An upper bound and criteria for the Galois group of weighted walks with rational coefficients in the quarter plane

Ruichao Jiang, Javad Tavakoli, Yiqiang Zhao

August 2020

Abstract

Using Mazur's theorem on torsions of elliptic curves, an upper bound 24 for the order of the finite Galois group \mathcal{H} associated with weighted walks in the quarter plane \mathbb{Z}^2_+ is obtained. The explicit criterion for \mathcal{H} to have order 4 or 6 is rederived by simple geometric argument. Using division polynomials, a recursive criterion for \mathcal{H} having order 4m or 4m+2 is also obtained. As a corollary, explicit criterion for \mathcal{H} to have order 8 is given and is much simpler than the existing method.

1 Introduction

Counting lattice walks is a classic problem in combinatorics. A combinatoric walk with nearest-neighbour step length can be seen as a weighted walk with weight 1 for the allowed directions and weight 0 for the forbidden directions. If a multiple step length requirement is allowed, a combinatoric walk can be seen as a weighted walk with integer weights. Without loss of generality, for a weighted walk, we may assume that the weights sum to 1 by normalization. If we allow the weights of a walk to take arbitrary non-negative real values that sum to 1, then we arrive at the realm of probabilistic walks in the quarter plane. So a weighted walk is the same thing as a probabilistic walk and a weighted walk with rational weights is the same thing as a combinatoric walks with different step lengths in different directions.

In the probabilistic scenario, an approach called the "kernel method" has been well developed and summarized in the book [4]. In the kernel method, Malyshev [8] defined a group \mathcal{H} , called the Galois group associated with any walk in \mathbb{Z}^2_+ . The finiteness of \mathcal{H} turns out to be important. Here are some applications of \mathcal{H}

1. For the 2-demands queueing system, Flato and Hahn [6, 5] exploited the finiteness of \mathcal{H} to obtain an exact formula for the stationary distribution.

2. The generating function of the walk satisfies some differential equation if and only if \mathcal{H} has finite order. Moreover the generating function is algebraic if and only if the orbit sum is zero. See Theorem 42 in [2].

Bousquet-Mélou [1] showed that for combinatoric walks with nearest-neighbour step length in the quarter plane, \mathcal{H} can have order 4, 6, or 8, if \mathcal{H} has finite order. For a weighted walk, Kauers and Yatchak found three walks with order 10 [7].

In this paper, we only consider the generic case when the kernel of the walk determines genus 1 surfaces. We give 24 as an upper bound on the finite order of \mathcal{H} when the weights of the walk are rationals. In particular, this result says that if the order of \mathcal{H} is finite, then it cannot be arbitrarily large. The following list summarizes different objects considered in the paper and also serves as an outline of the proof.

- 1. A biquadratic polynomial Q(x, y) defines a connected real curve $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. The composition of the horizontal and the vertical switches is called a QRT map δ on Q.
- 2. By going to complex numbers, Q(x, y) defines a Riemann surface, also called $Q \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. The Abel-Jacobi map \mathcal{J} determines a lattice Λ generated by $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, unique up to the modular group $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ action, such that $Q \cong \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$.
- 3. The Weierstrass function \wp and its derivative \wp' can be used to construct a map \mathcal{J}'^{-1} , an "inverse" of the Abel-Jacobi map \mathcal{J} . It is not an actual inverse because the image of \mathcal{J}'^{-1} is not Q but an elliptic curve E in the Weierstrass normal form.
- 4. Both \mathcal{J} and ${\mathcal{J}'}^{-1}$ are defined analytically. However, the composition of them turns out to be a polynomial map. So if we start with a Q(x, y) with rational coefficients, we obtain an elliptic curve E with rational coefficients.
- 5. Moreover, the QRT map δ induces an addition by a rational point on E.
- 6. So the Mazur's theorem applies and the bound is obtained.

The organization of the paper is as follows: Section 2 covers 1-3 in the above list, Section 3 covers 4-5, Section 4 covers 6. Section 5 covers criteria for \mathcal{H} to have order 4m or 4m + 2. Section 6 is discussion.

2 Preliminary

In this section, we provide the preliminaries that are needed for our main result.

2.1 The model

We shall consider walks in \mathbb{Z}^2_+ with step length limited to 1 (nearest-neighbor) and the walk is considered to be homogeneous, that is, the transition probabilities $p_{i,j}(-1 \le i, j \le 1)$'s are independent of the current place. To determine the




Figure 1: The model. $p_{0,0}$ is not shown.

stationary distribution $\{\pi_{ij}, i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of the walk, following [4], the generating function method is applied. The generating function

$$\pi(x,y) = \sum_{i,j\ge 1} \pi_{ij} x^{i-1} y^{j-1} \tag{1}$$

satisfies the following functional equation:

$$Q(x,y)\pi(x,y) = q(x,y)\pi(x) + \tilde{q}(x,y)\tilde{\pi}(y) + \pi_0(x,y),$$
(2)

where

$$Q(x,y) = xy\left(\sum_{i,j} p_{i,j}x^iy^j - 1\right).$$
(3)

Other terms reflects the boundary conditions on the random walk and do not enter our study.

Q(x,y) is called the kernel of the random walk and is a biquadratic polyno-

mial, i.e. both quadratic in x and quadratic in y:

$$\begin{split} Q(x,y) = & (p_{1,1}x^2 + p_{0,1}x + p_{-1,1})y^2 + (p_{1,0}x^2 + (p_{0,0} - 1)x + p_{-1,0})y \\ & + p_{1,-1}x^2 + p_{0,-1}x + p_{-1,-1} \\ \coloneqq & a(x)y^2 + b(x)y + c(x) \\ = & (p_{1,1}y^2 + p_{1,0}y + p_{1,-1})x^2 + (p_{0,1}y^2 + (p_{0,0} - 1)y + p_{0,-1})x \\ & + p_{-1,1}y^2 + p_{-1,0}y + p_{-1,-1} \\ \coloneqq & \tilde{a}(y)x^2 + \tilde{b}(y)x + \tilde{c}(y) \end{split}$$

The partial discriminants of the kernel Q is defined:

Definition 2.1 (Partial discriminant). The partial discriminants of

$$Q(x,y) = a(x)y^{2} + b(x)y + c(x) = \tilde{a}(y)x^{2} + \tilde{b}(y)x + \tilde{c}(y)$$

are defined as

$$\Delta_1(y) \coloneqq \tilde{b}^2(y) - 4\tilde{a}(y)\tilde{c}(y), \tag{4}$$

and

$$\Delta_2(x) \coloneqq b^2(x) - 4a(x)c(x). \tag{5}$$

By using complex variable and compactification, the kernel Q determines a compact Riemann surface Q. Since Q(x, y), $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ is biquadratic, Q double covers the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ with 4 branching point if the partial discriminant $\Delta_1(y)$ or equivalently $\Delta_2(x)$ has no multiple zeros [4]. By Riemann-Hurwitz formula, the genus of Q is

$$g(Q) = 2(g(\hat{\mathbb{C}}) - 1) + \frac{4}{2}(2 - 1) + 1 = 1$$
(6)

We shall assume that Q has genus 1. On Q, the following maps are defined:

Definition 2.2 (Involutions and the QRT map). The vertical switch ξ :

$$\xi(x,y) \coloneqq \left(x, -\frac{b(x)}{a(x)} - y\right). \tag{7}$$

The horizontal switch η :

$$\eta(x,y) \coloneqq \left(-\frac{\tilde{b}(y)}{\tilde{a}(y)} - x, y\right).$$
(8)

The QRT map:

$$\delta \coloneqq \eta \circ \xi. \tag{9}$$

The QRT map generates a group, called the Galois group associated with the random walk:

Definition 2.3 (Galois group).

$$\mathcal{H} \coloneqq \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \tag{10}$$

Remark. The reason why \mathcal{H} is coined as Galois is essentially that Malyshev adopted a field-theoretic definition of the Riemann surface Q, where a point on Q is defined as a discrete valuation on the function field $\mathbb{C}[x,y]/Q(x,y)$.

As involutions, both ξ and η have order 2. However, the subgroup $\mathcal{H}_0 \coloneqq \langle \delta \rangle$ can have finite or infinite order. Lemma 2.4.3 of [4] says that \mathcal{H}_0 is a normal subgroup of \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H}_0$ is a group of order 2. Obviously \mathcal{H}_0 and $\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H}_0$ have trivial intersection, hence

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2. \tag{11}$$

The following invariants are useful later:

Definition 2.4 (Eisenstein invariants). Let $f(x) = ax^4 + 4bx^3 + 6cx^2 + 4dx + e$ be a quartic polynomial. The Eisenstein invariants of f are

$$D(f) \coloneqq ae + 3c^2 - 4bd, \tag{12}$$

and

$$E(f) \coloneqq ad^2 + b^2e - ace - 2bcd + c^3.$$
⁽¹³⁾

2.2 Abel-Jacobi map

Since the Riemann surface Q has genus 1, its topological structure is a torus. Hence Q can support a nowhere vanishing vector field. Indeed, we have the following nowhere vanishing

Definition 2.5 (Hamiltonian vector field). The Hamiltonian vector field v_H given by

$$v_H \coloneqq \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \tag{14}$$

In fact, this can be used as a more direct proof that Q has genus 1. Using Hamiltonian vector field, we can define a unique Abelian differential ω_H , such that $(\omega_H, v_H) = 1$, where the parentheses denote the canonical pairing between vector fields and differential forms. Since v_H is nowhere vanishing, so is ω_H .

The complex structure of Q is determined by a lattice Λ :

Theorem 1 (Abel-Jacobi map). Let Q be the Riemann surface determined by Q(x, y) with genus 1. Let ω be the Abelian differential determined by the Hamiltonian vector field v_H . Define a lattice $\Lambda = \langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle$ by complete elliptic integrals:

$$\begin{cases} \omega_1 = \int_{\gamma_1} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{\Delta_2(x)}} = \int_{\gamma_1} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{\Delta_1(y)}},\\ \omega_2 = \int_{\gamma_2} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{\Delta_2(x)}} = \int_{\gamma_2} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{\Delta_1(y)}}, \end{cases}$$
(15)

where $[\gamma_1]$ and $[\gamma_2]$ form a basis for $H_1(Q, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $p_0 \in Q$ be an arbitrary point. Then the Abel-Jacobi map

$$\mathcal{J}: Q \to \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \tag{16}$$

given by incomplete elliptic integrals along a path from p_0 to p

$$p \mapsto \int_{p_0}^p \omega \pmod{\Lambda},$$
 (17)

is well-defined and does not depend on the path.

Remark. Under a modular group $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ action, we may choose $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau \mathbb{Z}$, where $\tau = \pm \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}$. The \pm sign here makes $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$.

Remark. \mathcal{J} depends on the choice of p_0 but in a trivial way: A different choice of p_0 gives an integration constant and hence a translation on \mathbb{C}/Λ .

The QRT map δ induces an addition on \mathbb{C}/Λ via the Abel-Jacobi map:

Proposition 1. The following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{c} Q & \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow} Q \\ \downarrow \mathcal{J} & \qquad \qquad \downarrow \mathcal{J} \\ \mathbb{C}/\Lambda & \stackrel{\delta^*}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \end{array}$$

where the map δ^* is given by

$$\delta^*(z) = z + \omega_3 \pmod{\Lambda} \tag{18}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$.

2.3 Weierstrass normal form

Since the field K, over which an elliptic curve E is defined, plays a role, we will write E(K) to mean that the polynomial defining E has coefficients over K and there exists a point on E with coordinates in K.

Our goal is to transform a biquadratic curve Q to an elliptic curve $E(\mathbb{C})$ in the Weierstrass normal form.

Definition 2.6 (Weierstrass normal form). An elliptic curve $E(\mathbb{C})$ is said to be in the Weierstrass normal form if $E(\mathbb{C})$ is defined by the polynomial

$$y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3. (19)$$

An elliptic curve carries a natural Abelian group law $+: E \times E \rightarrow E$. In the Weierstrass normal form, the Abelian group law can be described by the usual chord-tangent construction with the identity element being the point at infinity.

We have transformed the biquadratic curve Q to the lattice Λ via the Abel-Jacobi map \mathcal{J} . We need Weierstrass \wp functions to transform Λ to $E(\mathbb{C})$. **Definition 2.7** (Weierstrass function). The Weierstrass \wp function for a lattice $\Lambda = \langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle$ is

$$\wp(z) \coloneqq \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \neq (0,0) \\ m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}} \left\{ \frac{1}{(z - m\omega_1 - n\omega_2)^2} - \frac{1}{(m\omega_1 + n\omega_2)^2} \right\}$$
(20)

with derivative being

$$\wp'(z) = -2\sum_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(z - m\omega_1 - n\omega_2)^3}.$$
(21)

Both series for \wp and \wp' converge locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C} - \Lambda$, hence they define holomorphic functions $\mathbb{C} - \Lambda$. \wp and \wp' are meromorphic in \mathbb{C} and have pole of order 2 and 3 respectively on Λ .

Definition 2.8 (Modular invariants). The modular invariants for a lattice are defined by

$$g_2(\Lambda) \coloneqq 60 \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \neq (0,0) \\ m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}} \frac{1}{(m\omega_1 + n\omega_2)^4}$$
(22)

and

$$g_3(\Lambda) \coloneqq 140 \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \neq (0,0) \\ m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}} \frac{1}{(m\omega_1 + n\omega_2)^6}.$$
 (23)

The following theorem transform a lattice to an elliptic curve in Weierstrass normal form

Theorem 2 (Inverse Abel-Jacobi map). The map

$$\mathcal{J}'^{-1}: \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \to E(\mathbb{C})$$
 (24)


where $E(\mathbb{C})$ is an elliptic curve in the Weierstrass normal form given by

$$z \mapsto (\wp(z), \wp'(z))$$

is an isomorphism of analytic manifold and also a group isomorphism.

Remark. The map in the theorem is an inverse of the Abel-Jacobi map. First, we use \mathcal{J} to transform Q to \mathbb{C}/Λ . Then we use \mathcal{J}'^{-1} to transform \mathbb{C}/Λ to an elliptic curve in the Weierstrass normal form, which is isomorphic to Q but in a different coordinate system. The composition $\mathcal{J}'^{-1} \circ \mathcal{J}$ has the effect of a change of coordinate.

Now we have the following commutative diagram



Denote $\mathcal{J}^{\prime-1}(\omega_3)$ by Ω_3 . Since $\mathcal{J}^{\prime-1}$ is a group isomorphism, we have

$$\delta^{**}(P) = P + \Omega_3 \tag{25}$$

for $P \in E(\mathbb{C})$.

3 Complex to rational

For an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} , following theorems hold.

Theorem 3 (Mordell). The rational points on an elliptic curve form a finitely generated Abelian group.

Theorem 4 (Mazur). For any $E(\mathbb{Q})$, the torsion subgroup T has only the following possibilities:

- 1. $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, where $1 \leq N \leq 10$ or N = 12,
- 2. $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}$, where $1 \leq N \leq 4$.

In Section 2, we worked in \mathbb{C} . To apply Mordell's and Mazur's theorems, we need to work in \mathbb{Q} , that is, we need to work in $E(\mathbb{Q}) \subset E(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, we need to show that the elliptic curve $E = \mathcal{J}'^{-1} \circ \mathcal{J}(Q)$ has rational coefficients and $\Omega_3 = \mathcal{J}'^{-1}(\omega_3) \in E(\mathbb{C})$ is in fact in $E(\mathbb{Q})$.

Lemma 5. Let Λ be the lattice determined by Q as in Theorem 1. Then,

$$g_2(\Lambda) = D(\Delta_1) = D(\Delta_2), \tag{26}$$

and

$$g_3(\Lambda) = -E(\Delta_1) = -E(\Delta_2), \tag{27}$$

where E and D are Eisenstein invariants in Definition 2.4, and Δ_1 and Δ_2 are partial discriminants of Q in Definition 2.1.

Proof. See Corrollary 2.4.7 of [3].

Remark. The lemma says that although both the uniformization of Q by Λ and the Abel-Jacobi map of \mathbb{C}/Λ are analytic, their composition is completely given by polynomial functions.

The following lemma shows how the QRT map δ transforms to a polynomial map under \mathcal{J} and ${\mathcal{J}'}^{-1}$

Lemma 6. The addition δ^{**} on $E(\mathbb{C})$ induced by the QRT map δ sends the point at infinity O to (X, Y), where

$$X = (p_{0,0}^2 - 4p_{0,-1}p_{0,1} - 4p_{-1,0}p_{1,0} + 8p_{-1,1}p_{1,-1} + 8p_{-1,-1}p_{1,1})/12$$
(28)

and

$$Y = -\det \mathbb{P},\tag{29}$$

where

$$\mathbb{P} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{1,1} & p_{1,0} & p_{1,-1} \\ p_{0,1} & p_{0,0} - 1 & p_{0,-1} \\ p_{-1,1} & p_{-1,0} & p_{-1,-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof. See Proposition 2.5.6 of [3].

4 Main result

Gather around all information, we state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 7. A finite Galois group \mathcal{H} of the weighted walk with rational coefficients can have order at most 24.

Proof. Since the kernel Q(x, y) has rational coefficients, Lemma 5 says that the associated elliptic curve E in the Weierstrass normal form

 $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$

also has rational coefficients, i.e. $g_2, g_3 \in \mathbb{Q}$. Lemma 6 says that $\Omega_3 = \mathcal{J}'^{-1}(\omega_3) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$. Then the group $\langle \Omega_3 \rangle$ generated by Ω_3 is a subgroup of $E(\mathbb{Q})$. By Proposition 1 and Theorem 2,

$$\mathcal{H}_0 \cong \langle \Omega_3 \rangle.$$

Hence $\mathcal{H}_0 \leq E(\mathbb{Q})$. Mordell's theorem says that $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated, hence by the fundamental theorem of finitely generated Abelian group, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_0 \le \mathbb{Z}^r \oplus T,\tag{30}$$

where $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and T is the torsion subgroup. Since \mathcal{H} is assumed to be finite, we have

 $\mathcal{H}_0 \leq T.$

Mazur's theorem says that $|T| \leq 12$, so $|\mathcal{H}_0| \leq 12$. By Equation (11), $|\mathcal{H}| \leq 24$.

We rederive two known criteria for the weighted walk having order 4 and 6 using geometric argument.

Theorem 8 (Criterion for \mathcal{H} of order 4). \mathcal{H} has order 4 if and only if det $\mathbb{P} = 0$.

Proof. \mathcal{H} has order 4 if and only if Ω_3 is a torsion point of order 2 in $E(\mathbb{C})$. The result is obtained by the fact that a point in a Weierstrass normal curve has order 2 if and only if its Y coordinate is 0.

Theorem 9 (Criterion for \mathcal{H} of order 6). \mathcal{H} has order 6 if and only if

$$\begin{vmatrix} -12X & 0 & D \\ 0 & 1 & Y \\ D & Y & DX + 3E \end{vmatrix} = 0$$
(31)

where $\Omega_3 = (X, Y)$ is given by Lemma 6 and $D \coloneqq D(\Delta_1) = D(\Delta_2)$, $E \coloneqq E(\Delta_1) = E(\Delta_2)$ are Eisenstein invariants given by Lemma 5.

Proof. \mathcal{H} has order $6 \Leftrightarrow \Omega_3 = ((X, Y) \text{ is a torsion point of order 3 in } E(\mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow \Omega_3 \text{ is a flex point } \Leftrightarrow \det(\operatorname{Hess}(f)) \text{ vanishes on } (X, Y, 1), \text{ where } f(x, y, z) \text{ is the homogeneous polynomial}$

$$f = y^2 z - 4x^3 + g_2 x z^2 + g_3 z^3$$

. The result is obtained by direct calculation.

Remark. The following result for \mathcal{H} to have order 6 is give by Proposition 4.1.8 in [4]:

 ${\cal H}$ has order 6 if and only if

$$\begin{vmatrix} \Delta_{11} & \Delta_{21} & \Delta_{12} & \Delta_{22} \\ \Delta_{12} & \Delta_{22} & \Delta_{13} & \Delta_{23} \\ \Delta_{21} & \Delta_{31} & \Delta_{22} & \Delta_{32} \\ \Delta_{22} & \Delta_{32} & \Delta_{23} & \Delta_{33} \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

where Δ_{ij} 's are cofactors of the matrix \mathbb{P} .

5 Criterion for orders 4m and 4m+2

In this section, we give criteria for \mathcal{H} having orders 4m or 4m + 2 using division polynomials. The criteria given in [4] are abstract, requiring linear dependence of certain functions in some function field. Our result is completely given by polynomials.

Definition 5.1 (Division polynomials). Let $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ be an elliptic

curve. The division polynomials are

$$\begin{split} \psi_1 &= 1, \\ \psi_2 &= 2y, \\ \psi_3 &= 3x^4 + 6ax^2 + 12bx - a^2 \\ \psi_4 &= 4y(x^6 + 5ax^4 + 20bx^3 - 5a^2x^2 - 4abx - 8b^2 - a^3), \\ \psi_{2m+1} &= \psi_{m+2}\psi_m^3 - \psi_{m-1}\psi_{m+1}^3, \ m \ge 2, \\ \psi_{2m} &= \frac{1}{2y}\psi_m(\psi_{m+2}\psi_{m-1}^2 - \psi_{m-2}\psi_{m+1}^2), \ m \ge 3. \end{split}$$

Remark. These polynomials get the name because $m|n \Rightarrow \psi_m|\psi_n$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y, a, b]$ and (x, y) is a torsion point of order dividing n if and only if (x, y) is a zero of ψ_n .

This is the definition commonly found in textbooks, for example page 105 of [9]. To use it in our case $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$, we need change variables. We believe these formula have been known for a long time but hard to find in literature.

Theorem 10 (Division polynomials in g_2 and g_3).

$$\begin{split} \Psi_1 &= 1, \\ \Psi_2 &= y, \\ \Psi_3 &= 48x^4 - 24g_2x^2 - 48g_3x - g_2^2 \\ \Psi_4 &= y(64x^6 - 80g_2x^4 - 320g_3x^3 - 20g_2^2x^2 - 16g_2g_3x + g_2^3 - 32g_3^2), \\ \Psi_{2m+1} &= \Psi_{m+2}\Psi_m^3 - \Psi_{m-1}\Psi_{m+1}^3, \ m \geq 2, \\ \Psi_{2m} &= \frac{1}{y}\Psi_m(\Psi_{m+2}\Psi_{m-1}^2 - \Psi_{m-2}\Psi_{m+1}^2), \ m \geq 3. \end{split}$$

Proof. Direct change of variables.

Following corollaries give criteria for \mathcal{H} to have various orders. Their proofs are obvious.

Corollary 10.1 (Criterion for \mathcal{H} of order 8). \mathcal{H} has order 8 if and only if $Y \neq 0$ and

$$64X^6 - 80DX^4 - 320EX^3 - 20D^2X^2 - 16DEX + D^3 - 32E^2 = 0.$$

where $\Omega_3 = (X, Y)$ and D, E are Eisenstein invariants.

Remark. The following result for \mathcal{H} to have order 8 is give by Proposition 4.1.11 in [4]:

 $\mathcal H$ has order 8 if and only if

$$\begin{vmatrix} A & B & C \\ D & E & F \\ G & H & I \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

where $A = 2\Delta_{22}\Delta_{32} - (\Delta_{21}\Delta_{33} + \Delta_{31}\Delta_{23}), B = 2(\Delta_{22}^2 - \Delta_{12}\Delta_{31} + \Delta_{21}\Delta_{23}) + \Delta_{11}\Delta_{33} + \Delta_{31}\Delta_{13}, C = 2\Delta_{12}\Delta_{22} - (\Delta_{11}\Delta_{23} + \Delta_{21}), D = \Delta_{32}^2 - \Delta_{31}\Delta_{33}, E = -2\Delta_{32}\Delta_{22} + \Delta_{31}\Delta_{23} + \Delta_{21}\Delta_{33}, F = \Delta_{22}^2 - \Delta_{21}\Delta_{23}, G = \Delta_{22}^2 - \Delta_{21}\Delta_{23}, H = -2\Delta_{22}\Delta_{12} + \Delta_{11}\Delta_{23} + \Delta_{13}\Delta_{21}, and I = \Delta_{12}^2 - \Delta_{11}\Delta_{13}, and \Delta_{ij}$'s are cofactors of the matrix \mathbb{P} .

Our result is much simpler.

Corollary 10.2 (Criterion for \mathcal{H} of order 4m). \mathcal{H} has order 4m if and only if $\Psi_n(X,Y) \neq 0$ for all $n|2m, n \neq 2m$ and $\Psi_{2m}(X,Y) = 0$.

Corollary 10.3 (Criterion for \mathcal{H} of order 4m + 2). \mathcal{H} has order 4m + 2 if and only if $\Psi_n(X,Y) \neq 0$ for all $n|(2m+1), n \neq 2m+1$ and $\Psi_{2m+1}(X,Y) = 0$.

6 Discussion

We found that the finite \mathcal{H} can have order at most 24 for weighted walks with rational weights. Geometric proofs of the criterion for \mathcal{H} to have order 4 and 6 are given. In particular for the case of order 6, the result is simpler than Proposition 4.1.8 of [4]. Using division polynomial, a recursive criterion for \mathcal{H} to have order 4m or 4m + 2 is also obtained and explicit criterion for \mathcal{H} to have order 8 is given almost with no computation, much simpler than Proposition 4.1.11 of [4].

Since 24 is only an upper bound, further work on finding possible realizations of different group orders is needed.

References

- M. Bousquet-Mélou and M. Mishna. Walks with small steps in the quarter plane. *Contemp. Math.*, 520:1–40, 2010.
- [2] T. Dreyfus and K. Raschel. Differential transcendence & algebraicity criteria for the series counting weighted quadrant walks. *Publications mathématiques de Besançon*, 1:41–80, 2019.
- [3] J. Duistermaat. Discrete Integrable Systems. Springer, 2010.
- [4] G. Fayolle, R. Iasnogorodski, and V. Malyshev. Random Walks in the Quarter Plane. Springer, 2 edition, 2017.
- [5] L. Flato. Two parallel queues created by arrivals with two demands ii. SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics, 45(5):861–878, 1985.
- [6] L. Flato and S. Hahn. Two parallel queues created by arrivals with two demands - i. SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics, 44(5):1041–1053, 1984.
- [7] M. Kauers and R. Yatchak. Walks in the quarter plane with multiple steps. In *Proceedings of FPSAC*, page 25–36, Nancy, France, 2015.

- [8] V. Malyshev. Positive eandom walks and galois theory. Uspehi Matem. Nauk, 1:227–228, 1971.
- [9] J. Silverman. The arithmetic of elliptic curves. Springer, 2 edition, 2009.