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1 Introduction
If $H$ is a finite $p$-group of class 2, so that the commutator subgroup $[H, H]$ is central in $H$, then $H$ is a central extension of $[H, H]$ by the abelianization $H^{ab}$. On the other hand, given finite abelian $p$-groups $A, G$, and an $A$-valued 2-cocycle $\alpha$ on $G$, i.e., a function $\alpha : G \times G \to A$ satisfying
\[
\alpha(g_1, g_2) - \alpha(g_1, g_2 + g_3) + \alpha(g_1 + g_2, g_3) - \alpha(g_2, g_3) = 0
\]
for all $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$, one may construct a group $E(\alpha)$ with underlying set $A \times G$ and group operation defined by
\[
(a_1, g_1) \cdot (a_2, g_2) = (a_1 + a_2 + \alpha(g_1, g_2), g_1 + g_2);
\]
recall that up to equivalence, the central extension $1 \to A \to E(\alpha) \to G \to 1$ is uniquely determined by the class $[\alpha] \in H^2(G; A)$.

In $E(\alpha)$ we have
\[
(a, g)^{-1} = (-a - \alpha(g, -g), -g),
\]
\[
(a_2, g_2)(a_1, g_1)(a_2, g_2)^{-1} = (a_1 + \alpha(g_1, 0) - \eta^\alpha(g_1, g_2), g_1),
\]
\[
[[a_1, g_1], (a_2, g_2)] = (\eta^\alpha(g_1, g_2), 0),
\]
where the notation for commutator is $[x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$, and
\[
\eta^\alpha(g_1, g_2) = \alpha(g_1, g_2) - \alpha(g_2, g_1).
\]
Clearly, $[E(\alpha), E(\alpha)] = A$ if and only if $\langle \text{im}(\eta^\alpha) \rangle$ generates $A$.

Let $Z^2_0(G; A)$ denote the set of 2-cocycles $\alpha$ with $\langle \text{im}(\eta^\alpha) \rangle = A$.

Remark 1.1. We may recover $\alpha$ from $E(\alpha)$: for any $g_1, g_2 \in G$, $\alpha(g_1, g_2)$ is the first component of $(0, g_1) \cdot (0, g_2)$.

Remark 1.2. When $\alpha \in Z^2_0(G; A)$, and $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_r \rangle = G$, we actually have $\langle (0, g_1), \ldots, (0, g_r) \rangle = E(\alpha)$. This can be seen as follows:
• for each $g \in G$, there exists $a_g \in A$ such that $(a_g, g) \in \langle (0, g_1), \ldots, (0, g_r) \rangle$;

• $A$ can be generated by the set $\{[(a_g, g), (a_{g'}, g')]: g, g' \in G\}$, so that $A \leq \langle (0, g_1), \ldots, (0, g_r) \rangle$.

Suppose $\alpha, \alpha' \in Z_2^Z(G; A)$. Each homomorphism $\phi : E(\alpha) \to E(\alpha')$ induces $\hat{\phi} \in \text{End}(A)$ and $\overline{\phi} \in \text{End}(G)$, and there exists a function $\mu : G \to A$ such that

$$\phi(a, g) = (\hat{\phi}(a) + \mu(g), \overline{\phi}(g)) \quad \text{for all } a \in A, g \in G.$$ 

Note that actually $\hat{\phi}$ depends only on $\overline{\phi}$:

$$= (\mu(g_1), \overline{\phi}(g_1), (0, g_2)) = (\eta\overline{\alpha}'(\overline{\phi}(g_1), \overline{\phi}(g_2)), 0).$$

The condition that $\phi$ is a homomorphism is equivalent to

$$(\delta \mu)(g_1, g_2) := \mu(g_1) + \mu(g_2) - \mu(g_1 + g_2) = \hat{\phi}(\alpha(g_1, g_2)) - \alpha'(\overline{\phi}(g_1), \overline{\phi}(g_2)),$$

showing $\overline{\phi}$ as elements of $H^2(G; A)$. When $\overline{\phi}$ is an affine space modeled on hom$(G, A)$.

These are summarized as

**Proposition 1.3.** Let $\alpha, \alpha' \in Z^2_0(G; A)$ so that $[E(\alpha), E(\alpha)] = [E(\alpha'), E(\alpha')] = A$. An endomorphism $\varphi \in \text{End}(G)$ can be lifted to $\phi : E(\alpha) \to E(\alpha')$ if and only if there exists $\psi \in \text{End}(A)$ such that

$$\psi(\eta\alpha(g_1, g_2)) = \eta\overline{\alpha}'(\varphi(g_1), \varphi(g_2)) \quad \text{for all } g_1, g_2 \in G,$$

$$\varphi^*(\alpha') = \psi^*(\alpha).$$

When these hold, each $\phi$ is given by $\phi(a, g) = (\psi(a) + \mu(g), \varphi(g))$ for a unique $\mu$ with $\delta \mu = \varphi^*(\alpha) - \psi^*(\alpha)$.

In particular, an automorphism $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ can be lifted to $\phi \in \text{Aut}(E(\alpha))$ if and only if there exists $\psi \in \text{Aut}(A)$ such that $\psi(\eta\alpha(g_1, g_2)) = \eta\overline{\alpha}'(\varphi(g_1), \varphi(g_2))$ and $\varphi^*(\alpha') = \psi^*(\alpha)$.

People have widely studied automorphism groups of finite $p$-groups, especially those of class 2; see [3, 6, 8, 10], and the references therein. There are many unsolved problems within various topics concerned with automorphism groups of $p$-groups of class 2, while few computational results have been obtained, except for those in [6, 9, 11] etc.

In this paper, we lay out a framework for further researches, revealing some fundamentals and proposing a method for computing automorphism groups. To be general, we often talk about endomorphisms rather than automorphisms, as interests on endomorphism semigroups are also seen in the literature.

We aim to know Aut$(H)$ as much as possible for any $p$-group $H$ of class 2, presented in the form $E(\alpha)$. Given $G, A$, we shall do the following:

1. Choose exactly one 2-cocycle to represent each element of $H^2(G; A)$.

2. Find sufficient and necessary conditions for $\alpha \in Z^2_0(G; A)$. 
3. Determine the actions of \(\text{End}(G)\) and \(\text{End}(A)\) on \(H^2(G; A)\).

4. Determine all \(\varphi\) satisfying the conditions (i), (ii) in Proposition 1.3.

A key ingredient is an explicit formula for cocycles representing elements of \(H^2(G; A)\); this is based on the results of [4, 5].

**Notation 1.4.** We fix a prime number \(p\) throughout this paper.

For \(k \in \mathbb{Z}\), let \(||k||\) denote the largest integer \(e\) with \(p^e \mid k\); when \(k \geq 0\), let \(\hat{k}\) denote the endomorphism of \(A\) sending \(a\) to \(p^k a\).

Given integers \(t_1, \ldots, t_k\) such that \(1 < t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_k\), let \(J(t_1, \ldots, t_k) = \mathbb{Z}_{p^{t_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{t_k}}\). Recall the classical result that each abelian \(p\)-group is isomorphic to \(J(t_1, \ldots, t_k)\) for a unique tuple \((t_1, \ldots, t_k)\).

Fix \(G = J(m_1, \ldots, m_n)\) and \(A = J(d_1, \ldots, d_r)\). Let \(\hat{n} = n(n-1)/2\). Let \(J = J(d_r)\), \(J' = J(m_n)\). Let \(\Lambda = \{(i, j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}\), which is identified with \(\{1, \ldots, \hat{n}\}\) via any numeration of its elements. Denote \(i\) as \(\lambda\) and \(j\) as \(\lambda\) if \(\lambda = (i, j)\).

For \(x \in \mathbb{R}\), let \([x]\) denote the largest integer not exceeding \(x\).

Given integers \(q, i\) with \(q > 0\), let \((i)q \in \{0, \ldots, q-1\}\) denote the remainder when dividing \(i\) by \(q\).

We usually use \(a, b\) etc. to denote (row or column) vectors with entries in some ring \(R\). Let \(\mathfrak{e} = (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)\) be the \(k\)-component vector in which the unique 1 sitting at the \(i\)-th position. Given \(s = (s_1, \ldots, s_n)\) and \(t = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)\), let \(st = s_1 t_1 + \cdots + s_n t_n\). When \(R \in \{\mathbb{Z}, J\}\), for \(a \in A\), let \(sa = (s_1a, \ldots, s_na) \in A^n\), where \(s, a\) has the obvious meaning.

For a ring \(R\), let \(\mathcal{M}_k(R)\) denote the set of \(k \times \ell\) matrices with entries in \(R\), and let \(\mathcal{M}_k(R) = \mathcal{M}_{k,k}(R)\); let \(\text{GL}(k, R) \subset \mathcal{M}_k(R)\) denote the subset of invertible elements, which forms a group. Let \(\mathfrak{e}\) denote the identity matrix.

Given \(x = (x_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}_k(R)\), let \(x_i\) denote its \(i\)-th row, i.e. \(x_i = (x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{i\ell})\). Let \((\mathfrak{x})\) denote the submodule of \(R^k\) (whose elements are written as columns) generated by the columns of \(x\). Use \(x^{-}\) to denote its transpose.

As usual, the Kronecker symbol \(\delta_{a,b} = 1\) if \(a = b\) and \(\delta_{a,b} = 0\) otherwise.

**2 \(H^2(G; A)\), with the actions of \(\text{End}(G)\) and \(\text{End}(A)\)**

Following [4] (also see [5] Section 2), for each factor \(J(m_i)\) of \(G\), fix a generator \(g_i\); denote a general element of \(G\) by \(g_1^{u_1} \cdots g_n^{u_n}\), with \(u_i \in \mathbb{Z}\), and also by \(g^u\).

Given \(a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in A^n\) and \(b = \{b_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \in A^\Lambda\), put
\[
\alpha_{a,b}(g^x, g^y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(s_i u_{m_i} + t_i p_{m_i}) a_i}{p_{m_i}} - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} t_\lambda s_\lambda b_\lambda. \tag{8}
\]

Note that we can recover \(a, b\) from \(\alpha_{a,b}\) via
\[
a_i = \alpha_{a,b}(g_i, g_i^{-1}), \quad b_\lambda = -\alpha_{a,b}(g_\lambda, g_\lambda).
\]

**Lemma 2.1.** Each element of \(H^2(G; A)\) is represented by \(\alpha_{a,b}\) for some \(a, b\) with \(b_\lambda \in \ker(\overline{m_\lambda})\) for all \(\lambda \in \Lambda\). As an element of \(H^2(G; A)\), \(\alpha_{a,b} = 0\) if and only if \(a_i \in \text{im}(\overline{m_i})\) for all \(i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\) and \(b_\lambda = 0\) for all \(\lambda \in \Lambda\).
This gives an isomorphism

$$H^2(G; A) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n \text{coker}(\overline{m}_i) \times \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \ker(\overline{m}_\lambda).$$

**Proof.** Just slightly modify and generalize the proof of Lemma 3.3 in [4].

It is well-known that the following periodic sequence is a free resolution of the trivial $J(m_i)$-module $Z$:

$$\ldots \to J(m_i) \xrightarrow{T_i} J(m_i) \xrightarrow{N_i} J(m_i) \xrightarrow{T_i} J(m_i) \xrightarrow{N_i} Z \to 0,$$

where $T_i = g_i - 1$, and $N_i = \sum_{j=0}^{p^m_i - 1} g_i^j$. A free resolution of the trivial $ZG$-module $Z$ is given by the tensor product $(K_*, \partial_*)$ of the above resolutions of the cyclic factors of $G$; in detail,

$$K_r = \bigoplus_{u_1 + \cdots + u_n = r} (ZG)\Phi(u_1, \ldots, u_n),$$

and the differential is $\partial = \partial_1 + \cdots + \partial_n$, where for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$,

$$\partial_i(\Phi(u_1, \ldots, u_n)) = \begin{cases} 0, & u_i = 0, \\ (-1)^{\sum_{\ell<i} u_\ell} N_i \Phi(u_1, \ldots, u_i - 1, \ldots, u_n), & 0 \neq u_i \text{ is even}, \\ (-1)^{\sum_{\ell<i} u_\ell} T_i \Phi(u_1, \ldots, u_i - 1, \ldots, u_n), & 0 \neq u_i \text{ is odd}. \end{cases}$$

Introduce

$$\rho_i = \Phi(e_i^n), \quad \sigma_i = \Phi(2e_i^n), \quad \sigma_{ij} = \Phi(e_i^n + e_j^n),$$

$$\tau_i = \Phi(3e_i^n), \quad \tau_{ij} = \Phi(2e_i^n + e_j^n), \quad \tau_{ijk} = \Phi(e_i^n + e_j^n + e_k^n).$$

A 2-cochain $\beta \in \text{hom}_{ZG}(K_2, A)$ is determined by $\beta(\sigma_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$, and $\beta(\sigma_{ij}), 1 \leq i < j \leq n$. By definition, $\beta$ is a cocycle if and only if

$$0 = \partial^* (\beta(\tau_i)) = \beta(\partial(\tau_i)) = \beta(T_i \sigma_i) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i,$$

$$0 = \partial^* (\beta(\tau_{ij})) = \beta(\partial(\tau_{ij})) = \beta(T_j \sigma_i \pm N_i \sigma_{ij}) = \pm p^m_i \beta(\sigma_{ij}) \quad \text{for all } i < j,$$

$$0 = \partial^* (\beta(\tau_{ijk})) = \beta(\partial(\tau_{ijk})) = \beta(T_i \tau_{jk} - T_j \tau_{ik} + T_k \sigma_{ij}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i < j < k.$$

If $\beta = \partial^* \gamma$, for some 1-cochain $\gamma$, then

$$\beta(\sigma_i) = \gamma(\partial(\sigma_i)) = p^m_i \gamma(\rho_i) \quad \text{for all } i,$$

$$\beta(\sigma_{ij}) = \gamma(\partial(\sigma_{ij})) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i < j.$$

Conversely, if $\beta(\sigma_i) = p^m_i c_i$ with $c_i \in A$ for all $i$, and $\beta(\sigma_{ij}) = 0$ for all $(i, j) \in \Lambda$, then $\beta = \partial^* \gamma$, where $\gamma$ is given by $\rho_i \mapsto c_i$.

Then the proof is completed by plugging in the map $F_2 : B_2 \to K_2$ given on Page 4 of [3].

**Remark 2.2.** Clearly,

$$\eta^{a*b}(g^2, g^4) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \begin{vmatrix} s_\lambda & s_\lambda \\ t_\lambda & t_\lambda \end{vmatrix} b_\lambda,$$

so the condition $\alpha_{a, b} \in Z^2_G(G; A)$, i.e. $\langle \text{im}(\eta^{a*b}) \rangle = A$, is equivalent to

$$\langle b_{(1, 2)}, \ldots, b_{(n-1, n)} \rangle = A.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

From now on we always assume this. From $b_{(i, j)} \in \ker(\overline{m}_i)$ we see $d_r \leq m_{n-1}$. 


Lemma 2.3. For each positive integer $n$, let

$$\mathcal{M}_G = \{ x = (x_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}_n(J') : \|x_{ij}\| \geq m_i - m_j \text{ for all } i > j \}. \quad (11)$$

Each $x \in \mathcal{M}_G$ defines $\varphi_x \in \text{End}(G)$ via

$$\varphi_x(g_j) := g_i^{x_{ij}} \cdots g_n^{x_{nj}}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n;$$

conversely, each element of $\text{End}(G)$ is of the form $\varphi_x$. For all $s, t$, one has

$$(\varphi^*_s a_{a,b})(\varphi^*_t) = a_{a,b}(g_1^{s_{x_{ij}}}, \cdots, g_n^{s_{x_{nj}}}, g_1^{t_{x_{ij}}}, \cdots, g_n^{t_{x_{nj}}}). \quad (12)$$

Let $X_G = \mathcal{M}_G \cap \text{GL}(n, J')$, and let $X^0_G$ denote the subgroup of $X_G$ consisting of $x$ with $x_{ij} \equiv \delta_{i,j} \pmod{p^{m_i}}$. By [7], the map $x \mapsto \varphi_x$ factors through to give an isomorphism

$$X_G/X^0_G \cong \text{Aut}(G).$$

Lemma 2.3. For each positive integer $q$ and each $k \in \{0, \ldots, q-1\}$,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \left\lfloor \frac{(ik)q + k}{q} \right\rfloor = k.$$

Proof. This can be reduced to the case when $\gcd(k, q) = 1$, in which we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \left\lfloor \frac{(ik)q + k}{q} \right\rfloor = \#\{i : 0 \leq i \leq q-1 \text{ and } q-k \leq (ik)q < q\} = k.$$

For $x \in \mathcal{M}_n(J')$, introduce

$$x^t = (x^t_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}_n(J'), \quad \text{with} \quad x^t_{ij} = \begin{vmatrix} x_{i,j} & x_{i,j} \\ x_{i,j} & x_{i,j} \end{vmatrix};$$

$$\theta_x = (\theta_{x,\ell}) \in \mathcal{M}^n_\Lambda(J'),$$

with

$$\theta_{x,\ell} = \begin{cases} 2^{m_\ell - 1}, & p = 2, x_{i,\ell}x_{i,\ell}, m_\ell = m_\ell = m_\ell, \\
0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.4. Let $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, with $b_\lambda \in \ker(\bar{m}_\lambda)$.

(I) For each $\psi \in \text{End}(A)$, one has $\psi_* (\alpha_{a,b}) = \alpha_{\psi(a), \psi(b)}$.

(II) For each $x \in \mathcal{M}_G$, $(\varphi_x)^* (\alpha_{a,b}) = \alpha_{a_{x+b\theta_x,bx}} - \delta(a_{x,b})$, with

$$\mu_{a,b}(g^x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{u,j=0}^{s_{i,j}-1} \frac{\langle x_{ij}y_j + \sum_{h=1}^{j-1} x_{ih}s_h \rangle p^{m_j}}{p^{m_i}} \right) a_i$$

$$+ \sum_{a \in \Lambda} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \begin{array}{c} s_i \\ 2 \end{array} \right) x_{ui}x_{vi} + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} s_{\lambda} s_{\lambda} x_{\lambda}x_{\lambda} \right) b_\lambda.$$
Proof. (I) is obvious. We only prove (II). It suffices to consider two special cases: (i) \(\alpha(\mathbf{c}_i^0, \mathbf{0})\), with \(i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\); and (ii) \(\alpha(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{c}_i^0)\), with \(\kappa = \{u, \ell\} \in \Lambda\), where \(\mathbf{c}_i^0\) is a \(\delta_{\ell, \kappa} b\) \(\delta_{\ell, \kappa} A \in A^2\).

(i) Abbreviate \(\langle x \rangle_{\mathbf{p}^{m_i}}\) to \(\langle x \rangle_{\mathbf{p}}\). Suppose \((\varphi_X^\ast(\alpha_{\mathbf{c}_i^0, \mathbf{0}}) = \alpha_{\mathbf{w}^1, \mathbf{w}^2} = \delta \mu\). Clearly, \(\mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{w}^1, \mathbf{w}^2} = 0\), implying \(\mathbf{b}^* = \mathbf{0}\). For all \(s, t\), the following holds in \(A\):

\[
\sum_{\ell=1}^n \left[ \frac{s \tau + t \tau}{\mathbf{p}^{m_i}} \right] a_{\ell}^s + \mu(\mathbf{g}^{s+1}) - \mu(\mathbf{g}^t) = \left( \varphi_X^\ast(\alpha_{\mathbf{c}_i^0, \mathbf{0}}) (\mathbf{g}^t, \mathbf{g}^t) \right)
\]

Setting \(s_{\ell} = s \delta_{\ell, j}\) and \(t_{\ell} = \delta_{\ell, j}\), we obtain

\[
\left[ \frac{s + 1}{\mathbf{p}^{m_i}} \right] a_{j}^s + \mu(\mathbf{g}^{s+1}) - \mu(\mathbf{g}_j) = \left[ \frac{\langle x_{ij} \rangle + \langle x_{ij} \rangle}{\mathbf{p}^{m_i}} \right] a_{j}^s.
\]

Consequently,

\[
0 = \sum_{s=0}^{p^{m_i} - 1} \left( \mu(g_j^s+1) - \mu(g_j^s) \right)
\]

\[
= p^{m_i} \mu(g_j) + \left( \sum_{s=0}^{p^{m_i} - 1} \left[ \frac{\langle x_{ij} \rangle + \langle x_{ij} \rangle}{\mathbf{p}^{m_i}} \right] a_{j}^s \right) - \left( \sum_{s=0}^{p^{m_i} - 1} \left[ \frac{s + 1}{\mathbf{p}^{m_i}} \right] a_{j}^s \right)
\]

\[
= p^{m_i} \mu(g_j) + (\langle x_{ij} \rangle - a_{j}^s).
\]

in the last equality, Lemma 2.3 is applied. Hence by Lemma 2.1 we may just take \(a_j^s = x_{ij}\).

To compute \(\mu\), note that by (14), for each \(s_1 \in \{0, \ldots, p^{m_i - 1}\}, \)

\[
\mu(g_1^{s_1}) = s_1 \mu(g_1) + \sum_{u_0}^{s_1 - 1} \left[ \frac{\langle x_{1u} \rangle + \langle x_{1u} \rangle}{\mathbf{p}^{m_i}} \right] a.
\]

In (13), taking \(s_k = s \delta_{k, 2}\) for \(k \geq 2\) and \(t_k = \delta_{k, 2}\) for all \(k\), we obtain

\[
\mu(g_1^{s_1} g_2^{s_1+1}) = \mu(g_1^{s_1} g_2^{s_2}) + \mu(g_2) + \left[ \frac{\langle x_{11} u_1 + x_{12} s_1 + \langle x_{12} \rangle}{\mathbf{p}^{m_i}} \right] a,
\]

which implies

\[
\mu(g_1^{s_1} g_2^{s_2}) = s_1 \mu(g_1) + s_2 \mu(g_2) + \sum_{u_1=0}^{s_1 - 1} \left[ \frac{\langle x_{1u1} \rangle + \langle x_{1u1} \rangle}{\mathbf{p}^{m_i}} \right] a
\]

\[
+ \sum_{u_2=0}^{s_2 - 1} \left[ \frac{\langle x_{12} u_2 + x_{11} s_1 + \langle x_{12} \rangle}{\mathbf{p}^{m_i}} \right] a.
\]

In this way, finally we can deduce

\[
\mu(g^s) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j \mu(g_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{j-1} \sum_{u_j=0}^{s_{j-1} - 1} \left[ \frac{\langle x_{1j} u_j + x_{1j} u_j + \langle x_{1j} \rangle}{\mathbf{p}^{m_i}} \right] a.
\]
(ii) Suppose \((\varphi_\lambda^*),(\alpha_\lambda,\varepsilon_\lambda)\) = \(\alpha_{\lambda'}\varepsilon' - \delta\mu\). Then for all \(s, t, m\),

\[
\mu(g^{s+1}) - \mu(g^s) - \mu(g^t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{s_i + f_i}{p_m} \right] a_i' - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} t_{\lambda} s_\lambda b_{\lambda}' - (x_u t)(x_v s)b. \tag{15}
\]

Interchanging \(s\) with \(t\), we obtain

\[
\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \begin{vmatrix} s_\lambda & t_\lambda \\ t_\lambda & s_\lambda \end{vmatrix} b_\lambda' = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \begin{vmatrix} x_u t & x_v s \\ x_v s & x_u t \end{vmatrix} b_\lambda' - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \begin{vmatrix} s_\lambda & s_\lambda \\ t_\lambda & t_\lambda \end{vmatrix} b.
\]

Hence

\[
b_\lambda' = \begin{vmatrix} x_u t & x_v s \\ x_v s & x_u t \end{vmatrix} b \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \Lambda.
\]

In \((15)\), taking \(s_j = s \delta_{j,t}\) and \(t_j = \delta_{j,t}\), we obtain

\[
\mu(g^{s+1}) - \mu(g^s) = \mu(g_t) + \left[ \frac{s + 1}{p_m} \right] a_t' + s x_u t x_v s b.
\]

Consequently,

\[
0 = \sum_{s=0}^{p^m-1} (\mu(g^{s+1}) - \mu(g^s)) = p^m \sum_{s=0}^{p^m-1} \left[ \frac{s + 1}{p_m} \right] a_t' + \left( \frac{p^m}{2} \right) x_u t x_v s b
\]

\[
= p^m \mu(g_t) + a_t' + \delta_{2,p} 2^{m-1} x_u t x_v s b = p^m \mu(g_t) + a_t' + \theta_{sc} b;
\]

the last equality is obtained as follows: now that \(b \in \ker(m_\lambda)\), if \(p = 2\) and \(2^{m-1} x_u t x_v s b \neq 0\), then, denoting the order of \(b\) by \(o(b)\),

\[
m_\lambda \geq \|o(b)\| \geq m_t + \|x_v s x_u t\| \geq m_t + \max\{0, m_\lambda - m_t\} + \max\{0, m_\lambda - m_t\},
\]

which together with \(m_\lambda \geq m_\lambda\) forces \(m_\lambda = m_\lambda = m_t\) and \(2 \uparrow x_u t x_v s b\).

Thus we can take \(a_t' = -\theta_{sc} b = \theta_{ac} b\). Then for each \(s_j \in \{0, \ldots, p^m - 1\},\)

\[
\mu(g^{s_j}) = s_t \mu(g_t) + \left( \frac{s_j}{2} \right) x_u t x_v s b,
\]

and we can show by induction on \(k\) that

\[
\mu(g_1^{s_1} \cdots g_k^{s_k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( s_i \mu(g_i) + \left( \frac{s_i}{2} \right) x_u t x_v s b \right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} s_i s_j x_v s x_u b.
\]

Thus

\[
\mu(g^s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( s_i \mu(g_i) + \left( \frac{s_i}{2} \right) x_u t x_v s b \right) + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} s_\lambda x_v s x_u b.
\]
3 Computing $\text{Aut}(E(\alpha))$

Write elements of $A$ as column vectors. Under the embedding

$$A \hookrightarrow J^r, \quad (w_1, \ldots, w_r)^\sim \mapsto (p^{d_r-d_1}w_1, \ldots, p^{d_r-d_r}w_r)^\sim,$$

the images of $\ker(\overline{m_i})$ is

$$\{(c_1, \ldots, c_r)^\sim: \|c_j\| \geq d_r \text{ or } \|c_j\| = m_i, 1 \leq j \leq r\};$$

this is also the image of the embedding $\coker(\overline{m_i}) \hookrightarrow J^r$ via

$$(w_1, \ldots, w_r)^\sim \mapsto (p^{d_r-\min\{d_1, m_i\}}w_1, \ldots, p^{d_r-\min\{d_r, m_i\}}w_r)^\sim. \quad (17)$$

We can present $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ respectively as (denoted by the same notations)

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_{ui}) \in \mathcal{M}_r^2(J), \quad \mathbf{b} = (b_{ui,\lambda}) \in \mathcal{M}_r^2(J),$$

with $\|a_{ui}\| \geq d_r - \min\{m_i, d_u\}$, and $\|b_{ui,\lambda}\| \geq d_r - \min\{m_i, d_u\}$.

For $y = (y_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}_r(J)$ with $\|y_{ij}\| \geq d_i - d_j$, let $\psi_y \in \text{End}(A)$ be the left multiplication by $y$. Clearly each $\psi \in \text{End}(A)$ is of this form.

Write $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b} \mathbf{w}$ and $\mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{b}' \mathbf{w}'$, for some $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}' \in \mathcal{M}_r^2(J)$. Let $\mathfrak{N} = \{a \in J^A: \mathbf{b}a = 0\}$ and $\mathfrak{N}' = \{a \in J^A: \mathbf{b}'a = 0\}$.

Lemma 3.1. Given $x \in \mathcal{M}_r(J)$, there exists $y \in \mathcal{M}_r(J)$ such that $\varphi_x^*([\alpha_{\mathbf{a'}, \mathbf{b}'}]) = [\alpha_{\varphi_x(a), \varphi_x(b)}]$ if and only if $x^2 \mathfrak{N} \leq \mathfrak{N}'$ and $\langle \mathbf{w}'x + \theta_x - x^2\mathbf{w} \rangle \leq \mathfrak{N}'$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.4, $\varphi_x^*([\alpha_{\mathbf{a'}, \mathbf{b}'}]) = [\alpha_{\varphi_x(a), \varphi_x(b)}]$ is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{a}'x + \mathbf{b}'\theta_x = \mathbf{y}a \quad (18)$$

$$\mathbf{b}'x^2 = \mathbf{y}b. \quad (19)$$

The condition (13) implies $x^2 \mathfrak{N} \leq \mathfrak{N}'$. Then (13) implies $\mathbf{b}'(\mathbf{w}'x + \theta_x - x^2\mathbf{w}) = 0$, i.e. $(\mathbf{w}'x + \theta_x - x^2\mathbf{w}) \leq \mathfrak{N}'$.

Conversely, suppose $x^2 \mathfrak{N} \leq \mathfrak{N}'$ and $(\mathbf{w}'x + \theta_x - x^2\mathbf{w}) \leq \mathfrak{N}'$. We can unambiguously define $\psi \in \text{End}(A)$ by $\psi(\mathbf{b}a) = \mathbf{b}'x^2a$, so there exists $y$ such that $y\mathbf{b}a = \mathbf{b}'x^2a$ for all $a \in J^A$. In particular, (13) is fulfilled. Then (13) follows as

$$y\mathbf{a} = y\mathbf{b} \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{b}'(\mathbf{w}'x + \theta_x) = \mathbf{a}'x + \mathbf{b}'\theta_x.$$

\[\square\]

Corollary 3.2. An automorphism $\varphi_x \in \text{Aut}(G)$ can be lifted to an isomorphism $E(\alpha_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}) \rightarrow E(\alpha'_{\mathbf{a'}, \mathbf{b}'})$ if and only if $x^2 \mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}'$ and $\langle \mathbf{w}'x + \theta_x - x^2\mathbf{w} \rangle \leq \mathfrak{N}'$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the set of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_G$ such that $X^4 \mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}$ and $\langle \mathbf{w}\mathbf{x} + \theta_x - x^2\mathbf{w} \rangle \leq \mathfrak{N}$. Let $\mathfrak{K} = \{\varphi_x: \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{A}\}$, and define $\Psi: \text{Aut}(E(\alpha)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}$ by sending $\phi$ to its induced automorphism $\overline{\phi}$ on $G$.

For each $\beta \in \text{hom}(G, A \times p^{d}G)$, let $\Theta(\beta) = \text{id} + \phi_\beta$, where $\phi_\beta$ is the composite

$$E(\alpha) \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\beta} A \times p^{d}G \rightarrow E(\alpha).$$

One can verify that $\Phi(\beta + \beta') = \Phi(\beta) \circ \Phi(\beta')$.

With these preparations, the following is obvious; however, we state it formally, without a proof.
Theorem 3.3. There is an extension

\[ 1 \to \text{hom}(G, A \times p^rG) \xrightarrow{\Theta} \text{Aut}(E(\alpha)) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathfrak{A} \to 1. \]

Remark 3.4. If there exists \( y \) and \( x \) such that \( a' = yax^{-1} \) is simpler, then \( E(\alpha_{a,b}) \cong E(\alpha_{a',b'}) \), with \( b' = yb(x^2)^{-1} \), so we can work with \( E(\alpha_{a,b}) \) when studying automorphism groups.

Sometimes we can do similar transformations to \( b \), namely, to take certain \( y \) and \( x \) so that \( b' = yb(x^2)^{-1} \) is simpler, then \( E(\alpha_{a,b}) \cong E(\alpha_{a',b'}) \).

Example 3.5. Suppose \( d_r = m_n = 1 \), i.e. \( G = \mathbb{Z}_p^n, A = \mathbb{Z}_p^r \). There exist \( y \in GL(r, J) \) and \( x \in GL(n, J) \) such that \( yax^{-1} \) is in Smith normal form. We may just assume \( a \) to be this form, so there exists \( i_0 \) with \( 0 \leq i_0 \leq \min\{r, n\} \) such that \( a_{ij} = \delta_{i_0,j} \).

In practical computations, we can write \( b = \mathbf{p} \mathbf{b} \), with

\[ \mathbf{p} = (p_{uv}) \in \mathcal{M}_r(J), \quad p_{uv} = \delta_{u,v}p_{d_r-d_j}, \]

\[ \mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2) \in \mathcal{M}_n^J(J), \quad ||\mathbf{b}_u|| \geq \max\{d_u - m_{\lambda'}, 0\}. \]

The condition \( (\mathbf{b}) = A \) allows us to take some permutation matrix \( \omega \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) such that \( \mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2) | \omega \), with \( \mathbf{b}_1 \) invertible.

Write \( \omega a = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_1 & \mathbf{b}_2 \end{bmatrix} \), then \( b\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a} \) is equivalent to \( \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{b}_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \mathbf{b}_2 \mathbf{b}_2) = \mathbf{a} \); put

\[ a_{ij} = \omega^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} b_{ij} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{with} \quad b_{ij} = \mathbf{b}_1^{-1}(p^{d_r} e_{ij} - \mathbf{b}_2 e_{j,i}^{n-r}). \]

Let

\[ r_0 = \begin{cases} 1, & d_1 = d_r, \\ \max\{k: 1 \leq k < r, \ d_k < d_r\}, & d_1 < d_r. \end{cases} \]

Then \( \Xi = \{a_{ij}: 1 \leq i \leq r_0, 1 \leq j \leq n - r \} \) is a minimal generating set for \( \mathfrak{N} \), so \( x^\mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N} \) is equivalent to \( b x^{\mathfrak{N}} = \mathfrak{a} \) for each \( \mathfrak{a} \in \Xi \).

Example 3.6. Suppose \( A = J(d) \) and \( G = J(m_1, m_2) \), with \( d \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \). Up to isomorphism, we may assume \( b = 1 \), so that \( a_i = w_i, i = 1, 2 \).

Then \( x^\mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N} \) holds automatically, and \( b(x^2 w - w x) = 0 \) becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
(x_{11} x_{22} - x_{21} x_{12}) w_1 &= x_{11} w_1 + x_{21} w_2, \\
(x_{11} x_{22} - x_{21} x_{12}) w_2 &= x_{12} w_1 + x_{22} w_2
\end{align*}
\]

in \( J \). (20)

In particular, \( \mathfrak{A} = \text{Aut}(G) \) if \( a = 0 \).

As a special case, if \( m_1 = m_2 = d \) and \( (a, b) = (0, 1) \), then \( E(\alpha_{a,b}) \) is isomorphic to the the Heisenberg group over \( J \),

\[ \mathcal{H}(J) = \left\{ h_{x,y,z} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z \in J \right\}; \]

an isomorphism \( \mathcal{H}(J) \cong E(\alpha_{a,b}) \) can be given by \( h_{x,y,z} \mapsto (z, g_x^{y \cdot x^{-1}}) \). Thus there is an extension

\[ 1 \to J^2 \to \text{Aut}(\mathcal{H}(J)) \to \text{GL}(2, J) \to 1. \]
Let us consider the “generic” situation when \( w_1, w_2 \) are both invertible. Then (20) turns out to be equivalent to

\[
x_{12} = w_1^{-1} w_2 (x_{11} - 1), \quad x_{21} = w_1 w_2^{-1} (x_{22} - 1),
\]

meaning that \( x \) is determined by \( x_{11}, x_{22} \); remember \( \|x_{21}\| \geq m_2 - m_1 \), so that \( \|x_{22} - 1\| \geq m_2 - m_1 \). Thus

\[
A = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ w_1 w_2^{-1} (x_{22} - 1) & x_{22} \end{array} \right) : \|x_{22} - 1\| \geq m_2 - m_1 \right\}.
\]

Example 3.7. Suppose \( A = J(d_1, d_2) \) and \( G = J(m, m, m) \). Numerate the elements of \( \Lambda \) as \((2, 3), (1, 3), (1, 2)\).

For \( x \in X_G = \text{GL}(3, J')\),

\[
x'^t = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} x_{12} x_{33} - x_{13} x_{32} & x_{21} x_{33} - x_{23} x_{31} & x_{21} x_{32} - x_{22} x_{31} \\ x_{12} x_{33} - x_{13} x_{32} & x_{11} x_{33} - x_{13} x_{31} & x_{11} x_{32} - x_{12} x_{31} \\ x_{12} x_{23} - x_{13} x_{21} & x_{11} x_{23} - x_{13} x_{21} & x_{11} x_{22} - x_{12} x_{21} \end{array} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\det(x)} \cdot t(x'^{-1})^t, \quad \text{with} \quad t = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right).
\]

We can take \( x \) such that \( \det(x) = 1 \) and the first two columns coincide with those of \( t[b^{-1} | 0 | t] \), then \( bx'^t = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \). As said in Remark 3.4, we may just assume \( b = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) \) at the beginning. So \( \mathfrak{M} = \langle (p^{d_1}, 0, 0)^-, (0, 0, 1)^- \rangle \).

A few computations show that \( x \in A \) if and only if

\[
\begin{align*}
\|x_{12} x_{33} - x_{13} x_{32}\| & \geq d_2 - d_1, \\
\|x_{21} x_{33} - x_{23} x_{31}\| & \geq d_1, \\
\|x_{11} x_{33} - x_{13} x_{31}\| & \geq d_2, \\
\|(wx - x'^t w)_{ij}\| & \geq d_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.
\end{align*}
\]
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