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#### Abstract

A generalized torsion element is a non-trivial element such that some non-empty finite product of its conjugates is the identity. We construct a generalized torsion element of the fundamental group of a 3-manifold obtained by Dehn surgery along a knot in $S^{3}$.


## 1. Introduction

A non-trivial element $g$ of a group $G$ is a generalized torsion element if there exist $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in G$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{1} g x_{1}^{-1}\right)\left(x_{2} g x_{2}^{-1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k} g x_{k}^{-1}\right)=1 . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, some non-empty finite product of its conjugates is the identity. The order of a generalized torsion element is the minimum $k>1$ that satisfies 1.1.

One of motivations for exploring generalized torsion elements comes from the bi-orderability of groups. A group is bi-orderable if it admits a bi-ordering, a total ordering $<$ such that $a g b<a h b$ for any $g, h, a, b \in G$ whenever $g<h$ holds. In this paper, we adapt the convention that the trivial group $\{1\}$ is bi-orderable.

A bi-orderable group $G$ has no generalized torsion element. For, if $1<g$ for a bi-ordering $<$ of $G$, then $1=x 1 x^{-1}<x g x^{-1}$ for all $x \in G$, hence

$$
1<\left(x_{1} g x_{1}^{-1}\right)\left(x_{2} g x_{2}^{-1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k} g x_{k}^{-1}\right)
$$

for any $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in G$. The case $g<1$ is similar.
In many situations one refutes the bi-orderability by finding a generalized torsion element. However, in general a group without generalized torsion element, which is called an $R^{*}$-group or a $\Gamma$-torsion-free group in literatures [1, 12, 14, 15], is not necessarily bi-orderable; see [15, Chapter 4], for example.

In 13 the second and third authors conjecture the following.
Conjecture 1.1 ([13]). Let $G$ be the fundamental group of a 3-manifold. Then, $G$ is bi-orderable if and only if $G$ has no generalized torsion element.

In [13] this conjecture is verified for non-hyperbolic geometric 3 -manifolds and some other examples.

Since a finitely generated bi-orderable group has $\mathbb{Z}$ as a quotient, the fundamental group of any rational homology 3 -sphere is not bi-orderable; see [2] for example. Then Conjecture 1.1 says that the fundamental group of any rational homology

[^0]3 -sphere has a generalized torsion element. Recall that 3 -manifolds obtained by Dehn surgery along a knot in $S^{3}$ are rational homology 3 -spheres except when the surgery is longitudinal. In this article we concentrate our attention on such $3-$ manifolds.

Let $N(K)$ be a tubular neighborhood of $K$ and denote the knot exterior by $E(K)=S^{3}-\operatorname{Int} N(K)$. The knot group $G(K)$ means the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(E(K))$. Throughout the paper we take a base point of $E(K)$ which lies on $\partial E(K)$. We denote a meridian and a (preferred) longitude by $\mu, \lambda \in G(K)$, respectively.

Denote by $K(m / n)$ the 3 -manifold obtained by $m / n$-Dehn surgery on a knot $K$ in $S^{3}$. Note that $\pi_{1}(K(\infty))=\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}\right)=\{1\}$ is bi-orderable and does not have a generalized torsion element by definition. Then Conjecture 1.1 implies:
Conjecture 1.2. Let $K$ be a non-trivial knot in $S^{3}$. Then $\pi_{1}(K(r))$ admits a generalized torsion element if $r \neq 0, \infty$.

For several classes of knots, we have supporting evidences for Conjecture 1.2 .
Theorem 1.3. Let $K$ be a knot in $S^{3}$. Then $\pi_{1}(K(m / n))$ admits a generalized torsion element in the following cases.
(1) $K$ is a non-trivial torus knot, and $m / n \neq \infty$;
(2) $K$ is a $(p, q)$-cable knot, and $|p q n-m| \neq 1$;
(3) $K$ is a composite knot, and $n \neq 0,1$.

For the first, if $G(K)$ contains a generalized torsion element $g$, then its image in $\pi_{1}(K(r))$ is also a generalized torsion element whenever $g$ remains non-trivial in $\pi_{1}(K(r))$. It is shown in [8] that for any hyperbolic knot $K$, there are only finitely many slopes $r$ such that $g$ becomes trivial in $\pi_{1}(K(r))$. So if $G(K)$ contains a generalized torsion element, $\pi_{1}(K(r))$ also contains such an element for all but finitely many slopes $r$.

On the other hand, some knot $K$, such as the figure-eight knot, has bi-orderable knot group [18, and hence $G(K)$ has no generalized torsion element. However, according to Conjecture 1.2, $\pi_{1}(K(r))$ should have a generalized torsion element for $r \neq 0, \infty$. So some non-generalized torsion element becomes a generalized torsion element via Dehn fillings.

The purpose of this article is to give several constructions of a generalized torsion element of $\pi_{1}(K(r))$ which is not the image of a generalized torsion element in $G(K)$. This gives new supporting evidences for Conjecture 1.2, hence, for Conjecture 1.1.

For a knot $K$ in $S^{3}$, a singular spanning disk of $K$ is a smooth map $\Phi: D^{2} \rightarrow S^{3}$ (or, its image, by abuse of notation) such that $\Phi\left(\partial D^{2}\right)=K$ and that $K$ intersects $\Phi\left(\right.$ int $\left.D^{2}\right)$ transversely in finitely many points. Each intersection point $\Phi\left(\right.$ int $\left.D^{2}\right) \cap K$ has a sign according to the orientations after orienting $K$ and $D^{2}$ suitably. We say that $\Phi\left(D^{2}\right)$ is a $(p, q)$-singular spanning disk if $K$ intersects $\Phi\left(\operatorname{int} D^{2}\right)$ positively in $p$ points and negatively in $q$ points.

A singular spanning disk appeared in early 3 -dimensional topology; Dehn's lemma [17, 7] says that $K$ has a $(0,0)$-singular spanning disk if and only if $K$ is the trivial knot. More generally, $K$ has a $(p, q)$-singular spanning disk with $0 \leq p, q \leq 1$ if and only if $K$ is still the trivial knot [9].
Theorem 1.4. Let $K$ be a knot in $S^{3}$. If $K$ has a $(p, 0)$-singular spanning disk, then the image of a meridian $\mu$ in $\pi_{1}(K(m / n))$ is a generalized torsion element of
order $m$ whenever $\frac{m}{n} \geq p$. Similarly, if $K$ has a $(0, q)$-singular spanning disk, then the image of a meridian $\mu$ in $\pi_{1}(K(m / n))$ is a generalized torsion element order $m$ whenever $\frac{m}{n} \leq-q$.

This construction illustrates how a generalized torsion element arises via Dehn fillings. A typical and fundamental example of $(p, 0)-$ or $(0, q)$-singular spanning disk is a clasp disk having the same sign of clasps.
Example 1.5. Let $D$ be a standard disk in $S^{3}$. Attach mutually disjoint arcs $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{p}$ to $D$ so that $a_{i} \cap D=a_{i} \cap \partial D=\partial a_{i}$. These arcs may be mutually linked and knotted. See Figure 1. Then, replace each arc $a_{i}$ with a band having a single clasp as illustrated in Figure 1 . These bands may have several full twists. Let $K$ be a knot bounding this disk with $p$ clasps. We remark that all clasps have the same sign. Then Theorem 1.4 asserts that $\pi_{1}(K(m / n))$ has a generalized torsion element whenever $m / n \geq 2 p$


Figure 1. A disk $D$ with $\operatorname{arcs} a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{p}$ (Left). Replace each arc with a band having a single clasp (Right).

We will discuss further applications of this construction in Section 5 Theorem 1.4 is used in 11 to give an example of 3-manifold with non-trivial torus (JSJ) decomposition $M=M_{1} \cup M_{2} \cup \cdots \cup M_{n}$ such that each $\pi_{1}\left(M_{i}\right)$ has no generalized torsion element, but $\pi_{1}(M)$ has a generalized torsion element; see Remark 2.1.

Let us take a closer look at Dehn surgery along a double twist knot. A double twist knot is a 2-bridge knot of genus one given by Conway's notation $C[2 p, 2 q]$ ( $p>$ 0 ) as in Figure 2 Double twist knots exhaust all genus one 2-bridge knots.


Figure 2. $C[2 p, 2 q](p=2, q=3)$

A double twist knot is a generalization of a twist knot, which provides a quite interesting example in a study of generalized torsion elements. For a twist knot $K_{q}:=C[2,2 q]$, the knot group $G\left(K_{q}\right)$ has a generalized torsion element if $q<0$. If $q=-1$, then $K_{-1}$ is the right-handed trefoil knot, for which $G\left(K_{-1}\right)$ is known to have a generalized torsion element [16, Corollary 3.4]. For $q=-2$, Naylor and Rolfsen [16, Theorem 4.1] show that $G\left(K_{-2}\right)$ admits a generalized torsion element. Surprisingly, this was the first example of hyperbolic knot whose knot group admits such an element. The general case $q \leq-2$ was proven by the third author [20]. On the other hand, when $q \geq 0$ the knot group $G\left(K_{q}\right)$ is bi-orderable [4], and hence it does not have a generalized torsion element.

It is not hard to see that $K=C[2 p, 2 q]$ admits a $(2 p, 0)$-singular spanning disk, and a $(0,2 q)$-singular spanning disk if $q>0$ or, a $(-2 q, 0)$-singular spanning disk if $q<0$. So by Theorem 1.4 the image of a meridian in $\pi_{1}(K(m / n))$ is a generalized torsion if $m \geq 2 n p$, or $m \leq-2 n q$ (when $q>0$ ), or, $m \geq-2 n q$ (when $q<0$ ). The next theorem improves these conditions.

Theorem 1.6. Let $K=C[2 p, 2 q](p>0)$ be a genus one two-bridge knot. Then the image of the meridian of $K$ in $\pi_{1}(K(m / n))(n \geq 1)$ is a generalized torsion element provided when
(1) $m \geq(2 n-1) p$; or
(2) $q>0$ and $m \leq-(2 n-1) q$; or
(3) $q<0$ and $m \geq-(2 n-1) q$.

Example 1.7. Let $K=C[2,2]$, which is the figure-eight knot. By Theorem 1.6, if either $m \geq 2 n-1$ or $m \leq-(2 n-1)$, then $\pi_{1}(K(m / n))$ has a generalized torsion element. In particular, $\pi_{1}(K(r))$ has a generalized torsion element for all non-zero integers $r$. Since $\pi_{1}(K(0))$ is known to be bi-orderable, $\pi_{1}(K(0))$ has no generalized torsion element.

In the final section we will propose some questions.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3 .
(1) The $(p, q)$-torus knot group $G(K)=G\left(T_{p, q}\right)(0<p<q)$ is presented as $\left\langle x, y \mid x^{p}=y^{q}\right\rangle$. First we observe that the commutator $[x, y]$ is a generalized torsion element in $G(K)$ [16. Note that

$$
\left[x, y^{q}\right]=[x, y]\left(y^{-1}[x, y] y\right)\left(y^{-2}[x, y] y^{2}\right) \cdots\left(y^{-(q-1)}[x, y] y^{q-1}\right)
$$

where $[a, b]=a^{-1} b^{-1} a b$. However, $\left[x, y^{q}\right]=\left[x, x^{p}\right]=1$ in $G(K)$. Since $[x, y] \neq 1$, this implies that $[x, y]$ is a generalized torsion element in $G(K)$.

Recall that $\pi_{1}(K(r))$ is a quotient group of $G(K)$. Since a non-trivial finite cyclic group obviously has a torsion element, we prove that for every non-abelian (equivalently, non-cyclic) quotient group of the knot group $G(K)$, the image of $[x, y]$ remains a generalized torsion element.

Let $\bar{G}$ be a non-abelian quotient group of $G(K)$ and we denote by $\bar{g}$ the image of $g \in G(K)$ under the quotient $\operatorname{map} G(K) \rightarrow \bar{G}$. Then we can assume that $\overline{[x, y]} \neq 1$, for otherwise $\bar{G}$ is a cyclic group. Thus $\overline{[x, y]}$ remains to be a generalized torsion element in $\bar{G}$.
(2) Let $K$ be a $(p, q)$-cable of a knot $k$. We may decompose $E(K)$ as the union of $E(k)$ and the $(p, q)$-cable space $C_{p, q}$, which is a Seifert fibered manifold over the annulus with an exceptional fiber of index $q \geq 2$. Then $K(m / n)$ is the union of $E(k)$ and $C_{p, q} \cup_{m / n}\left(S^{1} \times D^{2}\right)$. By the assumption $C_{p, q} \cup_{m / n}\left(S^{1} \times D^{2}\right)$ is a Seifert fibered manifold over the disk with two exceptional fibers of indices $q$ and $|p q n-m| \geq 2$, or the connected sum of the solid torus and a lens space if $|p q n-m|=0$. In the former case, [13, Lemma 3.5] shows that the subgroup $\pi_{1}\left(C_{p, q} \cup_{m / n}\left(S^{1} \times D^{2}\right)\right)$ of $\pi_{1}(K(m / n))$ has a generalized torsion element. In the latter case, $\pi_{1}(K(m / n)$ has a torsion element.
(3) We may write $K=K_{1} \sharp K_{2}$, where $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are both non-trivial knots. Then $E(K)$ consists of $E\left(K_{1}\right), E\left(K_{2}\right)$ and the 2 -fold composing space $C=$ [disk with 2 holes $] \times S^{1}$, where $\partial=\partial E(K) \cup \partial E\left(K_{1}\right) \cup \partial E\left(K_{2}\right)$. Note that the $S^{1}$-fiber of $C$ on $\partial E(K)$ is a meridian of $K$. Thus for any non-integral slope $m / n(n \geq 2)$, $C \cup_{m / n}\left(S^{1} \times D^{2}\right)$ is a Seifert fibered manifold over the annulus with an exceptional fiber of index $n \geq 2$. Hence it follows from [13, Lemma 3.5] that the subgroup $\pi_{1}\left(C \cup_{m / n}\left(S^{1} \times D^{2}\right)\right)$ of $\pi_{1}(K(m / n))$ has a generalized torsion element.
Remark 2.1. In (3) we may decompose $\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)(r)$ as $E\left(K_{1}\right), E\left(K_{2}\right)$ and $C \cup_{m / n}$ $\left(S^{1} \times D^{2}\right)$. If one of $G\left(K_{1}\right)$ and $G\left(K_{2}\right)$ has a generalized torsion element $g$, then it remains a generalized torsion element in $\pi_{1}\left(\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)(r)\right)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Q}$. Even when none of $G\left(K_{1}\right)$ and $G\left(K_{2}\right)$ has a generalized torsion element, Theorem $1.3(3)$ says that $\pi_{1}\left(\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)(r)\right)$ has such an element if $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ is non-integral. In this case the generalized torsion element lies in the fundamental group of a decomposing piece $C \cup_{m / n}\left(S^{1} \times D^{2}\right)$.

In the case where $r$ is integral, the fundamental group of $C \cup_{m / n}\left(S^{1} \times D^{2}\right)$ does not have a generalized torsion element [2, Theorem 1.5]. So (3) does not hold in general.

Let us take $K_{i}=K_{q_{i}}$, a positive twist knot, whose knot group $G\left(K_{i}\right)$ has no generalized torsion element [4]. Then as above the fundamental group of each decomposing piece has no generalized torsion element. However, applying Theorem 1.4 we show that $\pi_{1}\left(\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)(r)\right)$ has a generalized torsion element if $r \geq 4$; see 11].

## 3. Generalized torsion elements arising from singular spanning disks

In this section we prove theorem 1.4 . First we recall the following observation in 6]:

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $K$ has a $(p, q)$-singular spanning disk, then we have a factorization of the slope element $\lambda \mu^{p-q}$ as a product of $p$ conjugates of $\lambda$ and $q$ conjugates of $\lambda^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $\Phi: D^{2} \rightarrow S^{3}$ be a $(p, q)$-singular spanning disk of $K$. By restricting $\Phi$ on a slightly smaller subdisk $D \subset D^{2}, c:=\Phi(\partial D)$ is a simple closed curve on $\partial N(K)$, which has the slope $p-q$ hence it represents $\lambda \mu^{p-q} \in G(K)$.

Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p} \in D$ (resp. $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{q}$ ) be the preimage of the positive (resp. negative) intersections of $K$ and $\Phi(D)$. We take small oriented loops $c_{i}$ around $x_{i}$ (resp. $d_{j}$ around $y_{j}$ ) so that the homological sum $\left[c_{1}\right]+\cdots+\left[c_{p}\right]+\left[d_{1}\right]+\cdots+\left[d_{j}\right]=$ $[\partial D]$ in $H_{1}\left(D-\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{q}\right\}\right)$. Then $\Phi\left(c_{i}\right)=\mu_{c_{i}}$ and $\Phi\left(d_{j}\right)=\mu_{d_{j}}^{-1}$. See Figure 3 .

Take a base point $z \in \partial D$ of $D$ so that $\Phi(z)$ is a base point of $E(K)$. Then we take paths $a_{i}$ in $D$ from $z$ to a point on a loop $c_{i}$, and paths $b_{j}$ in $D$ from $z$ to a point on a loop $d_{j}$, so that their concatenation

$$
\left(a_{1} * c_{1} * \overline{a_{1}}\right) * \cdots *\left(a_{p} * c_{p} * \overline{a_{p}}\right) *\left(b_{1} * d_{1} * \overline{b_{1}}\right) * \cdots *\left(b_{q} * d_{q} * \overline{b_{q}}\right)
$$

is homotopic to $\partial D$ as a based loop in $D-\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{q}\right\}$. Here $*$ represents the concatenation of paths, and $\bar{a}$ means the path $a$ with opposite orientation; see Figure 3 .

Then

$$
\left[\Phi\left(a_{i} * c_{i} * \overline{a_{i}}\right)\right]=\left[\Phi\left(a_{i}\right) * \Phi\left(c_{i}\right) * \overline{\Phi\left(a_{i}\right)}\right]=\left[a_{i}^{\prime} * \mu_{c_{i}} * \overline{a_{i}^{\prime}}\right]=\alpha_{i} \mu \alpha_{i}^{-1} \in G(K)
$$

where we slide $\mu_{c_{i}}$, together with $a_{i}^{\prime}$, on $\partial N(K)$, so that $\mu_{c_{i}}$ becomes $\mu$ and $a_{i}^{\prime}$ becomes a loop representing $\alpha_{i}$. Similarly

$$
\left[\Phi\left(b_{j} * d_{j} * \overline{b_{j}}\right)\right]=\left[\Phi\left(b_{j}\right) * \Phi\left(d_{j}\right) * \overline{\Phi\left(b_{j}\right)}\right]=\left[b_{j}^{\prime} * \mu_{d_{j}}^{-1} * \overline{b_{j}^{\prime}}\right]=\beta_{j} \mu^{-1} \beta_{j}^{-1} \in G(K)
$$

where we slide $\mu_{d_{j}}^{-1}$, together with $b_{j}^{\prime}$, on $\partial N(K)$, so that $\mu_{d_{j}}^{-1}$ becomes $\mu^{-1}$ and $b_{j}^{\prime}$ becomes a loop representing $\beta_{j}$.

Therefore we obtain a factorization

$$
\lambda \mu^{p-q}=\left(\alpha_{1} \mu \alpha_{1}^{-1}\right) \cdots\left(\alpha_{p} \mu \alpha_{p}^{-1}\right)\left(\beta_{1} \mu^{-1} \beta_{1}^{-1}\right) \cdots\left(\beta_{q} \mu^{-1} \beta_{q}^{-1}\right)
$$

of the slope element $\lambda \mu^{p-q}$ as a product of $p$ conjugates of $\mu$ and $q$ conjugates of $\mu^{-1}$.


Figure 3. The singular spanning disk gives rise to a factorization of a slope element $\lambda \mu^{p-q}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We prove the theorem for the case $K$ admits a $(p, 0)$-singular spanning disk. The case where $K$ admits a $(0, q)$-singular spanning disk is similar.

By Lemma 3.1, from a $(p, 0)$-singular spanning disk, we get a factorization of $\lambda \mu^{p}$ as a product of $p$ conjugates of meridian $\mu$

$$
\lambda \mu^{p}=\left(\alpha_{1} \mu \alpha_{1}^{-1}\right) \cdots\left(\alpha_{p} \mu \alpha_{p}^{-1}\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\lambda^{n} \mu^{m}=\left(\lambda \mu^{p}\right)^{n} \mu^{m-p n}=\left(\left(\alpha_{1} \mu \alpha_{1}^{-1}\right) \cdots\left(\alpha_{p} \mu \alpha_{p}^{-1}\right)\right)^{n} \mu^{m-p n} .
$$

In $\pi_{1}(K(m / n)), \lambda^{n} \mu^{m}=1$. Hence

$$
\left(\left(\alpha_{1} \mu \alpha_{1}^{-1}\right) \cdots\left(\alpha_{p} \mu \alpha_{p}^{-1}\right)\right)^{n} \mu^{m-p n}=1
$$

and the meridian $\mu$ becomes a generalized torsion element of order $\leq m$ in $\pi_{1}(K(m / n))$ if $m / n \geq p$. Since $H_{1}(K(m / n))=\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ and $[\mu]$ is its generator, $\mu$ is indeed a generalized torsion element of order $m$.

## 4. Defn surgery along genus one two-bridge knot

Let $g$ be a non-trivial element in a group $G$. Denote by $\langle\langle g\rangle\rangle^{+}$the semigroup consisting of non-empty finite products of conjugates of $g$. Then a non-trivial element $g$ is a generalized torsion element if and only if $1 \in\langle\langle g\rangle\rangle^{+}$.

Since

$$
y\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i} g x_{i}^{-1}\right)\right) y^{-1}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(y x_{i}\right) g\left(y x_{i}\right)^{-1}
$$

we have the following.

- $a \in\langle\langle g\rangle\rangle^{+}$implies that $\langle\langle a\rangle\rangle^{+} \subset\langle\langle g\rangle\rangle^{+}$.

We collect some useful properties as a lemma below, which will be repeatedly used in the proof of Theorem 1.6. Recall that the commutator $g^{-1} h^{-1} g h$ is denoted by $[g, h]$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $g, h, x$ be elements in $G$. Then the following holds.
(1) $g^{n} h^{n} \in\langle\langle g h\rangle\rangle^{+}$for all $n>0$.
(2) If $g h \in\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle^{+}$, then $g^{n} h^{n} \in\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle^{+}$for all $n>0$.
(3) If $[g, h] \in\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle^{+}$, then $\left[g^{n}, h^{m}\right] \in\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle^{+}$for all $n, m>0$.

Proof. (1) follows from the equality:

$$
g^{n} h^{n}=\left(g^{n-1}(g h) g^{-(n-1)}\right)\left(g^{n-2}(g h) g^{-(n-2)}\right) \cdots(g h) .
$$

(2) If $g h \in\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle^{+}$, then $\langle\langle g h\rangle\rangle^{+} \subset\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle^{+}$. Then (1) shows that $g^{n} h^{m} \in\langle\langle g h\rangle\rangle^{+} \subset$ $\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle{ }^{+}$.
(3) Assume that $[g, h] \in\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle^{+}$. Then $\langle\langle[g, h]\rangle\rangle^{+}=\left\langle\left\langle g^{-1}\left(h^{-1} g h\right)\right\rangle\right\rangle^{+} \subset\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle^{+}$. Apply (2) to see that $\left(g^{-n} h^{-1} g^{n}\right) h=g^{-n}\left(h^{-1} g h\right)^{n} \in\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle^{+}$. Then apply (2) again to see that $\left[g^{n}, h^{m}\right]=g^{-n} h^{-m} g^{n} h^{m}=\left(g^{-n} h^{-1} g^{n}\right)^{m} h^{m} \in\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle^{+}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. We take elements $a, b, t$ of $G(K)$ as indicated in Figure 4 .


Figure 4. $C[2 p, 2 q](p=2, q=3) ; a, b, t$ are generator of the knot group $G(K)$.

The knot group $G(K)$ of $K=C[2 p, 2 q]$ has a presentation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(K)=\left\langle a, b, t \mid t a^{p} t^{-1}=b^{-1} a^{p}, t b^{-q} a^{-1} t^{-1}=b^{-q}\right\rangle \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(This is the so-called Lin presentation which is obtained by using the Seifert surface. See [20].)

Since the meridian and the longitude are given by $t$ and $\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]$, respectively, $m / n$-surgery adds additional relation $t^{m}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n}=1$. Hence we have:
$\pi_{1}(K(m / n))=\left\langle a, b, t \mid t a^{p} t^{-1}=b^{-1} a^{p}, t b^{-q} a^{-1} t^{-1}=b^{-q}, t^{m}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n}=1\right\rangle$.
From the first and second relations, we have $b=\left[a^{-p}, t^{-1}\right]$ and $a=\left[t, b^{-q}\right]$.
First we prove (1). Assume that $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq(2 n-1) p$. We show $a^{p}, a^{-p} \in$ $\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+}$. This then implies that $1=a^{p} a^{-p} \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+}$, so $t$ is a generalized torsion element.

Since $t a=b^{q} t b^{-q} \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+}$(from the second relation), and $b^{q} t b^{-q} a^{-1}=t \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+}$, Lemma 4.1.(2) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{p} a^{p}, b^{q} t^{p} b^{-q} a^{-p}=\left(b^{q} t b^{-q}\right)^{p}\left(a^{-1}\right)^{p} \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
t^{2 p}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right] & =t^{2 p} b^{-q}\left(b^{q} t^{-p} b^{-q}\right)\left(b^{q} t^{p} b^{-q}\right) a^{-p} b^{q} t^{-p} t^{p} a^{p} \\
& =\left(t^{p} b^{-q}\right)\left(b^{q} t^{p} b^{-q} a^{-p}\right)\left(t^{p} b^{-q}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(t^{p} a^{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $t^{2 p}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right] \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+}$. By Lemma 4.1(2), we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{2(n-1) p}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n-1} \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the third relation for $\pi_{1}(K(m / n))$, we have

$$
t^{m}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n}=t^{m}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n-1}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]=t^{m}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n-1} \cdot b^{-q} a^{-p} b^{q} a^{p}=1
$$

This gives

$$
a^{p}=b^{q} a^{p} t^{m}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n-1} b^{-q} \quad \text { and } \quad a^{-p}=t^{m}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n-1} \cdot b^{-q} a^{-p} b^{q} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{p} & =b^{q} \cdot t^{-p}\left(t^{p} a^{p}\right) t^{m} t^{-2(n-1) p}\left(t^{2(n-1) p}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n-1}\right) b^{-q} \\
& =b^{q}\left(t^{-p}\left(t^{p} a^{p}\right) t^{p} \cdot t^{m-(2 n-1) p} \cdot\left(t^{2(n-1) p}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n-1}\right)\right) b^{-q}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{-p} & =t^{m} \cdot t^{-2(n-1) p}\left(t^{2(n-1) p}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n-1}\right) \cdot b^{-q}\left(b^{q} t^{-p} b^{-q}\right)\left(b^{q} t^{p} b^{-q} a^{-p}\right) b^{q} \\
& =t^{m-2(n-1) p}\left(t^{2(n-1) p}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n-1}\right) t^{-m+2(n-1) p} \cdot t^{m-(2 n-1) p} \cdot b^{-q}\left(b^{q} t^{p} b^{-q} a^{-p}\right) b^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $m-(2 n-1) p \geq 0$, by (4.2), 4.3) we conclude $a^{p}, a^{-p} \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+}$.
Next we prove (2). Assume that $n \geq 1, q>0$ and $m \leq-(2 n-1) q$. we show $b^{q}, b^{-q} \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+}$.

Since $b t=a^{p} t a^{-p} \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+}$(from the first relation) and $b^{-1} a^{p} t a^{-p}=t \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+}$, Lemma 4.1(2) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{q} t^{q}, b^{-q} a^{p} t^{q} a^{-p}=\left(b^{-1}\right)^{q}\left(a^{p} t a^{-p}\right)^{q} \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
t^{2 q}\left[a^{p}, b^{q}\right] & =t^{2 q} a^{-p} b^{-q}\left(a^{p} t^{q} a^{-p}\right)\left(a^{p} t^{-q} a^{-p}\right) a^{p} b^{q} t^{q} t^{-q} \\
& =t^{2 q} a^{-p}\left(b^{-q} a^{p} t^{q} a^{-p}\right) a^{p} t^{-2 q} \cdot t^{q}\left(b^{q} t^{q}\right) t^{-q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $t^{2 q}\left[a^{p}, b^{q}\right] \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+}$. By Lemma 4.1 (2) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{2(n-1) q}\left[a^{p}, b^{q}\right]^{n-1} \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the third relation for $\pi_{1}(K(m / n))$, we have

$$
t^{m}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n}=t^{m}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n-1}=t^{m}\left(b^{-q} a^{-p} b^{q} a^{p}\right)\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n-1}=1 .
$$

This gives

$$
b^{q}=a^{p} b^{q} t^{-m}\left[a^{p}, b^{q}\right]^{n-1} a^{-p} \quad \text { and } \quad b^{-q}=t^{-m}\left[a^{p}, b^{q}\right]^{n-1} a^{-p} b^{-q} a^{p} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{q} & =a^{p}\left(b^{q} t^{q}\right) t^{-q} t^{-m} t^{-2(n-1) q}\left(t^{2(n-1) q}\left[a^{p}, b^{q}\right]^{n-1}\right) a^{-p} \\
& =a^{p}\left(\left(b^{q} t^{q}\right) \cdot t^{-m-(2 n-1) q} \cdot\left(t^{2(n-1) q}\left[a^{p}, b^{q}\right]^{n-1}\right)\right) a^{-p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{-q} & =t^{-m} t^{-2(n-1) q} t^{2(n-1) q}\left[a^{p}, b^{q}\right]^{n-1} a^{-p} b^{-q}\left(a^{p} t^{q} a^{-p}\right)\left(a^{p} t^{-q} a^{-p}\right) a^{p} \\
& =t^{-m-2(n-1) q}\left(t^{2(n-1) q}\left[a^{p}, b^{q}\right]^{n-1}\right) t^{-q} t^{q} a^{-p}\left(b^{-q} a^{p} t^{q} a^{-p}\right) a^{p} t^{-q} \\
& =t^{-m-(2 n-1) q} \cdot t^{q}\left(t^{2(n-1) q}\left[a^{p}, b^{q}\right]^{n-1}\right) t^{-q} \cdot t^{q} a^{-p}\left(b^{-q} a^{p} t^{q} a^{-p}\right) a^{p} t^{-q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $-m-(2 n-1) q \geq 0$, by (4.4), 4.5 we conclude that $b^{q}, b^{-q} \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+}$.
Finally we prove (3). Assume that $n \geq 1, q<0$ and $m \geq-(2 n-1) q$. We show $b^{q}, b^{-q} \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+}$. As in (ii), bt $=a^{p} t a^{-p} \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+}$and $b^{-1} a^{p} t a^{-p}=t \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+}$imply that

$$
b^{-q} t^{-q}, b^{q} a^{p} t^{-q} a^{-p}=\left(b^{-1}\right)^{-q}\left(a^{p} t a^{-p}\right)^{-q} \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right] t^{-2 q} } & =b^{-q} a^{-p}\left(b^{q} a^{p} t^{-q} a^{-p}\right) a^{p} t^{-q} \\
& =\left(b^{-q} t^{-q}\right) \cdot t^{q} a^{-p}\left(b^{q} a^{p} t^{-q} a^{-p}\right) a^{p} t^{-q} \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.1.(2) gives

$$
\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n-1} t^{-2(n-1) q} \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+} .
$$

From the third relation for $\pi_{1}(K(m / n))$, we have

$$
t^{m}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n}=t^{m}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n-1}=t^{m}\left(b^{-q} a^{-p} b^{q} a^{p}\right)\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n-1}=1
$$

This gives

$$
b^{q}=a^{-p} b^{q} a^{p}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n-1} t^{m} \quad \text { and } \quad b^{-q}=a^{p}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n-1} t^{m} b^{-q} a^{-p}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{q} & =a^{-p} b^{q} a^{p} t^{-q} a^{-p} a^{p} t^{q}\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n-1} t^{-2(n-1) q} t^{2(n-1) q} t^{m} \\
& =a^{-p}\left(b^{q} a^{p} t^{-q} a^{-p}\right) a^{p} \cdot t^{q}\left(\left[b^{q}, a^{p}\right]^{n-1} t^{-2(n-1) q}\right) t^{-q} \cdot t^{(2 n-1) q+m},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{-q} & =a^{p}\left(\left[b^{q}, a^{q}\right]^{n-1} t^{-2(n-1) q}\right) t^{2(n-1) q} t^{m} b^{-q} a^{-p} \\
& =a^{p}\left(\left[b^{q}, a^{q}\right]^{n-1} t^{-2(n-1) q} \cdot t^{(2 n-1) q+m} \cdot t^{-q}\left(b^{-q} t^{-q}\right) t^{q}\right) a^{-p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $m+(2 n-1) q \geq 0$, we conclude that $b^{q}, b^{-q} \in\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle^{+}$.

Remark 4.2. The Alexander polynomial of $K=C[2 p, 2 q](p>0)$ is $\Delta_{K}(t)=$ $-(p q) t+(2 p q-1)-(p q) t^{-1}$.

If $q>0$, then all the roots of the $\Delta_{K}(t)$ are positive real. Known bi-orderability criterion [18, 19, 3] says that under additional assumption (such as fiberedness), the knot group $G(K)$ is bi-orderable if all the roots of the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{K}(t)$ are positive real. In a light of this, we expect $G(K)$ is bi-orderable, although this is confirmed only for $p=1$ at present.

On the other hand, if $q<0$, then $\Delta_{K}(t)$ has no positive real roots. By 4, Theorem 3.3] or [10], this shows that $G(K)$ is not bi-orderable. In a light of Conjecture 1.1. $G(K)$ would have a generalized torsion element, but this is confirmed only for $p=1$ again.

## 5. FURTHER EXAMPLES

5.1. Generalized Whitehead doubles. Let us take a standardly embedded solid torus $V$ in $S^{3}$ and a knot $k_{\omega}^{\tau}$ in $V$ as depicted in Figure 5 (Left). Let $f: V \rightarrow S^{3}$ be an orientation preserving embedding such that the core of $f(V)$ is a non-trivial knot $k \subset S^{3}$ and $f$ sends a preferred longitude of $V$ to that of $k$. Then the image $f\left(k_{\omega}^{\tau}\right)$ is called a $\tau$-twisted, $\omega$-generalized Whitehead double of $k$; see Figure 5. If $\omega=-1$, then it is a usual positive Whitehead double of $k$.


Figure 5. $f$ is a faithful embedding of $V$ into $S^{3}$ which sends the longitude of $V$ to that of $k$.

Corollary 5.1. Let $K$ be a $\tau$-twisted, $\omega$-generalized Whitehead double of a knot $k$ $(\omega<0)$. Then $\pi_{1}(K(r))$ has a generalized torsion element whenever $r \geq 2 \omega$.

Proof. As shown in Figure $5, K$ bounds a $(2 \omega, 0)$-singular spanning disk. Apply Theorem 1.4 to obtain the desired result.
5.2. Montesinos knots. A tangle $R=(B, t)$ is a pair of a 3 -ball $B$ (which is the unit 3 -ball in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ ) and two disjoint arcs $t$ properly embedded in $B$. We say that a tangle $(B, t)$ is trivial if there is a pairwise homeomorphism from $(B, t)$ to $\left(D^{2} \times I,\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\} \times I\right)$, where $x_{1}, x_{2}$ are distinct points. Two tangles $(B, t)$ and $\left(B, t^{\prime}\right)$ with $\partial t=\partial t^{\prime}$ are equivalent if there is a pairwise homeomorphism $h:(B, t) \rightarrow\left(B, t^{\prime}\right)$ which is the identity on $\partial B$.

Take 4 points NW, NE, SE, SW on the boundary of $B$ so that NW $=(0,-\alpha, \alpha), \mathrm{NE}=$ $(0, \alpha, \alpha), \mathrm{SE}=(0, \alpha,-\alpha), \mathrm{SW}=(0,-\alpha,-\alpha)$, where $\alpha=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. A tangle $(B, t)$
$(\partial t=\{\mathrm{NW}, \mathrm{NE}, \mathrm{SE}, \mathrm{SW}\})$ is rational if it is a trivial tangle. Any rational tangle can be constructed from a sequence of integers $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ as shown in Figure 6 , where only the last horizontal twist $a_{n}$ may be 0 . We denote the resulting tangle by $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$. We say that a rational tangle denoted by $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ is odd type (resp. even type) if $n$ is odd (resp. even).

A Montesinos knot $M\left(R_{1}, \ldots, R_{m}\right)$ is a knot which has a diagram in Figure 7 (Top-left), where $R_{i}$ is a rational tangle $\left[a_{i, 1}, a_{i, 2}, \ldots, a_{i, n_{i}}\right]$.


Figure 6. Rational tangle $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$

$R_{m}=[-4,4]$

Figure 7. Montesinos knot with ( $p, 0$ )-singular spanning disk
We say that a Montesinos knot $K=M\left(R_{1}, \ldots, R_{m}\right)$ satisfies the Condition (*) if
(1) $R_{1}, \cdots, R_{m-1}$ are odd type rational tangles and $R_{m}$ is an even type rational tangle,
(2) for each odd type rational tangle $R_{i}=\left[a_{i, 1}, a_{i, 2}, \ldots, a_{i, n_{i}}\right](1 \leq i \leq m-1)$, $a_{i, j}$ is even and $a_{i, \text { even }}<0$ (Bottom-left of Figure 7), and
(3) for the even type rational tangle $R_{m}=\left[a_{m, 1}, a_{m, 2}, \ldots, a_{m, n_{m}}\right], a_{m, \text { odd }}$ is positive even and $a_{m, \text { even }}$ is odd (Top-right of Figure 7), or $a_{m, \text { odd }}$ is negative even and $a_{m, \text { even }}$ is even (Bottom-right of Figure 7).
Put

$$
c(K)=c\left(M\left(R_{1}, \ldots, R_{m}\right)\right)=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m-1} a_{i, \text { even }}+\sum\left|a_{m, \text { odd }}\right|
$$

Corollary 5.2. Let $K=M\left(R_{1}, \ldots, R_{m}\right)$ be a Montesinos knot which satisfies the Condition $(*)$. Then $\pi_{1}(K(r))$ has a generalized torsion whenever $r \geq c(K)$.

Proof. Following Figure 7, we see that $K$ bounds a $(c(K), 0)$-coherent clasp disk.
5.3. Positive knots, almost positive knots and thier slight generalization. A knot is said to be positive (resp. almost positive) if it admits a diagram whose crossings are all positive (resp. all positive except one). More generally, we can handle a knot which admits a diagram whose negative crossings appear successively along a single overarc as in Figure 8. If $k=0$ (resp. 1), the diagram $D$ is a positive (resp. almost positive) diagram.


Figure 8. Diagram $D$ has successive $k$ negative crossings and $p-k$ positive crossings.

Theorem 5.3. Let $K$ be a knot which admits a diagram $D$ with $p$ crossings and $k$ negative crossings that appear successively along an overarc. If $r \geq p-k$, then $\pi_{1}(K(r))$ has a generalized torsion element.

Proof. In $D$, assign the meridian generators $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{d}$ of $G(K)$ for the overarcs along the knot. Here, we choose $t_{1}$ for the overarc running over $k$ successive negative crossings. Note that any $t_{i}(i \neq 1)$ is a conjugate of $t_{1}$ in $G(K)$. Then if we traverse the longitude $\lambda$ from the overarc running over the negative crossings, then

$$
\lambda=t_{1}^{-(p-2 k)} \cdot t_{i(1)} \cdots t_{i\left(s_{1}\right)}^{-1} \cdots t_{i\left(s_{2}\right)}^{-1} \cdots t_{i\left(s_{k}\right)}^{-1} \cdots t_{i(d)},
$$

where $i\left(s_{j}\right)=1(j=1,2, \ldots, k)$ and the others are not equal to 1 . We should remark that the writhe of $D$ is $p-2 k$.

We may rewrite

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda & =t_{1}^{-(p-2 k)} \cdot U_{1} t_{1}^{-1} U_{2} t_{1}^{-1} \cdots U_{k} t_{1}^{-1} \cdot V \\
& =t_{1}^{-(p-k)}\left(t_{1}^{k} U_{1} t_{1}^{-k}\right)\left(t_{1}^{k-1} U_{2} t_{1}^{-(k-1)}\right) \cdots\left(t_{1}^{2} U_{k-1} t_{1}^{-2}\right)\left(t_{1} U_{k} t_{1}^{-1}\right) \cdot V \\
& =t_{1}^{-(p-k)} W
\end{aligned}
$$

where $U_{i}, V$ and $W$ are products of conjugates of $t_{1}$.
In $\pi_{1}(K(m / n))$, the surgery relation is $t_{1}^{m} \lambda^{n}=1$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{1}^{m} \lambda^{n}= & t_{1}^{m}\left(t_{1}^{-(p-k)} W\right)^{n} \\
= & t_{1}^{m} \cdot t_{1}^{-n(p-k)}\left(t_{1}^{(n-1)(p-k)} W t_{1}^{-(n-1)(p-k)}\right) \cdots \\
& \quad\left(t_{1}^{2(p-k)} W t_{1}^{-2(p-k)}\right)\left(t_{1}^{p-k} W t_{1}^{-(p-k)}\right) W \\
= & t_{1}^{m-n(p-k)}\left(t_{1}^{(n-1)(p-k)} W t_{1}^{-(n-1)(p-k)}\right) \cdots \\
& \quad\left(t_{1}^{2(p-k)} W t_{1}^{-2(p-k)}\right)\left(t_{1}^{p-k} W t_{1}^{-(p-k)}\right) W
\end{aligned}
$$

the meridian $t_{1}$ gives a generalized torsion element if $m-n(p-k) \geq 0$.
The special case where $k=0$ or 1 of Theorem 5.3 immediately gives the following.
Corollary 5.4. Let $K$ be a positive resp.analmostpositive knot in $S^{3}$ with positive resp.almostpositive diagram $D$. Let $p$ be the number of crossings of $D$. Then $\pi_{1}(K(r))$ admits a generalized torsion element whenever $r \geq p$ (resp. $r \geq p-1$ ).

Remark 5.5. For positive knots, we have another way to give the same result. Suppose that $K$ is embedded in the boundary of a 3 -ball $B^{3}$ except $p$ over arcs. Let $\infty$ is the center of $B^{3}$ and take a singular disk $D$ with center $P$ and $\partial D=K$. Since $K$ is positive, $D$ is a $(p, 0)$-singular spanning disk and Theorem 1.4 gives the desired conclusion. We should remark that this singular disk is not a clasp disk, because it has a branch point $P$.


Figure 9. Cone of positive diagram yields a $(p, 0)$-singular spanning disk of $K$

## 6. Questions

As we mentioned in Section 1, there are two kinds of generalized torsion elements of $\pi_{1}(K(r))$ :

- A generalized torsion element which is the image of a generalized torsion element of $G(K)$
- A generalized torsion element which is the image of a non-generalized torsion element of $G(K)$
For the first kind of generalized torsion elements, a generalized torsion element of $G(K)$ always belongs to the commutator subgroup, so it always vanishes in $\pi_{1}(K(r))$ for a cyclic surgery slope $r$. However, the proof of Theorem 1.3.(1) shows that for a torus knot $K=T_{p, q}, g=[x, y] \in[G(K), G(K)] \subset G(K)$ is a generalized torsion element, which becomes a generalized torsion element in $\pi_{1}(K(r))$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ except cyclic surgery slopes. This raises the following question;
Question 6.1. Let $K$ be a non-trivial knot such that $G(K)$ has a generalized torsion element $g$. Does $g$ remain a generalized torsion element in $\pi_{1}(K(r))$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ except cyclic surgery slopes?

Note that if $K$ admits a cyclic surgery, then $K$ is an L-space knot, and hence $G(K)$ is not bi-orderable [5]. So following our conjecture we anticipate such a knot $K$ admits a generalized torsion element.

For the second kind of generalized torsion elements, both Theorems 1.4 and 1.6 tell us that the image of a meridian becomes a generalized torsion element in $\pi_{1}(K(r))$ under suitable assumptions.

Since the abelianization $H_{1}(G(K) ; \mathbb{Z})=H_{1}(E(K) ; \mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}$ is torsion-free, any generalized torsion element of $G(K)$ is homologically trivial. Hence a meridian, the generator of $H_{1}(E(K) ; \mathbb{Z})$, cannot be a generalized torsion element in $G(K)$. It is quite interesting to ask the following.

Question 6.2. Let $K$ be a non-trivial knot in $S^{3}$. Then is the image of a meridian $\mu$ a generalized torsion element in $\pi_{1}(K(r))$ if $r \neq 0, \infty$ ? In particular, does this hold if $|r|$ is sufficiently large?

Question 6.3. Let $K$ be a non-trivial knot in $S^{3}$. Then does there exist a nontrivial element $g \in G(K)$ which satisfies (1) $g$ is homologically trivial, but not a generalized torsion element in $G(K)$, and (2) $g$ becomes a generalized torsion element in $\pi_{1}(K(r))$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ ?
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