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#### Abstract

Symmetric polynomials of the roots of a polynomial can be written as polynomials of the coefficients, and by applying this theorem to the characteristic polynomial we can write a symmetric polynomial of the eigenvalues $a_{i}$ of an $n \times n$ matrix $A$ as a polynomial of the entries of the matrix. We give a magic formula for this: symbolically substitute $a \mapsto A$ in the symmetric polynomial and replace multiplication by det. For instance, for a $2 \times 2$ matrix $A$ with eigenvalues $a_{1}, a_{2}$,


$$
a_{1} a_{2}^{2}+a_{1}^{2} a_{2}=\operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}^{2}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}^{2}, A_{2}\right)
$$

where $A_{i}^{k}$ is the $i$-th column of $A^{k}$. One may also take negative powers, allowing us to calculate:

$$
a_{1} a_{2}^{-1}+a_{1}^{-1} a_{2}=\operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}^{-1}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}^{-1}, A_{2}\right)
$$

The magic method also works for multivariate symmetric polynomials of the eigenvalues of a set of commuting matrices, e.g. for $2 \times 2$ matrices $A$ and $B$ with eigenvalues $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and $b_{1}, b_{2}$,

$$
a_{1} b_{1} a_{2}^{2}+a_{1}^{2} a_{2} b_{2}=\operatorname{det}\left(A B_{1}, A_{2}^{2}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}^{2}, A B_{2}\right)
$$

## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ matrix with eigenvalues $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$. It is well known that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1}+a_{2}+\cdots+a_{n} & =\operatorname{tr}(A)=A_{11}+A_{22}+\cdots+A_{n n} \\
a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n} & =\operatorname{det}(A)=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}}(-1)^{\sigma} A_{1, \sigma(1)} A_{2, \sigma(2)} \cdots A_{n, \sigma(n)}
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying the fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials to the characteristic polynomial of $A$, we find that there must be such an equation between any symmetric polynomial in the eigenvalues of $A$ and some polynomial in the entries of $A$. We give an explicit formula for this polynomial in terms of determinants, which generalises the equations above to any symmetric polynomial:

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} p_{i} a_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots a_{n}^{i_{n}}=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} p_{i} \operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}^{i_{1}}, \ldots, A_{n}^{i_{n}}\right)
$$

At first, this may seem surprising, since eigenvalues are independent of the choice of basis, whereas taking $k$-th columns of a matrix is clearly basis dependent. Indeed, each term $p_{i} \operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}^{i_{1}}, \ldots, A_{n}^{i_{n}}\right)$ is on its own not basis independent, only the whole sum is, and only if the $p_{i}$ are coefficients of a symmetric polynomial (which means $p_{\left(i_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, i_{\sigma(n)}\right)}=p_{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)}$ for any permutation $\sigma$, or $p_{i \circ \sigma}=p_{i}$ in short, and that only finitely many $p_{i}$ are nonzero). More generally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} p_{i} \operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}, \ldots, A_{n}^{\left(i_{n}\right)}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is basis independent for any family of matrices $A^{(j)}$, not necessarily powers $A^{j}$ of a single matrix. That is, if we substitue $A^{(j)} \mapsto S^{-1} A^{(j)} S$ for some invertible matrix $S$, its value does not change. Furthermore, if the $A^{(j)}$ commute, we have the identity

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} p_{i} a_{1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)} \cdots a_{n}^{\left(i_{n}\right)}=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} p_{i} \operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}, \ldots, A_{n}^{\left(i_{n}\right)}\right)
$$

where $a_{k}^{(j)}$ is the $k$-th eigenvalue of $A^{(j)}$.

Our strategy to prove this is to define a quantity that is basis independent because its definition makes no reference to a basis, and then show that it is equal to (11). Once we have shown that (11) is invariant under basis transformations, we pick a basis in which the determinants become products of eigenvalues, which is possible if the $A^{(j)}$ commute.

By applying this identity to particular families of matrices, we get the fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials as a corollary, and we are able to deduce various equations between eigenvalues and determinants, such as those in the abstract.

## 2. Preliminaries

The proof is based on multilinear antisymmetric functions [1].
Definition. A function $f: V^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is

- Multilinear if $f$ is linear in each argument, i.e. $v_{k} \mapsto f\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ is linear, with $v_{i} \in V$.
- Antisymmetric if applying a permutation $\sigma \in S_{n}$ to its arguments multiplies it by the sign of the permutation, i.e. $f\left(v_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(n)}\right)=(-1)^{\sigma} f\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$, with $v_{i} \in V$.
Multilinear antisymmetric functions form a vector space.
Definition. Let $\bigwedge^{n} V^{*} \subset V^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the space of multilinear antisymmetric functions.
The only property of $\bigwedge^{n} V^{*}$ we will need is that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\bigwedge^{n} V^{*}\right)=1$ if $n=\operatorname{dim}(V)$. In fact, in general $\operatorname{dim}\left(\bigwedge^{n} V^{*}\right)=\binom{\operatorname{dim}(V)}{n}$ [1]. Since it is the basis of our main theorem, we will give a proof here.
Lemma. $\operatorname{dim}\left(\bigwedge^{n} V^{*}\right)=1$ if $\operatorname{dim}(V)=n$.
Proof. Let $f \in \bigwedge^{n} V^{*}$ and $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in V$. Take a basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ for $V$. Writing the $v_{i}$ in terms of the basis, we have coefficients $a_{i j} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i, j \in\{1 \ldots n\}$ such that $v_{i}=\sum_{j} a_{i j} e_{j}$. The proof proceeds by expanding $f\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ by multilinearity, and then using the fact that $f$ is zero whenever there is a duplicate argument, because applying a permutation that swaps those two positions gives $f(\ldots, w, \ldots, w, \ldots)=-f(\ldots, w, \ldots, w, \ldots)$, which implies $f(\ldots, w, \ldots, w, \ldots)=0$. This allows us to convert the sum over any pattern of indexing into a sum over permutations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) & =f\left(\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} a_{1 i_{1}} e_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \sum_{i_{n}=1}^{n} a_{1 i_{n}} e_{i_{n}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} \ldots \sum_{i_{n}=1}^{n} a_{1 i_{1}} \ldots a_{n i_{n}} f\left(e_{i_{1}}, \ldots, e_{i_{n}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i \in\{1 \ldots n\} \rightarrow\{1 \ldots n\}} a_{1 i(1)} \ldots a_{n i(n)} f\left(e_{i(1)}, \ldots, e_{i(n)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} a_{1 \sigma(1)} \ldots a_{n \sigma(n)} f\left(e_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, e_{\sigma(n)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}}(-1)^{\sigma} a_{1 \sigma(1)} \ldots a_{n \sigma(n)} f\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{e}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}(a) f\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the value $f \in \Lambda^{n} V^{*}$ is entirely determined by its value on $f\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$, and conversely, any value chosen for $f\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ determines a multilinear antisymmetric function $f \in \Lambda^{n} V^{*}$ via the equation above, so the space is one dimensional.

## 3. Proof

Let $\mathbb{I}$ be some index set. We say that $p_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i \in \mathbb{I}^{n}$ are symmetric coefficients if only finitely many $p_{i}$ are nonzero, and $p_{i \circ \sigma}=p_{i}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{I}^{n}$ and permutations $\sigma \in S_{n}$. Let $\vec{A}^{(j)}: V \rightarrow V$ for $j \in \mathbb{I}$ be a family of linear maps on a vector space $V$ of dimension $n$.

Example. A polynomial $p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ on $n$ variables can be written as a sum of monomials

$$
p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} p_{i} x_{1}^{i_{i}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}}
$$

where $p_{i}=p_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)}$ is the coefficient of $x_{1}^{i_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}} \ldots x_{n}^{i_{n}}$. If $p$ is a symmetric polynomial, then $p_{i}$ are symmetric coefficients with index set $\mathbb{I}=\mathbb{N}$. For instance, if

$$
p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=x_{1}^{1} x_{2}^{0} x_{3}^{0}+x_{1}^{0} x_{2}^{1} x_{3}^{0}+x_{1}^{0} x_{2}^{0} x_{3}^{1}
$$

then $p_{i}=1$ for $i=(1,0,0),(0,1,0),(0,0,1)$ and $p_{i}=0$ otherwise. Note that $p_{i \circ \sigma}=p_{i}$ for all permutations $\sigma \in S_{3}$.

We now define a linear map $p(\vec{A}): \bigwedge^{n} V^{*} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{n} V^{*}$ in terms of the matrices $\vec{A}^{(j)}$ and symmetric coefficients $p_{i}$. The key observation is that a linear map from a one-dimensional vector space to itself is just multiplication by a scalar, so we are in effect defining a scalar here. We shall soon see that this scalar is precisely 1 .

Definition 1. If $p_{i}$ are symmetric coefficients, we define $p(\vec{A}): \bigwedge^{n} V^{*} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{n} V^{*}$ by

$$
p(\vec{A}) f\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}^{n}} p_{i} f\left(A^{\left(i_{1}\right)} v_{1}, \ldots, A^{\left(i_{n}\right)} v_{n}\right)
$$

This does indeed preserve antisymmetry, by change of summation variable $i=j \circ \sigma$, and using $p_{j \circ \sigma}=p_{j}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\vec{A}) f\left(v_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(n)}\right) & =\sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}^{n}} p_{i} f\left(A^{\left(i_{1}\right)} v_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, A^{\left(i_{n}\right)} v_{\sigma(n)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}^{n}} p_{j \circ \sigma} f\left(A^{\left(j_{\sigma(1)}\right)} v_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, A^{\left(j_{\sigma(n)}\right)} v_{\sigma(n)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}^{n}} p_{j}(-1)^{\sigma} f\left(A^{\left(j_{1}\right)} v_{1}, \ldots, A^{\left(j_{n}\right)} v_{n}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{\sigma} p(\vec{A}) f\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Example. Given a single matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ and index set $\mathbb{I}=\mathbb{N}$, we can pick $\vec{A}^{(j)}=A^{j}$ to be the powers of that matrix. For the symmetric coefficients $p_{i}$ from the running example, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\vec{A}) f\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right) & =f\left(A^{1} v_{1}, A^{0} v_{2}, A^{0} v_{3}\right)+f\left(A^{0} v_{1}, A^{1} v_{2}, A^{0} v_{3}\right)+f\left(A^{0} v_{1}, A^{0} v_{2}, A^{1} v_{3}\right) \\
& =f\left(A v_{1}, I v_{2}, I v_{3}\right)+f\left(I v_{1}, A v_{2}, I v_{3}\right)+f\left(I v_{1}, I v_{2}, A v_{3}\right) \\
& =f\left(A v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)+f\left(v_{1}, A v_{2}, v_{3}\right)+f\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, A v_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We use the notation $[p(\vec{A})] \in \mathbb{R}$ for the scalar corresponding to $p(\vec{A}) \in \operatorname{End}\left(\bigwedge^{n} V^{*}\right)$, so that $p(\vec{A}) f=[p(\vec{A})] f$ for all $f \in \bigwedge^{n} V^{*}$. Since $p(\vec{A})$ has been defined in terms of the $A^{(j)}$, the scalar $[p(\vec{A})] \in \mathbb{R}$ is a function of the maps $A^{(j)}: V \rightarrow V$. Since we have not used the choice of a basis for $V$ to define $p(\vec{A})$, the scalar $[p(\vec{A})]$ is manifestly invariant under change of basis.

Pick a basis $B: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow V$ for $V$ (with basis vectors $b_{i}=B e_{i}$, where $e_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the standard basis). We have matrix representations $M^{(j)}=B^{-1} A^{(j)} B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ for the $A^{(j)}: V \rightarrow V$, and we wish to calculate $[p(\vec{A})] \in \mathbb{R}$ explicitly terms of the entries of $M^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.
Theorem 2. $[p(\vec{A})]=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}^{n}} p_{i} \operatorname{det}\left(M_{1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}, \ldots, M_{n}^{\left(i_{n}\right)}\right)$

Example. For the running example, and $B=I$,

$$
[p(\vec{A})]=\operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(I_{1}, A_{2}, I_{3}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(I_{1}, I_{2}, A_{3}\right)=A_{11}+A_{22}+A_{33}
$$

Proof. Since $p(\vec{A})$ is multiplication by a scalar $[p(\vec{A})]$,

$$
p(\vec{A}) f\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)=[p(\vec{A})] \cdot f\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)
$$

for all $f \in \bigwedge^{n} V^{*}$ and vectors $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$. Taking $f\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(B^{-1} w_{1}, \ldots, B^{-1} w_{n}\right)$ and $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)=\left(B e_{1}, \ldots, B e_{n}\right)$ to be the basis vectors, on the right hand side

$$
f\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(B^{-1} B e_{1}, \ldots, B^{-1} B e_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)=1
$$

and on the left hand side

$$
p(\vec{A}) f\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}^{n}} p_{i} \operatorname{det}\left(B^{-1} A^{\left(i_{1}\right)} B e_{1}, \ldots, B^{-1} A^{\left(i_{n}\right)} B e_{n}\right)=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}^{n}} p_{i} \operatorname{det}\left(M_{1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}, \ldots, M_{n}^{\left(i_{n}\right)}\right)
$$

giving $[p(\vec{A})]=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}^{n}} p_{i} \operatorname{det}\left(M_{1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}, \ldots, M_{n}^{\left(i_{n}\right)}\right)$.
This shows that the value of $[p(\vec{A})]=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}^{n}} p_{i} \operatorname{det}\left(M_{1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}, \ldots, M_{n}^{\left(i_{n}\right)}\right)$ does not depend on the basis, since the left hand side is defined without reference to the basis. By picking a basis in which the $A^{(j)}$ are all upper triangular, we can relate $[p(\vec{A})]$ to the eigenvalues of the $A^{(j)}$.
Theorem 3. If the $A^{(j)}$ commute, then $[p(\vec{A})]=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}^{n}} p_{i} a_{1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)} \cdots a_{n}^{\left(i_{n}\right)}$, where $a_{k}^{(j)}$ are the eigenvalues of $A^{(j)}$.

Example. For the running example, suppose we have a basis in which $A$ is upper triangular (e.g. the Jordan basis), then

$$
[p(\vec{A})]=\operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(I_{1}, A_{2}, I_{3}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(I_{1}, I_{2}, A_{3}\right)=a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ are the eigenvalues of $A$.

Proof. Commuting matrices have a basis in which they are simultaneously upper triangular, by Schur decomposition [2]. The diagonal of those upper triangular matrices $M^{(j)}$ will contain the eigenvalues $a_{k}^{(j)}$. In this case, $\operatorname{det}\left(M_{1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}, \ldots, M_{n}^{\left(i_{n}\right)}\right)$ is the determinant of an upper triangular matrix, with eigenvalues $a_{k}^{\left(i_{k}\right)}$ on the diagonal. Hence $\operatorname{det}\left(M_{1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}, \ldots, M_{n}^{\left(i_{n}\right)}\right)=a_{1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)} \cdots a_{n}^{\left(i_{n}\right)}$, and substituting this into theorem (2) gives the eigenvalue formula for $p(\vec{A})$.

The condition that the $A^{(j)}$ commute is not a necessary condition, because there are upper triangular matrices that do not commute.

## 4. Corollaries

By combining theorems (2) and (3) we can justify the magic formula for converting symmetric expressions involving eigenvalues into symmetric expressions involving determinants. We first show this by example: let $A, B$ be commuting $3 \times 3$ matrices with eigenvalues $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ and $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}$. Formally, we take the index set $\mathbb{I}=\{A, B\}$ in the theorems. Next, we choose symmetric coefficients $p_{i}$ for $i \in \mathbb{I}^{3}$; we must choose values for $p_{A A A}, p_{A A B}, p_{A B A}, \ldots, p_{B B B}$ that are symmetric under permutations of the indices. We choose $p_{A A B}=p_{A B A}=p_{B A A}=1$ and the rest 0 . The theorems (21) and (3) give us the equation

$$
a_{1} a_{2} b_{3}+a_{1} b_{2} a_{3}+b_{1} a_{2} a_{3}=\operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{3}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}, B_{2}, A_{3}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(B_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right)
$$

We can now proceed to pick the matrices $A, B$ in this equation, as long as they commute. For instance, given a matrix $C$, we could pick $A=C^{3}$ and $B=C^{-1}$. Or, given commuting matrices $C, D$, we could pick $A=C^{2}+D$ and $B=C D$. Or we could pick $A=(C+D)^{-1}$ and $B=\exp (C D)$. We thus obtain various relations between eigenvalues and determinants by substituting, e.g. $A=(C+D)^{-1}$, $B=\exp (C D)$, and $a_{i}=\left(c_{i}+d_{i}\right)^{-1}, b_{i}=\exp \left(c_{i} d_{i}\right)$ into the equation.

Rather than trying to capture this general method in a theorem, we present a few special cases.
Corollary 4. Let $q\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} p_{i} b_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots b_{n}^{i_{n}}$ be a symmetric polynomial in the eigenvalues of an $n \times n$ matrix $B$, then $q\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} p_{i} \operatorname{det}\left(B_{1}^{i_{1}}, \ldots, B_{n}^{i_{n}}\right)$.
Proof. Apply theorems (2) and (3) with index set $\mathbb{I}=\mathbb{N}$ and matrices $A^{(j)}=B^{j}$.
Corollary 5. Let $q(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} x^{k}$ be a polynomial with roots $r_{k}$. Then a symmetric polynomial in the roots $r_{k}$ can be written as a polynomial in the coefficients $a_{k}$.

Proof. Apply the previous corollary with $B$ being the companion matrix of $q$. The eigenvalues of $B$ are the $r_{k}$. The entries of the companion matrix are all 0 , or 1 , or $a_{k}$, so $\operatorname{det}\left(B_{1}^{i_{1}}, \ldots, B_{n}^{i_{n}}\right)$ is a polynomial in the $a_{k}$.

A symmetric polynomial $q$ can be seen as a function of a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfying $q(P x)=q(x)$ for permutation matrices $P$.

Definition 6. A multivariate symmetric polynomial $q(X)$ is a polynomial function of the entries of a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ satisfying $q(P X)=q(X)$ for permutation matrices $P$.

The permutation $P$ permutes the rows of $X$, but keeps each row together. A symmetric polynomial is the special case $m=1$, when each row consists of a single entry.
Corollary 7. Let $B^{(j)}$ for $j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ be commuting matrices with eigenvalues $b_{i}^{(j)}$, and define $X_{i j}=b_{i}^{(j)}$ to be the matrix of eigenvalues. Then a multivariate symmetric polynomial $q(X)$ can be written as a polynomial in the entries of the $B^{(j)}$.
Proof. Take $\mathbb{I}=\mathbb{N}^{m}$ and $A^{(g)}=\left(B^{(1)}\right)^{g_{1}} \cdots\left(B^{(m)}\right)^{g_{m}}$ in theorems (2) and (3).

## 5. Footnote

The same definition (11) works for $p(\vec{A}): \bigwedge^{k} W^{*} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{k} V^{*}$ also when $W \neq V$ and $k \neq n$. We can view $p(\vec{A}) f$ as a generalised pullback of $f$ along a list of maps $A^{(j)}: V \rightarrow W$. The ordinary pullback $A^{*}: \bigwedge^{k} W^{*} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{k} V^{*}$ is the special case of a single map $A: V \rightarrow W$. We have, in general $p(X \vec{A} Y)=Y^{*} p(\vec{A}) X^{*}$, where $X \vec{A} Y$ is simultaneous conjugation $(X \vec{A} Y)^{(j)}=X A^{(j)} Y$. This gives us a slightly stronger version of theorem (2): if $k=\operatorname{dim}(V)=\operatorname{dim}(W)$, then $X^{*}=\operatorname{det}(X)$ and $Y^{*}=\operatorname{det}(Y)$, so we see that if we multiply the $M^{(j)}$ on the left by $X$ and on the right by $Y$, the value of $[p(\vec{A})]$ gets multiplied by $\operatorname{det}(X) \operatorname{det}(Y)$. Theorem (2) tells us that the value does not change if we do a basis transformation, but here we get information about the case $X \neq Y^{-1}$. It is also possible to generalise the proof of theorem (2) directly.

## References

[1] Nicolas Bourbaki. Elements of mathematics, Algebra I. Springer-Verlag, 1989.
[2] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson. Matrix Analysis. Cambridge University Press, 1985.

