

CLASSIFICATION RESULTS FOR THREE-DIMENSIONAL (PARA)CONTACT METRIC AND ALMOST (PARA)COSYMPLECTIC (κ, μ) -SPACES.

PIOTR DACKO

ABSTRACT. It is provided an overview of existed results concerning classification of contact metric, almost cosymplectic and almost Kenmotsu (κ, μ) -manifolds. In the case of dimension three it is described in full details structure of contact metric or almost cosymplectic (κ, μ) -spaces. The second part of the paper addresses three-dimensional paracontact metric and almost paracosymplectic (κ, μ) -spaces. There is obtained local classification of paracontact metric (κ, μ) -spaces, and almost paracosymplectic (κ, μ) -spaces, for every possible value of κ .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper there are studied almost contact metric and almost paracontact metric three-dimensional (κ, μ) -manifolds. In particular contact metric manifold or almost cosymplectic (κ, μ) -space can be realized as three-dimensional unimodular Lie group equipped with left-invariant almost contact metric structure. As these manifolds are already classified the paper is an overview of existing result in case of dimension three. Novelty of our approach is, that there is created on \mathbb{R}^3 , smooth one-parameter family of almost contact metric structures, such that for particular value of parameter \mathbb{R}^3 turns into contact metric (κ, μ) -space of almost cosymplectic (κ, μ) -space. From other hand every such manifold is locally isometric to unique simply connected connected Lie group equipped with left-invariant almost contact metric structure.

The case of paracontact metric or almost paracosymplectic (κ, μ) -spaces is more challenging. Still up to the author knowledge there is no full classification of such manifolds. For the literature on the subject see [8], [10], [11].

The reason to provide detailed study of three-dimensional manifolds is connected to possible decomposition theorem. Idea is that every higher dimensional (κ, μ) -spaces, is constructed from three dimensional (κ, μ) -space. Conjecturing there is reverse decomposition classification of three-dimensional (κ, μ) -spaces is enough to provide local classification in all dimensions.

As almost contact metric and almost paracontact metric manifolds share some similarities it seems to be interesting to mix these classes. In the sense to consider pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with ϕ -4 structure: $\phi^4 = Id - \eta \otimes \xi$. Such manifold is equipped with corresponding fundamental form and usual classes can be defined: contact metric with pseudo-metric, almost cosymplectic with pseudo-metric, etc. For example we can equip odd-dimensional Lorentzian manifold with structure of contact metric manifold with Lorentzian metric.

2. PRELIMINARIES

All manifolds considered in the paper are smooth, connected without boundary. Tensor fields on smooth manifold are assumed to be smooth. If not otherwise stated letters X, Y, Z, \dots denote vector fields.

2.1. Almost contact metric manifolds. Let \mathcal{M} be $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold, $n \geq 1$. Almost contact metric structure is a quadruple of tensor fields (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , where ϕ is $(1, 1)$ -tensor field, ξ is a characteristic (or Reeb) vector field, η a chracteristic 1-form and g - a Riemannian metric. By definition

$$(2.1) \quad \phi^2 = -Id + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1,$$

$$(2.2) \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

Tensor field $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$ is skew-symmetric, $\Phi(X, Y) + \Phi(Y, X) = 0$. It determines a 2-form on \mathcal{M} . called fundamental form. From definition of Φ , there is $\eta \wedge \Phi^n \neq 0$, at every point of \mathcal{M} . Manifold equipped with some fixed almost contact metric structure is called almost contact metric manifold.

Denote by N_S Nijenhuis torsion of a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field S

$$N_S(X, Y) = S^2[X, Y] + [SX, SY] - S([SX, Y] + [X, SY]).$$

Almost contact metric manifold \mathcal{M} is called normal if $N_\phi + 2 d\eta \otimes \xi = 0$. Normality is equivalent to the existence of complex structure on the product $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{M} \times S^1$, with the circle.

Almost contact metric manifold \mathcal{M} is called contact metric if $d\eta = \Phi$, almost cosymplectic (or almost coKähler) if $d\eta = 0$, $d\Phi = 0$, almost Kenmotsu if $d\eta = 0$, $d\Phi = 2\eta \wedge \Phi$. Assuming normality we obtain Sasakian (contact metric and normal), cosymplectic (or coKähler) and Kenmotsu manifolds. Almost contact metric manifold with maximal isometry group is locally isometric either to Sasakian manifold of constant sectional curvature $c = +1$, Kenmotsu manifold of constant sectional curvature $c = -1$, or cosymplectic manifold of constant sectional curvature $c = 0$. General literature on the subject can be found eg. in [4], [12], [16], [24], [33], [35], [36].

Let ∇ denote Levi-Civita connection of the metric, $R(X, Y) = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z$, curvature of ∇ . Define $h = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi\phi$. Let κ, μ , be real constants. Almost contact metric manifold is called (κ, μ) -space if

$$(2.3) \quad R(X, Y)\xi = \kappa(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) + \mu(\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY).$$

Note if $h = 0$ it is not possible to determine μ . But condition is still formally valid for any possible value of μ . Ambiguity also arrives if

$$(2.4) \quad R(X, Y)\xi = \kappa(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y),$$

for example in case $\mu = 0$.

We denote $\mathcal{D} = \{\eta = 0\}$, kernel distribution of characteristic form. \mathcal{D} -homothety is deformation of almost contact metric structure, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &\mapsto \phi' = \phi, & \xi &\mapsto \xi' = \alpha^{-1}\xi, & \eta &\mapsto \eta' = \alpha\eta, \\ g &\mapsto g' = \alpha g + \alpha(\alpha - 1)\eta \otimes \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 (Blair, Koufogiorgos, Papantoniou, 1995, [5]). *Let \mathcal{M} be contact metric (κ, μ) -manifold. Then $\kappa \leq 1$. The following relations hold*

$$(2.5) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = g(X, Y + hY)\xi - \eta(Y)(X + hX),$$

$$(2.6) \quad (\nabla_X h)Y = ((1 - \kappa)g(X, \phi Y) - g(X, \phi hY))\xi - \eta(Y)((1 - \kappa)\phi X + \phi hX) - \mu\eta(X)\phi hY.$$

For contact metric non-Sasakian (κ, μ) -space \mathcal{M} , let define

$$(2.7) \quad I_{\mathcal{M}} = (1 - \mu/2)/\sqrt{1 - \kappa},$$

$I_{\mathcal{M}}$ is called Boeckx invariant.

Theorem 2 (Boeckx, 2000, [6]). *Let \mathcal{M}_i , $i = 1, 2$, be two non-Sasakian (κ_i, μ_i) -spaces of the same dimension. Then $I_{\mathcal{M}_1} = I_{\mathcal{M}_2}$ if and only if, up to \mathcal{D} -homothetic transformation, the two spaces are locally isometric as contact metric spaces. In particular, if both spaces are simply connected and complete, they are globally isometric up to \mathcal{D} -homothetic transformation.*

The cited result is base for classification of non-Sasakian contact metric (κ, μ) -manifolds. It is enough to provide an example of manifold \mathcal{M} , for every allowable value I of Boeckx invariant, such that $I_{\mathcal{M}} = I$.

For almost cosymplectic manifold distribution $\{\eta = 0\}$ is completely integrable. Therefore it determines canonical foliation of the manifold. Let \mathcal{F} denote a leaf passing through some point $\in \mathcal{M}$. Then \mathcal{F} inherits structure of almost Kähler manifold. Assuming structure is Kähler for every leaf manifold is called almost cosymplectic with Kähler leaves.

Theorem 3 (Olszak, 1987, [38]). *Define $A = -\nabla\xi$. Almost cosymplectic manifold has Kählerian leaves if and only if*

$$(2.8) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = -g(\phi AX, Y) + \eta(Y)\phi AX.$$

Theorem 4 (Dacko, Olszak, 2005, [22]). *Let \mathcal{M} be non-cosymplectic almost cosymplectic (κ, μ) -manifold. Then $\kappa \leq 0$. If $\kappa = 0$, \mathcal{M} is locally isometric to product of real line and almost Kähler manifold. For $\kappa < 0$, \mathcal{M} has Kähler leaves and each leaf is locally flat Kähler manifold. There is following identity*

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi \phi = 2h, \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi h = -2\kappa\phi - \mu\phi h, \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi(\phi h) = \mu h,$$

The theorem allows to classify, by analytic solution, almost cosymplectic (κ, μ) -manifolds in terms of so-called models. For every $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ there is almost cosymplectic $(-1, \mu)$ -manifold - called model - and every other (κ, μ) -manifold is locally isometric up to \mathcal{D} -homothety to particular model [23]. The value $\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{-\kappa}}$, $\kappa < 0$ is \mathcal{D} -homothety invariant. We set $C_{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{-\mu/2}{\sqrt{-\kappa}}$, $\kappa < 0$ and call $C_{\mathcal{M}}$ Dacko-Olszak invariant of almost cosymplectic (κ, μ) -manifold.

For almost Kenmotsu manifolds there are following basic results.

Theorem 5 (Dileo, Pastore, 2009). *Let \mathcal{M} be almost Kenmotsu (κ, μ) -manifold. Then $\kappa = -1$, $h = 0$ and \mathcal{M} is locally warped product of an almost Kähler manifold and open interval. If \mathcal{M} is locally symmetric then \mathcal{M} is locally isometric to the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}(-1)$ of constant sectional curvature -1 .*

Theorem 6 (Dileo, Pastore, 2009). *Let \mathcal{M} be almost Kenmotsu manifold such that $h \neq 0$ and*

$$(2.10) \quad R(X, Y)\xi = \kappa(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) + \mu(\eta(Y)h\phi X - \eta(X)h\phi Y),$$

then \mathcal{M} is locally isomeric to warped products

$$(2.11) \quad \mathbb{H}^{n+1}(\kappa - 2\lambda) \times_f \mathbb{R}^n, \quad B^{n+1}(\kappa + 2\lambda) \times_{f'} \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $H^{n+1}(\kappa - 2\lambda)$ is the hyperbolic space of constant sectional curvature $\kappa - 2\lambda < -1$, $B^{n+1}(\kappa + 2\lambda)$ is a space of constant sectional curvature $\kappa + 2\lambda \leq 0$, $f = ce^{(1-\lambda)t}$, $f' = c'e^{(1+\lambda)t}$, $\lambda = \sqrt{1 + \kappa}$.

It is known that almost Kenmotsu manifold as Riemannian manifold is locally conformal to almost cosymplectic manifold. For this point of view see [31], [38]. In [40] authors study generalized nullity distribution on almost Kenmotsu manifold.

Curvature properties of more general class of almost cosymplectic and almost Kenmotsu so-called (κ, μ, ν) -manifolds are studied in [13], [22].

2.2. Almost paracontact metric manifolds. Almost paracontact metric structure on $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} , $n \geq 1$, is a quadruple of tensor fields (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , where ϕ is an affinor, ξ characteristic vector field¹, 1-form η and pseudo-Riemannian metric g of signature $(n + 1, n)$. It is assumed that

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi^2 &= Id - \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \\ g(\phi X, \phi Y) &= -g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y). \end{aligned}$$

The immediate consequences of the definition are that tensor field ϕ - viewed as linear map on tangent space - has three eigenvalues $(0, -1, 1)$ of multiplicities $(1, n, n)$. Eigendistributions $p \mapsto \mathcal{V}_p^{-1}$, $p \mapsto \mathcal{V}_p^{+1}$ are totally isotropic, $g(\mathcal{V}^-, \mathcal{V}^-) = 0$, $g(\mathcal{V}^+, \mathcal{V}^+) = 0$. Tensor field $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$ is fundamental 2-form, $\eta \wedge \Phi^n \neq 0$ everywhere. Manifold \mathcal{M} equipped with fixed almost paracontact metric structure is called almost paracontact metric manifold.

Let \mathcal{M} be an almost paracontact metric manifold. \mathcal{M} is said to be:

(1) normal if

$$N_\phi - 2d\eta \otimes \xi = 0;$$

(2) paracontact metric if

$$d\eta = \Phi;$$

(3) almost paracosymplectic if

$$d\eta = 0, \quad d\Phi = 0;$$

(4) almost para-Kenmotsu if

$$d\eta = 0, \quad d\Phi = 2\eta \wedge \Phi.$$

Let define $h = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi \phi$. Applying derivative \mathcal{L}_ξ to both sides of identity $\phi^2 = Id - \eta \otimes \xi$, we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad h\phi + \phi h = -\frac{1}{2}(\xi \lrcorner d\eta) \otimes \xi,$$

¹We will use also term Reeb vector field

therefore h and ϕ anti-commute if and only if $\xi \lrcorner d\eta = 0$. For all mentioned above classes of manifolds - normal etc., the condition $\xi \lrcorner d\eta = 0$ is satisfied. Therefore for all above classes we have

$$h\phi + \phi h = 0.$$

Let denote by ∇ the Levi-Civita connection of \mathcal{M} , $R_{XY}Z = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z$, curvature operator of ∇ . Almost paracontact metric manifold is called (κ, μ) -space if its curvature satisfies

$$R_{XY}Z = \kappa(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) + \mu(\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY), \quad \kappa, \mu \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In similar as for almost contact metric manifold there is introduced notion of \mathcal{D} -homothety of almost paracontact metric manifold.

Let \mathcal{M} be $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional almost paracontact metric manifold. A local frame of vector fields $\xi, E_i, E_{i+n}, i = 1, \dots, n$, is called Artin frame if

$$(2.14) \quad \phi E_i = E_i, \quad \phi E_{i+n} = -E_{i+n}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$(2.15) \quad g(\xi, E_i) = g(\xi, E_{i+n}) = 0, \quad g(E_i, E_{i+n}) = 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Gauge of local Artin frame is deformation of Artin into another Artin frame defined by

$$(2.16) \quad E_i \mapsto E'_i = f_i E_i, \quad E_{i+n} \mapsto E'_{i+n} = f_i^{-1} E_{i+n}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where f_1, \dots, f_n is a family of locally defined function, non-zero everywhere on domain of their definition.

2.3. Three dimensional connected simply connected Lie groups. Curvature of arbitrary left-invariant Riemannian metric on 3-dimensional Lie group was described in simple and intuitive way by John Milnor in his paper [34]. In particular for unimodular groups there is

Theorem 7 (J. Milnor [34]). *Let \mathcal{G} be 3-dimensional unimodular Lie group, equipped with left-invariant Riemannian metric. There is orthonormal frame (e_1, e_2, e_3) of left-invariant vector fields and constants $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$, such that*

$$(2.17) \quad [e_2, e_3] = \lambda_1 e_1, \quad [e_3, e_1] = \lambda_2 e_2, \quad [e_1, e_2] = \lambda_3 e_3.$$

Signs of λ_i ² up to the order determine \mathcal{G} uniquely if \mathcal{G} is connected and simply connected. Define μ_1, μ_2, μ_3

$$(2.18) \quad \mu_i = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3) - \lambda_i.$$

The orthonormal base (e_1, e_2, e_3) diagonalizes Ricci quadratic form, the principal Ricci curvatures $r_i = r(e_i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, being given by

$$(2.19) \quad r_1 = 2\mu_2\mu_3, \quad r_2 = 2\mu_1\mu_3, \quad r_3 = 2\mu_1\mu_2.$$

Let $v \times w$ be vector product determined by $e_1 \times e_2 = e_3, e_2 \times e_3 = e_1, e_3 \times e_1 = e_2$. On Lie algebra connection maps are given by $x \mapsto \nabla_{e_i} x = \mu_i(e_i \times x)$.

²The base change $e_i \mapsto -e_i$ follows $\lambda_i \mapsto -\lambda_i$.

3. THREE-DIMENSIONAL CONTACT METRIC AND ALMOST COSYMPLECTIC (κ, μ) -MANIFOLDS

Let (ϕ, ξ, η, g) be three-dimensional left-invariant almost paracontact metric structure on unimodular Lie group \mathcal{G} . We assume there is an orthonormal frame of left-invariant vector fields (ξ, E_1, E_2) , such that $\phi E_1 = E_2$ and commutators are given by

$$(3.1) \quad [E_1, E_2] = 2k\xi, \quad [E_2, \xi] = -(\lambda + c)E_1, \quad [\xi, E_1] = (\lambda - c)E_2,$$

k, λ, c are some constants.

We define 2×2 -matrix $A = ad_\xi|_{\{E_1, E_2\}}$.

Proposition 1. *Let matrix A be non-nilpotent. The almost contact metric manifold \mathcal{M} is $(k^2 - \lambda^2, 2(k + c))$ -space, ie.*

$$(3.2) \quad R_{XY}\xi = (k^2 - \lambda^2)(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) + 2(k + c)(\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY).$$

Proof. We employ Milnor's method ³ to find Levi-Cvita connection coefficients and then directly compute:

$$(3.3) \quad R_{E_1\xi}\xi = (k^2 - \lambda^2 + 2\lambda(k + c))E_1, \quad R_{E_2\xi}\xi = (k^2 - \lambda^2 - 2\lambda(k + c))E_2,$$

$$(3.4) \quad R_{E_1E_2}\xi = 0,$$

from (3.4) it follows that

$$(3.5) \quad R_{XY}\xi = \eta(Y)R_{X\xi}\xi - \eta(X)R_{Y\xi}\xi,$$

Jacobi operator $J_\xi X = R_{X\xi}\xi$ by the first set of identities has decomposition

$$(3.6) \quad J_\xi X = (k^2 - \lambda^2)(Id - \eta(X)\xi) + 2(k + c)h,$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} R_{XY}\xi &= \eta(Y)R_{X\xi}\xi - \eta(X)R_{Y\xi}\xi = (k^2 - \lambda^2)(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) + \\ &\quad 2(k + c)(\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY). \end{aligned}$$

□

Following Milnor's paper we obtain

Proposition 2. *Principal Ricci curvatures of \mathcal{M} are given by*

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} r_1 &= Ric(\xi, \xi) = 2(k^2 - \lambda^2), \quad r_2 = Ric(E_1, E_1) = -2(k + c)(k - \lambda), \\ r_3 &= Ric(E_2, E_2) = -2(k + c)(k + \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

scalar curvature

$$(3.8) \quad s = \sum r_i = -2(k^2 + \lambda^2) - 4kc.$$

Remark 1 (Classification - contact metric case, $|I_{\mathcal{M}}| \neq 1$). *Let $k = 1$. Then \mathcal{M} is contact metric (κ, μ) -manifold with $\kappa = 1 - \lambda^2$, $\mu = 2(1 + c)$. Boeckx invariant $|I_{\mathcal{M}}| \neq 1$. ⁴ Resolving these equations $\lambda = \sqrt{1 - \kappa}$, $\frac{\mu}{2} = c + 1$, we obtain explicit form*

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} [E_1, E_2] &= 2\xi, \quad [E_2, \xi] = -(\sqrt{1 - \kappa} + \mu/2 - 1)E_1, \\ [\xi, E_1] &= (\sqrt{1 - \kappa} - \mu/2 + 1)E_2, \end{aligned}$$

³Mentioned earlier local isometry with Lie group justifies use of Milnor's theorem.

⁴ $|I_{\mathcal{M}}| = 1$ implies $\lambda^2 - c^2 = 0$ which contradicts assumptions of the Proposition ??.

In terms of Boeckx invariant for non-Sasakian manifolds resp. coefficients can be expressed as

$$(3.10) \quad (I_{\mathcal{M}} - 1)\sqrt{1 - \kappa}, \quad (I_{\mathcal{M}} + 1)\sqrt{1 - \kappa}.$$

By 3.7, for non-Sasakian manifold we have

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} r_1 &= Ric(\xi, \xi) = 2\kappa, & r_2 &= Ric(E_1, E_1) = -\mu(1 - \sqrt{1 - \kappa}), \\ r_3 &= Ric(E_2, E_2) = -\mu(1 + \sqrt{1 - \kappa}), \end{aligned}$$

scalar curvature

$$(3.12) \quad s = r_1 + r_2 + r_3 = 2(\kappa - \mu).$$

For example $Ric > 0$ if and only if $0 < \kappa < 1$, $\mu < 0$. In this case manifold is compact with compact covering. By list below universal cover is \mathbb{S}^3 .

Remark 2 (Classification - almost cosymplectic case, $|C_{\mathcal{M}}| \neq 1$). Let $k = 0$. The manifold \mathcal{M} is almost cosymplectic with $|C_{\mathcal{M}}| \neq 1$. We find $\lambda = \sqrt{-\kappa}$, $\mu = 2c$, explicit form

$$(3.13) \quad [E_1, E_2] = 0, \quad [E_2, \xi] = -(\sqrt{-\kappa} + \mu/2)E_1, \quad [\xi, E_1] = (\sqrt{-\kappa} - \mu/2)E_2,$$

In terms of Dacko-Olszak invariant $C_{\mathcal{M}}$ corresponding coefficients are given by

$$(3.14) \quad (C_{\mathcal{M}} - 1)\sqrt{-\kappa}, \quad (C_{\mathcal{M}} + 1)\sqrt{-\kappa},$$

By (3.7) principal curvatures of Ricci tensor being given by

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} r_1 &= Ric(\xi, \xi) = 2\kappa, & r_2 &= Ric(E_1, E_1) = \mu\sqrt{-\kappa}, \\ r_3 &= Ric(E_2, E_2) = -\mu\sqrt{-\kappa}, \end{aligned}$$

scalar curvature

$$(3.16) \quad s = r_1 + r_2 + r_3 = 2\kappa.$$

For example we easily see that there are only two possibilities for signature of Ricci tensor in case manifold is non-cosymplectic: $(-1, 0, 0)$ or $(-1, -1, +1)$.

Case A nilpotent is more complex, as there is no symmetry between conditions $\lambda - c = 0$ and $\lambda + c = 0$.

Proposition 3. Let A be nilpotent. If $\lambda - c = 0$ the commutators of ξ , E_1 , E_2 are given by

$$(3.17) \quad [E_1, E_2] = 2k\xi, \quad [E_2, \xi] = -2\lambda E_1, \quad [\xi, E_1] = 0.$$

If $\lambda + c = 0$ they are given by

$$(3.18) \quad [E_1, E_2] = 2k\xi, \quad [E_2, \xi] = 0, \quad [\xi, E_1] = 2\lambda E_2.$$

Proposition 4. Let A be nilpotent. In case $\lambda - c = 0$, the manifold \mathcal{M} is almost contact metric $(k^2 - \lambda^2, 2(k + \lambda))$ -space. In case $\lambda + c = 0$, \mathcal{M} is $(k^2 - \lambda^2, 2(k - \lambda))$ -space.

Proof. Proof goes on in the same way as the proof of **Proposition 1**. \square

Corollary 1 (Contact metric structure, $I_{\mathcal{M}} = -1$). In the Proposition above, for $k = 1$, $\lambda - c = 0$, \mathcal{M} is contact metric manifold with Boeckx invariant $I_{\mathcal{M}} = -1$. Lie algebra of vector fields (ξ, E_1, E_2) is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields on the Lie groups of rigid motions of hyperbolic plane ⁵ $E(1, 1)$.

⁵Plane with indefinite flat pseudo-metric

Corollary 2 (Contact metric structure, $I_{\mathcal{M}} = 1$). *In the case $k = 1$, $\lambda + c = 0$, \mathcal{M} is contact metric manifold with Boeckx invariant $I_{\mathcal{M}} = 1$. Lie algebra of vector fields (ξ, E_1, E_2) is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields on the Lie group of rigid motions of Euclidean plane $E(2)$.*

Almost cosymplectic case is less troublesome, setting $k = 0$ in (3.17) or in (3.18) we obtain Lie algebra of 3-dimensional Heisenberg Lie group. The respective almost cosymplectic manifolds are (κ, μ) -manifolds with Dacko-Olszak invariant $C_{\mathcal{M}} = -1$ in the case (3.18) or $C_{\mathcal{M}} = 1$ for (3.18).

4. THREE-DIMENSIONAL ALMOST PARACONTACT METRIC (κ, μ) -MANIFOLDS

4.1. Family of examples of almost paracontact metric (κ, μ) -spaces. We study particular class of left-invariant almost paracontact metric structures on Lie groups. Let \mathcal{G} be connected 3-dimensional Lie group and (ϕ, ξ, η, g) left-invariant structure on \mathcal{G} . Let (ξ, E_1, E_2) be an Artin frame of left-invariant vector fields on \mathcal{G} . Lie algebra of \mathcal{G} is given by

$$(4.1) \quad [E_1, E_2] = p_1 E_1 + p_2 E_2 + 2u\xi,$$

$$(4.2) \quad [\xi, E_1] = aE_1 + bE_2, \quad [\xi, E_2] = cE_1 + dE_2.$$

Set $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$, $p = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix}$, I - identity 2×2 -matrix. Jacobi identity requires

$$(4.3) \quad u(a + d) = 0, \quad (A - (a + d)I)p = 0$$

In what will follow if not otherwise explicitly stated we assume $p_1 = p_2 = 0$, $a + d = 0$.

Proposition 5. *Levi-Civita connection coefficients are given by*

$$(4.4) \quad \nabla_{\xi}\xi = 0, \quad \nabla_{\xi}E_1 = l_3E_1, \quad \nabla_{\xi}E_2 = -l_3E_2,$$

$$(4.5) \quad \nabla_{E_1}\xi = -k_1E_1 - k_2E_2, \quad \nabla_{E_1}E_1 = k_2\xi, \quad \nabla_{E_1}E_2 = k_1\xi,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \nabla_{E_2}\xi = -m_1E_1 - m_2E_2, \quad \nabla_{E_2}E_1 = m_2\xi, \quad \nabla_{E_2}E_2 = m_1\xi.$$

Proof. For example $L(X, Y) = g(\nabla_{\xi}X, Y)$ is skew-symmetric tensor, therefore matrix of coefficients is just common skew-symmetric 3×3 -matrix

$$(4.7) \quad [L] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -l_1 & -l_2 \\ l_1 & 0 & -l_3 \\ l_2 & l_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that matrix of coefficients of ∇_{ξ} , is obtained by switching two last rows in $[L]$. Due to constraints coming from Lie algebra structure there must be $l_1 = l_2 = 0$. And we apply the same procedure to ∇_{E_i} , $i = 1, 2$. \square

As connection is torsion-less we have following relations between connection coefficients and Lie algebra structure constants

$$(4.8) \quad a = l_3 + k_1 = l_3 - m_2, \quad b = k_2, \quad c = m_1, \quad k_1 = -m_2 \quad u = k_1 = -m_2.$$

Jacobi operator J_{ξ} associated to the vector field ξ is defined by $X \mapsto J_{\xi}X = R_{X\xi}\xi$, R stands for curvature operator $R_{XY}Z = \nabla_X\nabla_YZ - \nabla_Y\nabla_XZ - \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z$.

Proposition 6. *The curvature $R_{XY}\xi$, is determined by Jacobi operator J_{ξ}*

$$(4.9) \quad R_{XY}\xi = \eta(Y)J_{\xi}X - \eta(X)J_{\xi}Y.$$

Proof. It is enough to show that $R_{E_1 E_2} \xi = 0$. So

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{E_1 E_2} \xi &= \nabla_{E_1} \nabla_{E_2} \xi - \nabla_{E_2} \nabla_{E_1} \xi - \nabla_{[E_1, E_2]} \xi = \\ &= -m_1 \nabla_{E_1} E_1 - m_2 \nabla_{E_1} E_2 + k_1 \nabla_{E_2} E_1 + k_2 \nabla_{E_2} E_2 = \\ &= -(m_1 k_2 + m_2 k_1) \xi + (m_1 k_2 + m_2 k_1) \xi = 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have used $\nabla_{[E_1, E_2]} \xi = u \nabla_\xi \xi = 0$. \square

If Jacobi operator can be decomposed into $J_\xi = \kappa Id + \mu h$, then \mathcal{G} equipped with such structure became almost paracontact metric (κ, μ) -space. Jacobi operator is symmetric in the sense that $g(J_\xi X, Y) = g(X, J_\xi Y)$. Possible non-zero coefficients are $a_{ij} = g(J_\xi E_i, E_j)$, $i, j = 1, 2$, $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$. Therefore

$$(4.11) \quad (g(J_\xi E_i, E_j)) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

$a_{12} = a_{21} = \kappa$ and

$$(4.12) \quad J_\xi E_1 = \kappa E_1 + a_{11} E_2, \quad J_\xi E_2 = a_{22} E_1 + \kappa E_2,$$

For local components of $h = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_\xi \phi$, we have

$$(4.13) \quad h\xi = 0, \quad hE_1 = k_2 E_2, \quad hE_2 = -m_1 E_1.$$

Manifold is (κ, μ) -manifold, iff $a_{11} = \mu k_2$ and $a_{22} = -\mu m_1$, for some constant μ . We verify

$$(4.14) \quad a_{11} = g(J_\xi E_1, E_1) = R(E_1, \xi, \xi, E_1) = -2l_3 k_2,$$

$$(4.15) \quad a_{22} = g(J_\xi E_2, E_2) = R(E_2, \xi, \xi, E_2) = 2l_3 m_1.$$

So at this point we see that every manifold so far being considered is (κ, μ) -manifold with

$$(4.16) \quad \kappa = a_{12} = R(E_1, \xi, \xi, E_2) = k_1 m_2 - k_2 m_1 = -(u^2 + bc),$$

$$(4.17) \quad \mu = -2l_3 = 2(u - a),$$

All considered examples satisfy

$$(4.18) \quad d\eta = u\Phi, \quad d\Phi = 0.$$

For particular values $u = 1$, $u = 0$ we obtain paracontact metric (κ, μ) -spaces, resp. almost paracosymplectic (κ, μ) -spaces.

Before proceeding further at first let focus on trying to find out \mathcal{D} -homothety invariants similar to Boeckx or Dacko-Olszak invariants.

Proposition 7. *Quantity $(u - \mu/2)^2/(u^2 + \kappa)$, is \mathcal{D} -homothetically invariant*

$$(4.19) \quad \frac{(u - \mu/2)^2}{u^2 + \kappa} = \frac{(u - \mu'/2)^2}{u^2 + \kappa'}.$$

Proof. For Artin frame (ξ, E_1, E_2) the frame (ξ', E'_1, E'_2) , $\xi' = \xi/\alpha$, $E'_i = E_i/\sqrt{\alpha}$, $i = 1, 2$ is Artin for structure deformed by \mathcal{D} -homothety. By (4.1), there is

$$(4.20) \quad [E'_1, E'_2] = 2u\xi', \quad [\xi', E'_1] = a'E'_1 + b'E'_2, \quad [\xi', E'_2] = c'E'_1 - a'E'_2.$$

Corresponding Lia algebras constants are related by

$$(4.21) \quad a' = \alpha a, \quad b' = \alpha b, \quad c' = \alpha c.$$

Moreover $\kappa, \kappa', \mu, \mu'$ are determined in terms of these constants

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \kappa &= -u^2 - bc, & \kappa' &= -u^2 - b'c', \\ \mu &= 2(u - a), & \mu' &= 2(u - a'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by above relations \mathcal{D} -homothety coefficient

$$(4.23) \quad \alpha^2 = \frac{u^2 + \kappa}{u^2 + \kappa'} = \frac{(2u - \mu)^2}{(2u - \mu')^2}.$$

□

For paracontact metric, $u = 1$, (κ, μ) -space, $\kappa \neq -1$ we set

$$(4.24) \quad E_{\mathcal{M}} = (1 - \mu/2)^2 / (1 + \kappa).$$

For almost paracosymplectic, $u = 0$, (κ, μ) -space, $\kappa \neq 0$ we set

$$(4.25) \quad F_{\mathcal{M}} = (-\mu/2)^2 / \kappa.$$

By above remarks both $E_{\mathcal{M}}$, and $F_{\mathcal{M}}$ are \mathcal{D} -homothety invariant.

Set $N^{(1)} = [\phi, \phi] - 2d\eta \otimes \xi$, $N^{(2)}(X, Y) = \mathcal{L}_{\phi X}\eta(Y) - \mathcal{L}_{\phi Y}\eta(X)$,

Proposition 8. *For every almost paracontact metric manifold there is*

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} 2g((\nabla_X \phi)Y, Z) &= -3d\Phi(X, \phi Y, \phi Z) - 3d\Phi(X, Y, Z) - g(N^{(1)}(Y, Z), \phi X) + \\ &N^{(2)}(Y, Z)\eta(X) + 2d\eta(\phi Y, X)\eta(Z) - 2d\eta(\phi Z, X)\eta(Y), \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of analogous formula in case of almost contact metric manifolds. The latter can be found in [4] □

Corollary 3. *Three-dimensional almost paracontact metric manifold satisfies*

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X \phi)Y, Z) &= (f\Phi(Y, X) + d\eta(\phi Y, X) + g(hY, X))\eta(Z) - \\ &(f\Phi(Z, X) + d\eta(\phi Z, X) + g(hZ, X))\eta(Y), \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.28) \quad d\Phi = 2f\eta \wedge \Phi$$

Proof. On three-dimensional manifold

$$-3d\Phi(X, \phi Y, \phi Z) - 3d\Phi(X, Y, Z) = 2f\eta(Y)\Phi(X, Z) - 2f\eta(Z)\Phi(X, Y).$$

Moreover tensor fields $N^{(1)}$ and $N^{(2)}$ are zero on vector fields Y, Z , such that $\eta(Y) = \eta(Z) = 0$. Having this in mind for vector fields Y, Z we set $\bar{Y} = Y - \eta(Y)\xi$, $\bar{Z} = Z - \eta(Z)\xi$ and in virtue of (4.26), we have $g((\nabla_X \phi)\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = 0$. Hence

$$g((\nabla_X \phi)Y, Z) = \eta(Y)g(\nabla_X \xi, \phi Z) - \eta(Z)g(\nabla_X \xi, \phi Y),$$

and

$$g(\nabla_X \xi, \phi Z) = \frac{f}{2}\Phi(X, Z) + d\eta(X, \phi Z) - g(X, hZ),$$

$h = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi \phi$. From these last two identities we obtain our claim. □

4.2. Paracontact metric three-dimensional manifolds. For paracontact metric manifold $f = 0$, $d\eta = \Phi$. **Corollary 3** follows

$$(4.29) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = -g(X, Y - hY)\xi + \eta(Y)(X - hX),$$

$$(4.30) \quad \nabla_X \xi = -\phi X + \phi hX.$$

Proposition 9. *Let \mathcal{M} be three-dimensional non para-Sasakian paracontact metric (κ, μ) -space. Let p be a point of \mathcal{M} . Assume there is local vector field X , on neighborhood of p , that $\phi X = X$, $hX \neq 0$. Then on neighborhood of p there is a local Artin frame ξ, E_1, E_2 , function $b, \epsilon = \pm 1$, that*

$$(4.31) \quad \nabla_\xi \xi = 0, \quad \nabla_\xi E_1 = -\frac{\mu}{2}E_1, \quad \nabla_\xi E_2 = \frac{\mu}{2}E_2,$$

$$(4.32) \quad \nabla_{E_1} \xi = -E_1 - \epsilon E_2, \quad \nabla_{E_1} E_1 = bE_1 + \epsilon \xi, \quad \nabla_{E_1} E_2 = -bE_2 + \xi,$$

$$(4.33) \quad \nabla_{E_2} \xi = \epsilon(\kappa + 1)E_1 + E_2, \quad \nabla_{E_2} E_1 = -\xi, \quad \nabla_{E_2} E_2 = -\epsilon(\kappa + 1)\xi,$$

if $\kappa \neq -1$ function b vanishes.

Proof. Essentially proof is finished if we can show that there is local Artin so coefficients of tensor field h are constants. By assumption manifold is (κ, μ) -space. Therefore Jacobi operator $X \mapsto J_\xi = R_{X\xi}\xi$, is given by $J_\xi = \kappa(Id - \eta \otimes \xi) + \mu h$. From other hand $J_\xi X = \nabla_{X,\xi}^2 \xi - \nabla_{\xi,X}^2 \xi$. With help of (4.30) we find

$$(4.34) \quad \nabla_{X,\xi}^2 \xi - \nabla_{\xi,X}^2 \xi = h^2 X - X + \eta(X)\xi - \phi(\nabla_\xi h)X.$$

So we obtain equation

$$(4.35) \quad (\kappa + 1)(Id - \eta \otimes \xi) + \mu h = h^2 - \phi(\nabla_\xi h).$$

Due to symmetries of h the above equation splits into pair of separate equations

$$(4.36) \quad h^2 = (\kappa + 1)(Id - \eta \otimes \xi),$$

$$(4.37) \quad \mu h = -\phi(\nabla_\xi h).$$

Note $h^2 = a_1 a_2 (Id - \eta \otimes \xi)$, where $hE_1 = a_1 E_2$, $hE_2 = a_2 E_1$. Hence $a_1 a_2 = \kappa + 1$. If necessary we gauge frame to assure $a_1 = \epsilon = \pm 1$, then $a_2 = \epsilon(\kappa + 1)$.

We are now going back to the proof. By $\nabla_\xi \phi = 0$, (4.37), (4.30), and (4.29)

$$(4.38) \quad \nabla_\xi \xi = 0, \quad \nabla_\xi E_1 = fE_1, \quad \nabla_\xi E_2 = -fE_2,$$

$$(4.39) \quad \mu E_2 = \mu hE_1 = -\phi(\nabla_\xi h)E_1 = -2\epsilon fE_2,$$

$$(4.40) \quad \nabla_{E_1} \xi = -\phi E_1 + \phi hE_1 = -E_1 - \epsilon E_2, \quad \nabla_{E_2} \xi = \epsilon(\kappa + 1)E_1 + E_2,$$

$$(4.41) \quad \nabla_{E_1} E_1 = bE_1 + \epsilon \xi, \quad \nabla_{E_1} E_2 = -bE_2 + \xi,$$

$$(4.42) \quad \nabla_{E_2} E_1 = -cE_1 - \xi, \quad \nabla_{E_2} E_2 = cE_2 - \epsilon(\kappa + 1)\xi,$$

with help of all these above formulas we obtain $R_{E_1 E_2} \xi = \nabla_{E_1} \nabla_{E_2} \xi - \nabla_{E_2} \nabla_{E_1} \xi - \nabla_{[E_1, E_2]} \xi = -2b(\kappa + 1)E_1 - 2cE_2$. Therefore $c = 0$ and $b = 0$ if $\kappa \neq -1$. \square

Corollary 4. *Let \mathcal{M} be three-dimensional non-para-Sasakian paracontact metric (κ, μ) -space. Then around each point there is a local Artin frame ξ, E_1, E_2 , such that*

$$(4.43) \quad [E_1, E_2] = -bE_2 + 2\xi,$$

$$(4.44) \quad [\xi, E_1] = (1 - \frac{\mu}{2})E_1 + \epsilon E_2, \quad [\xi, E_2] = -\epsilon(\kappa + 1)E_1 - (1 - \frac{\mu}{2})E_2,$$

$b = 0$ if $\kappa \neq -1$.

Conversely having vector fields as in the above corollary define almost paracontact metric structure taking ξ, E_1, E_2 as Artin frame. Such defined structure is almost paracosymplectic and directly we verify that manifold equipped with this structure is paracontact metric (κ, μ) -space, where the case $b \neq 0$ corresponds to $(-1, \mu)$ -spaces.

By above corollary every three-dimensional paracontact metric (κ, μ) -space, $\kappa \neq -1$, is locally isometric as almost paracontact metric manifold to some three-dimensional connected simply connected Lie group equipped with left-invariant almost paracontact metric structure. For full list of such groups cf. Table 2.

Example 1 (Family of non-isometric paracontact metric $(-1, \mu)$ -spaces). *Under the assumptions of the **Proposition 9** sectional curvature $K_{\mathcal{D}}$ of contact distribution of $(-1, \mu)$ -space is given by*

$$(4.45) \quad K_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow g(R_{E_2 E_1} E_1, E_2) = E_2 b - (1 + \mu).$$

Let function b be that $E_2 b = c$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Solutions leading to different values c_1, c_2 determine non-isometric three-dimensional paracontact $(-1, \mu)$ -space $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$ as $K_{\mathcal{D}_1} = \text{const.}$, $K_{\mathcal{D}_2} = \text{const.}$, and $K_{\mathcal{D}_1} \neq K_{\mathcal{D}_2}$. Only what remains is to show that such solutions exist. By **Corollary 4**, around every point there is local coordinate system (t, x, y) , that

$$(4.46) \quad \xi = \partial_t, \quad E_2 = e^{-(1-\frac{\mu}{2})t} \partial_x,$$

we set $b = b(t, x, y) = (K_{\mathcal{D}} + (1 + \mu))e^{(1-\frac{\mu}{2})t} x$.

4.3. Paracosymplectic (κ, μ) -spaces. For paracosymplectic manifolds

$$(4.47) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = g(X, hY)\xi - \eta(Y)hX,$$

$$(4.48) \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi hX.$$

Proposition 10. *Let \mathcal{M} be three-dimensional almost paracosymplectic non paracosymplectic (κ, μ) -space. Let p be a point of \mathcal{M} . Assume there is local vector field X , on neighborhood of p , that $\phi X = X$, $hX \neq 0$. Then on neighborhood of p there is a local Artin frame ξ, E_1, E_2 , function b , $\epsilon = \pm 1$, that*

$$(4.49) \quad \nabla_{\xi} \xi = 0, \quad \nabla_{\xi} E_1 = -\frac{\mu}{2} E_1, \quad \nabla_{\xi} E_2 = \frac{\mu}{2} E_2,$$

$$(4.50) \quad \nabla_{E_1} \xi = -\epsilon E_2, \quad \nabla_{E_1} E_1 = b E_1 + \epsilon \xi, \quad \nabla_{E_1} E_2 = -b E_2,$$

$$(4.51) \quad \nabla_{E_2} \xi = \epsilon \kappa E_1, \quad \nabla_{E_2} E_1 = 0, \quad \nabla_{E_2} E_2 = -\epsilon \kappa \xi,$$

if $\kappa \neq 0$, function b vanishes $b = 0$.

Proof. Proof goes on the same way as proof of **Proposition 9**. The first we obtain for almost paracosymplectic (κ, μ) -space

$$(4.52) \quad h^2 = \kappa(Id - \eta \otimes \xi),$$

$$(4.53) \quad \mu h = -\phi(\nabla_{\xi} h),$$

so there is Artin frame $hE_1 = \epsilon E_2$, $hE_2 = \epsilon \kappa E_1$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Now we use (4.47), (4.48) to obtain connection coefficients, finally we apply integrability condition $R_{E_1 E_2} \xi = 0$. \square

Corollary 5. *Let \mathcal{M} be three-dimensional almost paracosymplectic (κ, μ) -space. Then around each point there is a local Artin frame ξ, E_1, E_2 , such that*

$$(4.54) \quad [E_1, E_2] = -bE_2,$$

$$(4.55) \quad [\xi, E_1] = -\frac{\mu}{2}E_1 + \epsilon E_2, \quad [\xi, E_2] = -\epsilon\kappa E_1 + \frac{\mu}{2}E_2,$$

if $\kappa \neq 0, b = 0$.

Conversely having vector fields as in the above corollary define almost paracontact metric structure taking ξ, E_1, E_2 as Artin frame. Such defined structure is almost paracosymplectic and directly we verify that manifold equipped with this structure is almost paracosymplectic (κ, μ) -space, where the case $b \neq 0$ corresponds to $(0, \mu)$ -spaces.

Corollary above allow us to create full list of three-dimensional Lie groups admitting structure of paracosymplectic (κ, μ) -spaces, $\kappa \neq 0$, up to \mathcal{D} -homothety, cf. Table 4.

Example 2 (Family of non-isometric $(0, \mu)$ -spaces). *For three-dimensional almost paracosymplectic $(0, \mu)$ -space sectional curvature $K_{\mathcal{D}}$ is given by*

$$(4.56) \quad K_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow g(R_{E_2 E_1} E_1, E_2) = E_2 b.$$

If $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$, are two almost paracosymplectic $(0, \mu)$ -spaces and $K_{\mathcal{D}_1} = \text{const.}$, $K_{\mathcal{D}_2} = \text{const.}$, $K_{\mathcal{D}_1} \neq K_{\mathcal{D}_2}$, such spaces are non-isometric as almost paracontact metric manifolds. For every isometry preserving Reeb fields must preserve sectional curvature of almost contact distributions. For every constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$, there is manifold with $K_{\mathcal{D}} = c$. We just need to solve equations

$$(4.57) \quad \xi b = -\frac{\mu}{2}, \quad E_2 b = c,$$

by Corollary 5, there is local coordinate system, such that $\xi = \partial_t$, $E_2 = e^{\frac{\mu}{2}t} \partial_x$, so one of possible solution is $b = K_{\mathcal{D}} e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}t} x$.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. M. T. Abbassi and G. Calvaruso, g-natural contact metrics on unit tangent sphere bundles, *Monaths. Math.* **151** (2006), 89–109.
- [2] ———, The curvature of g-natural metrics on unit tangent sphere bundles, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences* **3** (2008), no. 6, 245–258.
- [3] L. Auslander, L. Green and P. Hahn, Flows on homogeneous spaces, *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, **53**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey 1963.
- [4] D. E. Blair, Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds, *Progress in Math.*, Vol. **203**, Birkhäuser, Boston 2010.
- [5] D.E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos and B.J. Papantoniou: Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition, *Israel J. Math.*, Vol. 91, (1995), pp. 189–214.
- [6] E. Boeckx, A full classification of contact metric (κ, μ) -spaces, *Ill. J. Math.*, Vol. 44, (2000), pp. 212–219.
- [7] G. Calvaruso, Homogeneous paracontact metric three-manifolds, *Illinois J. Math.* **55** (2011), 697–718.
- [8] B. Cappelletti-Montano, Bi-Legendrian structures and paracontact geometry, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **6** (2009), 487–504.
- [9] B. Cappelletti-Montano, A. De Nicola and I. Yudin, A survey on cosymplectic geometry, *Rev. J. Math. Phys.*, Vol. 25, No. 10 (2013), 55 pages.
- [10] B. Cappelletti-Montano, L. Di Terlizzi, Geometric structures associated to a contact metric (κ, μ) -space, *Pacif. J. of Math.* **246**, No.2 (2010), 257–292.

- [11] B. Cappelletti-Montano, I. Kupeli Erken, C. Murathan, Nullity conditions in paracontact geometry, *Diff. Geom. Appl.* **30** (2012), 665–693.
- [12] B. Cappelletti-Montano, A. De Nicola and I. Yudin, A survey on cosymplectic geometry, *Rev. J. Math. Phys.*, Vol. 25, No. 10 (2013), 55 pages.
- [13] A. Carriazo and V. Martín-Molina, Almost cosymplectic and almost Kenmotsu (κ, μ, ν) -spaces, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **10**, (2013), 1551–1571.
- [14] D. Chinea, M. de León and J. C. Marrero: Stability of invariant foliations on almost contact manifolds, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, Vol. 43, (1993), pp. 41–52.
- [15] D. Chinea and C. González: An example of an almost cosymplectic homogeneous manifold, In: *Lect. Notes Math.*, Vol. 1209, Springer, Berlin, 1986, pp. 133–142.
- [16] ———, A classification of almost contact metric manifolds, *Ann. Mat. Pura. Applic.* **156** (1990), 15–36
- [17] ———, Conformal changes of almost cosymplectic manifolds, *Rendiconti Mat. Appl. VII Ser.* **12** (1992), 849–867.
- [18] L. A. Cordero, M. Fernández and M. De León: Examples of compact almost contact metric manifolds admitting neither Sasakian nor cosymplectic structures, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena*, Vol. 34, (1985–86), pp. 43–54.
- [19] P. Dacko, On almost cosymplectic manifolds with the structure vector field ξ belonging to the k -nullity distribution, *Balkan J. Geom. Appl.*, Vol. 5, (2000), no. 2, pp. 47–60.
- [20] P. Dacko, On almost paracosymplectic manifolds, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **28** (2004), No. 1, 193–213.
- [21] P. Dacko and Z. Olszak, On conformally flat almost cosymplectic manifolds with Kahlerian leaves, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino*, Vol. 56, (1998), pp. 89–103.
- [22] ———, On almost cosymplectic (κ, μ, ν) -spaces, in *PDEs, Submanifolds and Affine Differential Geometry*, Banach Center Publ. Vol. 69 Polish Acad. sci., Warsaw 2005), pp. 211–220.
- [23] ———, On almost cosymplectic $(-1, \mu, 0)$ -spaces, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **3**(2) (2005), 318–330 (electronic)
- [24] G. Dileo, On the geometry of almost contact metric manifolds of Kenmotsu type, *Diff. Geom. and its Applications* **29** (2011), 58–64.
- [25] G. Dileo and A. M. Pastore, Almost Kenmotsu manifolds with a condition of η -parallelism, *Diff. Geom. and its Applications*, **27**, (2009), 671–679.
- [26] ———, Almost Kenmotsu manifolds and nullity distributions, *J. Geom.* **93** (2009), 46–61.
- [27] H. Endo, On some properties of almost cosymplectic manifolds, *An. Ştiinţ. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi, Mat.*, Vol. 42, (1996), pp. 79–94.
- [28] ———, On some invariant submanifolds in certain almost cosymplectic manifolds, *An. Ştiinţ. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi, Mat.*, Vol. 43, (1997), pp. 383–395.
- [29] ———, Non-existence of almost cosymplectic manifolds satisfying a certain condition, *Tensor N.S.*, Vol. 63, (2002), pp. 272–284.
- [30] M. Falcitelli, Some classes of almost contact metric manifolds and contact Riemannian submersions, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **105** (4) (2004), 291–312.
- [31] ———, Curvature of locally conformal cosymplectic manifold, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **72/3-4** (2008), 385–406.
- [32] V. Martín-Molina, Local classification and examples of an important class of paracontact metric manifolds, *arXiv: 1408.6784* [math.DG] (2014).
- [33] S.I. Goldberg and K. Yano, Integrability of almost cosymplectic structures, *Pacific J. Math.*, Vol. **31**, (1969), pp. 373–382.
- [34] J. Milnor, Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups, *Adv. in Math.*, **21**, (1976), 293–329.
- [35] E. Loiudice and A. Lotta, Canonical fibrations of contact metric (κ, μ) -manifolds, *Pacific J. Math.*, Vol. **300**, (2019), No. 1, 39–63.
- [36] Z. Olszak, On almost cosymplectic manifolds, *Kodai Math. J.*, Vol. 4, (1981), pp. 239–250.
- [37] ———, Curvature properties of quasi-Sasakian manifolds, *Tensor N.S.*, Vol. 38, (1982), pp. 19–28.
- [38] ———, Almost cosymplectic manifolds with Kählerian leaves, *Tensor N.S.*, Vol. 46, (1987), pp. 117–124.
- [39] ———, Locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds, *Colloq. Math.* **57** (1989), 73–87.
- [40] A. M. Pastore and V. Saltarelli, Generalized nullity distributions on almost Kenmotsu manifolds, *Int. Electr. J. Geom.* Vol. 4 No. 2 (2011), 168–183.

- [41] ———, Almost Kenmotsu manifolds with conformal Reeb foliation, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **18** (2011), 655–666.
- [42] D. Perrone, Classification of homogeneous almost cosymplectic three-manifolds, *Diff. Geom. and its Applications*, **30**, 2012, 49–58.
- [43] S. Tanno, Ricci curvatures of contact Riemannian manifolds, *Tohoku Math. J.*, Vol. 40, (1988), pp. 441–448.
- [44] D. Tischler, On fibering certain foliated manifolds over \mathbb{S}^1 , *Topology*, **9**, 1970, 153–154.

Lie group	Description	$I_{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{1-\mu/2}{\sqrt{1-\kappa}}$
$\mathcal{SO}(3)$ or $SU(2)$	simple, compact, \mathbb{S}^3 or $\mathbb{S}^3/\{\pm 1\}$	$I_{\mathcal{M}} > 1$
$\mathcal{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ or $\mathcal{O}(1, 2)$	simple, \mathbb{R}^3 , compact quotients, [3]	$I_{\mathcal{M}} < 1, I_{\mathcal{M}} \neq -1$
$\mathcal{E}(2)$	solvable, \mathbb{R}^3 , compact quotients	$I_{\mathcal{M}} = 1$
$\mathcal{E}(1, 1)$	solvable, \mathbb{R}^3 , compact quotients, [3]	$I_{\mathcal{M}} = -1$

TABLE 1. Three-dimensional Lie groups with left-invariant, non-Sasakian contact metric (κ, μ) -structures.

Lie group	Description	$E_{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{(1-\mu/2)^2}{1+\kappa}$
$\mathcal{SO}(3)$ or $SU(2)$	simple, compact, \mathbb{S}^3 or $\mathbb{S}^3/\{\pm 1\}$	$0 < E_{\mathcal{M}} < 1$
-	-	$E_{\mathcal{M}} = 0$ and $\kappa > -1$
$\mathcal{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ or $\mathcal{O}(1, 2)$	simple, \mathbb{R}^3 , compact quotients,	$E_{\mathcal{M}} < 0, E_{\mathcal{M}} > 1$
-	-	$E_{\mathcal{M}} = 0, \kappa < -1$
$E(2)$ or $E(1, 1)$	solvable, \mathbb{R}^3 , compact quotients,	$E_{\mathcal{M}} = 1$

TABLE 2. Three-dimensional Lie groups with left-invariant, non para-Sasakian paracontact metric (κ, μ) -structures, $\kappa \neq -1$.

Lie group	Description	$C_{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{-\mu/2}{\sqrt{-\kappa}}$
$\mathcal{E}(2)$	solvable, \mathbb{R}^3 , compact quotients	$ C_{\mathcal{M}} > 1$
$\mathcal{E}(1, 1)$	solvable, \mathbb{R}^3 , compact quotients	$ C_{\mathcal{M}} < 1$
Heisenberg Lie group \mathbb{H}^3	nilpotent, \mathbb{R}^3 , compact quotients	$ C_{\mathcal{M}} = 1$

TABLE 3. Three-dimensional Lie groups with left-invariant, non-cosymplectic almost cosymplectic (κ, μ) -structures, $\kappa < 0$.

Lie group	Description	$F_{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{(-\mu/2)^2}{\kappa}$
$\mathcal{E}(2)$	solvable, \mathbb{R}^3 , compact quotients	$0 < F_{\mathcal{M}} < 1$
-	-	$F_{\mathcal{M}} = 0, \kappa > 0$
$\mathcal{E}(1, 1)$	solvable, \mathbb{R}^3 , compact quotients	$ F_{\mathcal{M}} > 1$
-	-	$F_{\mathcal{M}} = 0, \kappa < 0$
Heisenberg Lie group \mathbb{H}^3	nilpotent, \mathbb{R}^3 , compact quotients	$F_{\mathcal{M}} = 1$

TABLE 4. Three-dimensional Lie groups with left-invariant, non paracosymplectic almost paracosymplectic (κ, μ) -structures, $\kappa \neq 0$.