CLASSIFYING THE CLOSED IDEALS OF BOUNDED OPERATORS
ON TWO FAMILIES OF NON-SEPARABLE CLASSICAL BANACH
SPACES
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Abstract. We classify the closed ideals of bounded operators acting on the Banach spaces $(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ell^2_n)_{c_0} \oplus c_0(\Gamma)$ and $(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ell^2_n)_{\ell_1} \oplus \ell_1(\Gamma)$ for every uncountable cardinal $\Gamma$.

1. Introduction

Very few Banach spaces $X$ are known for which the lattice of closed ideals of the Banach algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)$ of all bounded operators on $X$ is fully understood. When $X$ is finite-dimensional, $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is simple, meaning that it contains no non-zero, proper ideals, so we shall henceforth discuss infinite-dimensional Banach spaces only.

Our focus is on the “classical” case, that is, Banach spaces that can be defined by elementary means and/or were known to Banach and his contemporaries. There are currently only two families of Banach spaces of this kind whose lattices of closed operator ideals are fully understood:

(i) Daws [5, Theorem 7.4] has shown that for $X = c_0(\Gamma)$ or $X = \ell_p(\Gamma)$, where $\Gamma$ is an infinite cardinal and $1 \leq p < \infty$, the lattice of closed ideals of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is

\[ \{0\} \subsetneq \mathcal{K}(X) \subsetneq \mathcal{K}_{\aleph_1}(X) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathcal{K}_\kappa(X) \subsetneq \mathcal{K}_{\kappa^+}(X) \subsetneq \cdots \]

Here, $\mathcal{K}_{\kappa}(X)$ denotes the ideal of $\kappa$-compact operators for an uncountable cardinal $\kappa$ (see page 3 for the precise definition), and $\kappa^+$ denotes the cardinal successor of $\kappa$. An alternative description of the closed ideals of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is given in [12, Theorem 1.5]; see also [10, Theorem 3.7].

Daws’ theorem generalizes and unifies previous results of Calkin [3] for $X = \ell_2$, Gohberg, Markus and Feldman for $X = c_0$ or $X = \ell_p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and Gramsch [9] and Luft [21] independently for $X = \ell_2(\Gamma)$, where $\Gamma$ is an arbitrary infinite cardinal.

(ii) Let $E = (\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ell^2_n)_D$ for $D = c_0$ or $D = \ell_1$. Then it was shown in [19] and [20], respectively, that the lattice of closed ideals of $\mathcal{B}(E)$ is

\[ \{0\} \subsetneq \mathcal{K}(E) \subsetneq \mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}(E) \subsetneq \mathcal{B}(E) \]
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where \( \overline{\mathcal{F}}_D(E) \) denotes the closure of the ideal of operators on \( E \) that factor through the space \( D \).

We shall combine the above results to obtain two new “hybrid” families of Banach spaces, namely \( \left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ell_2^n \right)_{c_0} \oplus c_0(\Gamma) \) and its dual space \( \left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ell_2^n \right)_{\ell_1} \oplus \ell_1(\Gamma) \), for any uncountable cardinal \( \Gamma \), whose closed ideals of operators we classify. The precise statement is as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( (D, D_\Gamma) = (c_0, c_0(\Gamma)) \) or \( (D, D_\Gamma) = (\ell_1, \ell_1(\Gamma)) \) for an uncountable cardinal \( \Gamma \), and set \( E = \left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ell_2^n \right)_D \) and \( X = E \oplus D_\Gamma \). Then the lattice of closed ideals of \( B(X) \) is

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\{0\} \\
\downarrow \\
\mathcal{K}(X) \\
\downarrow \\
\overline{\mathcal{F}}_D(X) \\
\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{D_\Gamma}(X) \\
\mathcal{K}_{\aleph_1}(X) \\
\downarrow \\
\mathcal{K}_{\aleph_2}(X) \\
\downarrow \\
\mathcal{K}_{\aleph_3}(X) \\
\downarrow \\
\vdots \\
\downarrow \\
\mathcal{K}_\Gamma(X) \\
\downarrow \\
\mathcal{I}_\Gamma(X) \\
\downarrow \\
\mathcal{B}(X) \; ,
\end{array}
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{I}_\kappa(X) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} T_{1,1} & T_{1,2} \\ T_{2,1} & T_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}(X) : T_{1,1} \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_D(E), T_{1,2} \in \mathcal{B}(D_\Gamma; E), T_{2,1} \in \mathcal{B}(E; D_\Gamma), T_{2,2} \in \mathcal{K}_\kappa(D_\Gamma) \right\}
\]

(1.3)

for each cardinal \( \aleph_2 \leq \kappa \leq \Gamma^+ \), where an arrow from an ideal \( \mathcal{I} \) pointing to an ideal \( \mathcal{J} \) denotes that \( \mathcal{I} \subsetneq \mathcal{J} \) and there are no closed ideals of \( \mathcal{B}(X) \) strictly contained in between \( \mathcal{I} \) and \( \mathcal{J} \).
Remark 1.2. In addition to the “classical” Banach spaces listed above, there are a number of “exotic”, or purpose-built, Banach spaces whose closed ideals of operators can be classified. They occur in two classes:

- The first class contains the famous Banach space of Argyros and Haydon [1] solving the scalar-plus-compact problem, as well as several variants and descendents of it obtained in [26, 22, 16].
- The other class consists of the Banach space $C(K)$ of continuous, scalar-valued functions defined on Koszmider’s Mrówka space $K$, as shown in [15, Theorem 5.5]. Koszmider’s original construction of $K$ in [17] assumed the Continuum Hypothesis. A construction within ZFC is given in [18].

A possible explanation for the scarcity of Banach spaces $X$ whose closed ideals of operators have been classified, especially among classical spaces, is that recent research has shown that in many cases $\mathcal{B}(X)$ has $2^\mathfrak{c}$ closed ideals, where $\mathfrak{c}$ denotes the cardinality of the continuum. Note that this is the largest possible number of closed ideals for separable $X$. Spaces for which $\mathcal{B}(X)$ has $2^\mathfrak{c}$ closed ideals include $X = L_p[0, 1]$ for $p \in (1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$ (see [14]), $X = \ell_p \oplus \ell_q$ for $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$ with $(p, q) \neq (1, \infty)$ and $X = \ell_p \oplus c_0$ for $1 < p < \infty$ (see [6, 7]).

For several other spaces $X$, it is known that $\mathcal{B}(X)$ contains at least continuum many closed ideals. This includes $X = L_1[0, 1]$, $X = C[0, 1]$ and $X = L_\infty[0, 1]$ (see [13]; note that these results also cover $X = \ell_\infty$ because $\ell_\infty$ and $L_\infty[0, 1]$ are isomorphic as Banach spaces by [23]), as well as the Tsirelson space and the Schreier space of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [2]). For $X = \ell_1 \oplus c_0$, the best known result is that $\mathcal{B}(X)$ has at least uncountably many closed ideals (see [25]).

2. Preliminaries

Below we explain this paper’s most important notation, which is mostly standard. All of our results are valid for both real and complex Banach spaces; we write $\mathbb{K}$ for the scalar field. By an operator we always mean a bounded and linear map between normed spaces.

Operator ideals. Following Pietsch [24], an operator ideal is an assignment $\mathcal{I}$ which designates to each pair $(X, Y)$ of Banach spaces a subspace $\mathcal{I}(X; Y)$ of the Banach space $\mathcal{B}(X; Y)$ of operators from $X$ to $Y$ for which:

(i) there exists a pair $(X, Y)$ of Banach spaces for which $\mathcal{I}(X; Y) \neq \{0\}$;

(ii) for any quadruple $(W, X, Y, Z)$ of Banach spaces and any operators $S \in \mathcal{B}(W; X)$, $T \in \mathcal{I}(X; Y)$, $U \in \mathcal{B}(Y; Z)$, we have that $UTS \in \mathcal{I}(W; Z)$.

As usual, we write $\mathcal{I}(X)$ to abbreviate $\mathcal{I}(X; X)$. For any operator ideal $\mathcal{I}$, the map $\overline{\mathcal{I}}$ sending a pair of Banach spaces $(X, Y)$ to the norm closure of $\mathcal{I}(X; Y)$ in $\mathcal{B}(X; Y)$ is also an operator ideal. If $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(X; X)$, then we call $\mathcal{I}$ a closed operator ideal.

We shall consider the following three operator ideals:

- $\mathcal{K}$, the ideal of compact operators.
- $\mathcal{K}_\kappa$, the ideal of $\kappa$-compact operators, defined for any infinite cardinal $\kappa$. 


The precise definition is as follows. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X; Y)$ is $\kappa$-compact if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, the closed unit ball $B_X$ of $X$ contains a subset $X_\epsilon$ with $|X_\epsilon| < \kappa$ such that
\[ \inf \{ \| T(x - y) \| : y \in X_\epsilon \} \leq \epsilon \]
for every $x \in B_X$. Writing $\mathcal{K}_\kappa(X; Y)$ for the set of $\kappa$-compact operators from $X$ to $Y$, we obtain a closed operator ideal $\mathcal{K}_\kappa$.

Notice that $\mathcal{K}_{\kappa_0}(X; Y) = \mathcal{K}(X; Y)$, so the notion of $\kappa$-compactness is indeed a generalisation of compactness.

$\mathcal{G}_D$, the ideal of operators factoring through a certain Banach space $D$.

Here, we say that an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X; Y)$ factors through $D$ if there are operators $U : X \to D$ and $V : D \to Y$ such that $T = VU$, and we write $\mathcal{G}_D(X; Y)$ for the set of operators factoring through $D$. This defines an operator ideal $\mathcal{G}_D$ provided that $D$ contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $D \oplus D$, which is true in the cases that we shall consider, namely $D = c_0$ and $D = \ell_p$ for some $p \in [1, \infty)$.

**Operators on the direct sum of a pair of Banach spaces.** Let $X_1$ and $X_2$ be Banach spaces, and endow their direct sum $X_1 \oplus X_2$ with any norm satisfying
\[ \max \{ \| x_1 \|, \| x_2 \| \} \leq \|(x_1, x_2)\| \leq \| x_1 \| + \| x_2 \| \quad (x_1 \in X_1, x_2 \in X_2). \]
For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we write $Q_i : X_1 \oplus X_2 \to X_i$ and $J_i : X_i \to X_1 \oplus X_2$ for the $i^{th}$ coordinate projection and embedding, respectively. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(X_1 \oplus X_2)$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, set $T_{i,j} = Q_i T J_j \in \mathcal{B}(X_j; X_i)$. Then we have
\[
T = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 J_i T_{i,j} Q_j.
\]
(2.1)

It follows that, for any operator ideal $\mathcal{I}$,
\[
T \in \mathcal{I}(X_1 \oplus X_2) \iff T_{i,j} \in \mathcal{I}(X_j; X_i) \text{ for } i, j \in \{1, 2\}.
\]
(2.2)

We shall identify the operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X_1 \oplus X_2)$ with the matrix
\[
T = \begin{pmatrix} T_{1,1} & T_{1,2} \\ T_{2,1} & T_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Then the action of $T$ on a pair $(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \oplus X_2$ is given by
\[
T(x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} T_{1,1} & T_{1,2} \\ T_{2,1} & T_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{1,1} x_1 + T_{1,2} x_2 \\ T_{2,1} x_1 + T_{2,2} x_2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Composition of operators is given by matrix multiplication, addition is entrywise, and the operator norm satisfies
\[
\max_{i,j \in \{1,2\}} \| T_{i,j} \| \leq \| T \| \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \| T_{i,j} \|.
\]
(2.3)

For a subset $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{B}(X_1 \oplus X_2)$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, we define the $(i, j)^{th}$ quadrant of $\mathcal{I}$ by
\[
\mathcal{I}_{i,j} = \{ Q_i T J_j : T \in \mathcal{I} \} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X_j; X_i).
\]
(2.4)
On the other hand, given subsets $\mathcal{I}_{i,j}$ of $\mathcal{B}(X_j; X_i)$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, we define
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\mathcal{I}_{1,1} & \mathcal{I}_{1,2} \\
\mathcal{I}_{2,1} & \mathcal{I}_{2,2}
\end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} T_{1,1} & T_{1,2} \\ T_{2,1} & T_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} : T_{i,j} \in \mathcal{I}_{i,j} \ (i, j \in \{1, 2\}) \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X_1 \oplus X_2). \tag{2.5}
\]

The first part of the following lemma can be seen as a generalisation of (2.2) to the case where the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ does not come from an operator ideal. It says that if we decompose $\mathcal{I}$ into its quadrants according to (2.4) and then reassemble the quadrants according to (2.5), we obtain $\mathcal{I}$.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an ideal of $\mathcal{B}(X_1 \oplus X_2)$ for some Banach spaces $X_1$ and $X_2$. Then
\[
\mathcal{I} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{I}_{1,1} & \mathcal{I}_{1,2} \\ \mathcal{I}_{2,1} & \mathcal{I}_{2,2} \end{pmatrix},
\tag{2.6}
\]
and $\mathcal{I}_{i,i}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{B}(X_i)$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{I}_{i,j}$ is closed in $\mathcal{B}(X_j, X_i)$ for each $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ if and only if $\mathcal{I}$ is closed in $\mathcal{B}(X_1 \oplus X_2)$.

**Proof.** The inclusion $\subseteq$ in (2.6) holds true by the definitions.

Conversely, suppose that $T = (T_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^2$ with $T_{i,j} \in \mathcal{I}_{i,j}$ for each $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, say $T_{i,j} = Q_i^{S_{i,j}}J_j$, where $S_{i,j} \in \mathcal{I}$. Then, by (2.1), we have
\[
T = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 J_i T_{i,j} Q_j = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 (J_i Q_i^{S_{i,j}} J_j Q_j) \in \mathcal{I}
\]
because $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{B}(X_1 \oplus X_2)$ and $J_i Q_k \in \mathcal{B}(X_1 \oplus X_2)$ for $k \in \{1, 2\}$.

Next, we verify that $\mathcal{I}_{i,i}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{B}(X_i)$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{I}_{i,i}$ is a subspace. Suppose that $S \in \mathcal{I}_{i,i}$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(X_i)$, say $S = U_{i,i}$, where $U \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $U J_i T Q_i \in \mathcal{I}$ because $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{B}(X_1 \oplus X_2)$ and $J_i T Q_i \in \mathcal{B}(X_1 \oplus X_2)$, and hence
\[
\mathcal{I}_{i,i} \ni (U J_i T Q_i)_{i,i} = Q_i U J_i T Q_i J_i = S T.
\]
The proof that $T S \in \mathcal{I}_{i,i}$ is similar.

The final clause follows easily from (2.3) and (2.6). \qed

**Long sequence spaces.** For a non-empty set $\Gamma$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$, we consider the Banach spaces
\[
c_0(\Gamma) = \{ x \in \mathbb{K}^\Gamma : \{ \gamma \in \Gamma : |x(\gamma)| > \epsilon \} \text{ is finite for every } \epsilon > 0 \}
\]
and
\[
\ell_p(\Gamma) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{K}^\Gamma : \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |x(\gamma)|^p < \infty \right\}.
\]
The norm of $c_0(\Gamma)$ is the supremum norm, and the norm of $\ell_p(\Gamma)$ is $\|x\| = (\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |x(\gamma)|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. As usual, we write $c_0$ and $\ell_p$ instead of $c_0(\mathbb{N})$ and $\ell_p(\mathbb{N})$, respectively. For notational convenience, we use the convention that $D_\Gamma$ will denote either $c_0(\Gamma)$ or $\ell_p(\Gamma)$ for some $p \in [1, \infty)$, unless otherwise specified. Only the cardinality of the index set $\Gamma$ matters, in
the sense that $D_\Gamma$ is isometrically isomorphic to $D_{[\Gamma]}$, and $D_\Gamma$ is not isomorphic to $D_\Delta$ for any index set $\Delta$ of cardinality other than $|\Gamma|$.

The support of an element $x \in D_\Gamma$ is $\text{supp } x = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma : x(\gamma) \neq 0 \}$, which is always a countable set.

For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $e_\gamma \in D_\Gamma$ denotes the element given by $e_\gamma(\beta) = 1$ if $\beta = \gamma$ and $e_\gamma(\beta) = 0$ otherwise. The (transfinite) sequence $(e_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is the (long) unit vector basis for $D_\Gamma$. The only facts about it that we shall use are that $(e_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ spans a dense subspace of $D_\Gamma$, and that the existence of such a basis implies that $D_\Gamma$ has the approximation property.

For a subset $\Delta$ of $\Gamma$, $P_\Delta \in B(D_\Gamma)$ denotes the basis projection given by $(P_\Delta x)(\gamma) = x(\gamma)$ if $\gamma \in \Delta$ and $(P_\Delta x)(\gamma) = 0$ otherwise, for every $x \in D_\Gamma$.

3. The proof of Theorem 1.1

To aid the presentation, we split the proof of Theorem 1.1 into a series of lemmas, some of which may essentially be known. However, to keep the the presentation as self-contained as possible, we include full proofs, except for references to the ideal classifications [5, 19] that we will ultimately need anyway. The proof of Theorem 1.1 itself requires results about the transfinite sequence spaces $c_0(\Gamma)$ and $\ell_1(\Gamma)$ only, not $\ell_p(\Gamma)$ for $1 < p < \infty$. However, our first few results hold true also for the latter spaces and with identical proofs, so we give these more general results.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $D_\Gamma = c_0(\Gamma)$ or $D_\Gamma = \ell_p(\Gamma)$ for some $p \in [1, \infty)$ and some set $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$.

(i) Every separable subspace of $D_\Gamma$ is contained in the image of the basis projection $P_\Delta$ for some countable subset $\Delta$ of $\Gamma$.

(ii) Suppose that $D_\Gamma \neq \ell_1(\Gamma)$. Then, for every Banach space $E$ and every operator $T : D_\Gamma \to E$ for which there exists an injective operator from the image of $T$ into $\ell_\infty$, there is a countable subset $\Delta$ of $\Gamma$ such that $T = TP_\Delta$.

**Proof.** (i). Every separable subspace $E$ of $D_\Gamma$ has the form $E = \overline{W}$ for some countable subset $W$ of $D_\Gamma$. Define $\Delta = \bigcup_{w \in W} \text{supp } w$, which is a countable union of countable sets and is thus countable. The continuity of the projection $P_\Delta$ implies that $x = P_\Delta x$ for every $x \in E$. Hence the image of $P_\Delta$ contains $E$.

(ii). Let $U : T(D_\Gamma) \to \ell_\infty$ be an injective operator. Assume towards a contradiction that the set

$$\Delta_{k,m} = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma : |U Te_\gamma(m)| \geq \frac{1}{k} \}$$

is infinite for some $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, so that it contains an infinite sequence $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of distinct elements. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, take a scalar $\sigma_n$ of modulus one such that $\sigma_n \cdot (U Te_\gamma_n)(m) \geq 1/k$. Since $D_\Gamma \neq \ell_1(\Gamma)$, we have $x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\sigma_n}{n} e_{\gamma_n} \in D_\Gamma$, but

$$(U Te)(m) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\sigma_n}{n} (U Te_{\gamma_n})(m) \geq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} = \infty,$$

a contradiction. Hence $\Delta_{k,m}$ is finite for each $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, so the union $\Delta = \bigcup_{k,m \in \mathbb{N}} \Delta_{k,m}$ is countable. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Delta$, we have $U Te_\gamma = 0$, so $Te_\gamma = 0$ by the injectivity of $U$, and therefore $TP_\Delta = T$. 

\qed
Remark 3.2. (i) The case \( D_\Gamma = \ell_1(\Gamma) \) must be excluded in Lemma 3.1(ii) because, for every non-zero Banach space \( E \), there is an operator \( T: \ell_1(\Gamma) \to E \) which has one-dimensional image and satisfies \( Te_\gamma \neq 0 \) for every \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), namely the summation operator \( T \) given by \( Tx = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} x(\gamma) y \) for every \( x \in \ell_1(\Gamma) \), where \( y \) is any fixed non-zero element of \( E \).

(ii) We refer to [11] for a detailed discussion of the condition in Lemma 3.1(ii) that the image of \( T \) admits an injective operator into \( \ell_\infty \).

Corollary 3.3. Let \((D, D_\Gamma) = (c_0, c_0(\Gamma))\) or \((D, D_\Gamma) = (\ell_p, \ell_p(\Gamma))\) for some \( p \in [1, \infty) \) and some uncountable set \( \Gamma \), and let \( E \) be any separable Banach space. Then

\[ \mathcal{B}(D_\Gamma; E) = \mathcal{G}_D(D_\Gamma; E) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}(E; D_\Gamma) = \mathcal{G}_D(E; D_\Gamma). \]

Proof. The first identity for \( D_\Gamma \neq \ell_1(\Gamma) \), and the second identity in full generality, both follow easily from Lemma 3.1 because the image of the projection \( P_\Delta \) for \( \Delta \) countable is either finite-dimensional or isomorphic to \( D \), and \( E \), being separable, embeds isometrically into \( \ell_\infty \).

It remains to show that every operator \( T: \ell_1(\Gamma) \to E \) factors through \( \ell_1 \). We use the lifting property of \( \ell_1 \) (see for instance [4, Theorem 5.1]) to verify this. Indeed, since \( E \) is separable, we can take a surjective operator \( S: \ell_1 \to E \). By the open mapping theorem, there is a constant \( c > 0 \) such that, for every \( y \in E \), there is \( x \in \ell_1 \) with \( Sx = y \) and \( \|x\| \leq c\|y\| \). Hence, for each \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), we can find \( x_\gamma \in \ell_1 \) such that \( Sx_\gamma = Te_\gamma \) and \( \|x_\gamma\| \leq c\|Te_\gamma\| \leq c\|T\| \). It follows that we can define an operator \( R: \ell_1(\Gamma) \to \ell_1 \) by \( R e_\gamma = x_\gamma \) for each \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), and clearly \( T = SR \).

Lemma 3.4. Let \( D = c_0 \) or \( D = \ell_p \) for some \( p \in [1, \infty) \), and let \((E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) be a sequence of non-zero Banach spaces. Then there are operators \( R: D \to (\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n)_D \) and \( S: (\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n)_D \to D \) such that \( SR = I_D \).

Proof. For every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), choose \( y_n \in E_n \) and \( f_n \in E_n^* \) with \( \|y_n\| = \|f_n\| = \langle y_n, f_n \rangle = 1 \), and define \( R: (\lambda_n) \mapsto (\lambda_n y_n) \) for \( (\lambda_n) \in D \) and \( S: (x_n) \mapsto (\langle x_n, f_n \rangle) \) for \( (x_n) \in (\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n)_D \).

Lemma 3.5. Let \( D = c_0 \) or \( D = \ell_1 \). Then \( D \) contains a subspace which is isomorphic to \((\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ell_2^n)_D\).

Proof. This follows by combining the fact that \( D \) contains almost isometric copies of \( \ell_2^n \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) with the fact that \( D \) is isomorphic to the \( D \)-direct sum of countably many copies of itself.

Lemma 3.6. Let \((D, D_\Gamma) = (c_0, c_0(\Gamma))\) or \((D, D_\Gamma) = (\ell_1, \ell_1(\Gamma))\) for some infinite set \( \Gamma \), and set \( E = (\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ell_2^n)_D \). Then the identity operator on \( D \) factors through every non-compact operator belonging to either \( \mathcal{B}(E) \), \( \mathcal{B}(D_\Gamma) \), \( \mathcal{B}(E; D_\Gamma) \) or \( \mathcal{B}(D_\Gamma; E) \).

Proof. Let \( T \) be a non-compact operator. We examine each of the four cases separately:

(i) If \( T \in \mathcal{B}(E) \), then \( I_D \) factors through \( T \) by [19, Corollary 3.8 and Example 3.9].
Remark 3.7. Lemma 3.6 is also true for
\[ \text{where} \]
\[ \text{Let} \]
\[ \text{Proof.} \]
\[ \text{For notational convenience, write} \]
\[ \text{or} \]
\[ \text{G} \]
\[ \text{that} \]
\[ \text{I} \]
\[ \text{and order} \]
\[ \text{Ξ}((X) \]
\[ \text{Proposition 3.9.} \]
\[ \text{Let} \]
\[ \text{is an order isomorphism.} \]
\[ \text{ℓ} \]
\[ \text{c} \]
\[ \text{0} \]
\[ \text{D} \]
\[ \text{1} \]
\[ \text{for some infinite set} \]
\[ \text{(Γ))} \]
\[ \text{ℓ} \]
\[ \text{c} \]
\[ \text{0} \]
\[ \text{D} \]
\[ \text{1} \]
\[ \text{for some infinite set} \]
\[ \text{(Γ))} \]
\[ \text{or} \]
\[ \text{ℓ} \]
\[ \text{D} \]
\[ \text{1} \]
\[ \text{for some infinite set} \]
\[ \text{(Γ))} \]
\[ \text{is isomorphic to} \]
\[ \text{ℓ} \]
\[ \text{p} \]
\[ \text{in these cases, so only case (ii) above would be non-trivial.} \]

Corollary 3.8. Let \( X = \left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ell_{p}^{n} \right) D \oplus D_{1} \), where \( (D, D_{1}) = (c_{0}, c_{0}(\Gamma)) \) or \( (D, D_{1}) = (\ell_{1}, \ell_{1}(\Gamma)) \) for some infinite set \( \Gamma \), and let \( \mathcal{I} \) be an ideal of \( \mathcal{B}(X) \). Then either \( \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{K}(X) \) or \( \mathcal{G}_{D}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{I} \).

Proof. For notational convenience, write \( X = X_{1} \oplus X_{2} \), where \( X_{1} = \left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ell_{p}^{n} \right) D \) and \( X_{2} = D_{1} \). Suppose that \( \mathcal{I} \nsubseteq \mathcal{K}(X) \), and choose \( T \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{K}(X) \). Then (2.2) shows that \( T_{i,j} \notin \mathcal{K}(X_{j}; X_{i}) \) for some \( i, j \in \{1, 2\} \). Lemma 3.6 implies that there are operators \( U: D \to X_{j} \) and \( V: X_{i} \to D \) such that \( VT_{i,j}U = I_{D} \). Hence, for each \( S = R_{2}R_{1} \in \mathcal{G}_{D}(X) \), where \( R_{1} \in \mathcal{B}(X; D) \) and \( R_{2} \in \mathcal{B}(D; X) \), we have
\[ \mathcal{I} \]
because \( \mathcal{I} \) is an ideal of \( \mathcal{B}(X) \). This shows that \( \mathcal{G}_{D}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{I} \), as desired. \( \square \)

For a Banach space \( X \), define
\[ \Xi(X) = \{ \mathcal{I} : \mathcal{I} \text{ is a closed ideal of } \mathcal{B}(X) \text{ and } \mathcal{I} \supseteq \mathcal{K}(X) \} , \]
and order \( \Xi(X) \) by inclusion. For a pair of Banach spaces \( X_{1} \) and \( X_{2} \), we endow the set \( \Xi(X_{1}) \times \Xi(X_{2}) \) with the product order; that is,
\[ (\mathcal{I}_{1}, \mathcal{I}_{2}) \leq (\mathcal{I}_{1}, \mathcal{I}_{2}) \iff [\mathcal{I}_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{1}] \land [\mathcal{I}_{2} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{2}] . \]

Proposition 3.9. Let \( X = E \oplus D_{1} \), where \( E = \left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ell_{p}^{n} \right) D \) and either \( (D, D_{1}) = (c_{0}, c_{0}(\Gamma)) \) or \( (D, D_{1}) = (\ell_{1}, \ell_{1}(\Gamma)) \) for some infinite set \( \Gamma \). The map
\[ \xi : \Xi(E) \times \Xi(D_{1}) \to \Xi(X) ; (\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) \mapsto \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{I} \\ \mathcal{J} \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{B}(E; D_{1}) \\ \mathcal{J} \end{array} \right) , \]
is an order isomorphism.
Proof. Recall from Corollary 3.3 that \( \mathcal{B}(E; D_T) = \mathcal{G}_D(E; D_T) \) and \( \mathcal{B}(D_T; E) = \mathcal{G}_D(D_T; E) \), and that \( \mathcal{G}_D(E) \subseteq \mathcal{I} \) and \( \mathcal{G}_D(D_T) \subseteq \mathcal{J} \) for every \( (\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) \in \Xi(E) \times \Xi(D_T) \) by the ideal classifications (1.2) and (1.1), respectively. Using these facts, one can easily verify that \( \xi(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) \) is an ideal of \( \mathcal{B}(X) \) with \( \mathcal{K}(X) \subseteq \xi(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) \). Moreover, \( \xi(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) \) is closed by Lemma 2.1, so it belongs to \( \Xi(X) \).

To see that \( \xi \) is surjective, let \( \mathcal{L} \in \Xi(X) \). Lemma 2.1 shows that \[
\mathcal{L} = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{L}_{1,1} & \mathcal{L}_{1,2} \\
\mathcal{L}_{2,1} & \mathcal{L}_{2,2}
\end{array} \right),
\]
where \( \mathcal{L}_{1,1} \) and \( \mathcal{L}_{2,2} \) are closed ideals of \( \mathcal{B}(E) \) and \( \mathcal{B}(D_T) \), respectively. Moreover, Corollary 3.8 implies that \( \mathcal{G}_D(X) \subseteq \mathcal{L} \), so by (2.2), we have:

- \( \mathcal{L}_{1,1} \supseteq \mathcal{G}_D(E) \), so \( \mathcal{L}_{1,1} \in \Xi(E) \);
- \( \mathcal{L}_{1,2} \supseteq \mathcal{G}_D(D_T; E) = \mathcal{B}(D_T; E) \), so \( \mathcal{L}_{1,2} = \mathcal{B}(D_T; E) \), and similarly \( \mathcal{L}_{2,1} = \mathcal{B}(E; D_T) \);
- \( \mathcal{L}_{2,2} \supseteq \mathcal{G}_D(D_T) \), so \( \mathcal{L}_{2,2} \in \Xi(D_T) \).

This verifies that \( \mathcal{L} = \xi(\mathcal{L}_{1,1}, \mathcal{L}_{2,2}) \).

Finally, working straight from the definitions, we see that \( (\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{J}_1) \preceq (\mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{J}_2) \) if and only if \( \xi(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{J}_1) \subseteq \xi(\mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{J}_2) \) for \( (\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{J}_1), (\mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{J}_2) \in \Xi(E) \times \Xi(D_T) \). This shows first that \( \xi \) is injective and thus a bijection, and secondly that both \( \xi \) and its inverse are order-preserving.

We can now prove Theorem 1.1 easily.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Both \( E \) and \( D_T \) have the approximation property, so also must their direct sum \( X \). Therefore \( \mathcal{K}(X) \) is the smallest non-zero closed ideal of \( \mathcal{B}(X) \). Proposition 3.9 shows that any other non-zero closed ideal \( \mathcal{L} \) of \( \mathcal{B}(X) \) has the form \( \mathcal{L} = \xi(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) \) for unique closed ideals \( \mathcal{I} \in \Xi(E) \) and \( \mathcal{J} \in \Xi(D_T) \). By the ideal classifications (1.2) and (1.1), either \( \mathcal{I} = \overline{\mathcal{G}_D(E)} \) or \( \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B}(E) \), while \( \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{K}_\kappa(D_T) \) for a unique cardinal \( \kappa_1 \leq \kappa \leq \Gamma^+ \).

Suppose first that \( \mathcal{I} = \overline{\mathcal{G}_D(E)} \). If \( \kappa = \kappa_1 \), then \( \mathcal{J} = \overline{\mathcal{G}_D(D_T)} \), so \( \mathcal{L} = \overline{\mathcal{G}_D(X)} \).

Otherwise \( \kappa \geq \kappa_2 \) and \( \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{J}_\kappa(X) \) in the notation of (1.3).

Next, suppose that \( \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B}(E) \), which is equal to \( \mathcal{K}_\kappa(E) \) because \( E \) has density \( \aleph_0 < \kappa \). Hence we have \( \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{K}_\kappa(X) \). (Note that this is equal to \( \mathcal{B}(X) \) if \( \kappa = \Gamma^+ \).)
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