Focused light scattering from a silver nanowire: Experimental characterization of optical spin-Hall effect
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Spin-orbit interactions (SOI) are a set of sub-wavelength optical phenomenon in which spin and spatial degrees of freedom of light are intrinsically coupled. One of the unique example of SOI, spin-Hall effect of light (SHEL) has been an area of extensive research with potential applications in spin controlled photonic devices as well as emerging fields of spinoptics and spintronics. Here, we report our experimental study on SHEL due to forward scattering of focused linearly polarized Gaussian and Hermite-Gaussian (HG$_{10}$) beams from a silver nanowire (AgNW). Spin dependent anti-symmetric intensity patterns are obtained when the polarization of the scattered light is analysed. The corresponding spin-Hall signal is obtained by computing the far-field longitudinal spin density ($s_z$). Furthermore, by comparing the $s_z$ distributions, significant enhancement of the spin-Hall signal is found for HG$_{10}$ beam compared to Gaussian beam. The investigation of the optical fields at the focal plane of the objective lens reveals the generation of longitudinally spinning fields as the primary reason for the effects. The experimental results are corroborated by 3-dimensional numerical simulations. The results lead to better understanding of SOI and can have direct implications on chip-scale spin assisted photonic device applications.

I. INTRODUCTION

Along with energy and linear momentum, angular momentum (AM) represents the most important dynamical parameters of light [1–3]. The AM of light can be decomposed into two parts, spin angular momentum (SAM), which is related to the circular polarization of a light beam and orbital angular momentum (OAM), related to the helical phase front of the beam [1, 3, 4]. In recent times, these concepts have been exploited in context of spin-orbit interaction (SOI), an optical phenomenon in which polarization and position of light are intrinsically coupled [5, 6].

One of the unique examples of SOI is spin-Hall effect of light (SHEL) [7], where equivalent to its electronic counterpart [8], a transverse shift in the scattered beam location is perceived due to the transverse spin flow of the impinging light beam on a dielectric interface [9,11] or a scatterer [12,13]. Further, the transverse shift in the scattered beam is opposite for opposite spins of the impinging beam, allowing us to distinguish between them [13]. But, since SOI effects are weak for paraxial beams, observation of transverse shift in scattering of linearly polarized beams becomes difficult due to absence of net transverse spin flow. The situation changes drastically in case of non-paraxial light beams, where depending on the numerical aperture (NA), higher AM can be generated [6,14], thus leading to enhanced SOI [15,16]. To this end, strong focusing of light has been an area of extensive research in recent years with implications in studies such as optical manipulation [17,18], sub-wavelength position sensing [19,20], AM inter-conversion [21,22]. In this context, the interaction of the optical fields at the focus with a nanoscopic object and the resultant SOI at sub-wavelength scale can have potential utilization in various photonic applications such as generation of structured fields, controlling optical wave propagation, optical nano-probing and refractive index sensor [19,20,23,24].

Motivated by this, we study the longitudinal spin density through optical interaction between a quasi one dimensional scatterer, namely a single crystalline silver nanowire (AgNW) on a glass substrate and focused linearly polarized Gaussian and HG$_{10}$ beams as shown in FIG. 1. (color online) Schematic of the measurement scheme of SHEL. The focal longitudinal spin density ($s_z$) is scattered by a AgNW and can be observed in the Fourier plane image as far-field longitudinal spin distribution ($s_z$).
Fig. 1. Polarization analysis of the forward scattered light in the Fourier plane (FP) reveals anti-symmetric distribution of intensity for opposite circular polarization states with respect to long axis of the AgNW. The pattern inverts for left circular polarization (LCP) analyzed case with respect to that of right circular polarization (RCP) analyzed one, revealing intrinsic SHEL [13].

The difference between the two FP intensity distributions quantify the spin-Hall signal as well as far-field longitudinal spin density ($s_3$). Furthermore, the comparison of $s_3$ for Gaussian beam with respect to that of HG$_{10}$ beam reveals higher spin-Hall signal for HG$_{10}$ beam. The enhancement factor of longitudinal spin distribution for HG$_{10}$ beam with respect to that of Gaussian beam is further quantified from experimentally measured and numerically simulated data.

In the following sections, we describe the nature of the optical fields at the focus and show the existence of longitudinally spinning fields at focal plane. Furthermore, by analyzing the scattering pattern of the focal optical fields from a AgNW, emerging SHEL is observed and resulting far-field longitudinal spin density is measured.

II. THEORETICAL DESCRIPTION

A. Description of focal optical fields

Focusing of paraxial optical beams through an objective lens leads to complex distribution of optical fields at the focal plane. The nature of polarized optical fields at the focus of an objective lens can be theoretically calculated using Debye-Wolf integral, originally proposed by Richards and Wolf [7] [8]. When an paraxial light beam with electric field $E_{\text{in}}$ and wave vector $k = k_0 \hat{z}$ passing through medium of refractive index $n_1$ is incident on an objective lens of numerical aperture, $NA = n_1 \sin(\theta_{\text{max}})$ and having focal length $f$, then the complex field distribution at the focal plane can be obtained by,

$$E(\rho, \varphi, z) = \frac{-ikf e^{-ikf}}{2\pi} \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \int_0^{2\pi} E_{\text{ref}}(\theta, \phi)e^{ikz \cos \theta}$$

$$e^{ik\rho \sin \theta \cos(\phi - \varphi)} \sin \theta \, d\phi \, d\theta \quad (1a)$$

$$E_{\text{ref}} = [t^s|E_{\text{in}} \cdot n_{\rho}]n_{\phi} + [t^p|E_{\text{in}} \cdot n_{\rho}]n_{\phi} \sqrt{\cos \theta} \quad (1b)$$

The geometric representation of the system is given in Fig. 2 and closely follows ref. [29]. The formulation takes into account both the refraction of the paraxial beam at the spherical lens surface (reference sphere) given by $E_{\text{ref}}(\theta, \phi)$ and the non-paraxial effects there after. $t^s$ and $t^p$ are the transmission coefficients corresponding to $s$ and $p$ polarized electric fields respectively. $n_{\rho}$ and $n_{\phi}$ represents the unit vectors of a cylindrical coordinate system whereas the unit vectors of a spherical polar coordinate are given by $n_0$ and $n_\phi$, origin of the coordinate system being the focal point $(x, y, z) = (0, 0, 0)$, as shown in Fig. 2(a). $n_1$ and $n_2$ represent the medium refractive index.

Using Eq. (1), the electric field profiles, $E = (E_x, E_y, E_z)$, at the focal plane of a 0.5 NA lens is calculated for linearly polarized $(x$ polarized$)$ paraxial Gaussian(G) and Hermite-Gaussian beam of order $m = 1$, $n = 0$ (HG$_{10}$) at wavelength $\lambda = 633$ nm, propagating along $z$ axis. Here $m$ and $n$ represent number of nodal lines along $x$ and $y$ axis respectively. The intensity distribution corresponding to the $x$ polarized $(I_x = \varepsilon_0 E_x^2)$ and $y$ polarized $(I_y = \varepsilon_0 E_y^2)$ component of the total focal optical field for Gaussian(G) and HG$_{10}$ (HG) beams are given in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) respectively. The intensity distribution values are normalized with respect to maximum value of total intensity, $I = \varepsilon_0 E^2$. The paraxial polarization profile of the beams are given in Figs. 3(a) insets. Close inspection of the focal intensity profile in Fig. 3(b) reveal that compared to $I_y$ of Gaussian beam, $I_y$ of HG$_{10}$ beam is significantly higher, although their distributions differ. The ratio of maximum value of $I_y$ of HG$_{10}$ beam to that of Gaussian beam turns out to be $\approx 2.97$.

B. Spin density of optical fields

One of the unique features that emerge due to focusing of linearly polarized paraxial beam is presence of spinning electric fields. This can be quantified using spin density [30], given by,

$$s \propto \text{Im} [\varepsilon_0 (E^* \times E) + \mu_0 (H^* \times H)] \quad . (2)$$

The symmetric nature of Eq. (2) allows us to consider the contribution of either the electric field $(E)$ part or the magnetic field $(H)$ part. Since a plasmonic scatterer responds more towards the electric field part of the incident field, we consider the electric field contributions only. The spinning fields represented by Eq. (2) can be decomposed into three components which can be either
FIG. 3. (color online) Theoretically calculated focal intensity profiles corresponding to (a) $x$ polarized field component, (b) $y$ polarized field component of the total optical field for Gaussian and HG$_{10}$ beams. Insets in (b) shows the $x$ polarized paraxial beam profiles. (c) represents longitudinal spin density ($s_z$) at the focus for Gaussian and HG$_{10}$ beams. (d) Comparative plot of longitudinal spin density distribution ($S_z$) for Gaussian and HG$_{10}$ beam.

longitudinal or transverse with respect to the propagation axis ($z$ axis). For our study, we consider the longitudinally spinning fields, given by:

$$s_z \propto 2 \text{Im}[E_x E_y^*].$$  (3)

It should be noted that the Stokes parameter $s_3$, which determines the degree of circular polarization of paraxial light beams is directly proportional to $s_z$. [31]

Theoretically calculated distribution of $s_z$ at the focus of $x$ polarized Gaussian and HG$_{10}$ beams are given in Fig. 3(c). For simplicity, we have ignored the proportionality constants in the equations. The values have been normalized with respect to maximum of $I$. A quantitative measure of the $s_z$ at the focus can be obtained by computing longitudinal spin density distribution ($S_z$) of one half of the focal plane, i.e. by summing over the one of the spatial coordinates $y = 0$ to $3\lambda$:

$$S_z(x) = \sum_{y=0}^{3\lambda} s_z(x, y).$$  (4)

Comparing the $s_z$ in Fig. 3(c) for Gaussian and HG$_{10}$ beams, it is evident that the $x$ polarized HG$_{10}$ beam possesses significantly higher $s_z$ with respect to that of $x$ polarized Gaussian beam. The same is reflected in Fig. 3(d), which show the comparative magnitude of $S_z$ for $x$ polarized Gaussian and HG$_{10}$ beams as a function of $x$ coordinates at the focal plane. The enhancement of $s_z$ for HG$_{10}$ beam with respect to that of Gaussian beam can be obtained by calculating the ratio of extremum value of $S_z$, which in this case is $\eta_{\text{theory}} = 1.29$. The enhancement factor, $\eta_{\text{theory}}$, is dependent on the NA of the objective lens.

III. EXPERIMENTAL IMPLEMENTATION

The experimental implementation in observing the SHEL as well as measuring the longitudinal spin density relies on elastic scattering of the focal optical fields. Various probe geometries can be used for studying such light matter interaction such as, spherical particles [32-35], gold chiral geometry [36]. We use a pentagonal-cross sectional silver nanowire (AgNW) for our study. Chemically synthesized single crystalline AgNWs of diameter $\sim 350$ nm were drop casted onto a glass substrate [37].

FIG. 4. (color online) (a) Optical bright-field image AgNW used for our experiments. (b) Magnified FESEM image of top view of an AgNW section. The schematic of experimental setup for the elastic forward scattering is given in (c).
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Nanowire as a scatterer

An AgNW placed at the focal plane of an objective lens mimics the effect of a nanoscopic strip diffraction [11]. Scalar diffraction theory and Babinet’s principle can be applied to obtain the diffraction pattern in case of strip diffraction of paraxial beams. But due to the complex and vectorial nature of the non-paraxial optical fields near to the focal plane, full scattering theory [12] has to be considered for nanowire diffraction. To this end, the scattered optical field intensity distribution in FP from AgNW has been previously used for probing the SOI with the incident optical fields [13][40].

The scattered optical field from the AgNW can be collected in two distinct regions in the far-field, depending on its origin. These are sub-critical or allowed region (NA < 1) and super-critical or forbidden region (NA > 1) in FP. The longitudinally polarized field (E_x) component of the total optical field gets maximally scattered in the sub-critical region. The transversely polarized optical field (E_y) component leads to near-field (NF) accumulation at the AgNW edges [43]. The evanescent NF gets partially converted into propagating waves at the air-glass interface and can be observed in the super-critical region of the far-field [29]. Hence, elastic scattering from an AgNW allows us to route a portion of the scattered light either in the sub-critical region or in the super-critical region by engineering the incident linear polarization state as longitudinal or transverse to the wire. To this end, in our previous report, the resultant SOI effects due to forward scattering of incident circularly polarized light beams in similar experimental configuration have been reported to be prominent within the sub-critical region of FP [13]. Hence, by analyzing the FP intensity distribution in the sub-critical region due to scattering of longitudinally polarized paraxial beams, we can probe the resultant SOI effects as well as extract the characteristics of the focal optical field.

B. Experimentally measured scattering intensity

First, we examine the elastic scattering of optical fields due to focusing of longitudinally polarized paraxial Gaussian and HG_{10} beams from an AgNW. Experimentally measured FP intensities of forward scattered Gaussian and HG_{10} beams are shown in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) respectively. The inner and outer white circles in Fig. 5(a) indicate the critical angle at air-glass interface and collection limit of the objective lens respectively. I represents the unanalyzed scattered FP intensity distribution. I_+ and I_- denote the left circular polarization (LCP) and right circular polarization (RCP) analyzed FP intensity distribution respectively. Absence of light in the super-critical region (NA = k_x/k_0 = k_y/k_0 > 1) in the measured FP intensity distribution indicates the minimal accumulation of NF at the NW edges. The incident NA is blocked and is shown by a black disk at the center.

The FP intensity distribution corresponding to I of Gaussian beam in Fig. 5(a) has only one lobe where as the scattering intensity pattern due to HG_{10} beam in Fig. 5(b) has two intensity lobes due to the presence of nodal line in its incident beam intensity profile (see Fig. 3(a)). Both the intensity patterns corresponding to I in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) show symmetric pattern with respect to k_x/k_0 axis (parallel to AgNW long axis). In contrast to this, the FP intensity distribution corresponding to I_+ and I_- of Gaussian and HG_{10} beams exhibit anti-symmetric lobes with respect to k_x/k_0 axis, as indicated by the white arrows. The anti-symmetric pattern is more apparent for I_+ and I_- of HG_{10} beam than that of Gaussian beam due to the presence of nodal line in the intensity profile of HG_{10} beam. The pattern reverses for I_+ with respect to that in I_- indicating the presence of partial circular polarization component in the scattered optical fields [44]. This spin dependent anti-symmetric shift of the lobe(s) in the FP corresponding to I_+ and I_- is analogous to SHEL [7][13]. Thus, the magnitude of this spin-Hall signal and the consequent presence of the spin in the FP can be obtained by calculating far-field longitudinal spin density (s_3) as, s_3 ∝ I_+ - I_- . Experimentally measured s_3 for forward scattered Gaussian and HG_{10} beams are shown in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d) respectively. The experimentally measured intensity values are normalized with respect to the maximum value of I.

C. Numerically simulated scattering intensity

The experimental results are corroborated by full wave 3-dimensional finite element method (FEM) simulation. A geometry having pentagonal cross-section with diameter 350 nm and length 5 µm is used for modelling of the NW. Material of the NW is mimicked by matching the refractive index with that of Ag at 633 nm wavelength [15]. Meshing of the system is done by free tetrahedral geometry of size 35 nm. The NW is illuminated with excitation beams (Gaussian, HG_{10}) at λ = 633 nm. The beam waist at the air-glass interface is fixed to 633 nm to mimic similar focusing conditions as in our experiments. Reciprocity arguments is used for near field to far-field transformation of the scattered optical field [10].

Numerically simulated FP intensity distribution of forward scattered light for Gaussian and HG_{10} beams are shown in Figs. 5(e) and 5(f) respectively. I represents the total scattered intensity. I_+ and I_- represent the LCP and RCP analyzed FP intensities respectively. Similar to Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), the numerically measured I_+ and I_- in Figs. 5(e) and 5(f) show anti-symmetric pattern of the intensity lobes with respect to k_x/k_0 axis, as shown
by the white arrows. The anti-symmetric intensity pattern for $I_+$ and $I_-$, analogous to spin-hall shift can be attributed to the anti-symmetric shift along of scattered intensity lobes about $x$ axis at air-glass interface plane ($z = 0$ plane) for Gaussian and HG$_{10}$ beams (see appendix A). Finally, the spin-Hall signal can be is obtained by computing the far-field longitudinal spin density ($s_3$) as, $s_3 \propto I_+ - I_-$. Numerically simulated $s_3$ for Gaussian and HG$_{10}$ beams are given in Figs. 5(g) and 5(h) respectively. The intensity values are normalized with respect to the maximum value of $I$. The simulated FP intensity patterns are in good agreement with their experimental counterparts.

D. Enhancement of SHEL and longitudinal spin density

As discussed in the previous sections, the spin-Hall signal for $I_-$ and $I_+$ is can be obtained through $s_3$. The enhancement of the spin-Hall signal can be further quantified by comparing the $s_3$ distribution for Gaussian and HG$_{10}$ beams. Since the wire is centered at ($x = 0$, $y = 0$) with its long axis is aligned along $x$ axis and the scattering is prominent in $k_y$ direction, we consider the $s_3(\kappa_x, \kappa_y)$ distribution along $\kappa_x$, where $\kappa_x = k_x/k_0$ and $\kappa_y = k_y/k_0$. Due to the symmetrical pattern, we consider only one half of the FP i.e., $\kappa_y \geq 0$ half. In addition, since the scattering is dominant within the critical angle, we consider the sub-critical region. Thus, similar to Eq. (4), quantitative measure of far-field longitudinal spin density distribution ($S_3$) can be obtained by summing $s_3$ over $\kappa_y$ from 0 to 1:

$$S_3(\kappa_x) = \sum_{\kappa_y=0}^{1} s_3(\kappa_x, \kappa_y).$$

The far-field longitudinal spin density distribution, $S_3(\kappa_x)$, extracted from the experimentally measured $s_3$ in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d) is plotted in Fig. 6(a). Similar analysis is also performed for the numerically simulated $s_3$ patterns given in Figs. 5(g) and 5(h). Fig. 6(b) shows the corresponding plot of $S_3(\kappa_x)$. Both the $S_3$ extracted from the experimentally measured and numerically cal-
calculated $s_3$ exhibit higher longitudinal spin density values and hence higher spin-Hall signal for HG$_{10}$ beam compared to Gaussian beam. The enhancement factor in the spin-Hall signal as well as the longitudinal spin density can be obtained by calculating the ratio of extremum (maximum or minimum) values of $S_3$ of HG$_{10}$ to that of Gaussian beam. From Fig. 6(a) the average enhancement factor value turns out to be, $\eta_{\text{exp}} = 1.31$. While from the numerically simulated data in Fig. 6(b), the calculated value of enhancement factor is, $\eta_{\text{sim}} = 2.39$. Since focal $s_z \propto s_3$, the enhancement can be attributed to higher $s_3$ of HG$_{10}$ beam with respect to that of Gaussian beam (From Figs. 3(c) and 3(d): $\eta_{\text{theory}} = 1.29$). The difference between the measured $s_3$ enhancement values $\eta_{\text{exp}}$ and $\eta_{\text{sim}}$ can be attributed to omission of incident NA region in the experimental data as well as subtle difference of focusing in the numerical simulations with the experimental focusing conditions.

Hence, our experiments demonstrate SHEL for scattering of linearly polarized focused beam as well as its enhancement for HG$_{10}$ beam compared to Gaussian beam. The enhancement factor is quantified by obtaining the ratio of spin density distribution $S_3$. The complex nature of the optical field at the focus of an objective lens allows generation of longitudinally spinning electric fields which results in such effect.

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, we demonstrate experimental observation of SHEL by analyzing the forward elastic scattered light of focused linearly polarized Gaussian and HG$_{10}$ beams from a plasmonic nanowire. The measure of the spin-Hall signal is obtained by computing far-field longitudinal spin density ($s_3$). Furthermore, by comparing the spin-density distribution ($S_3$) we infer enhancement of spin-Hall signal for HG$_{10}$ beam with respect to Gaussian beam, quantified by the factor $\eta_{\text{exp}} = 1.31$ from experimental measurements and $\eta_{\text{sim}} = 2.39$ from numerically simulated results. By studying the focal optical fields, we attribute the observed effect to the generation of longitudinally spinning optical fields due to focusing, hinting the geometrical origin of the effect. Our experiments reveal the very intricate nature of the SOI between a focused optical beam and a nanoscopic object [30]. In recent times spin-Hall effect of light has gained a lot of traction in research due to great potential for spin assisted photonic devices [17, 48] as well as fundamental study of optical energy flow [3, 49]. Additionally, the emerging SOI with a single crystalline AgNW can also pave way for on-chip photonic device applications.
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Appendix A: Scattered electric fields at the focal plane

Numerically simulated scattered electric field from an AgNW at focal plane ($z = 0$ plane) for Gaussian and HG$_{10}$ beams are shown in Fig. 7. The NW positioned at ($x = 0, y = 0$) and along $x$ axis is indicated by the yellow dotted lines. $I$ represents total scattered intensity, $I_+$ and $I_-$ represents the LCP and RCP analyzed components respectively. The intensity distribution plot of the lobe(s) as a function of $x$ coordinates in both $y > 0$ and $y < 0$ halves are superimposed in the figures.

The scattered intensity profile $I$ reveal that the intensity lobe(s) gets split into two equal halves due to the scattering from the NW. Although there is very less NF accumulation, but with respect to Gaussian, the NF due to HG$_{10}$ beam is more due to higher $E_y$ component (see Fig. 2(b)). Close inspection of intensity profiles corresponding to $I_+$ and $I_-$ exhibit that with respect to symmetric intensity profile of $I$, the intensity lobe(s) in $y > 0$
FIG. 7. (color online) Numerically simulated scattered normalized electric field intensity at the focal plane \((z = 0)\) corresponding to (a) Gaussian beam and (b) \(\text{HG}_{10}\) beam. \(I\) represents total scattered electric field, \(I_+\) and \(I_-\) indicates the LCP and RCP polarized scattered electric fields respectively. The intensity distribution plot of lobes in \(y > 0\) and \(y < 0\) half as a function of \(x\) coordinates is super imposed on the figures.

The spatial shift (\(\delta\)) along \(x\) axis can be quantified by calculating the shift of \(I_+\) (or \(I_-\)) distribution maxima of each of the lobe(s) in \(y > 0\) half with respect to the corresponding lobe(s) in \(y < 0\) half. For scattered Gaussian beam the average shift is \(\delta_G = 64.0\) nm and that for \(\text{HG}_{10}\) beam is \(\delta_{HG} = 92.2\) nm. The enhancement of the positional shift can be obtained as the ratio \(\delta_{HG}/\delta_G = 1.44\). This spin dependent shift is analogous to SHEL [7, 9].
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