Compromising Emission: Eavesdropping on Terahertz Wireless Channels in Atmospheric Turbulence
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ABSTRACT Wireless networks operating at terahertz (THz) frequencies have been proposed as a promising candidate to support the ever-increasing capacity demand, which cannot be satisfied with existing radio-frequency (RF) technology. On the other hand, they likely will serve as backbone infrastructure and could therefore be an attractive target for eavesdropping attacks. Compared with regular RF spectrum, wireless channels in the THz range could be less vulnerable to interceptions because of their high beam directionality and small signal coverage. However, a risk for eavesdropping can still exist due to the multipath effects caused by unintended scattering. In this work, an eavesdropping risk for THz channel passing atmospheric turbulences and producing compromising emissions is investigated from a physical layer perspective. A model combining signal attenuation due to turbulence, gaseous absorption, and beam divergence, is developed for prediction of deterministic and probabilistic signal leakages. The secrecy capacity and outage probability of a point-to-point THz channel are derived and analyzed with respect to variations of the turbulence strength and other channels characteristics. The dependence of the channel performance on the eavesdropper’s position is investigated with respect to the maximum safe data transmission rate (MSR) and the signal leakage region. Design results for THz channels are provided to minimize an eavesdropping risk on the physical layer.
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I. INTRODUCTION
The evolving requirement for high data rate services and applications, such as online education and medical services, kiosk downloading and Internet of Things (IoT), has pushed research on THz wireless communications over several years [1]. Compared with its RF counterparts, THz wireless techniques can offer several advantages such as high data capacity, solution to communication blackout [2] and RF interference isolation. Its distinct feature, the requirement for line-of-sight (LOS) conditions for proper signaling, protects it against simple eavesdropping attacks [3]. In other words, THz wireless channels suffer lower compromising emission and are less vulnerable to interceptions compared to RF channels because of the high beam directivity they are able to provide. However, in some outdoor scenarios (such as in rain, snow and atmospheric turbulence), where the LOS requirement can be compromised [4][5], eavesdropping of scattered radiation may take place [6].

Early studies of eavesdropping on THz signals have been performed in [7], which analyzed backscattered radiation of a LOS indoor channel. Subsequent to that work, methods employing multipath schemes [8] and multiplexed orbital angular momentum beams [9] were proposed and studied theoretically to overcome signal leakage. However, only little is published about compromising emissions of a THz link operating in adverse outdoor weather conditions, even though many efforts concentrating on FSO links have been discussed [10][11]. In this work, we try to investigate the possibility of eavesdropping on a THz channel propagating through atmospheric turbulence under consideration of turbulence strength, the eavesdropper’s location and the channel conditions.
Section 2 presents the models we use for simulating atmospheric turbulence and THz signal propagation. We also compare our models with experimental data. In section 3, we introduce a model for deterministic signal leakage of a LOS THz channel and analyze the impact of turbulences on the channel. Section 4 estimates based on a probabilistic approach the signal leakage that enables eavesdropping. We conclude in section 5 our study with some remarks about security aspects of future THz links.

II. MODELLING PROPAGATION THROUGH ATMOSPHERIC TURBULENCES

Wireless channels propagating in outdoor scenarios can be attenuated by gaseous absorption by water vapor, turbulences or bigger particles like rain drops [12]. The total gaseous attenuation is often written as $\alpha_g = A_g + S_g$ where $A_g$ stands for the absorption loss by water vapor and other gases (such as oxygen) [13][14]. $S_g$ is the scattering loss obtained by Rayleigh or Mie scattering theories [15]. However, when the channels passing atmospheric turbulence, there should be one more factor that attributes to the atmospheric attenuation $G_f$. This attenuation $A_t$ relates to the coefficient $\alpha_t$ which was first proposed by Naboulsi for electromagnetic waves propagating through weak turbulence [16] and can be expressed as $A_t = \alpha_t L = 2(23.17k^9C^2L^{10})^2$ [dB] [17] with $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ as the wave number, $L$ as the propagation distance in turbulence (equals link distance $d$) and $C_n^2$ as the refractive index structure parameter. $C_n^2$ classifies the turbulence strength as listed in Table 1 and is usually derived from the air velocity and/or temperature fluctuations [18]. For vertical or slant paths, $C_n^2$ can depend on the altitude as described in the Hufnagle-Valley Model [19]. However, Naboulsi’s model is not designed for the moderate and strong turbulence conditions as experimentally described in [20] and [21]. Also, it does not consider aperture averaging on the receive side and is suitable for plane waves only [17]. Wilfert’s method rates the attenuation [19] as

$$A_t = \alpha_t L = 10 \log \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_n^2}{\sigma_o^2}\right) \quad [\text{dB}]$$

for plane or spherical waves and considers averaging based on limited aperture size $D$. The term $\sigma_n^2$ is the scintillation index (i.e. normalized variance of irradiance) by Rylov approximations [22]. It could be used for predicting the propagation of infinite plane and spherical waves along a horizontal path in atmospheric turbulences over the whole turbulence strength. This equation holds only for turbulences with Rylov variances $\sigma_n^2 = 1.23C_n^2k^{7/6}L^{1/6}$ (for a plane wave) and $\beta_n^2 = 0.5C_n^2k^{7/6}L^{1/6}$ (for a spherical wave) being smaller than 1. This could always be satisfied at THz frequencies, whose much larger wavelength can isolate or reduce the influence of scintillation effects [4]. In the following we estimate the THz signal attenuation due to atmospheric turbulences by applying (1) and write the atmospheric attenuation as

$$G_f = \exp\left[\left(-\alpha_t + \alpha_g\right)d\right] = \exp\left[-\alpha_{daw}d\right] \quad (2)$$

Atmospheric turbulence is caused by spatial and temporal temperature/pressure inhomogeneities in air [23][24] and can usually be modelled as a large number of air pockets with varying sizes (between a small scale size $l_0$ and a large scale size $L_0$), temperatures and pressures, which could also lead to beam divergence. The signal loss caused by divergence can be obtained as

$$G_D = 4A\left(\pi d^2\alpha_d^2\right)$$

with $A$ being the effective receiving area of Bob’s antenna and $\alpha_d$ being the full divergence angle of the beam.

Combining both, the atmospheric attenuation (2) and divergence attenuation (3), yields the total loss of the LOS channel

$$G_{LOS} = G_fG_D = \frac{4Ae^{-\alpha_{daw}d}}{\pi d^2\alpha_d^2} \quad (4)$$

Turbulence induced signal variation can be split into a slow component and a fast component [4]. The former one is an averaged value ($\alpha_d$) caused by variation of refractive index. We refer to the channel loss given by (4) as deterministic attenuation for our analysis of deterministic eavesdropping in section 3. The latter one is due to the fast and random fluctuation of the refractive index, which accounts for the probabilistic eavesdropping in Section 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turbulence strength</th>
<th>$C_n^2$ ($\text{m}^{-2/3}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>$&lt; 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>$10^{-7}$ to $10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>$&gt; 10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our model assumes a point-to-point outdoor THz wireless channel with the configuration shown in Fig.1(a). A transmitter (Alice) sends information to a legitimate receiver (Bob) by a LOS channel through absorbing and scattering turbulences, which is described by (4). An eavesdropper (Eve) outside the beam but positioned in its nearby proximity aims to capture data through a NLOS path. To ensure a conservative risk evaluation, we assume the eavesdropper has complete knowledge of the legitimate channel’s parameters and has sufficient computational capabilities. The positions of Alice and Bob are fixed. Eve adjusts its antenna position and steering direction for optimal detection of the captured signal, which can be described by the deterministic channel gain of the NLOS path. In this work, we apply the widely used single-Scattering model for the calculation of this term [25][26]. When the signal (Fig. 1(a)) is transmitted along the $x$-axis from Alice at $(0,0)$ to Bob at $(d,0)$ with Eve at $(x,y)$ whose NLOS channel gain $G_{NLOS}$ [27] reads

$$G_{NLOS} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Omega(l)p(\mu)\alpha_{daw}e^{-\alpha_{daw}|l|}e^{-\frac{d^2}{2\alpha_d^2}}dl$$

(5)
The limits for $l$ are expressed by an upper and lower bound ($L_a$, $L_b$), which describes the scattering region. $\Omega(l)$ denotes the solid angle from the receiving area to the scattering center as

$$\Omega(l) = \frac{A}{(x-l)^2 + y^2} \left( \frac{x-l}{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \alpha}} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

(6)

The factor $p(\mu)$ is defined as scattering phase function indicating the probability distribution of scattering angle. When a generalized Henyey-Greenstein function is adopted, it reads

$$p(\mu) = \frac{1-g^2}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{(1+g^2-2g\mu)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + f \frac{3\mu^2-1}{2(1+g^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right]$$

(7)

with $\mu = (x-l)/[(x-l)^2 + y^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ representing the cosine of scattering angle and $g$ is an asymmetry factor related to wavelength, scattering particle radius and refractive index [28].

To evaluate the accuracy of the model, we have conducted measurements by employing a 625 GHz wireless channel propagating through emulated atmospheric turbulences using a weather chamber [4]. The atmospheric turbulence was generated by introducing air flows at different temperatures (35°C, 55°C and 70°C) and air speeds (28.6 m/s and 41.6 m/s) into a weather chamber. The turbulence strength can be adjusted from $3.5 \times 10^{-11}$ m$^{-2/3}$ to $2.3 \times 10^{-9}$ m$^{-2/3}$, which corresponds to maximum Rytov variances of $\sigma^2 = 0.059$ and $\beta^2 = 0.037$ for a plane wave and spherical wave, respectively, i.e. making (1) applicable for our applications.

Two Teflon lenses with 32 mm focal length and 5 cm diameter collimate our THz beam which can be well represented by a plane wave. Antenna gains as high as 55 dBi [29] have been obtained with parabolic offset reflectors whereas our lenses provide about 20 dBi but benefit from relatively easy handling. Fig. 2(b) shows theoretical results which qualitatively agree with the experimental data taken over a channel distance of 1 m and confirm the applicability of (4).

The attenuation across the THz spectrum obtained with this model is plotted for turbulence strengths from $C_n^2 = 3.5 \times 10^{-11}$ m$^{-2/3}$ to $2.3 \times 10^{-9}$ m$^{-2/3}$ in Fig. 2(c). The attenuation caused by turbulences follows the spectral absorption in an undistorted path but is offset. Wireless channels operating at frequency windows around 140, 220 and 340 GHz, have been utilized to demonstrate long distance signaling [30][31][32]. The transmission band at 675 GHz has been proposed as most suitable candidate for practical realization of 1 Tbps data transmission [33]. However, we choose for our modelling wireless channels propagating over 1 km and operating at 140, 220, 340 and 675 GHz to predict their vulnerability to eavesdropping.

III. DETERMINISTIC EAVESDROPPING ATTACK

A. CHANNEL MODELING

Table 2 shows the basic system parameters of our channel model that assumes equal receiver sensitivity on Bob’s and Eve’s sides. The beam divergence $\alpha_s = 20 \text{ mrad}$ accounts for small Tx misalignments at THz frequencies. Fig. 2(a) shows the channel gain at turbulence strengths up to $C_n^2 = 1.0 \times 10^{-10}$ m$^{-2/3}$, which corresponds to $\beta^2 = 0.49$. Solid black lines represent the evolution of the channel gain $G_{LOS}$ for the LOS channel to Bob as a function of the turbulence strength $C_n^2$ and the dashed blue lines stand for the NLOS channel gain received by Eve. In practice, if Eve is close to the LOS path and covered by the LOS beam, it could obtain the NLOS and LOS components together. But in this work, we neglect the LOS beam coverage (which was discussed in [7]) and just consider a point-to-point channel.
For weak turbulences in Fig. 2(a), Bob’s received power becomes stronger while Eve’s receive power stays about constant. When the turbulence strength reaches about $C_n^2 = 5.8 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^{-2/3}$, the two lines cross over and a significant signal leakage results. Equations (4) and (5) suggest that eavesdropping becomes more difficult after increasing the minimum distance from Eve to the LOS channel path, which we assume as fix in our applications.

Wyner’s secrecy capacity metric [34] is applied to evaluate wireless system signal leakages. It was defined as the highest data rate that can be attained from Alice to Bob with keeping Eve ignorant [35] and can be expressed as

$$C_s = [I(X;Y) - I(X;Z)]$$

(8)

with $[x]^+ = \max\{0, x\}$ indicating that the value will be 0 when $x \leq 0$ and will be $x$ when $x > 0$. Parameters $X$, $Y$, and $Z$ represent the signals of the Alice, Bob, and Eve, respectively. $I(X;Y)$ and $I(X;Z)$ denote the mutual information of LOS and NLOS channels [34], respectively. The expressions for them could be found in [6] when an on-off keying (OOK) modulation format with a duty cycle $q$ and a Poisson distribution of photoelectrons is assumed [27]. OOK modulation is relatively easy to implement in lab test beds and is applied here, although several other higher order schemes (such as QPSK, QAM) have been demonstrated [36][37][38]. The calculation for $I(X;Y)$ and $I(X;Z)$, we set $\lambda_L = \eta G_{LOS} P / E_p$ and $\lambda_N = \eta G_{NLOS} P / E_p$ representing the mean numbers of detected photoelectrons of signal component in each bit slot for the LOS and NLOS channels, respectively. $P$ is the output power from Alice and $\eta$ is the receiver efficiency, which are identical for Bob and Eve in the modelling, which is available as in [39]. $E_p$ is the energy per THz photon and $\tau$ is an integration time of the receivers. $\lambda_L$ and $\lambda_N$ represent the mean number of detected photoelectrons of a background radiation component in each bit slot. The THz radiation is converted to direct current (DC) using a rectifying diode connected to the output of the antenna. We take the photoelectron in consideration in our calculation and the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of a receiver can be obtained by dividing $\lambda_L$ by $\lambda_B$ or $\lambda_N$ by $\lambda_L$. It is noteworthy that since the LOS and NLOS channel gains obtained by (4) and (5) are averaged (deterministic) values, the signal leakage predicted by (8) can be considered as an average leakage also. We would calculate and analyze the deterministic eavesdropping risks in term of the secrecy capacity.

### TABLE II

**List of Parameters Used in Calculations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location of Alice</td>
<td>(0m, 0m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of Bob</td>
<td>(1km, 0m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of Eve</td>
<td>(750m, 30m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature ($T$)</td>
<td>30 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure ($P_r$)</td>
<td>1013 hPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity (RH)</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B. SIGNAL LEAKAGE ANALYSIS**

The secrecy capacity with respect to an arbitrary position of Eve is shown in Fig. 2(b) and (c). In Fig. 2(b), its x-position varies from 0m to 1 km while y = 30m. When Eve is located with its x-position in the range of [28m, 742m], the channel secrecy capacity would be 0 Gbps. This means no data transmission could be achieved without signal leakage and we call this area as ‘leakage region’. If set to $x = 750m$ and change its y-position, the evolution of the secrecy capacity is plotted in Fig. 2(c). At positions of $y \leq 30m$, there is ‘leakage region’. When $y > 30m$, the secrecy capacity increases dramatically and reaches a maximum secrecy capacity (MSC). This indicates that the method - increasing the minimum distance from Eve to the LOS channel path, is capable to reduce a signal leakage and overcome eavesdropping risk.

The secrecy capacity distribution with respect to arbitrary 2-D positions of Eve is plotted in Fig. 2(d) with its color bar denotes the secrecy capacity in Gbps. The brightest color represents the MSR of 44 Gbps and the dark blue region represents $C_s = 0$ Gbps, which is the leakage
region. The horizontal and vertical white lines stand for the evolution of secrecy capacity versus $x$- and $y$- positions of Eve as plotted in Fig. 2(b) and (c), respectively.

![Evolution of channel gain](image1)

**FIGURE 2.** (a) Evolution of channel gain received by Bob (LOS) and Eve (NLOS) versus turbulence strength when Eve is located at (750m, 30m); (b) Evolution of channel secrecy capacity distribution versus x-position of Eve ($y = 30m$); (c) Evolution of channel secrecy capacity distribution versus y-position of Eve ($x = 750m$); (d) Secrecy capacity distribution for 2-D positions of Eve with a unit of Gbps in the color bar.

To see the dependence of signal leakage on carrier frequencies, we calculate the secrecy capacity of the channel operating at 140, 220, 340 and 675 GHz as in Fig. 3(a). With the increasing of carrier frequencies, the MSC value decreases significantly and the leakage region is extended due to more serious scattering and higher gaseous attenuation suffered by higher frequencies as in Fig. 1(c).

At 675 GHz, Bob’s received power is always smaller than that of Eve, which leads to a signal leakage ($C_s = 0$ Gbps). This is also indicated in the inserted plot in Fig. 3(a) with a whole region as dark blue. Thus, wireless channels operating at lower carrier frequencies would have lower compromising emission caused by signal attenuation and scattering effects.

Fig. 3(b) shows the leakage response of a 340 GHz channel on turbulence strength variation. The MSC is decreased when the turbulence strength between $C_n^2 = 10^{-12}$ m$^{-2/3}$ and $10^{-10}$ m$^{-2/3}$. This trend is consistent with the measurement in Fig. 1(b) and the calculation in Fig. 2(a), where stronger atmospheric turbulences would decrease the difference of Bob’s and Eve’s receive power.

Besides of the channel power loss, the atmospheric turbulence would also lead to beam divergence and pointing errors. In Fig. 3(c), we calculate the variation of secrecy capacity with respect to the change of the divergence angle. When $\alpha_i$ increases from 25 mrad to 35 mrad, the leakage region is expanded and the MSC is reduced from $C_s = 27$ Gbps to 13 Gbps. However, since a larger divergence and pointing error correspond to stronger atmospheric turbulence, the trend in Fig. 3(b) should be broadened. An attendance of Eve would affect the link performance if Eve could maximize the information it captured by increasing its FOV angle and receiver sensitivity. In Fig. 3(d), we estimate the secrecy capacity for different FOV angles and different SNR values. The leakage region is enlarged obviously with the SNR decreasing from 6 dB to 0 dB, even though the MSC is not affected. That’s because the MSC only depends on the receiver sensitivity of Bob. However, the change of capacity is not so pronounced when the FOV angle is 5° or 20°, which means that this would not be a good strategy for more successful eavesdropping.
FIGURE 3. Variation of secrecy capacity with respect to the y-position of Eve under different (a) carrier frequencies, (b) turbulence strengths, (c) divergence angles, and (d) receiver sensitivities and FOV angle for Eve. Inset of (a): secrecy capacity distribution for 2-D positions of Eve with a unit of Gbps in the color bar.

IV. PROBABILISTIC EAVESDROPPING ATTACK

A. CHANNEL MODELLING

In the above section, we evaluate the average channel power and secrecy capacity under different channel conditions. This is regarded as the deterministic signal eavesdropping analysis. In addition to this, the outage probability is another factor for eavesdropping risk on the physical layer. Since the received power and channel gain should always fluctuate spatially and temporally due to the scattering induced scintillation effects along the channel path [40], the secrecy capacity should be assumed a random variable. In this section, we introduce random fluctuations of the LOS channel, while the NLOS channel gain is still considered to be averaged due to the fact that the scattered signal power received by Eve can never be similar to or larger than the LOS gain. Otherwise, the LOS channel would fail due to a serious power loss.

To describe the signal distribution in atmospheric turbulence with the Raykov variance \( \sigma_r^2 \) \( \leq 1 \) or \( \beta_r^2 \) \( \leq 1 \), the log-normal model is regarded as a good tool [41] and secrecy outage probability is applied here to characterize the probabilistic eavesdropping risks. The outage probability is defined as the probability that the instantaneous secrecy capacity falls below a target rate \( R \) and it can be obtained by \( P_o(R) = \Pr \{ C_s < R \} \) [42] with \( R \geq 0 \) always. This expression can be rewritten for our model as

\[
P_o(R) = \int_{C_s < R} f_{\text{LOS}}(G_{\text{LOS}}) dG_{\text{LOS}} = \int_{C_s < R} G_{\text{LOS}} f_{\text{LOS}}(G_{\text{LOS}}) dG_{\text{LOS}}
\]

with \( G \) as the solution of \( C_s = R \). \( G_{\text{LOS}} \) becomes an instantaneous LOS channel gain here. The probability density function of the instantaneous LOS channel gain can then be expressed [22] as

\[
f_{\text{LOS}}(G_{\text{LOS}}) = \frac{1}{G_{\text{LOS}} \sqrt{2\pi \sigma_r^2}} \exp \left( -\frac{\log^2(G_{\text{LOS}} / \overline{G_{\text{LOS}}})}{2\sigma_r^2} \right)
\]

(10)

Here, \( \overline{G_{\text{LOS}}} \) is the mean value of the random variable \( G_{\text{LOS}} \). \( \langle \log(G_{\text{LOS}} / \overline{G_{\text{LOS}}}) \rangle \) stands for the mean value of \( \log(G_{\text{LOS}} / \overline{G_{\text{LOS}}}) \). The parameter \( \sigma_r^2 \) represents the variance of \( \log(G_{\text{LOS}}) \). In the presence of atmosphere turbulence, \( \sigma_r^2 \) is defined as the Rykov variance characterizing the strength of turbulence over a transmission channel for a spherical wave. The variance \( \sigma_r^2 = 0.5C_n^2 k^{16} d^{1/6} \) is a function of atmosphere refraction structure parameter \( C_n^2 \), the wave number \( k \), and the channel distance \( d \).

B. SIGNAL LEAKAGE ANALYSIS

To obtain the probabilistic response of the signal leakage, we repeat the calculation as in Fig. 3 by conducting outage probability in (9) and show the results in Fig. 4 with the basic parameter values as in Table 2. We set the intended data rate \( R = 10 \) Gbps which is a common achievement for wireless channels with carriers at THz range [43]. Identical to the trend in Fig. 3(a), the outage probability is very sensitive to the carrier frequency as shown in Fig. 4(a). The 140 GHz and 220 GHz channels are almost secure over the whole region with minimum outage probability (MOP) below \( 10^{-4} \), which means there is no leakage region. Oppositely, the 675 GHz channel definitely suffers signal leakage because its MOP always 1. For the 340 GHz channel, its outage probability starts to change when \( y = 330 \) m and then decreases significantly to a constant value of \( \text{MOP} = 0.16\% \). Therefore, in the turbulence regime, lower carrier frequencies should be used to reduce signal loss and enlarge the received power difference between Bob and Eve.

Fig. 4(b) presents the variation of the outage probability for a 340 GHz channel propagating through atmospheric turbulence at different strengths. It is shown that, due to the turbulence induced channel degradation, the outage probability decreases significantly when the turbulence becomes stronger. Fig. 4(c) shows the impact of the beam divergence and pointing error. Identical trend is observed as in Fig. 3(g). Fig. 4(d) shows the impact of Eve’s receiver sensitivity and FOV angle. Note that, since we assume Eve has the complete channel state information (CSI) of the legitimate channel and has sufficient computational capabilities, it should be able to modify its receiver sensitivity and FOV angle to gather confidential information. Alice and Bob should utilize noise properties
to reduce the SNR at Eve’s side and minimize or avoid a signal leakage.

In this paper, we investigate the potential of compromising emission on a point-to-point THz wireless channel passing through atmospheric turbulence when an unauthorized user (eavesdropper) locates in nearby proximity and tries to capture confidential information. A model combining signal attenuation due to turbulence, gaseous absorption and beam divergence is proposed to evaluate the signal leakage in terms of secrecy capacity and outage probability. The effects of turbulence characteristics and channel conditions on the channel performance are separated into two main scenarios, namely, the deterministic and probabilistic eavesdropping risks, which corresponds to deterministic (averaged) attenuation and random (probabilistic) attenuation. It has been shown that wireless data transmission with lower compromising emission and signal leakage could be achieved by reducing the carrier frequencies, increasing the minimum distance from Eve to the LOS channel path and introducing random noise. We present a comprehensive model for estimation the performance of a THz channel. Its implementations can minimize an eavesdropping risk on the physical layer.

Physical layer techniques, such as cooperative nodes, noise randomness and multi-antenna techniques, are usually developed to reduce signal leakage from modern wireless communication systems [44]. Our model and findings can be helpful for testing new methods to minimize risk for eavesdropping.
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