

A Chirality-Based Quantum Leap

Clarice D. Aiello,^{*,†,‡} Muneer Abbas,[¶] John M. Abendroth,[§] Andrei Afanasev,^{||}
 Shivang Agarwal,[‡] Amartya S. Banerjee,^{⊥,†} David N. Beratan,[#] Jason N.
 Belling,^{†,@} Bertrand Berche,[△] Antia Botana,[▽] Justin R. Caram,[@] Giuseppe Luca
 Celardo,^{††} Gianaurelio Cuniberti,^{‡‡} Aitzol Garcia-Etxarri,^{¶¶} Arezoo Dianat,^{‡‡}
 Ismael Diez-Perez,^{§§} Yuqi Guo,^{|||} Rafael Gutierrez,^{‡‡} Carmen Herrmann,^{⊥⊥} Joshua
 Hihath,^{##} Suneet Kale,^{@@} Philip Kurian,^{△△} Ying-Cheng Lai,^{▽▽▽} Alexander
 Lopez,^{†††} Ernesto Medina,^{‡‡‡} Vladimiro Mujica,^{@@} Ron Naaman,^{¶¶¶}
 Mohammadreza Noormandipour,^{‡,§§§} Julio L. Palma,^{||||} Yossi Paltiel,^{⊥⊥⊥} William
 T. Petuskey,^{@@} João Carlos Ribeiro-Silva,^{###} Juan José Saenz,^{¶¶} Elton J. G.
 Santos,^{@@@} Maria Solyanik,^{||} Volker J. Sorger,^{||} Dominik M. Stermer,^{†,⊥} Jesus M.
 Ugalde,^{△△△} Ana Valdes-Curiel,^{†,‡} Solmar Varela,^{▽▽▽▽} David H. Waldeck,^{††††}
 Paul S. Weiss,^{†,⊥} Helmut Zacharias,^{‡‡‡} and Qing Hua Wang^{*,|||}

E-mail: cla@ucla.edu; qhwang@asu.edu

[†] California NanoSystems Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA, 90095

[‡] Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA, 90095

[¶] Department of Microbiology, Howard University, Washington, DC, USA, 20059

[§] Laboratory for Solid State Physics, ETH Zürich, Zürich 8093, Switzerland

|| George Washington University, Washington, DC, 20052, USA

[⊥] Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA, 90095

Department of Chemistry, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA, 27708

@ Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA, 90095

△ Laboratoire de Physique et Chimie Théoriques, UMR Université de Lorraine-CNRS, 7019 54506 Vandœuvre les Nancy, France

∇ Department of Physics, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA, 85287

†† Institute of Physics, IFUAP-BUAP, 72000 Puebla, Mexico

‡‡ Institute for Materials Science and Max Bergmann Center of Biomaterials, Dresden University of Technology, 01062 Dresden, Germany

¶¶ Donostia International Physics Center, Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea, 20018 Donostia, Euskadi, Spain

§§ Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Natural and Mathematical Sciences King's College London, 7 Trinity Street, London, SE1 1DB, UK

||| School for Engineering of Matter, Transport and Energy, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA, 85287

^{⊥⊥} Department of Chemistry, University of Hamburg, 20146 Hamburg, Germany

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, USA, 95616

@@ School of Molecular Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA, 85287

△△ Quantum Biology Laboratory, Graduate School, Howard University, Washington, DC, USA 20059

∇∇∇ School of Electrical, Computer and Energy Engineering, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA, 85287

††† Escuela Superior Politécnica del Litoral, ESPOL, Campus Gustavo Galindo Km. 30.5

Vía Perimetral, PO Box 09-01-5863, Guayaquil, Ecuador

‡‡‡ School of Physical Sciences & Nanotechnology, Yachay Tech University, 100119-Urcuquí, Ecuador

¶¶¶ Department of Chemical and Biological Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel

§§§ TCM Group, Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, J.J. Thomson Avenue, Cambridge CB3 0HE, United Kingdom

|||| Department of Chemistry, Pennsylvania State University, Lemont Furnace, PA, USA, 15456

⊥⊥⊥ Applied Physics Department and the Center for Nano-Science and Nano-Technology, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

Laboratory of Genetics and Molecular Cardiology, Heart Institute, University of São Paulo Medical School, São Paulo, Brazil

@@@ Institute for Condensed Matter Physics and Complex Systems, School of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Edinburgh, EH9 3FD, UK

▽▽▽ School of Chemical Sciences & Engineering, Yachay Tech University, 100119-Urcuquí, Ecuador

††† Department of Chemistry, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA, 15260

‡‡‡ Center for Soft Nanoscience, University of Münster, 48149 Münster, Germany

* Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed

≡ Juan José Sáenz passed away on March 22, 2020

Abstract

Chiral degrees of freedom occur in matter and in electromagnetic fields and constitute an area of research that is experiencing renewed interest driven by recent observations of the chiral-induced spin selectivity (CISS) effect in chiral molecules and engineered nanomaterials. The CISS effect underpins the fact that charge transport through nanoscopic chiral structures favors a particular electronic spin orientation, resulting in

large room-temperature spin polarizations. Observations of the CISS effect suggest opportunities for spin control and for the design and fabrication of room-temperature quantum devices from the bottom up, with atomic-scale precision. Any technology that relies on optimal charge transport, including quantum devices for logic, sensing, and storage, may benefit from chiral quantum properties. These properties can be theoretically and experimentally investigated from a quantum information perspective, which is presently lacking. There are uncharted implications for the quantum sciences once chiral couplings can be engineered to control the storage, transduction, and manipulation of quantum information. This forward-looking perspective provides a survey of the experimental and theoretical fundamentals of chiral-influenced quantum effects, and presents a vision for their future roles in enabling room-temperature quantum technologies.

Keywords

chirality, probe microscopy, quantum information, quantum materials, electron transport, spintronics, photoexcitation, quantum biology

Overview

Effects Involving Chiral Matter and/or Chiral Probes

Chiral matter (*i.e.*, structures that can occur with left- or right-handed non-superimposable symmetry; equivalently, that lack improper rotation axes) offers unparalleled opportunities for the exquisite control of electron and spin transport due to its extraordinary optical, electronic, and magnetic properties. These properties are often observed at near-room temperatures, and suggest that quantum devices based on chiral matter could operate at similar high temperatures if properly designed. In this perspective, we stress the potential of chiral matter and fields, in particular with respect to their little explored applications in the

quantum sciences. We detail how chiral matter presents advantages for the scalability and flexibility of molecular architectures interfaced with low-dimensional materials; the operation of quantum devices at room temperature and in noisy photonic, phononic, and electronic environments; and the advance of investigations into the emergent field of quantum biology.

In particular, the chiral-induced spin selectivity (CISS) effect is an unusual spin-valve-like behavior first observed in biological structures, and later replicated in technological applications, existing even in diamagnetic systems. The CISS effect is charge transport through nanoscopic chiral structures that favors a particular component of electron spin in the direction of propagation, an effect also referred to as ‘spin polarization,’ all in the absence of external magnetic fields.

The CISS effect can be physically understood as an electron scattering process in a molecular potential where spin-orbit (SO) interactions are strong enough and where both space inversion and time reversal symmetries are broken. This combination of physical constraints translates into spin polarization and spin filtering arising from the asymmetric spin- and chirality-dependent transmission probabilities. The CISS effect has been established in electron transfer, electron transport, and bond polarization through chiral centers or complex helical chiral structures.

With this article being a perspective and introducing the CISS effect for spatially chiral matter, it is exciting to ask what general degrees of freedom the field of chirality provides, especially in the context of quantum information processing. Indeed, there are three orthogonal axes that span across the emerging ‘chiral experimenter’s universe’ (Fig. 1) consisting of: (i) the structural type of chirality (*i.e.*, matter); (ii) the presence of chirality in the interrogation or spin-inducing method (*i.e.*, probe); and (iii) the presence of ‘quantumness’ during the matter-probe interactions. In their plurality, these three axes define a wide space that is yet to be fully investigated.

Commenting on prominent octants of this chiral space, both a non-chiral matter and probe represents the field of classical spintronics,^{1,2} where spin currents are induced into,




Fig. 1: The chiral experimenter’s space. The material (matter) under study and the ‘probe’ (*e.g.*, electromagnetic fields) can be either chiral or non-chiral. We expect different interaction strengths and rules to be valid in each ‘box’. A third ‘quantumness’ axis points to the fact that matter-probe interactions could be classical (boxes 1-4) or, in principle, preserve coherences (boxes 5-8).

typically, semiconductor–magnetic junctions leading to spin-valve devices such as transistors¹ (box 1, Fig. 1). The effect of local circular dichroism (CD) in isotropic matter interacting with orbital angular momentum-carrying laser light has been theoretically predicted in non-chiral hydrogen-like media.³ Based on violation of angular momentum selection rules in orbital angular momentum (OAM) photon–atom interactions,⁴ it has been also predicted that similar effects can be observed in trapped Ca^{40} ions (box 2, Fig. 1).⁵ An experimental validation of orbital angular momentum-induced CD can be found in Ref. 6. This result shows that geometric structural chirality is not required for inducing handedness into matter. Nonetheless, future research shall investigate the limits of this approach such as the degree of obtainable transduction efficiency, or the possibility of achieving a coherent transduction of states and currents, thus preserving coherence indicated on the quantumness axis of Fig. 1. In other words, the scientific question of whether non-chiral materials can exhibit and preserve quantum coherence is not definitely answered yet and, certainly, its operational limits leave much room to be explored. Incidentally, here we define coherence as being present in either chiral domain as spin (*i.e.*, phase), or spatial (*i.e.*, delocalization).

Possible experimental implementations for the ‘matter’ axis include transductions into molecules, nanostructures such as two-level systems delivered by quantum dots (QDs), and circuit-based metamaterials. These inspire nanofabrication of multipolar structures with spatial dimensions fitted to achieve non-trivial chiral response in photonics.⁷ This research direction also encompasses the magnetic response,⁸ optical devices such as those in passive integrated photonics or electro-optics.⁹ Also of great interest are free-space reconfigurable optical systems such as spatial light modulators used to realize optical coherent Ising machines,¹⁰ and digital mirror displays employed in convolutional neural networks.¹¹

The aforementioned CISS effect and its early demonstrations¹²⁾ is represented by box 3 in Fig. 1, where a non-chiral stimulus, such as an electrical, optical or magnetic probe, induces a current exhibiting spin polarizations of different degrees. If both the probe and matter are chiral, we speculate there to be an enhanced interaction of probe and matter (box 4, Fig. 1). Here, we can ask what transduction efficiencies might one be able to obtain when only the probe or the matter is chiral (*i.e.*, boxes 2 and 3 in Fig. 1)?

With this plethora of probe-matter interaction enhancement opportunities, the two main questions in the context of chirality remain: (i) What transduction efficiencies are possible?, and (ii) To what extent can coherence be preserved, both during the transduction, and also while propagating once induced? The ability to preserve long-range coherences will determine the number of correlated spin-quantum states which translates into scale-up opportunities for quantum information processors. Thus, the remaining four quadrants of Fig. 1 (boxes 5-8) convey the same combinations of chiral and non-chiral probes and matter but with the addition of coherence, which can encompass spatial coherence or spin coherence.

In technological quantum devices, achieving spin polarization requires a sophisticated degree of ‘quantum control’ *via* engineered electromagnetic excitation. Nature seems to have found its own way towards spin polarization. As a consequence of the CISS effect, chiral isomers (*i.e.*, enantiomers) have opposite electron spin orientation preferences, which might be explored by the pharmaceutical industry. The CISS effect might also have important

biological implications, as proteins and many biomolecules, including DNA and amino acids, are chiral. Finally, it was recently demonstrated that electron transport through bacteria nanowires is spin polarized.¹³ If spin is harnessed in cellular electron transport processes, quantum information transfer in living environments might be possible. In addition, electron transfer through chiral structures is more efficient than that through comparable achiral structures, and under some conditions can be temperature independent, which are critical to technologies relying on optimal charge transport (*i.e.*, the entire quantum device industry). Finally, the complex response of chiral molecules to electromagnetic fields, involving both the electric and magnetic polarizabilities, suggests the potential of controlling and manipulating interactions and the imprinting of chiral characteristics onto photons, phonons and materials.

Unveiling the quantum mechanisms behind electron spin transport *via* the CISS effect may enable the manipulation of the effect for technological and theranostic advantage – especially within the realm of the quantum sciences – as we aim to illustrate with this review.

Outline of this Review

We review theoretical and experimental aspects of quantum effects in chiral materials as follows:

- Chirality and Spin Measurements: Experimental measurements demonstrating the role of spin, chirality, and the CISS effect;
- Chirality and Spin Theory: Theoretical predictions and modelling tools that inform the understanding of CISS;
- Engineered Chiral Materials: Materials design strategies that are being developed to engineer and tune chiral properties;
- Chirality in Biology: Potential chirality-mediated effects in biology, and predict potential future applications in the field;

- Chiral Degrees of Freedom in the Interaction of Matter and Electromagnetic Fields: Potential avenues to enhance interactions between matter and electromagnetic fields *via* chiral degrees of freedom;
- Chirality in the Quantum Sciences: Forward-looking applications of the CISS effect in the quantum sciences.
- Future Outlook and Conclusions: Summary of main points of this paper and perspectives on future directions for the field

This perspective attests to the potential for harnessing chirality and CISS as tools in fields as diverse as quantum information science, spintronics, nanotechnology, and control of biological systems at the nanoscale. Importantly, these advances in our understanding and observations of chirality-based quantum phenomena are poised to be incorporated into spin-based quantum technologies that may function at elevated temperatures.

Chirality and Spin Measurements

Electrochemistry Experiments

Electrochemical processes add to or remove electrons from chemical systems. **Fig. 2** shows that redox processes in chiral molecules can be spin selective. When an electron approaches a chiral molecule, it induces charge polarization. An induced electric dipole is formed and the electron is attracted to the positive pole. It was found that in chiral molecules, charge polarization is accompanied by spin polarization due to the CISS effect;^{14,15} which spin is associated with which electric pole depends on the handedness of the molecule. Therefore, when spin-polarized electrons approach a chiral system,¹⁵ their ability to attach to the molecule is higher if the spin on the positive pole is polarized opposite to the spin of the approaching system. This preference results in enantioselectivity given that the electron is spin-polarized.¹⁶




Fig. 2: Illustration of a spin-polarization-induced enantioselective reaction mechanism. When an electron approaches a chiral molecule, charge rearrangement occurs and the molecule becomes charge polarized with the electron attracted to the positive pole of the molecule. Depending upon the molecule’s handedness (left-handed or right-handed) and the spin orientation of the electron, the interaction is more favored or less favored for a given spin polarization. Reproduced with permission from Ref. 16. Copyright 2020 by the Royal Society of Chemistry.

Recently, it was found that spin selectivity can control both electrochemical reduction and oxidation.¹⁷ As an example of an oxidation process, electropolymerization of 1-pyrenecarboxylic acid was performed on a magnetic electrode (10 nm of Ni and 10 nm of Au on ITO) that was magnetized either ‘up’ or ‘down’ relative to the electrode surface. **Fig. 3a** shows a reaction scheme for the formation of polypyrene. Initiation of the reaction involves electro-oxidation of the monomer unit to form a radical cation. The steric constraints of the pyrene rings lead to a propeller-like arrangement of the monomers; control over their stereo arrangement imparts axial chirality into the polymer chain. **Fig. 3b** shows the CD spectra of the pyrene polymer films on the electrode surface. In turn, the red curve shows the CD spectrum with the electrode magnetized in the ‘up’ direction and the blue curve corresponds to the case for magnetization in the ‘down’ direction. The red and blue curves exhibit opposite Cotton effects in pyrene’s excimer spectral region.

The chirality of the polymer-coated electrode was confirmed by performing cyclic voltammetry (CV) with a chiral ferrocene (Fc) redox couple. **Fig. 3c** shows voltammetry data collected using the polypyrene-coated films as working electrodes for two different enan-




Fig. 3: Reaction scheme and chirality in electropolymerized polypyrene. (a) Reaction scheme for the polymerization of 1-pyrenecarboxylic acids into polypyrene which exhibits a helical twist (see main text for more details). (b) Circular dichroism spectra for electrodes coated with polypyrene where a magnetic field was applied Up (red) or Down (blue) during electropolymerization. (c) Electrochemistry measurements on (S)- (black) or (R)-ferrocene (red) with the Up (left) or Down (right) polypyrene-coated working electrodes. Reproduced with permission from Ref. 17. Copyright 2020 by John Wiley and Sons.

tiomerically pure solutions of chiral ferrocene: (*S*)-Fc (black) and (*R*)-Fc (red). It is evident from the voltammetric peak currents that the ‘up’ grown electrode is more sensitive to the (*S*)-Fc, while the ‘down’ grown electrode is more sensitive to the (*R*)-Fc. Similar dependencies for redox properties with chiral working electrodes were reported elsewhere, and further corroborate the chirality demonstrated in the circular dichroism measurements.¹⁸ This result demonstrates how chiral spin transport can lead to highly amplified downstream chemical products.

Spin-related effects have also been observed in cyclic voltammetry (CV) experiments performed under applied magnetic fields on a non-ferromagnetic electrode modified with a thin electroactive oligothiophene film.¹⁹ When flipping the magnet’s up/down magnetic

moment orientation, the CV peaks of two achiral, chemically reversible Fe(III)/Fe(II) redox couples in aqueous or organic solution undergo impressive potential shifts (up to nearly 0.5 V depending on protocol conditions), by changing the film’s (*R*)- or (*S*)-configuration. This observed effect is another manifestation of the spin-chirality relation in electrochemical processes.

Enantioseparation Experiments

The relation between spin and chirality *via* the CISS phenomenon naturally leads one to consider the possible interactions between chiral molecules and ferromagnetic surfaces.^{20–24} This interaction should be spin sensitive due to short-range magnetic exchange interactions: as chiral molecules approach the surface, charge reorganization and spin polarization should take place, depending on the handedness of the molecules. We refer below to two specific examples of this mechanism:

i) It was recently demonstrated that magnetization switching of ferromagnetic thin layers can be induced solely by the adsorption of chiral molecules without external magnetic fields or spin-polarized currents.²² The mechanism for chiral molecules to induce magnetization switching is shown in **Fig. 4**. The effect of adsorbed chiral molecules on the properties of a ferromagnetic substrate was examined by studying the adsorption of L- and D-oligopeptides on thin ferromagnetic films, with an initial magnetization that was not defined. The direction of the magnetization was found to depend on the handedness of the adsorbed chiral molecules. Importantly, fewer than 10^{13} electrons per cm^2 are sufficient to induce a reversal of the magnetization on the ferromagnetic layer in the direction perpendicular to the surface. We note that the current density required for common mechanisms in modern magnetoresistive random-access memory, such as spin-transfer torque memories, is 10^{25} electrons per cm^2). The high efficiency of magnetization results from the molecule–substrate exchange interaction. As such, this concept could be used to achieve simple surface spintronic logic devices.




Fig. 4: Chiral-induced spin selectivity effect and ferromagnetic substrates. As a chiral molecule approaches the ferromagnetic (FM) substrate, its charge polarization generates a spin polarization at the two ends of the molecule. For a specific enantiomer, the interaction between the magnetized surface and the molecule (circled in blue and red) follows a low-spin or a high-spin potential, depending on the direction of magnetization of the substrate. Reproduced with permission from Ref. 23. Copyright 2018 by The American Association for the Advancement of Science.

ii) It was shown that enantiomers can be separated by adsorbing them on a ferromagnetic substrate that has a magnetization perpendicular to the surface.²³ Unambiguous enantioselectivity on a ferromagnetic substrate was obtained for a variety of chiral molecules and magnetic substrates. That is, while one enantiomer adsorbs more rapidly than the other when the magnetic dipole is pointing up, the other adsorbs faster when the substrate is magnetized in the opposite direction. The interaction between the chiral molecules and the magnetized substrate is not affected by the magnetic field but by the interaction between the spin-polarized molecule and the spin of the electrons on the substrate. As above, the effect is associated with the magnetic-exchange interaction of the spin-polarized molecules with the spin-polarized substrate. This phenomenon creates prospects for generic approaches to enantiomeric separations, which are critically important to the chemical industry.

Scanning Probe Microscopy (SPM) Experiments

Direct methods to study spin-selective conductive properties of self-assembled chiral molecular monolayers (SAMs) using scanning probes were used with great success over

the past 10 years. In an experimental demonstration of this methodology, Xie *et al.* used conductive atomic force microscopy (c-AFM) to measure room temperature magnetization-dependent conductivity through Au-nanoparticle (AuNP)-terminated SAMs of double-stranded DNA (ds-DNA) on thin-film Ni.²⁵ Although the variance between individual measurements is significant, likely due to local variations in the electrical contact between the AFM tip and the Au NP, comparison of current-voltage traces collected under these varying conditions indicates asymmetry in the Ni-DNA-AuNP junction conductivity, depending on the magnetization orientation of the Ni substrate, thereby revealing a preference for electrons with their spin aligned parallel to their momentum in tunneling transport through right-handed dsDNA. Control measurements conducted with Au instead of Ni thin films confirmed that the conduction asymmetry depends on the presence of both a source and a sink of spin-polarized electrons (the magnetic thin film), and a spin-discriminating conductive element (the ds-DNA). This behavior contrasts starkly with that of standard organic spin valves, in which organic layers typically play the role of weakly interacting non-magnetic spacing layers, carrying spin-polarized electrons from one magnetized material to another.^{26,27} In contrast, the chiral ds-DNA layer behaves as an active element, more similar to a traditional inorganic spin valve. Mishra *et al.* recently used a similar approach to study length-dependent spin selectivity in conduction through SAMs of ds-DNA and α -helical peptides.²⁸

Although the application of SAM-based surface modification methods to reactive metal thin films (such as Ni) has been demonstrated,²⁹ the formation of SAMs on reactive surfaces is known to be complicated by the competitive formation of surface oxide layers, which may displace molecules, limit molecular adsorption, and worsen film uniformity.^{30,31} In the context of chiral spintronics, this challenge has traditionally been overcome by using a thin inert capping layer, such as Au, to protect the magnetic layer from oxidation.^{32–34} However, the capping layer strategy must be carefully implemented; thicker capping layers of Au, which as a heavy element may induce strong SO coupling effects on conducted electrons, have been shown to lead to spin randomization and nullification of spin-dependent effects

in charge transport through the chiral molecules assembled thereupon.^{35,36} The capacity to apply conductive atomic force microscopy (c-AFM) to characterize conduction in chiral molecular films without the need for a capping layer, as exhibited by magnetic c-AFM (mc-AFM), is therefore highly desirable.

Bloom and colleagues used mc-AFM to study spin-selective conduction through chiral CdSe QDs drop cast onto highly ordered pyrolytic graphite.³⁷ When functionalized with chiral ligands, QDs show strong chiro-optical activity as a result of orbital hybridization between the highest occupied molecular orbitals of the chiral ligand and the valence band states of the QDs.³⁸ In measurements analogous to those conducted by Xie *et al.*, Bloom and colleagues characterized the conductivity of hybrid QD-chiral molecule junctions as a function of Co-Cr mc-AFM tip magnetization orientation. Once again, a distinct asymmetry is apparent when comparing current-voltage traces collected for QDs functionalized with L- *vs.* D-cysteine ligands. Tip magnetization persistence was confirmed by comparing magnetic force microscopy images before and after sample analysis. Analogous experiments with spin-polarized and spin-sensitive scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) remain an opportunity for the field.³⁹

Subsequent applications of mc-AFM have moved beyond chiral QDs toward characterizing spin-selective conductivity through thicker films of ordered chiral materials. Lu *et al.* reported conduction asymmetries of up to 86% (measured as percent difference in current at 2 V for ‘tip-up’ and ‘tip-down’ magnetization conditions) in solution processed 50 nm thin films of chiral lead-iodide hybrid perovskites, as shown in **Fig. 5**.⁴⁰ Similar values of spin-selectivity were reported recently in c-AFM measurements of conduction through supramolecular chiral nanofibers assembled on Ni thin films capped with gold.⁴¹ By tuning the chirality (R- or S-) of the organic methylbenzylammonium constituent, Lu and colleagues showed control over the handedness of the perovskite films studied. More recent reports focused on similar tin-iodide perovskites yielded even higher spin-selectivity values,⁴² nearing 94% and underscoring the utility of conductive probe microscopy as a powerful tool for rapid




Fig. 5: (a) Experimental scheme for magnetic conductive atomic force microscopy (AFM) measurement of chiral perovskite thin films. (b)-(d) Current-voltage traces collected for thin films of lead-iodide perovskites containing chiral R-methylbenzylammonium, achiral phenylmethylaniline, and chiral S-methylbenzylammonium, respectively, as a function of tip-magnetization orientation. Reproduced with permission from Ref. 40. Copyright 2019 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science.

and direct characterization of electronic phenomena in chiral materials, particularly as the materials continue to grow in relevance to the broader spintronics community.

Molecular Electronics Experiments

Naaman and co-workers reported a large asymmetry in the transmission probabilities of polarized electrons by thin films of chiral molecules.⁴³ This was supported by electron transmission measured through chiral monolayers with STM, demonstrating that chiral systems can act as spin filters and spin polarizers,^{44,45} allowing the preferential transmission of only one spin component. Theory indicates that this is possible due to enhanced SO interactions

and space and time reversal symmetry breaking, which are the result of transport through chiral symmetries and an electrochemical gradient induced by an external voltage or a free energy gradient.^{46,47}

Break-junction devices provide an alternative class of measurements that use nano-structured, moveable electrodes to make contact with single molecules to study the charge transport properties.^{48–51} In this system, two electrodes are brought into and out of contact with the molecules of interest. As the two electrodes are withdrawn, the current is measured. When a molecule binds between the two electrodes, a plateau is observed in the current *vs.* distance trace. By measuring thousands of these traces, it is possible to statistically determine the conductance of a single-molecule junction. These systems were used extensively to study charge transport in chiral molecules such as DNA,^{52–56} RNA,^{57,58} peptide nucleic acid (PNA),⁵⁹ peptides,^{60,61} and proteins,^{62,63} and were used to explore spin-selectivity in a variety of molecules by either applying a magnetic field or by using a spin-polarized electrode to inject polarized spins.^{64,65}

Recently, these approaches were combined to explore spin selectivity in a diamagnetic, helical peptide chain that formed an α -helix.⁴⁴ These experiments allow measurement of the spin polarization power of a chiral molecule and provide direct evidence of the spin-filtering capabilities of these systems. By changing both the chirality of the molecule (by using both L- and D- isomers) and the magnetization orientation of the injecting electrode, a clear change in the charge transport properties of the molecular system through these four possible conditions was demonstrated, besides a spin polarization power (capability to spin-polarize electrical current) of 60%.

Moving forward, nucleic acid systems may provide a fruitful framework for understanding CISS behavior at the single-molecule level, as there is a large variety of conductance measurements on these molecules.^{52–56} Synthetic approaches will allow ready control of both the length and the helical pitch of the molecules and, although there can be some structural changes when DNA is dehydrated,^{66–68} the helices can survive both vacuum conditions and

wide temperature ranges.⁶⁹

The conductive probe and spin-exchange methods used to study spin-dependent transport or charge redistribution involve multiple contacts or molecules within an interface, which may convolute spin-selective tunneling effects across individual chiral molecule bridges. Alternatively, scanning tunneling microscopy break junction (STM-BJ) techniques provide a measurement of conductance through single molecules, enabling hundreds to thousands of measurements that provide statistically relevant analyses.⁴⁴ Briefly, in a STM-BJ experiment, the STM tip is driven into and out of contact to/from the sample of interest.

Previous works have measured the conductance of single-peptide strands of sequences with strong α -helical propensity.^{60,70} The relatively low registered conductance levels, *i.e.*, 10^{-7} – $10^{-5}G_0$ ($G_0 = 77.4 \mu\text{S}$), for helices of 2 to 3 nm in length, and the observed fairly high length decay penalty constant, *i.e.*, 5 nm^{-1} , suggest an off-resonant tunneling mechanism as the dominant charge transport path in these molecular systems. The latter is supported by the recently reported temperature-independent behavior of charge transport observed in protein systems based on α -helical moieties.^{71,72}

More recently, using a spin-polarized STM-BJ method, Aragonès *et al.* found that conductance between ferromagnetic Ni tips and Au surfaces bridged by sulfur-terminated α -helical peptides (cysteine residues) depends on the tip magnetization direction and handedness (L or D) of amino acid residues,⁴⁴ as shown in **Fig. 6**. When a spin-polarized source of electrons is used to inject charge into one of the α -helical barrel isomers (L- or D-), the conductance values are observed to consistently depend on both the particular orientation of the spin injected and handedness of the chiral helical peptide during the charge transport measurement. The spin polarization power of a single peptide strand can be then calculated by the conductance relation $(G_{L/D} \uparrow - G_{L/D} \downarrow)/(G_{L/D} \uparrow + G_{L/D} \downarrow)$, being $G_{L/D}$ the conductance of either the L- or D-isomer and the arrows indicated the orientation of the injected electron spin. Exceedingly high spin polarization powers scoring 60% are registered for a single α -helical peptide barrel of 22 amino acids ($\sim 3 \text{ nm}$ long). The results highlight a direct

correlation between electron spin polarization and transport. Still, experimental evidence is lacking to unambiguously distinguish incoherent hopping from coherent tunneling in spin-dependent conductance through chiral molecules. Moving forward, systematic investigations of the influence of monomer sequence, length, and molecular dipole on conductance (using spin-polarized STM-BJ techniques) could be employed to explore spin filtering that arises from the CISS effect.⁷³




Fig. 6: Conductance histograms for hundreds of single-peptide junctions collected in different STM-BJ experiments with Ni tips magnetized **a)** down and **b)** up for both left- and right-handed alpha-helical peptides. These peptides are composed of 22 amino acid residues bridges attached to gold substrates. Insets depict representative current *versus* pulling traces with well-defined single-molecule plateau features. Conductance values were extracted from Gaussian fits to the histograms. Adapted with permission from Ref. 44. Copyright 2017 by John Wiley and Sons.

Spin Exchange Microscopy Experiments

Another scanning probe method that relies on non-ferromagnetic tips functionalized with chiral molecules has enabled local magnetic imaging similar to magnetic exchange force microscopy.⁷⁴ Ziv *et al.* found that transient spin polarization accompanying charge redistribution due to the CISS effect in the chiral molecules enables spin exchange interactions with magnetized samples, distinguishing domains magnetized up *vs.* down by different forces exerted on the AFM cantilevers near the sample surfaces.¹⁵ The forces were hypothesized to result either from symmetric or anti-symmetric spin alignment in the wave function overlap

between molecules on the tips and the magnetized sample. Similarly, recent Kelvin-probe force microscopy measurements by Ghosh *et al.* on ferromagnetic films coated with chiral SAMs revealed electron spin-dependent charge penetration across the molecular interface.³⁶ This dependence of wave function overlap between magnetized materials and chiral molecules on the spin-exchange interaction could be exploited for proximity-induced magnetization²² and enantiomer separations²³ that occur upon molecular adsorption, or to rationalize the spin-selective contributions to stereoselective interactions between biomolecules that result from induced dipole–dipole interactions.¹⁴

Experiments Involving Superconductivity

Spin-selective transport through chiral molecules shows unusual conduction phenomena near superconducting interfaces. In particular, chiral α -helical polyaniline molecules that are in proximity to niobium superconductors (through chemisorption on metallic layers) show unconventional superconductivity. Shapira *et al.* used scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS) to analyze a multilayered polyaniline–gold bilayer–niobium substrate and found zero-bias conductance peaks embedded inside a bandgap from the tunneling spectra.⁷⁵ This zero-bias peak is reduced in the presence of a magnetic field but does not split, indicating an unconventional order parameter that is consistent with equal-spin triplet pairing p -wave symmetry. In comparison, the adsorption of non-helical chiral cysteine molecules showed no change in the order parameter.

Junctions of superconducting niobium and metallic films bridged by chiral α -helical polyaniline induced p -wave order-parameters, demonstrating phase-coherent transport through chiral organic films.⁷⁷ Alpern *et al.* analyzed proximity effects of chiral films in multilayered superconducting systems and found that chemically adsorbed α -helical polyaniline can induce magnetic-like state behavior. Specifically, in-gap states were found that were nearly symmetrical around a zero-conduction bias that closely resembled Yu–Shiba–Rusinov states,⁷⁶ see **Fig. 7**.




Fig. 7: Conductance properties of a low-resistance (120 Ω) NbSe₂/Au junction after chemisorption of chiral molecules on the NbSe₂ flake (~25 nm thick). (a) Temperature dependence of dI/dV vs. V spectra showing a distinct a zero bias conductance peak that vanishes at higher temperatures (but still below T_c). Inset: Illustration of a chiral-molecules/NbSe₂-flake/Au sample. (b) Temperature dependence of the conductance at zero bias with two transition temperatures marked by arrows: $T_c = 7.2$ K, where the zero bias conductance starts to rise due to the Andreev dome and 5.5 K where a zero bias conductance peak starts to appear. Inset: Optical image of the sample with the measurement scheme depicted. (c,d) Perpendicular (c) and parallel (d) magnetic field dependencies of the conductance spectrum, showing that in high magnetic fields, yet below the parallel and perpendicular critical fields (H_{c2}) of bulk NbSe₂, the a zero bias conductance peak vanishes, revealing an underlying gap. Reproduced with permission from Ref. 76. Copyright 2019 by the American Chemical Society.

Collectively, the observations described above provide evidence that chiral molecules can behave as magnetic impurities when in proximity to superconductors, enabling a wide range of potential applications for spin-selective transport through superconducting films.

Chirality and Spin Theory

The CISS effect has been observed in a range of experiments and for a wide range of chiral molecules and materials. The CISS effect appears to be a room-temperature magnetic response due to internal molecular fields generated by electron SO interaction in chiral systems. This effect survives the inclusion of many-electron interactions and can coexist with other magnetic responses, including triplet radical formation, interstate crossing, and singlet fission, which provides fertile ground for spin manipulation. The CISS effect is closely related to exchange interactions, which play a central role in molecular recognition and chirality-induced effects on magnetic surfaces.

The SO coupling that provides a source of magnetic fields for electrons atoms is a relativistic effect and is thus weak, namely on the order of a few meV, for the light-atom chiral molecules studied so far. However, it is sufficiently strong to generate a sizable spin polarization through cumulative interactions with the chiral environment. For example, for transport through a large molecule, an electron will encounter and transit many atoms. At each encounter, the SO interaction depends on the orbital orientation and will lead to some weak spin polarization. For a chiral molecule such as DNA, the electron spin polarization is cumulatively enhanced by the preferred orbital orientations of the many surrounding atoms as it travels through the molecule, leading to the CISS effect.⁴³

First-Principles Simulations with Chiral Degrees of Freedom

The vast majority of theoretical CISS-related studies rely on model Hamiltonians. Conventional electronic structure methods, such as density-functional theory (DFT), have only been applied in a few cases.⁷⁸⁻⁸¹ The DFT-based spin-dependent transport calculations, with the Landauer approach and including SO coupling, demonstrate the influence of helical structure on spin polarization^{78,79} and they correctly describe the increase of spin polarization with molecular length as observed experimentally.^{28,81} However, the spin polarizations ob-

tained using DFT are much smaller than the measured values, suggesting that the theoretical description may be missing some key ingredients.

Recent experimental^{15,23} and theoretical^{79,81,82} studies suggest that electronic exchange interactions may play a fundamental role in combination with the SO coupling.⁸² In particular, exchange-related intermolecular interactions in arrays of helical molecules were shown to stabilize a broken spin symmetry (singlet) state, and the effect is absent in linear molecules.^{78,79} As a consequence, subsequent interactions with magnetized surfaces can facilitate symmetry breaking between enantiomers and may lead to enantiomer discrimination, which would be mediated by exchange interactions. Further indications of the relevance of exchange contributions was found in Ref. 81: changing the amount of Hartree-Fock exchange contribution exchange-correlation functionals strongly influences the size of the computed spin polarization in model helical molecular junctions.

Another interesting finding from Ref. 79 is the surprising ease with which formally closed-shell peptide helices can be spin-polarized in equilibrium when brought together in an organized fashion, as opposed to isolated helices. Interactions with metal surfaces were also found to be important. While past experience with DFT for spin-polarized molecules suggests caution,⁸³ these results point to intermolecular interactions and interfaces being important for the first-principles description of CISS. Likewise, the role of interfaces (possibly combined with the collective properties of molecular assemblies) for magnetic signatures in electron transport was explored experimentally.^{84–86} In addition, going from a focus on a spin-polarizing helix to a full circuit analysis has been suggested.⁸⁷ Beyond the description of exchange/electronic interactions, intermolecular and interface effects, it may be important to consider nuclear dynamics, as well as electronic.^{88,89} As a complement to efforts that aim to establish a comprehensive first-principles theory of CISS, chemical concepts extracted from first-principles calculations on helical molecules, such as electron transport pathways^{90,91} and imaginary components of the Hamiltonian⁸⁰ may provide steps towards understanding structure–property relationships in CISS.




Fig. 8: (a) For a realistic peptide helix, a DFT-based Landauer approach including SO coupling yields spin polarization as rather narrow peaks far from the Fermi energy (solid line in the plot, reported as junction magnetoresistance; using PBE functionals).⁷⁸ (b) For a model helix of equidistant carbon atoms (capped by two hydrogens at each end), spin polarization over a broad energy range close to the Fermi energy is obtained, but it can be traced back to SO transfer from the gold electrodes rather than resulting from SO intrinsic to the helix (in the plot, the Fermi energy is between -5 eV and -4 eV for gold; using B3LYP functionals).⁸⁰ Note that the exchange-correlation functional PBE (plot in (a)) features 0% Hartree-Fock exchange, while B3LYP (used on the right) has 20%, and that the absolute values of spin polarization depend on this exchange admixture. Importantly, the polarization changes its sign when the helicity is inverted, and increases with molecular length (plot in (b)). Reprinted with permission from Refs. 78 and 80. Copyright 2018 and 2020 by the American Chemical Society.

In spite of the attractive features of CISS theories within a first-principles framework, first-principles calculations on complex helical structures and assemblies can be challenging.^{78,80} Most standard implementations of DFT can treat periodic and/or finite molecular systems, with hundreds or even thousands of atoms in the simulation cell. The computational time for ground-state calculations using local or semi-local exchange correlation functionals scales with the cube of the number of atoms, while those employing Hartree-Fock or hybrid exchange scale with the fourth power. In view of these difficulties, systematic first-principles methods that treat the helical symmetry more exactly^{92–94} (and therefore employ only a minimal unit cell to represent the system being studied), besides efficient methods for computing exchange interactions^{95,96} within such a symmetry-adapted framework, are likely to

emerge as powerful tools for DFT analysis of the CISS effect in complex structures.

The development of first-principles descriptions of molecular properties profits from quantitative benchmark experiments.⁹⁷ As is often the case in molecular electronics and spintronics, the lack of detailed atomistic control makes it extremely challenging to come up with such quantitative benchmark experiments for CISS. Therefore, it is critical to have access to systematic experimental studies that probe the dependence of spin polarization on molecular structures and their arrangements, as recently provided in Ref. 28. Together with further related studies, *e.g.*, on the role of local *vs.* axial chirality or on subtle structural modifications *via* chemical substituents or heteroatoms, benchmark experiments could provide a strong foundation on which to develop a first-principles theory of CISS.

Aside from their relevance to spin transport physics or Heisenberg-type spin-spin interactions with magnetic substrates, helicity-dependent effects may lead to reconsideration of standard descriptions of induction and dispersion forces between chiral molecules. In analogy to CD, both electric dipole and magnetic dipole contributions are required to treat these forces, allowing for chirality-sensitive forces^{98,99} with potentially significant consequences in *e.g.*, the study of intermolecular interactions in biology. These considerations are summarized schematically in **Fig. 9**.

Overall, first-principles descriptions of CISS have made tremendous progress, but still underestimate the effect by several orders of magnitude. They might profit from developments in the areas of analytic and tight-binding theories.

Modeling CISS with Simple Hamiltonians: Tight-Binding and Analytical Approaches

Efforts to explain the CISS effect observed in single peptide molecules with well-defined chirality (L- and D-peptides) were recently put forward, as shown in Fig. 8. The transport model was based on the Landauer regime and used a Green’s function technique. In the presence of spin polarization, the conductance is spin dependent and the transmission con-




Fig. 9: In the case of chiral molecules, induction *and* dispersion forces encoding electric dipole-dipole interactions require additional modifications to account for exchange-mediated interactions related to the CISS effect.

tains information associated with the molecular chirality, helicity, and the spin propagation direction. This theoretical model explained four possible scenarios of the observed current asymmetries in single chiral molecular junctions sandwiched between a polarized Ni tip and Au electrodes.⁴⁴ These four different scenarios with different conductance show that spin rectification applications close to the zero-bias limit might be possible.

Using the Dirac Hamiltonian for a free electron, an intriguing prediction was made in the non-relativistic limit. The effect arises from a magnetic field, and is orders of magnitude larger than the quantum mechanical Zeeman shift.¹⁰⁰ This could be the source of the experimental gap in the computed spin polarization found in current CISS theories. In the low-mass approximation for free electrons (where chirality coincides with helicity), they derive a symmetry for such a system that is evocative of orbital angular momentum conversion demonstrated in vortex beams, but employing the expectation values for the energy and chirality shifts. This so-called chirality-energy conversion appears to arise from fundamental magnetic symmetries of free electrons under the influence of static fields, and such mutually correlated changes in energy and chirality can be directly measured in nano-, meso-, and

macro-scale systems. A simple example of this sensitive dependence has been demonstrated in the chirality of nascent crystals and low-energy fluctuations introduced by perturbing the crystallization solution.¹⁰¹

Understanding the physical basis of CISS¹⁰² continues to be a challenge. Nevertheless, reduced models for the spin-polarization mechanism have helped explore distinct physical scenarios. These models involve empirical potentials or tight-binding models and can add complexity in a systematic manner.

Theoretical approaches to CISS began with attempts to explain experiments of chiral molecules in the gas phase.^{103,104} The theory recognized spin polarization as a single-molecule effect, where the spin-active coupling is the SO coupling between the scattered electron and the nuclear potential.¹⁰⁴ The theory was based on symmetry considerations and geometry of the target-molecule system and agreed well with experiments,¹⁰⁵ but the effect was very small: the polarization asymmetry was only $\sim 10^{-4}$. It was then a tantalizing surprise when Ray *et al.*⁴³ reported a much larger effect in chiral self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) of amino acids. Minimal models using the Born series were proposed to explain this much larger effect.⁴⁶ A model of double scattering of single molecules, hypothesizing a SO coupling arising from C, N, and O atoms, produced chirality-dependent spin polarizations of a few percent. One of the most striking predictions of the theory was the existence of energy windows for optimal action of the SO coupling,¹⁰⁶ which was later corroborated by Rosenberg *et al.*¹⁰⁷ More sensitive experiments on DNA SAMs¹⁰⁸ showed extraordinary electron polarizations, so the theory underestimating the CISS effect by a factor of 10. No further improvements of the theory in this regime have been realized.

Further experimental progress accessed single molecule measurements,²⁵ and simple tight-binding minimal models were proposed,^{47,109} assuming quantum coherence and large SO coupling as an adjustable parameter to fit the large polarizations reported experimentally. A further step included the geometry of the orbitals and the atomic source for the SO coupling,¹¹⁰ using an analytical Slater-Koster approach that identified the transport SO

coupling as a first-order effect in the helical geometry. Such spin coupling goes to zero in non-chiral geometries and obeys time-reversal symmetry with eigenfunctions coming in Kramer pairs.¹¹¹ An important ingredient of the minimal model was to include the problem of the electron-bearing orbital filling in determining the energy dispersion of the model. The mechanism for spin polarization in the presence of bias is due to time-reversal symmetry being broken and a spin direction being preferred, corresponding to one of the helicity eigenstates of the Hamiltonian.^{97,110,112}

A minimal model for DNA, with details of the relation between geometry and transport eigenstates, recently led to a proposal that mechanical deformations may be used to assess the orbital involvement in spin transport.¹¹³

The SO coupling is apparently the spin-active ingredient¹⁰⁶ for the molecular length-dependent of spin polarization processes. Minimal models place the strength of this coupling and its atomic origins in the range of 1-10 meV¹¹⁰ and the effect may be modulated by orbital overlap effects^{110,114} and by hydrogen bonding (as an additional source of the electric field scaling-up the effective SO coupling, particularly for biological molecules, *e.g.*, DNA and polypeptides).¹¹⁵

Future models will need to include the two main mechanisms involved in charge transport in chiral biological molecules and polymers: tunneling and hopping.^{116,117} Which mechanism dominates transport depends on the coherence of the transport and Peierls-like instabilities.¹¹⁸ A simple model for nearly filled orbitals proposed a mechanism for CISS based on spin-selective transmission under a barrier,¹¹⁹ as shown in **Fig. 10**. The proposed mechanism is based on the torque-coupled interplay between electron spin and molecular angular momentum; both tunneling and hopping could be strongly influenced by spin polarization modifying the effective length of electron transfer. A recent response,¹²⁰ however, suggests that the proposed model does not lead to CISS or any net spin polarization, and further theoretical considerations are still needed to unambiguously describe CISS.

All models proposed for CISS focus on some extent of quantum coherence, although

non-unitary proposals have been considered.¹²¹ CISS occurs at room temperature; how do coherent quantum mechanical effects survive? Generic voltage-probe leakage is a minimal model to examine decoherence effects. In fact, such probes have been shown to be a route to spin polarization as breaking time reversal symmetry would indicate. A more explicit model for decoherence would include the electron-phonon coupling as a major decoherence mechanism^{121–123} and describe how electronic and spin degrees of freedom couple to the phonon bath in the tunneling process. Analysis finds a partial electron-phonon decoupling to first order in the longitudinal modes,¹²⁴ while the coupling persists for optical modes in DNA. This mechanism could also operate in oligopeptides. All of the theoretical and computational tools associated with this approach are prepared to assess the influence of spin-phonon coupling in electron transfer and transport in chiral molecules.




Fig. 10: SO interaction and spin selectivity for tunneling electron transfer in DNA. (a) Schematic of DNA molecule with orbitals for electron transport. The p_z orbitals are perpendicular to the base planes and coupled by $V_{pp\pi}$ Slater-Koster matrix elements. (b) Plot of spin asymmetry P_z as a function of scattering barrier length a and input momentum k . Adapted with permission from Ref. 119. Copyright 2020 by the American Physical Society.

Electron Transfer and Helicity Calculations

Recent theoretical attempts to explain the CISS effect and large tunneling-based transfer through chiral molecules have relied on the large SO coupling, which is uncommon in organic

materials. However, Naaman *et al.*¹²⁵ have shown that the chiral geometry of the molecules induces correlations between the electron spins and their flow direction and this accounts for the spin selectivity of these molecules, see Fig. 11. Moreover, by adding an overall dipole potential along the molecule, it is possible to obtain enhanced electron transport, as depicted in Fig. 12.




Fig. 11: The helical tube. (a) Electrons are confined to a helical tube of radius R and pitch b . (b) s is the position along the helix tube and vectors n and t span the plane perpendicular to s . (c) A term in the Hamiltonian acts as an effective Zeeman field rotating as a function of the position along the helix. Adapted with permission from Ref. 125. Copyright 2019 American Chemical Society.

After finding the electronic states inside the molecule, one can calculate the local helicity by calculating the local velocity of each spin. The results indicate that, for a right-handed helix, the local helicity of the spin up (down) is always positive (negative) in the tail of the wave function. Therefore, the spin selectivity effect takes place.

When there is no dipole coupling, the energy window where strong spin-dependent transport is observed is determined by a partial energy gap ~ 1 meV. When a dipole potential is introduced, this energy window is instead determined by the dipole energy ~ 0.1 meV and the transmission probability of polarized electrons is enhanced (see Fig. 12). This is in agreement with experimental observations that the total transmission decreases with increasing molecule length while the spin polarization increases.




Fig. 12: Transmission through an helix-shaped molecule in the presence of a dipole field. (a) In the presence of SO coupling, the amplitude of the (exact) electronic wave function in its tail (parametrized by $\xi \gg 1$) grows as a function of the angular momentum. Moreover, the spin is aligned along the momentum direction (see inset), and as a consequence the state has a well defined helicity. (b) The increased amplitude deep inside the molecule gives rise to an enhanced transmission probability that grows with the angular momentum ℓ . The scattering matrix¹²⁶ is derived for the exact wave function. This panel shows that the enhanced transmission for $\ell \neq 0$ is accompanied by a spin polarization (inset). (c) Similar results were obtained using a tight binding calculation for a molecule with the same parameter but somewhat different length. (d) Deforming the molecule to have a larger pitch or radius helps spin polarization. Adapted with permission from Ref. 125. Copyright 2020 American Chemical Society.

Engineered Chiral Materials

Influence of the Substrate SO Interaction on Experimental Spin Polarization and CISS

Early CISS experiments were performed predominantly on gold substrates because the helical biomolecules studied could be readily thiolated on one end to form a strong bond with the substrate. Heavy metal substrates such as gold emit electrons with a preferential spin orientation when excited by circularly polarized light. The spin orientation of the emitted

electrons is linked to the helicity of the light. In noble metals, the d -electron SO coupling constants are measured to be 0.1, 0.25, and 0.72 eV for Cu, Ag, and Au, respectively.¹²⁷ On single-crystal Au(111), circularly polarized ultraviolet radiation just above the work function excites the $\Lambda_6^1 \leftarrow \Lambda_4^3 \Lambda_5^3$ electronic transition, from the spin-polarized occupied band into an unoccupied plane-wave final band (Λ_6^1) near the L point of the Brillouin zone. For Cu and Ag, in addition to the lower SO coupling, the initial state is a Λ_6^3 state that produces only weak spin polarizations.¹²⁷ Such an excitation with circularly polarized light then yields longitudinally polarized electrons with respect to the quantization axis (*i.e.*, the k vector of the exciting radiation). It is thus important to excite the system at normal incidence and also to extract electrons normal to the surface. For Au(111), spin polarization values up to $P = 30\%$ are obtained just above the vacuum level.^{108,127} Due to the electronic structure of polycrystalline gold, the spin direction is reversed compared to Au(111), and the magnitude is also significantly smaller. Nevertheless, this substrate behavior suggested that the strong SO interaction in heavy metals extends to the helical adsorbates that consists of light atoms, including C, N, O, and H.¹²⁸

For these three noble metals, Au, Cu and Ag, systematic CISS experiments were performed for adsorbed monolayers of enantiopure hepta-helicene.¹²⁹ For linearly polarized exciting light that produces unpolarized photoelectrons in the substrate, M-helicene yields a spin polarization of $P = -6.7\%$ on Cu(332), $P = -9.0\%$ on Ag(110), and $P = -8.0\%$ for Au(111) (see **Fig. 13** center (blue) histograms). Circularly polarized excitation that already produces polarized photoelectrons in Au and, to a limited extent, also in Ag substrates, generates additional spin polarization. This effect is particularly noticeable for Au(111). There, clockwise (cw) circularly polarized light (upper green histograms) yields a total spin polarization of $P = -35\%$ for M-[7]-helicene, while counterclockwise (ccw) polarized light (lower red histograms) produces $P = -22\%$. For P-helicene, the sign of the spin polarization switches, and the action of cw- and ccw-polarized light on the total spin polarization is also reversed.¹²⁹




Fig. 13: Spin polarization of photoelectrons from Cu, Ag, and Au substrates transmitted through a monolayer of M hepta-helicene. Green, blue, and red histograms (from top to bottom) represent excitation by clockwise (cw) circularly, linearly, and counterclockwise (ccw) circularly polarized light at $\lambda = 213$ nm, and thus emitting electrons slightly above the vacuum level of the systems. Adapted from Ref. 129.

It was shown that the CISS effect occurs for bacteriorhodopsin adsorbed on aluminum oxide¹³⁰ and for DNA bound to Si(111);¹³¹ both systems lack significant SO coupling. The influence of the helical organic molecules on CISS is thus independent of the substrate. However, an initial spin orientation may contribute to reaching high spin polarization. It is thus promising that neither heavy substrate elements nor magnetic substrates are required to generate spin-polarized electrons from helical organic molecules. These results lift possible restrictions in designing spintronic elements, as well as motivates the application of CISS in (electro-)chemistry¹³² and to biological systems.¹³⁰

Heavy-Element Insertion

Early studies of spin-dependent attenuation of electron beams through vapor-phase chi-

ral molecules by Mayer and Kessler found that the presence of a heavy element, such as ytterbium, bound to a chiral molecule could significantly enhance the transmission asymmetry.¹⁰⁵ These findings agree qualitatively with earlier theoretical models, indicating that the presence of a heavy atom in a chiral molecular environment should enhance the spin- and chirality-dependent asymmetry in electron-molecule interactions, likely due to increased SO coupling effects.¹⁰⁴ These results were further expanded using chiral bromocamphor derivatives.¹³³ Subsequent experiments found that the degree of spin-polarized electron transmission asymmetry could be modified for nearly identical molecules simply by substituting the coordinating species. Spin-selective electron transmission asymmetry through vapors of chiral camphor derivatives was observed to increase roughly in proportion to the atomic number of the coordinated atom, as Pr ($Z = 59$) < Eu ($Z = 63$) \sim Er ($Z = 68$) < Yb ($Z = 70$).¹³⁴ Interestingly, molecules with multiple heavy atom inclusions, such as dibromocamphor, did not exhibit higher asymmetries than their singly brominated counterparts. These trends are also found in recent studies carried out on single-stranded DNA SAMs designed to form DNA hairpins that coordinate Hg^{2+} ions at thymine–thymine mismatch sites.³⁴

Employing ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy to characterize magnetization-dependent ionization energies of DNA SAMs formed on ferromagnetic substrates, Stermer *et al.* (see **Fig. 14**) found that incorporating a single equivalent of Hg^{2+} in DNA hairpins with only a single helical turn is sufficient for the manifestation of spin-dependent effects at room temperature. No magnetization-dependent effects are apparent in the samples composed of identical DNA without Hg^{2+} . At high metal loading, the tertiary structure of the DNA hairpins was found to invert. This inversion was accompanied by a corresponding reversal in the preferred magnetization orientation for photoionization. Analogous to earlier experiments by Kessler and Mayer,¹⁰⁵ increased incorporation of heavy elements did not further increase spin-dependent interaction asymmetries, indicating that multiple heavy inclusions may induce compensating rather than amplifying effects. Recent studies on peptides incorporating paramagnetic inclusions reach similar conclusions with respect to increase of spin




Fig. 14: (a) Schematic depicting spin-dependent photoelectron scattering through self-assembled monolayers of DNA hairpins on ferromagnetic films, characterized by ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy. Spin-dependent ionization cross sections result in differential charging, physically manifested as substrate magnetization-dependent photoionization energies of the chiral organic films. (b,c) Spin-selective effects were only observed in short (~ 1 helical turn) DNA hairpins that contained mercury bound at thymine–thymine mismatches due to enhanced molecular SO coupling. (d) Spin selectivity was reversed in DNA hairpins containing 7 mismatches and stoichiometric amounts of mercury ions, which was shown to invert the chirality of the helical hairpins. Reproduced with permission from Ref. 34. Copyright 2020 by the American Chemical Society.

polarization effects.¹³⁵ These studies highlight the tunability of chiral molecular systems *via* the incorporation of heavy species, a powerful tool in engineering highly spin asymmetric systems for spintronics and quantum computing applications.

Functionalization-Induced Chirality in 2D Materials

Chirality in 2D materials has spanned over different compounds from graphene QDs¹³⁶ and nanodisks,¹³⁷ nanoplatelets of CdSe,¹³⁸ MoS₂ layers,¹³⁹ up to colloidal semiconductor quantum wells of different types¹⁴⁰ and transition-metal dichalcogenide semimetals.¹⁴¹ One of the earliest systems to show chiral properties in strictly two dimensions was graphene flakes or QDs (GQD) covalently modified by L- or D-cysteine moieties.¹³⁶ By using edge modifications *via* aqueous dispersions, Suzuki *et al.* demonstrated that chiral amino acids can induce excitation bands with specific features in the circular dichroism spectra at 210–220 nm and 250–265 nm (Fig. 15a). Comparing the optical responses for L/D-cysteine bound to GQDs (L-GQD and D-GQD) a clear asymmetry is noticed at the new bands which can be correlated to structural deformations of the graphene layer itself. Once the ligands were linked to the edges an increase in the buckling deformation of the GQD was observed. That is, depending on what amino acids is present at the edges, the GQDs have a right- or left-handed twists (Fig. 15b). The buckling is due to noncovalent intermolecular interactions of the amino acids with each other and also with other parts of the edge. Indeed, it is well known that deformations at chiral regions of large molecules tend to propagate the chirality through other regions or host environments such as proteins, polymers, liquid crystals.^{142,143} With L/D-GQDs showing chirality properties, one can explore different pathways for biological recognition in functional cells, *e.g.*, neurons,^{144–146} bone marrow cells,^{147–150} or immune cells.^{151,152} In particular, L/D-GQDs show biocompatibility with human liver hepatocellular carcinoma cells where different toxicity was observed.¹³⁶ Even though it is not totally clear why such difference emerged, a few factors in terms of atomic chirality of the ligands and the twist of the graphene sheets may play a role.

Similar approach using liquid exfoliation with chiral molecules, *e.g.*, L-cysteine and D-penicillamine, resulted in chiral thin-layers of MoS₂.¹³⁹ Purcell-Milton *et al.* reported that after ligand functionalization MoS₂ shows strong circular dichroism signals (Figure 15c). The optical spectra display almost a mirror image relative to the wavelength considered




Fig. 15: (a) Circular dichroism and optical absorption spectra for L-GQD (red) and D-GQD (black) dispersions. (b) Schematic of the structures of D-GQD and L-GQD on a 3 nm QD. The rotation direction of the helices is opposite to the handedness of the edge-ligands. (c) Circular dichroism spectra of D/L-cysteine functionalized MoS₂ after exfoliation. Similar results for D/L-penicillamine were obtained (not shown here). (d) Diagram of the deformations generated by molecular functionalization of D-penicillamine inducing chirality on MoS₂ layers. (e-f) Frequency shifts of the D- and L-Cys-MoS₂ QDs/Cu²⁺ sensors exposed to (e) 5 mM D-Tyr and (f) 5 mM L-Tyr solution. Adapted with permission from Refs. [136](#),[139](#),[153](#). Copyright 2016 and 2018 by the American Chemical Society.

with the different molecules bound to the surface. The characteristic changes of the circular dichroism varying optical orientation between positive and negative signs as function of the wavelength is due to the Cotton effect. Moreover, other amino acids such as glutamic acid, alanine, and methionine, were also tested by Purcell-Milton *et al.* but none of them have resulted in chirality of the MoS₂ layers. This suggests that a ligand coordination as well as binding through at least two functional groups should take place at the surface.¹⁵⁴ One of the implications to have a sizeable interactions between L-cysteine and D-penicillamine and MoS₂ is the structural deformation of the nanosheets with the functionalization (Figure 15d). That is, an achiral MoS₂ layer can be transformed into a chiral sheet. This argument was used to model the optical spectra of both molecules on MoS₂ resulting in close agreement with the experimental results.

The utilization of liquid exfoliation using chiral molecules provides a large scale production of transition metal dichalcogenides with chiral features. In principle such strategy can be extended to many layered materials (*e.g.*, MoSe₂, WS₂, and WSe₂) with applications that can extend those for sensing or optical devices. For instance, in enantioselective catalysis and peroxidase activity.¹⁵³ Zhang *et al.* demonstrated that MoS₂ layers functionalized with D-, L-cysteine (D-Cys-MoS₂, L-Cys-MoS₂) show enantioselective peroxidase activity on chiral substrates composed by D- and L-tyrosinol (Tyr) enantiomers (Figure 15e-f). With the assistance of copper ions (Cu²⁺), both D-Cys-MoS₂ and L-Cys-MoS₂ systems display high oxidation of D-Tyr (Fig. 15e) and L-Tyr (Fig. 15f) beyond the unmodified MoS₂ QDs. Indeed, no peroxidase activity is observed for times within 10 minutes for pristine MoS₂. This suggests that modified 2D materials can function as nanozymes with enantioselectivity.

Spin Selection of Circularly Polarized Light in Chiral Molecule–Nanoparticle Hybrids Mediated by Resonant Plasmonic Fields

The CISS effect describes the spin filtering and spin polarization of electrons in transport, transfer and bond polarization processes. It is mediated by chiral molecules and chiral

interfaces, which break space inversion symmetry, provided time-reversal symmetry is broken. Interestingly, similar effects occur in other physical phenomena such as in the propagation of light in the presence of chiral matter. Although their proper understanding came later, these effects have been known since the discovery of optical rotation by Arago and Biot in the early 19th century and the first observations of circular dichroism by Wilhelm Karl von Haidinger. By representing linearly polarized light as a coherent superposition of left-handed (spin +1) and right-handed photons (spin -1), the optical rotation can be rationalized as a dephasing of left-handed photons with respect to the right-handed photons due to their different indices of refraction when traversing chiral materials. Similarly, the Circular Dichroism, CD, can be understood as the preferential absorption of photons of one particular spin in the interaction with chiral matter.¹⁵⁵

The spin selectivity in CISS is measured by the spin polarization, S , defined as $S = \frac{I_+ - I_-}{I_+ + I_-}$, where I_+ and I_- are the signal intensities of the transmitted electrons with the spin parallel and antiparallel to their linear momentum vector, respectively. Similarly, since many light-matter interaction processes are governed by the differential absorption intensity, it is customary to refer to the Khun's dissymmetry parameter $g = \frac{A_+ - A_-}{A_+ + A_-}$, where A^+ and A^- are the absorption intensities of the chiral material when illuminated by left and right polarized incident fields respectively. The absorption intensity of left (+) and right (-) handed circularly polarized fields by a chiral molecule can be expressed as:^{156,157}

$$A_{\pm} = \frac{\omega}{2} \left(\alpha'' |\vec{E}|^2 + \mu_0^2 \chi'' |\vec{H}|^2 \right) \mp \frac{2}{\varepsilon_0} G'' C, \quad (1)$$

where \vec{E} and \vec{H} are the complex electric and magnetic fields, ω is the frequency of light, μ_0 is the permeability of free space and α'' , χ'' and G'' are the imaginary part of the electric, magnetic and chiral polarizabilities of the chiral molecule. C is the so-called electromagnetic density of chirality,

$$C = \frac{\omega}{2c^2} \text{Im} \left(\vec{E}^* \cdot \vec{H} \right). \quad (2)$$

Assuming that the $\mu_0^2 \chi'' \left| \vec{H} \right|^2$ is negligible due to the weak magnetic polarizability of most small molecules, combining Eqs. 1 and 2, Khun’s dissymmetry parameter can be recast as:

$$g = - \left(\frac{G''}{\alpha''} \right) \left(\frac{8C}{\omega \varepsilon_0 \left| \vec{E} \right|^2} \right) \quad (3)$$

Similarly, the circular dichroism spectra of molecules, defined as $CD = A_+ - A_-$ can also be reformulated as:

$$CD = - \frac{4}{\varepsilon_0} G'' C \quad (4)$$

It is readily observed that the first term in both equations depends exclusively on the intrinsic polarizabilities of the molecules under measurement. On the contrary, the second term corresponds to a purely electromagnetic quantity, which can be engineered through optical means. This conclusion, which was first theoretically observed¹⁵⁶ and later experimentally proved¹⁵⁸ by Y. Tang and A. Cohen, triggered a myriad of studies on field enhanced chiral-light matter interactions. In particular, in the recent past, plasmonic¹⁵⁹ and all-dielectric nanoparticles^{160,161} have proven to be able to augment the efficiency of many chiral-light matter interactions. For instance, CD spectroscopy of chiral molecules nowadays can be enhanced by means of individual metallic¹⁶² (plasmonic) and dielectric nanoparticles¹⁶³ (Figure 16a). Moreover, it has been recently proven that arrays of high-refractive index nanoparticles^{164–166} can provide one order of magnitude higher CD enhancement factors. Furthermore, the (usually very inefficient) asymmetric photoseparation of chiral enantiomers,^{167,168} can also benefit from enhanced chiral-light matter interactions. High-refractive index nanostructured materials have shown a great potential to selectively induce photolysis and ionization of particular chiral enantiomers while leaving the other ones almost unaffected^{164,169} (Figure 16b).

Most interestingly, for the topic of this review, very recently it was discovered that electromagnetic chiral interactions could be used to transfer chirality information from a




Fig. 16: a) Nanoparticles can enhance molecular CD spectroscopy. A 75 nm radius silicon nanosphere is illuminated from below by $\lambda = 625$ nm circularly polarized light. The figure depicts the CD enhancement factor at a plane crossing the center of sphere. b) When Khun's dissymmetry factor is increased in the vicinity of a nanoparticle, the enantiomeric excess achievable in a photochemical reaction increases dramatically for a given extent of reaction. (Inset) Spatial distribution of dissymmetry factor enhancement for a 536 nm Si sphere at $\lambda = 1391.82$ nm. In the presence of a Si nanosphere, in a region where $g \approx 7$, a 20% enantiomeric excess can be reached with a yield of 50% compared to the 1% achievable in the absence of the nanoparticle. Panel (a) adapted from Ref. 163 with permission, copyright 2013 American Physical Society. Panel (b) adapted from Ref. 169 with permission, copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

chiral molecule to an optically inactive (achiral) Raman molecule. Transfer of chirality is known to occur between molecules through chemical bonds.¹⁷⁰ However, in 2015, Saeideh Ostovar pour et. al., showed in a seminal article that chiral information could be transferred from a chiral molecule to an achiral one in the presence of a plasmonic nanosphere¹⁷¹ (Figure 17a-b) irradiated by circularly polarized light of its resonant frequency. The transfer of chiral information was proven through a Raman optical activity (ROA) experiment. The chiral molecules in the experiment were Raman inactive, while the achiral molecules displayed a strong Raman response. In the absence of chiral molecules, the plasmonic nanoparticle-Raman molecule hybrid did not present any ROA signal. Nevertheless, when the non-Raman active chiral molecule was added in the system, the experiment presented a strong ROA signal.¹⁷² Since the chiral molecule and the Raman molecules were spatially well separated, this chiral information transfer could not occur through the formation of a chemical bond

between the chiral and the achiral system; it had to be mediated by the plasmonically enhanced electromagnetic interactions.

In a later article, these experiments were addressed theoretically and explained on the basis of enhanced chiral-light matter interactions.¹⁷³ On the first step, the plasmonic nanoparticle is excited by the circularly polarized incoming beam (step 1 in Figure 17c). Then, the plasmonic resonant response of the particle produces enhanced fields in their surroundings. These enhanced near fields excite the nearby chiral molecules following Eq. 1, as depicted in step 2a in Figure 17c. Since the plasmonic nanoparticle is not chiral, overall it will not induce any additional chirality in the electromagnetic response around it. Nevertheless, the excitation of the chiral molecule in its surroundings will filter the spin of the exciting fields, absorbing more efficiently photons of one particular handedness. As a result, the back scattering of the fields from the molecule to the particle, step 17b in Figure 17c, induces a chiral self-consistent electromagnetic polarization in the plasmonic particle. Lastly, these self consistent fields will excite the non-chiral Raman molecule (Figure 17c, step 3), which will give a measurable Raman signal, denoted as M^+ , in the far field. From the point of view of symmetry arguments, the main ingredient of this model is that inversion symmetry is broken at the interface between the chiral molecule and the plasmonic nanoparticle.

Furthermore, exciting the plasmonic-nanoparticle chiral-molecule hybrid with a circularly polarized incoming beam of the opposite handedness, will induce a different optical response in the system due to the specific handedness of the chiral molecule. As a result, the Raman molecule, will now be excited by electromagnetic fields of a different intensity, i.e. the field has become “chiralized” resulting in a different Raman signal in the far field $M-M^+$. Since the ROA signal is given as $ROA = M^+ - M^-$, the non-chiral Raman molecule will now produce a measurable ROA signal due to its excitation by the radiated chirality-information carrying electromagnetic field from the plasmonic-nanoparticle chiral-molecule hybrid (step 4 in Figure 17c).

In summary, it has been shown that the hybrid system composed by the non-chiral plas-




Fig. 17: (a) Schematic of the plasmonic nanoparticle–chiral molecule hybrid. The silver nanoparticle is surrounded by reporter Raman molecules, surrounded by a silica shell and has attached a chiral analyte. Middle plot shows surface enhanced resonant Raman spectra of D- and L-tryptophan bound to the benzotriazole-functionalized nanotag, which are identical as expected for the two enantiomeric systems. Surface Enhanced Raman Optical Activity (SER-ROA) spectra in the presence of the two chiral enantiomers. Strong chiroptical responses in several signals are observed, demonstrating the chirality transfer phenomena. (b) Schematic of the model describing the chiral molecule–nanoparticle hybrid. Step 1: circularly polarized light excites the plasmonic nanoparticle. Steps 2a and b: The plasmonically enhanced fields excite the chiral molecule, which backscatters light into the nanoparticle, producing a self-consistent chiral polarization of the plasmonic particle. Step 3: The chiral polarization of the chiral molecule–nanoparticle hybrid excites the non-chiral Raman reporter. Step 4: The Raman molecule emits a surface enhanced resonant Raman signal, which is different for left-handed (M^+) and right-handed (M^-) circularly polarized incidence, creating a measurable Raman Optical Activity signal. Panel (a) Reprinted and adapted with permission from Ref. 174 based on work in Ref. 171, copyright 2015 Nature Publishing Group. Panel (b) adapted with permission from Ref. 173, copyright 2020 American Chemical Society.

monic nanoparticle and the chiral molecule behaves distinctly with respect to the circularly left- and right-polarized incident light of the frequency of the plasmonic resonance. Indeed, since the chirality of the molecule preferentially enhances the intensity of the incident light with one spin (polarization) over the other one, the intensity of the electric part of the back radiated light’s electromagnetic field at the position of the non-chiral Raman active probe depends on the combination of the incident handedness and the chirality of the Raman inactive molecule of the hybrid system. Ultimately, this difference is sensed by the Raman active non-chiral molecule because its Raman signal’s intensity is proportional to the fourth power of the sensed electric field’s intensity.

Thus, we have seen that chirality induces spin selection of the light shining hybrid systems containing plasmonic and chiral species, and that the information about the selected spin can be transmitted by the back radiated electromagnetic field over long distances, where it can be decoded by a Raman active non-chiral molecule. This chiral-induced spin selectivity constitutes, therefore, a highly efficient method to transmit and decode information about chirality over large distances which expand to the nanoscale. The implications of this chirality transfer for the design of devices, for electron-phonon information transfer, and in the field of photo-induced spin-dependent chemistry are important.

In the future, the use of artificial chiral nanostructures such as arrays of plasmonic and dielectric objects can be explored for their use in similar spin interactions. For example, nanoparticles, arrays of nanodisks and holes, and photonic metasurfaces have been shown to exhibit electric and magnetic resonances for enhancing chiral optical density,^{175,176} and would be excellent candidate materials for chiral spin selection. These types of nanophotonic materials have the benefit of ease of scalable fabrication, and independently tunable properties based on their materials and geometries.

Chirality in Biology

Chirality plays a fundamental role in biology, ranging across vast orders of magnitude in scale. Researchers have pondered the origins of this universal handedness from multiple vantage points, including cosmological,¹⁷⁷ astronomical,¹⁷⁸ astrophysical,^{179,180} biomolecular,^{14,100,181} violation of parity symmetry,¹⁸² and quantum field theory.¹⁰⁰ The connections between spontaneous symmetry breaking, chiral effects, and life are intimate, but this nexus has not been understood.

Sensitive relationships between the chirality of underlying quantum (charge, spin, exciton, and plasmon) states and biological function abound. Kurian and colleagues have shown that certain chiral enzyme complexes with palindromic symmetry conserve parity,¹⁸³ and that the chirality is essential for the global synchronization of plasmon-like van der Waals fluctuations and for the symmetric recruitment of energy from DNA substrates for the site-specific formation of double-strand breaks. The application of tools from quantum optics to describe biological chromophore lattices has resulted in the recent prediction of ultraviolet SR in certain cytoskeletal filaments,¹⁸³ which exhibit a striking spiral-cylindrical chiral symmetry (**Fig. 18**) that is reflected in the excitonic wave functions distributed over the chromophore network (**Fig. 19**). The relationship between this electronic SR and its spintronic counterpart is an active area of investigation, which may lead to advanced biosensors and diagnostics.

Exploiting the CISS effect to predict, control, and enhance biological responses is a tantalizing possibility. Take, for example, the case of human immunity. T-cells initiate the body's immune response by interacting, *via* their T-cell receptors, with major histocompatibility complex (MHC) peptides on antigen-presenting cells that were exposed to pathogens. MHC molecules are membrane-bound glycoproteins that form unusually stable bound configurations with antigenic peptide ligands (pMHC), displaying them on the cell surface for recognition by T-cells *via* T-cell receptor (TCR) engagement.¹⁸⁵ TCR activation promotes several signaling cascades that ultimately determine cell fate by regulating cytokine pro-




Fig. 18: (a) (left) Tubulin protein (scale bar 5 nm) polymerizes into microtubules (right) (scale bar 25 nm). (b) Highly ordered arrays of tryptophan amino acids that absorb radiation in the ultraviolet spectrum. Reproduced with permission from Ref. 184. Copyright 2019 by IOP Publishing Ltd.




Fig. 19: The quantum probability of finding the exciton on a tryptophan of a microtubule segment of 100 spirals with 10,400 tryptophan molecules is shown for the extended superradiant state (top) in lateral view and (bottom) in cross section. Lengths are expressed in nm. Reproduced from with permission from Ref. 184. Copyright 2019 by IOP Publishing Ltd.

duction, as well as cell survival, proliferation, and differentiation.¹⁸⁶ Studies have found that spin-polarized states of antigenic peptides may affect the ability of a TCR to recognize different peptides through conformationally-induced spin moments, rather than sheer

topology-based affinity, a condition that would render the immune recognition process as fundamentally spin-specific.^{187,188}

Studies of Antipas *et al.* show that different pMHCs with near-identical stereochemistries were complexed with the same TCR, resulting in distinctly different quantum chemical behaviors that depended on the peptide’s electron spin density and expressed by the protonation state of the peptide’s N-terminus.¹⁸⁷ Spin polarization of different peptides can thus be correlated with downstream signal transduction pathways and their activations of biosynthesis at the transcriptional level. Other studies have shown that noncovalent and dispersive interactions between biological molecules are critical to their functions, in which the electronic charge redistribution in chiral molecules is accompanied by spin polarization.^{14,189} Studying chirality, spin polarization, and downstream response in pMHC-TCR interactions could improve our understanding of the impact of chiral-quantum effects on the human immune response, and to develop better tools for therapeutic intervention.

Chiral Degrees of Freedom in the Interaction of Matter and Electromagnetic Fields

Chirality Imprinting

Handedness can be transferred from a chiral object to an achiral object or achiral medium, *i.e.*, chiral molecules adsorbed on surfaces induce chirality on the substrate. Indeed, we have found in our theoretical analyses that CD and optical rotatory dispersion signatures can be induced through chiral imprinting.^{190–192} In fact, it is possible for the magnitude of the imprinted chiro-optical signature to be larger than that of the chiral dye itself.

A kind of handedness can be imparted to excited states using circularly polarized excitation. For example, molecules with degenerate x- and y- polarized excited states, when excited by left- *vs.* right-handed circularly polarized light wave functions are complex con-

jugates of one another. If the excited state induces chemistry on a time scale that is fast compared to the time scale of decoherence, the chemistry may be imprinted by the handedness of the light excitation. For example, Skourtis *et al.* predicted that electron transfer yields can be influenced by the handedness of circular excitation.¹⁹³ Combining circularly polarized excitation with chiral bridges can thus affect electron transfer chemistry.^{194,195}

Exploring Photonic Chirality through Orbital Angular Momentum

Photon packets can be described by several quantum numbers that describe their intrinsic spin angular momentum (SpAM), their number state, their energy, and their transverse spatial mode. For spin and transverse modes, the light can carry angular momentum which can interact both classically and quantum mechanically with materials. While SpAM is widely used for quantum information protocols,¹⁹⁶ helical transverse chirality (orbital angular momentum, or OAM) is not commonly used to transmit nor to encode additional information in the emitted photon stream from a material.¹⁹⁷

Similar to SpAM, OAM modes carry quantized angular momentum in proportion to the electric field phase difference around a centrosymmetric position. OAM can thus carry quantum information through pure and entangled states.¹⁹⁸ One exciting potential application of OAM will be the ability to drive transitions beyond the dipole limit.¹⁹⁹ Many qubit transitions are defined within a quadrupolar or magnetic dipole subspace, and such transitions can only be driven by electric field gradients, carried in the OAM field. Improving the fidelity of OAM quantum information transfer may require near-field OAM photonics, in the visible and microwave regions.^{200,201}

Recently, researchers have found that OAM can induce specific quantized transitions in atomic ions,²⁰² highly bound Rydberg excitons in semiconductors,²⁰³ and potentially also in non-degenerate valley states of 2D materials.²⁰⁴ These experiments demonstrate the potential for helical OAM to drive states amenable for use in quantum information systems, and given the latter two cases, extended chiral excitons may be the key to unlocking the potential of

chiral transverse modes in information transduction.

The effect of OAM dichroism in isotropic matter has been theoretically predicted by Afanasev *et al.*³ With this additional degree of freedom, the definition of dichroism becomes somewhat ambiguous. For instance, Kerber and collaborators in their paper on OAM-light interacting with nano-antennas²⁰⁵ point out, that even for the case of fixed, yet non-zero OAM, one gets six distinctive types of dichroic effects. As a convenient solution to this branching problem, they presented the following probe classification: (1) parallel class beams with $|\text{OAM}, \text{SpAM}\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$ and (2) anti-parallel class beams $|\text{OAM}, \text{SpAM}\rangle = |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$. The importance of this classification was earlier recognized in the realm of OAM light interacting with nano-objects²⁰⁶ and atomic matter.²⁰⁷ This framework can be straightforwardly adopted in other subfields of twisted light and matter studies, for example electron, proton and neutron beams used as matter probes.

Violation of spin selection rules due to the photon-atom OAM transfer was observed experimentally in photo-excited trapped ions. Later, based on these discoveries, the effect of local CD has been predicted on the level of multipolar contributions into an atomic photo-absorption amplitude.⁵ It has been concluded that OAM light of different helicities does not couple symmetrically to the atoms with high-order multipolar content, reflecting on the earlier findings. The phenomenon is impact-parameter-dependent and becomes stronger towards the beam axis.

Chirality in the Quantum Sciences

A scientific revolution enabled by quantum information processing is underway. Successful quantum computing hardware will depend both on incremental technological improvements and on disruptive applications of physical laws underpinning how qubits read, store, and transduce information. The challenge addressed here is to rethink quantum information protocols to incorporate chiral ‘handles’, that is: Can nanoscale chirality be leveraged to

create innovative approaches to quantum information processing (QIP)?

Exploiting chirality as a design framework for quantum devices will allow the control of spin, charge, and energy transport using molecules and interfaces so that quantum information can be preserved and transferred at room temperature. A key concept is the manipulation of the magnetic response in chiral molecules and engineered nanomaterials *via* CISS. This approach includes controlling spin filtering and polarization capabilities of molecules and engineered nanomaterials, and generating qubits through molecular design, surface architectures, and tailored interactions with light with chiral degrees of freedom. A complementary focus is the study of quantum transduction processes at soft-hard material interfaces, involving the transfer of both spin polarization from electrons to nuclei and field-mediated chirality transfer.

Spin Superradiance and Chiral-Induced Spin Selectivity

Cooperative effects arise from the collective behavior of the constituents of a system, and therefore they are associated with the system as a whole and not with its individual components. These phenomena occur at every scale, ranging from the structure of atoms in crystals to ferromagnetism, superradiance (SR), superconductivity, functionality in complex molecules, and the emergence of life from biomolecules.²⁰⁸ One of the most interesting properties of cooperative effects is their robustness to noise induced by external environments. For this reason, cooperative effects could play essential roles in the successful development of scalable quantum devices that operate at room temperature.

A well known example of a robust cooperative effect is superconductivity, but other quantum cooperative effects, such as SR, were also shown to be robust against noise.^{209,210} Superradiance, proposed by Dicke in 1954,²¹¹ arises from the excitation of an ensemble of individual two-level systems and results in an emissive, macroscopic quantum state. Superradiant emission is characterized by an accelerated radiative decay time, where the exponential decay time of spontaneous emission from the uncoupled two-level system is shortened by the

number of coupled emitters. In addition, when the excitation is incoherent,²¹² SR exhibits a delay or build-up time during which the emitters couple and phase-synchronize to each other. This time corresponds to the time delay between the excitation and onset of the cooperative emission. In the case of SR, the coupling of an ensemble of emitters to an external field can induce an energy gap, making superradiant states robust to disorder. Interestingly, the superradiant energy gap, in certain limiting cases, is the same as the superconducting gap.²¹³

SR has been observed in a variety of systems,²¹⁴ including cold atomic clouds,²¹⁵ photosynthetic antenna complexes,²¹⁶ molecular aggregates,^{217,218} QDs,^{219,220} nitrogen vacancies in nanodiamonds,²²¹ and lead-halide perovskite nanocrystal superlattices.²²² This effect is relevant to enhance absorption and energy transfer, which was proposed to improve the efficiency of light-harvesting systems and photon sensors.^{223–227} SR also leads to spectrally ultra-narrow laser beams.²²⁸

Although the vast majority of systems exhibiting SR involve electronic transitions at optical frequencies, SR has also been observed in spin systems.²²⁹ Spin SR has attracted much attention due to its many possible applications to sensing and spin masers (microwave amplification by stimulated emission of radiation).^{230–233} Measuring the delay time of a superradiant burst provides an accurate evaluation of the triggering intensity because the delay time is exponentially dependent on the intensity of the external pulse and spin SR can be triggered by extremely weak external pulses. Thus, systems exhibiting spin SR can be used to produce sensitive detectors. Another very interesting application of spin SR is spin masers.^{234–236} A superradiant spin system is a source of coherent radiation at radio frequencies between 0.3 and 300 GHz and a wavelength between 1 m and 1 mm, and can act as the microwave analogue of the laser. There are important applications for masers in ultrasensitive magnetic resonance spectroscopy, astronomical observation, space communication, radar, and high-precision clocks.

The key to spin SR is the population inversion of the emitters. In spin systems, population

inversion corresponds to spin polarization, which is a required condition for SR emission and maser operation when a polarized spin population is present in a microwave resonator cavity. For this reason, it would be intriguing to exploit the CISS effect^{80,81} in connection with spin SR and spin masers. Indeed, the spin-polarized beam emerging from chiral molecules due to the CISS effect could be used to induce an SR pulse. A beam of polarized electron spins could also be used to operate a spin maser when coupled to a microwave resonator cavity. Moreover, it is known that a polarized electron beam couples with nuclear spins by hyperfine spin-spin interactions. This coupling would produce a shift of the nuclear magnetic resonance frequency of nuclear spins and would thus enhance the coupling between the nuclear spins and the resonator. These effects could be used both to study the CISS effect and to build more efficient SR or maser nuclear spin systems.

Controlling Spin Polarization and Entanglement in a Hybrid Chiral Molecule/Quantum Dot System

A recent study found that the CISS effect vanishes when all electron states with the same energy are equally likely, a consequence of the Onsager reciprocal principle.²³⁷ The generality of this result means that the CISS effect needs to be understood in terms of the specific experimental settings. Three possible situations have been suggested:²³⁷ the electronic states with the same energy not being equally probable (*e.g.*, for electrons generated optically by a laser, due to selection rules inherent in photoexcitation processes); the presence of accidental degeneracy in the molecular spectrum, which enhances the SO coupling; or the presence of a magnetic lead. More recently, an analysis based on symmetry in electronic transmission was carried out to better understand the origin of the CISS effect.⁸⁰

While strengthening the SO coupling by coupling a chiral molecule to a heavy metal or to a superconductor can enhance spin polarization, control and manipulation are difficult. A potential approach is to exploit a hybrid structure that couples a chiral molecule to a two-dimensional (2D) QD to form a ‘super chiral molecule’ to control and manipulate spin




Fig. 20: A schematic illustration of a ‘super chiral molecule’ – a coupled chiral-molecule/quantum-dot hybrid structure, for controlling and manipulating spin polarization and entanglement. The gate voltage is applied to change the QD structure for controlling spin polarization.

polarization, as illustrated schematically in **Fig. 20**. The idea originates from recent studies to control spin polarization by exploiting classical chaotic dynamics^{238,239} and spin Fano resonances.²⁴⁰

The role of classical dynamics in spin transport is intriguing from the point of view of a classical–quantum correspondence, as spin is a purely relativistic quantum mechanical variable without a classical counterpart. Nevertheless, due to SO coupling and because the orbital motion does have a classical analogue, the nature of classical dynamics can influence spin. Full quantum calculations and a semiclassical theory revealed that spin polarization can be modulated effectively if the geometrical shape of a QD can be modified to produce characteristically distinct classical behaviors ranging from integrable dynamics to chaos.²⁴⁰ Chaos can play distinctive roles in affecting spin polarization, depending on the relative strength of the SO coupling. For weak couplings with characteristic interaction lengths much larger than the system size, chaos can preserve and even enhance spin polarization. In the strong coupling regime, where the interaction length is smaller than the system dimensions, chaos typically degrades or even destroys spin polarization. In 2D materials, such as graphene, a QD can be realized by applying a properly designed gate potential. The total spin polarization from the hybrid structure can then be manipulated electrically.

In electron transport through mesoscopic systems, the various resonances associated with physical quantities – such as conductance and scattering cross sections – are characterized

by the universal Fano formula.²⁴¹ Recently, a Fano formula was discovered to characterize the resonances associated with two fundamental quantities underlying spin transport: the spin-resolved transmission and the spin polarization vector.²⁴⁰ The Green’s function formalism was generalized to describe spin transport and the Fisher-Lee relation was used to compute the spin-resolved transmission matrix, enabling the spin polarization vector to be calculated and leading to a universal Fano formula for spin resonances. The resonance width depends on the nature of the classical dynamics, as defined by the geometric shape of the dot, and this property could be exploited for control. Since characteristically distinct types of classical dynamics including chaos can be readily generated in the QD through geometric deformations,²⁴² Fano spin resonances can be modulated accordingly. This work provides a theoretical foundation for the general principle of controlling spin polarization in the chiral molecular system through manipulating the classical dynamics in the QD, which can be experimentally realized by applying a properly designed local gate potential profile. Likewise, modulating the classical dynamics in a different way can enhance the spin Fano resonance. The control principle was computationally demonstrated in a key component in spintronics: a class of nanoelectronic switches, where the spin orientation of the electrons associated with the output current can be controlled through weakening or enhancing a Fano resonance.²⁴⁰

Quantum Information Storage and Transduction

We believe it is timely to exploit the distinctive properties of chiral molecules and chiral material interfaces to develop room-temperature device technologies in quantum sensing, quantum storage, and quantum computing. Tailoring OAM couplings by acting either on the spin quantum states (that serve as qubits) and/or on the interaction potentials could enable room-temperature transduction of quantum information.

Quantum information processing and quantum sensing have made enormous strides in the past decades. Superconducting circuits and ion traps have led to a race for supremacy in quantum computing, while ultracold atoms and molecules, nitrogen-vacancy and other

color centers have enabled a variety of approaches to quantum sensing (*e.g.*, magnetometry, dark-matter detection, atomic clocks). With the exceptions of NV centers and atomic vapors, experiments require extremely low temperatures (mK range) to protect the coherent states and to allow sufficient time for entanglement to evolve, or for measurements to be made. This constraint restricts their use to systems with sizable infrastructure support. The main drawbacks of qubits based on defect centers at present are the difficulties of fabricating them at specific locations, selectively addressing different centers, and establishing quantum information transfer beyond the short dipole–dipole interaction length scales.

In contrast to the approaches described above, chemical synthesis of chiral materials affords the opportunity to build quantum information systems from the bottom up, taking full advantage of the quantum properties of matter on the atomic scale. Chiral molecular systems differ from current qubit implementations described above in three important ways. First, chemical synthesis enables control over the nature of the qubit itself, thus enabling careful tuning of individual quantum states. Second, covalent and non-covalent interactions between molecules can be used to construct atomically precise arrays of qubits. This approach offers the possibility of controlling and interrogating the properties of a qubit both in isolation and as part of an array, providing insights into the quantum properties of multi-qubit arrays. Third, chiral molecules have the potential to serve as long-range quantum information transducers.

It may be possible to transfer chiral spin information between electrons and nuclei *via* hyperfine interactions. Spin-polarized electrons can be generated on the surfaces of topological insulators (TIs) through the application of an electrical current.²⁴³ The coupling of the electron spin to the nuclear spin *via* hyperfine interactions highlights the ability to produce dynamic nuclear polarization.^{243,244} A promising application is a rechargeable spin battery.²⁴³ These recent results point to two applications: **(1)** TIs can be used to generate spin-polarized electrons, which can interact with nearby chiral molecules and biomolecular structures through highly reproducible, high-precision contacts; **(2)** Interactions with radi-

cal pair states are also possible, particularly in the context of the repair of lesions in duplex DNA, where the repair yield is dependent on the strength and angle of the applied magnetic field.²⁴⁵ Chiral spin modes on the surface of a TI can be used as an additional degree of freedom for manipulating the polarization.²⁴⁶

Chiral molecules could also be harnessed for quantum information transduction. Nanochiral materials could be used as quantum wires connecting a node of quantum sensors. For example, we envision testing chiral materials as tractable, in-chip solid-state quantum wires connecting established quantum sensors (*e.g.*, color centers in diamond, silicon, or silicon carbide), see **Fig. 21**. Proposals for connectivity among quantum sensors has traditionally relied on dipole-coupled spin buses,²⁴⁷ which unfavorably limit the maximal distance between nodes, and which can hardly be engineered. Conversely, quantum information transduction through chiral materials will overcome both of these limitations, and have already been shown to be capable of quantum information transduction and topological-like transport over longer distances and in complex environments.¹⁰⁸

Decoherence and Entanglement Considerations

The realization of devices for near-room-temperature, CISS-based quantum information will necessitate a deep understanding of the decohering bath. Investigation of single-qubit coherence properties under controlled noise (electron–electron, electron–phonon scattering) environments will be needed to inform the design of chiral materials and devices for quantum information processing. For example, spin textures are complex results of electronic correlations.²⁴⁸ If electron–electron interactions can be effectively decoupled, CISS can still be sustained by the material, since it results essentially from the SO coupling and breaking inversion symmetry under electron transfer, transport, or polarization conditions. Understanding the bath dynamics and the application of reservoir engineering principles can be achieved with proof-of-principle experiments relying on controlled charge injection into nanochiral materials, combined with quantum information/sensing protocols for spin-state




Fig. 21: Chiral molecules could be used as interconnects or quantum information transducers that have a longer-range than dipolar-coupled spin buses.

preparation, control, and readout.

Another key issue is entanglement. For a quantum system with multiple degrees of freedom, loss of coherence in a certain subspace is intimately related to the enhancement of entanglement between this subspace and another one. It would be useful to investigate intra-particle entanglement between spin and orbital degrees of freedom in the chiral molecular system with different types of classical dynamics in the QD. Of particular interest is the spin degree of freedom in the weak SO coupling regime where, as existing studies suggested, it is possible to use classical chaos to enhance SO entanglement significantly at the expense of spin coherence.^{238–240}

Control of Light–Matter Interactions

Taken together, orbital and spin angular momenta provide exciting avenues for quantum control. The critical challenge for using OAM for quantum control is to enforce the interaction of the emitter with the inhomogeneous spatial mode of the electromagnetic field, which is addressed by the following two questions:

(i) How can one design materials that interact strongly with orbital angular momentum fields *via* extended excitonic states? Many delocalized systems already couple strongly to spin angular momentum through either macroscopic helicity (*e.g.*, helical molecular aggregates) or through degenerate points in their band structure (*e.g.*, valleytronic 2D materials), but spin angular momentum combined with orbital angular momentum remains experimentally underexplored. It needs to be established how mesoscopic helicity in designed and self-assembled materials can control and enhance interactions with orbital angular momentum fields.

(ii) How can one implement sub-wavelength optical fields with orbital angular momentum that will strongly interact with matter? Near-field photonics can create field gradients that are far stronger than the free-space modes (thus preventing decoherence) and that can be used to drive high-order transitions (such as electric quadrupole) far more efficiently than the vacuum (*i.e.*, spontaneous emission). Controlling quantum information *via* spatially engineered electromagnetic fields that provide environmental control of angular momentum would be transformative for quantum-enabled technologies.

Let us consider light-matter interactions akin to deformations in chiral molecules induced by mechanical means such as applying an external force. These deformations are nontrivial due to the non-uniform distribution of the load depending on the molecule structure. A model by de-Gennes²⁴⁹ predicted that DNA externally pulled by mechanical means deforms only close to the mechanical contacts, leaving the bulk of the molecule undeformed. Such a stretching process is thus hampered by details of the contact. An alternative to pulling on the molecule is to shine light upon it at low intensity and at an appropriate frequency

to modulate the strength of the electronic bonding in the molecule and thus changing its rigidity at a fixed external force so that it stretches or compresses in particular ways in the bulk structure. This approach is also known as stretch engineering. In addition, the role of symmetries in the CISS effect has recently been considered in Ref. [250](#) where the authors present a model which consists of two inter-connected tight-binding chains, mimicking two interacting helices, including spin-orbit interaction and attached to two fermionic reservoirs playing the role of current terminals. It is remarkable that SO coupling is particularly sensitive to such mechanical changes being dependent on the detailed relative geometry of the spin-active units.^{[110,251](#)} Indeed, deformation dependent spin activity has been shown experimentally^{[252,253](#)} and has been modeled analytically in DNA^{[113](#)} and in oligopeptides^{[254](#)} where the role of hydrogen bonding as the model is stretched or compressed has been addressed.

A natural extension of the previously considered works is to model the non-equilibrium spin response induced by the interplay of both SO interactions and light-matter coupling in these chiral systems. The natural formalism for dealing with periodically driven interactions is the so called Floquet theory,^{[255](#)} analogous to the Bloch theory for spatially periodic interactions. Within this context, considering the coupling of charge carriers in the chiral sample to monochromatic radiation fields could provide another means to address the spin degree of freedom, offering additional tunability to the CISS effect. The use of the Floquet formalism in the description of laser-assisted transport in molecular junctions has been discussed.^{[256](#)}

From a physical point of view, linearly polarized electromagnetic (EM) radiation carries no angular momentum, in contrast to the circularly polarized EM fields. One can also consider chiral photons, *i.e.*, photons carrying orbital angular momentum.^{[257](#)} Consequently, in the presence of linearly polarized radiation fields, the spin degeneracy of the charge carriers can be broken only if Rashba SO interaction is absent. In other words, circularly polarized electromagnetic fields break the spin degeneracy without SO interaction. For the case of linear dispersion, circular polarization is known to open gaps in the quasi energy spectrum at the degeneracy points when compared with linearly polarized EM fields.^{[258](#)} Moreover, in dealing




Fig. 22: Effects of light on chiral molecules through the Floquet approach to achieve stretch and spectral engineering a) Molecules can be made to stretch or contract by impinging light modulating the SO coupling (modified figure from Ref. 113). b) Spectrum normalized by base pair to base pair overlap strength as a function of spin state (s), transport direction (ν) and pseudo-spin quantum (σ) *vs.* the normalized frequency (ξ) of impinging light.

with the transport regime, one key point to be considered is that the distribution function of the Floquet states needs not to be a Fermi distribution function. The Floquet problem in which the non-equilibrium distribution is taken into account (already in the absence of static external magnetic field) is difficult and often one resorts to arguments that justify why the non-equilibrium distribution function can be replaced by a quasi-equilibrium (Fermi) distribution. Sometimes, the conditions that justify this replacement are not explicitly stated in the literature.²⁵⁹ A possible means to model the photo-induced quasi one-dimensional spin transport in the DNA sample could be achieved by using the approach given in Ref. 260, where the driven Su–Schrieffer–Heeger model of polyacetylene is explored, and it is shown that competing effects among photon assisted processes and the native topology of the undriven system lead to nontrivial Floquet topological insulating phases.²⁶¹ Additionally, effective Hamiltonians within the so called off resonant regime allow for a physical description of the spin transport by means of exactly solvable models.^{262,263} Thus, within the Floquet formalism it becomes possible to deal with periodically driven interactions, and consider the effects of radiation on bonds using the formalism of stretch engineering. Other effects seen

in low dimensional systems such as the spin ratchet effect could also be explored.

Future Outlook and Conclusions

In this perspective, we have reviewed the interplay between chirality and quantum effects in several contexts. We have discussed the role of diverse molecules, materials, and systems in which electromagnetic chiral degrees of freedom can be harnessed for spintronics and quantum information applications. When chirality is introduced into measurements, it produces quantifiable effects in electrochemical or redox reactions and in spin-selective conduction detected by scanning probe or break junction experiments. These effects are described by the CISS effect, which can be thought of as a spin polarization effect in the absence of magnetic fields. Theoretical descriptions of the CISS effect and other related observations have been done using combinations of DFT and tight-binding approaches, but it is still challenging to capture all the various effects involving electrons, spin, symmetry, and geometry especially for non-trivial systems of large numbers of atoms. Some of the most intriguing observations of SO interactions, the CISS effect, and other chiral-quantum phenomena have been in engineered chiral materials. These have included combinations of organic molecules and metal substrates, substrates with heavy elements, 2D materials functionalized with chiral molecules, and hybrid structures of chiral molecules and nanoparticles. Related effects have also been observed in biological structures. Chirality can also be imparted to electromagnetic fields and can be observed in OAM modes, which may have applications in quantum information systems. Throughout all these contexts, the interplay between chirality, quantum effects, and materials grants new possibilities for controlling spin, charge, and energy transport for quantum information processing. Moving forward, chiral-enhanced nanoscience will require a multidisciplinary effort combining cutting-edge materials design and characterization with diverse theoretical strategies, with the long-term goal of designing and controlling chiral (qubit) spin states that operate at room temperature. Some of the

crucial developments that will be needed in the field to further advance the understanding and application of chirality and quantum effects in quantum information processing, storage and transduction include:

- Exploration of novel materials such as topological chiral materials, 2D materials and heterostructures, 2D magnets, etc.;
- Design and evaluation of artificial chiral materials that can be used to control electronic, magnetic, and optical effects;
- Integration of multiple categories of materials such as organic molecules and conjugated systems, 2D quantum materials, chiral surfaces, thin films, and nanomaterials;
- Fundamental probing of biological processes to understand mechanisms that can be brought into artificial systems;
- Improved modeling of chiral systems that go beyond DFT, particularly for larger molecular and hybrid systems that more closely resemble experimental conditions;
- Design, implementation, and testing of new quantum device architectures to take advantage of chiral-quantum effects;
- Matching chiral materials that exhibit quantum effects with properties suitable for existing quantum device architectures.

Based on the exciting work that we have reviewed here, and the extensive ongoing efforts in many groups, we anticipate that materials and systems that take advantage of inherent chiralities and interactions with other quantum effects will play an important role in the next revolution in quantum devices.

Acknowledgement

E.J.G.S. acknowledges the EPSRC Early Career Fellowship (EP/T021578/1) and the University of Edinburgh for funding support. C.H. acknowledges funding by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) *via* the project “Structure–property relationships for SO effects in chiral molecules” (HE 5675/4-1). A.D., R.G. and G.C. acknowledge financial support from the Volkswagen Stiftung (grant no. 88366) and from the the German Research Foundation within the project Theoretical Studies on Chirality-induced Spin Selectivity (CU 44/51-1). V.M., W.T.P., and Q.H.W. acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation Quantum Leap Challenge Institutes (QLCI-CG-1936882).

References

1. Jansen, R. The Spin-Valve Transistor: A Review and Outlook. *J. Phys. D Appl. Phys.* **2003**, *36*, R289.
2. Bader, S. D.; Parkin, S. S. P. Spintronics. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **2010**, *1*, 71–88.
3. Afanasev, A.; Carlson, C. E.; Solyanik, M. Circular Dichroism of Twisted Photons in Non-chiral Atomic Matter. *J. Opt.* **2017**, *19*, 105401.
4. Afanasev, A.; Carlson, C. E.; Schmiegelow, C. T.; Schulz, J.; Schmidt-Kaler, F.; Solyanik, M. Experimental Verification of Position-Dependent Angular-Momentum Selection Rules for Absorption of Twisted Light by a Bound Electron. *New J. Phys.* **2018**, *20*, 023032.
5. Solyanik-Gorgone, M.; Afanasev, A.; Carlson, C. E.; Schmiegelow, C. T.; Schmidt-Kaler, F. Excitation of E1-Forbidden Atomic Transitions with Electric, Magnetic, or Mixed Multipolarity in Light Fields Carrying Orbital and Spin Angular Momentum. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **2019**, *36*, 565–574.

6. Zambrana-Puyalto, X.; Vidal, X.; Molina-Terriza, G. Angular Momentum-Induced Circular Dichroism in Non-chiral Nanostructures. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 1–7.
7. Honorary Issue for Federico Capasso on “Metamaterials & Metasurfaces”. *Nanophotonics* **2018**, *7*.
8. Franke-Arnold, S.; Radwell, N. Light Served with a Twist. *Optics and Photonics News* **2017**, *28*, 28–35.
9. Amin, R.; Maiti, R.; Gui, Y.; Suer, C.; Miscuglio, M.; Heidari, E.; Chen, R. T.; Dalir, H.; Sorger, V. J. Sub-wavelength GHz-fast Broadband ITO Mach–Zehnder Modulator on Silicon Photonics. *Optica* **2020**, *7*, 333–335.
10. Pierangeli, D.; Marcucci, G.; Brunner, D.; Conti, C. Noise-Enhanced Spatial-photonic Ising Machine. *Nanophotonics* **2020**, *9*, 4109–4116.
11. Miscuglio, M.; Hu, Z.; Li, S.; George, J.; Capanna, R.; Bardet, P. M.; Gupta, P.; Sorger, V. J. Massively Parallel Amplitude-Only Fourier Neural Network. *arXiv:2008.05853* **2020**,
12. Campbell, D.; Farago, P. Spin-Dependent Electron Scattering from Optically Active Molecules. *Nature* **1985**, *318*, 52–53.
13. Mishra, S.; Pirbadian, S.; Mondal, A. K.; El-Naggar, M. Y.; Naaman, R. Spin-Dependent Electron Transport through Bacterial Cell Surface Multiheme Electron Conduits. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 19198–19202, PMID: 31702906.
14. Kumar, A.; Capua, E.; Kesharwani, M. K.; Martin, J. M. L.; Sitbon, E.; Waldeck, D. H.; Naaman, R. Chirality-Induced Spin Polarization Places Symmetry Constraints on Biomolecular Interactions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2017**, *114*, 2474–2478.
15. Ziv, A.; Saha, A.; Alpern, H.; Sukenik, N.; Baczewski, L. T.; Yochelis, S.; Reches, M.;

- Paltiel, Y. AFM-Based Spin-Exchange Microscopy Using Chiral Molecules. *Adv. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, 1904206.
16. Bloom, B. P.; Lu, Y.; Metzger, T.; Yochelis, S.; Paltiel, Y.; Fontanesi, C.; Mishra, S.; Tassinari, F.; Naaman, R.; Waldeck, D. H. Asymmetric Reactions Induced by Electron Spin Polarization. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *22*, 21570–21582.
17. Metzger, T. S.; Mishra, S.; Bloom, B. P.; Goren, N.; Neubauer, A.; Shmul, G.; Wei, J.; Yochelis, S.; Tassinari, F.; Fontanesi, C.; Waldeck, D. H.; Paltiel, Y.; Naaman, R. The Electron Spin As a Chiral Reagent. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 1653–1658.
18. Mogi, I.; Watanabe, K. Electrocatalytic Chirality on Magneto-Electropolymerized Polyaniline Electrodes. *J. Solid State Electrochem.* **2007**, *11*, 751–756.
19. Benincori, T.; Arnaboldi, S.; Magni, M.; Grecchi, S.; Cirilli, R.; Fontanesi, C.; Mussini, P. R. Highlighting Spin Selectivity Properties of Chiral Electrode Surfaces from Redox Potential Modulation of an Achiral Probe under an Applied Magnetic Field. *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 2750–2757.
20. Naaman, R.; Paltiel, Y.; Waldeck, D. H. Chiral Molecules and the Electron Spin. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **2019**, *3*, 250–260.
21. Tassinari, F.; Steidel, J.; Paltiel, S.; Fontanesi, C.; Lahav, M.; Paltiel, Y.; Naaman, R. Enantioseparation by Crystallization Using Magnetic Substrates. *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 5246–5250.
22. Ben Dor, O.; Yochelis, S.; Radko, A.; Vankayala, K.; Capua, E.; Capua, A.; Yang, S.-H.; Baczewski, L. T.; Parkin, S. S. P.; Naaman, R.; Paltiel, Y. Magnetization Switching in Ferromagnets by Adsorbed Chiral Molecules without Current or External Magnetic Field. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 14567.

23. Banerjee-Ghosh, K.; Ben Dor, O.; Tassinari, F.; Capua, E.; Yochelis, S.; Capua, A.; Yang, S.-H.; Parkin, S. S. P.; Sarkar, S.; Kronik, L.; Baczewski, L. T.; Naaman, R.; Paltiel, Y. Separation of enantiomers by their enantiospecific interaction with achiral magnetic substrates. *Science* **2018**, *360*, 1331–1334.
24. Naaman, R.; Paltiel, Y.; Waldeck, D. H. Chiral Molecules and the Spin Selectivity Effect. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2020**, *11*, 3660–3666.
25. Xie, Z.; Markus, T. Z.; Cohen, S. R.; Vager, Z.; Gutierrez, R.; Naaman, R. Spin Specific Electron Conduction through DNA Oligomers. *Nano Lett.* **2011**, *11*, 4652–4655.
26. Xiong, Z. H.; Wu, D.; Vardeny, Z. V.; Shi, J. Giant Magnetoresistance in Organic Spin-Valves. *Nature* **2004**, *427*, 4.
27. Schmaus, S.; Bagrets, A.; Nahas, Y.; Yamada, T. K.; Bork, A.; Bowen, M.; Beaupaire, E.; Evers, F.; Wulfskel, W. Giant Magnetoresistance through a Single Molecule. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2011**, *6*, 185–189.
28. Mishra, S.; Mondal, A. K.; Pal, S.; Das, T. K.; Smolinsky, E. Z. B.; Siligardi, G.; Naaman, R. Length-Dependent Electron Spin Polarization in Oligopeptides and DNA. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2020**, *124*, 10776–10782.
29. Cheung, K. M.; Sterner, D. M.; Zhao, C.; Young, T. D.; Belling, J. N.; Andrews, A. M.; Weiss, P. S. Chemical Lift-Off Lithography of Metal and Semiconductor Surfaces. *ACS Mater. Lett.* **2020**, *2*, 76–83.
30. Mekhalif, Z.; Riga, J.; Pireaux, J.-J.; Delhalle, J. Self-Assembled Monolayers of *n*-dodecanethiol on Electrochemically Modified Polycrystalline Nickel Surfaces. *Langmuir* **1997**, *13*, 2285–2290.
31. Hohman, J. N.; Kim, M.; Bednar, H. R.; Lawrence, J. A.; McClanahan, P. D.;

- Weiss, P. S. Simple, Robust Molecular Self-Assembly on Germanium. *Chem. Sci.* **2011**, *2*, 1334–1343.
32. Abendroth, J. M.; Nakatsuka, N.; Ye, M.; Kim, D.; Fullerton, E. E.; Andrews, A. M.; Weiss, P. S. Analyzing Spin Selectivity in DNA-Mediated Charge Transfer *via* Fluorescence Microscopy. *ACS Nano* **2017**, *11*, 7516–7526.
33. Abendroth, J. M.; Cheung, K. M.; Stermer, D. M.; El Hadri, M. S.; Zhao, C.; Fullerton, E. E.; Weiss, P. S. Spin-Dependent Ionization of Chiral Molecular Films. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 3863–3874.
34. Stermer, D. M.; Abendroth, J. M.; Cheung, K. M.; Ye, M.; El Hadri, M. S.; Fullerton, E. E.; Weiss, P. S. Differential Charging in Photoemission from Mercurated DNA Monolayers on Ferromagnetic Films. *Nano Lett.* **2020**, *20*, 1218–1225.
35. Mondal, P. C.; Fontanesi, C.; Waldeck, D. H.; Naaman, R. Field and Chirality Effects on Electrochemical Charge Transfer Rates: Spin Dependent Electrochemistry. *ACS Nano* **2015**, *9*, 3377–3384.
36. Ghosh, S.; Mishra, S.; Avigad, E.; Bloom, B. P.; Baczewski, L. T.; Yochelis, S.; Paltiel, Y.; Naaman, R.; Waldeck, D. H. Effect of Chiral Molecules on the Electron’s Spin Wavefunction at Interfaces. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2020**, *11*, 1550–1557.
37. Bloom, B. P.; Kiran, V.; Varade, V.; Naaman, R.; Waldeck, D. H. Spin Selective Charge Transport through Cysteine Capped CdSe Quantum Dots. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16*, 4583–4589.
38. Choi, J. K.; Haynie, B. E.; Tohgha, U.; Pap, L.; Elliott, K. W.; Leonard, B. M.; Dzyuba, S. V.; Varga, K.; Kubelka, J.; Balaz, M. Chirality Inversion of CdSe and CdS Quantum Dots without Changing the Stereochemistry of the Capping Ligand. *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10*, 3809–3815.

39. Bonnell, D. A.; Basov, D. N.; Bode, M.; Diebold, U.; Kalinin, S. V.; Madhavan, V.; Novotny, L.; Salmeron, M.; Schwarz, U. D.; Weiss, P. S. Imaging Physical Phenomena with Local Probes: From Electrons to Photons. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **2012**, *84*, 1343–1381.
40. Lu, H.; Wang, J.; Xiao, C.; Pan, X.; Chen, X.; Brunecky, R.; Berry, J. J.; Zhu, K.; Beard, M. C.; Vardeny, Z. V. Spin-Dependent Charge Transport through 2D Chiral Hybrid Lead-Iodide Perovskites. *Sci. Adv.* **2019**, *5*, eaay0571.
41. Kulkarni, C.; Mondal, A. K.; Das, T. K.; Grinbom, G.; Tassinari, F.; Mabesoone, M. F. J.; Meijer, E. W.; Naaman, R. Highly Efficient and Tunable Filtering of Electrons' Spin by Supramolecular Chirality of Nanofiber-based Materials. *Adv. Mater.* **2020**, *32*, 1904965.
42. Lu, H.; Xiao, C.; Song, R.; Li, T.; Maughan, A. E.; Levin, A.; Brunecky, R.; Berry, J. J.; Mitzi, D. B.; Blum, V.; Beard, M. C. Highly Distorted Chiral Two-Dimensional Tin Iodide Perovskites for Spin Polarized Charge Transport. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 13030–13040.
43. Ray, K.; Ananthavel, S. P.; Waldeck, D. H.; Naaman, R. Asymmetric Scattering of Polarized Electrons by Organized Organic Films of Chiral Molecules. *Science* **1999**, *283*, 814–816.
44. Aragonés, A. C.; Medina, E.; Ferrer-Huerta, M.; Gimeno, N.; Teixida, M.; Palma, J. L.; Tao, N.; Ugalde, J. M.; Giralt, E.; Díez-Pérez, I.; Mujica, V. Measuring the Spin-Polarization Power of a Single Chiral Molecule. *Small* **2017**, *13*, 1602519.
45. Varade, V.; Markus, T.; Vankayala, K.; Friedman, N.; Sheves, M.; Waldeck, D. H.; Naaman, R. Bacteriorhodopsin Based Non-Magnetic Spin Filters for Biomolecular Spintronics. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *20*, 1091–1097.
46. Yeganeh, S.; Ratner, M. A.; Medina, E.; Mujica, V. Chiral Electron Transport: Scattering through Helical Potentials. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *131*, 014707.

47. Guo, A.-M.; Sun, Q.-f. Spin-Selective Transport of Electrons in DNA Double Helix. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *108*, 218102.
48. Xu, B. Measurement of Single-Molecule Resistance by Repeated Formation of Molecular Junctions. *Science* **2003**, *301*, 1221–1223.
49. Smit, R. H. M.; Noat, Y.; Untiedt, C.; Lang, N. D.; van Hemert, M. C.; van Ruitenbeek, J. M. Measurement of the Conductance of a Hydrogen Molecule. *Nature* **2002**, *419*, 906–909.
50. Venkataraman, L.; Klare, J. E.; Nuckolls, C.; Hybertsen, M. S.; Steigerwald, M. L. Dependence of Single-Molecule Junction Conductance on Molecular Conformation. *Nature* **2006**, *442*, 904–907.
51. Martin, C. A.; Ding, D.; van der Zant, H. S. J.; Ruitenbeek, J. M. v. Lithographic Mechanical Break Junctions for Single-molecule Measurements in Vacuum: Possibilities and Limitations. *New J. Phys.* **2008**, *10*, 065008.
52. Xu,; Zhang,; Li,; Tao, Direct Conductance Measurement of Single DNA Molecules in Aqueous Solution. *Nano Lett.* **2004**, *4*, 1105–1108.
53. Hihath, J.; Xu, B.; Zhang, P.; Tao, N. Study of Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms by Means of Electrical Conductance Measurements. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2005**, *102*, 16979–16983.
54. Guo, C.; Wang, K.; Zerah-Harush, E.; Hamill, J.; Wang, B.; Dubi, Y.; Xu, B. Molecular Rectifier Composed of DNA with High Rectification Ratio Enabled by Intercalation. *Nat. Chem.* **2016**, *8*, 484–490.
55. Liu, S. P.; Artois, J.; Schmid, D.; Wieser, M.; Bornemann, B.; Weisbrod, S.; Marx, A.; Scheer, E.; Erbe, A. Electronic Transport through Short dsDNA Measured with Mechanically Controlled Break Junctions: New Thiol-gold Binding Protocol Improves

- Conductance: Electronic Transport through Short dsDNA. *Phys. Status Solidi B* **2013**, *250*, 2342–2348.
56. Kawai, K.; Kodera, H.; Majima, T. Long-Range Charge Transfer through DNA by Replacing Adenine with Diaminopurine. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 627–630.
 57. Li, Y.; Artés, J. M.; Demir, B.; Gokce, S.; Mohammad, H. M.; Alangari, M.; Anantram, M. P.; Oren, E. E.; Hihath, J. Detection and Identification of Genetic Material Via Single-molecule Conductance. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2018**, *13*, 1167–1173.
 58. Li, Y.; Artes, J. M.; Hihath, J. Long-Range Charge Transport in Adenine-Stacked RNA:DNA Hybrids. *Small* **2016**, *12*, 432–437.
 59. Paul, A.; Watson, R. M.; Wierzbinski, E.; Davis, K. L.; Sha, A.; Achim, C.; Waldeck, D. H. Distance Dependence of the Charge Transfer Rate for Peptide Nucleic Acid Monolayers. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2010**, *114*, 14140–14148.
 60. Sek, S.; Misicka, A.; Swiatek, K.; Maicka, E. Conductance of α -Helical Peptides Trapped within Molecular Junctions. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, *110*, 19671–19677.
 61. Xiao, X.; Xu, B.; Tao, N. Changes in the Conductance of Single Peptide Molecules upon Metal-Ion Binding. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 6148–6152.
 62. Artes, J. M.; Lopez-Martinez, M.; Giraudet, A.; Diez-Perez, I.; Sanz, F.; Gorostiza, P. Current-voltage Characteristics and Transition Voltage Spectroscopy of Individual Redox Proteins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 20218–20221.
 63. Zhang, B.; Song, W.; Brown, J.; Nemanich, R.; Lindsay, S. Electronic Conductance Resonance in Non-redox-active Proteins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 6432–6438.
 64. Aragonès, A. C.; Aravena, D.; Cerdá, J. I.; Acís-Castillo, Z.; Li, H.; Real, J. A.; Sanz, F.; Hihath, J.; Ruiz, E.; Díez-Pérez, I. Large Conductance Switching in a Single-Molecule

- Device through Room Temperature Spin-dependent Transport. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16*, 218–226.
65. Osorio, E. A.; Moth-Poulsen, K.; van der Zant, H. S. J.; Paaske, J.; Hedegard, P.; Flensberg, K.; Bendix, J.; Björnholm, T. Electrical Manipulation of Spin States in a Single Electrostatically Gated Transition-metal Complex. *Nano Lett.* **2010**, *10*, 105–110.
 66. Dulić, D.; Tuukkanen, S.; Chung, C.-L.; Isambert, A.; Lavie, P.; Filoramo, A. Direct Conductance Measurements of Short Single DNA Molecules in Dry Conditions. *Nanotechnology* **2009**, *20*, 115502.
 67. Artes, J. M.; Li, Y.; Qi, J.; Anantram, M. P.; Hihath, J. Conformational Gating of DNA Conductance. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 8870.
 68. Adessi, C.; Walch, S.; Anantram, M. P. Environment and Structure Influence on DNA Conduction. *Phys. Rev. B* **2003**, *67*, 081405.
 69. Kasumov, A. Y.; Klinov, D. V.; Roche, P.-E.; Guéron, S.; Bouchiat, H. Thickness and Low-Temperature Conductivity of DNA Molecules. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2004**, *84*, 1007–1009.
 70. Sek, S.; Sepiol, A.; Tolak, A.; Misicka, A.; Bilewicz, R. Distance Dependence of the Electron Transfer Rate through Oligoglycine Spacers Introduced into Self-Assembled Monolayers. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2004**, *108*, 8102–8105.
 71. Ruiz, M. P.; Aragonès, A. C.; Camarero, N.; Vilhena, J. G.; Ortega, M.; Zotti, L. A.; Pérez, R.; Cuevas, J. C.; Gorostiza, P.; Díez-Pérez, I. Bioengineering a Single-protein Junction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 15337–15346, PMID: 28981262.
 72. Ron, I.; Sepunaru, L.; Itzhakov, S.; Belenkova, T.; Friedman, N.; Pecht, I.; Sheves, M.; Cahen, D. Proteins As Electronic Materials: Electron Transport through Solid-state

- Protein Monolayer Junctions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 4131–4140, PMID: 20210314.
73. Xiang, L.; Palma, J. L.; Bruot, C.; Mujica, V.; Ratner, M. A.; Tao, N. Intermediate Tunnelling-Hopping Regime in DNA Charge Transport. *Nat. Chem.* **2015**, *7*, 221–226.
74. Kaiser, U.; Schwarz, A.; Wiesendanger, R. Magnetic Exchange Force Microscopy with Atomic Resolution. *Nature* **2017**, *446*, 522–525.
75. Shapira, T.; Alpern, H.; Yochelis, S.; Lee, T.-K.; Kaun, C.-C.; Paltiel, Y.; Koren, G.; Millo, O. Unconventional Order Parameter Induced by Helical Chiral Molecules Adsorbed on a Metal Proximity Coupled to a Superconductor. *Phys. Rev. B* **2018**, *98*, 214513.
76. Alpern, H.; Yavilberg, K.; Dvir, T.; Sukenik, N.; Klang, M.; Yochelis, S.; Cohen, H.; Grosfeld, E.; Steinberg, H.; Paltiel, Y.; Millo, O. Magnetic-Related States and Order Parameter Induced in a Conventional Superconductor by Nonmagnetic Chiral Molecules. *Nano Lett.* **2019**, *19*, 5167–5175.
77. Sukenik, N.; Alpern, H.; Katzir, E.; Yochelis, S.; Millo, O.; Paltiel, Y. Proximity Effect through Chiral Molecules in Nb-Graphene-Based Devices. *Adv. Mater. Technol.* **2018**, *3*, 1700300.
78. Maslyuk, V. V.; Gutierrez, R.; Dianat, A.; Mujica, V.; Cuniberti, G. Enhanced Magnetoresistance in Chiral Molecular Junctions. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2018**, *9*, 5453–5459.
79. Dianat, A.; Gutierrez, R.; Alpern, H.; Mujica, V.; Ziv, A.; Yochelis, S.; Millo, O.; Paltiel, Y.; Cuniberti, G. The Role of Exchange Interactions in the Magnetic Response and Inter-Molecular Recognition of Chiral Molecules. *Nano Lett.* **2020**, *20*, 7077–7086.
80. Zöllner, M. S.; Varela, S.; Medina, E.; Mujica, V.; Herrmann, C. Insight into the Origin

- of Chiral-Induced Spin Selectivity from a Symmetry Analysis of Electronic Transmission. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2020**, *16*, 2914–2929.
81. Zöllner, M. S.; Mujica, V.; Herrmann, C. The Influence of Electronic Structure Modelling and Junction Structure on First-principles Chiral Induced Spin Selectivity. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2020**, *16*, 12, 7357–7371.
 82. Fransson, J. Chirality-Induced Spin Selectivity: The Role of Electron Correlations. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2019**, *10*, 7126–7132.
 83. Jacob, C. R.; Reiher, M. Spin in Density-functional Theory. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **2012**, *112*, 3661–3684.
 84. Li, D.; Pauly, F.; Smogunov, A. Giant Anisotropic Magnetoresistance through a Tilted Molecular π -orbital. *Phys. Rev. Research* **2020**, *2*, 33184.
 85. Shi, S.; Xie, Z.; Liu, F.; Smith, D. L.; Frisbie, C. D.; Ruden, P. P. Theory of Magnetoresistance of Organic Molecular Tunnel Junctions with Nonmagnetic Electrodes. *Phys. Rev. B* **2017**, *95*, 155315.
 86. Xie, Z.; Shi, S.; Liu, F.; Smith, D. L.; Ruden, P. P.; Frisbie, C. D. Large Magnetoresistance at Room Temperature in Organic Molecular Tunnel Junctions with Nonmagnetic Electrodes. *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10*, 8571–8577.
 87. Yang, X.; van der Wal, C. H.; van Wees, B. J. Detecting chirality in two-terminal electronic nanodevices. *Nano letters* **2020**, *20*, 6148–6154.
 88. Guo, A.-M.; Sun, Q.-F. Spin-Dependent Electron Transport in Protein-Like Single-Helical Molecules. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2014**, *111*, 11658–11662.
 89. Wu, Y.; Miao, G.; Subotnik, J. Chemical Reaction Rates for Systems with Spin-Orbit Coupling and an Odd Number of Electrons: Does Berry’s Phase Lead to Meaningful

- Spin-Dependent Nuclear Dynamics for a Two State Crossing? *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2020**, *124*, 37, 7355–7372.
90. Tsuji, Y.; Hoffmann, R. Helical Oligoenes: Conformations, Bond Alternation, and Competing Through-Bond and Through-Space Transmission. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 4878–4888.
 91. Garner, M. H.; Jensen, A.; Hyllested, L. O. H.; Solomon, G. C. Helical Orbitals and Circular Currents in Linear Carbon Wires. *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 4598–4608.
 92. Banerjee, A. S. Ab initio framework for systems with helical symmetry: theory, numerical implementation and applications to torsional deformations in nanostructures. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* **2021**, 104515.
 93. Yu, H. M.; Banerjee, A. S. Density functional theory method for twisted geometries with application to torsional deformations in group-IV nanotubes. **2021**, arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.00049.
 94. Ghosh, S.; Banerjee, A. S.; Suryanarayana, P. Symmetry-Adapted Real-Space Density Functional Theory for Cylindrical Geometries: Application to Large Group-IV Nanotubes. *Phys. Rev. B* **2019**, *100*, 125143.
 95. Lin, L. Adaptively Compressed Exchange Operator. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2016**, *12*, 2242–2249, PMID: 27045571.
 96. Hu, W.; Lin, L.; Banerjee, A. S.; Vecharynski, E.; Yang, C. Adaptively Compressed Exchange Operator for Large-scale Hybrid Density Functional Calculations with Applications to the Adsorption of Water on Silicene. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2017**, *13*, 1188–1198, PMID: 28177229.
 97. Santos, J. I.; Rivilla, I.; Cossío, F. P.; Matxain, J. M.; Grzelczak, M.; Mazinani, S. K. S.; Ugalde, J. M.; Mujica, V. Chirality-induced Electron Spin Polarization and Enantiospe-

- cific Response in Solid-state Cross-polarization Nuclear Magnetic Resonance. *ACS Nano* **2018**, *12*, 11426–11433.
98. Craig, D. P.; Power, E. A.; Thirunamachandran, T. The Interaction of Optically Active Molecules. *Proc. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **1971**, *322*, 165–179.
 99. Jenkins, J. K.; Salam, A.; Thirunamachandran, T. Discriminatory Dispersion Interactions between Chiral Molecules. *Mol. Phys.* **1994**, *82*, 835–840.
 100. Kurian, P.; Capolupo, A.; Craddock, T.; Vitiello, G. Water-Mediated Correlations in DNA-Enzyme Interactions. *Phys. Lett. A* **2018**, *382*, 33–43.
 101. Kondepudi, D. K.; Kaufman, R. J.; Singh, N. Chiral Symmetry Breaking in Sodium Chlorate Crystallization. *Science* **1990**, *250*, 975–976.
 102. Naaman, R.; Waldeck, D. H. Spintronics and Chirality: Spin Selectivity in Electron Transport through Chiral Molecules. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2015**, *66*, 263–281.
 103. Farago, P. S. Electron Optic Dichroism and Electron Optic Activity. *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys.* **1981**, *14*, L743–L748.
 104. Blum, K.; Thompson, D. Spin-Dependent Electron Scattering from Oriented Molecules. *J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys.* **1989**, *22*, 1823–1844.
 105. Mayer, S.; Kessler, J. Experimental Verification of Electron Optic Dichroism. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1995**, *74*, 4803–4806.
 106. Medina, E.; González-Arraga, L. A.; Finkelstein-Shapiro, D.; Berche, B.; Mujica, V. Continuum Model for Chiral Induced Spin Selectivity in Helical Molecules. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *142*, 194308.
 107. Rosenberg, R. A.; Symonds, J. M.; Kalyanaraman, V.; Markus, T.; Orlando, T. M.; Naaman, R.; Medina, E. A.; López, F. A.; Mujica, V. Kinetic Energy Dependence of

- Spin Filtering of Electrons Transmitted through Organized Layers of DNA. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2013**, *117*, 22307–22313.
108. Göhler, B.; Hamelbeck, V.; Markus, T. Z.; Kettner, M.; Hanne, G. F.; Vager, Z.; Naaman, R.; Zacharias, H. Spin Selectivity in Electron Transmission through Self-Assembled Monolayers of Double-Stranded DNA. *Science* **2011**, *331*, 894–897.
 109. Gutierrez, R.; Díaz, E.; Naaman, R.; Cuniberti, G. Spin-Selective Transport through Helical Molecular Systems. *Phys. Rev. B* **2012**, *85*, 081404.
 110. Varela, S.; Mujica, V.; Medina, E. Effective Spin-Orbit Couplings in an Analytical Tight-Binding Model of DNA: Spin Filtering and Chiral Spin Transport. *Phys. Rev. B* **2016**, *93*, 155436.
 111. Bardarson, J. H. A Proof of the Kramers Degeneracy of Transmission Eigenvalues from Antisymmetry of the Scattering Matrix. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **2008**, *41*, 405203.
 112. Geyer, M.; Gutierrez, R.; Cuniberti, G. Effective Hamiltonian Model for Helically Constrained Quantum Systems within Adiabatic Perturbation Theory: Application to the Chirality-induced Spin Selectivity (CISS) Effect. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *152*, 214105.
 113. Salazar, S. V.; Mujica, V.; Medina, E. Spin-Orbit Coupling Modulation in DNA by Mechanical Deformations. *CHIMIA Int. J. Chem.* **2018**, *72*, 411–417.
 114. Geyer, M.; Gutierrez, R.; Mujica, V.; Cuniberti, G. Chirality-Induced Spin Selectivity in a Coarse-Grained Tight-Binding Model for Helicene. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2019**, *123*, 27230–27241.
 115. Varela, S.; Montañes, B.; López, F.; Berche, B.; Guillot, B.; Mujica, V.; Medina, E. Intrinsic Rashba Coupling Due to Hydrogen Bonding in DNA. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *151*, 125102.

116. Nitzan, A. Electron Transmission through Molecules and Molecular Interfaces. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2001**, *52*, 681–750.
117. Grib, N. V.; Ryndyk, D. A.; Gutiérrez, R.; Cuniberti, G. Distance-Dependent Coherent Charge Transport in DNA: Crossover from Tunneling to Free Propagation. *J. Biophys. Chem.* **2010**, *01*, 77–85.
118. Marvi, M.; Ghadiri, M. A Mathematical Model for Vibration Behavior Analysis of DNA and Using a Resonant Frequency of DNA for Genome Engineering. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 3439.
119. Varela, S.; Zambrano, I.; Berche, B.; Mujica, V.; Medina, E. Spin-Orbit Interaction and Spin Selectivity for Tunneling Electron Transfer in DNA. *Phys. Rev. B* **2020**, *101*, 241410.
120. Entin-Wohlman, O.; Aharony, A.; Utsumi, Y. Comment on: ‘Spin-Orbit Interaction and Spin Selectivity for Tunneling Electron Transfer in DNA’. **2020**, arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.11238.
121. Matityahu, S.; Utsumi, Y.; Aharony, A.; Entin-Wohlman, O.; Balseiro, C. A. Spin-Dependent Transport through a Chiral Molecule in the Presence of Spin-orbit Interaction and Nonunitary Effects. *Phys. Rev. B* **2016**, *93*, 075407.
122. Galperin, M.; Ratner, M. A.; Nitzan, A. Molecular Transport Junctions: Vibrational Effects. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2007**, *19*, 103201.
123. Peralta, M.; Feijoo, S.; Varela, S.; Mujica, V.; Medina, E. Coherence Preservation and Electron–Phonon Interaction in Electron Transfer in DNA. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *153*, 165102.
124. Suzuura, H.; Ando, T. Phonons and Electron-Phonon Scattering in Carbon Nanotubes. *Phys. Rev. B* **2002**, *65*, 235412.

125. Michaeli, K.; Naaman, R. Origin of Spin Dependent Tunneling through Chiral Molecules. **2016**,
126. Entin-Wohlman, O.; Aharony, A.; Tokura, Y.; Avishai, Y. Spin-Polarized Electric Currents in Quantum Transport through Tubular Two-dimensional Electron Gases. *Phys. Rev. B* **2010**, *81*, 075439.
127. Woehlecke, M.; Borstel, G. On the Role of Spin-Orbit Coupling and Crystal Symmetry on the Spin-Polarization of Photoelectrons in Nonmagnetic Crystals. *Phys. Scr.* **1983**, *T4*, 162–164.
128. Gersten, J.; Kaasbjerg, K.; Nitzan, A. Induced Spin Filtering in Electron Transmission through Chiral Molecular Layers Adsorbed on Metals with Strong Spin-Orbit Coupling. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *139*, 114111.
129. Kettner, M.; Maslyuk, V. V.; Nurenberg, D.; Seibel, J.; Gutierrez, R.; Cuniberti, G.; Ernst, K.-H.; Zacharias, H. Chirality-Dependent Electron Spin Filtering by Molecular Monolayers of Helicenes. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2018**, *9*, 2025–2030.
130. Mishra, D.; Markus, T. Z.; Naaman, R.; Kettner, M.; Göhler, B.; Zacharias, H.; Friedman, N.; Sheves, M.; Fontanesi, C. Spin-Dependent Electron Transmission through Bacteriorhodopsin Embedded in Purple Membrane. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2013**, *110*, 14872–14876.
131. Kettner, M.; Bhowmick, D. K.; Bartsch, M.; Goehler, B.; Zacharias, H. A Silicon-based Room Temperature Spin Source without Magnetic Layers. *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *3*, 1600595.
132. Ghosh, K. B.; Zhang, W.; Tassinari, F.; Mastai, Y.; Lidor-Shalev, O.; Naaman, R.; Möllers, P.; Nürenberg, D.; Zacharias, H.; Wei, J.; Wierzbinski, E.; Waldeck, D. H. Controlling Chemical Selectivity in Electrocatalysis with Chiral CuO-coated Electrodes. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2019**, *123*, 3024–3031.

133. Mayer, S.; Nolting, C.; Kessler, J. Electron Scattering from Chiral Molecules. *J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys.* **1996**, *29*, 3497–3511.
134. Nolting, C.; Mayer, S.; Kessler, J. Electron Dichroism - New Data and an Experimental Cross-check. *J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys.* **1997**, *30*, 5491–5499.
135. Torres-Cavanillas, R.; Escorcia-Ariza, G.; Brotons-Alcázar, I.; Sanchis-Gual, R.; Mondal, P. C.; Rosaleny, L. E.; Giménez-Santamarina, S.; Sessolo, M.; Galbiati, M.; Tatay, S.; Gaita-Ariño, A.; Forment-Aliaga, A.; Cardona-Serra, S. Reinforced Room-Temperature Spin Filtering in Chiral Paramagnetic Metallopeptides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 17572–17580, PMID: 32938174.
136. Suzuki, N.; Wang, Y.; Elvati, P.; Qu, Z.-B.; Kim, K.; Jiang, S.; Baumeister, E.; Lee, J.; Yeom, B.; Bahng, J. H.; Lee, J.; Violi, A.; Kotov, N. A. Chiral Graphene Quantum Dots. *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10*, 1744–1755.
137. Kong, X.-T.; Zhao, R.; Wang, Z.; Govorov, A. O. Mid-Infrared Plasmonic Circular Dichroism Generated by Graphene Nanodisk Assemblies. *Nano Lett.* **2017**, *17*, 5099–5105.
138. Wang, X.; Hao, J.; Cheng, J.; Li, J.; Miao, J.; Li, R.; Li, Y.; Li, J.; Liu, Y.; Zhu, X.; Liu, Y.; Sun, X. W.; Tang, Z.; Delville, M.-H.; He, T.; Chen, R. Chiral CdSe Nanoplatelets As an Ultrasensitive Probe for Lead Ion Sensing. *Nanoscale* **2019**, *11*, 9327–9334.
139. Purcell-Milton, F.; McKenna, R.; Brennan, L. J.; Cullen, C. P.; Guillemeney, L.; Teplov, N. V.; Baimuratov, A. S.; Rukhlenko, I. D.; Perova, T. S.; Duesberg, G. S.; Baranov, A. V.; Fedorov, A. V.; Gun'ko, Y. K. Induction of Chirality in Two-Dimensional Nanomaterials: Chiral 2d Mos2 Nanostructures. *ACS Nano* **2018**, *12*, 954–964.
140. Yang, G.; Kazes, M.; Oron, D. Chiral 2d Colloidal Semiconductor Quantum Wells. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2018**, *28*, 1802012.

141. Xu, S.-Y.; Ma, Q.; Gao, Y.; Kogar, A.; Zong, A.; Mier Valdivia, A. M.; Dinh, T. H.; Huang, S.-M.; Singh, B.; Hsu, C.-H.; Chang, T.-R.; Ruff, J. P. C.; Watanabe, K.; Taniguchi, T.; Lin, H.; Karapetrov, G.; Xiao, D.; Jarillo-Herrero, P.; Gedik, N. Spontaneous Gyrotropic Electronic Order in a Transition-metal Dichalcogenide. *Nature* **2020**, *578*, 545–549.
142. Chen, Z.; Wang, Q.; Wu, X.; Li, Z.; Jiang, Y.-B. Optical Chirality Sensing Using Macrocycles, Synthetic and Supramolecular Oligomers/polymers, and Nanoparticle Based Sensors. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44*, 4249–4263.
143. Liu, M.; Zhang, L.; Wang, T. Supramolecular Chirality in Self-Assembled Systems. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 7304–7397.
144. Ma, W.; Xu, L.; Wang, L.; Xu, C.; Kuang, H. Chirality-based Biosensors. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2019**, *29*, 1805512.
145. Tosic, J.; Stanojevic, Z.; Vidicevic, S.; Isakovic, A.; Ciric, D.; Martinovic, T.; Kravic-Stevovic, T.; Bumbasirevic, V.; Paunovic, V.; Jovanovic, S.; Todorovic-Markovic, B.; Markovic, Z.; Danko, M.; Micusik, M.; Spitalsky, Z.; Trajkovic, V. Graphene Quantum Dots Inhibit T Cell-mediated Neuroinflammation in Rats. *Neuropharmacology* **2019**, *146*, 95–108.
146. Tajik, S.; Dourandish, Z.; Zhang, K.; Beitollahi, H.; Le, Q. V.; Jang, H. W.; Shokouhimehr, M. Carbon and Graphene Quantum Dots: A Review on Syntheses, Characterization, Biological and Sensing Applications for Neurotransmitter Determination. *RSC Adv.* **2020**, *10*, 15406–15429.
147. Lee, J.; Adegoke, O.; Park, E. Y. High-performance Biosensing Systems Based on Various Nanomaterials As Signal Transducers. *Biotechnol. J.* **2019**, *14*, 1800249.
148. Li, M.; Chen, T.; Gooding, J. J.; Liu, J. Review of Carbon and Graphene Quantum Dots for Sensing. *ACS Sens.* **2019**, *4*, 1732–1748.

149. Fasbender, S.; Zimmermann, L.; Cadeddu, R.-P.; Luysberg, M.; Moll, B.; Janiak, C.; Heinzel, T.; Haas, R. The Low Toxicity of Graphene Quantum Dots Is Reflected by Marginal Gene Expression Changes of Primary Human Hematopoietic Stem Cells. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 12028.
150. Yang, X.; Zhao, Q.; Chen, Y.; Fu, Y.; Lu, S.; Yu, X.; Yu, D.; Zhao, W. Effects of Graphene Oxide and Graphene Oxide Quantum Dots on the Osteogenic Differentiation of Stem Cells from Human Exfoliated Deciduous Teeth. *Artif. Cells Nanomed. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *47*, 822–832, PMID: 30873880.
151. Lee, B.-C.; Lee, J. Y.; Kim, J.; Yoo, J. M.; Kang, I.; Kim, J.-J.; Shin, N.; Kim, D. J.; Choi, S. W.; Kim, D.; Hong, B. H.; Kang, K.-S. Graphene Quantum Dots As Anti-Inflammatory Therapy for Colitis. *Sci. Adv.* **2020**, *6*.
152. Tomić, S.; Janjetović, K.; Mihajlović, D.; Milenković, M.; Kravić-Stevović, T.; Marković, Z.; Todorović-Marković, B.; Spitalsky, Z.; Micusik, M.; Vučević, D.; Čolić, M.; Trajković, V. Graphene Quantum Dots Suppress Proinflammatory T Cell Responses Via Autophagy-Dependent Induction of Tolerogenic Dendritic Cells. *Biomaterials* **2017**, *146*, 13–28.
153. Zhang, H.; He, H.; Jiang, X.; Xia, Z.; Wei, W. Preparation and Characterization of Chiral Transition-metal Dichalcogenide Quantum Dots and Their Enantioselective Catalysis. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2018**, *10*, 30680–30688.
154. Kitaev, V. Chiral Nanoscale Building Blocks—from Understanding to Applications. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2008**, *18*, 4745–4749.
155. Barron, L. D. Molecular Light Scattering and Optical Activity. 2004.
156. Tang, Y.; Cohen, A. E. Optical chirality and its interaction with matter. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2010**, *104*, 163901.

157. Poulikakos, L. V.; Dionne, J. A.; García-Etxarri, A. Optical Helicity and Optical Chirality in Free Space and in the Presence of Matter. *Symmetry* **2019**, *11*, 1113.
158. Tang, Y.; Cohen, A. E. Enhanced enantioselectivity in excitation of chiral molecules by superchiral light. *Science* **2011**, *332*, 333–336.
159. Maier, S. A. *Plasmonics: Fundamentals and Applications*; Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
160. García-Etxarri, A.; Gómez-Medina, R.; Froufe-Pérez, L. S.; López, C.; Chantada, L.; Scheffold, F.; Aizpurua, J.; Nieto-Vesperinas, M.; Sáenz, J. J. Strong magnetic response of submicron silicon particles in the infrared. *Opt. Express* **2011**, *19*, 4815–4826.
161. Kuznetsov, A. I.; Miroshnichenko, A. E.; Brongersma, M. L.; Kivshar, Y. S.; Luk'yanchuk, B. Optically resonant dielectric nanostructures. *Science* **2016**, *354*.
162. Nesterov, M. L.; Yin, X.; Schäferling, M.; Giessen, H.; Weiss, T. The Role of Plasmon-Generated Near Fields for Enhanced Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy. *ACS Photonics* **2016**, *3*, 578–583.
163. García-Etxarri, A.; Dionne, J. A. Surface-enhanced circular dichroism spectroscopy mediated by nonchiral nanoantennas. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **2013**, *87*, 235409.
164. Solomon, M. L.; Hu, J.; Lawrence, M.; García-Etxarri, A.; Dionne, J. A. Enantiospecific Optical Enhancement of Chiral Sensing and Separation with Dielectric Metasurfaces. *ACS Photonics* **2019**, *6*, 43–49.
165. Hu, J.; Lawrence, M.; Dionne, J. A. High Quality Factor Dielectric Metasurfaces for Ultraviolet Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy. *ACS Photonics* **2020**, *7*, 36–42.
166. Lasa-Alonso, J.; Abujetas, D. R.; Nodar, A.; Dionne, J. A.; Saenz, J. J.; Molina-Terriza, G.; Aizpurua, J.; Garcia-Etxarri, A. Surface-Enhanced Circular Dichroism spectroscopy on periodic dual nanostructures. **2020**,

167. Flores, J. J.; Bonner, W. A.; Massey, G. A. Asymmetric photolysis of (RS)-leucine with circularly polarized ultraviolet light. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1977**, *99*, 3622–3625.
168. Modica, P.; Meinert, C.; de Marcellus, P.; Nahon, L.; Meierhenrich, U. J.; d’Hendecourt, L. L. S. Enantiomeric excesses induced in amino acids by ultraviolet circularly polarized light irradiation of extraterrestrial ice analogs: A possible source of asymmetry for prebiotic chemistry. *Astrophys. J.* **2014**, *788*, 79.
169. Ho, C.-S.; Garcia-Etxarri, A.; Zhao, Y.; Dionne, J. Enhancing Enantioselective Absorption Using Dielectric Nanospheres. *ACS Photonics* **2017**, *4*, 197–203.
170. Kumar, J.; Eraña, H.; López-Martínez, E.; Claes, N.; Martín, V. F.; Solís, D. M.; Bals, S.; Cortajarena, A. L.; Castilla, J.; Liz-Marzán, L. M. Detection of amyloid fibrils in Parkinson’s disease using plasmonic chirality. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2018**, *115*, 3225–3230.
171. Ostovar pour, S.; Rocks, L.; Faulds, K.; Graham, D.; Parchaňský, V.; Bouř, P.; Blanch, E. W. Through-space transfer of chiral information mediated by a plasmonic nanomaterial. *Nat. Chem.* **2015**, *7*, 591–596.
172. Mujica, V. Chirality transfer takes a jump. *Nat. Chem.* **2015**, *7*, 543–544.
173. García-Etxarri, A.; Ugalde, J. M.; Sáenz, J. J.; Mujica, V. Field-Mediated Chirality Information Transfer in Molecule–Nanoparticle Hybrids. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2020**, *124*, 1560–1565.
174. Mujica, V. Chirality transfer takes a jump. *Nature Chemistry* **2015**, *7*, 543–544.
175. Solomon, M. L.; Saleh, A. A. E.; Poulikakos, L. V.; Abendroth, J. M.; Tadesse, L. F.; Dionne, J. A. Nanophotonic Platforms for Chiral Sensing and Separation. *Accounts of Chemical Research* **2020**, *53*, 588–598, PMID: 31913015.

176. Qin, J.; Deng, L.; Kang, T.; Nie, L.; Feng, H.; Wang, H.; Yang, R.; Liang, X.; Tang, T.; Shen, J.; Li, C.; Wang, H.; Luo, Y.; Armelless, G.; Bi, L. Switching the Optical Chirality in Magnetoplasmonic Metasurfaces Using Applied Magnetic Fields. *ACS Nano* **2020**, *14*, 2808–2816, PMID: 32074454.
177. Alexander, S.; Marciano, A.; Smolin, L. Gravitational Origin of the Weak Interaction’s Chirality. *Phys. Rev. D* **2014**, *89*, 065017.
178. Bailey, J. Astronomical Sources of Circularly Polarized Light and the Origin of Homochirality. *Origins of Life and Evolution of the Biosphere: The Journal of the International Society for the Study of the Origin of Life* **2001**, *31*, 167–183.
179. Cline, D. B. On the Physical Origin of the Homochirality of Life. *Eur. Rev.* **2005**, *13*, 49–59.
180. Garcia, A. D.; Meinert, C.; Sugahara, H.; Jones, N. C.; Hoffmann, S. V.; Meierhenrich, U. J. The Astrophysical Formation of Asymmetric Molecules and the Emergence of a Chiral Bias. *Life* **2019**, *9*.
181. Blackmond, D. G. The Origin of Biological Homochirality. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol.* **2019**, *11*, a032540.
182. Quack, M. Structure and Dynamics of Chiral Molecules. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition in English* **1989**, *28*, 571–586.
183. Kurian, P.; Dunston, G.; Lindesay, J. How Quantum Entanglement in DNA Synchronizes Double-strand Breakage by Type II Restriction Endonucleases. *J. Theor. Biol.* **2016**, *391*, 102–112.
184. Celardo, G. L.; Angeli, M.; Craddock, T. J. A.; Kurian, P. On the Existence of Super-radiant Excitonic States in Microtubules. *New J. Phys.* **2019**, *21*, 023005.

185. Rossjohn, J.; Gras, S.; Miles, J. J.; Turner, S. J.; Godfrey, D. I.; McCluskey, J. T. Cell Antigen Receptor Recognition of Antigen-presenting Molecules. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **2015**, *33*, 169–200.
186. Courtney, A. H.; Lo, W.-L.; Weiss, A. TCR Signaling: Mechanisms of Initiation and Propagation. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **2018**, *43*, 108–123.
187. Antipas, G. S. E.; Germenis, A. E. Quantum Chemical Calculations Predict Biological Function: The Case of T Cell Receptor Interaction with a Peptide/MHC Class I. *Front. Chem.* **2015**, *3*.
188. Antipas, G. S.; Germenis, A. E. The Quantum Chemical Causality of pMHC-TCR Biological Avidity: Peptide Atomic Coordination Data and the Electronic State of Agonist N Termini. *Data Brief* **2015**, *3*, 180–184.
189. Stöhr, M.; Tkatchenko, A. Quantum Mechanics of Proteins in Explicit Water: The Role of Plasmon-like Solute-solvent Interactions. *Sci. Adv.* **2019**, *5*, eaax0024.
190. Goldsmith, M.-R.; George, C. B.; Zuber, G.; Naaman, R.; Waldeck, D. H.; Wipf, P.; Beratan, D. N. The Chiroptical Signature of Achiral Metal Clusters Induced by Dissymmetric Adsorbates. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *8*, 63–67.
191. Mukhopadhyay, P.; Zuber, G.; Wipf, P.; Beratan, D. Contribution of a Solute’s Chiral Solvent Imprint to Optical Rotation. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 6450–6452.
192. Mukhopadhyay, P.; Wipf, P.; Beratan, D. N. Optical Signatures of Molecular Dissymmetry: Combining Theory with Experiments To Address Stereochemical Puzzles. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2009**, *42*, 809–819.
193. Skourtis, S. S.; Beratan, D. N.; Naaman, R.; Nitzan, A.; Waldeck, D. H. Chiral Control of Electron Transmission through Molecules. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2008**, *101*, 238103.

194. Bloom, B. P.; Liu, R.; Zhang, P.; Ghosh, S.; Naaman, R.; Beratan, D. N.; Waldeck, D. H. Directing Charge Transfer in Quantum Dot Assemblies. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2018**, *51*, 2565–2573.
195. Bloom, B. P.; Graff, B. M.; Ghosh, S.; Beratan, D. N.; Waldeck, D. H. Chirality Control of Electron Transfer in Quantum Dot Assemblies. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 9038–9043.
196. Lloyd, S.; Braunstein, S. L. Quantum Computation Over Continuous Variables. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1999**, *82*, 1784–1787.
197. Flamini, F.; Spagnolo, N.; Sciarrino, F. Photonic Quantum Information Processing: A Review. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **2019**, *82*, 016001.
198. Fickler, R.; Lapkiewicz, R.; Plick, W. N.; Krenn, M.; Schaeff, C.; Ramelow, S.; Zeilinger, A. Quantum Entanglement of High Angular Momenta. *Science* **2012**, *338*, 640–643.
199. Forbes, K. A.; Andrews, D. L. Spin-Orbit Interactions and Chiroptical Effects Engaging Orbital Angular Momentum of Twisted Light in Chiral and Achiral Media. *Phys. Rev. A* **2019**, *99*, 023837.
200. Pu, M.; Ma, X.; Zhao, Z.; Li, X.; Wang, Y.; Gao, H.; Hu, C.; Gao, P.; Wang, C.; Luo, X. Near-Field Collimation of Light Carrying Orbital Angular Momentum with Bull’s-eye-assisted Plasmonic Coaxial Waveguides. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 12108.
201. Gorodetski, Y.; Drezet, A.; Genet, C.; Ebbesen, T. W. Generating Far-Field Orbital Angular Momenta from Near-field Optical Chirality. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2013**, *110*, 203906.
202. Schmiegelow, C. T.; Schulz, J.; Kaufmann, H.; Ruster, T.; Poschinger, U. G.; Schmidt-Kaler, F. Transfer of Optical Orbital Angular Momentum to a Bound Electron. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 12998.

203. Konzelmann, A. M.; Krüger, S. O.; Giessen, H. Interaction of Orbital Angular Momentum Light with Rydberg Excitons: Modifying Dipole Selection Rules. *Phys. Rev. B* **2019**, *100*, 115308.
204. Qiu, D. Y.; Cao, T.; Louie, S. G. Nonanalyticity, Valley Quantum Phases, and Lightlike Exciton Dispersion in Monolayer Transition Metal Dichalcogenides: Theory and First-principles Calculations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2015**, *115*, 176801.
205. Kerber, R.; Fitzgerald, J.; Oh, S.; Reiter, D.; Hess, O. Orbital Angular Momentum Dichroism in Nanoantennas. *Commun. Phys.* **2018**, *1*, 1–7.
206. Zambrana-Puyalto, X.; Vidal, X.; Fernandez-Corbaton, I.; Molina-Terriza, G. Far-Field Measurements of Vortex Beams Interacting with Nanoholes. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 1–10.
207. Quinteiro, G. F.; Schmidt-Kaler, F.; Schmiegelow, C. T. Twisted-Light–Ion Interaction: The Role of Longitudinal Fields. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2017**, *119*, 253203.
208. Fleming, G. R.; Ratner, M. A. Grand Challenges in Basic Energy Sciences. *Phys. Today* **2008**, *61*, 28–33.
209. Giusteri, G. G.; Mattiotti, F.; Celardo, G. L. Non-Hermitian Hamiltonian Approach to Quantum Transport in Disordered Networks with Sinks: Validity and Effectiveness. *Phys. Rev. B* **2015**, *91*, 094301.
210. Celardo, G. L.; Poli, P.; Lussardi, L.; Borgonovi, F. Cooperative Robustness to Dephasing: Single-Exciton Superradiance in a Nanoscale Ring to Model Natural Light-harvesting Systems. *Phys. Rev. B* **2014**, *90*, 085142.
211. Dicke, R. H. Coherence in Spontaneous Radiation Processes. *Phys. Rev.* **1954**, *93*, 99–110.
212. Bonifacio, R.; Lugiato, L. A. Cooperative Radiation Processes in Two-Level Systems: Superfluorescence. *Phys. Rev. A* **1975**, *11*, 1507–1521.

213. Chávez, N. C.; Mattiotti, F.; Méndez-Bermúdez, J. A.; Borgonovi, F.; Celardo, G. L. Real and Imaginary Energy Gaps: A Comparison between Single Excitation Superradiance and Superconductivity and Robustness to Disorder. *Eur. Phys. J. B* **2019**, *92*, 144.
214. Cong, K.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, Y.; Noe, G. T.; Belyanin, A.; Kono, J. Dicke Superradiance in Solids [invited]. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **2016**, *33*, C80.
215. Araújo, M. O.; Krešić, I.; Kaiser, R.; Guerin, W. Superradiance in a Large and Dilute Cloud of Cold Atoms in the Linear-optics Regime. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2016**, *117*, 073002.
216. Monshouwer, R.; Abrahamsson, M.; van Mourik, F.; van Grondelle, R. Superradiance and Exciton Delocalization in Bacterial Photosynthetic Light-harvesting Systems. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1997**, *101*, 7241–7248.
217. Boer, S. D.; Wiersma, D. A. Dephasing-induced Damping of Superradiant Emission in J-aggregates. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1990**, *165*, 45–53.
218. Fidler, H.; Knoester, J.; Wiersma, D. A. Superradiant Emission and Optical Dephasing in J-aggregates. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1990**, *171*, 529–536.
219. Scheibner, M.; Schmidt, T.; Worschech, L.; Forchel, A.; Bacher, G.; Passow, T.; Hommel, D. Superradiance of Quantum Dots. *Nat. Phys.* **2007**, *3*, 106–110.
220. Brandes, T. Coherent and Collective Quantum Optical Effects in Mesoscopic Systems. *Phys. Rep.* **2005**, *408*, 315–474.
221. Bradac, C.; Johnsson, M. T.; van Breugel, M.; Baragiola, B. Q.; Martin, R.; Juan, M. L.; Brennen, G. K.; Volz, T. Room-Temperature Spontaneous Superradiance from Single Diamond Nanocrystals. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 1205.
222. Rainò, G.; Becker, M. A.; Bodnarchuk, M. I.; Mahrt, R. F.; Kovalenko, M. V.;

- Stöferle, T. Superfluorescence from Lead Halide Perovskite Quantum Dot Superlattices. *Nature* **2018**, *563*, 671–675.
223. Higgins, K. D. B.; Benjamin, S. C.; Stace, T. M.; Milburn, G. J.; Lovett, B. W.; Gauger, E. M. Superabsorption of Light Via Quantum Engineering. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 4705.
224. Hu, X.; Ritz, T.; Damjanović, A.; Schulten, K. Pigment Organization and Transfer of Electronic Excitation in the Photosynthetic Unit of Purple Bacteria. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1997**, *101*, 3854–3871.
225. Strümpfer, J.; Şener, M.; Schulten, K. How Quantum Coherence Assists Photosynthetic Light-harvesting. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2012**, *3*, 536–542.
226. Hu, X.; Damjanović, A.; Ritz, T.; Schulten, K. Architecture and Mechanism of the Light-Harvesting Apparatus of Purple Bacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1998**, *95*, 5935–5941.
227. Şener, M. K.; Olsen, J. D.; Hunter, C. N.; Schulten, K. Atomic-Level Structural and Functional Model of a Bacterial Photosynthetic Membrane Vesicle. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2007**, *104*, 15723–15728.
228. Bohnet, J. G.; Chen, Z.; Weiner, J. M.; Meiser, D.; Holland, M. J.; Thompson, J. K. A Steady-State Superradiant Laser with Less Than One Intracavity Photon. *Nature* **2012**, *484*, 78–81.
229. Kiselev, Y. F.; Prudkoglyad, A.; Shumovskii, A.; Yukalov, V. Detection of Superradiant Emission from a System of Nuclear Magnetic Moments. *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **1988**, *94*, 344–349.
230. Yukalov, V. I. *Nuclear Spin Superradiance*; John Wiley and Sons, 2007.

231. Angerer, A.; Streltsov, K.; Astner, T.; Putz, S.; Sumiya, H.; Onoda, S.; Isoya, J.; Munro, W. J.; Nemoto, K.; Schmiedmayer, J.; Majer, J. Superradiant Emission from Colour Centres in Diamond. *Nat. Phys.* **2018**, *14*, 1168–1172.
232. Rose, B.; Tyryshkin, A.; Riemann, H.; Abrosimov, N.; Becker, P.; Pohl, H.-J.; The-walt, M.; Itoh, K.; Lyon, S. Coherent Rabi Dynamics of a Superradiant Spin Ensemble in a Microwave Cavity. *Phys. Rev. X* **2017**, *7*, 031002.
233. Li, X.; Bamba, M.; Yuan, N.; Zhang, Q.; Zhao, Y.; Xiang, M.; Xu, K.; Jin, Z.; Ren, W.; Ma, G.; Cao, S.; Turchinovich, D.; Kono, J. Observation of Dicke Cooperativity in Magnetic Interactions. *Science* **2018**, *361*, 794–797.
234. Jin, L.; Pfender, M.; Aslam, N.; Neumann, P.; Yang, S.; Wrachtrup, J.; Liu, R.-B. Proposal for a Room-Temperature Diamond Maser. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 8251.
235. Breeze, J. D.; Salvadori, E.; Sathian, J.; Alford, N. M.; Kay, C. W. M. Continuous-Wave Room-Temperature Diamond Maser. *Nature* **2018**, *555*, 493–496.
236. Salvadori, E.; Breeze, J. D.; Tan, K.-J.; Sathian, J.; Richards, B.; Fung, M. W.; Wolfowicz, G.; Oxborrow, M.; Alford, N. M.; Kay, C. W. M. Nanosecond Time-Resolved Characterization of a Pentacene-based Room-temperature MASER. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 41836.
237. Dalum, S.; Hedegard, P. Theory of Chiral Induced Spin Selectivity. *Nano Lett.* **2019**, *19*, 5253–5259.
238. Ying, L.; Lai, Y.-C. Enhancement of Spin Polarization by Chaos in Graphene Quantum Dot Systems. *Phys. Rev. B* **2016**, *93*, 085408.
239. Liu, C.-R.; Chen, X.-Z.; Xu, H.-Y.; Huang, L.; Lai, Y.-C. Effect of Chaos on Two-dimensional Spin Transport. *Phys. Rev. B* **2018**, *98*, 115305.

240. Liu, C.-R.; Huang, L.; Luo, H.; Lai, Y.-C. Spin Fano Resonances and Control in Two-Dimensional Mesoscopic Transport. *Phys. Rev. Appl* **2020**, *13*, 034061.
241. Huang, L.; Lai, Y.-C.; Luo, H.-G.; Grebogi, C. Universal Formalism of Fano Resonance. *AIP Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 017137.
242. Huang, L.; Xu, H.-Y.; Grebogi, C.; Lai, Y.-C. Relativistic Quantum Chaos. *Phys. Rep.* **2018**, *753*, 1–128.
243. Tian, J.; Hong, S.; Miotkowski, I.; Datta, S.; Chen, Y. P. Observation of Current-Induced, Long-Lived Persistent Spin Polarization in a Topological Insulator: A Rechargeable Spin Battery. *Sci. Adv.* **2017**, *3*, e1602531.
244. Sharma, G.; Economou, S. E.; Barnes, E. Interplay of Valley Polarization and Dynamic Nuclear Polarization in 2D Transition Metal Dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. B* **2017**, *96*, 125201.
245. Zwang, T. J.; Tse, E. C. M.; Zhong, D.; Barton, J. K. A Compass at Weak Magnetic Fields Using Thymine Dimer Repair. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2018**, *4*, 405–412.
246. Kung, H.-H.; Maiti, S.; Wang, X.; Cheong, S.-W.; Maslov, D.; Blumberg, G. Chiral Spin Mode on the Surface of a Topological Insulator. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2017**, *119*, 136802.
247. Doherty, M. W.; Meriles, C. A.; Alkauskas, A.; Fedder, H.; Sellars, M. J.; Manson, N. B. Towards a Room-Temperature Spin Quantum Bus in Diamond Via Electron Photoionization, Transport, and Capture. *Phys. Rev. X* **2016**, *6*, 041035.
248. Tao, L. L.; Tsymbal, E. Y. Persistent Spin Texture Enforced by Symmetry. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 2763.
249. de Gennes, P.-G. Maximum Pull Out Force on DNA Hybrids. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Series IV Phys.* **2001**, *2*, 1505–1508.

250. Sierra, M. A.; Sánchez, D.; Gutierrez, R.; Cuniberti, G.; Domínguez-Adame, F.; Díaz, E. Spin-Polarized Electron Transmission in DNA-Like Systems. *Biomolecules* **2019**, *10*, 49.
251. Huertas-Hernando, D.; Guinea, F.; Brataas, A. Spin-Orbit Coupling in Curved Graphene, Fullerenes, Nanotubes, and Nanotube Caps. *Phys. Rev. B* **2006**, *74*, 155426.
252. Kiran, V.; Cohen, S. R.; Naaman, R. Structure Dependent Spin Selectivity in Electron Transport through Oligopeptides. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *146*, 092302.
253. Vetter, E.; VonWald, I.; Yang, S.; Yan, L.; Koohfar, S.; Kumah, D.; Yu, Z.-G.; You, W.; Sun, D. Tuning of Spin-Orbit Coupling in Metal-Free Conjugated Polymers by Structural Conformation. *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **2020**, *4*, 085603.
254. Torres, J. D.; Hidalgo-Sacoto, R.; Varela, S.; Medina, E. Mechanically Modulated Spin-Orbit Couplings in Oligopeptides. *Phys. Rev. B* **2020**, *102*, 035426.
255. Oka, T.; Kitamura, S. Floquet Engineering of Quantum Materials. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **2019**, *10*, 387–408.
256. Urdaneta, I.; Keller, A.; Atabek, O.; Mujica, V. Laser-Induced Nonlinear Response in Photoassisted Resonant Electronic Transport. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *127*, 154110.
257. Ye, L.; Rouxel, J. R.; Asban, S.; Rösner, B.; Mukamel, S. Probing Molecular Chirality by Orbital-Angular-Momentum-Carrying X-ray Pulses. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2019**, *15*, 4180–4186.
258. Scholz, A.; López, A.; Schliemann, J. Interplay between Spin-Orbit Interactions and a Time-Dependent Electromagnetic Field in Monolayer Graphene. *Phys. Rev. B* **2013**, *88*, 045118.
259. Peralta Gavensky, L.; Usaj, G.; Balseiro, C. A. Time-Resolved Hall Conductivity of Pulse-Driven Topological Quantum Systems. *Phys. Rev. B* **2018**, *98*, 165414.

- 260. Dal Lago, V.; Atala, M.; Foa Torres, L. E. F. Floquet Topological Transitions in a Driven One-Dimensional Topological Insulator. *Phys. Rev. A* **2015**, *92*, 023624.
- 261. Rudner, M. S.; Song, J. C. W. Self-Induced Berry Flux and Spontaneous Non-equilibrium Magnetism. *Nat. Phys.* **2019**, *15*, 1017–1021.
- 262. López, A.; Scholz, A.; Santos, B.; Schliemann, J. Photoinduced Pseudospin Effects in Silicene beyond the Off-resonant Condition. *Phys. Rev. B* **2015**, *91*, 125105.
- 263. López, A.; Molina, R. A. Photoprotected Spin Hall Effect on Graphene with Substrate Induced Rashba Spin–orbit Coupling. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2020**, *32*, 205701.