UNIRULEDNESS OF UNITARY SHIMURA VARIETIES
ASSOCIATED WITH HERMITIAN FORMS OF SIGNATURES (1, 3), (1, 4) AND (1, 5)

YOTA MAEDA

Abstract. Gritsenko-Hulek showed that some orthogonal Shimura varieties are uniruled. In particular, they have the Kodaira dimension $-\infty$. In this paper, we apply the method of Gritsenko-Hulek to unitary Shimura varieties associated with Hermitian forms of signatures $(1, 3)$, $(1, 4)$ and $(1, 5)$, and show that some of them are uniruled. We use the restrictions of strongly reflective modular forms constructed by Yoshikawa and Gritsenko-Hulek. We give examples of Hermitian lattices over the rings of integers of imaginary quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ for which the associated unitary Shimura varieties are uniruled. Moreover, we prove they are rationally chain connected and some of them are Fano.

1. Introduction

Gritsenko-Hulek showed that some orthogonal Shimura varieties are uniruled [7]. They used reflective modular forms [6] and the numerical criterion of uniruledness due to Miyaoka and Mori [20]. In this paper, we apply the method of Gritsenko-Hulek [7] to unitary Shimura varieties associated with Hermitian forms of signatures $(1, 3)$, $(1, 4)$ and $(1, 5)$, and show that some of them are uniruled. Moreover, we prove they are rationally chain connected and some of them are Fano.

Let $F := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_F$, where $d < 0$ is a square-free negative integer. Let $L$ be a projective $\mathcal{O}_F$-module of rank $n + 1$ equipped with a Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: L \times L \to F$ for some $n > 0$ satisfying $\text{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\langle x, x \rangle) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $x \in L$. A pair $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is called a Hermitian lattice. We fix an embedding $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and assume that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ has signature $(1, n)$.

Let $U(L)$ be the unitary group associated with $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. It is a group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$U(L)(R) := \{ g \in \text{GL}_R(L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R) \mid \langle gv, gw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle \text{ for any } v, w \in L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R\}$$

for any $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra $R$. Let $D_L$ be the Hermitian symmetric domain associated with $U(L)(\mathbb{R}) \cong U(1, n)$, i.e.,

$$D_L := \{ [w] \in \mathbb{P}(L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathbb{C}) \mid \langle w, w \rangle > 0 \}$$

which is biholomorphic to the $n$-dimensional complex ball. For a finite index subgroup $\Gamma \subset U(L)(\mathbb{Z})$, we put

$$\mathcal{F}_L(\Gamma) := \Gamma \backslash D_L.$$ 

It is called the unitary Shimura variety. It is a quasi-projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$.
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Before stating our main results, we introduce some invariants of quadratic lattices. Let \((L_Q, \langle \ , \ \rangle)\) be the quadratic lattice of rank \(2n+2\) over \(\mathbb{Z}\) of signature \((2, 2n)\), where \(L_Q\) is \(L\) considered as a free \(\mathbb{Z}\)-module and \(\langle \ , \ \rangle := \text{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \langle \ , \ \rangle\). We say \(L_Q\) is even if \((v, v) \in 2\mathbb{Z}\) for all \(v \in L_Q\). We say \(L_Q\) is \(2\)-elementary if there exists a non-negative integer \(\ell(L_Q)\) such that

\[
L_Q/L_Q \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\ell(L_Q)}.
\]

Here \(L_Q^\vee\) is the dual lattice of \(L_Q\), i.e.,

\[
L_Q^\vee := \{v \in L_Q \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \mid (v, w) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for any } w \in L_Q\}.
\]

We also define

\[
\delta(L_Q) := \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } (v, v) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for any } v \in L_Q^\vee, \\
1 & \text{if } (v, v) \notin \mathbb{Z} \text{ for some } v \in L_Q^\vee.
\end{cases}
\]

We shall prove that certain unitary Shimura varieties \(\mathcal{F}_L(\Gamma)\) for \(\Gamma = U(L)(\mathbb{Z})\) associated with Hermitian forms of signatures \((1,3)\), \((1,4)\) and \((1,5)\) are uniruled. The main theorems in this paper are as follows.

**Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 5.1).** Let \((L, \langle \ , \ \rangle)\) be a Hermitian lattice over \(\mathcal{O}_F\) of signature \((1, 5)\) and let \((L_Q, \langle \ , \ \rangle)\) be the associated quadratic lattice over \(\mathbb{Z}\) of signature \((2, 10)\). Assume that the following conditions are satisfied.

1. \(L_Q\) is even \(2\)-elementary, \(\delta(L_Q) = 0\) and \(\ell(L_Q) \leq 8\). Moreover, \(\ell(L_Q) \leq 6\) if \(F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})\) or \(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})\).
2. \(2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F\) for any \(\ell, r \in L\) with \(\langle r, r \rangle = -1\).

Then the unitary Shimura variety \(\mathcal{F}_L(U(L)(\mathbb{Z}))\) is uniruled. In particular, the Kodaira dimension of \(\mathcal{F}_L(U(L)(\mathbb{Z}))\) is \(-\infty\). Moreover, it is rationally chain connected.

**Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 5.4).** Let \((L, \langle \ , \ \rangle)\) be a Hermitian lattice over \(\mathcal{O}_F\) of signature \((1, 5)\) and let \((L_Q, \langle \ , \ \rangle)\) be the associated quadratic lattice over \(\mathbb{Z}\) of signature \((2, 10)\). Assume that the following conditions are satisfied.

1. \(L_Q \cong \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{E}_8(-2)\).
2. \(2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F\) for any \(\ell, r \in L\) such that \(\langle r, r \rangle = -2\) and \(\Re\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\).

Then the unitary Shimura variety \(\mathcal{F}_L(U(L)(\mathbb{Z}))\) is uniruled. In particular, the Kodaira dimension of \(\mathcal{F}_L(U(L)(\mathbb{Z}))\) is \(-\infty\). Moreover, it is rationally chain connected.

**Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 5.5).** Let \((L, \langle \ , \ \rangle)\) be a Hermitian lattice over \(\mathcal{O}_F\) of signature \((1, 4)\) and let \((L_Q, \langle \ , \ \rangle)\) be the associated quadratic lattice over \(\mathbb{Z}\) of signature \((2, 8)\). Assume that the following conditions are satisfied.

1. \(L_Q \cong \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{D}_6\).
2. \(2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F\) for any \(\ell, r \in L\) with \(\langle r, r \rangle = -1\).

Then the unitary Shimura variety \(\mathcal{F}_L(U(L)(\mathbb{Z}))\) is uniruled. In particular, the Kodaira dimension of \(\mathcal{F}_L(U(L)(\mathbb{Z}))\) is \(-\infty\). Moreover, it is rationally chain connected.

**Theorem 1.4 (Theorem 5.6).** Let \((L, \langle \ , \ \rangle)\) be a Hermitian lattice over \(\mathcal{O}_F\) of signature \((1, 3)\) and let \((L_Q, \langle \ , \ \rangle)\) be the associated quadratic lattice over \(\mathbb{Z}\) of signature \((2, 6)\). Assume that the following conditions are satisfied.

1. \(L_Q \cong \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{D}_4, \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{D}_4\) or \(\mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{D}_4\).
2. \(2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F\) for any \(\ell, r \in L\) with \(\langle r, r \rangle = -1\).

Then the unitary Shimura variety \(\mathcal{F}_L(U(L)(\mathbb{Z}))\) is uniruled. In particular, the Kodaira dimension of \(\mathcal{F}_L(U(L)(\mathbb{Z}))\) is \(-\infty\). Moreover, it is rationally chain connected.
Recall that an irreducible variety $X$ over $\mathbb{C}$ is called *uniruled* if there exists a dominant rational map $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1 \dashrightarrow X$ where $Y$ is an irreducible variety over $\mathbb{C}$ with $\dim Y = \dim X - 1$. Uniruled varieties have Kodaira dimension $-\infty$. The converse is not known in dimension $> 3$.

In the following table, we give examples of even 2-elementary quadratic lattices over $\mathbb{Z}$ of signature $(2, 10)$ satisfying $\delta(L_Q) = 0$. Here, $U$ is the hyperbolic lattice of signature $(1, 1)$, and $E_8$ and $D_k$ are (positive definite) root lattices associated with the root systems $E_8$ and $D_k$, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quadratic lattices $L_Q$</th>
<th>$\ell(L_Q)$</th>
<th>$\delta(L_Q)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$U \oplus U(2) \oplus E_8(-2)$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U \oplus U \oplus E_8(-2)$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U \oplus U(2) \oplus D_4(-1) \oplus D_4(-1)$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U \oplus U \oplus D_4(-1) \oplus D_4(-1)$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U \oplus U \oplus E_8(-1)$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U \oplus U \oplus E_8(-1)$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Corollary 1.5.**

(1) For $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$, there exists a Hermitian lattice $L$ of signature $(1, 3)$ over $\mathcal{O}_F$ such that the associated unitary Shimura variety $\mathcal{F}_L(U(L)(\mathbb{Z}))$ is uniruled.

(2) For $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, there exists a Hermitian lattice $L$ of signature $(1, 4)$ over $\mathcal{O}_F$ such that the associated unitary Shimura variety $\mathcal{F}_L(U(L)(\mathbb{Z}))$ is uniruled.

(3) For $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$, there exists a Hermitian lattice $L$ of signature $(1, 5)$ over $\mathcal{O}_F$ such that the associated unitary Shimura variety $\mathcal{F}_L(U(L)(\mathbb{Z}))$ is uniruled.

(See Proposition A.1, Proposition A.2, Proposition A.3, Proposition A.4 and Proposition A.6)

On the other hand, by Theorem 1.1 we can prove some unitary Shimura varieties are Fano.

**Theorem 1.6.** Let $(L, \langle , \rangle)$ be a Hermitian lattice over $\mathcal{O}_F$ of signature $(1, 5)$ and let $(L_Q, \langle , \rangle)$ be the associated quadratic lattice over $\mathbb{Z}$ of signature $(2, 10)$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied.

1. $L_Q \cong U \oplus U \oplus E_8(-1)$.
2. $2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F$ for any $\ell, r \in L$ with $\langle r, r \rangle = -1$.

Then the unitary Shimura variety $\mathcal{F}_L(U(L)(\mathbb{Z}))$ is Fano.

Here is a sketch of the proof of the main results. First, we construct reflective modular forms on the Hermitian symmetric domain $D_L$ associated with a Hermitian lattice $L$ of signatures $(1, 3)$, $(1, 4)$ and $(1, 5)$ by embedding $D_L$ into the Hermitian symmetric domain associated with the quadratic lattice $L_Q$ of signatures $(2, 6)$, $(2, 8)$ and $(2, 10)$, and pulling back the strongly reflective modular forms constructed by Yoshikawa [22] and Gritsenko-Hulek [8]. Then, we apply the method of Gritsenko-Hulek [7] to unitary Shimura varieties and show that some of them are uniruled. Note
that Gritsenko-Hulek used the numerical criterion of uniruledness due to Miyaoka and Mori [20].

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the notations about unitary Shimura varieties and explain an embedding of $D_L$ into the Hermitian symmetric domain associated with $L_Q$. In Section 3, we review some properties of reflective modular forms and recall the results of Yoshikawa and Gritsenko-Hulek. In Section 4, we prove a criterion of uniruledness of unitary Shimura varieties in terms of reflective modular forms. In Section 5, we prove the main theorem of this paper. In Appendix A, we give some examples of Hermitian lattices satisfying the assumptions of the main theorem.

Remark 1.7. We can only prove the uniruledness for unitary Shimura varieties associated with Hermitian forms of signatures $(1, 3), (1, 4)$ and $(1, 5)$. Let $L$ be the Hermitian lattice over $\mathcal{O}_F$ of signature $(1, n)$. We embed $D_L$ into the Hermitian symmetric domain associated with the quadratic lattice $L_Q$ of signature $(2, 2n)$. In fact, we can prove the uniruledness criterion for $n > 1$ by the method of Gritsenko-Hulek [7]; see Theorem 4.1. However, in order to apply Theorem 4.1, we need reflective modular forms of high weight. We shall construct reflective modular forms by restricting strongly reflective modular forms constructed by Yoshikawa and Gritsenko-Hulek. Yoshikawa constructed reflective modular forms on the Hermitian symmetric domain associated with quadratic forms of signature $(2, m)$ for $m < 12$. When $m = 8$, the reflective modular forms constructed in [22] are not strongly reflective except $L_Q = U \oplus U \oplus \mathbb{D}_0$ case. Hence we can use strongly reflective modular forms constructed by Yoshikawa only when $m = 8, 10$, i.e., $n = 4, 5$. Gritsenko-Hulek constructed strongly reflective modular forms on the Hermitian symmetric domains associated with quadratic forms of signature $(1, 3), (1, 4)$ and $(1, 5)$ respectively. Hence we can prove the uniruledness of unitary Shimura varieties for $n = 3, 4, 5$.

Remark 1.8. Kondo [15], [16], Gritsenko-Hulek-Sankaran [9], and Ma [17] studied the Kodaira dimension of orthogonal Shimura varieties related with moduli spaces of polarized K3 surfaces, and proved that some of them have non-negative Kodaira dimension or, more precisely, orthogonal Shimura varieties are of general type if the polarization degree is sufficiently large. Kondo and Gritsenko-Hulek-Sankaran use modular forms of low weight vanishing on ramification divisors constructed by the Borcherds lift of the inverse of the Ramanujan delta function.

Remark 1.9. The author showed some unitary Shimura varieties are of general type [19]. This work is the unitary case similar to the results of Kondo, Gritsenko-Hulek-Sankaran and Ma.

2. UNITARY SHIMURA VARIETIES

Let $F := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_F$, where $d < 0$ is a square-free negative integer. We fix an embedding $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let $L$ be a projective $\mathcal{O}_F$-module of rank $n + 1$ equipped with a Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: L \times L \to F$ satisfying $\text{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\langle x, x \rangle) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $x \in L$. Such a pair $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is called a Hermitian lattice. In the following, We assume that $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ has signature $(1, n)$. We define the unitary group $\text{U}(L)$ which is a group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\text{U}(L)(R) := \{ g \in \text{GL}_R(L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R) \mid \langle gv, gw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle \text{ for any } v, w \in L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R \}$$
for any \(\mathbb{Z}\)-algebra \(R\).

Let \(D_L\) be the Hermitian symmetric domain associated with \(U(L)(\mathbb{R}) \cong U(1, n)\), i.e.,
\[
D_L := \{ [w] \in \mathbb{P}(L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \mid \langle w, w \rangle > 0 \},
\]
which is an \(n\)-dimensional complex analytic space. If we take the diagonal matrix \(\text{diag}(1, -1, \ldots, -1)\) as the Hermitian form over \(\mathbb{C}\) and \([w] = [1 : z_1 : \cdots : z_n] \in \mathbb{P}(L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})\) as a representative of \(w \in L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\), then \(\langle w, w \rangle > 0\) is equivalent to \(|z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2 < 1\). Hence \(D_L\) is biholomorphic to the \(n\)-dimensional complex ball
\[
\{ (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid |z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2 < 1 \}.
\]

For a finite index subgroup of \(\Gamma \subset U(L)(\mathbb{Z})\), we put
\[
\mathcal{F}_L(\Gamma) := \Gamma \backslash D_L.
\]

It is the main object treated in this paper, and we call it the unitary Shimura variety. It is a quasi-projective variety over \(\mathbb{C}\). The canonical map from \(D_L\) to \(\mathcal{F}_L(\Gamma)\) is denoted by \(\pi_\Gamma : D_L \to \mathcal{F}_L(\Gamma)\).

We introduce the ramification divisors of \(\pi_\Gamma : D_L \to \mathcal{F}_L(\Gamma)\). For any element \(r \in L\) satisfying \(\langle r, r \rangle \neq 0\), we define the reflection \(\tau_r \in U(L)(\mathbb{Q})\) with respect to \(r\) as follows:
\[
\tau_r(\ell) := \ell - \frac{2\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} r.
\]

**Proposition 2.1.** If \(n > 1\), then the ramification divisors of \(\pi_\Gamma : D_L \to \mathcal{F}_L(\Gamma)\) contain the set
\[
\bigcup_{r \in L/\pm 1, \ r \text{ primitive}} \{ w \in D_L \mid \langle w, r \rangle = 0 \}
\]
If \(F \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})\) and \(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})\), then the ramification divisors are equal to the above set as sets.

**Proof.** The ramification divisors are fixed divisors by the action of quasi-reflection elements in \(\Gamma\). If \(F \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})\) and \(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})\), then, by \([1,\ Corollary\ 3]\), every quasi-reflection has order 2, so is written as \(\tau_r\) for a primitive element \(r \in L\). If \(F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})\), then every quasi-reflection has order 4 by \([1,\ Corollary\ 3]\), so in general, the ramification divisors contain the divisors fixed by reflections \(\sigma_r\). If \(F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})\), then every quasi-reflection has order 6 and the ramification divisors contain the divisors fixed by reflections \(\sigma_r\).

Similarly, we define the reflection \(\sigma_r \in O(L)(\mathbb{Q})\) for \(r \in L_Q\) as follows.
\[
\sigma_r(\ell) := \ell - \frac{2\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} r.
\]

In the following, we recall how to embed the Hermitian symmetric domain associated with a Hermitian form into the Hermitian symmetric domain associated with a quadratic form. We follow Hofmann’s paper \([13]\).

Let \((L_Q, (\ , \ ))\) be the quadratic lattice of rank \(2n + 2\) over \(\mathbb{Z}\) of signature \((2, 2n)\), where \(L_Q\) is \(L\) considered as a free \(\mathbb{Z}\)-module and \((\ , \ ) := \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}(\ , \ )\). We put
\[
\mathcal{D}_{L_Q} := \{ w \in \mathbb{P}(L_Q \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}) \mid (w, w) = 0, (w, \overline{w}) > 0 \}^+.
\]
Here $+$ denotes one of its two connected components. This is the Hermitian symmetric domain associated with $\text{SO}(L_Q)(\mathbb{R}) \cong \text{SO}(2, 2n)(\mathbb{R})$. Then we get an injection

$$U(L) \hookrightarrow \text{SO}(L_Q)$$

so that

$$(2.1) \quad \iota: D_L \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{L_Q}.$$ 

For $\lambda \in L$ with $\lambda \neq 0$, we define the special divisors $H(\lambda) \subset D_L$ and $\mathcal{H}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{D}_{L_Q}$ by

$$H(\lambda) := \{w \in D_L \mid \langle \lambda, w \rangle = 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}(\lambda) := \{w \in \mathcal{D}_{L_Q} \mid (\lambda, w) = 0\}.$$ 

This map $(2.1)$ sends “special divisors on $D_L$” to “special divisors on $\mathcal{D}_{L_Q}$”.

**Lemma 2.2.** For $\lambda \in L$ with $\lambda \neq 0$, we have

$$\iota(H(\lambda)) = \iota(D_L) \cap \mathcal{H}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{D}_{L_Q}$$

as sets.

**Proof.** We follow [13, Lemma 3]. By [13, p.814], to simplify the discussion, we may take $\iota(z) = z - \sqrt{-1}(iz)$ for $z \in L$, where $i$ denotes the endomorphism of $L_Q \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ induced from $\sqrt{-1}$ multiplication on the complex vector space $L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$(\lambda, \iota(z)) = (\lambda, z - \sqrt{-1}(iz))$$

$$= (\lambda, z) - (\lambda, \sqrt{-1}(iz))$$

$$= (\lambda, z) - \sqrt{-1}(\lambda, (iz))$$

$$= 2(\mathfrak{R}\langle \lambda, z \rangle - \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{I}\langle \lambda, (iz) \rangle)$$

$$= 2(\mathfrak{R}\langle \lambda, z \rangle + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{I}\langle \lambda, z \rangle).$$

Hence $(\lambda, \iota(z)) = 0$ occurs if and only if $\langle \lambda, z \rangle = 0$ holds. 

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $\lambda, \lambda' \in L$ be elements satisfying $\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle = \langle \lambda', \lambda' \rangle \neq 0$. Then

$$H(\lambda) = H(\lambda')$$

if and only if $\lambda = a\lambda'$ for some $a \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$.

**Proof.** If $\lambda = a\lambda'$ for some $a \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$, then we have $\langle v, \lambda \rangle = \pi\langle v, \lambda' \rangle$ for any $v \in L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} F$. Hence we have $H(\lambda) = H(\lambda')$. Conversely, if $H(\lambda) = H(\lambda')$, then $\lambda^\perp = (\lambda')^\perp$ in $L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} F$. Hence $\lambda = a\lambda'$ for some $a \in F^\times$. Since $\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle = a\pi\langle \lambda', \lambda' \rangle \neq 0$, we have $a\pi = 1$. Hence we have $a \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$. 

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $\lambda, \lambda' \in L_Q$ be elements satisfying $(\lambda, \lambda) = (\lambda', \lambda')$. Then

$$\mathcal{H}(\lambda) = \mathcal{H}(\lambda')$$

if and only if $\lambda = \pm \lambda'$.

**Proof.** This follows in the same way as the proof of Lemma 2.3.

Moreover, the map $(2.1)$ sends “cusps of $D_L$” to “cusps of $\mathcal{D}_{L_Q}$”, so the pullback of a cusp form on $\mathcal{D}_{L_Q}$ to $D_L$ by $(2.1)$ is also a cusp form; see [13, Proposition 2] for details.
3. Reflective modular forms on $D_M$

In this section, we shall introduce reflective modular forms and give some examples. For a quadratic lattice $M$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ of signature $(2, m)$, we denote by $O^+(M) \subset O(M)(\mathbb{Z})$ the index 2 subgroup of the integral orthogonal group $O(M)(\mathbb{Z})$ preserving $D_M$.

Let $M_k(\Gamma, \chi)$ and $S_k(\Gamma, \chi)$ be the spaces of modular forms and cusp forms of weight $k$ on $D_M$ with respect to $\Gamma$, where $\Gamma \subset O^+(M)$ is a finite index subgroup.

In the following, we assume $m > 2$. Then in the same way as Proposition 2.1, by [9, Corollary 2.13], the ramification divisors of $\pi_\Gamma: D_M \to \Gamma \backslash D_M$

are equal to

$$\bigcup_{r \in M/\pm 1, r \text{ is primitive } \sigma, \in \Gamma \text{ or } -\sigma, \in \Gamma} \mathcal{H}(r).$$

Recall that a modular form $F_k \in M_k(\Gamma, \chi)$ is called reflective if $\operatorname{Supp}(\operatorname{div} F_k) \subset \bigcup_{r \in M/\pm 1, r \text{ is primitive } \sigma, \in \Gamma \text{ or } -\sigma, \in \Gamma} \mathcal{H}(r)$.

We call a reflective modular form $F_k$ strongly reflective if the multiplicity of any irreducible component of $\operatorname{div}(F_k)$ is 1. Reflective modular forms are introduced by Gritsenko [6].

Now we shall give some examples of reflective modular forms which will be used in the proof of the main theorem of this paper. First, we introduce some invariants of quadratic lattices according to [22]. Let $r(M)$ be the rank of $M$, and $M^\vee$ be its dual lattice, i.e.,

$$M^\vee := \{v \in M \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mid (v, w) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for any } w \in M\}.$$

We set

$$\Delta_M := \{v \in M \mid (v, v) = -2\}$$

and define

$$\Delta'_M := \{v \in \Delta_M \mid \frac{v}{2} \notin M^\vee\},$$

$$\Delta''_M := \{v \in \Delta_M \mid \frac{v}{2} \in M^\vee\},$$

so that $\Delta_M = \Delta'_M \cup \Delta''_M$. We call $M$ even if $(v, v) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for any $v \in M$. We say $M$ is 2-elementary if there exists a non-negative integer $\ell(M)$ such that $M^\vee/M \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\ell(M)}$.

Then $r(M) \geq \ell(M)$ and $r(M) \equiv \ell(M) \mod 2$. We also define

$$\delta(M) := \begin{cases} 0 & ((v, v) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for any } v \in M^\vee) \\ 1 & ((v, v) \notin \mathbb{Z} \text{ for some } v \in M^\vee). \end{cases}$$

By [21, Theorem 3.6.2], the triplet $(\text{sign}(M), \ell(M), \delta(M))$ determines the isometry class of an indefinite even 2-elementary lattice $M$.

In the following, we assume that the signature of $M$ is $(2, 10)$ or $(2, 8)$, and $M$ is an even 2-elementary lattice. In [22], Yoshikawa constructed a $\mathbb{C}[M^\vee/M]$-valued holomorphic function $F_M$ on the complex upper half plane and calculated its Borcherds lift $\Psi_M$. We review Yoshikawa’s result for a special case.
Theorem 3.1 (Yoshikawa). Let $M$ be an even 2-elementary quadratic lattice over $\mathbb{Z}$ of signature $(2, 10)$ and $\delta(M) = 0$. Then $\Psi_M$ is a modular form on $\mathcal{D}_M$ for $O^+(M)$ satisfying
\[
\text{div}(\Psi_M) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta_M/\pm 1} \mathcal{H}(\lambda).
\]
Moreover, the weight $w(M)$ of $\Psi_M$ is given by
\[
w(M) = 4(2^{12-\ell(M)/2} + 1) - 8 = 2^{16-\ell(M)/2} - 4.
\]
In particular, since for any $(-2)$-vector $r$, the reflection $\sigma_r$ is always an element of $O^+(M)$, the reflective modular form $\Psi_M$ is strongly reflective.

Proof. See [22, Theorem 8.1]. □

Theorem 3.2 (Yoshikawa). Let $M := \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{D}_8$ be a quadratic lattice over $\mathbb{Z}$ of signature $(2, 8)$. Then $\Psi_M$ is a modular form on $\mathcal{D}_M$ for $O^+(M)$ satisfying
\[
\text{div}(\Psi_M) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta_M/\pm 1} \mathcal{H}(\lambda).
\]
Moreover, the weight $w(M)$ of $\Psi_M$ is 102 and $\Psi_M$ is strongly reflective.

Proof. See [22, Theorem 8.1]. □

Remark 3.3. We list $(M, (\ , \ ))$ satisfying the condition of Theorem 3.1. Under the assumption of the existence of a primitive embedding into the K3 lattice, these quadratic lattices are classified in [18]. More precisely, even 2-elementary quadratic lattices of signature $(2, 10)$ satisfying $\delta(M) = 0$ which have primitive embeddings into the K3 lattice are as in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quadratic lattices $M$</th>
<th>$\ell(M)$</th>
<th>$w(M)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{E}_8(-2)$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{E}_8(-2)$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{D}_4(-1) \oplus \mathbb{D}_4(-1)$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{D}_4(-1) \oplus \mathbb{D}_4(-1)$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{D}_8(-1)$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{E}_8(-1)$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remark 3.4. Even unimodular lattices over $\mathbb{Z}$ of signature $(2, 10)$ are 2-elementary and $\delta = 0$, so, in particular, they satisfy the assumption in Theorem 3.1.

Second, there exists a strongly reflective modular form on $\mathcal{D}_N$ different from Yoshikawa’s one, where $N := \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{E}_8(-2)$. Let $(N := \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{E}_8(-2), (\ , \ ))$ be an even quadratic lattice of signature $(2, 10)$. $N$ is associated with the moduli space of polarized Enriques surfaces. Gritsenko-Hulek [8] constructed a strongly reflective modular form on $\mathcal{D}_N$. 

Theorem 3.5 (Gritsenko-Hulek). There exists a strongly reflective modular form \( \Phi_{124} \in S_{124}(O^+(N), \mu_2) \) of weight 124 where \( \mu_2 \) is a binary character of \( O^+(N) \). This satisfies

\[
\text{div}(\Phi_{124}) = \bigcup_{r \in N/\pm \text{s.t. } (r,r)=-4} H(r) + \bigcup_{r \in N/\pm \text{s.t. } (r,r)=-2} H(r),
\]

where the right hand side is the ramification divisor of \( \pi : D_N \to O^+(N) \backslash D_N \).

**Proof.** See [8, Lemma 5.4]. \( \square \)

Theorem 3.6 (Yoshikawa). Let \( M := \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{D}_4, \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{D}_4 \) or \( \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{D}_4 \) be a quadratic lattice over \( \mathbb{Z} \) of signature \( (p,6) \). Then \( \Psi_M \) is a strongly reflective modular form on \( D_M \) for \( O^+(M) \) satisfying

\[
\text{div}(\Psi_M) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta_L/\pm 1} H(\lambda).
\]

Moreover, the weight \( w(M) \) of \( \Psi_M \) is as follows.

\[
w(M) = 8(2^{\frac{s-\ell(M)}{2}} + 1).
\]

**Proof.** See [22, Theorem 8.1]. \( \square \)

Remark 3.7. We list the triplet \( (M, \ell(M), w(M)) \) satisfying the condition of Theorem 3.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quadratic lattices ( M )</th>
<th>( \ell(M) )</th>
<th>( w(M) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{D}_4 )</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{D}_4 )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{D}_4 )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Uniruledness Criterion

Let \( M_k(\Gamma, \chi) \) and \( S_k(\Gamma, \chi) \) be the spaces of modular forms and cusp forms of weight \( k \) on \( D_L \) with respect to \( \Gamma \) and a character \( \chi \) similarly to the orthogonal case. We also define the reflective modular forms on \( D_L \) in the same way as the orthogonal case. We can show that certain unitary Shimura varieties are uniruled under some assumption. Gritsenko-Hulek [7] proved the following theorem for orthogonal Shimura varieties using the numerical criterion of uniruledness due to Miyaoka and Mori [20].

Theorem 4.1. Let \( F \) be an imaginary quadratic field and \( (L, \langle , \rangle) \) be a Hermitian lattice over \( \mathcal{O}_F \) of signature \( (1,n) \) for \( n > 1 \). Let \( a, k > 0 \) be positive integers satisfying \( k > a(n+1) \). If there exists a non-zero reflective modular form \( F_{a,k} \in M_k(\Gamma, \chi) \) of weight \( k \) for which the multiplicity of every irreducible component of \( \text{div}(F_{a,k}) \) is less than or equal to \( a \), then the unitary Shimura variety \( \mathcal{S}_L(\Gamma') \) is uniruled for every arithmetic group \( \Gamma' \) containing \( \Gamma \).

**Proof.** It suffices to show the theorem for \( \Gamma = \Gamma' \). First, we assume \( F \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \) and \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) \). Then by [11, Corollary 3], the ramification divisors are fixed divisors defined
by reflections. We take a toroidal compactification $X := \overline{F_L(\Gamma)}$ of $F_L(\Gamma)$. We can prove the theorem in the same way as [7, Theorem 2.1] using the numerical criterion of uniruledness due to Miyaoka and Mori [20]. We denote the ramification divisors in $X$ by $B = \sum_r B_r$. Let $D = \sum_\alpha D_\alpha$ be the boundary and $L$ be the line bundle of modular forms of weight 1. Then we have

$$K_{X_{\text{reg}}} = (n + 1)L - \frac{1}{2}B - \sum_\alpha n_\alpha D_\alpha$$

for some $n_\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$.

By the assumption on $F_{a,k}$, we have

$$kL = \frac{1}{2} \sum_r m_r B_r + \sum_\alpha \delta_\alpha D_\alpha$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (mB + \sum_r (m_r - m) B_r) + \sum_\alpha \delta_\alpha D_\alpha$$

for some non-negative integers $m_r$ and $\delta_\alpha$ with $m_r \leq a$. By substituting (4.2) for (4.1), we have

$$-K_{X_{\text{reg}}} = (\frac{k}{m} - n - 1)L + \sum_r \frac{m - m_r}{2m} B_r + \sum_\alpha \frac{mn_\alpha - \delta_\alpha}{m} D_\alpha.$$ 

For a resolution $\tilde{X} \to X$, we obtain

$$-K_{\tilde{X}} = (\frac{k}{m} - n - 1)L + \sum_r \frac{m - m_r}{2m} B_r + \sum_\alpha \frac{mn_\alpha - \delta_\alpha}{m} D_\alpha + \sum_\beta \epsilon_\beta E_\beta$$

where $E_\beta$ are the exceptional divisors and $\epsilon_\beta$ are non-negative integers. Here $B_r$ and $D_\alpha$ denotes the strict transform of the corresponding divisors on $X$. In the same way as the proof of [7, Theorem 2.1], for a curve $C$ on $\tilde{X}$ which doesn’t pass through boundary and singular locus of $\overline{F_L(\Gamma)}$, it suffices to show $K_{\tilde{X}} \cdot C < 0$. Now

$$-K_{\tilde{X}} \cdot C = (\frac{k}{m} - n - 1)L + \sum_r \frac{m - m_r}{2m} B_r + \sum_\alpha \frac{mn_\alpha - \delta_\alpha}{m} D_\alpha + \sum_\beta \epsilon_\beta E_\beta \cdot C.$$ 

By assumption on $C$, we have $D_\alpha \cdot C = E_\beta \cdot C = 0$. We also have $\frac{m-m_r}{2m} B_r \cdot C > 0$ and $(\frac{k}{m} - n - 1)L \cdot C > 0$ by [7, Theorem 2.1], so it follows that $K_{\tilde{X}} \cdot C < 0$. This implies $\overline{F_L(\Gamma)}$ is uniruled by the numerical criterion of Miyaoka and Mori. When $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, the ramification divisors are defined by the elements of order 4 and 6 respectively. In this case, the same calculation holds, so it also concludes that $\overline{F_L(\Gamma)}$ is uniruled.

\[\square\]

\textbf{Remark 4.2.} More strongly, we can show the rationally-chain connected-ness of the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification $\overline{F_L(\Gamma)}^{\text{SBB}}$ of the unitary Shimura variety $\overline{F_L(\Gamma)}$. See [10, Theorem 1.2]

\textbf{Remark 4.3.} Gritsenko-Hulek-Sankaran showed the ramification divisors of orthogonal Shimura varieties of dimension larger than 2 are induced by $g \in \Gamma$ such that $g$ or $-g$ is a reflection; see [9, Corollary 2.13]. So the uniruledness criterion [7, Theorem 2.1] for orthogonal Shimura varieties are proved for $n > 2$. On the other hand, Behrens
Corollary 3] showed the same claim for unitary Shimura varieties only for \( n > 1 \), so Theorem 4.1 needs \( n > 1 \).

5. Application to the uniruledness of unitary Shimura varieties

In this section, we show certain unitary Shimura varieties associated with Hermitian forms of signatures \((1, 3), (1, 4)\) and \((1, 5)\) are uniruled. We remark that for \( F \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \) and \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) \), we have \( \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}/\pm = \{1\} \). On the other hand, we have \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}^{\times}/\pm = \{1, \sqrt{-1}\} \) and \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})}^{\times}/\pm = \{1, \sqrt{-3}\} \) where \( \omega \) is a primitive third root of unity.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( (L, \langle \ , \rangle) \) be a Hermitian lattice over \( \mathcal{O}_F \) of signature \((1, 3)\) and let \( (L_Q, \langle \ , \rangle) \) be the associated quadratic lattice over \( \mathbb{Z} \) of signature \((2, 10)\). Assume that the following conditions are satisfied.

1. \( L_Q \) is even 2-elementary, \( \delta(L_Q) = 0 \) and \( \ell(L_Q) \leq 8 \). Moreover, \( \ell(L_Q) \leq 6 \) if \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) \).
2. \( 2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F \) for any \( \ell, r \in L \) with \( \langle r, r \rangle = -1 \).

Then the unitary Shimura variety \( \mathcal{F}_L(U(L)(\mathbb{Z})) \) is uniruled.

**Proof.** Since \( L_Q \) is 2-elementary with \( \ell(L_Q) \leq 8 \) and \( \delta(L_Q) = 0 \), we have a strongly reflective modular form \( \Psi_{L_Q} \) on \( \mathcal{O}_L \) for \( \mathcal{O}^+(L_Q) \) by Theorem 3.1. This has zeros on special divisors defined by \((-2\)-vectors only, i.e.,

\[
\text{div}(\Psi_{L_Q}) = \bigcup_{\Delta_{L_Q}/\pm} \mathcal{H}(r).
\]

Let \( \Xi := \{ r \in L \mid \langle r, r \rangle = -1 \} \). When \( F \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \) and \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) \), we have

\[
\text{div}(\mathcal{t}^*\Psi_{L_Q}) = \bigcup_{r \in \Xi/\pm} H(r).
\]

By assumption, for any \( r \in L \) with \( \langle r, r \rangle = -1 \), we get \( 2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F \), where \( \ell \) is an any element of \( L \). Hence for such \( r \), we have

\[
\frac{2\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathcal{O}_F,
\]

so \( H(r) \) is contained in the ramification divisors of \( \pi_{U(L)} : D_L \to U(L) \) \( \backslash D_L \). Therefore \( \mathcal{t}^*\Psi_{L_Q} \) is a reflective modular form of weight \( \geq 12 \) on \( D_L \). Hence by Theorem 3.1, we conclude that \( \mathcal{F}_L(U(L)) \) is uniruled.

When \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \), we have

\[
\text{div}(\mathcal{t}^*\Psi_{L_Q}) = \bigcup_{r \in \Xi/\pm} H(r) = \bigcup_{r \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}/\pm} 2H(r).
\]

By assumption, for any \( r \in L \) such that \( \langle r, r \rangle = -1 \), we get \( 2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F \), where \( \ell \) is an any element of \( L \). Hence for such \( r \), we have

\[
\frac{2\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathcal{O}_F,
\]

so \( H(r) \) is contained in the ramification divisors of \( \pi_{U(L)} : D_L \to U(L) \) \( \backslash D_L \). Therefore \( \mathcal{t}^*\Psi_{L_Q} \) is a reflective modular form of weight \( \geq 28 \) on \( D_L \). Since \( 28 > 2 \cdot 6 \), by Theorem 4.1, we conclude that \( \mathcal{F}_L(U(L)) \) is uniruled.
When \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) \), we have
\[
\text{div}(\iota^*\Psi_{LQ}) = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}^+} H(r) = \bigcup_{\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}^+} 3H(r).
\]
By assumption, for any \( r \in L \) such that \( \langle r, r \rangle = -1 \), we get \( 2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F \), where \( \ell \) is an any element of \( L \). Hence for such \( r \), we have
\[
\frac{2\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathcal{O}_F,
\]
so \( H(r) \) is contained in the ramification divisors of \( \pi_{U(L)}: D_L \to U(L) \setminus D_L \). Therefore \( \iota^*\Psi_{LQ} \) is a reflective modular form of weight \( 124 \) on \( U(L) \). Therefore we cannot use \( \Psi_M \) to study the uniruledness of unitary Shimura varieties. Hence by Theorem 4.1 we conclude that \( \mathcal{F}_L(U(L)) \) is uniruled.

\[ \blacksquare \]

**Remark 5.2.** According to the table in Introduction, the only case we cannot apply Theorem 5.1 is \( M = \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{E}_8(-2) \).

**Remark 5.3.** In Appendix A we give examples of Hermitian lattices \((L, \langle , \rangle)\) satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.1. We explain what happens in the \( \delta = 1 \) case. For an even 2-elementary quadratic lattice \( M \) of signature \((2, 10)\) with \( \delta(M) = 1 \), Yoshikawa constructed a reflective modular form \( \Psi_M \) of weight \( 4g \), where \( g := 2^{(12-\ell(M))/2} + 1 \); see [22, Theorem 8.1]. The multiplicity of divisors of this function is at most \( g \). In particular, \( \Psi_M \) is not a strongly reflective modular form. Moreover, \( \Psi_M \) does not satisfy the assumption in Theorem 4.1 because \( 4g/g = 4 \) is not greater than 5. Hence we cannot use \( \Psi_M \) to study the uniruledness of unitary Shimura varieties.

**Theorem 5.4.** Let \((L, \langle , \rangle)\) be a Hermitian lattice over \( \mathcal{O}_F \) of signature \((1, 5)\) and let \((L_Q, \langle , \rangle)\) be the associated quadratic lattice over \( \mathbb{Z} \) of signature \((2, 10)\). Assume that the following conditions are satisfied.

\[
\begin{align*}
&1) \quad L_Q \cong \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{E}_8(-2), \\
&2) \quad \langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F \text{ for any } \ell, r \in L \text{ such that } \langle r, r \rangle = -2 \text{ and } \Re{\langle \ell, r \rangle} \in \mathbb{Z}.
\end{align*}
\]
Then the unitary Shimura variety \( \mathcal{F}_L(U(L)(\mathbb{Z})) \) is uniruled.

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.5, we have a strongly reflective \( \Phi_{124} \) of weight 124 on \( \mathcal{D}_N \) satisfying
\[
\text{div}(\Phi_{124}) = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}^+} \mathcal{H}(r).
\]
When \( F \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \) and \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) \), we have
\[
\text{div}(\iota^*\Phi_{124}) = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}^+} H(r).
\]
By assumption, for any \( r \in L \) such that \( \langle r, r \rangle = -2 \) and \( \Re{\langle \ell, r \rangle} \in \mathbb{Z} \), we get \( \langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F \) where \( \ell \) is an any element of \( L \). This means, for such \( r \), we have
\[
\frac{2\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathcal{O}_F,
\]
so \( H(r) \) is contained in the ramification divisors of \( \pi_{U(L)}: D_L \to U(L) \setminus D_L \). Therefore \( \iota^*\Psi_{124} \) is a reflective modular form of weight 124 on \( D_L \). Hence by Theorem 4.1 we conclude that \( \mathcal{F}_L(U(L)) \) is uniruled.
When $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, we have

$$\text{div}(\imath^* \Phi_{124}) = \bigcup_{r \in L/\mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } \langle r, r \rangle = -2} H(r) = \bigcup_{r \in L/\mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } \langle r, r \rangle = -2} 2H(r).$$

By assumption, for any $r \in L$ such that $\langle r, r \rangle = -2$ and $\Re(\langle r, r \rangle) \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get $\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F$ where $\ell$ is any element of $L$. Hence for such $r$, we have

$$\frac{2\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathcal{O}_F,$$

so $H(r)$ is contained in the ramification divisors of $\pi_{U(L)}: D_L \to U(L) \backslash D_L$. Therefore $\imath^* \Phi_{124}$ is a reflective modular form of weight 124 on $D_L$. Since 124 > $2 \cdot 6$, by Theorem 4.1 we conclude that $\mathcal{F}_L(U(L))$ is uniruled.

When $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, we have

$$\text{div}(\imath^* \Phi_{124}) = \bigcup_{r \in L/\mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } \langle r, r \rangle = -2} H(r) = \bigcup_{r \in L/\mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } \langle r, r \rangle = -2} 3H(r).$$

By assumption, for any $r \in L$ such that $\langle r, r \rangle = -2$ and $\Re(\langle r, r \rangle) \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get $\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F$ where $\ell$ is any element of $L$. Hence for such $r$, we have

$$\frac{2\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathcal{O}_F,$$

so $H(r)$ is contained in the ramification divisors of $\pi_{U(L)}: D_L \to U(L) \backslash D_L$. Therefore $\imath^* \Phi_{124}$ is a reflective modular form of weight 124 on $D_L$. Since 124 > $3 \cdot 6$, by Theorem 4.1 we conclude that $\mathcal{F}_L(U(L))$ is uniruled.

**Theorem 5.5.** Let $(L, \langle , \rangle)$ be a Hermitian lattice over $\mathcal{O}_F$ of signature $(1, 4)$ and let $(L_Q, ( , ))$ be the associated quadratic lattice over $\mathbb{Z}$ of signature $(2, 8)$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied.

1. $L_Q \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{D}_6$.
2. $2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F$ for any $\ell, r \in L$ with $\langle r, r \rangle = -1$.

Then the unitary Shimura variety $\mathcal{F}_L(U(L)(\mathbb{Z}))$ is uniruled.

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.2 we have a strongly reflective modular form $\Psi_{L_Q}$ of weight 102 on $\mathcal{D}_{L_Q}$. This has zeros on special divisors defined by $(-2)$-vectors only, i.e.,

$$\text{div}(\Psi_{L_Q}) = \bigcup_{\Delta_{L_Q}/\pm 1} \mathcal{M}(r).$$

Let $\Xi := \{r \in L \mid \langle r, r \rangle = -1\}$. When $F \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, we have

$$\text{div}(\imath^* \Psi_{L_Q}) = \bigcup_{r \in \Xi/\pm 1} H(r).$$

By assumption, for any $r \in L$ with $\langle r, r \rangle = -1$, we get $2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F$, where $\ell$ is any element of $L$. Hence for such $r$, we have

$$\frac{2\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathcal{O}_F,$$
so $H(r)$ is contained in the ramification divisors of $\pi_{U(L)}: D_L \to U(L)\backslash D_L$. Therefore $\iota^*\Psi_{L_Q}$ is a reflective modular form of weight 102 > 5 on $D_L$, so by Theorem 4.1, we conclude that $\mathcal{F}_L(U(L))$ is uniruled.

When $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, we have

$$\text{div}(\iota^*\Psi_{L_Q}) = \bigcup_{r \in \Xi/\pm 1} H(r) = \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}),q}^2} 2H(r).$$

By assumption, for any $r \in L$ such that $\langle r, r \rangle = -1$, we get $2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F$, where $\ell$ is any element of $L$. Hence for such $r$, we have

$$\frac{2\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathcal{O}_F,$$

so $H(r)$ is contained in the ramification divisors of $\pi_{U(L)}: D_L \to U(L)\backslash D_L$. Therefore $\iota^*\Psi_{L_Q}$ is a reflective modular form of weight 102 on $D_L$. Since $102 > 2 \cdot 5$, so by Theorem 4.1, we conclude that $\mathcal{F}_L(U(L))$ is uniruled.

When $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, we have

$$\text{div}(\iota^*\Psi_{L_Q}) = \bigcup_{r \in \Xi/\pm 1} H(r) = \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}),q}^2} 3H(r).$$

By assumption, for any $r \in L$ such that $\langle r, r \rangle = -1$, we get $2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F$, where $\ell$ is any element of $L$. Hence for such $r$, we have

$$\frac{2\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathcal{O}_F,$$

so $H(r)$ is contained in the ramification divisors of $\pi_{U(L)}: D_L \to U(L)\backslash D_L$. Therefore $\iota^*\Psi_{L_Q}$ is a reflective modular form of weight 102 on $D_L$. Since $102 > 3 \cdot 5$, so by Theorem 4.1, we conclude that $\mathcal{F}_L(U(L))$ is uniruled. 

\[\square\]

**Theorem 5.6.** Let $(L, \langle , \rangle)$ be a Hermitian lattice over $\mathcal{O}_F$ of signature $(1,3)$ and let $(L_Q, ( , , ))$ be the associated quadratic lattice over $\mathbb{Z}$ of signature $(2,6)$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied.

1. $L_Q \cong \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{D}_4, \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{D}_4$ or $\mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{D}_4$.
2. $2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F$ for any $\ell, r \in L$ with $\langle r, r \rangle = -1$.

Then the unitary Shimura variety $\mathcal{F}_L(U(L)(\mathbb{Z}))$ is uniruled.

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.6, we have a strongly reflective modular form $\Psi_{L_Q}$ of weight 102 on $\mathcal{D}_{L_Q}$. This has zeros on special divisors defined by $(-2)$-vectors only, i.e.,

$$\text{div}(\Psi_{L_Q}) = \bigcup_{\mathcal{H}(r)} \mathcal{H}(r).$$

Let $\Xi := \{ r \in L | \langle r, r \rangle = -1 \}$. When $F \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, we have

$$\text{div}(\iota^*\Psi_{L_Q}) = \bigcup_{r \in \Xi/\pm 1} H(r).$$

By assumption, for any $r \in L$ with $\langle r, r \rangle = -1$, we get $2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F$, where $\ell$ is any element of $L$. Hence for such $r$, we have

$$\frac{2\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathcal{O}_F,$$
so $H(r)$ is contained in the ramification divisors of $\pi_{U(L)}: D_L \to U(L) \backslash D_L$. Therefore $\iota^* \Psi_{L_Q}$ is a reflective modular form of weight greater than 24 on $D_L$, so by Theorem 4.1 we conclude that $\mathcal{F}_L(U(L))$ is uniruled.

When $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, we have

$$\text{div}(\iota^* \Psi_{L_Q}) = \bigcup_{r \in \Xi/\pm 1} H(r) = \bigcup_{\Xi/\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}} 2H(r).$$

By assumption, for any $r \in L$ such that $\langle r, r \rangle = -1$, we get $2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F$, where $\ell$ is any element of $L$. Hence for such $r$, we have

$$\frac{2\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathcal{O}_F,$$

so $H(r)$ is contained in the ramification divisors of $\pi_{U(L)}: D_L \to U(L) \backslash D_L$. Therefore $\iota^* \Psi_{L_Q}$ is a reflective modular form of weight greater than 24 on $D_L$. Since $24 > 2 \cdot 4$, so by Theorem 4.1 we conclude that $\mathcal{F}_L(U(L))$ is uniruled.

When $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, we have

$$\text{div}(\iota^* \Psi_{L_Q}) = \bigcup_{r \in \Xi/\pm 1} H(r) = \bigcup_{\Xi/\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})}} 3H(r).$$

By assumption, for any $r \in L$ such that $\langle r, r \rangle = -1$, we get $2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F$, where $\ell$ is any element of $L$. Hence for such $r$, we have

$$\frac{2\langle \ell, r \rangle}{\langle r, r \rangle} \in \mathcal{O}_F,$$

so $H(r)$ is contained in the ramification divisors of $\pi_{U(L)}: D_L \to U(L) \backslash D_L$. Therefore $\iota^* \Psi_{L_Q}$ is a reflective modular form of weight greater than 24 on $D_L$. Since $24 > 3 \cdot 4$, so by Theorem 4.1 we conclude that $\mathcal{F}_L(U(L))$ is uniruled.

\appendix
\section{Example of Hermitian lattices}

In this section, we give examples of explicit Hermitian lattices satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.4. To do this, we have to construct a Hermitian lattice $L$ of signature $(1,5)$ such that the associated quadratic lattice $L_Q$ is even 2-elementary with $\delta(L_Q) = 0$.

\begin{proposition}
For $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, there exists a Hermitian lattice $L$ of signature $(1,5)$ over $\mathcal{O}_F$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.1 and 5.4.
\end{proposition}

\begin{proof}
It suffices to take $L$ as in Example A.7 or Example A.8.
\end{proof}

\begin{proposition}
For $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$, there exists a Hermitian lattice $L$ of signature $(1,5)$ over $\mathcal{O}_F$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.1.
\end{proposition}

\begin{proof}
It suffices to take $L$ as in Example A.10.
\end{proof}

\begin{proposition}
For $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, there exists a Hermitian lattice $L$ of signature $(1,5)$ over $\mathcal{O}_F$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.5.
\end{proposition}

\begin{proof}
It suffices to take $L$ as in Example A.11.
\end{proof}

\begin{proposition}
For $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, there exists a Hermitian lattice $L$ of signature $(1,4)$ over $\mathcal{O}_F$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.5.
\end{proposition}

\begin{proof}
It suffices to take $L$ as in Example A.11.
\end{proof}
For a Hermitian lattice of signature \( p \), theorem \( 5.4 \) and \( A.1 \).

\( \Box \)

**Proposition A.5.** For \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \), there exists a Hermitian lattice \( L \) of signature \( (1, 3) \) over \( \mathcal{O}_F \) satisfying the conditions of Theorem \( 5.6 \).

**Proof.** It suffices to take \( L \) as in Example \( A.12 \). \( \Box \)

**Proposition A.6.** For \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) \), there exists a Hermitian lattice \( L \) of signature \( (1, 3) \) over \( \mathcal{O}_F \) satisfying the conditions of Theorem \( 5.6 \).

**Proof.** It suffices to take \( L \) as in Example \( A.13 \) or \( A.14 \). \( \Box \)

### A.1. Hermitian lattices satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.4.

We give some examples of Hermitian lattices \( L \) satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.4. For the definitions of Hermitian lattices \( L_{\mathbb{Q}(U)} \), \( L_{\mathbb{Q}(U)(2)} \), \( L_{\mathbb{Q}_2} \), \( L_{\mathbb{Q}_3} \), \( L_{\mathbb{Q}_5} \) and \( L_{\mathbb{Q}_8} \), see the subsections under the following Examples.

**Example A.7.** Let \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \) and \( L := L_{\mathbb{Q}(U)} \oplus L_{\mathbb{Q}_8}(-1) \) be a Hermitian lattice of signature \( (1, 5) \). Then \( L_Q = \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{E}_8(-1) \) and \( L \) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.1.

**Example A.8.** Let \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \) and \( L := L_{\mathbb{Q}(U)} \oplus L_{\mathbb{Q}_8}(-1) \) be a Hermitian lattice of signature \( (1, 5) \). Then \( L_Q = \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{D}_8(-1) \) and \( L \) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.1.

**Example A.9.** Let \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \) and \( L := L_{\mathbb{Q}(U)} \oplus L_{\mathbb{Q}_8}(-1) \) be a Hermitian lattice of signature \( (1, 5) \). Then \( L_Q = \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{D}_8(-1) \) and \( L \) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.1.

**Example A.10.** Let \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) \) and \( L := L_{\mathbb{Q}(U)(2)} \oplus L_{\mathbb{Q}_8}(-1) \) be a Hermitian lattice of signature \( (1, 5) \). Then \( L_Q = \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{D}_8(-1) \) and \( L \) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.1.

**Example A.11.** Let \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \) and \( L := L_{\mathbb{Q}(U)(2)} \oplus L_{\mathbb{Q}_8}(-2) \) be a Hermitian lattice of signature \( (1, 5) \). Then \( L_Q = \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{E}_8(-2) \) and \( L \) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.4.

**Example A.12.** Let \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \) and \( L := L_{\mathbb{Q}(U)} \oplus L_{\mathbb{Q}_8} \) be a Hermitian lattice of signature \( (1, 4) \). Then \( L_Q = \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{D}_6 \) and \( L \) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.6.

**Example A.13.** Let \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \) or \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) \) and \( L := L_{\mathbb{Q}(U)(2)} \oplus L_{\mathbb{Q}_8} \) or \( L_{\mathbb{Q}(U)(2)} \oplus L_{\mathbb{Q}_8} \) be a Hermitian lattice of signature \( (1, 3) \). Then \( L_Q = \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{D}_4 \) and \( L \) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.6.

**Example A.14.** Let \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \) and \( L := L_{\mathbb{Q}(U)} \oplus L_{\mathbb{Q}_8} \) be a Hermitian lattice of signature \( (1, 3) \). Then \( L_Q = \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{D}_4 \) and \( L \) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.6.

**Example A.15.** Let \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) \) and \( L := L_{\mathbb{Q}(U)(2)} \oplus L_{\mathbb{Q}_8} \) be a Hermitian lattice of signature \( (1, 3) \). Then \( L_Q = \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{D}_4 \) and \( L \) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.6.

### A.2. Hermitian lattices whose associated quadratic lattices are \( U \oplus U \). Let \( L_{\mathbb{Q}(U)} \) be the Hermitian lattice defined by

\[
\frac{1}{2\sqrt{d}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Then the associated quadratic lattice \((L_{U@U})_Q\) is isometric to \(U \oplus U\). (See [13, Example 2.1].) In particular, for \(F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})\), \(L_{U@U}\) is defined by
\[
\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Hence we have \(2\langle r, \ell \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\) for any \(\ell, r \in L_{U@U}\).

A.3. Hermitian lattices whose associated quadratic lattices are \(U \oplus U(2)\).

A.3.1. Case \(F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})\). Let \(L_{-1, U@U(2)}\) be the Hermitian lattice over \(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}\) defined by
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Then the associated quadratic lattice \((L_{-1, U@U(2)})_Q\) is defined by
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
It is isometric to \(U \oplus U(2)\). Moreover \(L_{-1, U@U(2)}\) satisfies that \(\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F\) for any \(\ell, r \in L_{-1, U@U(2)}\) with \(\Re\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\).

A.3.2. Case \(F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})\). Let \(L_{-2, U@U(2)}\) be the Hermitian lattice over \(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})}\) defined by
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Then the associated quadratic lattice \((L_{-2, U@U(2)})_Q\) is defined by
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
It is isometric to \(U \oplus U(2)\). Moreover \(L_{-2, U@U(2)}\) satisfies that \(2\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F\) for any \(\ell, r \in L_{-2, U@U(2)}\).

A.4. Hermitian lattices whose associated quadratic lattices are \(\mathbb{D}_4\). Coulageon-Nebe [4] constructed Hermitian lattices \(L_{\mathbb{D}_4}\) of rank 4 such that \((L_{\mathbb{D}_4})_Q \cong \mathbb{D}_4\) for \(F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})\).

A.4.1. Case \(F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})\). Let \(L_{-1, \mathbb{D}_4}\) be the Hermitian lattice over \(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}\) defined by
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Then the associated quadratic lattice \((L_{-1, \mathbb{D}_4})_Q\) is defined by
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.
It is isometric to $\mathbb{D}_4$. Moreover $L_{-1,\mathbb{D}_4}$ satisfies that $2\langle\ell, r\rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F$ for any $\ell, r \in L_{-1,\mathbb{D}_4}$.

A.4.2. Case $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$. Let $L_{-2,\mathbb{D}_4}$ be the Hermitian lattice over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})}$ defined by

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & \frac{1+i\sqrt{-2}}{2} \\
\frac{1-i\sqrt{-2}}{2} & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Then the associated quadratic lattice $(L_{-2,\mathbb{D}_4})_Q$ is defined by

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 4 & -2 & 2 \\
1 & -2 & 2 & 0 \\
2 & 2 & 0 & 4
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

It is isometric to $\mathbb{D}_4$. Moreover $L_{-2,\mathbb{D}_4}$ satisfies that $2\langle\ell, r\rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F$ for any $\ell, r \in L_{-2,\mathbb{D}_4}$.

A.5. Hermitian lattices whose associated quadratic lattices are $\mathbb{D}_6$. For $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, let $L_{\mathbb{D}_6}$ be the Hermitian lattice over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$ defined by

$$
\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 1 + \sqrt{-1} & 1 \\
1 - \sqrt{-1} & 2 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 2 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Then the associated quadratic lattice $(L_{\mathbb{D}_6})_Q$ is defined by

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

It is isometric to $\mathbb{D}_6$. Moreover $L_{\mathbb{D}_6}$ satisfies that $2\langle\ell, r\rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F$ for any $\ell, r \in L_{\mathbb{D}_6}$.

A.6. Hermitian lattices whose associated quadratic lattices are $\mathbb{D}_8$. For $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, let $L_{\mathbb{D}_8}$ be the Hermitian lattice over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$ defined by

$$
\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 1 + \sqrt{-1} & 0 & 0 \\
1 - \sqrt{-1} & 2 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Then the associated quadratic lattice $(L_{\mathbb{D}_8})_Q$ is defined by

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

It is isometric to $\mathbb{D}_8$. Moreover $L_{\mathbb{D}_8}$ satisfies that $2\langle\ell, r\rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F$ for any $\ell, r \in L_{\mathbb{D}_8}$.
A.7. **Hermitian lattices whose associated quadratic lattices are** $\mathbb{A}_2$. For $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, let $L_{h_2}$ be the Hermitian lattice over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})}$ defined by the identity matrix (1) of size 1 Then the associated quadratic lattice $(L_{h_2})_Q$ is defined by

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

It is isometric to $\mathbb{A}_2$. Moreover $L_{h_2}$ satisfies that $12\langle \ell, r \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F$ for any $\ell, r \in L_{h_2}$.

A.8. **Hermitian lattices whose associated quadratic lattices are** $\mathbb{E}_8$. The imaginary quadratic fields with class number 1 are $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ for $d \in \{-1, -2, -3, -7, -11, -19, -43, -67, -163\}$.

Here we give representatives $A_{d,i}$ of isometry classes of Hermitian lattices over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$ of rank 4 whose associated quadratic lattices are even unimodular for $d = -1$ and $-2$. The quadratic lattices associated with $A_d$ are defined by the matrices $B_d$. It can be checked that all of them are isometric to $\mathbb{E}_8$. In the following, let $L_{d,\mathbb{E}_8}$ be the Hermitian lattice of signature $(4,0)$ over $\mathcal{O}_F$ defined by the matrix $A_d$.

The following matrices are taken from [14] (for $d = -1$), Hentschel’s thesis [11], and his homepage.

**Remark A.16.** For each imaginary quadratic field $F$ with class number 1, Hentschel-Krieg-Nebe [12] classified Hermitian lattices over $\mathcal{O}_F$ of signature $(4,0)$ whose associated quadratic lattices are even unimodular. In the following table, we denote the number of Hermitian lattices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$d$</th>
<th>$-1$</th>
<th>$-2$</th>
<th>$-3$</th>
<th>$-7$</th>
<th>$-11$</th>
<th>$-19$</th>
<th>$-43$</th>
<th>$-67$</th>
<th>$-163$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>number</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See [14] for $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$. For other imaginary quadratic fields with class number 1, see Hentschel’s thesis [11] and his homepage [12]

A.8.1. Case $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$.

$$
A_{-1} = \frac{1}{2}
\begin{pmatrix}
2 & \sqrt{-1} & \sqrt{-1} & 1 \\
\sqrt{-1} & 2 & 1 & \sqrt{-1} \\
\sqrt{-1} & 1 & 2 & 1 \\
1 & \sqrt{-1} & 1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

The matrix $A_{-1,1}$ is called *Iyanaga’s matrix*; see [14].

$$
B_{-1} =
\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix}.
$$
A.8.2. Case \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) \).

\[
A_{-2} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & \sqrt{-2} + 1 & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2} \\
0 & 2 & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2} & 1 - \sqrt{-2} \\
1 - \sqrt{-2} & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2} & 2 & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2} & \sqrt{-2} + 1 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
B_{-2} = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\
1 & -2 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4
\end{pmatrix}
\]
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