ON THE EQUATION $x^2 - 2^m = y^n$.

LUCAS VILLAGRA TORCOMIAN

Abstract. In this article we finish the study of solutions of the equation $x^2 - 2^m = y^n$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 3$. This is achieved using the modularity method in unsolved cases, namely, we prove that the only integer solutions of $x^2 - 2 = y^n$ for $n \geq 2$ are $(\pm 1, -1)$ when $n$ is odd.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Lebesgue–Nagell equation

$$x^2 + D = y^n$$

has been studied over the years. Its history seems to remote to Fermat, who asserted that the only solutions for $D = 2$ and $n = 3$ are $(x, y) = (\pm 5, 3)$, later proved by Euler ([Eul09]). Since then, many papers were devoted to solve the equation for special values of $D$, $n$ and/or $y$ (to learn more of the history of the equation see [Coh03] and [BMS06]).

The first result for general $y$, $n$ was published by Lebesgue in 1850 ([Leb50]), who proved that there are no non-trivial solutions for $D = 1$. In 1923 Nagell solved the equation for $D = 3, 5$ ([Nag02]: this is the reason why it is called the Lebesgue–Nagell equation), and in 1993 Cohn computed the solutions for all but 23 values of $D$ in the range $1 \leq D \leq 100$ ([Coh03]). After Wiles’ proof of Fermat’s last theorem, there has been an increasing interest in solving different type of Diophantine equations using the so called modular method. Many advances were made in this direction and in particular, it allowed to solve the equation for the remaining harder cases in the above range (see [BMS06]).

Much less is known for negative values of $D$. In 1965 Chao Ko found the solutions $(\pm 3, 2)$ for $D = -1$ and proved that there are no other ones, which turned out to be an special case of the Catalan conjecture ([Ko65]). For $D = -2$ there is no a complete answer. In [BMS06] the authors explain how their method should work for $D$ with $|D|$ not too large which is not of form $D = -a^2 \pm 1$. As this is not the case for $D = -2$, this is one of the causes which makes the equation

$$x^2 - 2 = y^n$$

very special and hard. In 1995 Guo and Le got interested in solving the more general equation

$$x^2 - 2^m = y^n$$

(see [GL95]). Equation (2) had been winning more interest during the years and it was studied in many papers, like [Bug97], [Ko65], [Rab77] and [Sik03]. The advances made are collected in [Ivo03, Corrolaire of page 328] which practically provides the solutions for all $n \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$. As said before, the case $m = 0$ has been already solved so it only remains the case $m = 1$.

All of this makes equation (1) specially challenging and, according to Siksek, “one of the most difficult exponential equations” (see [Coh07, page 517]). Logically, there is a lot of work on it so we list some results involving this equation that are already known (see [Coh07, §15.7], [Che12]).

Lemma 1.1 (Cohen). If $5 \leq p \leq 37$ is a prime, then the only integers solution to $x^2 - 2 = y^p$ are $(x, y) = (\pm 1, -1)$.

Theorem 1.2 (Cohen). If $p > 1237$ is a prime, then the only integer solutions to $x^2 - 2 = y^p$ are $(x, y) = (\pm 1, -1)$.
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Theorem 1.3 (Chen). Let \( p \) be a prime such that \( p \equiv 1, 5, 7, 11 \pmod{24} \) and \( p \neq 5, 7 \). Then the equation \( x^2 - 2 = y^p \) does not have any integer solutions other than \((x, y) = (\pm 1, -1)\).

At the present article, we will explain how to remove the congruence hypothesis from Chen’s theorem. A remarkable fact is that we will not use Theorem 1.2. We will follow the strategy of [Che12] and, in addition, to a putative solution \((a, b)\) of (1) and we will attach the Frey curve

\[
y^2 + 6\sqrt{2}xy + 8(a + \sqrt{2})y = x^3
\]

that turns out to be a \(Q\)-curve completely defined over \(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-3})\). Using this fact an combining it with the previous results, we can prove the following:

Theorem 1.4. Let \( n \geq 2 \). Then the only integer solutions of equation \( x^2 - 2 = y^n \) are \((x, y) = (\pm 1, -1)\) for \( n \) odd.

Recall that when we study the integer solutions \((a, b)\) of equation (2) we are interested in the primitive ones, i.e \( \gcd(a, b) = 1 \) and specially those that are not trivial \((ab \neq 0)\). The above theorem together with the aforementioned papers gives

Theorem 1.5. Let \( n \geq 3 \) and \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \). Then, the only non-trivial primitive solutions of

\[
x^2 - 2^m = y^n
\]

are given in the following table (where \(-\) means no condition)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( m )</th>
<th>( (x, y) )</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( m )</th>
<th>( (x, y) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>((\pm 3, 2))</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>((\pm 3, 1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>((\pm 71, 17))</td>
<td>\text{odd}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>((\pm 1, -1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>((\pm 13, -7))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.1. Table
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2. An overview of equation (1)

One of the most significant contribution to understand solutions of equation (1) was given by Chen in [Che12]. He followed the modular method together with the multi-Frey technique of Siksek. In this section we will retake the labor made by Chen and will explain how to complete it. To do this, we will squeeze the multi-Frey approach considering an extra curve that was studied in a more general context in [PT20].

2.1. Review of the equation. It is important to notice that a primitive solution of (1) is in particular a solution of \( x^2 - 2y^6 = z^p \) and of \( x^2 - 2y^p = z^p \). In [Che12], to a primitive solution \((a, b)\) of (1), the author attaches two elliptic curves, namely:

\[
E = E_a : y^2 = x^3 - 3\sqrt{2}(4a + 5\sqrt{2})x + 4\sqrt{2}(a^2 + 7\sqrt{2}a + 11), \quad E' = E'_a : y^2 = x^3 + 2ax^2 + 2x.
\]

The curve \( E \) is the specialization at \( b = 1 \) of a more general curve introduced by Chen to study the equation \( x^2 - 2y^6 = z^p \). It is defined over \(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})\) and it has an isogeny defined over \(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})\) to its Galois conjugated, so it is what is called a \(\mathbb{Q}\)-curve completely defined over \(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})\). The curve \( E' \) is a rational curve that was introduced in [BMS06]. Notice that the curves \( E_{\pm 1} \) (resp \( E'_{\pm 1} \)) coming from the solution \((\pm 1, -1)\) have CM (resp are singular), respectively. This is an important fact for the method to work.

If \( b = -1 \), then we only have the solutions \((\pm 1, -1)\). Otherwise, we can assume that \( b \) is divisible by a prime not equal to 2 or 3 ([Che12, Lemma 8]). So, from here on, we will suppose \( b \neq -1 \). By Ribet’s results ([Rib04]) the curve \( E \) can be twisted to obtain an elliptic curve \( E_\beta \) whose Galois representation descends to \(\mathbb{Q}\). The hardest part consists in finding the twist (since Ribet’s construction is not explicit) and that was a part of Chen’s article (section 2). After giving the explicit twist, we can use modularity results. In this case we have
**Theorem 2.1** (Chen). Suppose \( p \neq 2, 3, 5, 7, 13 \). The representation \( \rho = \rho_{E, \pi} \) is irreducible, has weight 2, conductor 768 or 6912 and \( \rho_{E, \pi} \cong \rho_{\eta, \pi} \), for some newform in \( S_2(M, \epsilon^{-1}) \), where \( M = 768 \) or 6912.

An easy calculation in Magma ([BCP97]) shows that \( S_2(768, \epsilon^{-1}) \) has 10 Galois conjugacy classes of newforms \( F_1, \ldots, F_{10} \), six of them with CM and \( S_2(6912, \epsilon^{-1}) \) has 21 Galois conjugacy classes of newforms \( G_1, \ldots, G_{21} \), four of the with CM. To carry out the last step of the modular method we use Mazur’s trick to discard all the possible newforms of the above spaces. Here is when we deal with the first obstacle. This technique is not enough to eliminate some problematic forms, namely: \( F_8, F_9, G_1 \) and \( G_2 \).

In order to discard the remaining four forms, Chen used the multi-Frey approach with the curve \( E' \). Applying the modular method to this curve it follows that \( \rho_{E', \pi} \cong \rho_{\eta', \pi} \) for some newform \( \eta' \in S_2(\Gamma_0(128)) \) (see [Coh07, §15.7.1]).

The following proposition was used for the curves \( E \) and \( E' \) and it will be used for the curve \( \tilde{E} \) that we will define in the next subsection. It is important to remark that all of these curves satisfy that if a prime \( q \) is inert over the field of definition of the curve, then the curve has good reduction at \( q \).

**Proposition 2.2** (Mazur’s trick). Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve defined over \( K \) and \( \chi \) a Hecke character such that the twisted representation \( \rho_{E, p} \otimes \chi \) descends to a 2–dimensional representation of \( \text{Gal}_Q \) attached to a newform \( f \in S_2(N, \varepsilon) \). Let \( q \neq p \) be an odd rational prime with \( q \nmid N \). Let

\[
B(q, g) = \begin{cases} 
N(a_0q) \chi(q) - a_0(q) & \text{if } \Delta(E) \neq 0 \mod q \text{ and } \frac{\delta(K)}{q} = 1, \\
N(a_0q)^2 - a_0q \chi(q) - 2q \varepsilon(g) & \text{if } \Delta(E) \neq 0 \mod q \text{ and } \frac{\delta(K)}{q} = -1, \\
N(\varepsilon^{-1}(q)(q + 1)^2 - a_0(q)^2) & \text{if } E \text{ has bad multiplicative reduction at } q.
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \delta(K) \) is the discriminant of \( K \). Then \( p \mid B(q, g) \).

**Proof.** The first case corresponds to a split prime (in such case the decomposition groups over \( \overline{Q} \) and over \( K \) are the same), the second corresponds to an inert prime (where the formula is well known) and the last one corresponds to a case of “lowering the level”, where the formula corresponds to Ribet’s condition (see Lemma 7.2 of [Chen18]).

In this case we can replace \( \Delta(E) \) with \( a^2 - 2 \). We denote \( B_a(q, g) \) for the curve \( E_a \) and \( C_a(q, g') \) for \( E'_a \).

Then, using the above proposition, one can compute

\[
A_g(g, g') := \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} \gcd(B_a(q, g), C_a(q, g')).
\]

fixing \( g \) one of the above problematic forms and running \( g' \) in \( S_2(\Gamma_0(128)) \). Then, whenever \( A_g(g, g') \) does not vanish, it gives a finite set of possibilities for \( p \). So, with this procedure, Chen was able to discard \( g = F_8, G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) (for \( p \neq 2, 3, 5, 7, 13 \) hence it remains \( g = F_9 \). In conclusion, our goal is to eliminate the form \( g = F_9 \).

2.2. **The new approach.** In [PT20], the Frey curve \( \tilde{E}_{(A, B)} : y^2 + 6B\sqrt{-xy} - 4d(A + B^3\sqrt{-d})y = x^3 \) was attached to a putative solution of \( x^2 + dy^6 = z^3 \). So specializing in \( d = -2 \) and \( B = 1 \) we have that

\[
\tilde{E} = \tilde{E}_a : y^2 + 6\sqrt{2}xy + 8(a + \sqrt{2})y = x^3
\]

is another Frey curve attached to (1) defined over \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \). Although \( \tilde{E} \) is also a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-curve with the same field of definition than \( E \), the isogeny to its Galois conjugate is defined over \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}) \), so it is completely defined over \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) \) unlike \( E \). Again, the solutions \((\pm 1, -1)\) define the elliptic curves \( \tilde{E}_{\pm 1} \) with CM.

The idea to complete the proof of the main theorem (except for small cases) consists in incorporating the curve \( \tilde{E} \) to the above product. Since the applications of [PT20] are focused on the positive values of \( d \), we have to modify some of the results exposed there.

Let \( \delta_\sigma \) be the Dirichlet character corresponding to the extension \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q} \) via Class field theory and let \( \varepsilon \) be the Dirichlet character (seen as a Hecke character) associated to \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})/\mathbb{Q} \).

**Theorem 2.3.** There exists a Hecke character \( \chi : \text{Gal}_K \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \) such that:

1. \( \chi^2 = \varepsilon \) as characters of \( \text{Gal}_K \).
2. \( \chi \) is unramified at primes not dividing 6,
\[(3) \quad \chi = \chi \cdot \psi_{-3} \text{ as characters of } \text{Gal}_K.\]

**Proof.** It follows from the same analysis of [PT20, Theorem 6.2] but changing the cases (because here \(d < 0\)). The reason why this works is explained in [PT20, Theorem 2.2].

Let's take \(\chi = \chi_2 \chi_3 \chi_\infty\), where \(\chi_2\) is the order four character of conductor \(p_2^5\) sending \(1 + \sqrt{2}\) to \(-1\) and \(5\) to \(-1\); and \(\chi_3\) is an order four character. We define \(\chi_\infty\) as follows. Since \(1 + \sqrt{2}\) is of order four then \(\chi_3(1 + \sqrt{2})\) is a primitive four root of unity hence \(\chi_2(1 + \sqrt{2})\chi_3(1 + \sqrt{2}) = \pm 1\). If it is 1 then we define \(\chi_\infty\) as trivial at the archimedean component where \(1 + \sqrt{2}\) is negative and the sign character at the other, while if the product equals \(-1\) we take the opposite choice. This implies that \(\chi(1 + \sqrt{2}) = 1\) so \(\chi\) is trivial at fundamental units, since \(\chi(-1) = \chi_2(-1) = 1\). Then \(\chi\) is well defined and in particular lets note that \(\chi_2|\mathbb{Z}^\times = \delta_2\) and \(\chi_3|\mathbb{Z}^\times = 1\).

The third condition of the above theorem implies that \(\chi\) can be used to twist the representation in order to descend it (this is already explained in [PT20]) and the first two conditions will give us information about the type of the form.

**Theorem 2.4.** The twisted representation \(\rho_{\bar{\epsilon}, p} \otimes \chi\) descends to a 2-dimensional representation of \(\text{Gal}_Q\) attached to a newform \(f\) of weight 2, level \(N = 2^8 \cdot 3^r\), where \(r = 1, 3\) and Nebentypus equals \(\varepsilon\) or \(\varepsilon \delta_2\).

**Proof.** It follows from the same analysis of [PT20, Theorem 6.3], the above result and Ribet’s lowering the level. The uncertainty of the Nebentypus is discussed in [PT20, Remark 7].

Thanks to Theorem 2.4 we are ready to eliminate \(F_9\). For a prime number \(q\) we will denote

\[S_q = \left\{ a \in \mathbb{F}_q : \prod_{g' \in S_d(\Gamma_0(128))} \gcd(B_a(q, F_9), C_a(q, g')) = 0 \right\}.\]

The original problem was that for every prime that we fixed, \(S_q\) was never empty but now, finding a \(q\) such that the product \(\prod_{a \in S_q} B'_a(q, f)\) does not vanish, it will hold that

\[(3) \quad \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} \gcd(B_a(q, F_9), B'_a(q, f), C_a(q, g'))\]

is different to 0 so we will be successful in giving a finite set to which \(p\) has to belong.

### 3. Proof of the Theorems

In this section we present the proof of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5. We will treat first equation (1) and once we have proved Theorem 1.4, we will explain how to get Theorem 1.5. As it is enough to prove it for prime numbers, we will prove the result for \(p > 3\) using the aforementioned method and later will finish it proving the cases \(p = 2, 3\).

From the previous discussion, it is enough to give the desired \(q\) for which the product (3) does not vanish. Furthermore, it is enough to get a set of candidates for \(p\) lower than 37, thanks to Cohen’s result.

Because of the ambiguity of the Nebentypus in Theorem 2.4, we have to consider two cases, depending on the Nebentypus. All of this computations were performed with Magma.

#### 3.1. The Nebentypus equals \(\varepsilon\).

The space \(S_2(2^8 \cdot 3, \varepsilon)\) has 10 Galois conjugacy classes and \(S_2(2^8 \cdot 3^3, \varepsilon)\) has 21 Galois conjugacy classes. An easy calculation from Proposition 2.2 shows that \(S_{11} = \{1, 10\}\), and \(S_{19} = \{1, 18\}\). Also, if \(a \in S_{11}\) we compute \(B'_a(11, f)\) (for \(f\) in the above spaces) and it follows that \(p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13, 29, 263, 577\}\) and if \(a \in S_{19}\) then \(p \in \{2, 3, 7, 13, 17, 19, 73, 109, 367, 1571\}\).

For \(q = 11, 19\) we compute \(B_a(q, F_9)\) and \(C_a(q, g')\) for \(q \in S_2(\Gamma_0(128))\). If \(q = 11\) then \(B_a(11, F_9) \in \{-15, 0, 9, 12\}\) and \(B'_a(11, g') \in \{0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 6, \pm 8\}\). So computing (3) for \(q = 11\) we get that \(p\) must belong to \(\{2, 3, 5, 7, 13, 29, 263, 577\}\). Doing the same for \(q = 19\) we get that \(p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13, 17, 19, 73, 109, 367, 1571\}\). Intersecting both sets it follows that \(p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}\).
3.2. The Nebentypus equals $\varepsilon\delta_2$. We apply the same methodology of the previous case but for the primes $q = 11, 13$.

The space $S_2(2^8 \cdot 3, \varepsilon\delta_2)$ has 7 Galois conjugacy classes and $S_2(2^8 \cdot 3^3, \varepsilon\delta_2)$ has 18 Galois conjugacy classes. Computing $B_2(11, f)$ for $f$ in these spaces and $a \in S_{11}$ it follows that $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 11, 19, 37, 47\}$. The same argument for $a \in S_{13} = \{1, 12\}$ shows that $p$ must belong to $\{2, 3, 13, 17, 23, 251, 491, 188029\}$. Intersecting the above sets we get that $p \in \{2, 3\}$.

Then, by joining the above two cases, we can discard $F_9$ assuming $p \not\in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$. Since the other forms can be eliminated with the same assumption (see [Che12, page 170]) and we know that the statement holds for $p = 5, 7, 13$ (from Cohen’s lemma) it only remains the small cases $p = 2, 3$.

3.3. Small cases.

Case $p = 2$. This case follows directly from an easy analysis of the equation $x^2 - 2 = y^2$ modulo 4.

Case $p = 3$. Let $X := y$ and $Y := x$, then the original equation turns out to be the elliptic curve $Y^2 = X^3 + 2$ (Cremona label 1728a1), which only has two integral points, namely $(X, Y) = (-1, \pm 1)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. The case $m = 0$ was proved in [Ko065] and we have solved the case $m = 1$. Let’s assume that $m \geq 2$. Then [Ivo03, Corrollaire of page 328] implies that there are not more solutions than the ones in Table 1.1 unless perhaps when $y = \pm 1$. This corresponds to the equations $x^2 \pm 1 = 2^m$ which were already solved as special cases of the Lebesgue-Nagell equation with $D = \pm 1$. □
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