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Abstract

We propose a novel algorithm for finding square roots modulo p in finite field $\mathbb{F}_p^*$. Calculating square root of an element of field $\mathbb{F}_p^*$, if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ is straightforward. However calculating square root for $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ remains non trivial. Tonelli-Shanks algorithm remains the most widely used and probably the fastest when averaged over all primes [19]. This paper proposes a new approach for finding square roots in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ for all odd primes, which shows improvement over existing method.

Apart from faster computation time, the proposed method does not necessarily require availability of non-residue and can work with ‘relative non-residue’ also. Such ‘relative non-residues’ are much easier to find (probability $\frac{2}{3}$) compared to non-residues (probability $\frac{1}{2}$).
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1 Introduction

The first recorded reference of finding square root is found by Bhaskara Acharya (1150 AD) who considered the very special case $x^2 \equiv 30 \pmod{7}$. However till present day, the task of computing square roots in any finite field $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ remains a problem of considerable importance with application in well-known cryptographic mechanisms, like the quadratic sieve factorization method, point counting on elliptic curves, or the elliptic curve [13]. The standard method of finding an element $\alpha$ in the finite field $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ such that it is a quadratic residue, is done by checking Legendre symbol $(\frac{\alpha}{p}) = 1$ or $\alpha^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Once we have settled the issue that quadratic residue exists, finding the square root modulo $p$ for $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ is straightforward and given by $\sqrt{\alpha} \pmod{p} \equiv \pm \alpha^{(p+1)/4}$. However finding square root of $\alpha$, if it exists, for modulo $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, is non trivial. There are explicit solutions for $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ but the case for $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ remains an open issue [17],[15].

upon Tonelli’s algorithm and presented an efficient Tonelli-Shanks \[4\] algorithm. This algorithm which has found widespread acceptance finds square roots by working on better and better approximation of square root. Few other algorithms of Adleman-Manders-miller \[5\], a generalisation of Tonelli-shanks for taking \(r^{th}\) root in 1977, followed by by M.O.Rabin in the year 1980, Berlekamp-Rabin \[7\] and in the year 1986 Peralta \[8\] dealt with issue of finding square root. All these algorithms are efficient but require knowledge of one non residue for finding the square root, hence are considered probabilistic. However under the assumption that ERH is true, Ankeny \[16\] showed that the least quadratic non residue over \(F_p^*\) is less than \(c \log^2 p\) for some constant \(c\). This implies that all probabilistic algorithms for finding a quadratic non residue as mentioned previously can be improved to a deterministic polynomial time algorithm, if ERH is true. It can also be proved that the least quadratic non residue must be a prime \[15\]. Schoof \[14\], in 1985, used elliptic curves to propose a deterministic algorithm to find square roots modulo prime. This algorithm is efficient (polynomial time) for some residues but not in general. In 2011, Tsz-Wo Sze \[15\] proposed a deterministic algorithm to find square roots over finite fields without being given any quadratic non-residue. However, run time of such an algorithm is \(O(\log^3 p)\) which becomes computationally expensive and impractical for larger primes. In comparison if quadratic non-residue is known present method on average runs \(O(c \log p + \epsilon)\) time and for only special primes such that \(p = 2^r + 1\) approaches \(O(\log^2 p)\).

In this paper we propose an algorithm (probabilistic - as it require a non-residue) to deal with square root of quadratic residue for all odd primes. In the proposed new algorithm, the traditional method of dealing with \(p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}\) has been extended for \(p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\), with the help of use of quadratic non-residue \(\beta\) of the same finite field \(F_p^*\). Please see the section with 'Future Ideas' for more details. This new algorithm is much faster and efficient.

In fact in some cases depending upon the order of the element whose square root is sought, algorithm works even without the availability of strict non quadratic residue.

2 Preliminary Concepts

The basic idea on which this algorithm is based on are -

2.1 Conditions for quadratic residue in a Field

We know that for square root of an element \(\alpha\) of finite field \(F_p^*\) to exist, Legendre symbol \(\left(\frac{\alpha}{p}\right) = 1\) or \(\alpha^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\). \(\alpha\) is also called quadratic residue.

Similarly an element \(\beta\) of finite field \(F_p^*\) is a non residue if Legendre symbol \(\left(\frac{\beta}{p}\right) = -1\) or \(\beta^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}\).
2.2 Number of roots of unity in Finite Field

It is also a proven fact there are only two square roots of unity in a finite field $F_p^*$ viz. $\{1, p−1\}$.

2.3 Conditions for Group $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$

It can be easily seen that for multiplicative group $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$, roots of unity are only two viz. $\{1, p^k−1\}$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$, $\alpha$ is quadratic residue, i.e. $\sqrt{\alpha}$ exists if $\alpha^{|p|/2} \equiv 1$. Similarly for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p^k)}^*$, $\beta$ is quadratic non residue, i.e. $\sqrt{\beta}$ does not exist if $\beta^{|p^k|/2} \equiv −1$. Here $\phi(p^k)$ is euler’s totient $= (p−1)p^{k−1}$.

2.4 Theorem 1: for quadratic residue modulo p

Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ be an odd prime. Let $p−1$ be expressed as $p−1 = 2^d$ where $d$ odd integer $≥ 1$ and $r$ be any integer $> 0$. Let $\alpha$ be a quadratic residue and let $\beta$ be quadratic non residue in the finite field $F_p^*$. Then a positive integer $m$ can always be found such that $\alpha^d \beta^{2md} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. This will imply $\alpha^{(d+1)} \beta^{2md} \equiv \alpha \pmod{p}$ and hence $\sqrt{\alpha} \equiv ±(\alpha)^{(d+1)/2} \beta^{md} \pmod{p}$.

Proof:

Given a non quadratic residue $\beta$ and a quadratic residue $\alpha$ such that $\alpha^{2^r−1}d \equiv 1$. We will reduce $\alpha^{2^r−1}d$ to $\alpha^d$ by successive square rooting operation in $(r−1)$ steps. Starting from $\alpha^{2^r−1}d \equiv 1$, square root will give $\alpha^{2^r−2}d \equiv ±1$. At each step we multiply expression with $\beta \lambda_i 2^{r−1}d$, where $\lambda_i \in \{0,1\}$. We choose value of $\lambda_i = 0$ if square root was 1 and we choose $\lambda_i = 1$ if square root was -1.

We note that $\beta^{2^{r−1}d−1} \equiv −1$ as $\beta$ is non residue. Hence after k steps we get the following expression where $\lambda_i \in \{0,1\}$:

$\alpha^d \beta^{\lambda_1 2^d} \beta^{\lambda_2 2^d} \ldots \beta^{\lambda_r 2^{r−2}d} \equiv 1$.

Implying, $\alpha^d \beta^{2^d (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 2^1 + \ldots + \lambda_r 2^{r−2})} \equiv 1$.

2.5 Theorem 2: Set of possible solutions mod p

Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ be an odd prime, such that $p−1 = 2^d$ where $d$ be an odd integer $≥ 1$ and $r$ be any integer $> 0$. Let $\alpha$ be a quadratic residue and let $\beta$ be quadratic non residue in the finite field $F_p^*$. Then $\sqrt{\alpha}$ (mod $p$) can always be found in the set $\{\alpha^{(d+1)/2} \beta^{2^{r−1−k}i} \pmod{p} \} \pmod{p}$ for $0 ≤ i ≤ 2^k−1$ where $k$, for which $\alpha^{2^d} \equiv 1$ and $0 ≤ k ≤ (r−1)$.

Proof:

Given a non quadratic residue $\beta$ and a quadratic residue $\alpha$ such that $\alpha^{2^d} \equiv 1$ for $0 ≤ k ≤ (r−1)$. We will reduce $\alpha^{2^d}$ to $\alpha^d$ by successive square rooting operation in $k$ steps. Starting from $\alpha^{2^d} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, square root will give $\alpha^{2^d−1}d \equiv ±1 \pmod{p}$. At each step we multiply expression with $\beta^{\lambda_i 2^{r−1}d}$, where $\lambda_i \in \{0,1\}$. we choose value of $\lambda_i = 0$ if square root was 1 and we choose $\lambda_i = 1$ if square root was
We note that $\beta^{2^{-1}d} \equiv -1$ as $\beta$ is non residue. hence after $k$ steps we get the following expression where $\lambda_i \in \{0,1\}:$

$$\alpha^d \ast \beta^{\lambda_1 2^{r-1}d} \ast \beta^{\lambda_2 2^{r-k+1}d} \ast \ldots \beta^{\lambda_k 2^{r-k+1}d} \equiv 1.$$  

This implies $\alpha^{d+1} \ast \beta^{\lambda_1 2^{r-k}d} \ast \beta^{\lambda_2 2^{r-k+1}d} \ast \ldots \beta^{\lambda_k 2^{r-k+1}d} \equiv \alpha$ 

Implying $\sqrt{\alpha} \equiv \alpha^{(d+1)/2} \ast \beta^{\lambda_1 2^{r-k-1}d} \ast \beta^{\lambda_2 2^{r-k}d} \ast \ldots \lambda_k 2^{r-k+1}d$ 

Rearranging terms $\sqrt{\alpha} \equiv \alpha^{(d+1)/2} \ast \beta^{\lambda_1 2^{r-2}d} \ast \ldots \beta^{\lambda_{k-1} 2^{r-2}d} \ast \beta^{\lambda_k 2^{r-k-1}d}$ 

It is easy to see that possible values of $\sqrt{\alpha}$ can be all possible permutations of $\lambda_i$. As there are only two possible values of $\lambda_i \in \{0,1\}$, hence value of $\sqrt{\alpha}$ has to be equal to one of these possible $2^k$ values. We also note that all other terms of $\beta$ evaluate to 1 (i.e. exponent=0, when $\lambda_i = 0$) or can be obtained by successive squaring of $\beta^{2^{r-k-1}d}$. Basically it is $k$-bit number, where value of bit is either 1 (exponent of $\beta =0$) or $(\beta^{2^{r-k-1}d})^{2^j}$ ($j$'th place value) for the $j$'th placed bit, where $0 \leq j \leq k$. Hence all possible solutions are $\beta^{2^{r-k-1}i}d$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2^k -1$. Hence set of all possible values of $\sqrt{\alpha}$ is equal to $\{\alpha^{(d+1)/2} \beta^{2^{r-1-k}i}d$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2^k -1\}$. We also note that as $\alpha$ is a quadratic residue, hence there exists a $0 \leq k \leq (r-1)$ such that $\alpha^k \ast d = 1$. This proves the theorem.

### 2.6 Theorem 3 : for quadratic residue modulo $p^k$

Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ be an odd prime and let $k$ be an integer $k > 0$. Let $\phi(p^k) = 2^k D$ where $D$ be an odd integer ($D \geq 1$) and $R$ be any integer ($R > 0$). Let $\alpha$ be a quadratic residue and let $\beta$ be quadratic non residue in the multiplicative group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^*$. Then a positive integer $m \geq 0$ can always be found such that $\alpha^D \beta^m D \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$.

This will imply $\alpha^{(D+1)/2} \beta^{2^m D} \equiv \alpha \pmod{p^k}$ and hence $\sqrt{\alpha} \equiv \pm(\alpha)^{(D+1)/2} \beta^{m D} \pmod{p^k}$.

**Proof :**

Proof is similar to Theorem 1 by noting that roots of unity in multiplicative group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^*$ are $\{1, -1\}$. Also $\alpha$ is a quadratic residue hence $\alpha^{\phi(p^k)/2} \equiv 1$. Similarly for any non residue $\beta$ if $\beta^{\phi(p^k)/2} \equiv -1$. Here $\phi(p^k)$ is euler’s totient = $p^{k-1}(p-1)$. Arguing on similar lines as Theorem 1, it eventually leads us to $\alpha^{D+1/2} \beta^{2^m D} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$ where $m \geq 0$.

### 2.7 Theorem 4: Set of possible solutions mod $p^k$

Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ be an odd prime and let $k$ be an integer $k > 0$. Let $\phi(p^k) = 2^k D$ where $D$ be an odd integer ($D \geq 1$) and $R$ be any integer ($R > 0$). Let $\alpha$ be a quadratic residue and let $\beta$ be quadratic non residue in the multiplicative group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^*$. Then $\sqrt{\alpha}$ (mod $p^k$) can always be found in the set $\{(\alpha)^{(D+1)/2} \beta^{2^j D} \pmod{p^k} \}$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2^j -1$ where $j$ is such that for which $\alpha^{2^j D} \equiv 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq (R-1)$.

**Proof :**

This can be proven on the same lines as Theorem 2. Here we try to prove it by noting that here $\phi(p^k) = 2^k D$ and also as given value of $j$ for which $\alpha^{2^{j-1} D} \equiv 1$. Arguing on similar lines as Theorem 2, we get $\sqrt{\alpha}$ (mod $p^k$) can always be found.
in the set \( \{(\alpha)^{2j+1} / 2 \beta^d (2^{j-1} - d) \} \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq 2^j - 1 \) where \( j \) is such that for which \( \alpha^{2j} \equiv 1 \) and \( 0 \leq j \leq (R - 1) \).

2.8 Discussion about ‘relative’ non-residue.

Let \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in F_p^* \) and \( p - 1 = 2d \) where \( r, d, i, j \) positive integers such that \( r, d \geq 1 \) and \( d \) an odd integer. Also, let \( \alpha \) be quadratic residue, \( \beta \) be non-residue and \( \gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \) be any elements of \( F_p^* \). We define another function (domain = \( F_p^* \)) as

\[
\begin{align*}
    f(\gamma) &= \left\{ 
    \begin{array}{ll}
    -1 & \text{if } \gamma^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \\
    i & \text{if } \gamma^{2d} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}
    \end{array}
\right.
\end{align*}
\]

Let us call the output of this function as \( f \)-value. Please note this is different than order of element in finite field \( F_p^* \). Also unlike order of an element, this function can be easily calculated in \( \log p \) time. We assert that for calculating the square root of any given \( \alpha \), we need only to find \( \gamma \in F_p^* \) such that \( f(\gamma) > f(\alpha) \), i.e., if \( f \)-value of \( \gamma \) is more than \( f \)-value of \( \alpha \), then \( \gamma \) acts as ‘relative non-residue’ to \( \alpha \) for the purposes of proposed algorithm. We show below, finding such \( \gamma \) should be much easier compared to finding a non-residue.

The possible values of \( f(\gamma) \in S_v = \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots, r - 2, r - 1\} \). (from definition of function)

Let \( S_{f_i} \) denote the set all elements in \( F_p^* \) having \( f \)-value = \( i \), i.e.

\[
S_{f_i} = \{ x : x \in F_p^* \text{ such that } f(x) = i \}.
\]

Also it is easy to see that if \( x \in S_{f_i} \), then \( \pm \sqrt{x} \in S_{f_{i+1}} \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq r - 2 \). And if \( x \in S_{f_{r-1}} \) then \( \sqrt{x} \in S_{f_{r-1}} \) and \( -\sqrt{x} \in S_p \).

Using these facts it is easy to calculate the cardinality of set \( S_{f_i} \).

\[
|S_{f_i}| = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 
2^d & \text{if } i \geq 0 \\
2^{d+1} & \text{if } i = -1
\end{array} \right.
\]

Please note that \( \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} |S_{f_i}| = \sum (d + d + 2d^2 + 2^3d^3 + \ldots + 2^{r-1}d) = d + d(2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2 + \ldots + 2^{r-1}) = d + d(2^r - 1) = 2^r d = p - 1 \), equal to total elements in field \( F_p^* \).

From above discussion it is clear that probability of a random element having \( f \)-value equal to \( k \) is \( \frac{1}{2^r k} \) for \( k \geq 0 \) and \( 1/2^r \) for \( k = -1 \).

Also probability of getting a relative non-residue, given an element \( \alpha \) (with \( f \)-value = \( k \)) = (number of elements having \( f \)-value > \( k \)) / (all elements of \( F_p^* \)) = \( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |S_{f_i}| / 2^d \), where \( -1 \leq f(\alpha) = k \leq r - 2 \).

Now given a random \( \alpha \) (a quadratic residue), whose square root is sought, picking up a random \( \gamma \in F_p^* \), such that \( f(\gamma) > f(\alpha) = k \), averaging it over all the possible values of \( k \), the required probability that \( \gamma \) is suitable comes around \( \frac{1}{2} \). It is clear that probability of finding a suitable \( \gamma \), given an \( \alpha \), such that \( f(\gamma) > f(\alpha) \) is much higher than \( \frac{1}{2} \) (which is the case when we are searching for strict non-residue).

Also please note that set \( S_{f_k} \) contains similar elements i.e. let \( Y = \{ x^{2n+1} : x \in S_{f_k}, n \in I \} \), then \( Y \subset S_{f_k} \). In most of the cases it turns out that \( Y = S_{f_k} \) (a proper analysis might reveal some hidden properties). Proof for \( Y \subset S_{f_k} \), is easy to see (if \( x^{2^d} \equiv -1 \) this implies \( x^{2n+1} \equiv -1 \) hence \( x^{2n+1} \in S_{f_{k-1}} \)), however proving that when \( Y = S_{f_k} \) may require some effort. Similarly if \( x \in S_{f_k} \) then \( x^{2n} \in S_{f_{k-1}} \) for \( 0 \leq k \leq r - 1 \).
3 Proposed Algorithm

Once we have determined that $\alpha$ is indeed a quadratic residue, the core idea is to keep taking square root starting from $\alpha^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1$. However when ever we get $-1$ as square root we multiply both sides by $\beta^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1$, where $\beta$ is non residue.

and continue the process again till we reach $\alpha^d \cdot \beta^{2d} \equiv 1$ or $\alpha^{(2k+2)} \cdot \beta^{2d} \equiv \alpha$ (as $d = 2k + 1$), giving us $\alpha^{(k+1)} \cdot \beta^{d} \cdot \beta^{2d} \equiv \sqrt{\alpha}$. It can be easily seen we would be able to reduce the exponent of $\alpha$ to an odd number with exponent of $\beta$ still being even. Once we achieve that, we multiply both sides by $\alpha$ and obtain an expression which has even exponent of both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ equal to $\alpha \pmod{p}$. This equation directly provides $\sqrt{\alpha}$.

Please note that unlike previous approaches [4], [5], new proposed method is basically top down traversal of binary tree. It starts from $\alpha^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1$ and works its way down halving the exponent of $\alpha$ at each step till it reaches $\alpha^d \cdot \beta^{2md} \equiv 1$. This is achieved in just $r - 1$ steps where $p - 1 = 2^r d$.

Same methodology can be applied, so that it works for taking square root of quadratic residues modulo $p^k$. Please see example -’E’ later under the examples.

3.1 Insight into the algorithm

The core of the algorithm is based on the fact that there are only two possible square roots of any element $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^*_p$ and square root of 1 $\in \{1, p - 1\}$. This allows us to construct a set with at most $2^{(r-1)}$ elements, where one of the elements must be the desired square root. Knowledge of the possible solution set, and its elements available at the leaf nodes of binary tree of height at most $(r - 1)$ makes top down traversal of such tree natural and fast.

Runtime for this algorithm is $O(r^2)$, which is faster than existing known methods, if we are ready to store values of $\{\alpha^d, \alpha^{2d}, \ldots, \alpha^{2^{r-2}d}\}$ and $\{\beta^d, \beta^{2d}, \ldots, \beta^{2^{r-2}d}\}$ i.e memory storage requirement of $O(r)$ or in worst case, when $r$ approaches log $p$, $O(\log(p))$. Similarly for those special primes $p = 2^r + 1$ run time also approaches $O(\log^2 p)$ in worst case.
3.2 Pseudo Code for square root of a quadratic residue modulo \( p \)

**Algorithm 1**: Pseudo Code to calculate \( \sqrt{\alpha} \pmod{p} \). Returns 0 if none exists.

**Input**: \( \alpha, \beta \in F_p^* \), \( \beta \) is non-residue and \( p \) a prime.

**Output**: \( \sqrt{\alpha} \), if it exists else 0.

1. if \( (p = 2) \) then
   2. return \( \alpha \)
3. end if
4. if \( (\frac{\alpha}{p}) = -1 \) then
   5. return 0
7. end if
9. \( \alpha^{\text{pow}} \leftarrow (p - 1) \) and \( \beta^{\text{pow}} \leftarrow 0 \)
10. while \( (\alpha^{\text{pow}} \text{ is even}) \) do
11. \( \alpha^{\text{pow}} \leftarrow \alpha^{\text{pow}}/2 \) and \( \beta^{\text{pow}} \leftarrow \beta^{\text{pow}}/2 \)
12. if \( \alpha^{\text{pow}} \beta^{\text{pow}} = -1 \) then
14. \( \beta^{\text{pow}} \leftarrow \beta^{\text{pow}} + (p - 1)/2 \)
16. end if
17. end while
19. \( \alpha^{\text{pow}} \leftarrow (\alpha^{\text{pow}} + 1)/2 \) and \( \beta^{\text{pow}} \leftarrow \beta^{\text{pow}}/2 \)
21. return \( \alpha^{\text{pow}} \beta^{\text{pow}} \)

Please note pseudo code is illustrative - so that basic working of algorithm becomes clear. However computer implementation of the same was done with computational efficiency in mind and hence in actual values \( \alpha^{2^i} \) for all \( 0 \leq i \leq r \) was also calculated only once and similarly \( \beta^{2^i} \) for all \( 0 \leq i \leq r \) should also calculated once, requiring space of \( O(r) \). However as indicated below last 3-4 levels of binary tree need not be traversed, but could be ascertained by considering all possible values at leaf nodes. Basically square root of any \( \alpha \in F_p^* \) will exist at leaf of binary tree of depth \( (r - 1) \) i.e. total possible values from which one is the correct value would be amongst the \( 2^{(r-1)} \) elements of the solution set.

\[ \sqrt{\alpha} \pmod{p} \in \{\alpha^{(d+1)/2}\beta^i \text{ where } 0 \leq i \leq 2^{(r-1)} - 1\} \]

3.3 How is it different from Tonelli-Shanks and AMM

Let us start by analysing Tonelli-Shanks, which starts with possible value of \( \alpha^{d+1/2} \) as the possible root. In Tonelli-Shanks algorithm the idea is to maintain, after each iteration, \( r, \alpha \) and \( t \) such that \( r^2 \equiv \alpha t \pmod{p} \). \( \alpha \) doesn’t change after each iteration (since it’s the quadratic residue whose root we’re attempting to find), but \( r \) and \( t \) are updated. The heart of the algorithm lies in the fact that the order of \( t \) is strictly decreasing over iterations, so eventually it will have the order of 1. Only the element 1 (mod \( p \)) is order 1. Once \( t \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \), then we’ve found \( r^2 \equiv \alpha \pmod{p} \), which is our solution.

If we look it at as the tree traversing algorithm it starts from one leaf of the tree \( \alpha^d \), climbs up till it effectively reaches to the root of the tree (i.e max value
of $i$ for which $\alpha^{2^i d} \equiv 1$) to be searched. It improves its estimate (in each iteration with $\beta^d$) and again starts form the corresponding leaf to the new possible root. It is guaranteed that next limbs would be for a smaller and smaller heights. This process is repeated till the leaf becomes the new root.

Our proposed method basically traverses the binary tree top-down in deterministic fashion. There are at maximum $(r-1)$ steps involved to reduce $\alpha^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1$ to expression $\alpha^d \cdot \beta^{2^{md}} \equiv 1$, with each step halving the exponent of $\alpha$. Also proposed method starts by recognising through Theorem 2, the possible solution set, one of whose element must be square root of quadratic residue $\alpha$. So, in this case, algorithm has to basically search the set with maximum possible elements equal to $2^{(r-1)}$, efficiently. This is done easily and naturally by a top down approach. In proposed method, however for computational advantage, root of the tree is found only one time, starting from the leaf $\alpha^d$. Once search tree’s root has been found, after that tree is traversed top down taking the branch on which side the desired leaf is going to be (depending upon if the square root is 1 or -1). After first root of the search has been located (i.e max value of $i$ for which $\alpha^{2^i d} \equiv 1$), it quickly traverses down to leaf node without going up and down the binary tree.

Computation advantage over Tonelli-Shanks occurs because tree is not traversed bottom up for each new estimate, rather it takes a definite path down, depending upon the square root of the node is 1 or -1. In proposed method we climb up tree only for the first time and after that we just descend (no multiple climbs after adjusting the value). In fact, proposed method takes definitive way down from top to bottom leaf (to the solution), makes it possible to implement it much more efficiently.

Adleman, Manders & Millers [5], is the generalisation of Tonelli-Shanks for calculating $r^{th}$ root and it also tries to find out $\alpha^{d} \equiv 1$ by each time revising the estimate $\alpha_j$, starting from the leaf till it reaches the next root of the search tree. Its mechanism to search the binary search tree to find out square root [5] is exactly similar to Tonelli-Shanks, hence also computationally expensive than the proposed method.

The proposed method has the mechanism of moving up-down the search tree while, Tonelli-Shanks [1] and Adleman, Manders & Millers [5] traverse the tree bottom up only. Also proposed method is able to create a pre calculated set (by Theorem 2) which must contain root. Each decision at any intermediate node of the tree while travelling down the tree cuts the solution space by half. Hence implementation of proposed method lends itself to much more efficient algorithm.

# Run time analysis

We can implement this algorithm in $O(r^2)$ and in extreme cases (when $r = \log p$) to $O(\log^2 p)$. This is achieved if we are ready to store ‘r’ results of $(\alpha^d, \alpha^{2d}, ..., \alpha^{2^{(r-1)}d})$ and $(\beta^d, \beta^{2d}, ..., \beta^{2^{(r-1)}d})$ which we will need to calculate any way to find out if $\alpha$ is indeed quadratic residue and $\beta$ is indeed a quadratic non residue. The storage
requirement would be \( O(r) \) and in worst case \( O(\log p) \) when \( p = 2^r + 1 \). The height of binary tree traversed would be at most \((r-1)\) and hence loop would be executed \((r-1)\) times. At each step in the loop, as values of \( \alpha^d, \alpha^{2d}, \ldots, \beta^d, \beta^{2d}, \ldots \) are available, no more than \( r \) multiplications would take place. Hence run time of \( O(r^2) \) which in worst case scenario would asymptotically approach \( O(\log^2 p) \) i.e. when \( r \) approaches \( \log p \). Total number of multiplications required in worst case scenario are approx. \( 2 * \log p + r^2 \).

It is easy to see from theorem 2 proved in preceding section that square root of any quadratic residue \( \alpha \) is necessarily element of the following set, if minimum \( i \) for which \( \alpha^d \equiv 1 \) and which say is \( i = r - j \). Please note least value for \( j \) can be 1.

\[
\sqrt{\alpha} \in \left\{ (\alpha)^{(d+1)/2}, \beta^{2^i-1}kd \right\} \text{ where } 0 \leq k \leq 2^i - 1; \text{ and } 0 \leq i \leq (r-1)
\]

This simplifies to

\[
\sqrt{\alpha} \in \left\{ (\alpha)^{(d+1)/2}, \beta^{2^{i-1}kd} \right\} \text{ where } 0 \leq k \leq 2^{(r-j)} - 1; \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq r
\]

Hence for practical purposes where \( r - j \) is less than a suitable value (say 6) trying all possible \( 2^{(r-j)} \) might be computationally cheaper. Hence for primes with smaller values of \( r \) running time would be much faster with out any extra storage requirements at all.

Also, proposed algorithm is much more suitable to parallel computing as subsets of solution sets can be searched independently. This has not been practically tested though, linear improvement in run-time is expected with proportional increase in number of threads/cpus.

### 4.1 Comparison with Tonelli-Shanks

Following is the table showing timings for checking first 10000 and 100000 elements for quadratic residue and it exists calculating the same. Each result was verified. The proposed algorithm was implemented in python with recursive function to traverse the tree. Idea was to roughly compare the run time with standard python code of tonelli-shanks algorithm available at Rosetta code \([\text{http://rosettacode.org/wiki/Tonelli-Shanks_algorithm#Python}]\), primes (50 to 200 digits at \( \text{https://primes.utm.edu/lists/small/} \)). Both functions were given a non-residue as input too, so that comparison of basic algorithm can be made.

Timings are in seconds = Tonnel-shank/ Our-proposed method

1. 50 digit prime (till 10000 residues) = 1.54/ 1.24
2. 50 digit prime (till 100000 residues) = 15.01/12.36
3. 110 digit prime (till 10000 residues) = 7.18/5.69
4. 110 digit prime (till 100000 residues) = 72.93/62.23
5. 120 digit prime (till 10000 residues) = 8.85/6.91
6. 120 digit prime (till 100000 residues) = 88.79/77.39
7. 130 digit prime (till 100000 residus)= 9.59/7.6
8. 130 digit prime (till 10000 residues)= 88.71/75.88
9. 140 digit prime (till 100000 residues)= 13.05/10.43
10. 140 digit prime (till 10000 residues) = 128/106.1
11. 150 digit prime (till 10000 residues) = 12.76/10.41
12. 150 digit prime (till 100000 residues) = 137.76/117.81
13. 200 digit prime (till 5000 residues) = 13.72/11.05
14. 200 digit prime (till 10000 residues) = 27.18/21.62
15. 200 digit prime (till 100000 residues) = 304.93/247.73

The improvement in time can be seen in all cases. Improvement of 15 – 20\% in time was observed. However more testing is required specially with larger value of \( r \) (proth’s prime) to see if the advantage vis a vis Tonelli-shanks widen’s and by how much.

It will not be out of place to mention here that for special type of large primes with very large \( r \), Cipolla-Lehmer method performs better as it has asymptotically better run time. But the algorithm of Tonelli and Shanks for computing square roots modulo a prime number is the most used, and probably the fastest among the known algorithms when averaged over all prime numbers [19].

4.2 Examples

Calculations have been shown, as would be easier to understand for humans. Exactly implementing this process may not give most efficient computer program, as it calculates things over and over again. However process shows the simplicity of the process for humans to understand the algorithm.

4.2.1 Example A: Let us try to calculate square root of 2 modulo 97 (given non residue 5)

First we check if 2 is indeed quadratic residue. we see \( 2^{48} \pmod{97} \equiv 1 \)

We calculate \( 2^{24} \pmod{97} \equiv -1 \)

As \( 2^{24} \pmod{97} \equiv -1 \), multiplying both sides by identity \( 5^{48} \pmod{97} \equiv -1 \)

We get \( 2^{24} \cdot 5^{48} \pmod{97} \equiv 1 \)

As exponent of 2 is still even again taking square root

We calculate \( 2^{12} \cdot 5^{24} \pmod{97} \equiv -1 \)

We get \( 2^{12} \cdot 5^{24} \cdot 5^{48} \pmod{97} \equiv 1 \)

As exponent of 2 is still even again taking root

We get \( 2^{6} \cdot 5^{12} \cdot 5^{24} \cdot 5^{48} \pmod{97} \equiv -1 \)

We get \( 2^{6} \cdot 5^{12} \cdot 5^{24} \cdot 5^{48} \pmod{97} \equiv 1 \)

As exponent of 2 is still even again taking root

We get \( 2^{3} \cdot 5^{6} \cdot 5^{12} \cdot 5^{24} \cdot 5^{48} \pmod{97} \equiv -1 \)

As exponent of 2 is now odd we can multiply both sides by 2

We get \( 2^{4} \cdot 5^{6} \cdot 5^{12} \cdot 5^{24} \cdot 5^{48} \pmod{97} \equiv 1 \)

Taking square root final time we get

\[ \pm 2^{2} \cdot 5^{4} \cdot 5^{12} \cdot 5^{24} \cdot 5^{48} \pmod{97} \equiv \sqrt{2} \]

i.e \( \sqrt{2} \equiv \pm 83 \)

We find, indeed \( 83 \cdot 83 \pmod{97} \equiv 2 \).
4.2.2 Example B: Let us try to calculate square root of 6 modulo 43 (given non residue 3)

First we check if 6 is indeed quadratic residue. we see $6^{21} \pmod{43} \equiv 1$
As the exponent of 6 is already odd, we need not take further square root. we multiply both sides by 6
we get $6^{22} \pmod{43} \equiv 6$
Taking square root for final time we get
implying $\sqrt{6} \equiv \pm6^{11}$ i.e. $\sqrt{6} \equiv \pm36$
We find, indeed $36 \times 36 \pmod{43} \equiv 6$
Please note we did not need to make use of quadratic non residue here at all.This is true for all primes of type 3 \pmod{4}.

4.2.3 Example C: Let us try to calculate square root of 2 modulo 41 (given non residue 3)

First we check if 2 is indeed quadratic residue. we see $2^{20} \pmod{41} \equiv 1$
As the exponent of 2 is even we take square root of both sides
We get $2^{10} \pmod{41} \equiv -1$
As result is -1 we multiply both sides by $3^{20} \equiv -1$
We get $2^{10} \times 3^{20} \pmod{41} \equiv 1$
As the exponent of 2 is even we take square root of both sides
We get $2^{5} \times 3^{10} \pmod{41} \equiv 1$
As the exponent of 2 is odd now, we multiply both sides by 2
We get $2^{6} \times 3^{10} \pmod{41} \equiv 2$
Taking square root of both sides for final time
We get $\sqrt{2} \equiv \pm2^{3} \times 3^{5} \pmod{41}$
Implying $\sqrt{2} \equiv \pm17 \pmod{41}$
We find, indeed $17 \times 17 \pmod{41} \equiv 2$.

4.2.4 Example D: Let us try to calculate square root of -1 modulo 13 (given non residue 5)

First we check if -1 is indeed quadratic residue. we see $(-1)^6 \pmod{13} \equiv 1$.
As the exponent (-1) is even we take square roots of both sides We get $(-1)^3 \pmod{13} \equiv -1$.
As the result is -1, we multiply both sides by $5^6 \pmod{13} \equiv -1$
We get $(-1)^3 \times 5^6 \pmod{13} \equiv 1$.
As the exponent of (-1) is odd we multiply both sides by (-1)
We get $(-1)^4 \times 5^6 \pmod{13} \equiv -1$.
Taking square root for the final time
We get $\sqrt{-1} \equiv \pm(-1)^2 \times 5^3 \pmod{13}$
implying $\sqrt{-1} \equiv \pm8$
We find, indeed $8 \times 8 \pmod{13} \equiv 12$
Please note 12 $\equiv -1 \pmod{13}$.
4.2.5 Example E: Let us try to calculate square root of 5 modulo $43^3 = 68921$ (given non residue 3)

We note $\phi(43^3) = 67240$. We check and find 5 is indeed quadratic residue $5^{67240/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{43^3}$ and 3 is indeed non residue $3^{33620} \equiv -1 \pmod{43^3}$. Now as exponent of 5 is even, we take square root

We get $5^{16810} \equiv -1 \pmod{43^3}$ As the result is -1, we multiply both sides by $3^{33620} \equiv -1 \pmod{43^3}$ we get $5^{16810} \cdot 3^{33620} \equiv 1 \pmod{43^3}$

Now as exponent of 5 is even, we take square root

we get $5^{8405} \equiv -1 \pmod{43^3}$ As the result is -1, we multiply both sides by 3

we get $5^{8405} \cdot 3^{8405} \equiv -1 \pmod{43^3}$

Now as exponent of 5 is odd we multiply both sides with 5 and take square root for the last time

We get $\sqrt{5} \pmod{43^3} \equiv \pm 3226$ We find, indeed $3226 \cdot 3226 \pmod{43^3} \equiv 5$.

4.2.6 Example F: Let us try to calculate square root of 6 modulo 97 (No non residue given)

First we check if 6 is indeed quadratic residue. we see $6^{48} \pmod{97} \equiv 1$.

As the exponent of 6 is even we take square roots of both sides

We get $6^{24} \pmod{97} \equiv 1$

As the exponent of 6 is even we take square roots of both sides

We get $6^{12} \pmod{97} \equiv 1$

As the exponent of 6 is even we take square roots of both sides

We get $6^6 \pmod{97} \equiv -1$

Now we do not have any quadratic non residue given, we select any random number hoping it would be quadratic non residue (We note probability of finding a non-residue is $\frac{1}{2}$). We will deal it in different parts.

Part 1: (We get 2 as randomly selected number)

Although 2 is non residue but we see $f(2) > f(6)$ (as $f(2) = 3$ and $f(6) = 1$), hence 2 is ‘relative non-residue’ and suitable. Also $f(2) = 3$ implies $2^{24} \equiv -1$.

As the value $6^6 \pmod{97} \equiv -1$, we multiply both sides by $2^{24} \equiv -1$

We get $6^6 \cdot 2^{24} \pmod{97} \equiv 1$

As the exponent 6 is even we take square roots of both sides

We get $6^3 \cdot 2^{12} \pmod{97} \equiv -1$

As the value $=-1$, we multiply both sides by $2^{24} \equiv -1$

we get $6^3 \cdot 2^{12} \cdot 2^{24} \pmod{97} \equiv 1$

As exponent of 6 is now odd we can multiply both sides by 6

we get $6^4 \cdot 2^{12} \cdot 2^{24} \pmod{97} \equiv 6$

Taking square root for the final time, we get $6^2 \cdot 2^6 \cdot 2^{12} \pmod{97} \equiv \sqrt{6}$

Implying $\sqrt{6} \equiv \pm 54 \pmod{97}$

We find, indeed $54 \cdot 54 \pmod{97} \equiv 6$. 
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Part 2 : (We get 9 as randomly selected number)

Although 9 is non-residue but we see \( f(9) > f(6) \) (as \( f(9) = 2 \) and \( f(6) = 1 \)), hence 9 is ‘relative non-residue’ and suitable. Also \( f(9) = 2 \) implies \( 9^{12} \equiv -1 \).

As the value \( 6^6 \pmod{97} \equiv -1 \), we multiply both sides by \( 9^{12} \equiv -1 \)

We get \( 6^6 * 9^{12} \pmod{97} \equiv 1 \)

As the exponent 6 is even we take square roots of both sides

We get \( 6^3 \pmod{97} \equiv 1 \)

As exponent of 6 is now odd we can multiply both sides by 6

We get \( 6^4 \pmod{97} \equiv 6 \)

Taking square root for the final time, We get \( 6^2 * 9^{6} \pmod{97} \equiv \sqrt{6} \)

Implies \( \sqrt{6} \equiv \pm 54 \pmod{97} \)

We find, indeed \( 54 * 54 \pmod{97} \equiv 6 \).

Part 3 : (We get 22 as randomly selected number)

Although 22 is also non-residue but we see \( f(22) = f(6) \) (as \( f(22) = 1 \) and \( f(6) = 1 \)), hence 22 is not suitable for calculating square root of 6. We will have to choose another number and see if it fits the bill!

The basic idea was to show that probability of finding a suitable ‘relative non-residue’ for calculating the square root of a given number, is greater (by around 16.67% , averaged over all residues ) than finding non-residues, as is required in other standard methods.

5 Future ideas

The strength of this algorithm is its simplicity and general applicability to all odd primes. However main drawback of this algorithm is the presupposition of the quadratic non-residue. But this can be over come to an extent. This algorithm does not necessarily demands a quadratic non residue. In fact given any element \( \alpha \) whose square root is to be calculated with minimum \( i_\alpha \) for which \( \alpha^{2^{i_\alpha}} \equiv -1 \), availability of any \( \gamma \) (not necessarily a quadratic non residue ) with minimum \( i_\gamma \) for which \( \gamma^{2^{i_\gamma}} \equiv -1 \) such that \( i_\gamma > i_\alpha \) will suffice . It is easy to see that if \( \gamma \) is indeed non residue, this condition will always hold for any quadratic residue \( \alpha \). Please see example ‘F’ in preceding section

In fact depending upon the height of tree being examined availability of an element whose forth root or for that matter eighth root does not exist (although square root may exist, i.e. it is a quadratic residue ) should also suffice(depending upon height of tree to be explored .We can easily see such ‘relative non-residues’ should be far easier to locate, as of all the elements 3/4th of all elements would be fourth root non residue and 7/8th of all elements would be eighth root non residue. It has been shown in one of the preceding section, ‘Discussion about relative non-residue’ probability of finding such ‘relative non-residue’, averaged over all residues, increases from 50% to 66.6%.

However more fundamental area would be to find a way to avoid using quadratic non residue altogether maintaining similar kind of run-time performance. From
philosophical point of view, mandatory requirement of an element whose square root can not be calculated (i.e. non residue), for finding square root of all other numbers (quadratic residues), definitely begs a more fundamental answer.

Another area of exploration could be to quickly locate the desired solution given the solution set. Given an $\alpha$ whose square root is to be calculated, we know the set of possible solutions(cardinality = $2^{\alpha-1}$). Although it seems like discrete logarithm problem at first glance, but a serious look may give better search time algorithms.

6 Conclusion

Ability to find square roots efficiently, in a finite field has its application in crypto systems as broader classes of elliptic and hyper-elliptic curve crypto systems can be set up more efficiently. Another idea could be to use it for efficient deterministic primality testing for Proth primes as shown by Sze [15].
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