THE $L_\infty$-DEFORMATIONS OF ASSOCIATIVE ROTA-BAXTER ALGEBRAS AND HOMOTOPY ROTA-BAXTER OPERATORS

APURBA DAS AND SATYENDRA KUMAR MISHRA

Abstract. A relative Rota-Baxter algebra is a triple $(A, M, T)$ consisting of an algebra $A$, an $A$-bimodule $M$, and a relative Rota-Baxter operator $T$. Using Voronov’s derived bracket and a recent work of Lazarev et al., we construct an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements are precisely relative Rota-Baxter algebras. By a standard twisting, we define a new $L_\infty[1]$-algebra that controls Maurer-Cartan deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra $(A, M, T)$. This $L_\infty[1]$-algebra is an extension of the graded Lie algebra controlling deformations of the AssBimod pair $(A, M)$ by the graded Lie algebra controlling deformations of the relative Rota-Baxter operator $T$. We introduce the cohomology of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra $(A, M, T)$ and study infinitesimal deformations in terms of this cohomology (in low dimensions). Finally, we define homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators and find their relationship with homotopy dendriform and homotopy pre-Lie algebras.
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1. Introduction

Rota-Baxter operators are algebraic abstraction of integral operators. They first appeared in the fluctuation theory in probability [4]. Subsequently, Rota [25] and Cartier [5] studied these operators from combinatorial aspects. More precisely, a Rota-Baxter operator on an associative algebra $A$ is a linear map $R : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying

$$R(a)R(b) = R(R(a)b + aR(b)),$$

for $a, b \in A$.

In the last twenty years, several important applications of Rota-Baxter operators were found in the algebraic approach of the renormalization in quantum field theory [6], splitting of algebras [2], Yang-Baxter solutions [1, 2], quasi-symmetric functions [33], and double Lie algebras [15]. See [16] for more details about Rota-Baxter
operators. As a variation of a noncommutative Poisson structure, Uchino [29] defined a generalization of a Rota-Baxter operator in the presence of a bimodule over the algebra. Such operators are called generalized Rota-Baxter operators or relative Rota-Baxter operators (also called $O$-operators). In this paper, we stick with the term relative Rota-Baxter operator.

Rota-Baxter operators and its relative version were also defined on Lie algebras by Kupershmidt [18] as an operator analog of classical $r$-matrices. In [21], the authors construct an $L_{\infty}$-algebra which controls the deformation of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. Subsequently, they find the connection between this $L_{\infty}$-algebra and the graded Lie algebra obtained in [28] that governs the deformation of the relative Rota-Baxter operator.

Our aim in this paper is to follow the approach of [21] to study deformations of relative Rota-Baxter (associative) algebras via $L_{\infty}$-algebras. During the course, we also study deformations of an AssBimod-pair $(A, M)$ consisting of an associative algebra $A$ and an $A$-bimodule $M$. We construct a graded Lie algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements are given by AssBimod-pairs. This characterization allows us to construct a differential graded Lie algebra controlling deformations of an AssBimod-pair.

Next, using the higher derived brackets of Voronov [31,32], we construct an $L_{\infty}$-algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements are given by relative Rota-Baxter algebras. With this characterization and the well-known construction [14] of an $L_{\infty}$-algebra twisted by a Maurer-Cartan element, we construct a new $L_{\infty}$-algebra that controls Maurer-Cartan deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. This new $L_{\infty}$-algebra also allows us to define the cohomology of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. Finally, we obtain a long exact sequence connecting the cohomology of the relative Rota-Baxter algebra $(A, M, T)$, cohomology of the underlying AssBimod-pair $(A, M)$ and the cohomology of the relative Rota-Baxter operator $T$ introduced in [9].

The formal deformation theory of algebraic structures was first developed for associative algebras in the classical work of Gerstenhaber [13] and subsequently extended to Lie algebras by Nijenhuis and Richardson [24]. In [3], Balaovine extended the formal deformation theory to algebras over binary quadratic operads. The more general notion of $R$-deformations over a local Artinian ring (or a complete local ring) $R$ has been described in [10,11,17]. We apply this more general deformation theory to relative Rota-Baxter algebras. We give special attention to infinitesimal deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra and show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between equivalent infinitesimal deformations and elements in the second cohomology space of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra.

In the sequel, we define homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators on bimodules over $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebras. More precisely, given an $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra and a bimodule over it, we construct an $L_{\infty}[1]$-algebra using Voronov’s construction. This $L_{\infty}[1]$-algebra is a graded version of a graded Lie algebra constructed in [9]. We define a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator $T$ as a Maurer-Cartan element in the above $L_{\infty}[1]$-algebra. We also explicitly describe the identities satisfied by the components of $T$. This notion generalizes the strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator defined in [8]. It is known that a strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator induces a Dend$_{\infty}[1]$-algebra structure. In [21], the authors define homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators on modules over $L_{\infty}[1]$-algebras. We show that our definition is suitable compatible with that of [21].

Finally, we construct a pre-Lie$_{\infty}[1]$-algebra associated to a Dend$_{\infty}[1]$-algebra, which generalizes the non-homotopic case [2]. We also extend various relationships among dendriform algebras, pre-Lie algebras, associative algebras, Lie algebras, and relative Rota-Baxter algebras to the homotopy context.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basics on relative Rota-Baxter algebras and Voronov’ derived bracket construction of $L_{\infty}$-algebras. Maurer-Cartan characterization of AssBimod-pairs and their cohomology are studied in Section 3. In the next Section (Section 4), we construct the $L_{\infty}$-algebra that controls Maurer-Cartan deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. Using this, we also define the cohomology of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. Deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra are considered in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6, we introduce homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators and discuss their relationship with homotopy dendriform and homotopy pre-Lie algebras.
All (graded) vector spaces, linear maps and tensor products are over a field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic 0. We denote set of all permutations on $k$ elements by $S_k$. A permutation $\sigma \in S_k$ is called an $(i, k - i)$-shuffle if $\sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(i)$ and $\sigma(i + 1) < \cdots < \sigma(k)$. The set of all $(i, k - i)$-shuffles in $S_k$ is denoted by $S_{(i,k-i)}$. For any permutation $\sigma \in S_k$ and homogeneous elements $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ in a graded vector space $V$, the Koszul sign $\epsilon(\sigma) = \epsilon(\sigma; v_1, \ldots, v_k)$ is given by

$$v_{\sigma(1)} \circ \cdots \circ v_{\sigma(k)} = \epsilon(\sigma) v_1 \circ \cdots \circ v_k,$$

where $\circ$ denotes the product in the reduced symmetric algebra $\overline{S}(V)$ of $V$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we first recall the graded Lie algebra from [9], whose Maurer-Cartan elements are relative Rota-Baxter operators. Then, we recall the notion of $V$-data and induced $L_\infty$-algebras via higher derived brackets [31].

2.1. Relative Rota-Baxter operators. Let $A = (A, \mu)$ be an associative algebra. We denote the multiplication $\mu: A \otimes A \to A$ simply by $\mu(a, b) = ab$ for $a, b \in A$. An $A$-bimodule is a vector space $M$ together with two bilinear maps $l: A \otimes M \to M$, $(a, m) \mapsto am$ and $r: M \otimes A \to M$, $(m, a) \mapsto ma$ (called left and right $A$-actions, respectively) satisfying the following identities

$$(ab)m = a(bm), \quad (ma)b = m(ab), \quad \text{and} \quad (am)b = a(mb), \quad \text{for all} \quad a, b \in A, \quad m \in M.$$

The associative algebra $A$ itself is an $A$-bimodule, where algebra multiplication in $A$ gives the left and right actions on itself. This $A$-bimodule structure on $A$ is called the adjoint $A$-bimodule.

For any vector space $A$, consider the graded vector space $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n+1}, A)$ of multilinear maps. There is a graded Lie bracket, called the Gerstenhaber bracket [13] on $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n+1}, A)$ given by

$$[f, g] := f \circ g - (-1)^{mn} g \circ f, \quad \text{where}$$

$$(f \circ g)(a_1, \ldots, a_{m+n+1}) := \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} (-1)^{(i-1)n} f(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, g(a_i, \ldots, a_{i+n}), a_{i+n+1}, \ldots, a_{m+n+1}),$$

for $f \in \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes m+1}, A)$ and $g \in \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n+1}, A)$. Note that $(A, \mu)$ is an associative algebra if and only if $\mu \in \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes 2}, A)$ is a Maurer-Cartan element in the above graded Lie algebra. The coboundary operator

$$\delta_{\text{Hoch}}(f) = (-1)^{n-1}[\mu, f], \quad \text{for all} \quad f \in \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A),$$

induced by the Maurer-Cartan element $\mu$ is called the Hochschild coboundary operator. The cohomology of the Hochschild cochain complex $(C_{\text{Hoch}}(A) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A), \delta_{\text{Hoch}})$ is called the Hochschild cohomology and it is denoted by $H_{\text{Hoch}}^*(A)$.

2.1. Definition ([29]). Let $A$ be an associative algebra and $M$ be an $A$-bimodule. A linear map $T: M \to A$ is said to be a relative Rota-Baxter operator on $M$ over the algebra $A$ if $T$ satisfies

$$T(m)T(n) = T(T(m)n + mT(n)), \quad \text{for all} \quad m, n \in M.$$

It follows from the Definition 2.1 that a Rota-Baxter operator on $A$ is a relative Rota-Baxter operator on the adjoint $A$-bimodule. A relative Rota-Baxter associative algebra is a triple $(A, M, T)$ consisting of an associative algebra $A$, an $A$-bimodule $M$, and a relative Rota-Baxter operator $T: M \to A$. A Rota-Baxter algebra is a relative Rota-Baxter algebra with respect to the adjoint $A$-bimodule.

Let $(A, M, T)$ be a relative Rota-Baxter algebra, where the associative product in $A$ is given by $\mu$, and the $A$-bimodule actions on $M$ are given by $l$ and $r$. If we need to emphasize the underlying structure maps, we alternatively use the notation $((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)$ to denote the relative Rota-Baxter algebra $(A, M, T)$ with structure maps.

2.2. Definition. Let $(A, M, T)$ and $(A', M', T')$ be two relative Rota-Baxter algebras. A homomorphism of relative Rota-Baxter algebras from $(A, M, T)$ to $(A', M', T')$ is a pair $(\varphi, \psi)$ of an algebra homomorphism
More precisely, an explicit description of the differential cohomology of $\phi, \psi$

The morphism $(\phi, \psi)$ is called an isomorphism if $\phi$ and $\psi$ are linear isomorphisms.

Let $A$ be an associative algebra and $M$ be an $A$-bimodule. Let $\mu : A \otimes A \to A$ be the associative product in $A$, and the linear maps $l : A \otimes M \to M$, $r : M \otimes A \to M$ be left and right $A$-actions on $M$. Then, the sum $\mu + l + r \in \text{Hom}(A \oplus M)^{\otimes 2}, A \oplus M$.

Let us consider a graded vector space $\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(M^{\otimes n}, A)$ with the bracket

$$[P, Q] = (-1)^{m-1}[[\mu + l + r, P], Q], \text{ for } P \in \text{Hom}(M^{\otimes m}, A) \text{ and } Q \in \text{Hom}(M^{\otimes n}, A).$$

Here, the bracket $[\ , \ ]$ on the right hand side is the Gerstenhaber bracket on the graded vector space $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}((A \otimes M)^{\otimes n+1}, A \oplus M)$ (see [9] for more details). With the above notations, the main result of [9] can be stated as follows.

2.3. Theorem. Let $A$ be an associative algebra and $M$ be an $A$-bimodule. Then,

(i) The graded vector space $\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(M^{\otimes n}, A)$ with the bracket $[\ , \ ]$ is a graded Lie algebra. A linear map $T : M \to A$ is a relative Rota-Baxter operator on $M$ over the algebra $A$ if and only if $T \in \text{Hom}(A, M)$ is a Maurer-Cartan element in the graded Lie algebra $(\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(M^{\otimes n}, A), [\ , \ ])$. Consequently, a relative Rota-Baxter operator $T$ induces a differential $d_T := [T, \ ]$, which makes the graded Lie algebra $(\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(M^{\otimes n}, A), [\ , \ ]) \to \text{graded Lie algebra with the differential } d_T$.

(ii) For a relative Rota-Baxter operator $T : M \to A$ and a linear map $T^\prime : M \to A$, the sum $T + T^\prime$ is also a relative Rota-Baxter operator if and only if $T^\prime$ is a Maurer-Cartan element in the differential graded Lie algebra $(\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(M^{\otimes n}, A), d_T, [\ , \ ])$. $\blacksquare$

More precisely, an explicit description of the differential $d_T = [T, \ ]$ is given by

$$d_T P(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{n+1}) = T(P(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n)m_{n+1}) - (-1)^n T(m_1 P(m_2, \ldots, m_{n+1}))$$

$$- (-1)^n \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(m_1, \ldots, m_{i-1}, T(m_i)m_{i+1}, m_{i+2}, \ldots, m_{n+1}) \right\}$$

$$- \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(m_1, \ldots, m_{i-1}, T(m_i), m_{i+2}, \ldots, m_{n+1}) \right\}$$

$$+ (-1)^n T(m_1) P(m_2, \ldots, m_{n+1}) - P(m_1, \ldots, m_n) T(m_{n+1}),$$

for all $P \in \text{Hom}(M^{\otimes n}, A)$ and $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{n+1} \in M$.

Let us define a cochain complex $(C^\bullet(T), d_T)$ with the graded vector space $C^\bullet(T) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} C^n(T)$, where $C^0(T) = C^1(T) := 0$ and $C^n(T) := \text{Hom}(M^{\otimes n}, A)$, for $n \geq 2$. The associated cohomology is called the cohomology of $T$ and denoted by $H^\bullet(T)$.

2.2. $L_\infty$-algebras and Voronov's construction. In this subsection, we give necessary background on $L_\infty$-algebras and their construction form a V-data [31].

The notion of $L_\infty$-algebras was introduced by Lada and Stasheff [20] as a homotopy analogy of (graded) Lie algebras. Throughout the paper, we use a 'shifted' version of $L_\infty$-algebras (called $L_\infty[1]$-algebras) in which all multilinear maps are graded symmetric and have degree 1.

2.4. Definition. An $L_\infty[1]$-algebra is a graded vector space $W = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} W_i$ together with a collection of degree 1 multilinear maps $\{ l_k : W^{\otimes k} \to W \}_{k \geq 1}$ satisfying

(i) graded symmetry: for $k \geq 1$,

$$l_k(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(k)}) = \epsilon(\sigma) l_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k), \text{ for any } \sigma \in S_k,$$

(ii) shifted higher Jacobi identities: for each $n \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{(i,n-i)}} \epsilon(\sigma) l_j(l_i(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(i)}), x_{\sigma(i+1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = 0.$$
Here, \( S_{n-i} \) denotes the set of \((i, n - i)\)-shuffles in the permutation group \( S_n \). For any permutation \( \sigma \in S_k \) and \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k \in V \), \( \epsilon(\sigma) := \epsilon(\sigma; x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k) \) denotes the Koszul sign.

2.5. **Remark.** The equivalence between \( L_\infty \)-algebras and \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebras is given by a degree shift. More precisely, an \( L_\infty \)-algebra structure (in the sense of [26]) on a graded vector space \( V = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_i \) is equivalent to an \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra structure on the graded vector space \( W := V[1] \), where \( W_i = (V[1])_i = V_{i+1} \). The correspondence between multi-brackets of these two structures is given by the décalage isomorphisms

\[
V^\otimes n[v] \cong (V[1])^\otimes n, \quad v_1 \ldots v_n \mapsto (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i \cdot v_{i+1}} v_1 \ldots v_n,
\]

where \( v_i \) denotes the degree of homogeneous element \( v_i \) in the graded vector space \( V \).

The notion of filtered \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebras was introduced by Getzler [14], which ensures the convergence of certain infinite sums. For the results in this paper, we only need the following weaker notion [21].

2.6. **Definition ([21]).** A weakly filtered \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra is a triple \((W, \{l_k\}_{k \geq 1}, \mathcal{F}_* W)\), where \((W, \{l_k\}_{k \geq 1})\) is an \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra and \( \mathcal{F}_* W \) is a descending filtration of \( W \) such that \( W = \mathcal{F}_1 W \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{F}_n W \supset \cdots \) and the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) there exists \( n \geq 1 \) such that \( l_k(W, \ldots, W) \subset \mathcal{F}_k W \) for all \( k \geq n \)

(ii) \( W \) is complete with respect to this filtration. Thus, there is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces

\[
W \cong \lim_{\to} W/\mathcal{F}_n W.
\]

2.7. **Definition.** Let \((W, \{l_k\}_{k \geq 1}, \mathcal{F}_* W)\) be a weakly filtered \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra. An element \( \alpha \in W^0 \) is called a Maurer-Cartan element if \( \alpha \) satisfies

\[
\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{k!} l_k(\alpha, \ldots, \alpha) = 0.
\]

The set of all Maurer-Cartan elements in \( W \) is denoted by \( \text{MC}(W) \).

It is known that a filtered \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra can be twisted by a Maurer-Cartan element [14]. Similarly, for weakly filtered \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra, we have the following result from [21].

2.8. **Theorem ([21]).** Let \((W, \{l_k\}_{k \geq 1}, \mathcal{F}_* W)\) be a weakly filtered \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra and \( \alpha \in W^0 \) be a Maurer-Cartan element. Then, \((W, \{l^n_k\}_{k \geq 1}, \mathcal{F}_* W)\) is a weakly filtered \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra, where

\[
l^n_k(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} l_{k+n}(\alpha, \ldots, \alpha, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k).
\]

Next, let us recall the construction of an \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra from a \( V \)-data, which is given by Voronov’s higher derived brackets [31].

2.9. **Definition.** A \( V \)-data is a quadruple \((L, a, P, \Delta)\), where \( L \) is a graded Lie algebra (with graded Lie bracket [ , ]), \( a \subset L \) is an abelian graded Lie subalgebra, \( P : L \to a \) is a projection map whose kernel is a graded Lie subalgebra of \( L \), and \( \Delta \in \text{Ker}(P) \) satisfying \([\Delta, \Delta] = 0\).

For a \( V \)-data \((L, a, P, \Delta)\), the following theorem yields an \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra structure on \( a \).

2.10. **Theorem ([31]).** Let \((L, a, P, \Delta)\) be a \( V \)-data. Then, the graded vector space \( a \subset L \) together with the operations

\[
l_k(a_1, \ldots, a_k) := P[\cdots[[\Delta, a_1], a_2], \ldots, a_k], \quad \text{for } k \geq 1
\]

is an \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra.

In fact, there is a larger \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra in which the \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra \( a \), defined by Theorem 2.10 is an \( L_\infty[1] \)-subalgebra.
2.11. **Theorem.** Let \((L, a, P, \Delta)\) be a \(V\)-data. Then, the graded vector space \(L[1] \oplus a\) is an \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebra with multilinear operations

\[
\begin{align*}
l_1(x[1], a) &= (-[\Delta, x][1], P(x + [\Delta, a])), \\
l_2(x[1], y[1]) &= (-1)^{|x||y|}[x, y][1], \\
l_k(x[1], a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}) &= P([\cdots[[x, a_1], a_2], \ldots, a_{k-1}]], \quad \text{for } k \geq 2, \\
l_k(a_1, \ldots, a_k) &= P([\cdots[[\Delta, a_1], a_2], \ldots, a_k]], \quad \text{for } k \geq 2.
\end{align*}
\]

Here, \(x, y\) are homogeneous elements in \(L\) and \(a, a_1, \ldots, a_k\) are homogeneous elements in \(a\). Up to the permutations of the above entries, all other multilinear operations vanish.

2.12. **Remark.** Let \((L, a, P, \Delta)\) be a \(V\)-data. If \(L'\) is a graded Lie subalgebra of \(L\) such that \([\Delta, L'] \subset L'\), then \(L'[1] \oplus a\) is an \(L_\infty[1]\)-subalgebra of the \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebra \(L[1] \oplus a\) (see [12] for more details).

3. **COHOMOLOGY OF AN \textit{AssBimod-pair}**

Let \(A\) be an associative algebra and \(M\) be an \(A\)-bimodule. Then, we call the pair \((A, M)\) an \textit{AssBimod-pair}. The aim of this section is to find a graded Lie algebra associated to the vector spaces \(Maurer-Cartan\) elements in the graded Lie algebra are in bijective correspondence with the \textit{AssBimod-pair} structures on the pair \((A, M)\). Subsequently, we define cohomology and deformations of a \textit{AssBimod-pair}.

First, we recall the following notations form [30]. Let \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) be two vector spaces. For any linear map \(f : A_{i_1} \otimes A_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{i_n} \to A_j\) with \(i_1, \ldots, i_n, j \in \{1, 2\}\), we define a map \(\hat{f} \in \text{Hom}(\big(A_1 \oplus A_2\big)^{\otimes n}, A_1 \oplus A_2)\) by

\[
\hat{f} = \begin{cases} 
  f & \text{on } A_{i_1} \otimes A_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{i_n}, \\
  0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

The map \(\hat{f}\) is called a horizontal lift of \(f\) or simply a lift of \(f\). In particular, the lifts of the linear maps \(\mu : A_1 \otimes A_1 \to A_1\), \(l : A_1 \otimes A_2 \to A_2\), and \(r : A_2 \otimes A_1 \to A_2\) are respectively given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{\mu}((a, u), (b, v)) &= (\mu(a, b), 0), \\
\hat{l}((a, u), (b, v)) &= (0, l(a, v)), \\
\hat{r}((a, u), (b, v)) &= (0, r(a, b)), \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A_1, u, v \in A_2.
\end{align*}
\]

Let \(A^{k,l}\) be the direct sum of all possible \((k + l)\)-tensor powers of \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) in which \(A_1\) (respectively, \(A_2\)) appears \(k\) times (respectively, \(l\) times). For instance,

\[
A^{2,0} := A_1 \otimes A_1, \quad A^{1,1} := (A_1 \otimes A_2) \oplus (A_2 \otimes A_1), \quad A^{0,2} := A_2 \otimes A_2,
\]

and

\[
A^{1,2} := (A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes A_2) \oplus (A_2 \otimes A_1 \otimes A_2) \oplus (A_2 \otimes A_2 \otimes A_1).
\]

Note that there is a vector space isomorphism \((A_1 \otimes A_2)^{\otimes n} \cong \oplus_{k+l=n} A^{k,l}\). Therefore, we have the isomorphism (by the horizontal lift)

\[
\text{Hom}((A_1 \otimes A_2)^{\otimes n+1}, A_1 \otimes A_2) \cong \bigoplus_{k+l=n+1} \text{Hom}(A^{k,l}, A_1) \oplus \bigoplus_{k+l=n+1} \text{Hom}(A^{k,l}, A_2)
\]

We say that a linear map \(f \in \text{Hom}((A_1 \otimes A_2)^{\otimes n}, A_1 \otimes A_2)\) has bidegree \(k|l|\) with \(k + l = n\) if

\[
f(A^{k+l},) \subset A_1, \quad f(A^{k+l},) \subset A_2, \quad \text{and } f \text{ is zero otherwise.}
\]

Let us denote the bidegree of \(f\) by \(||f|| = k|l||. In general, multilinear maps may not have a bidegree. A multilinear map \(f\) is called \textit{homogeneous} if \(f\) has a bidegree. The set of all homogeneous multilinear maps of bidegree \(k|l|\) is denoted by \(C^{k,l}(A_1 \otimes A_2, A_1 \otimes A_2)\). Let us observe that

\[
C^{k,0}(A_1 \otimes A_2, A_1 \otimes A_2) \cong \text{Hom}(A_1^{\otimes k+1}, A_1) \oplus \text{Hom}(A^{k,1}, A_2),
\]

\[
C^{-1,l}(A_1 \otimes A_2, A_1 \otimes A_2) \cong \text{Hom}(A_2^{\otimes l+1}, A_1).
\]

The following result has been proved in [30, Proposition 2.6].
3.1. Proposition. If \( f \in \text{Hom}((A_1 \oplus A_2)^{\otimes m+1}, A_1 \oplus A_2) \) has bidegree \( k_f \) and \( g \in \text{Hom}((A_1 \oplus A_2)^{\otimes n+1}, A_1 \oplus A_2) \) has bidegree \( k_g \), then the Gerstenhaber bracket \([f, g]\) is homogeneous and have the bidegree \((k_f + k_g)\) \((l_f + l_g)\).

As a consequence of the above proposition, the following result holds.

3.2. Proposition. The graded subspace \( \bigoplus_{l \geq 1} C^{-1|l|}(A_1 \oplus A_2, A_1 \oplus A_2) \) is an abelian subalgebra of the graded Lie algebra \( C^{n+1}(A_1 \oplus A_2, A_1 \oplus A_2) \).

Proof. If \( ||f|| = -1|l_f| \) and \( ||g|| = -1|l_g| \), then by Proposition 3.1, we have \([f, g] = 0\). Hence, the result follows. \( \square \)

Also, by a direct application of Proposition 3.1, it follows that

3.3. Proposition. The graded vector space \( \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} C^{k|0|}(A_1 \oplus A_2, A_1 \oplus A_2) \) together with the Gerstenhaber bracket is a graded Lie algebra. \( \square \)

Let \( A \) and \( M \) be two vector spaces. Suppose there are linear maps \( \mu : A \otimes A \to A \), \( l : A \otimes M \to M \), and \( r : M \otimes A \to M \). Then, all these maps \( \mu, l, r \in C^{1|0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M) \), hence the sum

\[ \pi := \mu + l + r \in C^{1|0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M). \]

The next result shows that \( \text{AssBimod} \)-pairs are in bijective correspondence with Maurer-Cartan elements in the graded Lie algebra \( \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} C^{k|0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M) \).

3.4. Proposition. With the above notations, \( \mu \) defines an associative product on \( A \) and \( l \), \( r \) defines an \( A \)-bimodule structure on \( M \) if and only if \( \pi = \mu + l + r \in C^{1|0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M) \) is a Maurer-Cartan element in the graded Lie algebra \( \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} C^{k|0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M) \).

Proof. The proof of the proposition is similar to [9, Proposition 2.11]. \( \square \)

It follows that a \( \text{AssBimod} \)-pair \((A, M)\) induces a differential \( d_{\mu+l+r} := [\mu + l + r, \cdot] \) on the graded vector space \( \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} C^{k|0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M) \), which makes \( \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} C^{k|0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M), d_{\mu+l+r}, [\cdot, \cdot] \) into a differential graded Lie algebra. Moreover, using the standard Maurer-Cartan theory, we can deduce the following theorem.

3.5. Theorem. Let \((A, M)\) be an \( \text{AssBimod} \)-pair and \( \mu + l + r \in C^{1|0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M) \) be the corresponding Maurer-Cartan element. Then, for linear maps \( \mu' : A \otimes A \to A \), \( l' : A \otimes M \to M \), and \( r' : M \otimes A \to M \), the sum \( \mu + \mu' + (l + l') + (r + r') \) corresponds to an \( \text{AssBimod} \)-pair structure on \((A, M)\) if and only if \( \mu' + l' + r' \) is a Maurer-Cartan element in the differential graded Lie algebra \( \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} C^{k|0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M) \), \( d_{\mu+l+r}, [\cdot, \cdot] \). \( \square \)

We now define the cohomology of an \( \text{AssBimod} \)-pair \((A, M)\). Let us define the 0-th cochain group \( C^0(A, M) \) to be 0 and for \( n \geq 1 \), the \( n \)-th cochain group

\[ C^n(A, M) = C^{(n-1)|0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M) = \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A) \oplus \text{Hom}(A^{n-1,1}, M). \]

Here, \( A^{n-1,1} \) is the direct sum of all possible \( n \)-tensor powers of \( A \) and \( M \) in which \( A \) appears \( n - 1 \) times (and thus, \( M \) appears only once). Finally, we define a differential \( \partial : C^n(A, M) \to C^{n+1}(A, M) \), which is induced from the Maurer-Cartan element, i.e.,

\[ \partial f = (-1)^{n-1}[\mu + l + r, f], \text{ for } f \in C^n(A, M). \]

The cohomology of the cochain complex \( (C^*(A, M), \partial) \) is called the cohomology of the \( \text{AssBimod} \)-pair \((A, M)\).

4. \( L_{\infty} \)-algebras associated to relative Rota-Baxter algebras

Let \( A \) and \( M \) be two vector spaces. Then, \( L = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}((A \oplus M)^{\otimes n+1}, A \oplus M), [\cdot, \cdot] \) is a graded Lie algebra where the graded Lie bracket is given by the Gerstenhaber bracket. It has been observed in Proposition 3.2 that the graded subspace

\[ a = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} C^{-1|n+1}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}(M^{\otimes n+1}, A) \]
is an abelian subalgebra of $L$. Let $P : L \to a$ be the projection onto the subspace $a$ and take $\triangle = 0 \in \ker(P)_1$.

It is also easy to see that the kernel of $P$ is a graded Lie subalgebra of $L$. Hence, with all these notations, the quadruple $(L, a, P, \triangle)$ is a $V$-data. Thus, Theorem 2.11 yields the following result.

4.1. Theorem. There is an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra on the graded vector space $L[1] \oplus a$ with structure maps

\[
\begin{align*}
l_1(Q[1], \theta) &= P(Q), \\
l_2(Q[1], Q'[1]) &= (-1)^{|Q|}[Q, Q'][1], \\
l_k(Q[1], \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{k-1}) &= P[\cdots [[Q, \theta_1], \theta_2], \ldots, \theta_{k-1}],
\end{align*}
\]

for homogeneous elements $Q, Q' \in L$ and $\theta, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{k-1} \in a$. \hfill $\square$

4.2. Remark. From Proposition 3.3, $L' = (\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} C^{n,0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M), [ , ])\text{ is a graded Lie subalgebra of the graded Lie algebra } L$. Now, Remark 2.12 implies that there is an $L_\infty$-algebra on the graded vector space $L'[1] \oplus a$, whose structure maps are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
l_2(Q[1], Q'[1]) &= (-1)^{|Q|}[Q, Q'][1], \\
l_k(Q[1], \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{k-1}) &= P[\cdots [[Q, \theta_1], \theta_2], \ldots, \theta_{k-1}],
\end{align*}
\]

for homogeneous elements $Q, Q' \in L'$ and $\theta, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{k-1} \in a$. Furthermore, this $L_\infty$-algebra is weakly filtered with $n = 3$ and the filtration is given by

\[
\mathcal{F}_k(L'[1] \oplus a) = L'_k \oplus a_k \quad \text{for } k \geq 3.
\]

Let $A$ and $M$ be two vector spaces. Suppose there are maps

\[
(5) \quad \mu \in \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes 2}, A), \quad \ell \in \text{Hom}(A \otimes M, M), \quad r \in \text{Hom}(M \otimes A, M) \quad \text{and} \quad T \in \text{Hom}(M, A).
\]

Consider the element $\pi = \mu + \ell + r \in C^{1,0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M) = L'_1$. Then we have $(\pi[1], T) \in (L'[1] \oplus a)_0$.

4.3. Theorem. With the above notations, the triple $(A, \mu, (M, l, r), T)$ is a relative Rota-Baxter algebra if and only if $(\pi[1], T)$ is a Maurer-Cartan element in the $L_\infty$-algebra $(L'[1] \oplus a, \{l_k\}_{k \geq 1})$.

Proof. The triple $(A, \mu, (M, l, r), T)$ is a relative Rota-Baxter algebra if and only if $(A, M)$ is a $\text{AssBimod}$-pair and $T : M \to A$ is a relative Rota-Baxter operator on $M$ over the algebra $A$. Equivalently, by Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 3.4, $(A, \mu, (M, l, r), T)$ is a relative Rota-Baxter algebra if and only if

\[
(6) \quad [\mu + l + r, \mu + l + r] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [T, T] = [[\mu + l + r, T], T] = 0.
\]

If $\pi := \mu + l + r$, then Remark 4.2 implies that

\[
(7) \quad l_2((\pi[1], T), (\pi[1], T)) = -[\pi, \pi][1], \quad l_3((\pi[1], T), (\pi[1], T), (\pi[1], T)) = [[\pi, T], T] = [T, T].
\]

Let us consider the sum

\[
\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{k!} l_k((\pi[1], T), (\pi[1], T), \ldots, (\pi[1], T)) = \frac{1}{2} l_2((\pi[1], T), (\pi[1], T)) + \frac{1}{6} l_3((\pi[1], T), (\pi[1], T), (\pi[1], T))
\]

\[
= (-\frac{1}{2}[\pi, \pi][1], \frac{1}{6}[[\pi, T], T]) = (-\frac{1}{2}[\pi, \pi][1], \frac{1}{6}[T, T])
\]

From equation (6) and equation (7), it is clear that $(A, \mu, (M, l, r), T)$ is a relative Rota-Baxter algebra if and only if

\[
\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{k!} l_k((\pi[1], T), (\pi[1], T), \ldots, (\pi[1], T)) = 0.
\]

Hence, the theorem holds true. \hfill $\square$
The above theorem implies that relative Rota-Baxter algebras can be interpreted as Maurer-Cartan elements in a $L_\infty[1]$-algebra. This allows us to construct a new $L_\infty[1]$-algebra twisted by the Maurer-Cartan element associated with a given relative Rota-Baxter algebra. More precisely, let $((A,\mu), (M,l,r), T)$ be a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. Consider the Maurer-Cartan element $\alpha = (\pi[1],T) \in (L'[1] \oplus a)_0$ in the $L_\infty[1]$-algebra $(L'[1] \oplus a)_0$. By applying Theorem 2.8 to this case, we get the twisted $L_\infty[1]$-algebra which controls deformations of the relative Rota-Baxter algebra.

4.4. **Theorem.** Let $((A,\mu), (M,l,r), T)$ be a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. Then there is a twisted $L_\infty[1]$-algebra $(L'[1] \oplus a, \{ \ell_k^{(\pi[1],T)} \}_{k \geq 1})$. Moreover, for any linear maps $\mu', l', r', T'$ as of (5), the triple

$$(A, \mu + \mu'), (M, l + l', r + r'), T + T'$$

is a relative Rota-Baxter algebra if and only if $(\pi[1], T')$ is a Maurer-Cartan element in the $L_\infty[1]$-algebra $(L'[1] \oplus a, \{ \ell_k^{(\pi[1],T)} \}_{k \geq 1})$, where $\pi' = \mu' + l' + r' \in L'_1$. \qed

Let $A$ be an associative algebra and $M$ be an $A$-bimodule. Suppose $T : M \to A$ is a relative Rota-Baxter operator which makes the triple $(A, M, T)$ a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. We have already seen various graded Lie algebras (hence $L_\infty[1]$-algebras by degree shift) and $L_\infty[1]$-algebras.

- In Proposition 3.3, we find a graded Lie algebra structure on $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} C^n[0](A \oplus M, A \oplus M)$, hence an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra on $(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} C^n[0](A \oplus M, A \oplus M))[1]$.
- In Theorem 2.3, we have a graded Lie algebra structure on $\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(M \otimes_n A)$, hence get an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra.
- Finally, in Theorem 4.4, we obtain an $L_\infty$-algebra $(L'[1] \oplus a, \{ \ell_k^{(\pi[1],T)} \}_{k \geq 1})$.

Similar to the Lie algebra case [21, Theorem 3.16], one can prove the following relationship between these $L_\infty[1]$-algebras.

4.5. **Theorem.** There is a short exact sequence of $L_\infty[1]$-algebras

$$0 \to (\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(M \otimes_n A))[1]$$

$$\to (\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(M \otimes_n A))[1] \to C^n[0](A \oplus M, A \oplus M))[1] \to 0$$

by strict morphisms of $L_\infty[1]$-algebras, where $i(\theta) = (0,\theta)$ and $p(f[1],\theta) = f[1]$. \qed

4.1. **Cohomology of relative Rota-Baxter algebras.** Using the twisted $L_\infty[1]$-algebra constructed in Theorem 4.4, we define the cohomology of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra $(A,M,T)$. This cohomology is related to the cohomology of the relative Rota-Baxter operator $T$ and the cohomology of the AssBimod pair $(A,M)$ by a long exact sequence.

Let $((A,\mu), (M,l,r), T)$ be a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. Consider the twisted $L_\infty[1]$-algebra

$$(L'[1] \oplus a, \{ \ell_k^{(\pi[1],T)} \}_{k \geq 1})$$
as given in Theorem 4.4. Then it follows from the definition of an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra that $\ell_1^{(\pi[1],T)} \circ \ell_1^{(\pi[1],T)} = 0$. In other words, $\ell_1^{(\pi[1],T)}$ is a differential. In the following, we will use this differential to define the cohomology of the relative Rota-Baxter algebra $(A, M, T)$.

Define the space of $0$-cochains $C^0(A, M, T)$ to be $0$, and the space of $1$-cochains $C^1(A, M, T)$ to be $\text{Hom}(A, A) \oplus \text{Hom}(M, M)$. For $n \geq 2$, the space of $n$-cochains $C^n(A, M, T)$ to be defined by

$$C^n(A, M, T) = C^n(A, M) \oplus C^n(T)$$

$$= (\text{Hom}(A \otimes^n A) \oplus \text{Hom}(A^{n-1}, M) \oplus \text{Hom}(M \otimes^{n-1}, A)).$$

To define the coboundary, we observe that if $(f, P) \in C^n(A, M, T)$, then $(f[1], P) \in (L'[1] \oplus a)_{n-2}$. We define the coboundary $D : C^n(A, M, T) \to C^{n+1}(A, M, T)$ by

$$D(f, P) = (-1)^{n-2} \ell_1^{(\pi[1],T)}(f[1], P).$$
Then, it follows that $\mathcal{D}^2 = 0$. The explicit description of $\mathcal{D}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{D}(f, P) = (-1)^{n-2} \left( -[\pi, f], [\pi, T], P \right) + \frac{1}{n!} \left[ \cdots \left[ f, T \right], \ldots, T \right] .
$$

It follows that the coboundary map $\mathcal{D} : C^n(A, M, T) \to C^{n+1}(A, M, T)$ can also be written as

$$
\mathcal{D}(f, P) = (\partial f, (-1)^{n} d_T P + \Omega f),
$$

where $\partial f = [\mu + l + r, f]$ (given by equation (4)), $d_T P = [T, P]$ (given in Theorem 2.3), and $\Omega$ is defined by

$$(\Omega f)(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = (-1)^n \left( f(Ta_1, \ldots, Ta_n) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} T f(Ta_1, \ldots, a_i, \ldots, Ta_n) \right).$$

Therefore, $(C^\bullet(A, M, T), \mathcal{D})$ is a cochain complex. The cohomology of this complex is called the cohomology of the relative Rota-Baxter algebra $(A, M, T)$ and denoted by $H^\bullet(A, M, T)$.

### 4.6. Theorem. Let $(A, M, T)$ be a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. Then there is a long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow H^n(T) \xrightarrow{H^n(\delta)} H^n(A, M, T) \xrightarrow{H^n(\partial)} H^n(A, M) \xrightarrow{\delta^n} H^{n+1}(T) \longrightarrow \cdots,
$$

where the connecting homomorphism $\delta^n$ is given by $\delta^n([\alpha]) = [h_T(\alpha)]$, for $[\alpha] \in H^n(A, M)$.

### 4.2. Cohomology of Rota-Baxter algebras. In this subsection, we focus on Rota-Baxter algebras, i.e. relative Rota-Baxter algebras with respect to the adjoint representation. We deduce cohomology of Rota-Baxter algebras following the cohomology of relative Rota-Baxter algebras modulo certain modifications.

Let $(A, T)$ be a Rota-Baxter algebra. We define the space of 0-cochains $C^0_{RB}(A, T)$ to be 0, and the space of 1-cochains $C^1_{RB}(A, T)$ to be $\text{Hom}(A, A)$. The space of $n$-cochains $C^n_{RB}(A, T)$, for $n \geq 2$, is defined by

$$
C^n_{RB}(A, T) := \text{Hom}(A^\otimes n, A) \oplus \text{Hom}(A^\otimes n-1, A).
$$

We define the coboundary map using the following inclusion map

$$
i : C^n_{RB}(A, T) \to C^n(A, A, T), \quad (f, P) \mapsto (f, f, P).
$$

Here, $C^n(A, A, T)$ is the $n$-th cochain group of the relative Rota-Baxter algebra $(A, A, T)$. Let us write an element $f \in \text{Hom}(A^\otimes n, A) \oplus \text{Hom}(A^\otimes n-1, M)$ as a pair $(f_A, f_M)$ with the components $f_A \in \text{Hom}(A^\otimes n, A)$ and $f_M \in \text{Hom}(A^\otimes n-1, M)$. Then, we can write the differential $\partial : \text{Hom}(A^\otimes n, A) \oplus \text{Hom}(A^\otimes n-1, M) \to \text{Hom}(A^\otimes n+1, A) \oplus \text{Hom}(A^\otimes n, M)$ as follows

$$
\partial(f) = \left( (\partial f)_A, (\partial f)_M \right).
$$

In the case, when $M = A$ is the adjoint $A$-bimodule, it is clear that

$$
(\partial f)_A = (\partial f)_M = (-1)^{n-1}[\mu, f] = \delta_{hoch} f.
$$

Thus, we have the following proposition.

### 4.7. Proposition. The complex $(C^\bullet_{RB}(A, T), \mathcal{D})$ is a subspace of the cochain complex $(C^\bullet(A, A, T), \mathcal{D})$ associated to the relative Rota-Baxter algebra $(A, A, T)$.

The induced coboundary map $\mathcal{D} : C^n_{RB}(A, T) \to C^{n+1}_{RB}(A, T)$ is explicitly given by

$$
\mathcal{D}(f, P) = (\delta_{hoch} f, (-1)^{n} d_T P + \Omega f),
$$

where $\delta_{hoch}$ is the Hochschild coboundary (given by equation (1)), $d_T P = [T, P]$ (given in Theorem 2.3), and $\Omega$ is given by

$$(\Omega f)(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = (-1)^n \left( f(Ta_1, \ldots, Ta_n) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} T f(Ta_1, \ldots, a_i, \ldots, Ta_n) \right).$$

The cohomology of the cochain complex $(C^\bullet_{RB}(A, T), \delta)$ is called the cohomology of the Rota-Baxter algebra $(A, T)$. We denote the cohomology groups by $H^\bullet_{RB}(A, T)$. 

4.8. **Remark.** As a consequence of Theorem 4.6, there is a short exact sequence of cochain complexes

$$\begin{array}{c}
0 \longrightarrow (C^*(T), \delta_T) \xrightarrow{i} (C^*_R(A, T), D) \xrightarrow{p} (C^*_{Hoch}(A), \delta_{Hoch}) \longrightarrow 0,
\end{array}$$

where $i(P) = (0, P)$ and $p(f, P) = f$. Consequently, there is a long exact sequence in cohomology groups

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H^n(T) \xrightarrow{H^n(i)} H^n_R(A, T) \xrightarrow{H^n(p)} H^n_{Hoch}(A) \xrightarrow{\delta^n} H^{n+1}(T) \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

where the connecting homomorphism $\delta^n$ is given by $\delta^n[\alpha] = [\Omega\alpha]$.

5. **Deformations of relative Rota-Baxter algebras**

In this section, we study $R$-deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra, where $R$ is a pro-Artinian $K$-algebra. In particular, we consider the case $R = K[[t]]/(t^2)$, that is the infinitesimal deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. We show that equivalence classes of infinitesimal deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra $(A, M, T)$ are in bijective correspondence with the Hochschild cohomology classes in $H^2(A, M, T)$.

Let $R$ be a pro-Artinian ring. One may define R-relative Rota-Baxter algebras and morphisms between them similar to Definition 2.1 and Definition 2.2 by replacing the vector spaces and the linear maps by $R$-modules and $R$-linear maps. Since $R$ is pro-Artinian $K$-algebra, there is an augmentation $\epsilon : R \to K$. Thus, any relative Rota-Baxter algebra $(A, M, T)$ can be realised as an $R$-relative Rota-Baxter algebra, where the $R$-module structures on $A$ and $M$ are respectively given by

$$r.a = \epsilon(r)a, \quad r.A = \epsilon(r)A, \quad \text{for } r \in R, \quad a \in A, \quad \text{and } u \in M.$$

5.1. **Definition.** An $R$-deformation of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra $((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)$ consists of an $R$-algebra structure $\mu_R$ on $R \otimes_K A$, two $R$-linear maps $l_R : (R \otimes_K A) \otimes (R \otimes_K M) \to (R \otimes_K M)$, $r_R : (R \otimes_K M) \otimes (R \otimes_K A) \to (R \otimes_K M)$, and an $R$-linear map $T_R : R \otimes_K M \to R \otimes_K A$, which makes the triple $((R \otimes_K A, \mu_R), (R \otimes_K M, l_R, r_R), T_R)$ into an $R$-relative Rota-Baxter algebra such that

$$(\epsilon \otimes_K \text{id}_A, \epsilon \otimes_K \text{id}_M) : ((R \otimes_K A, \mu_R), (R \otimes_K M, l_R, r_R), T_R) \to ((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)$$

is a morphism of $R$-relative Rota-Baxter algebras.

5.2. **Definition.** Let $((R \otimes_K A, \mu_R), (R \otimes_K M, l_R, r_R), T_R)$ and $((R \otimes_K A, \mu_R)', (R \otimes_K M, l_R', r_R'), T_R')$ be two $R$-deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra $((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)$. Then, they are said to be equivalent if there exists an $R$-relative Rota-Baxter algebra isomorphism

$$(\Phi, \Psi) : ((R \otimes_K A, \mu_R), (R \otimes_K M, l_R, r_R), T_R) \to ((R \otimes_K A, \mu_R)', (R \otimes_K M, l_R', r_R'), T_R'),$$

satisfying $(\epsilon \otimes_K \text{id}_A) \circ \Phi = (\epsilon \otimes_K \text{id}_A)$ and $(\epsilon \otimes_K \text{id}_M) \circ \Psi = (\epsilon \otimes_K \text{id}_M)$.

Next, we explicitly describe $R$-deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra for $R = K[[t]]/(t^2)$. Such deformations are called infinitesimal deformations. Note that the augmentation $\epsilon : K[[t]]/(t^2) \to K$ is given by $\epsilon(f) = f(0)$, the evaluation of $f$ at 0. Thus, an infinitesimal deformation of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra $((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)$ consists of maps $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \text{Hom}(A \otimes A, A)$, $l_0, l_1 \in \text{Hom}(A \otimes M, M)$, $r_0, r_1 \in \text{Hom}(M \otimes M, M)$, and $T_0, T_1 \in \text{Hom}(A, M)$ such that the maps

$$\mu_R := \mu_0 + t\mu_1, \quad l_R := l_0 + tl_1, \quad r_R := r_0 + tr_1, \quad T_R : T_0 + tT_1$$

makes $((R \otimes_K A, \mu_R), (R \otimes_K M, l_R, r_R), T_R)$ into an $K[[t]]/(t^2)$-relative Rota-Baxter algebra and

$$(\epsilon \otimes_K \text{id}_A, \epsilon \otimes_K \text{id}_M) : ((R \otimes_K A, \mu_R), (R \otimes_K M, l_R, r_R), T_R) \to ((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)$$

is a morphism of $K[[t]]/(t^2)$-relative Rota-Baxter algebras. The morphism condition implies that $\mu_0 = \mu$, $l_0 = l$, $r_0 = r$, and $T_0 = T$. Therefore, an infinitesimal deformation is determined by the quadruple $(\mu_1, l_1, r_1, T_1)$.

5.3. **Theorem.** Let an infinitesimal deformation of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra $((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)$ be determined by the quadruple $(\mu_1, l_1, r_1, T_1)$. Then, the quadruple is a 2-cocycle in the cohomology of the relative Rota-Baxter algebra $((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)$. Conversely, any infinitesimal deformation is obtained by a 2-cocycle in this way.
implies that these infinitesimal deformations are determined by 2-co cycles (say) \((\Phi)\).

By definition of the map \((\mathbb{K}[t]/(t^2))\) (10), the triple \((\mathbb{K}[t], A, \phi)\) is equivalent to \(\Omega\) (10).

Moreover \((\Phi \circ \partial) = 0\). On comparing the coefficients of \(t\) from both sides of the above identity, we have

\[\partial l_1(a, b) = l_1(ab, m) + a l_1(b, m) + l_1(a, b) m + l_1(ab, m)\]

Proof. Let \(R = \mathbb{K}[t]/(t^2)\) and \((R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A, \mu_R, (R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} M, l_R, r_R), T_R)\) be an infinitesimal deformation of relative Rota-Baxter algebra \(((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)\). Then, equivalently we have the following conditions.

(i) \((R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A, \mu_R = \mu + t \mu_1)\) is an \(R\)-associative algebra, i.e.

\[\mu_R(a, \mu_R(b, c)) = \mu_R(\mu_R(a, b), c), \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in A.\]

On comparing the coefficients of \(t\) from both sides of the above identity, we have

\[\mu_1(a, bc) + a \mu_1(b, c) = \mu_1(ab, c) + \mu_1(a, b) c, \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in A,\]

(ii) the triple \((R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} M, l_R, r_R)\) is an \((R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A, \mu_R = \mu + t \mu_1)\)-bimodule, i.e.

\[l_R(\mu_R(a, b), m) = l_R(a, l_R(b, m)), \quad r_R(\mu_R(m, a), b) = r_R(m, \mu_R(a, b)), \quad \text{and} \quad r_R(l_R(a, m), b) = l_R(a, r_R(m, b)),\]

for all \(a, b \in A\) and \(m \in M\). On comparing the coefficients of \(t\) from both sides of the above identities, we have

\[l_1(ab, m) + \mu_1(a, b)m = l_1(a, bm) + a l_1(b, m)\]

\[r_1(ma, b) + r_1(m, ab) = r_1(m, ab) + a \mu_1(a, b)\]

\[l_1(a, m)b + r_1(am, b) = l_1(a, mb) + a \mu_1(a, b), \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A, \ m \in M,\]

(iii) the map \(T_R : R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} M \to R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A\) is a relative Rota-Baxter algebra, i.e.

\[\mu_R(T_R(m), T_R(n)) = T_R(l_R(T_R(m), n) + r_R(m, T_R(n)))\]

On comparing the coefficients of \(t\) from both sides of the above identity, we have

\[\mu_1(T(m), T(n)) = T(l_1(T(m), n) + r_1(m, T(n))\]

\[= T_1(T(m)n + mT(n)) + T(T_1(m)n + mT_1(n)) - T_1(m)n - T(m)T_1(n), \quad \text{for all } m, n \in M.\]

By definition of the map \(\partial\) is clear that equation (8) and equation (9) are equivalent to \(\partial(\mu_1 + l_1 + r_1) = 0\). Moreover (10) is equivalent to \(\Omega(\mu_1 + l_1 + r_1) = 0\).

Thus, \(((R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A, \mu_R), (R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} M, l_R, r_R), T_R)\) is an infinitesimal deformation of relative Rota-Baxter algebra \(((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)\) if and only if

\[D(\mu_1 + l_1 + r_1, T_1) = 0.\]

Hence, the statement of the theorem follows. \(\square\)

5.4. Theorem. Let \(((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)\) be a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. There is a bijective correspondence between the equivalence classes of infinitesimal deformations of \(((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)\) and the cohomology classes in the second cohomology space \(H^2(A, M, T)\).

Proof. Let us fix \(R = \mathbb{K}[t]/(t^2)\). Let \(((R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A, \mu_R), (R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} M, l_R, r_R), T_R)\) and \(((R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A, \mu_R'), (R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} M, l_R', r_R'), T_R')\) be two infinitesimal deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra \(((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)\). Then, Theorem 5.3 implies that these infinitesimal deformations are determined by 2-cocycles (say) \((\mu_1, l_1, r_1, T_1)\) and \((\mu_1', l_1', r_1', T_1')\), respectively. Both of the infinitesimal deformations are equivalent if there exists an \(R\)-relative Rota-Baxter algebra isomorphism

\[(\Phi, \Psi) : ((R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A, \mu_R), (R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} M, l_R, r_R), T_R) \to ((R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A, \mu_R'), (R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} M, l_R', r_R'), T_R'),\]

satisfying

\[\epsilon \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} id_A \circ \Phi = (\epsilon \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} id_A) \quad \text{and} \quad (\epsilon \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} id_M) \circ \Psi = (\epsilon \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} id_M).\]

From equation (11), it follows that

\[\Phi = id_A + t \phi_1, \quad \Psi = id_V + t \psi_1, \quad \text{for some} \ \phi_1 \in \text{Hom}(A, A) \text{ and} \ \psi_1 \in \text{Hom}(V, V).\]

If \((\Phi, \Psi) : ((R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A, \mu_R), (R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} M, l_R, r_R), T_R) \to ((R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A, \mu_R), (R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} M, l_R', r_R'), T_R')\) is an \(R\)-relative Rota-Baxter algebra isomorphism, then one can observe the following.
Moreover, comparing the coefficients of $t$,

$$\Phi(\mu_R(a, b)) = \mu'_R(\Phi(a), \Phi(b)), \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

On comparing the coefficients of $t$,

$$\mu_1 - \mu'_1 = \delta_{\text{Hoch}} \phi_1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

(ii) The map $(\Phi, \Psi)$ is an isomorphism of $R$-relative Rota-Baxter operators from $T_R$ to $T'_R$. Then,

(1) $\Phi(l(m, n)) = l'_{R}(\Phi(a), \Psi(m)), \quad \Phi(r(m, n)) = r'_{R}(\Psi(m), \Phi(a))$, for all $m, n \in M$, $a \in A$ and

(2) $\Phi \circ T_R = T'_R \circ \Psi$.

Thus, comparing the coefficients of $t$ in the above identities given in the condition (1), we have

$$\psi_1(am) + l_1(a, m) = l'_1(a, m) + \phi_1(a)m + a\psi_1(m)$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

$$\psi_1(ma) + r_1(m, a) = r'_1(m, a) + \psi_1(m)a + m\phi_1(a).$$

Moreover, comparing the coefficients of $t$ from both sides of the identity in the condition (2), we get

$$T_1 + \phi_1 \circ T = T'_1 + T \circ \psi_1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Therefore, from equations (12)-(14), the pair $(\Phi, \Psi)$ is an $R$-relative Rota-Baxter algebra isomorphism if and only if

$$(\mu_1, l_1, r_1, T_1) - (\mu'_1, l'_1, r'_1, T_1) = D(\phi_1, \psi_1).$$

Hence, there is a bijective correspondence between the equivalence classes of infinitesimal deformations of $((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)$ and the second cohomology space $H^2(A, M, T)$.  \hspace{1cm} $\square$

## 6. Homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators

In this section, we introduce homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators on bimodules over strongly homotopy associative algebras. Our notion will generalize (strict) Rota-Baxter operators on $A_\infty$-algebras introduced in [8]. We compare homotopy relative Rota-Baxter algebras and homotopy relative Rota-Baxter Lie algebras introduced in [21]. Finally, we construct a pre-Lie$_\infty$[1]-algebra from any DenDend$_\infty$[1]-algebra generalizing the similar result from non-homotopic case [2]. This construction suitably fits with the various relations among dendriform algebras, pre-Lie algebras, associative algebras, Lie algebras and relative Rota-Baxter algebras in the homotopy context.

### 6.1. Definition

An $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra is a graded vector space $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A^i$, together with a collection of degree 1 multilinear maps $\{\mu_k : A^{\otimes k} \to A\}_{k \geq 1}$ satisfying the following identities

$$\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\lambda=1}^j (-1)^{|a_1|+\cdots+|a_{\lambda-1}|} \mu_j(a_1, \ldots, a_{\lambda-1}, \mu_1(a_{\lambda}, \ldots, a_{\lambda+i-1}), a_{\lambda+i}, \ldots, a_n) = 0, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Note that $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra structure on a graded vector space $A$ can be described by Maurer-Cartan element in a suitable graded Lie algebra [27]. Let $A$ be a graded vector space. Consider the free reduced tensor algebra $T(A) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} A^{\otimes n}$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $C^n(A) = \text{Hom}_n(T(A), A)$ the space of degree $n$ linear maps. An element $\mu \in \text{Hom}_n(T(A), A)$ is the sum of degree $n$ multilinear maps $\mu_k : A^{\otimes k} \to A$, for $k \geq 1$.

With these notations $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} C^n(A) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(T(A), A)$ is a graded Lie algebra with the bracket given by $[\mu_k, \gamma] = \mu_k \circ \gamma - (-1)^{mn} \gamma \circ \mu_k$, where

$$(\mu_k \circ \gamma)(a_1, \ldots, a_k,i_{k+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{|a_1|+\cdots+|a_{i-1}|} \mu_k(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, \gamma(a_{i}, \ldots, a_{i+k-1}), a_{i+k}, \ldots, a_k).$$

for $\mu = \sum_{k \geq 1} \mu_k \in C^0(A)$ and $\gamma = \sum_{i \geq 1} \gamma \in C^0(A)$. An element $\mu \in C^1(A) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \mu_k$ is a Maurer-Cartan element in the above graded Lie algebra if and only if $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ is an $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra.

### 6.2. Definition

Let $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be an $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra. A bimodule over it consists of a graded vector space $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$ together with a collection of degree 1 maps $\{\eta_k : A^{\otimes k-1} \to M\}_{k \geq 1}$ satisfying the identities
(15) with exactly one of $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ is from $M$ and the corresponding multilinear operation $\mu_i$ or $\mu_j$ replaced by $\eta_i$ or $\eta_j$.

It follows from the definition that any $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra is a bimodule over itself with $\eta_k = \mu_k$, for $k \geq 1$. This is called the adjoint bimodule.

6.1. Homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators. Here, we use a homotopy version of Theorem 2.3 to describe relative Rota-Baxter operators in the homotopy context.

Let $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be an $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra and $(M, \{\eta_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be a bimodule over it. We consider the graded Lie algebra

$$L = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} C^n(A \oplus M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(T(A \oplus M), A \oplus M), \{\ , \ \}. $$

It is easy to see that $a = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(T(M), A)$ is an abelian subalgebra of $L$. Let $P : L \to a$ be the projection onto the subspace $a$. Then $\Delta = \sum_{k \geq 1}(\mu_k + \eta_k) \in \ker(P)_1$ and satisfies $[\Delta, \Delta] = 0$. Therefore, we get that $(L, a, P, \Delta)$ is a $V$-data. Hence by Theorem 2.10, we get an $L_{\infty}[1]$-algebra generalizing the graded Lie algebra of Theorem 2.3 to the homotopy context.

6.3. Theorem. Let $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be an $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra and $(M, \{\gamma_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be a bimodule over it. Then there is an $L_{\infty}[1]$-algebra structure on the graded vector space $a = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(T(M), A)$ with structure maps given by (2). Moreover, this $L_{\infty}[1]$-algebra is weakly filtered. \hfill $\Box$

Note that the filtration here is given by $F_n(a) = \bigoplus_{i \geq n} \text{Hom}(M^{\otimes i}, A)$, for $n \geq 1$. Inspired by the characterization of relative Rota-Baxter operators in the usual case, we define the following definition.

6.4. Definition. Let $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be an $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra and $(M, \{\gamma_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be a bimodule. A Maurer-Cartan element $T = \sum_{k \geq 1} T_k \in \text{Hom}_0(T(M), A)$ in the above $L_{\infty}[1]$-algebra is called a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator on $(M, \{\gamma_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ over the $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$.

In the next, we give a characterization of a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator $T = \sum_{k \geq 1} T_k$ in terms of its components.

6.5. Proposition. An element $T = \sum_{k \geq 1} T_k \in \text{Hom}_0(T(M), A)$ is a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator if and only if its components satisfy the following identities: for each $p \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_n = p} \frac{1}{n!} \mu_k(T_{k_1}(u_1, \ldots, u_{k_1}), T_{k_2}(u_{k_1+1}, \ldots, u_{k_1+k_2}), \ldots, T_{k_n}(u_{k_1+\cdots+k_{n-1}+1}, \ldots, u_p))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j+k_1+\cdots+k_{i-1}+1+k_{i+1}+\cdots+k_n+t=p} \frac{(-1)^{|u_1|+\cdots+|u_p|}}{(n-1)!} T_{j+1+t}(u_1, \ldots, u_j, \eta(T_{k_1}(u_{j+1}, \ldots, u_{j+k_1}), \ldots, T_{k_{i-1}}(\cdots, u_{j+k_1+\cdots+k_{i-1}}), \ldots, T_{k_n}(\ldots, u_{p-t}), u_{p-t+1}, \ldots, u_p))$$

Proof. We will use the interpretation of the elements of $C^\bullet(A \oplus M, A \oplus M)$ as coderivations on the free tensor coalgebra $T^\vee(A \oplus M)$ and the Gerstenhaber bracket on $C^\bullet(A \oplus M, A \oplus M)$ as the commutator bracket of coderivations on $T^\vee(A \oplus M)$. Let $\overline{\mu}, \overline{\gamma}$ and $\overline{T}$ denote the coderivations on $T^\vee(A \oplus M)$ corresponding to the maps $\sum_{k \geq 1} \mu_k, \sum_{k \geq 1} \eta_k$ and $\sum_{k \geq 1} T_k$ in $C^\bullet(A \oplus M, A \oplus M)$. Hence $T$ is a Maurer-Cartan element if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \overline{T}^{[\cdots [\overline{\mu}, \overline{T}, \overline{T}], \overline{T}], \ldots, \overline{T}] = 0,$$
where $\overline{T}$ denotes the projection onto the abelian subalgebra $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_a(\overline{T}(M), A)$. First observe that

$$\overline{T}^n[\cdots \overline{T} + \overline{\eta}, \overline{T}], \overline{T}^n[1], \ldots, \overline{T}^n[u_1, \ldots, u_p]$$

$$= \text{pr}_A \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} \overline{T}^n \overline{T} \cdots \overline{T} \circ (\overline{T} + \overline{\eta}) \cdots \circ \overline{T})(u_1, \ldots, u_p)$$

(18) $$= (\text{pr}_A \circ \overline{T} \circ \overline{T} \cdots \circ \overline{T} - n(\text{pr}_A \circ \overline{T} \circ \overline{T} \cdots \circ \overline{T}))(u_1, \ldots, u_p) \quad \text{(the other terms are zero)}.$$  

By a straightforward calculation, we obtain

$$\text{pr}_A \circ \overline{T} \circ \overline{T} \cdots \circ \overline{T}(u_1, \ldots, u_p)$$

$$= \sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_n = p} \mu_n(T_{k_1}(u_1, \ldots, u_k_1), T_{k_2}(u_{k_1+1}, \ldots, u_{k_1+k_2}), \ldots, T_{k_n}(u_{k_1+\cdots+k_{n-1}+1}, \ldots, u_p)),$$

and

$$n(\text{pr}_A \circ \overline{T} \circ \overline{T} \cdots \circ \overline{T})(u_1, \ldots, u_p)$$

$$= n \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j+k_1+\cdots+k_{i-1}+1} (-1)^{u_1+\cdots+u_j} T_{j+1+t}(u_1, \ldots, u_j, \eta(T_{k_1}(u_{j+1}, \ldots, u_j+k_1), \ldots, T_{k_{i-1}}(\ldots, u_j+k_1+\cdots+k_{i-2}), u_{j+k_1+\cdots+k_{i-1}+1}, \ldots, T_{k_n}(\ldots, u_{p-t}), u_{p-t+1}, \ldots, u_p)).$$

Therefore, it follows from equations (17) and (18) that $T$ is a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator if and only if the identity (16) holds. \(\square\)

6.6. **Remark.** The identities given by equation (16) can be alternatively used as a definition of a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator. A homotopy Rota-Baxter operator on an $A_\infty[1]$-algebra $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ is a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator on the adjoint bimodule.

6.7. **Definition.** (i) A homotopy relative Rota-Baxter algebra is a triple $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1}, (M, \{\eta_k\}_{k \geq 1}), \{T_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ consisting of an $A_\infty[1]$-algebra, a bimodule and a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator.

(ii) A homotopy Rota-Baxter algebra is a pair $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1}, \{T_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ of an $A_\infty[1]$-algebra and a homotopy Rota-Baxter operator on it.

In [21], the authors introduce homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators on modules over $L_\infty[1]$-algebra. Here we first recall their definition and then we compare with homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator on bimodules over $A_\infty[1]$-algebras. Let $(W, \{\ell_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra and $(V, \{\rho_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be a representation of it. Then

$$(L = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(\overline{S}(W \oplus V), W \oplus V), a = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(\overline{S}(V), W), P, \Delta = \sum_{k \geq 1} (\ell_k + \rho_k))$$

is a $V$-data, where the graded vector space $L$ is equipped with the standard Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket $[21, 24]$. Hence by Theorem 2.10, the graded space $a = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(\overline{S}(V), W)$ carries an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra structure which turns out to be weakly filtered. A Maurer-Cartan element $T = \sum_{k \geq 1} T_k \in \text{Hom}(\overline{S}(V), W)$ on this $L_\infty[1]$-algebra is said to be a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator on the module $(V, \{\rho_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ over the $L_\infty[1]$-algebra $(W, \{\ell_k\}_{k \geq 1})$. A homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator $T = \sum_{k \geq 1} T_k$ is said to be strict if $T_k = 0$ for $k \geq 2$. It has been shown in [21] that a strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator $T = T_1$ induces a pre-Lie$_{\infty}[1]$-algebra structure on $V$ given by

$$\theta_k(v_1, \ldots, v_k) := \rho_k(Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_{k-1}, v_k), \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$  

Let $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be an $A_\infty[1]$-algebra and $(M, \{\eta_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be a bimodule over it. It has been proved in [19] that the standard symmetrization process yields an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra $(A, \{\ell_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ and a representation.
Moreover, the above symmetrization process yields a morphism of graded Lie algebras
\[ \Psi : \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(T(A \oplus M), A \oplus M) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(S(A \oplus M), A \oplus M). \]

As a consequence, we get the following.

6.8. Proposition. With the above notations, if \( T \) is a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator on \( (M, \{\rho_k\}_{k \geq 1}) \) over the \( A_\infty[1] \)-algebra \( (A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1}) \), then \( \Psi(T) \) is a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator on the module \( (M, \{\rho_k\}_{k \geq 1}) \) over the \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra \( (A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1}) \).

6.2. Homotopy dendriform and homotopy pre-Lie algebras. Dendriform algebras were introduced by Loday \cite{Loday} as a Koszul dual of associative dialgebras. The notion of homotopy dendriform algebras (\( \text{Dend}_{\infty} \)-algebras) was defined in \cite{Sheng} and explicitly described in \cite{Horn}. Here, we recall the definition of a \( \text{Dend}_{\infty} \)-algebra from \cite{Horn} in a slightly different way using Maurer-Cartan elements in a graded Lie algebra.

Let \( C_k \) be the set of first \( n \) natural numbers. For convenience, we denote the elements of \( C_k \) by \( C_k = \{[1], [2], \ldots, [k]\} \). There are some distinguished maps \( R_0(k; 1, \ldots, l; 1) : C_{k+l-1} \rightarrow C_k \) and \( R_i(k; 1, \ldots, l; 1) : C_{k+l-1} \rightarrow K[C_i] \) given by

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
[r] \in C_{k+l-1} & 1 \leq r & i-1 & i \leq r \leq i+1 \leq \cdots \leq k+l-1 \\
\hline
R_0(k; 1, \ldots, l; 1)[r] & [r] & [r] & [r-l+1] \\
\hline
R_i(k; 1, \ldots, l; 1)[r] & [1] + \cdots + [l] & [r-i+1] & [1] + \cdots + [l] \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Let \( D = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} D_i \) be a graded vector space. Suppose \( \mu_k : TD \otimes D \otimes TD \rightarrow D \) is a map which is nonzero only on \( \bigoplus_{i+j+k+1, i, j \geq 0} D^{\otimes i-j} \mathcal{D} \otimes D \otimes D^{\otimes j-1} \). Then \( \mu_0 \) induces \( k \) many maps \( \mu_{k, [1]} : \cdots, \mu_{k, [k]} : D^{\otimes k} \rightarrow D \) by

\[
\mu_{k, [1]}(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = \mu_k(1 \otimes a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k), \quad \mu_{k, [2]}(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = \mu_k(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 \cdots \otimes a_k), \ldots
\]

\[
\mu_{k, [k]}(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = \mu_k(a_1 \cdots a_{k-1} \otimes a_k \otimes 1).
\]

Consider the graded space \( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(TD \otimes D \otimes TD, D) \) of such maps. It carries a graded Lie bracket defined by \( [\mu_k, \eta_j] = \mu_k \circ \eta_j - (-1)^{mn} \eta_j \circ \mu_k \), where

\[
(\mu_k \circ \eta_j)(a_1 \cdots a_r \otimes a_{r+1} \cdots a_{k+l-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{|a_1| + \cdots + |a_i-1|} \mu_k, R_{0,k+1}(k; 1, \ldots, l; 1)[r](a_1 \cdots a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{k+l-1}) \circ \mu_{i, R_{i,k+1}(k; 1, \ldots, l; 1)[r]}(a_i \cdots a_{i+l-1}),
\]

for \( \mu = \sum_{k \geq 1} \mu_k \in \text{Hom}_n(TD \otimes D \otimes TD, D) \) and \( \eta = \sum_{i \geq 1} \eta_i \in \text{Hom}_n(TD \otimes D \otimes TD, D) \). A \( \text{Dend}_{\infty} \)-algebra is a graded vector space \( D = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} D_i \), together with a Maurer-Cartan element \( \mu = \sum_{k \geq 1} \mu_k \) of the graded Lie algebra \( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(TD \otimes D \otimes TD, D) \). Note that each \( \mu_k \) determines \( k \) many degree 1 maps \( D^{\otimes k} \rightarrow D \). Therefore, for now onward, we will denote a \( \text{Dend}_{\infty} \)-algebra by \( (D, \{\mu_{k, [r]}\}_{k \geq 1, r \geq 1}, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1}) \). If \( (D, \{\mu_{k, [r]}\}_{k \geq 1, r \geq 1}, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1}) \) is a \( \text{Dend}_{\infty} \)-algebra, then it has been proved in \cite{Horn, Sheng} that \( (D, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1}) \) is an \( A_\infty[1] \)-algebra, where

\[
(\mu_k \circ \eta_j)(a_1 \cdots a_r \otimes a_{r+1} \cdots a_{k+l-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{|a_1| + \cdots + |a_i-1|} \mu_k, R_{0,k+1}(k; 1, \ldots, l; 1)[r](a_1 \cdots a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{k+l-1}) \circ \mu_{i, R_{i,k+1}(k; 1, \ldots, l; 1)[r]}(a_i \cdots a_{i+l-1}),
\]

for \( k \geq 1 \).

The notion of \( \text{pre-Lie}_{\infty}[1] \)-algebras are defined by Chapoton and Livernet \cite{Chapoton}. Here we will not recall the definition rather mention that there is a graded Lie bracket \( [\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{MN}} \), known as Matsushima-Nijenhuis bracket on \( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(S(W) \otimes W, W) \), for any graded vector space \( W \). See \cite{Chapoton, Loday} for details. A \( \text{pre-Lie}_{\infty}[1] \)-algebra
structure on a graded vector space $W$ is by definition a Maurer-Cartan element in the graded Lie algebra \((\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(S(W) \otimes W, [\ ,\ ]_{\text{MN}})).\)

A pre-Lie\(_{\infty}\-[\)algebra induces an \(\infty\)-Lie\(_{\infty}\)-algebra structure on $W$ with structure maps given by

\[
l_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^i x_i ([x_{i+1} + \cdots + x_k]) \theta_k(x_1, \ldots, x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_k), \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.
\]

This is called the subadjacent \(L_{\infty}\)-algebra of the pre-Lie\(_{\infty}\)-algebra.

It is known that a dendriform algebra gives rise to a pre-Lie algebra structure. Here we prove a homotopy version of this result.

6.9. **Theorem.** Let \((D, \{\mu_k|_r\}_{k \geq 1, |r| \in \mathbb{C}_k})\) be a Dend\(_{\infty}\)-algebra. Then \((D, \{\theta_k\}_{k \geq 1})\) is a pre-Lie\(_{\infty}\)-algebra, where

\[
\theta_k(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma) \mu_k|_{\sigma^{-1}(k)}(a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(k)}), \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.
\]

**Proof.** We define a map

\[
\Psi : \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(TD \otimes D \otimes TD, D) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(S(D) \otimes D, D)
\]

by

\[
\Psi(\mu_k)(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma) \mu_k|_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}(a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(k)}).
\]

It is a straightforward but tedious calculation to check that \(\Psi\) preserves the graded Lie algebra structures. Hence the result follows from the definition of pre-Lie\(_{\infty}\)-algebra structure by Maurer-Cartan element. □

The above construction is functorial with respect to strict morphisms in the categories of Dend\(_{\infty}\)-algebras and pre-Lie\(_{\infty}\)-algebras.

6.10. **Proposition.** With the above construction, the following diagram commutes

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Dend}_{\infty}[1] & \rightarrow & \text{pre-Lie}_{\infty}[1] \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A_{\infty}[1] & \rightarrow & L_{\infty}[1].
\end{array}
\]

**Proof.** Let \((D, \{\mu_k|_r\}_{k \geq 1, |r| \in \mathbb{C}_k})\) be a Dend\(_{\infty}\)-algebra with the corresponding \(A_{\infty}[1]\)-algebra \((D, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})\) where \(\mu_k\)'s are given by equation \((21)\). Therefore, the corresponding \(L_{\infty}[1]\)-algebra structure on $D$ is given by

\[
l_k(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma) \mu_k(a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(k)})
\]

\[
= \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_k|_{i}(a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(k)}).
\]

On the other hand, consider the pre-Lie\(_{\infty}\)-algebra \((D, \{\theta_k\}_{k \geq 1})\) where \(\theta_k\)'s are given in equation \((22)\). Hence, the subadjacent \(L_{\infty}[1]\)-algebra on $D$ has structure maps

\[
l'_k(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{|a_i|(|a_{i+1}| + \cdots + |a_k|)} \theta_k(a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, a_k, a_i)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{|a_i|(|a_{i+1}| + \cdots + |a_k|)} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma) \mu_k|_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}(a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(k)})
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma) \mu_k|_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}(a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(k)}).
\]

In the second equality, given a fixed $i$, we use the permutation $\tau \in S_k$ given by

\[
\tau(j) = j \text{ for } j \leq i - 1, \quad \tau(j) = j + 1 \text{ for } i \leq j \leq k - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(k) = i.
\]
Finally, the third equality follows as \( \epsilon(\tau) = (-1)^{|a_i|(|a_{i+1}|+\cdots+|a_k|)} \). From equations (24) and (25), it follows that \( l_k = l_k' \) for \( k \geq 1 \). Hence the result follows.

6.3. Strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators. A homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator \( T = \sum_{k \geq 1} T_k \) on a bimodule \( (M, \{\eta_k\}_{k \geq 1}) \) over an \( A_\infty[1]\)-algebra is said to be strict if \( T_k = 0 \) for \( k \geq 2 \). It follows from equation (16) that a degree 0 linear map \( T : M \to A \) is a strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator if \( T \) satisfies

\[
\mu_k(Tu_1,\ldots,Tu_k) = \sum_{r=1}^{k} T(\eta_k(Tu_1,\ldots,u_r,\ldots,Tu_k)), \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.
\]

Strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators are considered in [8] and the following result is proved in the same reference.

6.11. Proposition. Let \( (A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1}) \) be an \( A_\infty[1]\)-algebra and \( (M, \{\eta_k\}_{k \geq 1}) \) be a bimodule. If \( T \) is a strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator, then \( M \) carries a \( Dend_\infty[1]\)-algebra structure, where

\[
\mu_{k,[r]}(u_1,\ldots,u_k) = \eta_k(Tu_1,\ldots,u_r,\ldots,Tu_k), \quad \text{for } k \geq 1 \text{ and } [r] \in C_k.
\]

The \( Dend_\infty[1]\)-algebra constructed in the above proposition is called the subadjacent \( Dend_\infty[1]\)-algebra associated to the strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator \( T \).

6.12. Proposition. The following diagram commutes

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{strict homotopy relative Rota – Baxter algebra} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & Dend_\infty[1] \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{strict homotopy relative Rota – Baxter Lie algebra} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & \text{pre-Lie}_\infty[1].
\end{array}
\]

Proof. Let \( T : M \to A \) be a strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator on the bimodule \( (M, \{\eta_k\}_{k \geq 1}) \) over the \( A_\infty[1]\)-algebra \( (A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1}) \). Let \( (M, \{\mu_{k,[r]}\}_{k \geq 1,[r] \in C_k}) \) denote the corresponding \( Dend_\infty[1]\)-algebra, where \( \mu_{k,[r]} \)'s are given by equation (26). Hence by Theorem 6.9, the corresponding \( \text{pre-Lie}_\infty[1]\)-algebra structure on \( M \) are given by

\[
\theta_k(u_1,\ldots,u_k) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma)\mu_{k,[\sigma^{-1}(k)]}(u_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,u_{\sigma(k)})
\]

\[
= \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma)\eta_k(Tu_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,u_{\sigma(j)},\ldots,u_{\sigma(k)})|_{\sigma(j)=k}.
\]

On the other hand, by considering \( T \) as a strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator on the module \( (M, \{\rho_k\}_{k \geq 1}) \) over the \( L_\infty[1]\)-algebra \( (A, \{l_k\}_{k \geq 1}) \) given in equations (19) and (20), the \( \text{pre-Lie}_\infty[1]\)-algebra on \( M \) is given by

\[
\theta'_k(u_1,\ldots,u_k) = \rho_k(Tu_1,\ldots,Tu_{k-1},u_k) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma)\eta_k(Tu_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,u_{\sigma(j)},\ldots,Tu_{\sigma(k)})|_{\sigma(j)=k}.
\]

Thus we have \( \theta_k = \theta_k' \), for \( k \geq 1 \) which proves the result.
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