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ABSTRACT. In this paper we give a recursive algorithm to construct two families of \((0,1)\)-matrices, one sparse regular and the other dense. We study various properties of the two families of \((0,1)\)-matrices built with our algorithm. We present a new construction of two classes of isodual linear codes, one is the low density generator matrix codes and other is the dense linear codes, for both codes we obtain the polynomial of the distribution of weights, a bound for the minimum distance and we apply to these codes the efficient encoders based on approximate lower triangulations developed by Richardson-Urbanke. We identify the unique \((0,1)\)-matrices, up basis change, associated with the geometry of the Lagrangian-Grassmannian variety.

1. INTRODUCTION

Matrices with special “structure” arise in many parts of mathematics and its applications. Inspired by the geometry of the Lagrangian-Grassmannian variety we studied a type of LG-matrices. More explicitly, the Lagrangian-Grassmannian variety \(L(n, 2n)\) parametrizes the set of all maximal isotropic subspaces (Lagrangian) of a symplectic vector space of dimension \(2n\) over arbitrary field \(F\), this variety is a linear section of the Grassmann variety and we can see as a set of zeros of linear homogeneous polynomials (see [3]) and has a type of LG-matrix associated. Following this path the reader can see a connection between various areas of mathematics, the symplectic geometry, theory of matrices (\((0,1)\)-matrix, persymmetric matrix, incidence matrix) and applications.

In this paper, we present a recursive algorithm to construct two families of \((0,1)\)-matrices. The first family of sparse regular type, for this, we start with \(k\) and \(\ell\) any positive integers and we build a structured sparse \((0,1)\)-matrix \(A_{\ell-2}^k\) in approximate lower triangular form of order \(C_{k+\ell-1}^k \times C_{k+\ell-1}^\ell\), with \(k\) ones in each row and \(\ell\) ones in each column for \(k\) and \(\ell\) any positive integers.

The second family of dense type, that is, modifying the algorithm of the first family we construct \(B_{\ell-2}^k\) dense matrix of order \(C_{k+\ell-1}^k \times C_{k+\ell-1}^\ell\) with the property \(A_{\ell-2}^k + B_{\ell-2}^k = J\), where \(J\) is the matrix full of ones. The importance of this is that we propose an algorithmic construction.

We proof that

i) \(A_{\ell-2}^k\) is a sparse matrix and is in approximate lower diagonal form, \(B_{\ell-2}^k\) is a dense matrix and \(A_{\ell-2}^k + B_{\ell-2}^k = J\), where \(J\) is the matrix full of ones.

ii) \(A_{\ell-2}^k\) and \(B_{\ell-2}^k\) are fragmented matrices.

iii) \(A_{k-2}^k\) and \(B_{k-2}^k\) are persymmetric matrices.
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iv) $A^{k-3}_k$ is an incidence matrix between a triangulated set $T_m$ and the set $\{0, 1\}^{I(m/2, m)}$, where $k = \frac{m+2}{2}$ and $m$ is even positive integer.

v) Using $A^{k-3}_k$, we obtain $\mathcal{M}$ the incidence structure of section lineal of LG variety.

This paper contains the following applications:

1. We present two classes of isodual linear codes, one is the low density generator matrix codes and other is the dense linear codes, for both isodual codes we obtain the polynomial of the distribution of weights and a bound for its the minimum distance. An important fact is that they have the properties of persymmetric and similarity.

2. We introduce a family of Low Density Parity Check codes (LDPC-codes), these are a generalization of the codes considered in [4]. For our new family of codes we propose an optimal decoding algorithm using “Efficient encoders based on approximate lower triangulations” developed by Richardson-Urbanke see [7]. Our construction has enough reduction of computation time to implement the method of Richardson-Urbanke, this is due to the matrix associate to LDPC-codes is in approximate lower triangular form.

3. We identify the unique $(0, 1)$-matrices $\mathcal{M}$, up basis change, associated with the geometry of the Lagrangian-Grassmannian variety as a linear section of the Grassmann variety. For this purpose, the combinatorial study of the $A^{k-3}_k$ matrix is essential, this is a technical part of the work, and we proof that $\mathcal{M}$ is a block diagonal matrix. In the case that the scalar field $\mathbb{F}$ is finite, $\mathcal{M}$ uniquely characterizes the linear section of the Grassmann variety such that annihil the set of its rational points.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains preliminary and basic $(0, 1)$-matrix notation. Section 3 and 4 we present two algorithm to construct the matrices $A^{k-2}_k$ and $B^{k-2}_k$ and we proof several of the properties that these have. Section 5 we shown that the matrix $A^{k-3}_k$ is incidence matrix of the configuration of triangle-sets $T_m$ of the set of indexes. Section 6 we proof that a sparse $(0, 1)$-matrix $\mathcal{M}$ it is is expressed as a direct sum of matrices $A^{k-3}_k$ see Theorems 6.8 and 6.9. Section 7 contains the applications (a), (b) and (c). Finally the paper contains an Appendix that deals with the Lagrangian-Grassmannian variety.

2. Preliminary

If a matrix $A$ has all its coefficients equal 0 or 1 is called a $(0, 1)$-matrix. Give a $(0, 1)$-matrix $A$ we say that is regular if the number of 1’s is fixed in each column and has a fixed number of 1’s in each row. If $A$ is not regular we say that is irregular. We say that $H$ is a matrix approximate lower diagonal form if in the lower left corner of $H$ is has an identity matrix as its submatrix. Following [9], let $A$ be a $(0, 1)$-matrix of order $p \times q$, the sum of row $i$ of $A$ be denoted by $r_i$ where $i = 1, \ldots, p$ and the sum of column $j$ of $A$ be denoted by $s_j$ where $j = 1, \ldots, q$. With the $(0, 1)$-matrix $A$ we associate the row sum vector $R = (r_1, \ldots, r_p)$ where the $i$-th component gives the sum of row $i$ of $A$. Similarly, the column sum vector $S$ is denoted by $S = (s_1, \ldots, s_q)$. The vectors $R$ and $S$ determine a class $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(R, S)$ consisting of all $(0, 1)$-matrices of size $p \times q$ with row sum vector $R$ and column sum vector $S$. If $R = (r, \ldots, r)$ and $S = (s, \ldots, s)$, for $r$ and $s$ positive integers, then $\mathcal{U}(R, S)$ is simply denoted by $\mathcal{U}(r, s)$. A sparse matrix is a $(0, 1)$-matrix in which most of the elements are zero.
If $A$ is an sparse matrix is important the 2 by 2 submatrices of $A$ of the types

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

Now an interchange is a transformation of the elements of $A$ that changes a specified minor of type $A_1$ into type $A_2$ or else a minor of type $A_2$ into type $A_1$, and leaves all other elements of $A$ unaltered. Suppose that we apply to $A$ a finite number of interchanges. Then by the nature of the interchange operation, the resulting matrix $A^*$ has row sum vector $R$ and column sum vector $S$. For the convenience of the reader we state:

**Theorem 2.1** (Ryser). Let $A$ and $A^*$ be two $m$ by $n$ matrices composed of 0's and 1's, possessing equal row sum vectors and equal column sum vectors. Then $A$ is transformable into $A^*$ by a finite number of interchanges.

**Proof.** See [9, Theorem 3.1].

Following [2], let $X = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ be a set of $n$ elements. We call $X$ an $n$-set. Now $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m$ be $m$ not necessarily distinct subsets of the $n$-set $X$. We refer to this collection of subsets of an $n$-set as a configuration of subsets. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ a matrix, such that $a_{ij} = 1$ if $a_{ij} \in A_i$ and we set $a_{ij} = 0$ if $a_{ij} \notin A_i$. The resulting $(0,1)$-matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ with $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,m\}, j \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ of size $m \times n$ is the incidence matrix for the configuration of subsets $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m$ of the $n$-set $X$. Finally, an abstract system consisting of two types of objects and a single relationship between these types of objects is called an incidence structure.

We employ the following notation. Let $m$ and $\ell$ be positive integers such that $\ell < m$ as usual in the literature $C_\ell^m$ denotes binomial coefficient, we define the index set

$$I(\ell, m) = \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell) \in \mathbb{N}^\ell : 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_\ell \leq m\}.$$ 

If $s \geq 1$ is a positive integer and $\Sigma$ is a nonempty set, we denote by $C_s(\Sigma)$ combinations of elements $\Sigma$ taken from $s$ ways. The elements of $C_s(\Sigma)$ are written as follows $\left(P_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, P_{\alpha_s}\right)$ where $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s) \in I(s, m)$ and we assume that $|\Sigma| = m$, the cardinality of $\Sigma$. Is important we notice that throughout this paper, when $\alpha$ belongs a set of indexes $I(s, m)$, it is assumed that belongs up a permutation that orders it properly.

We consider a symplectic vector space and a subspace, a way to establish if this subspace is isotropic, is by means of a matrix and the Plücker coordinates, such matrix is given in [4]. In this paper for $n \geq 4$ arbitrary positive integer, $m = 2k - 2$, $2 \leq k \leq r$ and $r = [\frac{n+2}{2}]$ partition is given in the whole $I(n-2, 2n)$ with sets $T_m$ called triangles and for each triangle $T_m$ defines a configuration and the incidence matrix of this configuration corresponds to a matrix $L$, we give a series of rules to form a matrix $M = L_k \oplus \cdots \oplus L_2$ which turns out to be a "canonical form" of the Lagrangian-Grassmannian variety $L(n, E)$ where $E$ is a symplectic vector space such that $\dim E = 2n$.

We define the matrix $^t A$ the flip-transpose of $A$ which flips $A$ across if skew-diagonal, so if $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$, then $^t A = (a_{n-j+1, n-i+1})_{n \times n}$. A matrix we call persymmetric if $^t A = A$. Let $A$ and $B$ be square matrices with coefficients in a field $\mathbb{F}$ of $n$ elements. If there exist an invertible square matrix $P$ of order $n$ over $\mathbb{F}$ such that $B = P^{-1}AP$, then $A$ and $B$ are similar.

We denote by $\mathbb{J}$ the matrix, square, filled with ones and call it matrix of ones, and $I^r_k$ denote the anti-identity matrix which an anti-diagonal square matrix, filled with ones in the anti-diagonal. So we denote that $J_k = \mathbb{J} - I_k$ where $I_k$ is the identity matrix of order $k$.
and note that the matrix $I_0^n$, with all anti-diagonal elements equal to 1, is the permutation matrix that reverses the order of elements of vectors.

A code is \textit{formally self-dual} if the code and its dual have the same weight enumerator. A code is \textit{isodual} if it is equivalent to its dual. Clearly, any isodual code is formally self-dual.

Binary codes are called even if provided have weights divisible by 2.

Gleason’s Theorem applies to even formally self-dual binary codes, states that if $C$ is such a code of length $n$, then

$$W_C = (x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{[n/8]} a_i g_1(x, y)^{n/2 - 4i} g_2(x, y)^i$$

where $g_1(x, y) = y^2 + x^2$ and $g_2(x, y) = y^8 + 14x^4y^4 + x^8$ are Gleason polynomials, see [6].

3. CONSTRUCTION OF SPARSE (0, 1)-MATRICES

We use our algorithm to construct a structured, sparse, (0, 1)-matrices $A_k^{\ell-2}$, in approximate lower diagonal form, with $k$ ones in each row and $\ell$ ones in each column for $k$ and $\ell$ any positive integers.

Let $M$ be a matrix of order $n \times m$, the operation $O(M)$ is to paste to the matrix $M$ at the bottom, the identity matrix $I_{m \times m}$, which generates a matrix $M(I_{m \times m})$ of order $(n + m) \times m$, that is $O(M) = M(I_{m \times m})$. If we have a matrix vector $V = (M_1, \ldots, M_t)$ the operation $O(V)$ is the matrix vector $(O(M_1), \ldots, O(M_t))$. Let $M_1$ and $M_2$ be two matrices of order $n_1 \times m_1$ and $n_2 \times m_2$ respectively where $n_1 \geq n_2$, paste the matrix \textit{concatenatedly to the right,} side by side, is to get the matrix $P(M_1, M_2) = M_1 \cup M_2$ of order $n_1 \times (m_1 + m_2)$, where $\cup$ means joining together side-by-side and aligning the bottoms of the corresponding identity matrices and filling the non-marked spaces on the upper right blocks with zeroes. Finally denote by $k$ the order matrix $1 \times k$ filled with 1’s.

3.1. \textbf{Algorithm to construct the matrix $A_k^{\ell-2} \in \mathcal{U}(k, \ell)$}. In this subsection we present an algorithm to construct a structured sparse (0, 1)-matrix in approximate lower triangular form, with $k$ ones in each row and $\ell$ ones in each column where $k$ and $\ell$ any positive integers.

\textbf{Algorithm 1}

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textbf{Input:}: $k$ and $\ell$ arbitrary positive integers.
  \item \textbf{Output:}: The matrix $A_k^{\ell-2}$ in approximate lower triangular form, with $k$ ones in each row and $\ell$ ones in each column.
\end{itemize}

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textbf{Step 1.}: Let $V = \{k, k-1, \ldots, 2, 1\}$ be matrix vector.
  \item \textbf{Step 2.}: We apply the operation $O(V)$ to the matrix vector given in Step 1 and we obtain the matrix vector $(O(k), O(k-1), \ldots, O(2), O(1))$.
  \item \textbf{Step 3.}: Put $P(O(k), O(k-1), \ldots, O(2), O(1))$ we generate a matrix that we denote by $A_k^0$.
  \item \textbf{Step 4.}: Now consider the matrix vector $V = (A_k^0, A_{k-1}^0, \ldots, A_2^0, A_1^0)$.
  \item \textbf{Step 5.}: Return to the Steps 2, 3, 4 with matrix vector $V = (A_k^0, A_{k-1}^0, \ldots, A_2^0, A_1^0)$ to build a matrix $A_k^{\ell-2}$ given by $P(O(A_k^0), O(A_{k-1}^0), \ldots, O(A_2^0), O(A_1^0))$.
\end{itemize}
Step 6: The algorithm ends when $k = \ell - 2$.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $k$ and $\ell$ be arbitrary positive integers, we define the matrices $A_k^{\ell-2}$ inductively as $A_0^k := \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}(k), \mathcal{O}(k-1), \ldots, \mathcal{O}(2), \mathcal{O}(1))$ and $A_1^k := \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}(A_0^k), \mathcal{O}(A_{k-1}^0), \ldots, \mathcal{O}(A_2^0), \mathcal{O}(A_0^0))$, that is

$$A_1^k = A_0^k \left( I_{C_2^{k+1}} \right) \sqcup A_0^{k-1} \left( I_{C_2^{k+1}} \right) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_0^0 \left( I_{C_2^2} \right) \sqcup A_0^0 \left( I_{C_2^2} \right).$$

With the previous notation we define the following matrices

$$A_2^k = A_1^k \left( I_{C_2^{k+2}} \right) \sqcup A_2^{k-1} \left( I_{C_2^{k+1}} \right) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_1^1 \left( I_{C_2^2} \right),$$

$$A_3^k = A_2^k \left( I_{C_2^{k+3}} \right) \sqcup A_2^{k-1} \left( I_{C_2^{k+2}} \right) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_2^1 \left( I_{C_2^3} \right),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$A_k^{\ell-2} = A_{\ell-3}^k \left( I_{C_2^{k+\ell-2}} \right) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_2^{\ell-3} \left( I_{C_2^{k+1}} \right) \sqcup A_1^{\ell-3} \left( I_{C_2^1} \right).$$

3.2. **Properties of $A_k^{\ell-2}$**. In this subsection we present some properties of the family of matrices $A_k^{\ell-2}$.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $k$ and $\ell$ be any positive integers. Then $A_k^{\ell-2}$ is a sparse $(0, 1)$-matrix with $k$ ones in each row and $\ell$ ones in each column, and is of order $C_2^{k+\ell-1} \times C_2^{\ell-1}$.

**Proof.** We will show that the matrix $A_k^{\ell-2}$ given above satisfies the theorem conditions. The proof is by induction on $\ell$, number of ones in each column and $k$ a fixed arbitrary positive integer. If $\ell = 2$ evidently $A_0^k \in \mathcal{U}(k, 2)$ for $k$ any positive integer. Now by induction hypothesis suppose that $A_{k-1}^{\ell-3} \in \mathcal{U}(k, \ell - 1)$. It is easy to see that the matrix $A_k^{\ell-3} \left( I_{C_2^{k+\ell-2}} \right)$ has $\ell$ ones in each column and $k$ ones in a part of the matrix rows and exactly $1$ in the rest of the rows.

Then the matrix $A_k^{\ell-3} \left( I_{C_2^{k+\ell-2}} \right) \sqcup A_{k-1}^{\ell-3} \left( I_{C_2^{k+\ell-3}} \right)$ has $\ell$ ones in each column and a greater number of rows with $k$ ones and the rest of rows with exactly two ones. Continuing in this way we obtain the matrix

$$A_1^{\ell-3} \left( I_{C_2^{k+\ell-2}} \right) \sqcup A_0^{\ell-3} \left( I_{C_2^{k+\ell-3}} \right) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_2^{\ell-3} \left( I_{C_2^1} \right),$$

this matrix has $\ell$ ones in each column and exactly $\ell$ rows with exactly $k - 1$ ones in each row. Then the matrix

$$A_k^{\ell-2} = A_{\ell-3}^k \left( I_{C_2^{k+\ell-2}} \right) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_2^{\ell-3} \left( I_{C_2^1} \right) \sqcup A_1^{\ell-3} \left( I_{C_2^1} \right)$$

has $k$ ones in each row and $\ell$ ones in each column. To find the order of the matrix, we observe that its number of rows is

$$1 + C_1^k + C_2^{k+1} + \cdots + C_2^{k+\ell-3} + C_2^{k+\ell-2} = C_2^{k+\ell-1},$$

and its number of columns is

$$C_2^{k+\ell-2} + C_2^{k+\ell-3} + \cdots + C_2^{\ell} + C_2^{\ell-1} = C_2^{k+\ell-1}.$$

Moreover $A_k^{\ell-2}$ is a sparse $(0, 1)$-matrix, indeed the quotient formed by number of ones of the matrix between the order is $\frac{kC_2^{k+\ell-1}}{C_2^{k+\ell-1} \times C_2^{\ell}}$ and tends to zero when $k$ and $\ell$ tends to infinite. \qed
Corollary 3.3. The matrix $A^k_{k-2}$ is square if and only if $k = 2$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2 $A^k_{k-2}$ has order $C_k^{k-1} \times C_k^{k-1}$. Hence $A^k_{k-2}$ is square if and only if $C_k^{k-1} = C_k^{k-1}$ if and only if $k + 1 = k + 1$ if and only if $k = 2$. □

Theorem 3.4. Let $k$ and $\ell$ be arbitrary positive integers. Then $(A^\ell_{k-2})^t$ is transformable in $A^{k-2}_k$.

Proof. We notice that the matrix $A^\ell_{k-2} \in \mathbb{U}(k, \ell)$ has order $C_{\ell-1}^{k+\ell-1} \times C_{\ell-1}^{k+\ell-1}$ and the transposed matrix $(A^\ell_{k-2})^t \in \mathbb{U}(\ell, k)$ has order $C_{\ell-1}^{k+\ell-1} \times C_{\ell-1}^{k+\ell-1}$. On the other hand $A^k_{k-2} \in \mathbb{U}(\ell, k)$ has order $C_{\ell-1}^{k+\ell-1} \times C_{\ell-1}^{k+\ell-1}$ and also $C_{\ell-1}^{k+\ell-1} \times C_{\ell-1}^{k+\ell-1} = C_{\ell-1}^{k+\ell-1} \times C_{\ell-1}^{k+\ell-1}$. Therefore $(A^\ell_{k-2})^t$ and $A^k_{k-2}$ have the same order and $(A^\ell_{k-2})^t$, $A^k_{k-2} \in \mathbb{U}(\ell, k)$, then by Theorem 2.1, $(A^\ell_{k-2})^t$ is transformable in $A^{k-2}_k$ with a finite number of interchanges. □

Definition 3.5. Let $n$, $m$, $r$ and $s$ positive integers such that $m > n$ and $n - s = m - r > 0$. Let $A$ a matrix of order $n \times m$, $B$ a matrix of order $s \times (n - r)$, $C$ a square matrix of order $(n - r) \times (n - r)$, and $D$ a matrix of order $(n - s) \times r$, we will call $A$ a fragmented matrix if $A = B(C) \sqcup D$ where $B(C)$ is to paste the matrix $B$ at bottom, the matrix $C$ and $\sqcup$ means joining together side-by-side and aligning the bottoms the matrix $D$ and filling the non-marked spaces on the upper right blocks with 0's.

Theorem 3.6. Let $k$ and $\ell$ be any positive integers. Then the matrix $A^\ell_{k-2}$ is a fragmented matrix as follows $A^\ell_{k-2} = A^\ell_{k-3}(I_{C^k_{\ell-1}}) \sqcup A^\ell_{k-3}$.

Proof. By Algorithm 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 we have that $A^\ell_{k-2}$ is constructed with a recursive algorithm. That is

$$A^\ell_{k-2} = A^\ell_{k-3}(I_{C^k_{\ell-1}}) \sqcup A^\ell_{k-3}(I_{C^k_{\ell-1}}) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A^\ell_{k-3}(I_{C^k_{\ell-1}}).$$

By definition we have

$$A^\ell_{k-2} = A^\ell_{k-3}(I_{C^k_{\ell-1}}) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A^\ell_{k-3}(I_{C^k_{\ell-1}}).$$

Then

$$A^\ell_{k-2} = A^\ell_{k-3}(I_{C^k_{\ell-1}}) \sqcup A^\ell_{k-3}.$$

With this we show the fragmented of $A^\ell_{k-2}$. □

Corollary 3.7. Let $k$ and $\ell$ be any positive integers. Then $A^\ell_{k-2}$ is a $(0, 1)$-matrix approximate lower diagonal form.

Proof. From Theorem 3.6 we have that the matrix $A^\ell_{k-2} = A^\ell_{k-3}(I_{C^k_{\ell-1}}) \sqcup A^\ell_{k-3}$ is a fragmented matrix, so $I_{C^k_{\ell-1}}$ is a submatrix, in the lower left corner, and by definition $A^\ell_{k-2}$ is a matrix approximate lower diagonal form. □

Theorem 3.8. Let $k$ and $\ell$ any positive integers. Then

$$t A^\ell_{k-2} = A^\ell_{k-2}.$$
Proof. First it is direct to see that both matrices have the same order. We show the statement using induction on $k$ and $\ell$. For $k$ arbitrary positive integer and $\ell = 1$, we have

\[
t'(A_{1}^{k-2}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = A_{1}^{k-2}.\]

If $\ell$ arbitrary positive integer and $k = 1$, we have

\[
t'(A_{1}^{\ell-2}) = (1, 1, \ldots, 1) = A_{1}^{\ell-2}.\]

Assume the true result for all $k' < k$ and $\ell' < \ell$, i.e., $t' A_{k'}^{\ell'-2} = A_{k'}^{\ell'-2}$ and $t' A_{k'}^{\ell'-2} = A_{k'}^{\ell'-2}$.

Now for $k$ and $\ell$ positive integers we have

\[
A_{k}^{\ell-2} = A_{k-1}^{\ell-2} \sqcup I_{C_{k-1}^{\ell-2}} \sqcup A_{k-2}^{\ell-2}
\]

\[
t'A_{k}^{\ell-2} = t'(A_{k-1}^{\ell-2}) \sqcup I_{C_{k-1}^{\ell-2}} \sqcup (A_{k-2}^{\ell-2})
\]

by induction we obtain

\[
t'(A_{k}^{\ell-2}) = (A_{k}^{\ell-3}) \sqcup I_{C_{k-1}^{\ell-2}} \sqcup A_{k-2}^{\ell-2} = A_{k-2}^{\ell-2}.
\]

\[\square\]

Corollary 3.9. If $\ell = k$, then $A_{k}^{k-2}$ is persymmetric and the matrix $A_{k}^{k-2}$ is similar to $(A_{k}^{k-2})^{t}$, up permutation of rows and columns.

Proof. From Theorem 3.8 we have $t A_{k}^{k-2} = A_{k}^{k-2}$ and so we prove that $A_{k}^{k-2}$ is persymmetric. Now it is easy to see $I_{C_{k-1}^{\ell-2}}(A_{k}^{k-2})I_{C_{k-1}^{\ell-2}} = (A_{k}^{k-2})^{t}$ where $I_{C_{k-1}^{\ell-2}}$ denote the anti-identity matrix. With this we show the similarity of $A_{k}^{k-2} = (A_{k}^{k-2})^{t}$ and $(A_{k}^{k-2})^{t}$.

\[\square\]

4. Construction of $B_{k}^{\ell-2}$ Dense $(0, 1)$-Matrices

Let $\mathbb{J}$ matrix full of ones, and let $M$ be a matrix of order $n \times m$, the operation $\mathcal{O}(M)$ is to paste to the matrix $M$ at the bottom, the matrix $J_{m \times m} = \mathbb{J} - I_{m \times m}$ which generates a matrix $M(J_{m \times m})$ of order $(n + m) \times m$, that is $\mathcal{O}(M) = M(J_{m \times m})$. If we have a matrix vector $V = (M_{1}, \ldots, M_{l})$ the operation $\mathcal{O}(V)$ is the matrix vector $(\mathcal{O}(M_{1}), \ldots, \mathcal{O}(M_{l}))$.

Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be two matrices of order $n_{1} \times m_{1}$ and $n_{2} \times m_{2}$ respectively where $n_{1} \geq n_{2}$, paste the matrix concatenated to the right, side by side, is to get the matrix $\mathcal{P}(M_{1}, M_{2}) = M_{1} \biguplus M_{2}$ of order $n_{1} \times (m_{1} + m_{2})$, where $\biguplus$ means joining together side-by-side and aligning the bottoms of the corresponding matrices and filling the non-marked spaces on the upper right blocks with 1’s. Finally denote by $\mathbb{K} = (0, \ldots, 0)$ the order matrix $1 \times k$.

4.1. Algorithm to construct the matrix $B_{k}^{\ell-2} \in \mathcal{V}(C_{k-1}^{k-1} \sqcup k, C_{k-1}^{k-1} \sqcup -\ell)$. In this part we adapt the algorithm 3.1 to construct a structured dense $(0, 1)$-matrix such that $A_{k}^{\ell-2} + B_{k}^{\ell-2} = \mathbb{J}$.

Algorithm 2

Input: $k$ and $\ell$ arbitrary positive integers.
\[ B^k_{\ell - 2} = B^k_1 \left( J_{C_{k+1}} \right) \cup B^0_{k-1} \left( J_{C_{k}} \right) \cup \cdots \cup B^0_{2} \left( J_{C_{2}} \right) \cup B^0_{1} \left( J_{C_{1}} \right). \]

With the previous notation we define the following matrices:

\[
\begin{align*}
B^1_{\ell - 2} &= B^0_{k} \left( J_{C_{k+1}} \right) \cup B^0_{k-1} \left( J_{C_{k}} \right) \cup \cdots \cup B^0_{2} \left( J_{C_{2}} \right) \cup B^0_{1} \left( J_{C_{1}} \right), \\
B^2_{\ell - 2} &= B^2_{k} \left( J_{C_{k+3}} \right) \cup B^2_{k-1} \left( J_{C_{k+2}} \right) \cup \cdots \cup B^2_{2} \left( J_{C_{4}} \right) \cup B^2_{1} \left( J_{C_{2}} \right), \\
\vdots \\
B^\ell_{\ell - 2} &= B^{\ell - 3}_{k} \left( J_{C_{k+\ell-2}} \right) \cup \cdots \cup B^{\ell - 3}_{2} \left( J_{C_{\ell-1}} \right) \cup B^{\ell - 3}_{1} \left( J_{C_{\ell-1}} \right). 
\end{align*}
\]

4.2. **Properties of** \( B^\ell_{k - 2} \). In this subsection we present some properties of the family of matrices \( B^\ell_{k - 2} \).

**Theorem 4.2.** The \((0, 1)\)-matrix \( B^\ell_{k - 2} \) is dense, of the same order as \( A^\ell_{k - 2} \) such that \( B^\ell_{k - 2} = \mathbb{J} - A^\ell_{k - 2} \).

**Proof.** It is enough to prove \( B^\ell_{k - 2} = \mathbb{J} - A^\ell_{k - 2} \). We use induction on \( \ell \) and \( k \). If \( \ell = 2 \) and \( k \) any arbitrary positive integer it is easy to see that \( B^2_{k - 2} = \mathbb{J} - A^2_{k - 2} \). Also if \( k = 2 \) and \( \ell \) any arbitrary positive integer, then it is easy to see that \( B^\ell_{2 - 2} = \mathbb{J} - A^\ell_{2 - 2} \). Now the induction hypothesis is that for each \( k' < k \) and \( \ell' < \ell \) arbitrary positive integer, then \( B^{\ell'}_{k'} = \mathbb{J} - A^{\ell'}_{k'} \) where \( 1 \leq \ell' \leq \ell \). We have that the matrix

\[
\mathbb{J} - A^{\ell}_{k - 2} = \mathbb{J} - \left[ A^{\ell - 3}_{k} \left( I_{C_{\ell+1}} \right) \cup \cdots \cup A^{\ell - 3}_{1} \left( I_{C_{\ell-1}} \right) \right] \\
= \left[ \mathbb{J} - A^{\ell - 3}_{k} \left( I_{C_{\ell+1}} \right) \right] \cup \cdots \cup \left[ \mathbb{J} - A^{\ell - 3}_{1} \left( I_{C_{\ell-1}} \right) \right] \\
= B^{\ell - 3}_{k} \left( I_{C_{\ell+1}} \right) \cup \cdots \cup B^{\ell - 3}_{1} \left( I_{C_{\ell-1}} \right) \\
= B^{\ell - 2}_{k - 2}.
\]
Corollary 4.3. The matrix $B_k^{\ell-2}$ is square if and only if $\ell = k$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.2 $B_k^{\ell-2}$ has order $C_{\ell-1}^k \times C_{\ell-1}^k$. Hence $B_k^{\ell-2}$ is square if and only if $C_{\ell-1}^k = C_{\ell-1}^k$ if and only if $k + \ell - 1 = \ell - 1 + \ell$ if and only if $k = \ell$. □

Theorem 4.4. Let $k$ and $\ell$ be any positive integers. Then the matrix $B_k^{\ell-2}$ is a fragmented matrix.

Proof. By Theorem 3.6 we have

\[ B_k^{\ell-2} = I - A_k^{\ell-2} \]
\[ = I - [A_k^{\ell-3}(I_{C_{\ell-1}^k}) \cup A_k^{\ell-2}] \]
\[ = [I - A_k^{\ell-3}(I_{C_{\ell-1}^k})] \cup [I - A_k^{\ell-2}] \]
\[ = B_k^{\ell-3}(J_{C_{\ell-1}^k}) \cup B_k^{\ell-2}. \]

□

Theorem 4.5. Let $k$ and $\ell$ any positive integers. Then

\[ t B_k^{\ell-2} = B_k^{k-2}. \]

Proof. In effect $B_k^{\ell-2} = I - A_k^{\ell-2}$, see Theorem 4.2, then

\[ t B_k^{\ell-2} = t(I - A_k^{\ell-2}) = tI - tA_k^{\ell-2} = I - A_k^{k-2} = B_k^{k-2}. \]

□

Corollary 4.6. If $\ell = k$, then $B_k^{k-2}$ is persymmetric and the matrix $B_k^{k-2}$ is similar to $(B_k^{k-2})^t$, by permutation of rows and columns.

Proof. By Corollary 4.3 it easily follows that $B_k^{k-2}$ is persymmetric. We can easily see

\[ I_{C_{k-1}^2}^a (B_k^{k-2}) I_{C_{k-1}^2}^a = I_{C_{k-1}^2}^a (B_k^{k-2}) I_{C_{k-1}^2}^a = (B_k^{k-2})^t \]

where $I_{C_{k-1}^2}^a$ denote the anti-identity matrix. Which proves the theorem.

5. $A_k^{k-3}$ INCIDENCE MATRIX OF THE CONFIGURATION

In this section, we show that the matrix $A_k^{k-3} \in \mathbb{U}(k, k - 1)$, is incidence matrix of the configuration of triangle-sets $T_m$ of the set of indexes. Furthermore, we proof that a sparse $(0, 1)$-matrix $M$ it is expressed as a direct sum of matrices $A_k^{k-3}$ see Theorems 6.8 and 6.9.

Throughout this section we will denote by $m$ and $\ell$ positive integers such that $\ell < m$ and consider the index set

\[ I(\ell, m) = \{a = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell) \in \mathbb{N}^\ell : 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_\ell \leq m\}. \]

Now let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be an even integer and define:

\[ P_i = (i, 2m - i + 1) \in \mathbb{N}^2, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m, \]
\[ \Sigma_i = \{P_1, \ldots, P_i\} \subset \mathbb{N}^2, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq s \leq m, \]
\[ \Sigma_{[s, m]} = \{P_{s+1}, \ldots, P_m\} \subset \mathbb{N}^2. \]
As in preliminaries, we denote by $C_{(m-6)/2}(\Sigma_m)$ the combinations of elements $\Sigma_m$ taken from $(m-6)/2$ forms. Now for all $(\alpha(1), \ldots, \alpha((m-6)/2)) \in I((m-6)/2, m-2)$ with $m \geq 8$ even integer, we define the set

$$T_{(\alpha(1), \alpha(2), \ldots, \alpha(\lfloor \frac{m-6}{2} \rfloor), \alpha(\lfloor \frac{m-6}{2} \rfloor))} := T_{(1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{m-6}{2} \rfloor)},$$

as the cartesian product

$$T_{(1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{m-6}{2} \rfloor)} = \prod_{\alpha=(1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{m-6}{2} \rfloor)} P_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P_{\alpha((m-6)/2)}, P_{\alpha((m-6)/2)} \times C_2(\Sigma_{\alpha((m-6)/2), m}).$$

We are interested in putting all the necessary notation to establish our main result of this subsection. Following this end

$$T_1^{1} = T_{(1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{m-6}{2} \rfloor)}^{(m-8)} \quad \quad T_2^{1} = T_{(1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{m-6}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{m-6}{2} \rfloor + 1)}^{(m-8)}$$

$$T_3^{1} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-2} T_{(1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{m-6}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{m-6}{2} \rfloor + 1)}^{(m-8)} \quad \quad T_4^{1} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-2} T_{(1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{m-6}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{m-6}{2} \rfloor + 1)}^{(m-8)}$$

$$T_5^{1} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-2} T_{(1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{m-6}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{m-6}{2} \rfloor + 1)}^{(m-8)} \times C_2(\Sigma_{\alpha((m-6)/2), m}).$$

...
For \( m \geq 8 \) we define the set

\[
T_m = T_{\frac{m+6}{2}} \cup T_{\frac{m+4}{2}}^2 \cup \cdots \cup T_{\frac{m+2}{2}}^4 \cup T_{\frac{m+6}{2}}^T.
\]

**Remark 5.1.** It is easy to see that \( T_m \subseteq C_{\frac{m-2}{2}}(\Sigma_m) \) where \( m \geq 8 \).

**Definition 5.2.** If \( m \) is even integer we define the set

\[
T_m = \begin{cases} 
C_0(\Sigma_2) & \text{if } m = 2, \\
C_1(\Sigma_4) & \text{if } m = 4, \\
C_2(\Sigma_6) & \text{if } m = 6, \\
T_m & \text{if } m \geq 8,
\end{cases}
\]

we call \( T_m \) a triangulation of the set \( C_{\frac{m-2}{2}}(\Sigma_m) \). If \( C_{\frac{m-2}{2}}(\Sigma_m) = T_m \) then we say that \( C_{\frac{m-2}{2}}(\Sigma_m) \) is a triangulated set.

### 5.1. An incidence function \( \varphi^m \).

For \( J \) a set not empty we denote by

\[
\{0, 1\}^J = \{(a_j)_{j \in J} : a_j = 0 \text{ or } a_j = 1\}.
\]

Let \( m \) be even integer and let \( \varphi^m : T_m \to \{0, 1\}^{\left(\frac{m}{2}, 2m\right)} \) the function given by

\[
\varphi^m\big((P_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P_{\alpha((m-2)/2)})\big) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \beta \in \{(P_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P_{\alpha(\frac{m-2}{2})}, P_1) : |\text{supp}(P_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P_{\alpha(\frac{m-2}{2})}, P_1)| = m\}, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

with \( \text{supp}\{(P_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P_{\alpha((m-2)/2)}, P_1)\} = \{\alpha(1), 2n-\alpha(1)-1, \ldots, \alpha((m-2)/2), 2n-\alpha((m-2)/2)-1, \alpha(i), 2n-\alpha(i)-1\} \).

Clearly

\[
|\{(P_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P_{\alpha((m-2)/2)}, P_1) : |\text{supp}(P_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P_{\alpha((m-2)/2)}, P_1)| = m\}| = \frac{m + 2}{2},
\]

and we define \( k = \frac{m+2}{2} \). Then the weight of the vector \( \varphi^m((P_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P_{\alpha(\frac{m-2}{2})})) \) is \( k \) for all \( (P_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P_{\alpha(\frac{m-2}{2})}) \in T_m \).

**Lemma 5.3.** Let \( m \geq 2 \) be even integer. Then the function \( \varphi^m \) is injective.

**Proof.** Let \( (P_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P_{\alpha((m-2)/2)}), (P'_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P'_{\alpha((m-2)/2)}) \in T_m \) such that

\[
\varphi^m((P_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P_{\alpha((m-2)/2)}) = \varphi^m((P'_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P'_{\alpha((m-2)/2)})).
\]

Then \( \varphi_\beta(P_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P_{\alpha((m-2)/2)}) = \varphi_\beta(P'_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P'_{\alpha((m-2)/2)}) \) for all \( \beta \in I(m/2, m) \).

Now we choose \( \beta_0 = (P_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P_{\alpha((m-2)/2)}, P_1) \in I(m/2, m) \) for \( P_1 \in \Sigma_m \) such that \( |\text{supp}(\alpha_0)| = m \), then we have

\[
1 = \varphi^m_\beta(P_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P_{\alpha((m-2)/2)}) = \varphi^m_\beta(P'_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P'_{\alpha((m-2)/2)}),
\]

with

\[
\beta_0 \in \{(P'_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P'_{\alpha((m-2)/2)}, P_1) : |\text{supp}(P'_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P'_{\alpha((m-2)/2)}, P_1)| = m\},
\]

this implies that \( \beta_0 = (P_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P_{\alpha((m-2)/2)}, P_1) \) for some \( P_1 \in \Sigma_m \) that is

\[
P_{\alpha(1)} = P'_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P_{\alpha((m-2)/2)} = P'_{\alpha((m-2)/2)}
\]

showing the result. \( \square \)
Definition 5.4. Let \( m \geq 2 \) be even integer, \( T_m \) a triangulation of \( C_{m/2} (\Sigma_m) \) and \( k = \frac{m+2}{2} \), we define the matrix

\[
\mathcal{L}_k = \varphi^m (T_m)
\]

of order \( |T_m| \times C_{m/2}^m \) and we call the incidence matrix associated to triangulation \( T_m \).

Note that the matrix \( \mathcal{L}_k \) has \( k \) ones in each row.

Take \( \alpha = (\alpha(1), \alpha(2), \ldots, \alpha((m-6)/2)) \in I(m-6/2, m-2) \) arbitrary index

\[
T_1^1 = (P_{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, P_{\alpha(m/2)}, P_{\alpha((m+6)/2)}) \times C_2(\Sigma_{[(m+6)/2],m})
\]

\[
T_1^1 = P_{\alpha} \times C_2(\Sigma_{[(m+6)/2],m}) = (P_{\alpha} \times R_{m/2}) \cup (P_{\alpha} \times R_{m/2-2}) \cup \cdots \cup (P_{\alpha} \times R_{m-1})
\]

where \( C_2(\Sigma_{[(m+6)/2],m}) = R_{m/2} \cup R_{m/2-2} \cup \cdots \cup R_{m-1} \), with

\[
R_{m/2} = \{(P_{\alpha(m/2-4)}, P_{\alpha(m/2-2)}), (P_{\alpha(m/2-2)}, P_{\alpha(m/2)})\},
\]

\[
R_{m/2-2} = \{(P_{\alpha(m/2-3)}, P_{\alpha(m/2-1)}), (P_{\alpha(m/2-1)}, P_{\alpha(m/2-2)})\},
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
R_{m-1} = \{(P_{\alpha(m-1)}, P_{\alpha(m)})\}.
\]

Then the first row of the matrix \( \varphi^m(T_1^1) \) is

\[
\varphi^m(P_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha(m/2-4)}, P_{\alpha(m/2-2)}) = (1, 1, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0),
\]

the first two rows of the matrix \( \varphi^m(T_1^1) \) are

\[
\left( \begin{array}{c}
\varphi^m(P_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha(m/2-4)}, P_{\alpha(m/2-2)}) \\
\varphi^m(P_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha(m/2-3)}, P_{\alpha(m/2-1)}) \\
\end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c}
1, 1, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0, 0, \ldots, 0 \\
1, 0, \ldots, 0, 1, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0 \\
\end{array} \right),
\]

the first three rows of the matrix \( \varphi^m(T_1^1) \) are

\[
\left( \begin{array}{c}
\varphi^m(P_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha(m/2-4)}, P_{\alpha(m/2-2)}) \\
\varphi^m(P_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha(m/2-3)}, P_{\alpha(m/2-1)}) \\
\varphi^m(P_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha(m/2-2)}, P_{\alpha(m/2)}) \\
\end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c}
1, 1, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0, 0, \ldots, 0, 0, \ldots, 0 \\
1, 0, \ldots, 0, 1, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0, 0, \ldots, 0 \\
0, 1, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0, 1, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0 \\
\end{array} \right),
\]

etccetera. As a consequence we obtain \( \varphi^m(P_{\alpha} \times R_{m/2}) = A_k^0 \).

Now, let \( I_k \) be the matrix given by the first \( k \) rows of the identity matrix \( I_{C_k^{m+1}} \), where \( \sqcup \) means joining together side-by-side and aligning the bottoms of the corresponding identity matrices. It is also easy to see that \( \varphi^m(P_{\alpha} \times R_{m/2}) = I_k \sqcup A_{k-1}^0 \), consequently we have
the following
\[
\varphi^m((P_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}_{m-1}) \cup (P_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}_{m-2})) = A_k^0(I^k) \cup A_{k-1}^0,
\]
\[
\varphi^m((P_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}_{m-4}) \cup (P_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}_{m-2}) \cup (P_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}_{m})) = A_k^0(I^{k(k-1)}) \cup A_{k-1}^0(I^{k-1}) \cup A_{k-2}^0,
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
\varphi^m((P_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}_{m-4}) \cup \cdots \cup (P_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}_{m-2})) = A_k^0(I^{k(k-1)+\cdots+1}) \cup A_{k-1}^0(I^{k-1+\cdots+1}) \cup \cdots \cup A_{k-1}^0(I^1),
\]
where for the last equality we just notice that \( I^{k(k-1)+\cdots+1} = I_{C_2}^k + I_{C_3}^k \) and similarly for the other \( I^i \) in that formula.

From the above, the block stepped matrix
\[
(5.3) \quad \varphi^m(T^1) = A_k^0(I_{C_2}^k) \cup A_{k-1}^0(I_{C_2}^k) \cup \cdots \cup A_{k}^0(I_{C_2}^k) \cup A_{k-1}^0(I_{C_2}^k)
\]
is of order
\[
(1 + k + C_2^{k+1}) \times (C_2^{k+1} + C_3^{k+1}) = (1 + k + C_2^{k+1}) \times C_3^{k+2}
\]
where as before \( \cup \) means joining together side-by-side and aligning the bottoms of the corresponding identity matrices and filling the non-marked spaces on the upper right blocks with zeros. With the previous development we have

**Lemma 5.5.** Let \( m \geq 8 \) and \( \alpha = (\alpha(1), \alpha(2), \ldots, \alpha((m-6)/2)) \) an arbitrary index of the set \( I^{(m-6)/2} \). Then \( \varphi^m(T^1) = A_k^1 \).

**Proof.** In (5.3) we show \( \varphi^m(T^1) = A_k^0(I_{C_2}^k) \cup A_{k-1}^0(I_{C_2}^k) \cup \cdots \cup A_{k-1}^0(I_{C_2}^k) \cup A_{k-1}^0(I_{C_2}^k) \) and by definition 3.1 we obtain that \( \varphi^m(T^1) = A_k^1 \).

**Theorem 5.6.** Let \( m \geq 8 \) and \( \alpha \) be an arbitrary element of the index set \( I^{(m-6)/2} \). Then \( \varphi^m(T^i) = A_k^i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, m/2 \) and \( \varphi^m(T_m) = A_k^{k-3} \).

**Proof.** Using the fact that \( T^1 = T_\alpha \) and Lemma 5.5 we have that
\[
\varphi^m(T^i) = A_k^0(I_{C_2}^i) \cup A_{k-1}^0(I_{C_2}^i) \cup \cdots \cup A_{k-1}^0(I_{C_2}^i) \cup A_{k-1}^0(I_{C_2}^i) = A_k^i,
\]
\[
\varphi^m(T^2) = A_k^1(I_{C_2}^{k+2}) \cup A_{k-1}^1(I_{C_2}^{k+2}) \cup \cdots \cup A_{k-1}^1(I_{C_2}^{k+2}) \cup A_{k-1}^1(I_{C_2}^{k+2}) = A_k^2,
\]
\[
\varphi^m(T^3) = A_k^2(I_{C_2}^{k+3}) \cup A_{k-1}^2(I_{C_2}^{k+3}) \cup \cdots \cup A_{k-1}^2(I_{C_2}^{k+3}) \cup A_{k-1}^2(I_{C_2}^{k+3}) = A_k^3,
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
\varphi^m(T^1_{m+6}) = A_k^{k-5}(I_{C_2}^{m-4} \cup A_{k-1}^{k-5}(I_{C_2}^{m-4}) \cup \cdots \cup A_{k-1}^{k-5}(I_{C_2}^{m-4}) = A_k^{k-4}.
\]
In the same way we have \( \varphi^m(T^1_{m+6}) = A_k^{k-4} \). Note that
\[
\varphi^m(T^2_{m+6}) = I^{m-2} \cup A_{k-2}^{k-4}.
\]
we obtain
\[ \varphi^m(T_{m+6}^1 \cup T_{m+6}^2) = A_{k}^{k-4}(I_{c_{m-2}^{2}}^{m-2}) \cup A_{k-1}^{k-4} \]
\[ \varphi^m(\bigcup_{i=1}^{3} T_{m+6}^i) = A_{k}^{k-4}(I_{c_{m-2}^{2}}^{m-2}+c_{m-3}^{m-2} \cup A_{k-1}^{k-4}(I_{c_{m-2}^{2}}^{m-2}) \cup A_{k-2}^{k-4}(I_{c_{m-2}^{2}}^{m-2}+c_{m-4}^{m-2} + c_{m-4}^{m-2}) \cup A_{k-3}^{k-4}(I_{c_{m-2}^{2}}^{m-2} + I_{c_{m-2}^{2}}^{m-2}) \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ \varphi^m(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m+4} T_{m+6}^i) = A_{k}^{k-4}(I_{c_{m-2}^{2}}^{m-2}+c_{m-3}^{m-2}+\cdots+c_{m-4}^{m-2} \cup A_{k-1}^{k-4}(I_{c_{m-2}^{2}}^{m-2}) \cup \cdots \cup A_{k}^{k-4}(I_{c_{m-2}^{2}}^{m-2}) \]
Therefore
\[ \varphi^m(T_m) = \varphi^m(T_{m+6}^1 \cup T_{m+6}^2 \cup \cdots \cup T_{m+6}^m) \]
\[ = A_{k}^{k-4}(I_{c_{m-2}^{2}}^{m-2}) \cup A_{k-1}^{k-4}(I_{c_{m-2}^{2}}^{m-2}) \cup \cdots \cup A_{k}^{k-4}(I_{c_{m-2}^{2}}^{m-2}) \]
\[ =: A_{k}^{k-3} . \]

**Proof.** First we show that \( C_{m-2}^{m-2}(\Sigma_m) \) is a triangulated set. If \( m = 2, 4, 6 \) is obvious. Now we suppose that \( m \geq 8 \), then clearly \( T_m \subseteq C_{m-2}^{m-2}(\Sigma_m) \), for all \( m \) even integer. Moreover \( \varphi^m \) is an injective function see Lemma 5.3, and by Theorem 5.6, \( \varphi^m(T_m) = A_{k}^{k-3} \) so then we have \( |T_m| = |(Im \ \varphi^m)|_{T_m}| \), equal to the number of rows in the matrix \( A_{k}^{k-3} \) and we obtain
\[ |T_m| = C_{(k-1)-1}^{k+(k-1)-1} = C_{k-2}^{k-2} = C_{m-2}^{m-2} \]
that it implies \( C_{(m-2)/2}(\Sigma_m) = T_m \), and varying \( (\alpha(1), \ldots, \alpha((m-2)/2)) \) \( T_m \) we have the matrix
\[ \mathcal{L}_k = \varphi^m(T_m) = A_{k}^{k-3} . \]

**Corollary 5.8.** If \( k \) is any positive integer, then
\[ A_{k}^{k-2} = \mathcal{L}_k(I_{c_{k-2}^{2}}^{k-2}) \cup \mathcal{L}_k \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_k = A_{k}^{k-4}(I_{c_{k-3}^{2}}^{k-3}) \cup A_{k-1}^{k-3} . \]
Proof. From Theorem 3.2 and 3.4
\[
A_k^{k-2} = A_k^{k-3}(I_{C_{2k-2}}) \cup A_k^{k-2} \\
= \mathcal{L}_k(I_{C_{2k-2}}) \cup A_k^{k-3} \\
= \mathcal{L}_k(I_{C_{2k-2}}) \cup A_k^{k-2}
\]
The second equality is followed in a similar way.

**Theorem 5.9.** Suppose that the coefficients of the matrix \(A_k^{k-2}\) is in \(\mathbb{F}\), an arbitrary field of char(\(\mathbb{F}\)) = 0 or char(\(\mathbb{F}\)) \(\geq r\) where \(2 \leq k \leq r\) for \(r = \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil\). Then the matrix \(A_k^{k-2}\) has maximum range equal to \(C_{2k-1}\).

Proof. We have \(A_k^{k-2}\) is square and fragmented, i.e.,
\[
A_k^{k-2} = \mathcal{L}_k(I_{C_{2k-2}}) \cup A_k^{k-2}
\]
Clearly the submatrix \(I_{C_{2k-2}} \cup A_k^{k-2}\) has maximum range. Also the submatrix \(L_k\), block in \(A_k^{k-2}\), has maximum rank as shown in Theorem 9 of [4]. In addition, neither row of submatrix \(I_{C_{2k-2}} \cup A_k^{k-2}\) can be placed as a linear combination of rows of submatrix (block) \(L_k\) and reciprocally. Therefore the maximum range of \(A_k^{k-2}\) is \(C_{2k-1}\). 

6. The Matrix \(M\) as a Combinatorial Design

In this section we show the combinatorial construction of \(M\), other sparse \((0,1)\)-matrix using the matrix \(A_k^{k-3}\). The study is divided into two cases even and odd.

6.0.1. Case: \(n\) even. For \(n \geq 4\) even and \(r = \frac{n+2}{2}\) consider integers \(1 \leq k \leq r - 2\) and sequences of integers \(1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{2k} \leq 2n\) such that \(a_i + a_j \neq 2n + 1\). Defining
\[
\Sigma_{a_1,\ldots,a_{2k}} := \{ P_i \in \Sigma_n : i + a_j \neq 2n + 1, 2n - i + 1 + a_j \neq 2n + 1 \}
\]
we have that:
1. \(|\Sigma_{a_1,\ldots,a_{2k}}| = n - 2k\).
2. If \(k \geq 1\), setting \(\Sigma(a_1,\ldots,a_{2k}) := (a_1,\ldots,a_{2k}) \times C_{(n-2(k+1)/2)(\Sigma a_1,\ldots,a_{2k})}
\]
\[
= \{(a_1,\ldots,a_{2k},P_{\alpha(1)},\ldots,P_{\alpha((n-2(k+1)/2)}) : \\
(\alpha(1),\ldots,\alpha((n-2(k+1)/2)) \in \{((n-2(k+1)/2), n-2k)\}, \text{ where } 1 \leq k \leq r - 2.
\]

With the above notation we have.

**Lemma 6.1.** If \(n \geq 4\) is even, \(r = \frac{n+2}{2}\) and \(k = 1,\ldots,r - 2\), then the set
\[
C_{(n-2(k+1)/2)(\Sigma a_1,\ldots,a_{2k})}
\]
is a triangulated set.

Proof. We get to take \(m = n - 2k\) in the definition 5.2.

**Lemma 6.2.** If \(n \geq 4\) is even, then
\[
I(n-2,2n) = C_{(n-2)/2}(\Sigma_n) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{r-2} \bigcup_{(a_1,\ldots,a_{2k}) \in \mathcal{I}(2k,2n)} \Sigma\{a_1,\ldots,a_{2k}\}
\]
is a partition of the set \(I(n-2,2n)\).
Proof. It is sufficient to show that
\[ I(n - 2, 2n) \subset C_{(n-2)/2}(\Sigma_n) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{r-2} \bigcup_{a_i+a_j \neq 2n+1}^{(a_1,\ldots,a_{2k}) \in I(2k,2n)} \Sigma\{a_1,\ldots,a_{2k}\}. \]

Let \( \pi = (a_1,\ldots,a_{n-2}) \in I(n - 2, 2n) \) such that \( a_i + a_j \neq 2n + 1 \) for all \( i, j \), then \( \pi \in \Sigma\{a_1,\ldots,a_{n-2}\} \). On the other hand, if \( \pi = (a_1,\ldots,a_{n-2}) = (P_\alpha(1),\ldots,P_\alpha((n-2)/2)) \) up a permutations in its components, and where \( P_\alpha(i) = (a_\alpha(i),a_\alpha(2n-i+1)) \) so this case \( \pi \in C_{(n-2)/2}(\Sigma_n) \). Finally for \( \pi = (a_1,\ldots,a_{2k},P_\alpha(1),\ldots,P_\alpha(n-2(k+1))) \) where \( a_i + a_j \neq 2n + 1 \) and \( \alpha(i) + a_j \neq 2n + 1 \) then \( \pi \in \Sigma\{a_1,\ldots,a_{2k}\} \). With this we show the desired. \( \square \)

6.0.2. Case: n odd. The odd case is obtained by modifications to the even case.

Explicitly, for \( n \geq 5 \) odd and \( r = (n+1)/2 \), consider integers \( 1 \leq k \leq r - 2 \) and sequences of integers \( 1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{2k+1} \leq 2n \) such that \( a_i + a_j \neq 2n + 1 \), and define \( \Sigma_{a_1,\ldots,a_{2k+1}} = \{P_i \in \Sigma_n : i + a_j \neq 2n + 1, 2n - i + 1 + a_j \neq 2n + 1\} \). Then:

1. \( |\Sigma_{a_1,\ldots,a_{2k+1}}| = n - (2k + 1) \).
2. If \( k \geq 1 \), setting tiny \( \Sigma(a_1,\ldots,a_{2k+1}) = (a_1,\ldots,a_{2k+1}) \times C_{(n-(2k+3))/2}(\Sigma_{a_1,\ldots,a_{2k+1}}) \)
   \[ = \{\{(a_1,\ldots,a_{2k+1},P_\alpha(1),\ldots,P_\alpha((n-(2k+3))/2)) \in I((n-(2k+3))/2,2n-(2k+1)) \} \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq r - 2. \]
3. Let \( \Sigma(i) = \{P_j \in \Sigma_n : i \neq j\} \).

Lemma 6.3. If \( n \geq 5 \) is odd, \( r = \frac{n+1}{2} \) and \( k = 1,\ldots,r - 2 \), then the set \( C_{(n-(2k+3))/2}(\Sigma_{a_1,\ldots,a_{2k+1}}) \) is a triangulated set.

Proof. We get to take \( m = n - (2k + 3) \) in the definition 5.2. \( \square \)

Lemma 6.4. Let \( n \geq 5 \) odd with the above notation we have
\[ I(n - 2, 2n) = \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \{i\} \times C_{(n-2)}(\Sigma_{i}) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=0}^{r-2} \bigcup_{1 \leq a_i < \cdots < a_{2k+1} \leq 2n}^{a_i+a_j \neq 2n+1} \Sigma_{a_1,\ldots,a_{2k+1}} \right). \]

is a partition of the set \( I(n - 2, 2n) \).

Proof. The demonstration is similar to Lemma 6.2. \( \square \)

6.1. An incidence function over the index set I(n - 2, 2n). First, we extend the function \( \varphi^m \) defined in (5.2) to \( I(n - 2, 2n) \).

For \( n \geq 4 \), we consider
\[ \varphi : I(n - 2, 2n) \to \{0,1\}^{I(n-2,2n)} \]
such that \( (\alpha(1),\ldots,\alpha(n-2)) \to (\varphi_\beta(\alpha(1),\ldots,\alpha(n-2)))_{\beta \in I(n,2n)} \)
where \( \varphi_\beta(\alpha(1),\ldots,\alpha(n-2)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \beta \in \{(i,\alpha_r,2n-i+1) \} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \)

Definition 6.5. Let \( n \geq 4 \), be the matrix
\[ M = (\varphi_\beta(\alpha_r))_{\alpha_r \in I(n-2,2n),\beta \in I(n,2n)}. \]
of order \( C_n^{2n-2} \times C_n^{2n} \) will be called the incidence matrix.

Lemma 6.6. Let \( n \geq 4 \). Then \( \varphi \) is injective, also
where

By Lemma 6.2

Proof. It is enough to show the injectivity.

So suppose \( \varphi(\alpha(1), \ldots, \alpha(n-2)) = \varphi(\alpha(1'), \ldots, \alpha(n-2')) \), then \( \varphi_\beta(\alpha(1), \ldots, \alpha(n-2)) = \varphi_\beta(\alpha(1'), \ldots, \alpha(n-2')) \) for all \( \beta \in I(n, 2n) \). If \( \beta_0 = (i, \alpha(1), \ldots, \alpha(n-2), 2n-i+1) \) for some \( 1 \leq i \leq 2n \) such that \( \text{supp} \beta_0 = n \), then

\[ 1 = \varphi_\beta(\alpha(1), \ldots, \alpha(n-2)) = \varphi_\beta(\alpha(1'), \ldots, \alpha(n-2')) \]

implies that \( \beta_0 = (j, \alpha'(1), \ldots, \alpha'(n-2), 2n-j+1) \) and so \( \alpha(1) = \alpha'(1), \ldots, \alpha(n-2) = \alpha'(n-2) \) which concludes our demonstration.

\[ \square \]

Lemma 6.7. For \( n \geq 4 \) positive integers, let \( r = \frac{n+2}{2} \) be if \( n \) is even or let \( r = \frac{n+1}{2} \) if \( n \) odd. Then

1. \( \varphi(C_{(n-2)/2}(\Sigma_n)) = \mathcal{L}_r \)
2. \( \varphi(C_{n-2k}(\Sigma_{a_1, \ldots, a_{2k}})) = \mathcal{L}_{r-k} \)
3. \( \varphi(\Sigma_{(2k+1)}) = \mathcal{L}_{r-k} \), a copy of \( \mathcal{L}_{r-k} \), for all \( i = 1, \ldots, n \).
4. \( \varphi(T_{n-2k}(\Sigma_{a_1, \ldots, a_{2k+1}})) = \mathcal{L}_{r-k} \), a copy of \( \mathcal{L}_{r-k} \) with \( k = 0, \ldots, r-2 \).

Proof. If \( n \) is even and \( k = 0, \ldots, r-2 \), then \( C_{(n-2k)/2}(\Sigma_{a_1, \ldots, a_{2k}}) = T_{n-2k} \) is triangulated set, by Theorem 5.7 \( \varphi(T_{n-2k}) = A_{n-2k}/2 = \mathcal{L}_{r-k} \). In the same way, if \( n \) is odd and \( k = 0, \ldots, r-2 \), then \( C_{(n-2k+1)/2}(\Sigma_{a_1, \ldots, a_{2k+1}}) = T_{n-(2k+1)} \) is triangulated set, by Theorem 5.7 \( \varphi(T_{n-(2k+1)}) = A_{n-(2k+1)/2} = \mathcal{L}_{r-k} \).

\[ \square \]

Theorem 6.8. Let \( n \geq 4 \) be an even integer, \( r = (n+2)/2 \) and \( 1 \leq k \leq r-2 \). Then \( \mathcal{M} \) is a direct sum, that is

\[ \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}_r \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^{r-2} \bigoplus_{1 \leq a_1 < \ldots < a_{2k} \leq 2n} \mathcal{L}_{r-k}^{(a_1, \ldots, a_{2k})} \right) \]

where \( \mathcal{L}_{r-k}^{(a_1, \ldots, a_{2k})} \) is a copy of \( \mathcal{L}_{r-k} \), for each \( 1 \leq k \leq r-2 \).

Proof. By Lemma 6.2

\[ I(n-2, 2n) = C_{(n-2)/2}(\Sigma_n) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{r-2} \bigcup_{\{a_1, \ldots, a_{2k}\} \in \ell(2k, 2n)} \Sigma_{\{a_1, \ldots, a_{2k}\}} \]

using the definition 6.5 and (1) of Lemma 6.7 we have

\[ \mathcal{M} = (\varphi(C_{n-2}(\Sigma_{n})) \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{r-2} \bigoplus_{\{a_1, \ldots, a_{2k}\} \in \ell(2k, 2n)} \varphi_{\Sigma_{\{a_1, \ldots, a_{2k}\}}}) \]

\[ \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}_r \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^{r-2} \bigoplus_{1 \leq a_1 < \ldots < a_{2k} \leq 2n} \mathcal{L}_{r-k}^{(a_1, \ldots, a_{2k})} \right) \]

\[ \square \]
Theorem 6.9. Let \( n \geq 5 \) be an odd integer and \( r = (n + 1)/2 \). Then \( M \) is a direct sum, that is
\[
M = \mathcal{L}_r^n \bigoplus \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^{r-2} \left( \bigoplus_{1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{2k+1} \leq 2n} \mathcal{L}_{r-k}^{(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2k+1})} \right) \right),
\]
where \( \mathcal{L}_{r-k}^{(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2k+1})} \) is a copy of \( \mathcal{L}_{r-k} \) for each \( 1 \leq k \leq r-2 \) and \( \mathcal{L}_r^n = \mathcal{L}_r \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_r \) \( n \)-times.

Proof. As before, let \( \Sigma_n = \{ P_1, \ldots, P_n \} \) and \( \Sigma(i) = \Sigma_s - \{ P_i \} \) for all \( i \in \{ 1, \ldots, n \} \) and \( 1 \leq s \leq n \). Then, from (2) of Lemma 6.7, we have that it is easy to see that the image of \((i) \times C_{n-3}^{2} (\Sigma(i))\) under \( \varphi \) is \( \mathcal{L}_r \), i.e.,
\[
\varphi((i) \times C_{n-3}^{2} (\Sigma(i))) = \mathcal{L}_r^i \quad \text{a copy of } \mathcal{L}_r \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, n.
\]
Similarly, by definitions of \( \varphi \) and \( \Sigma(a_1, \ldots, a_{2\ell+1}) \) we have that
\[
\varphi(\Sigma(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2k+1})) = \mathcal{L}_{r-k}^{(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2k+1})}.
\]
Now, using Lemma 6.1, we obtain that
\[
I(n-2, 2n) = \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} [(i) \times C_{n-3}^{2} (\Sigma(i))] \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{r-2} \bigcup_{1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{2k+1} \leq 2n} \Sigma(a_1, \ldots, a_{2k+1}) \right),
\]
where \( \Sigma(a_1, \ldots, a_{2k+1}) = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2k+1}) \times C_{n-3}^{2} (\Sigma(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2k+1})) \). Using definition 6.5 and (2) of Lemma 6.7, we obtain
\[
M = \varphi(I(n-2, 2n)) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \varphi([i) \times C_{n-3}^{2} (\Sigma(i))] \bigoplus_{k=1}^{r-2} \bigoplus_{1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{2k+1} \leq 2n} \varphi(\Sigma(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2k+1}))
\]
\[
= \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{L}_r^i \bigoplus_{k=1}^{r-2} \left( \bigoplus_{1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{2k+1} \leq 2n} \mathcal{L}_{r-k}^{(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2k+1})} \right).
\]

\[\square\]

7. APPLICATIONS

7.1. Canonical matrix of the Lagrangian-Grassmannian variety. Throughout this subsection, let \( E \) be a \( 2n \)-dimensional vector space over \( \mathbb{F} \), an arbitrary field, equipped with a linear symplectic structure \( (\cdot, \cdot) \) see [1]. Then the Lagrangian-Grassmannian variety is
\[
L(n, E) = Z(Q_{\alpha'}, \beta', \Pi_{\alpha,\ell}),
\]
where \( Z(\cdot) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^E) \) denotes of zeros the Plücker relations \( Q_{\alpha', \beta'} \) see 8.1 in Appendix A and of the linear homogeneous polynomials \( \Pi_{\alpha,\ell} \), here \( \alpha' \in I(n-1, m) \), \( \beta' \in I(n+1, m) \) respectively \( \alpha_{st} \in I(n-2, 2n) \). Now we define the support of \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell) \in \mathbb{N}^\ell \) as the set
\[
\text{Support}(\alpha) = \{ i \in \{ 1, \ldots, n \} \mid \alpha_i \neq 0 \}.
\]
From Theorems 6.8 and 6.9 the matrix $B$ is a direct sum of the matrices $\Pi_{\alpha_{rs}}$ and for all $\alpha_{st} \in I(n - 2, 2n)$ we define the associated system of homogeneous linear equations

$$\Pi_{\alpha_{st}} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i,\alpha_{st},2n-i+1} X_{i,\alpha_{st},2n-i+1}$$

with

$$c_{i,\alpha_{st},2n-i+1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\text{supp}\{i, \alpha_{st}, 2n - i + 1\}| = n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $B_{L(n,E)}$ be the matrix of order $C_{n-2}^{2n} \times C_{n}^{2n}$ associated the system of homogeneous linear equations

$$\Pi := \{ \Pi_{\alpha_{st}} : \alpha_{st} \in I(n - 2, 2n) \}.$$  

**Lemma 7.1.** Let $E$ symplectic vector space of dimension $2n$ and $M$ the matrix defined in the Section 6. Then $B_{L(n,E)} = M$.

**Proof.** By definition of matrix $B_{L(n,E)}$ each row is of the form $(c_\beta)_{\beta \in I(n,2n)}$ where there is an $\alpha_{rs} \in I(n - 2, 2n)$ such that

$$c_\beta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \beta \in \{(i, \alpha_{st}, 2n - i + 1) : |\text{supp}\{(i, \alpha_{st}, 2n - i + 1)\}| = n\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

this implies that for every row of $B_{L(n,E)}$ we have $(c_\beta)_{\beta \in I(n,2n)} = \varphi(\alpha_{st})$ for some $\alpha_{st} \in I(n - 2, 2n)$, therefore $B_{L(n,E)} = M$ see definition 6.5. 

**Lemma 7.2.** Keeping the above notation. Let $F$ be a field such that $\text{char}(F) = 0$ or $\text{char}(F) \geq r$ where $r = \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil$.

A) If $H$ is a matrix of order $C_{n-2}^{2n} \times C_{n}^{2n}$ and maximum rank that annuls the rational points of $L(n,E)(F)$, then $H = PB_{L(n,E)}$, here $P$ is an invertible matrix.

B) Suppose that there exists $R$ matrix such that $L(n,E) = G(n,E) \cap \ker R$. Then $R = PB_{L(n,E)}$ where $P$ is an invertible matrix.

**Proof.** By Theorem 6 of [4] we have $\text{rank} B_{L(n,E)} = C_{n-2}^{n}$ and therefore $(\Pi_{\alpha_{rs}} : \alpha_{rs} \in I(n - 2, 2n))_F$ is a vector space of dimension $C_{n-2}^{n}$. Now let $H = (h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_t)$ be the matrix of rank $\epsilon = C_{n-2}^{2n}$ where $\{h_1, \ldots, h_t\} \subset (F^n)_E$ are its rows. As $H$ annuls the rational points of $L(n,E)(F)_E$, by the Theorem 12 of [4] we have $(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_t) F \subset \langle \Pi_{\alpha_{rs}} : \alpha_{rs} \in I(n - 2, 2n) \rangle_F$ and $h_1, \ldots, h_t$ also forms a basis, therefore $H = PB_{L(n,E)}$ where $P$ is the non-singular matrix of basis change of the symplectic vector space $E$. Now suppose that $R = (h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_t)^t$ is a rank matrix $\epsilon$ such that $L(n,E) = G(n,E) \cap \ker R$, then $L(n,E)(F)_E \subset \ker R$ and $\epsilon \leq C_{n-2}^{n}$. If $\epsilon = C_{n-2}^{n}$ the affirmation is followed by what was said before. Now suppose that $t < C_{n-2}^{n}$ then $\ker B_{L(n,E)} \not\subseteq \ker R$ this implies that

$$L(n,E) = G(n,E) \cap \ker B_{L(n,E)} \not\subseteq G(n,E) \cap \ker R = L(n,E)$$

which is a contradiction and therefore $\epsilon = C_{n-2}^{n}$. 

**Theorem 7.3.** Let $F$ a field such that $\text{char}(F) = 0$ or $\text{char}(F) \geq r$ where $r = \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil$. Then the matrix $B_{L(n,E)}$ it is diagonal by blocks and is unique, up basis change, that annuls the rational points of the Lagrangian-Grassmannian variety.

**Proof.** From Theorems 6.8 and 6.9 the matrix $B_{L(n,E)} = M$ is a direct sum of the matrices $L_k$ with $k = 2, \ldots, r$ and $r = \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil$, even more it is easy to see that diagonal by blocks.

By Lemma 7.2 we have the rest of this theorem.
7.2. Construction of Isodual Codes. Another class of sparse linear codes is the low density generator matrix (LDGM) codes, which have sparse generator matrices. In this section we build two classes of isodual codes, one class is from LDGM-codes and the other class is ‘dense’ codes, we will show that they share properties. Both codes are defined, based on their code generating matrix, which is obtained as following method, which we summarize for reasons of space:

Step 1.: Using the algorithm 3.1 (respectively the algorithm 4.1) build the spread matrix $A^{k-3}_{k-1}$ (respectively the dense matrix $B^{k-3}_{k-1}$)

Step 2.: For the matrix $A^{k-3}_{k-1}$ we "add" to the left the matrix $I_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}}$ to generate $(I_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}} | A^{k-3}_{k-1})$ (respectively we add to the left the matrix $J_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}}$ to generate the dense matrix $(J_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}} | B^{k-3}_{k-1})$).

Remark 7.4. Note that these matrices are obtained by truncating the matrices. $L_k$ (respectively $M_k$).

We denote the matrices resulting from the algorithms above by:

$L^{truc}_k := (I_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}} | A^{k-3}_{k-1})$ and $M^{truc}_k := (J_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}} | B^{k-3}_{k-1})$.

We also define the matrices

$H_s := ((A^{k-3}_{k-1})^t | I_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}})$ and $H_d = ((B^{k-3}_{k-1})^t | I_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}})$,

which are the check parity matrices $L^{truc}_k$ and $M^{truc}_k$, respectively. We denote by $C_{L^{truc}_k}$ and $C_{M^{truc}_k}$ the $[2C_{k-2}^{2k-3}, C_{k-2}^{2k-3}]$ codes generated by matrices $L^{truc}_k$ and $M^{truc}_k$ respectively. Analogously we denote by $C_{H_s}$ and $C_{H_d}$ the codes generated by the matrices $H_s$ and $H_d$. Clearly $C_{H_s} = C_{L^{truc}_k}$ and $C_{H_d} = C_{M^{truc}_k}$.

Lemma 7.5. The codes $C_{L^{truc}_k}$ and $C_{M^{truc}_k}$ are isoduales.

Proof. By Corollary 3.9 we have $(A_{k-1}^{k-3})^t = I_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}} A_{k-1}^{k-3} I_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}}$. Analogously by Corollary 4.6 we have $(B_{k-1}^{k-3})^t = I_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}} B_{k-1}^{k-3} I_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}}$. Then

$H_s = ((A_{k-1}^{k-3})^t | I_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}})$

$= (I_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}} A_{k-1}^{k-3} I_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}} | (I_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}}))$

$= I_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}} (I_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}} A_{k-1}^{k-3} I_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}} | I_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}})$

$~ (I_{C_{k-2}^{2k-3}} A_{k-1}^{k-3}) = L^{truc}_k$

Which implies that $C_{L^{truc}_k} \sim C_{L^{truc}_k}$, so $C_{L^{truc}_k}$ is isodual.

The proof that $C_{M^{truc}_k}$ is isodual is similar.

Theorem 7.6. Let $C_{L^{truc}_k}$ and $C_{M^{truc}_k}$ the $[2C_{k-2}^{2k-3}, C_{k-2}^{2k-3}]$-binary codes and $k$ a positive integer even. Then

$W_{C_{L^{truc}_k}}(x, y) = W_{C_{M^{truc}_k}}(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{2^k}{2} \rfloor} a_i g_1(x, y)^{C_{k-2}^{2k-3} - 2i} g_2(x, y)^i$,
where \( g_1(x, y) = y^2 + x^2 \) and \( g_2(x, y) = y^8 + 14x^4y^4 + x^8 \) are Gleason polynomials, see [6]. Moreover
\[
d_{C_{\ell}^k} = d_{C_{M_k}^k} \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n_0}{2} \right\rfloor + 2 & \text{if } n_0 \leq 30, \\ 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n_0}{2} \right\rfloor & \text{if } n_0 \geq 32, \end{array} \right.
\]
here \( n_0 := C_{k-2}^{2k-3} \).

**Proof.** From Lemma 7.5 and Theorem 9.2.1 (i) in [6], it is enough to see that the generating matrix \( L_k^{truc} := (I_{C_{k-1}^k}^{k-3} | A_{k-1}^{k-3}) \) respectively \( M_k^{truc} := (J_{C_{k-1}^k} | B_{C_{k-1}^k}^{k-3}) \) have lines of weight \( k \), respectively \( C_{k-2}^{2k-3} - k \), which are positive pairs because by hypothesis \( k \) is even, and by the Theorem 1.4.11 in [6] the codes \( C_{\ell}^{k-1} \) and \( C_{M_k}^{k-1} \) are even. It is easy to see that the generating matrix \( L_k^{truc} := (I_{C_{k-1}^k}^{k-3} | A_{k-1}^{k-3}) \) respectively \( M_k^{truc} := (J_{C_{k-1}^k} | B_{C_{k-1}^k}^{k-3}) \) they have lines of weight \( k \), respectively \( C_{k-2}^{2k-3} - k \), which are positive pairs because by hypothesis \( k \) is even, and by the Theorem 1.4.11 in [6] the codes \( C_{\ell}^{k-1} \) and \( C_{M_k}^{k-1} \) are of even weight. \( \square \)

### 7.3. Efficient encoders based on approximate lower diagonal matrix

Given \( H \) a sparse matrix, the parity-check code \( C_H \) associated with \( H \) is called Low Density Parity Check (LDPC) code and is a linear error correcting code see [5]. In this subsection we shall develop an encoder for \( C_{\ell}^{k-2} \) LDPC-code regular generated by \( A_{k-2}^{k-2} \) sparse, fragmented and is in approximate lower diagonal matrix. This encoder, is efficient because it is based on ‘Efficient encoders based on approximate lower triangulations” developed by Richardson-Urbanke see [7].

Let \( k \) and \( \ell \) be any positive integers, by Theorem 3.2, the matrix \( A_{k-2}^{k-2} = A_{k-3}^{k-3} (I_{C_{\ell-1}^k}) \cup A_{k-1}^{k-1} \) is of order \( C_{k-1}^{k+\ell-1} \times C_{k-1}^{k+\ell-1} \), and by definition of \( A_{k-2}^{k-2} \) we have
\[
A_{k-2}^{k-2} = A_{k-3}^{k-3} (I_{C_{\ell-1}^k}) \cup A_{k-1}^{k-1} (I_{C_{\ell-1}^k}) \cup \cdots \cup A_{\ell-3}^{\ell-3} (I_{C_{\ell-1}^k}) \cup A_{\ell-1}^{\ell-1} (I_{C_{\ell-1}^k})
\]

We wrote
\[
A_{k-2}^{k-2} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{k-3}^{k-3} & 0 & 0 \\ I_{C_{\ell-1}^k}^{k-3} & B & A \end{bmatrix}
\]
where \( B \cup A = A_{k-3}^{k-3} (I_{C_{\ell-1}^k}) \cup \cdots \cup A_{\ell-3}^{\ell-3} (I_{C_{\ell-1}^k}) \cup A_{\ell-1}^{\ell-1} (I_{C_{\ell-1}^k}) \), with \( B \) of order \( C_{k-1}^{k+\ell-1} \times C_{k-1}^{k+\ell-1} \) and \( A \) of order \( C_{\ell-1}^{k+\ell-2} \times C_{\ell-1}^{k+\ell-2} \) and \( S \) is an order vector \( (C_{k-1}^{k+\ell-1} - C_{\ell-1}^{k+\ell-1}) \). As in [7], \( g := C_{\ell-2}^{k+\ell-2} \) denote the gap, and measures in some way to be made precise shortly, the “distance” of the given parity-check matrix to a lower triangular matrix.

Multiplying this matrix by the left
\[
\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{C_{\ell-1}^k}^{k-3} & -A_{k-3}^{k-3} \\ I_{C_{\ell-1}^k}^{k-3} & 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{k-3}^{k-3} & 0 & 0 \\ B & A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -BP_1 - AS & B & A \\ 0 & -A_{k-3}B & -A_{k-3}A \end{bmatrix}
\]
which multiplied by \( x^t = (P_2, P_1, S)^t \), where \( \Phi^t \) define transpose matrix, gives us the vector
\[
(P_2 + BP_1 + AS^t, -A_{k-3}B + AS^t) = (0, 0).
\]
Let \( \phi = -A_{k-3}B \) the matrix of order \( C_{k-2}^{k+\ell-2} \times C_{k-2}^{k+\ell-2} \) and suppose that is invertible, we can simply perform further column permutations to remove this singularity, see section II of [7]. Then \( P_2 = -BP_1 - AS^t \) and \( P_1 = \phi^{-1}(A_{k-3}S^t). \)
Theorem 7.7. With the previous notation. Let \( A_{k}^{t-2} \), sparse, fragmented and approximate lower diagonal matrix and \( S \in \mathbb{F}(C_{k}^{t-1} - C_{k}^{t-1}) \) an arbitrary vector. Then \( S \mapsto (P_{1}, P_{2}, S) \) is an encoder for \( C_{A_{k}^{t-2}} \) LDPC-code regular.

Proof. Remember that \( P_{1} = \phi^{-1}(A_{k-3}^{t-2})S^{t} \) and \( P_{2} = -BP_{1}^{t} - AS^{t} \) then multiplying by the right we have

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_{k}^{t-3} \\
I_{c_{k+2-2}} \\
B
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
-AS^{t} \\
\phi^{-1}(A_{k-3}^{t-2})S^{t}
\end{pmatrix} =
\]

\[
= [-A_{k}^{t-3}BP_{1}^{t} - A_{k}^{t-3}AS^{t}, -B^{t}P_{1}^{t} - AS^{t}] + (B\phi^{-1}(A_{k-3}^{t-2})S^{t}) + AS^{t}
\]

\[
= [\phi P_{1}^{t} - \phi P_{1}^{t}, -BP_{1}^{t} + BP_{1}^{t}] = [0,0],
\]

thus the theorem is proved. \( \square \)

8. CONCLUSION

We present a link between three areas of mathematics: algorithms, linear code theory and the geometry of the Lagrangian-Grassmannian variety, where the key ingredient are the LG-matrices. The key to all of this is an algorithm that builds structured sparse \((0,1)\)-matrix in approximate lower triangular form, with \( k \) ones in each row and \( \ell \) ones in each column, where \( k \) and \( \ell \) are any positive integers. We study some properties of the two families of \((0,1)\)-matrices built with our algorithm. We give a new construction of isodual lineal codes and dense codes, and we study both codes. The \((0,1)\)-matrices presented in this work can have various applications.

APPENDIX: LAGRANGIAN–GRASSMANNIAN VARIETY

For a vector space \( E \) of finite dimension \( m \) over arbitrary field \( F \), let \( G(\ell, m) \) denote the Grassmannian variety of vector subspaces of dimension \( \ell \) of \( E \). The Plücker embedding \( \rho : G(\ell, m) \to \mathbb{P}(\wedge^{\ell} E) \) maps a subspace \( W \in G(\ell, m) \) to \( \rho(W) = v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\ell} \), where \( \{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\ell}\} \) is a basis of \( W \). If \( \{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\} \) is a basis of \( E \), and for \( \alpha = (\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell}) \in I(\ell, m) \) we put \( e_{\alpha} = e_{\alpha_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\alpha_{\ell}} \), then the set \( \{e_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I(\ell, m)} \) is a basis of \( \wedge^{\ell} E \). Now, writing \( w \in \wedge^{\ell} E \) as \( w = \sum_{\alpha \in I(\ell, m)} P_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \), the scalars \( P_{\alpha} \) are called the Plücker coordinates of \( w \) and \( w_{\rho} := (P_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I(n, 2m)} \) is the Plücker vector of \( w \). Now, if \( w = \sum_{\alpha \in I(\ell, m)} P_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \in \wedge^{\ell} E \), then \( w \in G(\ell, m) \) if and only if for each pair of tuples \( 1 \leq \alpha_{1} < \cdots < \alpha_{\ell-1} \leq m \) and \( 1 \leq \beta_{1} < \cdots < \beta_{\ell+1} \leq m \), the Plücker coordinates of \( w \) satisfy the quadratic called Plücker relations see [10]

\[
(8.1) \quad Q_{\alpha', \beta'} := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} (-1)^{i} P_{\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{\ell-1} \beta_{i} \beta_{i+1} \cdots \beta_{\ell+1}} = 0,
\]

where \( \hat{\beta}_{i} \) means that the corresponding term is omitted and where \( \alpha' \in I(n-1, m) \), \( \beta' \in I(n+1, m) \). A special case is when the vector space \( E \) over the field \( F \) has a skew-symmetric nondegenerate bilinear (symplectic) form \( \langle , \rangle \). If \( 2n \) is the dimension of \( E \), there is a basis \( \mathfrak{B} = \{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2n}\} \) of \( E \), where

\[
\langle e_{i}, e_{j} \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 2n - i + 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

We define the Lagrangian–Grassmannian variety

\[
L(n, 2n) = \{v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{n} \in G(n, 2n) : \langle v_{i}, v_{j} \rangle = 0 \}
\]
as a subset of the Grassmannian $G(n, 2n)$. It was shown in [3] that $L(n, 2n) = G(n, 2n) \cap \mathbb{P}(\ker f)$, where $f$ is the contraction map. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r, \ldots, \alpha_s, \ldots, \alpha_k) \in I(k, 2n)$ let $\alpha_{rs} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \hat{\alpha}_r, \ldots, \hat{\alpha}_s, \ldots, \alpha_k) \in I(k - 2, 2n)$ deleting the numbers below the hat symbol. Following [3] define the linear polynomials

\begin{equation}
\Pi_{\alpha_{rs}} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i, \alpha_{rs}, 2n-i+1} X_{i, \alpha_{rs}, 2n-i+1}
\end{equation}

with $X_{i, \alpha_{rs}, 2n-i+1}$ indeterminates and

\[ c_{i, \alpha_{rs}, 2n-i+1} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } |\text{supp}(i, \alpha_{rs}, 2n-i+1)| = k, \\
0 & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases} \]

where $\text{supp}(\beta) = \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d\}$ for $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d) \in I(d, 2n)$. In [5] it was shown that $\ker f = Z(\Pi_{\alpha_{rs}} : \alpha_{rs} \in I(n - 2, 2n)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\wedge^d E)$. So then we can conclude that the Lagrangiano-Grassmannian variety is the set

\[ L(n, E) = Z(Q_{\alpha', \beta}, \Pi_{\alpha_{st}}) \]

where $Z(\cdot) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\wedge^d E)$ denotes the set of zeros of the given polynomials, $\alpha' \in I(n - 1, m)$, $\beta' \in I(n + 1, m)$, $\alpha_{st} \in I(n - 2, 2n)$. Moreover the set of rational points is defined by

\[ L(n, E)(\mathbb{F}_q) = Z(Q_{\alpha', \beta'}, \Pi_{\alpha_{st}}, X_{\alpha}^q - X_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I(n, 2n)} \]

In [4], it is shown that if $h \in (\wedge^d E)^*$ such that $h(L(n, E)(\mathbb{F}_q)) = 0$, then $h \in (\Pi_{\alpha_{rs}})_{\alpha_{rs} \in I(n - 2, 2n)}$.
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