \dot{x}_\mu + \ddot{x}_\mu \Delta s + \frac{1}{2} \dddot{x}_\mu \Delta s^2 + \mathcal{O}(\Delta s^3), \\ \dot{y}^\mu (y - x)_\mu &= \Delta s + \left(\frac{2}{3} \dot{x}^\mu \ddot{x}_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \ddot{x}^\mu \dot{x}_\mu \right) \Delta s^3 + \mathcal{O}(\Delta s^4), \\ \dot{y}_{[\mu} (y - x)_{\nu]} &= -\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_{[\mu} \ddot{x}_{\nu]} \Delta s^2 + \frac{1}{3} \ddot{x}_{[\mu} \dot{x}_{\nu]} \Delta s^3 + \mathcal{O}(\Delta s^4) \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

Combining these expressions we get

$$\frac{\dot{y}_{[\mu}(x-y)_{\nu]}}{\dot{y}^{\alpha}(x-y)_{\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{x}_{[\mu}\ddot{x}_{\nu]}\Delta s + \frac{1}{3}\ddot{x}_{[\mu}\dot{x}_{\nu]}\Delta s^2 + \mathcal{O}(\Delta s^3). \quad (113)$$

Now the integrand of F_{se}^{μ} without the Dirac delta becomes

$$\frac{1}{|\dot{y}^{\beta}(x-y)_{\beta}|} \frac{d}{ds'} \left[\frac{\dot{y}_{[\mu}(x-y)_{\nu]}}{\dot{y}^{\alpha}(x-y)_{\alpha}} \right] = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{x}_{[\mu}\ddot{x}_{\nu]} \frac{1}{|\Delta s|} + \frac{2}{3}\ddot{x}_{[\mu}\dot{x}_{\nu]} \text{sgn}(s'-s) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta s), \quad (114)$$

while the integrand of F_{rr}^{μ} without the Dirac delta turns into

$$\frac{\text{sgn}(s-s')}{|\dot{y}^{\beta}(x-y)_{\beta}|} \frac{d}{ds'} \left[\frac{\dot{y}_{[\mu}(x-y)_{\nu]}}{\dot{y}^{\alpha}(x-y)_{\alpha}} \right] = \frac{1}{2}\dot{x}_{[\mu}\ddot{x}_{\nu]} \frac{1}{\Delta s} - \frac{2}{3}\ddot{x}_{[\mu}\dot{x}_{\nu]} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta s). \quad (115)$$

Note that the sign of Δs is the opposite of $\text{sgn}(s-s')$. Both expressions are multiplied by $\delta(\Delta s)$ and integrated with respect to s' in the equation of motion. The $\mathcal{O}(\Delta s)$ terms will not give any contribution in either term. Furthermore, the second term of Eq. (114) and the first term of Eq. (115) also vanishes. This can be shown by using

$$\delta(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \Theta\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} - |x|\right). \quad (116)$$

Thus,

$$\int ds' \text{sgn}(s'-s) \delta(s-s') = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{-\epsilon/2}^{\epsilon/2} d\Delta s \text{sgn}(\Delta s) = 0, \quad (117)$$

and

$$\int ds' \frac{\delta(s-s')}{s-s'} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{-\epsilon/2}^{\epsilon/2} \frac{d\Delta s}{\Delta s} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \left[\int_{\delta}^{\epsilon/2} \frac{d\Delta s}{\Delta s} + \int_{-\epsilon/2}^{-\delta} \frac{d\Delta s}{\Delta s} \right] = 0. \quad (118)$$

The first term of Eq. (114) gives a divergent contribution for the self-energy

$$\begin{aligned} F_{se}^{\mu} &= \frac{e^2}{8\pi} \ddot{x}^{\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\delta(s'-s)}{|s'-s|} = \frac{e^2}{8\pi} \ddot{x}^{\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds' \frac{1}{|s'-s|} \frac{d\Theta(s'-s)}{ds'} \\ &= \frac{e^2}{8\pi} \ddot{x}^{\mu} \int_s^{\infty} ds' \frac{1}{(s'-s)^2} = \frac{e^2}{8\pi} \ddot{x}^{\mu} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

while second term of Eq. (115) gives a finite contribution. Using these results in the equation of motion we obtain

$$m\ddot{x}^{\mu} - eF_{e,\nu}^{\mu}\dot{x}^{\nu} - \frac{e^2}{8\pi} \left(\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) \ddot{x}^{\mu} - \frac{e^2}{6\pi} \left[\ddot{x}^{\mu} - \dot{x}^{\mu}(\ddot{x}^{\nu}\dot{x}_{\nu}) \right] = 0. \quad (120)$$

The divergent expression can be removed by defining

$$m_R = m - \frac{e^2}{8\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \quad (121)$$

and the equation motion can be put in the form

$$m_R\ddot{x}^{\mu} - eF_{e,\nu}^{\mu}\dot{x}^{\nu} - \frac{e^2}{6\pi} \left[\ddot{x}^{\mu} - \dot{x}^{\mu}(\ddot{x}^{\nu}\dot{x}_{\nu}) \right] = 0. \quad (122)$$

References

- [1] J. D. Jackson, *Classical electrodynamics; 2nd ed.* New York, NY: Wiley, 1975.
- [2] P. Dirac, “Classical theory of radiating electrons,” *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A*, vol. A167, pp. 148–169, 1938.
- [3] E. Poisson, “An Introduction to the Lorentz-Dirac equation,” 1999.
- [4] T. Fulton and F. Rohrlich, “Classical radiation from a uniformly accelerated charge,” *Annals of Physics*, vol. 9, pp. 499–517, 1960.
- [5] D. Boulware, “Radiation from a uniformly accelerated charge,” *Annals of Physics*, vol. 124, pp. 169–188, 1980.
- [6] S. A. Fulling, “Nonuniqueness of canonical field quantization in riemannian space-time,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 7, pp. 2850–2862, 1973.
- [7] P. C. W. Davies, “Scalar production in schwarzschild and rindler metrics,” *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 609–616, 1975.
- [8] W. G. Unruh, “Notes on black-hole evaporation,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 14, pp. 870–892, 1976.
- [9] W. G. Unruh and R. M. Wald, “What happens when an accelerating observer detects a Rindler particle,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 29, pp. 1047–1056, 1984.
- [10] F. Rohrlich, “The dynamics of a charged sphere and the electron,” *Am. J. Phys.*, vol. 65, pp. 1051–1056, 1997.
- [11] A. D. Yaghjian, *Relativistic dynamics of a charged sphere; 2nd ed.* Berlin: Springer, 2006.
- [12] A. Higuchi, “Radiation reaction in quantum field theory,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 66, p. 105004, 2002. [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.D* 69, 129903 (2004)].
- [13] A. Higuchi and G. D. Martin, “The Lorentz-dirac force from QED for linear acceleration,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 70, p. 081701, 2004.
- [14] A. Higuchi and G. D. Martin, “Classical and quantum radiation reaction for linear acceleration,” *Found. Phys.*, vol. 35, pp. 1149–1179, 2005.
- [15] A. Higuchi and G. D. Martin, “Radiation reaction on charged particles in three-dimensional motion in classical and quantum electrodynamics,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 73, p. 025019, 2006.
- [16] A. Ilderton and G. Torgrimsson, “Radiation reaction in strong field QED,” *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 725, p. 481, 2013.
- [17] J. Polonyi, “The Abraham–Lorentz force and electrodynamics at the classical electron radius,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, vol. 34, no. 15, p. 1950077, 2019.

- [18] J. Schwinger, “Brownian Motion of a Quantum Oscillator,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 407–432, 1961.
- [19] J. Polonyi, “Effective dynamics of a classical point charge,” *Annals of Physics*, vol. 342, pp. 239 – 263, 2014.
- [20] J. B. Hartle and K. V. Kuchar, “Path integrals in parametrized theories: The Free relativistic particle,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 34, pp. 2323–2331, 1986.
- [21] W. Troost and H. Van Dam, “Thermal effects for an accelerating observer,” *Physics Letters B*, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 149 – 152, 1977.
- [22] J. Audretsch and R. Muller, “Spontaneous excitation of an accelerated atom: The Contributions of vacuum fluctuations and radiation reaction,” *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 50, pp. 1755–1763, 1994.
- [23] C. Lima, F. Brito, J. Hoyos, and D. Vanzella, “Probing the Unruh effect with an accelerated extended system,” *Nature Commun.*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 3030, 2019.
- [24] J. Hu, L. Feng, Z. Zhang, and C. Chin, “Quantum simulation of Unruh radiation,” *Nat. Phys.*, vol. 15, pp. 785–789, 2019.
- [25] R. P. Feynman, “Space-time approach to non-relativistic quantum mechanics,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, vol. 20, pp. 367–387, 1948.
- [26] R. Feynman and F. Vernon, “The theory of a general quantum system interacting with a linear dissipative system,” *Annals of Physics*, vol. 24, pp. 118 – 173, 1963.
- [27] J. Polonyi, “Quantum-classical crossover in electrodynamics,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 74, p. 065014, Sep 2006.
- [28] J. Polonyi and K. Zazoua, “Scattering in an environment,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 85, p. 065013, Mar 2012.
- [29] S. Hawking and W. Israel, *General relativity: an Einstein centenary survey*, ch. 14, pp. 695–698. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1979.
- [30] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, “Cosmological Event Horizons, Thermodynamics, and Particle Creation,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 15, pp. 2738–2751, 1977.