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AbSTRACT. In this paper we consider the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u \pm \phi u+W^{\prime}(x, u)=0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \\
\Delta \phi=u^{2} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $W$ is assumed positive. In dimension three, the problem with the sign + (we call it $\left(\mathcal{P}_{+}\right)$) was considered and solved in [14], whereas in the same paper it was showed that no nontrivial solution exists if we consider the sign - (say it $\left(\mathcal{P}_{-}\right)$).

We provide a general existence result for $\left(\mathcal{P}_{+}\right)$and two examples falling in the case $\left(\mathcal{P}_{-}\right)$for which there exists at least a nontrivial solution.

## 1. Introduction

We are interested in finding finite energy solutions to the following class of problems
$\left(\mathcal{P}_{ \pm}\right) \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}-\Delta u \pm \phi u+W^{\prime}(x, u)=0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}, \\ \Delta \phi=u^{2} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2},\end{array}\right.$
where $W=W(x, s): \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $W^{\prime}$ denotes the derivative of $W$ with respect to $s$.
We will refer to problem $\left(\mathcal{P}_{ \pm}\right)$by $\left(\mathcal{P}_{+}\right)$or $\left(\mathcal{P}_{-}\right)$according to the sign before the second term in the first equation.

The attention on this kind of problems has increased in the recent period, starting from the paper of Stubbe [17] where firstly a system of this type was proposed. Actually, in dimension 3 or larger, the system is well known and there is a wide literature on it. See for example [1-3, $7,13,16]$.

In dimension 2 the system is definitely less known and studied, although its peculiar features and significant differences arising by a comparison with the three dimensional analogous problem, are of great interest. The variational approach developed by Stubbe was used in [6] and [9] to study $\left(\mathcal{P}_{+}\right)$for a changing sign potential as $W(x, u)=a(x) u^{2}-b|u|^{p}$ where $a \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}_{+} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ (possibly $a$ is a positive constant) and $b \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Recently, in [18] the problem $\left(\mathcal{P}_{+}\right)$has been studied in the so called zero mass case. A class of nonlinearities $W$ have been considered, superquadratic at the origin, growing no more than a power and satisfying the following technical assumption
$\mathcal{A}$ ) the function $\frac{W(s)-s W^{\prime}(s)}{s^{3}}$ is nondecreasing in $(-\infty, 0)$ and in $(0,+\infty)$.
Up to our knowledge, the literature on problem ( $\mathcal{P}_{-}$) is definitely missing.
In this paper we are interested in investigating ( $\mathcal{P}_{ \pm}$) when $W \geqslant 0$. The interest in problems in presence of nonnegative potentials $W$ increased in recent years because of physical considerations on models described by similar equations in three dimensions (see the introduction in [14]). As an example, in [5] it was studied an equation strictly related with the problem $\left(\mathcal{P}_{+}\right)$in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, with $W(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\omega u^{2}+|u|^{\frac{10}{3}}\right)$. It was introduced to describe a Hartree model for crystals.

[^0]Our object is to emphasize analogies and, especially, differences occurring when we consider positive potentials $W$ in $\left(\mathcal{P}_{ \pm}\right)$.

Consider firstly the problem $\left(\mathcal{P}_{+}\right)$. We introduce the following assumptions on $W$ :
$W 1) W=W(s) \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$,
$W 2) W$ is nonnegative and $W(0)=0$,
$W 3)$ there exist $p \in(2,3), C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ positive constants such that $\left|W^{\prime}(s)\right| \leqslant C_{1}|s|+$ $C_{2}|s|^{p-1}$,
and
$W 3)^{\prime}$ there exist $p \in(2,4), C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ positive constants such that $W(s) \leqslant C_{1} s^{2}+$ $C_{2}|s|^{p}$, and for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $0 \leqslant W^{\prime}(s) s \leqslant 4 W(s)$.

Remark 1.1. Observe that the assumptions in [18], and in particular $\mathcal{A}$, do not exclude the possibility that $W$ might be nonnegative (consider, for instance, $W(s)=\frac{2}{5}|s|^{\frac{5}{2}}$ ). However we see that, assuming the following Ambrosetti Rabinowitz condition (which is a something slightly stronger than superlinearity at infinity)
$\mathcal{A} R)$ there exists $\delta>1$ and $R>0$ such that $W(s) \delta \leqslant W^{\prime}(s) s$ for $|s|>R$,
assumption $\mathcal{A}$ implies that $\left|W^{\prime}(s)\right|=O\left(s^{2}\right)$ for $|s| \rightarrow+\infty$, which almost corresponds to $W 3$. For this reason our conditons are in some sense more general (and less technical) than those in [18], in the case of positive potentials.

The system has a variational structure. Indeed, set $\phi_{u}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log |x| \star u^{2}$ for any $u \in$ $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, then solutions of (5) can be found looking for critical points of the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi_{u} u^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} W(u) d x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is well defined and $C^{1}$ in the space

$$
E:=H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, V(x) d x\right)
$$

where $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, V(x) d x\right)$ is the weighted Lebesgue space with the weight $V(x)=$ $\log (2+|x|)$, endowed with the norm $\|u\|=\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log (2+|x|) u^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
To understand the relation between critical points of $I$ and solutions of $\mathcal{P}_{+}$we refer to [6, Proposition 2.3].

By the coercivity of $V$, it is a classical result the compact embedding

$$
E \hookrightarrow L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \quad \forall q \geqslant 2
$$

Assuming the following usual notations (see $[6,9,17,18]$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{0}(u)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log (|x-y|) u^{2}(x) u^{2}(y) d x d y \\
& V_{1}(u)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log (2+|x-y|) u^{2}(x) u^{2}(y) d x d y  \tag{2}\\
& V_{2}(u)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log \left(1+\frac{2}{|x-y|}\right) u^{2}(x) u^{2}(y) d x d y
\end{align*}
$$

we have

$$
I(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4} V_{0}(u)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} W(u) d x
$$

and $V_{0}=V_{1}-V_{2}$. Moreover, as proved in [17], for any $u \in E$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2}(u) \leqslant C\|u\|_{8 / 3}^{4} \leqslant \tilde{C}\|\nabla u\|_{2}\|u\|_{2}^{3} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2}(u) \leqslant \varepsilon\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+C_{\varepsilon}\|u\|_{2}^{6} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.2. Assume $W 1, W 2$ and either $W 3$ or $W 3^{\prime}$. Then there exists a nontrivial solution to $\left(\mathcal{P}_{+}\right)$.

Comparing conditions $W 3$ and $W 3^{\prime}$, we observe that the price to obtain a better growth condition at infinity consists in introducing a reversed Ambrosetti Rabinowitz condition. However, because of difficulties in proving the geometry of mountain pass, we are not able to achieve the power four as an admissible growth degree.

As to the problem $\left(\mathcal{P}_{-}\right)$, since we were not able to find any reference, let us say few words to introduce the motivation of our study. Actually, the problem is the two-dimension version of what, in three dimension, is known as the SchrödingerMaxwell system in the electrostatic case. To explain the difficulties arising in treating it, we analyze by an example how the problem should present if we assumed the same hypotheses as in Theorem 1.2.

Consider for instance the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u-\phi u+|u|^{p-2} u=0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2},  \tag{5}\\
\Delta \phi=u^{2} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2},
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the related formal functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{4} V_{0}(u)+\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|u|^{p} d x \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

well defined and $C^{1}$ in the space $E$. It is easily seen that the functional is strongly indefinite, and this indefinitness can not be removed by a compact perturbation. An idea to remove the indefiniteness and recover nice geometrical properties is to modify suitably (5). In view of this, we proceed by following two ways: either we introduce a suitable nonautonomous linear perturbation, or we add a sublinear term.

As regards the first way, consider nonlinearities of the type $W(x, s)=\frac{1}{2} K(x) s^{2}+$ $\left.\frac{1}{p} \right\rvert\, s^{p}$, where a suitable growth condition on $K$ to control the term $V_{1}(u)$ is in order. Actually, since the growth of the weight in the norm of the space $E$ is logarithmic, any power-like function (with positive exponent) is a good candidate to take on the role of $K$. Motivated by these reasons, we introduce the model problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+\left(1+|x|^{\alpha}\right) u-\phi u+|u|^{p-2} u=0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2},  \tag{-}\\
\Delta \phi=u^{2} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We can prove the following result
Theorem 1.3. Assume $2<p$ and either $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{2 p-4}{p-4}\right]$ and $p>4$, or $\alpha>0$ and $2<p \leqslant 4$. Then ( $\mathcal{P}_{-}^{\alpha}$ ) possesses a nontrivial solution.

The second way that we propose to approach $\left(\mathcal{P}_{-}\right)$is by assuming that $W^{\prime}$ is sublinear near the origin.

For the sake of semplicity, we again consider a model problem as a perturbation of (5)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+|u|^{\beta-2} u-\phi u+|u|^{p-2} u=0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2},  \tag{-}\\
\Delta \phi=u^{2} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 1.4. Assume that $\beta \in(1,2)$ and $p>2$. Then there exists a nontrivial radial solution to $\left(\mathcal{P}_{-}^{\beta}\right)$.

It is really interesting to observe how remarkable is the impact that the dimension has on the problem $\left(\mathcal{P}_{-}\right)$under the assumption $W 2$. Indeed we have the following nonexistence result, which comes to the same conclusion of [14, Proposition 1.2] where the nonautonomous case was treated

Theorem 1.5. Assume that $W$ satisfies $W 1, W 2$ and $W^{\prime}(0)=0$. If the couple $(u, \phi) \in$ $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \times \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ solves $\left(\mathcal{P}_{-}\right)$in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $W(u) \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ then $(u, \phi)=(0,0)$.

Remark 1.6. As already pointed out, the problem ( $\mathcal{P}_{-}$) is completely new and there is a lot to study about it. For example, we are quite confident that by some work it is possible to adapt known techniques to prove multiplicity results for both ( $\mathcal{P}_{-}^{\alpha}$ ) and $\left(\mathcal{P}_{-}^{\beta}\right)$. On the other hand, we also believe that ( $\mathcal{P}_{-}$) could be successfully treated in correspondence of more general nonnegative $W$, besides the possibility of studying it for sign-changing $W$ or, possibly, in the harder case of nonpositive $W$.
All these issues are not objects of this paper (whose main purpose is to emphasize the surprising conflict between Theorem 1.3 and 1.4 on one hand and Theorem 1.5 on the other). We pospone to future papers a deeper study on open problems concerning ( $\mathcal{P}_{-}$).

The paper is organized as follows.
Section 2 is devoted to study the problem $\left(\mathcal{P}_{+}\right)$and prove Theorem 1.2 by means of the mountain pass theorem.

In Section 3 we consider problem ( $\mathcal{P}_{-}$). It is split in three subsections: the first is aimed to introduce the variational setting and develop the arguments for proving Theorem 1.3, the second is, analagously, completely devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.4 and, finally, in the third, we provide a proof for the nonexistence result stated in Theorem 1.5.

## 2. The problem $\mathcal{P}_{+}$

In this section we are going to prove the Theorem 1.2, so that in what follows, we are assuming $W 1, W 2$ and either $W 3$ or $W 3^{\prime}$.

We will use the following result which is a slightly modified version of [12, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 2.1. Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, and $J \subset \mathbb{R}_{+}$an interval. Consider the family of $C^{1}$ functionals on $X$

$$
I_{\lambda}(u)=A(u)-\lambda B(u), \quad \lambda \in J,
$$

with B nonnegative and either $A(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ or $B(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ and such that $I_{\lambda}(0)=0$.

For any $\lambda \in J$ we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\lambda}:=\left\{\gamma \in C([0,1], X) \mid \gamma(0)=0, I_{\lambda}(\gamma(1))<0\right\} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If for every $\lambda \in J$ the set $\Gamma_{\lambda}$ is nonempty and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\lambda}:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\lambda}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\lambda}(\gamma(t))>0, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for almost every $\lambda \in J$ there is a sequence $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $X$ such that
(i) $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded;
(ii) $I_{\lambda}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\lambda}$;
(iii) $\left(I_{\lambda}\right)^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in the dual of $X$.

In our case $X=E$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(u) & :=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4} V_{1}(u)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} W(u) d x \\
B(u) & :=\frac{1}{4} V_{2}(u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We show that the functional possesses the mountain pass geometry
Proposition 2.2. There exist $\rho>0, \gamma>0$ and $\bar{u} \in E$ such that, said $B_{\rho}$ the ball of radius $\rho$ in $E$,

- $I_{\left|B_{\rho}\right|} \geqslant 0$ and $I_{\mid \partial B_{\rho}} \geqslant \gamma$,
- $\|\bar{u}\|>\rho$ and $I(\bar{u})<0$.

Proof. Take $u \in E$. Then, for a suitable choice of a small $\varepsilon$ in (4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u) & \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} V_{1}(u)-\frac{1}{4} V_{2}(u) \\
& \geqslant\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\log 2}{8 \pi}\|u\|_{2}^{4}-\frac{C_{\varepsilon}}{4}\|u\|_{2}^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the first geometric property.
As regards the second, observe that if we take $u \in E$, denoting for every $t>0$ $u_{t}(\cdot)=t^{2} u(t \cdot)$, since

$$
V_{0}\left(u_{t}\right)=\frac{t^{4}}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log (|x-y|) u^{2}(x) u^{2}(y) d x d y-\frac{t^{4} \log t}{2 \pi}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u^{2}(x) d x\right)^{2}
$$

we deduce that there exists $\bar{t}>0$ such that $V_{0}\left(u_{\bar{t}}\right)<0$.
Now, by $W 3$ or, respectively $W 3^{\prime}$, for a sufficiently large $h>0$ we have

$$
I\left(h u_{\bar{t}}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} h^{2}\left\|\nabla u_{\bar{t}}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{h^{4}}{4} V_{0}\left(u_{\bar{t}}\right)+C_{1} h^{2}\left\|u_{\bar{t}}\right\|_{2}^{2}+C_{2} h^{p}\left\|u_{\bar{t}}\right\|_{p}^{p}<0
$$

with $\left\|h u_{\bar{t}}\right\|>\rho$.
Defining $J=[1-\delta, 1]$ for $\delta>0$, by an easy continuity argument we get the following
Corollary 2.3. There exist $\rho>0, \gamma>0, \bar{u} \in E$ and $\delta>0$ such that the following two properties

- $I_{\lambda \mid B_{\rho}} \geqslant 0$ and $I_{\lambda \mid \partial B_{\rho}} \geqslant \gamma$,
- $\|\bar{u}\|>\rho$ and $I_{\lambda}(\bar{u})<0$,
hold uniformly for $\lambda \in[1-\delta, 1]$.
By this Corollary, the sets $\Gamma_{\lambda}$ are nonempty and the mountain pass levels $c_{\lambda}$ are well defined and uniformly bounded from below by a positive constant.

Now, exploiting Theorem 2.1, consider a sequence $\lambda_{n}$ in $J$ such that $\lambda_{n} \nearrow 1$ and for which there exists a bounded Palais-Smale sequence for $I_{\lambda_{n}}$ at the level $c_{\lambda_{n}}$. Then we have the following result

Proposition 2.4. There exists a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $E$ such that

- $I_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)=c_{\lambda_{n}}$,
- $I_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$,
- $P_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$,
where $P_{\lambda_{n}}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\lambda_{n}}(v):=V_{1}(v)-\lambda_{n} V_{2}(v)+\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} v^{2} d x\right)^{2}+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} W(v) d x \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Take any $\bar{n} \geqslant 1$. We set $\bar{\lambda}=\lambda_{\bar{n}}$ and consider $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n}$ a bounded sequence in $E$ such that $\left\|I_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0$ and $I_{\bar{\lambda}}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\bar{\lambda}}$.
By boundedness and compact embedding, there exists $v \in E$ such that, up to a subsequence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{n} \rightharpoonup v \text { in } E, \\
& v_{n} \rightarrow v \text { in } L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \forall q \geqslant 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we proceed as in the final part of [6, Proof of Prooposition 3.1] (actually the proof is easier since we do not need to translate the sequence) and deduce that $v_{n} \rightarrow$ $v$ in $E$ (observe that we already know that $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ ). As a consequence we have $I_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\prime}(v)=0$ and $I_{\bar{\lambda}}(v)=c_{\bar{\lambda}}$.
To see that $P_{\bar{\lambda}}(v)=0$ we first recall that, since for every $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ the function $\phi_{u} \in L_{l o c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ (see [6]), we can deduce by [10, Theorem 8.8] that $v$ is in $W_{l o c}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and
use standard regularity arguments to show that $v \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Then $P_{\bar{\lambda}}(v)=0$ follows as in [9].

Now we are ready to prove our result
Proof. of Theorem 1.2. Consider $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ as in the previous Proposition. We are going to prove that it is bounded in $E$. For making the notation less cumbersome, we will write simply $n$ in the place of $\lambda_{n}$ as a subscript.
Indeed we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4} V_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} W\left(u_{n}\right) d x-\frac{\lambda_{n}}{4} V_{2}\left(u_{n}\right)=c_{n}+o_{n}(1)  \tag{10}\\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x+V_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} W^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} d x-\lambda_{n} V_{2}\left(u_{n}\right)=0  \tag{11}\\
& V_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u_{n}^{2} d x\right)^{2}+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} W\left(u_{n}\right) d x-\lambda_{n} V_{2}\left(u_{n}\right)=0 \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Assume $W 3$. Dividing (12) by $\frac{1}{8}$ and summing (10) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{3}{8} V_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)+ \frac{1}{64 \pi}  \tag{13}\\
&\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u_{n}^{2} d x\right)^{2} \\
&+\frac{5}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} W\left(u_{n}\right) d x-\frac{3 \lambda_{n}}{8} V_{2}\left(u_{n}\right)=c_{n}+o_{n}(1) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, multiplying (11) by $-\frac{3}{8}$ and adding (13) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{64 \pi}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u_{n}^{2} d x\right)^{2} \\
&+\frac{5}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} W\left(u_{n}\right) d x-\frac{3}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} W^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} d x=c_{n}+o_{n}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, $W 2$ and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{64 \pi}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u_{n}^{2} d x\right)^{2} & \leqslant M+C\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right) \\
& \leqslant M+C\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{p-2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant M+C\left(1+\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{p-2}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, applying the inequality $|a b| \leqslant \varepsilon a^{2}+C_{\varepsilon} b^{2}$ which holds for every $\varepsilon>0$ and a corresponding $C_{\varepsilon}>0$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{64 \pi}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u_{n}^{2} d x\right)^{2} \leqslant M+C_{\varepsilon}\left(1+\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{p-2}\right)^{2}+\varepsilon\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{4}
$$

which, for $\varepsilon<\frac{1}{32}$ implies boundedness in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
Now assume $W 3^{\prime}$. Multiply (11) by $-\frac{1}{4}$ and add (10). We immediately see that $\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|\right)_{n}$ is bounded. Now, by (12) and (3), we deduce that

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{4} \leqslant C\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{3}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{2}
$$

and then we prove boundedness in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ also in this case.
Again as in [6, Proof of Prooposition 3.1], we deduce that, up to translations and a suitable choise of a subsequence, $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ strongly converges in $E$ to a function $\bar{u} \neq 0$. Of course $I^{\prime}(\bar{u})=0$ and we conclude.

## 3. THE PROBLEM $\mathcal{P}_{-}$

3.1. The nonautonomous case. In this section we will introduce a variatonal approach in order to solve the problem $\left(\mathcal{P}_{-}\right)$. Define $X=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}(1+\right.$ $\left.\left.|x|^{\alpha}\right) u^{2} d x<+\infty\right\}$ endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|=\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(1+|x|^{\alpha}\right) u^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

induced by the scalar product

$$
(u \cdot v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \nabla u \nabla v d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(1+|x|^{\alpha}\right) u v d x
$$

We denote by $\|\cdot\|_{*}$ the following weighted $L^{2}$ norm

$$
\|u\|_{*}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(1+|x|^{\alpha}\right) u^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

so that $\|u\|^{2}=\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|u\|_{*}^{2}$.
Observe that for every $\alpha>0$ there exists $C_{\alpha}>1$ such that, taken any $r \geqslant 0$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log (2+r) \leqslant C_{\alpha}+r^{a} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then for every $u \in X$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log (2+|x|) u^{2} d x \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(C_{\alpha}+|x|^{\alpha}\right) u^{2} d x \leqslant C_{\alpha}\|u\|_{*}^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the same arguments as in [6, Lemma 2.2], we deduce that the functional

$$
I_{\alpha}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\left(1+|x|^{\alpha}\right) u^{2}\right) d x-\frac{1}{4} V_{0}(u)+\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|u|^{p} d x
$$

is well defined and $C^{1}$ in $X$. Moreover its critical points are related with solutions of $\left(\mathcal{P}_{-}^{\beta}\right)$ as for $\left(\mathcal{P}_{+}\right)$.
In particular:

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{1}(u)= & \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log (2+|x-y|) u^{2}(x) u^{2}(y) d x d y  \tag{16}\\
\leqslant & \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log (2+|x|) u^{2}(x) u^{2}(y) d x d y \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log (2+|y|) u^{2}(x) u^{2}(y) d x d y \\
= & \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log (2+|x|) u^{2}(x) d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u^{2}(y) d y \\
\leqslant & \frac{C_{\alpha}}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(1+|x|^{\alpha}\right) u^{2}(x) d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u^{2}(y) d y \\
= & \frac{C_{\alpha}}{\pi}\|u\|_{2}^{2}\|u\|_{*}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 3.1. There exist $\rho>0, \beta>0$ and $\bar{u} \in X$ such that, said $B_{\rho}$ the ball of radius $\rho$ in $E$,

- $I_{\alpha \mid B_{\rho}} \geqslant 0$ and $I_{\alpha \mid \partial B_{\rho}} \geqslant \beta$,
- $\|\bar{u}\|>\rho$ and $I_{\alpha}(\bar{u})<0$.

Proof. The first property follows by (16) since, for every $u \in X$, we have

$$
I(u) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{C_{\alpha}}{\pi}\|u\|^{4}
$$

As to the second geometrical property, we consider $u \in X$ and test the functional in $u_{t}=t^{r} u(\cdot / t)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha}\left(u_{t}\right)= & \frac{t^{2 r}}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{t^{2 r+2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(1+t^{\alpha}|x|^{a}\right) u^{2} d x \\
& \quad-\frac{t^{4 r+4} \log t}{8 \pi}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u^{2} d x\right)^{2}-\frac{t^{4 r+4}}{4} V_{0}(u)+\frac{t^{p r+2}}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|u|^{p} d . x
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to have $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} I_{\alpha}\left(u_{t}\right)=-\infty$ we look for a number $r>0$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 r+2+\alpha \leqslant 4 r+4 \\
p r+2 \leqslant 4 r+4
\end{array}\right.
$$

By simple computations one can see that such an $r>0$ exists for every $\alpha>0$ if $p \leqslant 4$, otherwise, if $p>4$, we need that $\alpha \leqslant 2\left(\frac{p-2}{p-4}\right)$.

Define $c_{\alpha}$ as the mountain pass level of $I_{\alpha}$.
Lemma 3.2. There exists a Cerami sequence for $I_{\alpha}$ at the level $c_{\alpha}$, namely a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $X$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\alpha}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\alpha} \\
& \left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

for which $J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u)=\frac{2}{p-4}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{p-2}{p-4}\|u\|_{2}^{2} & +\left(\frac{p-2}{p-4}+\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|x|^{\alpha} u^{2} d x  \tag{17}\\
& -\frac{1}{8 \pi}\|u\|_{2}^{4}-\frac{p-2}{p-4} V_{0}(u)+4\left(\frac{p-2}{p(p-4)}\right)\|u\|_{p}^{p}
\end{align*}
$$

if $p>4$, and, for an arbitray positive $r$ such that $r \geqslant \frac{\alpha-2}{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u)=r\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+(r+1)\|u\|_{2}^{2}+(r+ & \left.1+\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|x|^{\alpha} u^{2} d x  \tag{18}\\
& -\frac{1}{8 \pi}\|u\|_{2}^{4}-(r+1) V_{0}(u)+\frac{p r+2}{p}\|u\|_{p}^{p}
\end{align*}
$$

if $2<p \leqslant 4$.
Proof. The proof is analogous to that provided in [9, Lemma 3.2], replacing the continuous map $\rho$ with

$$
\eta: \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X, \quad \eta(t, v)(x)= \begin{cases}e^{\frac{2 t}{p-4} v\left(e^{-t} x\right)} & \text { if } p>4  \tag{19}\\ e^{r t} v\left(e^{-t} x\right) & \text { if } 2<p \leqslant 4\end{cases}
$$

where $r>0$ and $r \geqslant \frac{\alpha-2}{2}$. Indeed, if we set $\varphi=I_{\alpha} \circ \eta$, then for every $(t, v) \in \mathbb{R} \times X$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(t, v)=\frac{e^{\frac{4 t}{p-4}}}{2}\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2} & +\frac{e^{\frac{2 p t-4 t}{p-4}}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(1+e^{\alpha t}|x|^{a}\right) v^{2} d x \\
& -\frac{t e^{\frac{4 p t-8 t}{p-4}}}{8 \pi}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} v^{2} d x\right)^{2}-\frac{e^{\frac{4 p t-8 t}{p-4}}}{4} V_{0}(v)+\frac{e^{\frac{4 p t-8 t}{p-4}}}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|v|^{p} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

if $p>4$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(t, v)=\frac{e^{2 r t}}{2}\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2} & +\frac{e^{(2 r+2) t}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(1+e^{\alpha t}|x|^{a}\right) v^{2} d x \\
& -\frac{t e^{(4 r+4) t}}{8 \pi}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} v^{2} d x\right)^{2}-\frac{e^{(4 r+4) t}}{4} V_{0}(v)+\frac{e^{(p r+2) t}}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|v|^{p} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

if $2<p \leqslant 4$.
In any case, since for every $v \in X$ we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \varphi(t, v)=-\infty$, the class of paths

$$
\tilde{\Gamma}:\{\tilde{\gamma}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times X \mid \tilde{\gamma} \text { is continuous, } \tilde{\gamma}(0)=(0,0), \varphi(\tilde{\gamma}(1))<0\}
$$

is nonempty. This permits to repeat the arguments in [9, Lemma 3.2] and conclude.

Proof. of Theorem 1.3. First we are going to prove that there exists a bounded Cerami sequence for the functional $I_{\alpha}$ at the level $c_{\alpha}$.
Consider $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ the sequence obtained in Lemma 3.2 and prove that it is bounded in $X$.

We distinguish two cases.
$1^{\text {st }}$ case: $p>4$. Then
(20)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\left(1+|x|^{\alpha}\right) u_{n}^{2}\right) d x-\frac{1}{4} V_{0}\left(u_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} d x=c_{\alpha}+o_{n}(1) \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\left(1+|x|^{\alpha}\right) u_{n}^{2}\right) d x-V_{0}\left(u_{n}\right)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} d x=o_{n}(1) \\
& \frac{2}{p-4}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{p-2}{p-4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\frac{p-2}{p-4}+\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|x|^{\alpha} u_{n}^{2} d x \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{4}-\frac{p-2}{p-4} V_{0}\left(u_{n}\right)+4\left(\frac{p-2}{p(p-4)}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} d x=o_{n}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying (22) by $-\frac{p-4}{4(p-2)}$ and adding (20) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{p-3}{2(p-2)}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{2(p-2)-(p-4) \alpha}{8(p-2)} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|x|^{\alpha} u_{n}^{2} d x  \tag{23}\\
& +\frac{p-4}{32 \pi(p-2)}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{4}=c_{\alpha}+o_{n}(1)
\end{align*}
$$

$2^{\text {nd }}$ case: $2<p \leqslant 4$. Then, we again have (20) and, instead of (22),

$$
\begin{align*}
r\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}+(r+1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} & +\left(r+1+\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|x|^{\alpha} u_{n}^{2} d x  \tag{24}\\
& -\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{4}-(r+1) V_{0}\left(u_{n}\right)+\frac{p r+2}{p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}=o_{n}(1)
\end{align*}
$$

where $r>\max (0,(\alpha-2 / 2))$.
Multiplying (24) by $-\frac{1}{4(r+1)}$ and adding (20) we obtain
(25)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{r+2}{4(r+1)}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{2(r+1)-\alpha}{8(r+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|x|^{\alpha} u_{n}^{2} d x \\
&+\frac{1}{32 \pi(r+1)}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{4}+\frac{(4-p) r+2}{4 p(r+1)}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}=c_{\alpha}+o_{n}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (23) and (25) we deduce that the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is in any case bounded in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
Now, if $2<p \leqslant 4$, from (25) we soon deduce that $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded also in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{*}$.
If $p>4$, comparing (20) with (21) in order to eliminate $V_{0}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\left(1+|x|^{\alpha}\right) u_{n}^{2}\right) d x & =c_{\alpha}+\frac{p-4}{4 p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} d x+o_{n}(1) \\
& \leqslant C+o_{n}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

and then, again $\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{*}\right)_{n}$ is bounded.
Now, up to a subsequence, we are allowed to assume that there exists $\bar{u} \in X$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{u} \text { in } X,  \tag{26}\\
& u_{n} \rightarrow \bar{u} \text { in } L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \text { for any } q \geqslant 2 . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

We are going to show that $u_{n} \rightarrow \bar{u}$ strongly in $X$.
First observe that, since $\left\langle I_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-\bar{u}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$, by (26) and (27), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
o_{n}(1)=\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\|\bar{u}\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left[V_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-\bar{u}\right)-V_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-\bar{u}\right)\right] \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

By computations based on the application of Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-\bar{u}\right)\right| \leqslant C\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\frac{8}{3}}^{3}\left\|u_{n}-\bar{u}\right\|_{\frac{8}{3}}=o_{n}(1) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by (14) and (27),

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|V_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-\bar{u}\right)\right|= \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log (2+|x-y|) u_{n}^{2}(x) u_{n}(y)\left(u_{n}(y)-\bar{u}(y)\right) d x d y\right|  \tag{30}\\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log (2+|x|) u_{n}^{2}(x) u_{n}(y)\left(u_{n}(y)-\bar{u}(y)\right) d x d y\right| \\
&+\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log (2+|y|) u_{n}^{2}(x) u_{n}(y)\left(u_{n}(y)-\bar{u}(y)\right) d x d y\right| \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(C_{\alpha}+|x|^{\alpha}\right) u_{n}^{2}(x) u_{n}(y)\left(u_{n}(y)-\bar{u}(y)\right) d x d y\right| \\
&+\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log (2+|y|) u_{n}(y)\left(u_{n}(y)-\bar{u}(y)\right) d y\right| \\
& \leqslant \frac{C_{\alpha}}{2 \pi}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{*}^{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}\left\|u_{n}-\bar{u}\right\|_{2} \\
&+\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log (2+|y|) u_{n}(y)\left(u_{n}(y)-\bar{u}(y)\right) d y\right| \\
&=o_{n}(1)+\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log (2+|y|) u_{n}(y)\left(u_{n}(y)-\bar{u}(y)\right) d y\right|
\end{align*}
$$

Take $C_{\alpha}^{\prime}>1$ such that for any $r>0$

$$
\log ^{2}(2+r) \leqslant C_{\alpha}^{\prime}+r^{\alpha}
$$

Again by (27), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log (2+|y|) u_{n}(y)\left(u_{n}(y)-\bar{u}(y)\right) d y\right| & \leqslant\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log ^{2}(2+|y|) u_{n}^{2}(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|u_{n}-\bar{u}\right\|_{2} \\
& \left.\leqslant\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(C_{\alpha}^{\prime}+|x|^{\alpha}\right)\right) u_{n}^{2}(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|u_{n}-\bar{u}\right\|_{2} \\
& =o_{n}(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

and then, by (30),

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-\bar{u}\right)=o_{n}(1) . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting together (28), (29) and (31), by (26) we deduce that $u_{n} \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in $X$. Since $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is a Cerami sequence for $I_{\alpha}$ at the mountain pass level $c_{\alpha}$, we conclude that $\bar{u}$ is a nontrivial solution to $\left(\mathcal{P}_{-}^{\alpha}\right)$.
3.2. The autonomous case. In this section we assume that the hypotheses in Theorem 1.4 are satisfied. A large part of the arguments are similar to those in the previous subsection, so we will sketch the proof framework.

Consider the space $\mathcal{H}$, defined as the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined by

$$
\|\cdot\|=\|\nabla \cdot\|_{2}+\|\cdot\|_{\beta}
$$

and denote by $\mathcal{H}_{r}$ the subspace of radial functions. By a standard method (see for example [4]) we can see that $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for every $q \geqslant \beta$. Moreover, since by Strauss radial Lemma [15], there exist $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ positive constants such that for every $u \in \mathcal{H}_{r}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(x)| \leqslant \frac{C}{|x|}\|u\|_{H^{1}} \leqslant \frac{C^{\prime}}{|x|}\|u\|, \quad|x| \geqslant 1, \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

usual arguments lead to conclude that the embedding $\mathcal{H}_{r} \hookrightarrow L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is compact for $q>\beta$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log (2+|x|) u^{2} d x \leqslant & \log 3 \int_{B_{1}} u^{2} d x  \tag{33}\\
& \quad+C^{\prime 2-\beta}\|u\|^{2-\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{1}} \frac{\log (2+|x|)}{|x|^{2-\beta}}|u|^{\beta} d x \\
\leqslant & C\left(\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\|u\|^{2-\beta}\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta}\right) \leqslant C\|u\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

and then the functional

$$
I_{\beta}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{4} V_{0}(u)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}|u|^{\beta}+\frac{1}{p}|u|^{p}\right) d x
$$

is well defined and $C^{1}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{r}$. Finally, any critical point of the functional yields a solution to ( $\mathcal{P}_{-}^{\beta}$ ).
Proposition 3.3. There exist $\rho>0, \gamma>0$ and $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{H}_{r}$ such that, said $B_{\rho}$ the ball of radius $\rho$ in $\mathcal{H}_{r}$,

- $I_{\beta \mid B_{\rho}} \geqslant 0$ and $I_{\beta \mid \partial B_{\rho}} \geqslant \gamma$,
- $\|\bar{u}\|>\rho$ and $I_{\beta}(\bar{u})<0$.

Proof. The first property is a consequence of (33). Indeed, computing as in (16) we have $V_{1}(u) \leqslant C\|u\|^{4}$ for all $u \in \mathcal{H}_{r}$ and then, if $\|u\|$ small enough,

$$
I_{\beta}(u) \geqslant \frac{1}{\beta}\|u\|^{2}-C\|u\|^{4} .
$$

As to the second property, we consider $u \in \mathcal{H}_{r}$ and test the functional in $u_{t}=u(\cdot / t)$

$$
I_{\beta}\left(u_{t}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{t^{4} \log t}{8 \pi}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u^{2} d x\right)^{2}-\frac{t^{4}}{4} V_{0}(u)+t^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\frac{|u|^{\beta}}{\beta}+\frac{|u|^{p}}{p}\right) d x
$$

Then $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} I_{\beta}\left(u_{t}\right)=-\infty$ and we conclude.
Define $c_{\beta}$ as the mountain pass level for $I_{\beta}$.
Lemma 3.4. There exists a Cerami sequence for $I_{\beta}$ at the level $c_{\beta}$, namely a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $X$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\beta}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\beta} \\
& \left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for which $P\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(u)=-\frac{1}{8 \pi}\|u\|_{2}^{4}-V_{0}(u)+\frac{2}{\beta}\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta}+\frac{2}{p}\|u\|_{p}^{p} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof is definitely the same as in [11].
Now we are ready for the following
Proof of Theorem 1.3. Consider a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ as in Lemma 3.4. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{4} V_{0}\left(u_{n}\right)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\beta}+\frac{1}{p}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right) d x=c_{\beta}+o_{n}(1)  \tag{35}\\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x-V_{0}\left(u_{n}\right)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{\beta}+\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right) d x=o_{n}(1)  \tag{36}\\
& -\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{4}-V_{0}\left(u_{n}\right)+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\beta}+\frac{1}{p}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right) d x=o_{n}(1) \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing (35) and (37) in order to delete $V_{0}\left(u_{n}\right)$ we get

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{32 \pi}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{4}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\beta}+\frac{1}{p}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right) d x=c_{\beta}+o_{n}(1)
$$

and then we deduce the boundedness of $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{r}$.
Up to a subsequence, we are allowed to assume that there exists $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{H}_{r}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{u} \text { in } \mathcal{H}_{r} \\
& u_{n} \rightarrow \bar{u} \text { in } L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \text { for any } q>\beta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, the proof proceeds analogously to that of Thoerem 1.3, using (33) in the place of (15), and we arrive to show that $u_{n} \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{r}$. Since $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is a Cerami sequence at the mountain pass level, this is sufficient to conclude that $\bar{u}$ is a nontrivial solution.
3.3. A nonexistence result in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. First we present the following Pohozaev identity, whose proof can be found in [8]

Lemma 3.5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.5 , if $(u, \phi) \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \times \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ solves $\mathcal{P}_{-}$in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $W(u) \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ then the following identity holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{5}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi u^{2} d x+3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} W(u) d x=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an immediate consequence we have the following

Proof of Theorem 1.5. As it is well known, since $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ solves the second equation, it can be explicitly expressed by

$$
\phi(x)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{u^{2}(y)}{|x-y|} d y \geqslant 0 .
$$

As a consequence, by 38 and $W 2$,

$$
0 \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x=-\frac{5}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi u^{2} d x-3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} W(u) d x \leqslant 0
$$

and then $u=0$. Of course, this implies that also $\phi=0$ and we conclude.
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