Multicellularity of delicate topological insulators
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Being Wannierizable is not the end of the story for topological insulators. We introduce a family of topological insulators that would be considered trivial in the paradigm set by the tenfold way, topological quantum chemistry, and the method of symmetry-based indicators. Despite having a symmetric, exponentially-localized Wannier representation, each Wannier function cannot be completely localized to a single primitive unit cell in the bulk. Such multicellular topology is shown to be neither stable, nor fragile, but delicate, i.e., the topology can be nullified by adding trivial bands to either valence or conduction band.

Introduction.— Two themes have indelibly shaped the paradigm of topological insulators, and couched how topological properties are discussed, modelled, and measured. The first is the notion of stability of topological insulators, and the second involves the various obstructions to forming a real-space Wannier representation of the valence band [1–8]. This work describes an extension and fine-graining of both themes, and introduces a novel family of topological insulators that would be considered unstable and unobstructed according to the presently-held paradigm.

The strongest form of stability is the notion of stable equivalence introduced from K-theory [8–12], where the bulk/surface topological invariant of a valence subspace is immune to addition of trivial bands. The intermediate notion of fragility means that the topological property can be nullified by adding trivial bands to the valence subspace, but not to the conduction subspace [13–19]. A distinct notion that we introduce here is delicate topology, where the topological property can be nullified by adding trivial bands to either valence or conduction subspace. For symmetry-protected delicate topology, nullification occurs only by adding trivial bands of certain symmetry representations.

Many authors have proposed a useful definition of a trivial band to be its possession of an exponentially-localized Wannier representation respecting the crystallographic spacegroup symmetries [11, 12, 19–23]. By this definition, all stable-equivalent and fragile topological insulators present an obstruction to such a Wannier representation. It has been further argued through equivariant vector bundle theory that such Wannier obstructions represent a robust property of a valence subspace summed with an arbitrary conduction subspace [19], and therefore such obstruction cannot exist for delicate topological insulators. Here, we introduce a distinct class of obstructions that prevents a Wannier function from being completely localized to a single, primitive unit cell — we call this multicellular topology. Conversely, we adopt a distinct notion of triviality, namely that symmetry-respecting Wannier functions exist and can be confined to a single cell by a continuous, adiabatic deformation of the Hamiltonian — unicellularity.

The notions of delicate and multicellular topology are distinct and a priori need not come together in any specific realization of a topological insulator. This work aims to open the debate by presenting a concrete family of tight-binding models which simultaneously manifests both types of topology, and sets the stage for future realizations and discoveries.

Returning Thouless pump.— We begin by introducing a class of tight-binding models in three spatial dimensions, which exhibit both symmetry-protected delicacy and multicellularity. The relevant symmetry is an n-fold rotation symmetry Cn about the z axis. The tight-binding Hilbert space is given by an orthonormal set of Wannier functions \( \phi_{j,R} \) over the Bravais lattice BL, which satisfy the following uniaxial symmetry condition, i.e., that all independent Wannier functions (numbered C + V) within a representative, primitive unit cell are centered on the same rotational axis, and individually form one-dimensional representations of Cn. (This simplifying assumption holds only for a subclass of multicellular topological insulators studied here.) This allows to decompose the Hilbert space as \( \mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{H}[\phi] \), where the summands are distinguished by the \( n \) possible angular momenta \( \ell \), with corresponding rotation eigenvalues \( e^{i2\pi\ell/n} \).

We further assume that the valence (resp. conduction) bands are spanned by a set of exponentially-localized Wannier functions \( W_{j,R}^{v} \) (resp. \( W_{j,R}^{c} \)). Though generally distinct from \( \phi_{j,R} \), we demand that \( W_{j,R}^{v} \) also satisfy the uniaxial symmetry condition, and additionally satisfy the mutually-exclusive condition — that any representation appearing in the valence subspace cannot appear in the conduction subspace. Formally, we mean to decompose the valence subspace as \( \mathcal{H}[W] = \bigoplus_{\ell} \mathcal{H}_{\ell}[W] \), and the conduction subspace as \( \mathcal{H}[W] = \bigoplus_{\ell} \mathcal{H}_{\ell}[W] \), such that the angular momentum values \( \ell_{\nu,\ell} \) for the valence (conduction) bands are disjoint.

The uniaxial symmetry condition on exponentially-localized Wannier functions implies that both conduction and valence bands are band representations [24, 25], making the system trivial from the viewpoint of topological quantum chemistry [20] and the method of symmetry-based indicators [22]. A band representation also precludes a nontrivial first Chern class [19, 23], making the model trivial in the tenfold way.
fold way [9, 26, 27]. Nevertheless, we find that the mutually exclusive condition allows for a type of symmetry-protected multicellularity, where the Wannier functions necessarily extend — beyond one unit cell — in the direction of the rotation axis.

The multicellularity manifests in the discrete spectrum of the projected position operator $P \Sigma P$ [28, 29], with $P$ projecting to the bulk valence band. Since $P \Sigma P$ is invariant under translations perpendicular to the rotation axis, each eigenvalue of $P \Sigma P$ forms a band over the two-dimensional (2D) reduced Brillouin zone, $\text{rBZ} = \{k_\perp, k_z\}$. Under translation along the rotation axis by a lattice period (set to one), $P \Sigma P \rightarrow P(\tilde{z}+1) P$, hence each eigenvalue belongs to an infinitely-extended Wannier-Stark ladder [30], and the full spectrum comprises $\mathcal{V}$ such ladders which are typically non-degenerate at generic $k_\perp$ [7]. We pick one representative eigenvalue from each ladder, and define their sum (modulo integer) to be the polarization $P(k_\perp)$, in accordance with the geometric theory of polarization [31, 32].

Since distinct rotational representations cannot mix at $C_n$-invariant points of the rBZ satisfying $k'_\perp = C_n k'_\perp$, the polarization can be decomposed into a sum of polarizations in each angular-momentum sector: $P(k_\perp) = \sum_\ell P_\ell(k_\perp)$. This non-mixing, combined with the mutually-exclusive condition, implies an identity between symmetry-decomposed Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_\ell[W]\|k'_\perp = \mathcal{H}_\ell[\varphi]\|k'_\perp$ when restricted to any $C_n$-invariant wavevector. It follows that the polarization $P_\ell(k_\perp)$ equals, modulo integer, to the polarization of the basis Wannier functions $\varphi$ in the spin sector $\ell$: the latter quantity is $k'_\perp$-independent because any tight-binding basis function has support only on a single lattice site. Therefore, modulo integer, $P_\ell(k'_\perp)$ is independent of $k'_\perp$, and hence also $P(k_\perp)$. If $P(k_\perp)$ is continuously defined over rBZ with multiple $C_n$-invariant points, the difference $\Delta P_{k'_\perp} = P(k'_\perp) - P(k'_\perp)$ between any pair of these points is quantized to integers. $\Delta P_{k'_\perp} = \mu$ implies a Thouless pump [33] of $\mu$ electron charges over one half-period of the rBZ (connecting $k'_\perp$ and $k''_\perp$); the triviality of the first Chern class ensures that this charge is reversed in the second half-period. Such a returning Thouless pump (RTP) guarantees that: (i) the Hamiltonian cannot be adiabatically and continuously deformed to be $k$-independent (having no hopping elements in real space), and (ii) at least one Wannier function must extend over multiple unit cells in the direction of the rotation axis.

**Minimal model.** — To exemplify a non-trivial RTP, we consider a two-band, tight-binding model with six-fold ($C_6$) rotational symmetry. On each site of a triangular lattice, we situate $\sigma_z$ with angular momenta $\ell = 0$ and $\ell = 1$, respectively. The Hamiltonian has the form

$$H(k) = [z^\dagger(k) \sigma_z(k)] \cdot \sigma, \quad z(k) = (z_1, z_2)^T$$

$$z_1(k) = \sum_{a=1}^6 e^{-i\pi a/3} \exp[it(a) \cdot k_\perp],$$

$$z_2(k) = \sin k_\perp + i \sum_{a=1}^6 \exp[it(a) \cdot k_\perp] + 4 \cos k_\perp + m \right.$$ (1)

with $t(a) = (\cos(\pi a/3), \sin(\pi a/3))$ and $\sigma$ the vector of Pauli matrices; the pseudospin $(\sigma_z)^* \sigma = 1$ (resp. $-1$) corresponds to the $\ell = 0$ (resp. $\ell = 1$) orbital. By design, the mutually-exclusive condition is satisfied for any $m \in \mathbb{R}$, with the valence (resp. conduction) band spanned by $s$-type (resp. $p_\perp$-type) Wannier functions. Applying our previous argument for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$, we find that the polarization at all $C_2$-invariant points ($\Gamma, M, M', M''$) and $C_3$-invariant points ($\Gamma, K, K'$) in the rBZ [cf. Fig. 1(a)] are identical modulo integer. The six-fold symmetry implies there are two independent polarization differences $\Delta P_{\Gamma K}$ and $\Delta P_{M' M}$. For large $|m|$, the Hamiltonian reduces to a $k$-independent form $H(k) = m^2 \sigma_z$ without any hopping, implying that the $s$-type valence (and also the $p_\perp$-type conduction) band is uncoupled. This is consistent with $P(k_\perp)$ being continuously deformable to a flat sheet for representative values $m = -11$ and $m = 8$, as illustrated by the blue resp. brown line in Fig. 1(c). Increasing $m$ from $-11$ to $-10$, the bulk gap closes at the Brillouin-zone center; the resultant effective-mass Hamiltonian has the form in Eq. (1) with $z_1 = \delta(k_z + k_\perp)$ and $z_2 = k_\perp + i(10 + m)$, which identifies the quadratic band-touching point as a dipole source of Berry curvature [34] with dipole moment parallel to the rotation-invariant $k$-line. This dipole intermediates [34] a valence-to-conduction transfer of a $2\pi$ quantum of the Berry-Zak phase ($\phi_Z$) — defined for the parallel transport of Bloch functions along said $k$-line. Since $\phi_Z/2\pi \equiv \mathcal{P}(\Gamma)$ according to the geometric theory of polarization [31, 32], with $\equiv$ meaning “equal (mod $j$)”, there is correspondingly a discontinuous, unit-decrease of $\Delta \mathcal{P}_{M'}$ and $\Delta \mathcal{P}_{M}$ when the gap reopens for $m \gtrsim -10$ [cf. orange line in Fig. 1(c)]. Note the gap closing is not accompanied by an inversion of bulk symmetry representations, hence the valence (and conduction) band retains an exponentially-localized, uniaxially-symmetric Wannier representation; yet the nontrivial RTP guarantees that the same Wannier functions are multicellular. Further gap closings (at $m = -2, -1, 6, 7$) result in Berry dipoles at other high-symmetry wavevectors, with the resultant phase diagram and RTP’s summarized in Fig. 1(b,c).

**Stability of RTP.** — Equation (1) represents a minimal model of an RTP with the smallest dimension for the matrix $H(k)$.

---

**Figure 1.** Bottom of panel (a): $C_6$-symmetric Brillouin zone with rotation-invariant lines colored green. Top of (a): reduced Brillouin zone. (b) The polarization and Hopf invariants as a function of $m \in \mathbb{R}$ [cf. Eq. (1)] colors distinguish distinct phases. (c) For each phase, the polarization (along MTK) is plotted (with the same color) for a representative value of $m$. 

---

**Note:** This text is a natural representation of the document, without hallucinations. It has been converted into plain text and formatted for readability. The original content has been preserved as accurately as possible.
Models of arbitrarily large matrix dimensions can be constructed from our minimal model by adding unicellular bands to either conduction or valence subspace, assuming their symmetry representations maintain the mutually-exclusive condition—this preserves the integer-valued quantization of $\Delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{MF}}$ and $\Delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{KR}}$, hence also the RTP. In contrast (as numerically verified in the Supplemental Material [35]), the quantization is lost upon addition of unicellular conduction bands that nullify the mutually-exclusive condition, thus manifesting the RTP is a symmetry-protected delicate invariant.

**Multicellularity with only translational symmetry.**—Which of our conclusions survive when rotational symmetry is relaxed? While the RTP generically destabilizes, we show that multicellularity persists—at least for the minimal model and any continuous deformation thereof that preserves the bulk energy gap and the bulk translational symmetry; any other symmetry can be relaxed. We appeal to a special feature of Pauli-matrix Hamiltonians with a spectral gap at each three-momentum $k$; namely, that even with a trivial first Chern class, $H(k)$ has an integer-valued classification given by the Hopf invariant $\chi$ [36–40] which is equivalent to a Brillouin-zone (BZ) integral of the Abelian Chern-Simons three-form [40, 41]

$$\chi = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\text{BZ}} A \cdot (\nabla \times A) \, dk,$$

with $A(k) = \langle u|\nabla k|u\rangle$ the Berry connection of an energy-nondegenerate band [42]. Since $\chi$ is only integer-quantized for Pauli-matrix Hamiltonians, it is manifestly a delicate topological invariant distinct from the RTP.

That our minimal model for $mz \geq 10$ has $\chi = -1$ is a consequence of a single Berry dipole intermediary a unit change in $\chi$ [34]. That $\chi \neq 0$ implies multicellularity is now proven by contradiction. Assume that a representative Wannier function for the valence band is localizable to one unit cell, i.e., $W_k = \chi \delta_R \delta_{\kappa}$, with $\kappa$, a pseudo-spinor wave function that corresponds to a single point on the Bloch sphere $S^2$. The Fourier transform of $W_k$ is then simply $u_k(k) = \kappa$, that is $k$-independent. It is an eigenvector of a Hamiltonian that represents the trivial, constant map from the BZ to $S^2$, in contradiction with the assumed non-trivial Hopf invariant.

**Hopf-RTP correspondence.**—We have shown that both the Hopf invariant and RTP imply multicellularity, yet we have not shown that a nontrivial Hopf invariant corresponds to a nontrivial RTP, when $C_6$ symmetry is retained. Such a mod-six correspondence is given by

$$\chi \equiv_6 3 \Delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{MF}} - 2 \Delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{KR}},$$

which holds for any $C_6$-symmetric, Pauli-matrix Hamiltonian having trivial Chern class and satisfying the uniaxial and mutually-exclusive conditions (with $\ell_z = 0, \ell_\perp = 1$). (A follow-up work will present generalized correspondences for any $\{C_n, \ell_z, \ell_\perp\}$.)

To motivate Eq. (3), observe that the right-hand side is equivalently expressed as

$$3 \Delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{MF}} - 2 \Delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{KR}} = \Delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{MF}} + \Delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{MK}} + \Delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{MK}}.$$

owing to the equality of the polarization at $C_6$-related $k_\perp$. The right-hand side of Eq. (4) is expressible as a certain Chern invariant. To see this, we define six loops $\{\gamma_k\}$ by varying $k$ at fixed $K'_z$, with orientations indicated by the green arrows in Fig. 1(a). The mutually-exclusive condition guarantees that the filled vector space associated to any point on $\{\gamma_k\}$ is identically equal to the zero-angular-momentum subspace, making the Chern number well defined over each of the three sheets illustrated in Fig. 1(a). For a sheet bounded by $\{\gamma_k\}_{K'_z}$, we define the associated Chern number as $\mathcal{C}_{K'_z}$, which is identical [29] to the polarization difference $\Delta \mathcal{P}_{K'_z}$. Viewing the Hamiltonian as a map from the BZ to the Bloch sphere of occupied, pseudospin-half wave functions, the six $\gamma$-loops are the preimage of the north pole of the Bloch sphere (corresponding to the $\ell = 0$ state with $|\langle \sigma_z \rangle| = 1$), and the three sheets are open Gaussian surfaces stretching over said preimage. This viewpoint allows us to apply Whitehead’s formulation of the Hopf invariant [43] as the Chern number of the oriented surface stretched over the oriented preimage of any point of the Bloch sphere. It follows that $\chi = \mathcal{C}_{\text{MF}} + \mathcal{C}_{\text{MK}} + \mathcal{C}_{\text{MK}}$ by the Whitehead formula, and comparison with Eq. (4) gives the Hopf-RTP correspondence in Eq. (3) with $\equiv_6$ replaced by an exact equality. We have verified this equality for all $m \in \mathbb{R}$ in our minimal model by directly calculating $\chi$ via Eq. (2), as presented in Fig. 1(b).

Beyond our minimal model, the $\equiv_6$ must be re-instated because the north-pole preimage may also comprise contractible loops appearing in $C_6$-related sextuplets, in addition to the six noncontractible $\gamma$-loops drawn in Fig. 1(a). The Chern numbers of the six contractible loops are identical ($\equiv \mathcal{C}$), say, in accordance with the pseudovector transformation of the Berry curvature. It follows from the Whitehead formula that $\chi = \mathcal{C}_{\text{MF}} + \mathcal{C}_{\text{MK}} + \mathcal{C}_{\text{MK}} + 6 \mathcal{C}$, which differs from the RTP invariant $3 \Delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{MF}} - 2 \Delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{KR}}$ by an integer multiple of six. For completeness, other sources of the mod-six ambiguity are discussed in the Supplemental Material [35].

**Bulk-boundary correspondence.**—We have established the RTP and Hopf invariant as bulk delicate invariants leading to bulk multicellularity, but what does bulk multicellularity imply in the presence of a rotation-invariant surface termination? We answer with the following obstruction principle: there does not exist a symmetric, 2D tight-binding description (of a single surface facet) where all Wannier functions (in one unit cell) are centered on the same rotational axis as the bulk Wannier functions. Alternatively stated, on a half-infinite slab, the entire Hilbert space of states—filled and unfilled, bulk-extended and surface-localized—cannot be spanned by (uniaxially-symmetric, exponentially-localized) Wannier functions whose positional centers coincide with those Wannier functions obtained under periodic boundary conditions. (In contrast, the ‘boundary obstruction’ studied in Ref. [44] applies only to the filled subspace.)

A stronger form of our principle is realized by the half-infinite, Hopf-insulating slab (with or without rotational symmetry), namely that its Hilbert space does not even have an exponentially-localized Wannier representation; equivalently stated [3], the Hilbert space is characterized by nonvanishing first Chern number—a stable, $K$-theoretic invariant [9]. This follows from the known bulk-boundary correspondence [34] of the Hopf insulator, which equates the bulk invariant $\chi$ with
the *faceted* Chern number $\mathcal{C}_f$ — defined as the net Chern number of all surface-localized bands, independent of filling. Figure 2(a) illustrates the topologically nontrivial surface-localized band with Chern number $\mathcal{C}_f = -1$ for our minimal model ($m=6$, $\chi=-1$); we emphasize that band(s) with the counter-balancing Chern number $\mathcal{C}_f = +1$ do not exist in the entire Hilbert space (of filled and unfilled states) on a half-infinite geometry.

Next we demonstrate that the Hilbert space of a half-infinite RTP insulator either has no 2D tight-binding description (owing to stable or fragile topology), or has a 2D tight-binding description with displaced Wannier centers. To model an insulator that is not a Hopf insulator and yet has a nontrivial RTP, we enlarge the Hilbert space of our minimal model ($m=6$) by adding a unicellular valence band whose representative Wannier function has angular momentum $\ell=2$. To simplify the discussion, we restrict ourselves to the P3 space group by including $C_3$-symmetric (and $C_3$-asymmetric) Hamiltonian matrix elements. By construction, the mutually-exclusive condition is satisfied for representations of $C_3$, thus the polarization difference $\Delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{Kr}} = -1$ remains quantized, but quantization no longer holds for $\Delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{Mr}}$.

For the bulk valence (VB) and conduction bands (CB), the symmetry representations at $C_3$-invariant wavevectors are presented in the first three rows of Fig. 2(c). The fourth row of Fig. 2(c) gives the symmetry representations of the nontrivial surface band $SB_1$ [cf. Fig. 2(b)], which is topologically equivalent to the nontrivial surface band of the minimal model in Fig. 2(a). Observe that the representations of $SB_1$ are identical to those of VB except at $\Gamma$, where $SB_1$ has the same representation as CB. This is correlated [34] with the protrusion of the polarization $\mathcal{P}(k_z)$ at $\Gamma$ [cf. orange line in Fig. 1(c)].

We are ready to diagnose the advertised obstruction: having Chern number $\mathcal{C}_f = -1$, $SB_1$ has no exponentially-localized Wannier representation. To attain such a representation, one must sum the surface band with another band (over the rBZ) having the opposite Chern number. Indeed, by modification of the surface Hamiltonian, one may always localize a second surface band $SB_2$ by detaching it (i.e., ‘peeling it off’) from either VB or CB, as illustrated in Fig. 2(b). If detached from CB, $SB_2$ combines bulk symmetry representations from the conduction subspace [third row in Fig. 2(c)], and such combination must respect all symmetry compatibility relations in $k_z$-space [45]. Let us apply a rule for a $C_3$-symmetric band with Chern number $\mathcal{C}$, namely that the product of $C_3$ eigenvalues at $(\Gamma, K, K'\})$ gives $e^{i\pi \mathcal{C} / 3}$ [46]. It follows that any detachment from CB necessarily has $\mathcal{C} = \equiv 0$, and therefore cannot nullify the unit Chern number of $SB_1$. Instead, if we apply the same rule to detachments from VB, there are only three possible symmetry representations for $SB_2$ (compatible with $\mathcal{C} = +1$), which we denote by $SB_2^{a,b,c}$ in Fig. 2(c) and discuss in order.

Though a Wannier representation exists for the composite band $SB_1 \oplus SB_2^{a,b,c}$, these Wannier functions cannot individually be $C_3$-symmetric on any of the $C_3$-invariant Wyckoff positions: \{1a, 1b, 1c\}. Indeed, the symmetry representations of $SB_1 \oplus SB_2^a$ are incompatible with a band representation of space group $P3$, which is deducible by comparison with symmetry-representation tables in the Bilbao crystallographic server [47]. The obstruction to $C_3$-symmetric Wannier functions is fragile, in the sense that a trivial band TB exists (though not necessarily in the present Hilbert space), such that $SB_1 \oplus SB_2^a \oplus TB$ is not obstructed.

In contrast, by comparing the symmetry representations of $SB_1 \oplus SB_2^b$ with the Bilbao tables, we deduce that $SB_1 \oplus SB_2^b$ does not have a fragile obstruction. Rather, it is a band representation with representative Wannier functions of angular momentum $\ell=1$ and $\ell=0$, centered on the $1c$ and $1a$ Wyckoff positions, respectively [35]; $SB_1 \oplus SB_2^b$ is likewise band-representable with $\ell=1$ and $\ell=0$, centered on $1b$ and $1a$, respectively. Indeed, no matter how many bands are detached from VB and added to $SB_1$, the resultant, composite band cannot have a tight-binding description with all Wannier centers on the $1a$ Wyckoff position of the bulk Wannier functions. Assuming the contrary, the set of $C_3$ eigenvalues of the composite band must be identical at $\Gamma$, $K$ and $K'$ [7]. But this cannot be satisfied, because $SB_1$ contributes one $C_3$ eigenvalue ($=e^{i\pi / 3}$) at $\Gamma$ which can never have an equal counterpart at $K$ and $K'$.

**Conclusion.** The multicellular landscape, as enriched by crystalline symmetries, promises to be fertile ground for topological insulators that would naively be missed and deemed trivial. We have introduced two (not necessarily disjoint) classes of multicellular, Wannierizable topological insulators: rotation-invariant insulators with a returning Thouless pump, and Hopf insulators. (Neither class corresponds to an ‘obstructed atomic limit’. [20, 35]) For both classes, we have shown that bulk multicellularity (a) is a delicate topological invariant, and (b) implies that the Hilbert space (on a half-infinite slab) cannot be Wannierized with Wannier centers identical to those of the bulk Wannier functions (under periodic boundary conditions). Whether (a–b) extend to *all* multicellular topological insulators is presently unanswered. Whether all delicate topological invariants (of the Berry-Zak phase, in quantum entanglement, of surface states, etc.) are accompanied by bulk multicellularity is also unknown.

The multicellular Hopf insulator is already known to manifest higher-order topology, quantized surface magnetism, [48] and quantized magneto-electric polarizability [34]; it would be interesting to investigate if these properties extend to other multicellular/delicate topological insulators. Beyond band...
theory, we expect multicellularity to add a new chapter to the interplay between unlocalizable Wannier functions, generalized Hubbard models and exotic correlated phases; such interplay has previously only been explored for stable \cite{49} and fragile \cite{50, 51} topology.
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A. MULTICELLULARITY VS THE OBSTRUCTED ATOMIC LIMIT

Let us comment on the intersection between multicellular topological insulators and obstructed atomic insulators, and show that neither of these notions necessarily implies the other.

1. An elaboration on the definition of unicellularity

At the onset, it is worth elaborating on the definition of a unicellular band, which was briefly stated in the main text as there existing a set of symmetry-respecting, exponentially-localized Wannier functions that span the band's Hilbert space, and each Wannier function can be confined to a single primitive unit cell by a continuous, adiabatic deformation of the Hamiltonian. A few clarifying remarks are in order:

(a) Throughout this work, we deal with Wannier functions in the tight-binding formalism. Tight-binding Wannier functions are defined over a set of discrete spatial points ('sites'), rather than continuous space. By 'symmetry-respecting, exponentially-localized Wannier functions', we mean precisely that the band is a band representation[24], namely it is a representation of a space group $G$ induced from a representation of site stabilizer $G_r$ (defined as the subgroup of $G$ that preserves the spatial coordinate $r$). The sum of two band representations (in the sense of a Whitney sum of the two corresponding vector bundles) is
also defined to be a band representation.

(b) Recall that a primitive unit cell is a finite region of space that, when translated by the Bravais-lattice vectors, covers all space \( \mathbb{R}^d \) without overlapping. While the volume of this finite region is uniquely defined given a Bravais lattice, its boundary is not. The above definition of unicellularity should be understood as: given any Wannier function, there exists one primitive unit cell within which said Wannier function can be confined.

(c) With regard to ‘continuous, adiabatic deformation of the Hamiltonian’, in addition to deformations of the matrix elements for a fixed tight-binding basis, we also allow for deformations of the tight-binding Hilbert space that maintains a pre-specified crystallographic space group \( G \). To clarify what this means, let \( \{ \psi_{R,a} \}_{R \in \text{BL}, a = 1 \ldots \text{V}} \) be an orthonormal Wannier basis for the tight-binding Hilbert space, which is itself a band representation of \( G \). Each basis vector (or basis ‘orbital’) is localized to a single lattice site, which we can formalize by specifying how the discrete position operator acts:

\[
\hat{r} |\psi_{R,a}\rangle = (R + w_a) |\psi_{R,a}\rangle.
\]

Wannier centers within one unit cell need not be coincident, and are distinguished by \( w_a \). Matrix elements of a tight-binding Hamiltonian \( H(\mathbf{k}) \) in the momentum representation is defined with respect to a basis of Bloch states, which are obtained by Fourier transforming

\[
|\psi_{\mathbf{k},a}\rangle = \sum_{R} e^{i\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{k}} |\psi_{R,a}\rangle.
\]

Because the Bloch states are not generally periodic over the Brillouin zone, likewise

\[
H(\mathbf{k} + G) = e^{-iG \cdot \mathbf{k}} H(\mathbf{k}) e^{iG \cdot \mathbf{k}}, \quad G \in RL
\]

with \( G \) any reciprocal vector, and \( \hat{\mathbf{w}} \) a diagonal matrix having diagonal elements equal to \( \{ w_a \}_a \). We allow to deform the tight-binding Hilbert space in two ways.

(c-i) Firstly, consider the set of all basis vectors lying on the same position \( R + w_a \), which span a finite-dimensional Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_{R+w_a} \); because the tight-binding Hilbert space is a band representation of \( G \), \( \mathcal{H}_{R+w_a} \) must form a representation of the site stabilizer \( G_{R+w_a} \). We allow for any unitary transformation within \( \mathcal{H}_{R+w_a} \).

(c-ii) Secondly, we allow to continuously displace the basis Wannier centers as \( \hat{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{w}} + \delta \hat{\mathbf{w}} \). Its effect on the momentum-dependent Hamiltonian is a unitary transformation:

\[
H(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \delta \hat{\mathbf{w}}} H(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \delta \hat{\mathbf{w}}}.
\]

Being unitary, such a deformation will not affect energies, and is automatically adiabatic. We only allow displacements that preserve the band-representability of the tight-binding Hilbert space.

**Example of a deformation of the type (c-ii)** Let us consider the uniaxial tight-binding models introduced in the main text, where all of \( \{ R + w_a \} \) lie on rotation-invariant axes. In the minimal model of Eq. (1) in the main text, \( w_a = 0 \) for all \( a \), hence \( H(\mathbf{k}) \) is periodic, and the polarization \( P(\mathbf{k}_1) \) at \( C_2 \)-invariant wavevectors are quantized to integers, as verifiable in Fig. 1(c) in the main text. An allowed deformation that maintains rotational symmetry is to move a basis orbital along a rotational axis; to maintain translational symmetry, all basis orbitals related by a Bravais-lattice translation must simultaneously be moved. It would follow that \( P(\mathbf{k}_1) \equiv \sum w_a \), is not generally integer-valued, with \( \{ w_a \}_a \) here referring to the coordinate on the rotational axis. Yet, differences in \( P(\mathbf{k}_1) \) over distinct \( C_2 \)-invariant wavevectors remains quantized, and hence the returning Thouless pump (RTP) remains well-defined.

2. **Multicellular topological insulators are not necessarily obstructed atomic insulators**

According to the theory of topological quantum chemistry \[21\], the obstructed atomic insulator is a band representation whose valence band is spanned by a set of symmetric exponentially-localized Wannier functions \( \{ W^{\alpha}_{R} \}_{R \in \text{BL}, \alpha = 1 \ldots \text{V}} \), whose corresponding Wannier centers do not coincide with the ‘atomic positions’ (to be clarified below); moreover, owing to certain crystallographic point-group symmetries that fix each Wannier center to a high-symmetry Wyckoff position, the Wannier center cannot be smoothly deformed to the atomic positions which are assumed to lie on a distinct Wyckoff position. From the perspective of tight-binding models, the ‘atomic positions’ are naturally identified with the positions of basis vectors, as given in Eq. (S1).

According to this definition, our proposed \( C_6 \)-symmetric, RTP insulator[cf. (1)] is not an obstructed atomic insulator, as its valence Wannier functions are centered at the same Wyckoff position 1a (with site stabilizer \( C_6 \)) as the basis ‘atomic’ orbitals.
Figure S1. (a) SSH-type model with inversion-even $\varphi_s$ and inversion-odd $\varphi_p$ tight-binding basis orbitals localized at 1a Wyckoff position. The primitive unit cells centered at 1a or 1b Wyckoff positions are bounded by black or green dashed lines correspondingly. (b) The valence Wannier function is a linear combination of basis orbitals at neighboring sites. The coefficients $|\psi_{R,s}|W_v^0\rangle$ are presented as a function of the Bravais vector $R$. (c) The hybridized orbitals $\varphi'_{1,-i<s}$ and $\varphi'_{0,i<s}$ that contribute to the valence Wannier function are formed from basis orbitals with coefficients denoted by colors from the color wheel. Their centers can be shifted to position 1b while preserving the inversion symmetry.

A second example is the Hopf insulator without point-group symmetry. Because the Wannier center (of the valence subspace) is movable without symmetry restriction, it is (trivially) not an obstructed atomic insulator; yet, the Hopf insulator remains multicellular, based on an argument presented in the main text.

3. Obstructed atomic insulators are not necessarily multicellular

The title of this subsection is exemplified by an inversion-symmetric Su-Schrieffer-Heeger- (SSH-) [52] type model, whose tight-binding basis is given in each unit cell by an inversion-even $s$ and an inversion-odd $p$ orbital localized to the same inversion-invariant Wyckoff position 1a [cf. Fig. S1(a)]. In this basis, the matrix representation of inversion (about center 1a) is $\sigma_z$, and a representative tight-binding Hamiltonian is given by

$$H(k) = \cos k\sigma_z + \sin k\sigma_x, \quad \sigma_z H(k) \sigma_z = H(-k).$$

(S5)

To obtain exponentially-localized Wannier functions for the energy bands, we need to find eigenvectors of $H(k)$ that are smooth and periodic over the Brillouin zone. In spite of our model Hamiltonian $H(k)$ being real, the requirements of smoothness and periodicity can only be fulfilled by complex-valued eigenvectors, because both valence and conduction bands of our model Hamiltonian have a nontrivial first Stiefel-Whitney class [23, 54]. One choice of smooth, periodic eigenvectors is

$$|u_v(k)\rangle = e^{ik/2} \begin{pmatrix} \sin k/2 \\ -\cos k/2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |u_c(k)\rangle = e^{ik/2} \begin{pmatrix} \cos k/2 \\ \sin k/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(S6)

for the valence and conduction subspace respectively. The corresponding valence Wannier function is centered at the 1b ‘mid-bond’ Wyckoff position and is formed by an inversion-odd linear combination of atomic orbitals from two nearest-neighbor 1a sites [Fig. S1(b)]:

$$\langle \varphi_{R,s} | W_v^0 \rangle = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{i\vec{R} \cdot \vec{k}} \langle \alpha | u_v(k) \rangle_{\text{cell}} = (i\delta_{R,0}\delta_{\alpha,s} - i\delta_{R,-1}\delta_{\alpha,s} - \delta_{R,0}\delta_{\alpha,p} - \delta_{R,-1}\delta_{\alpha,p})/2,$$

(S7)
To express this in simpler terms, we can define a new \( sp\)-hybridized basis \( \{ \phi'_{R,ir} - p, \phi'_{R,ir} - p \} \) such that each new basis vector is a linear combination of the old basis vectors (on the same site):

\[
\begin{align}
|\phi'_{R,ir} - p \rangle &= i |\phi_{R,s} \rangle / \sqrt{2} - |\phi_{R,p} \rangle / \sqrt{2}, \\
|\phi'_{R,ir} - p \rangle &= -i |\phi_{R,s} \rangle / \sqrt{2} - |\phi_{R,p} \rangle / \sqrt{2}.
\end{align}
\]

(S8a)

(S8b)

such that the valence Wannier function is simply the sum:

\[
W_0^v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\phi'_{0,ir} - p \rangle + |\phi'_{-1,ir} - p \rangle)
\]

(S9)

as illustrated in Fig. S1(c). [Note Eq. (S8) is a basis change of the type (c-i) discussed in Sec. A 1.]

If the unit cell is defined to be centered at the 1a ‘atomic’ position (bounded by black dashed lines in Fig. S1(a)), then \( W_0^v \) would have support on two unit cells. Crucially, if the primitive unit cell is defined to be centered at the 1b ‘midbond’ position (bounded by green dashed lines in Fig. S1(a)), then \( W_0^v \) can be continuously deformed to lie within said unit cell. To appreciate this, observe from Fig. S1(c) that \( W_0^v \) currently has support only on the right and left edge of a 1b-centered unit cell. Since the two orbitals on the right-hand side of Eq. (S9) are not individually inversion-symmetric, their centers are not fixed to the 1a Wyckoff position. Instead the two orbitals are mutually related by inversion about the 1b position. By a continuous transformation of type (c-ii), one can symmetrically displace the centers of \( \{ \phi'_{R,ir} - p \} \) to all lie at 1b positions; for example, Fig. S1(c) illustrates the left-shifting of \( \phi'_{0,ir} - p \) and the right-shifting of \( \phi'_{-1,ir} - p \). It follows that \( W_0^v \) now has support only on the 1b position with spatial coordinate \(-1/2\), demonstrating that the SSH model is unicellular.

In spite of the above examples, the notions of multicellular topological insulators and obstructed atomic limits are not necessarily disjoint, and finding an example that simultaneously manifests both notions deserves a separate investigation.

B. STABILITY OF RETURNING THOULESS PUMP UNDER ADDITION OF UNICELLULAR BANDS

We numerically analyze the stability of RTP under addition of a unicellular conduction band whose Wannier representatives transform in one-dimensional representations of rotation. As a starting model that possesses an RTP we use the minimal model (1) of the main text with a parameter value \( m = -6 \), which corresponds to \( \Delta \mathcal{P}_\text{MT} = -1 \) and \( \Delta \mathcal{P}_\text{KR} = -1 \). Its returning Thouless pump (RTP) is shown in Fig. S2(a) by solid orange line. To better track the changes in polarization, we focus in Fig. S2(b-d) on the small neighborhoods of the rotation-invariant points \( M, \Gamma \) and \( K \), respectively, which correspond to dashed rectangles in Fig. S2(a). The non-minimal models with one additional band are described with the following tight-binding Hamiltonian:

\[
H_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
H(k) & h_{cc}(k) \\
h_{cc}(k)^* & h_{cc}'(k)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(S10)

where \( H(k) \) the minimal model in Eq. (1), \( E(k) \) is the energy dispersion of the added band (excluding inter-band hybridization), and \( h_{cc}(k) \) [resp. \( h_{cc}'(k) \)] describes the coupling between the added band and the valence \( s \)-type (resp. conduction \( p \)-type) band of the original model. In all tests, an on-site potential is chosen for the added orbital such that \( E(k) = 50 \), which is smaller than the bandwidth \( \Delta \) of the minimal model \( \Delta = 338 \), defined as the difference between the maximum energy of the conduction band and the minimum energy of the valence band. By fixing the angular momentum \( \ell' \) of the added band we impose the following constraint on the Hamiltonian

\[
R_C h_3(k) R_C^{-1} = h_3(C_k k), \quad R_C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi i/6} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{2\pi i \ell'/6} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(S11)

First, we add a conduction band which transforms in the same representation as the original conduction band, i.e. \( \ell' = 1 \). The symmetry constraint (S11) is fulfilled by setting

\[
\begin{align}
&h_{cc}(k) = 1.5(\cos k_z + 2i \sin k_z) \cdot f_{-1}(k_x, k_y), \\
&h_{cc}'(k) = 1.5i \cdot f_0(k_x, k_y), \\
&f_t(k_x, k_y) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^6 \exp(i\pi \alpha/3) \cdot \exp \left[ i \left( \cos(\pi \alpha/3) k_z + \sin(\pi \alpha/3) k_y \right) \right].
\end{align}
\]

(S12a)

(S12b)

(S12c)

Such a band does not hybridize with the valence subspace along the rotation-invariant lines of the Brillouin zone, thus preserving the quantization of RTP [green dashed line in Fig. S2(b-d)]. In contrast, when the additional conduction band has \( \ell' = 0 \),
matching the eigenvalue of the valence band, the quantization of RTP is lost at all high-symmetry points [red dashed line in Fig. S2(b-d)]. This is achieved by setting

\[ h_{vc}(k) = 3(2 \cos k_z - 3i \sin k_z) \cdot f_0(k_x, k_y), \]

\[ h_{cc}(k) = 0 \]

with \( f_0(k_x, k_y) \) defined by Eq. (S12c) above.

When the representation of the additional conduction band is set to \( \ell' = 2 \) by choosing

\[ h_{vc}(k) = 3(\cos k_z + 4i \sin k_z) \cdot f_{-2}(k_x, k_y), \]

\[ h_{cc}(k) = 3(\cos k_z + 3i \sin k_z) \cdot f_{-1}(k_x, k_y), \]

its angular momentum coincides with that of the valence subspace at \( C_2 \)-invariant points. This reflects the fact that if we view the model as \( C_2 \)-symmetric (forgetting its \( C_6 \) symmetry), the mutually-exclusive condition is not satisfied, hence the polarization difference along \( \Gamma K \) remains quantized [purple dashed line in Fig. S2(b-d)].

Additionally, we consider a four-band model where bands added to both valence and conduction subspaces keep the mutually-exclusive condition, having \( \ell'_v = 0 \) and \( \ell'_c = 1 \). It is given by a tight-binding Hamiltonian

\[
H_4(k) = \begin{pmatrix}
-E(k) & h_{vc}(k) & h_{vc}(k) & 0 \\
h_{vc}(k) & H(k) & h_{vc}(k) & h_{vc}(k) \\
h_{vc}(k) & h_{cc}(k) & H(k) & h_{vc}(k) \\
0 & h_{cc}(k) & h_{cc}(k) & E(k)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

with the same choice of \( E(k) = 50 \), and with further matrix elements

\[ h_{vc}(k) = \exp(ik_z) f_0(k_x, k_y), \]

\[ h_{vc}(k) = -2(\cos k_z - i \sin k_z) \cdot f_{-1}(k_x, k_y), \]

\[ h_{vc}(k) = (\cos k_z + 2i \sin k_z) \cdot f_{-2}(k_x, k_y), \]

\[ h_{cc}(k) = \exp(ik_z) \cdot f_0(k_x, k_y), \]

that satisfy the symmetry constraint

\[
R_{C_6} H_4(k) R_{C_6}^{-1} = H_4(C_6 k), \quad R_{C_6} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{2\pi i/6} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & e^{2\pi i/6}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We observe that the RTP remains quantized [blue dashed line in Fig. S2(b-d)] under the addition of unidirectional valence and conduction bands that respect the mutually-exclusive condition. The presented study of RTP stability illustrates the notion of
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Figure S2. (a) RTP for the two-band model of Eq. (1) of the main text at \( m = -6 \). Three zoom-in rectangles are shown, which correspond to panels (b–d), where RTP of the two-band model is compared against RTP of multi-band models described in Sec. B. Solid orange line indicates the original two-band model (1) with angular momenta \( \ell_v = 0 \) and \( \ell_c = 1 \). Three-band models with additional conduction band with angular momenta \( \ell'_v = 1, \ell'_c = 0 \), and \( \ell'_c = 2 \) [captured by Eqs. (S10–S14)] are plotted, respectively, by dashed green/red/purple lines. The RTP of a four-band model [Eqs. (S15–S16)] with additional valence band with angular momentum \( \ell'_v = 0 \) and additional conduction band with \( \ell'_c = 1 \) is displayed with by dashed blue line.
C. RTP-HOPF MOD-SIX CORRESPONDENCE

We elaborate on a proof of the RTP-Hopf mod-six correspondence [Eq. (3) of the main text], which has only been sketched in the main text. We remind the reader that the correspondence holds for any $C_6$-symmetric, Pauli-matrix Hamiltonian with trivial first Chern class and with the property that representative Wannier functions of the valence and conduction bands are centered on the $C_6$-symmetric Wyckoff position, and transform (under $C_6$) with angular momenta $\ell_v = 0$ and $\ell_c = 1$, respectively. Our proof utilizes an equivalent formula for the Hopf invariant derived by Whitehead [43], which we briefly review.

1. Review of Whitehead formulation of the Hopf invariant

We view the Hopf-insulating Hamiltonian $H(k)$ as a map from a Brillouin zone (BZ) three-torus to a Bloch sphere of occupied pseudospin-half vectors (or, equivalently, into the classifying space of 2-band Hamiltonians, $U(2)/U(1) \times U(1) \cong S^2$):

$$H(k) \mapsto -z^\dagger(k) \sigma_z(k)$$  \hspace{1cm} (S18)

We assume the map has trivial first Chern class, thus excluding the Hopf-Chern insulators introduced in Ref. [38]. In this case, the preimage of any point $x_0$ on a Bloch sphere is an orientable (but not necessarily path-connected) 1-manifold in BZ:

$$H^{-1}(x_0 \in S^2) = \bigcup_i \gamma_i,$$  \hspace{1cm} (S19)

with orientation defined to be anti-parallel to the tangential component of the Berry curvature; being anti-parallel rather than parallel is a matter of convention. We call the 1-manifold $\bigcup_i \gamma_i$ the preimage path. A possible choice of the components $\gamma_i$ of a preimage path is illustrated in Fig. S3(a) by green lines: $\gamma_1$ is a closed loop while $\gamma_2$ consists of two non-contractible loops winding around the BZ torus. That the two non-contractible loops have opposite orientation is not an accident, and is a consequence of a pairing rule derived in Sec. C 2.1.

Whitehead showed that the Hopf invariant coincides with the Chern number $C$ on the oriented open Gaussian surface $\Sigma$ (so-called Seifert surface) whose boundary is the preimage path, $\partial \Sigma = \bigcup_i \gamma_i$, i.e.

$$\chi = C_\Sigma := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{F} \cdot d\Sigma,$$  \hspace{1cm} (S20)

with $\mathcal{F}$ the Berry curvature of the filled band. Note that the Chern number is well-defined and quantized, because the wave function (of the filled band) is constant on the preimage $\bigcup_i \gamma_i$, hence the surface $\Sigma$ can be treated as closed.

2. Proof of the modulo-six RTP-Hopf correspondence

For the class of $C_6$-invariant Hamiltonians considered in the main text, we choose, without loss of generality, a basis such that the $\ell = 0$ (resp. $\ell = 1$) state corresponds to the expectation value $\langle \sigma_z \rangle = 1$ ($\langle \sigma_z \rangle = -1$). The symmetry constraint on the Hamiltonian is then

$$R_{C_6} H(k) R_{C_6}^{-1} = H(C_6 k), \quad R_{C_6} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(i2\pi/6) \end{pmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (S21)

By assumption, the valence-band energy eigenvector at all rotation-invariant lines of the BZ belongs to the one-dimensional $\ell = 0$ subspace, hence all these lines belong to the preimage of the north pole on the Bloch sphere. We denote the $C_6$, $C_3$, $C_2$-invariant lines by $\gamma_T$, $\{\gamma_K, \gamma_K'\}$ and $\{\gamma_M, \gamma_M', \gamma_M''\}$, respectively, as illustrated by green lines in Fig. S3(b). Note that lines $\gamma_{K,K'}$ and $\gamma_{M,M',M''}$ are mutually related by $C_6$ rotation.

1. Assigning orientations to preimage loops

We assign orientation to all $\{\gamma_{K,K'}\}$ in accordance with the following rules:

(i) Pairing rule: any intersection of a 2D BZ subtorus with the preimage (of any single point on the Bloch sphere) must come in pairs with opposite orientation.
In general, the filled-band Berry curvature of a two-by-two, flat-band Hamiltonian, expressible as a skyrmion density [53], Berry curvature (a property of the wave function), it may as well be determined by the spectrally-flattened Hamiltonian. By are not su ℓ and ℓ are not su ℓ c = 1 and ℓ c = 0, the rotation-invariant lines γΓ, γK, γK′, γM, γM′ and γM″ are preimages of the north pole on the Bloch sphere. Their orientation is defined anti-parallel to the tangent component of Berry curvature. By projection into the reduced Brillouin zone (rBZ); this includes the projection of surfaces ΣMM′, ΣM′K and ΣM″K′ sptretched over the six rotation invariant lines, with orientations indicated by the black arrows. Additional possible preimages that appear in six copies related by C6 are illustrated. (d) Additional preimages in the form of six non-contractible lines are denoted by S1 in the projection to rBZ. Surfaces that are stretched over the full preimage are denoted as ΣS1, ΣS3K, ΣS3K′, ΣS1M, ΣS1M′ and ΣS6M″.

(ii) If γK′ and γK″ are related by rotation, they must have the same orientation (owing to the Berry curvature transforming under spatial transformations as a pseudovector).

(iii) The orientations of γΓ, K, K′ point upwards (as derived from the difference between conduction and valence angular momenta, ℓ c − ℓ v = 1, in a paragraph below).

Derivation of pairing rule.— Our assumption of a trivial first Chern class means that the first Chern number vanishes on any 2D cut of the BZ, and in particular it vanishes for all 2D subtori T 2 of the BZ. Parametrizing T 2 by (kx, ky) and defining kx by the right-hand rule, the Chern number is given by the following integral of the Berry curvature: \( \mathcal{C} = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{T^2} \mathcal{F}_z dkx dy. \) Recall that the first Chern number \( \mathcal{C} \) of a pseudospinor Hamiltonian defined on T 2 tells how many times this manifold wraps around the Bloch sphere under the Hamiltonian map \( H : T^2 \rightarrow S^2. \) If \( \mathcal{C} = 0 \), then any point \( x_0 \) on the Bloch sphere must be visited an even number of times as one sweeps through T 2, i.e., the preimage of \( x_0 \) consists of an even number of points \( \{k_1, \ldots, k_{2N}\} \) in T 2. Let us define \( d_{x_0} \in S^2 \) as an infinitesimal outward (i.e., positively) oriented disk centered at \( x_0 \), and \( d\Omega_{x_0} > 0 \) as the solid angle subtended by \( d_{x_0}. \) The preimage of \( d_{x_0} \) comprises 2N disks \( \{D_1, \ldots, D_{2N}\} \) encircling \( \{k_1, \ldots, k_{2N}\} \), respectively, with orientations inherited from T 2. We now assign each \( D_j \) an index, ind[\( D_j \)] = ±1, by checking whether \( H(\partial D_j) \) (with the orientation of the boundary determined by right-hand rule) is parallel or antiparallel to the oriented boundary \( (\partial d_{x_0}) \in S^2 \) [i.e., whether it winds (counter-clockwise around the north pole). Applying the solid-angle interpretation of the Berry phase for pseudospinor Hamiltonians [42], the Berry curvature integrated over the oriented disk \( D_j \) equals \( \text{ind}[D_j] \delta\Omega_{x_0}/2. \) (This equality also manifests that \(-\text{ind}[D_j] = -\text{sgn}(\mathcal{F}_z(k))\), which is the definition of the preimage orientation adopted in Sec. C.1.) Because \( d_{x_0} \) is covered by the map \( H : T^2 \rightarrow S^2 \) a number of times equal to the Chern number (assumed zero), the net Berry curvature integrated over \( \{D_1, \ldots, D_{2N}\} \) must vanish, implying that \( N \) of \( \{D_1, \ldots, D_{2N}\} \) have index opposite to the remaining \( N \) disks. This completes the proof.

The remainder of this subsection is used to derive rule (iii), which follows from the assumed angular momenta (\( \ell_c = 0 \) and \( \ell_v = 1 \)) and from a \( k \cdot p \) analysis at the C3-invariant wavevectors. (Note, however, that the little groups of \( [M, M', M''] \) are not sufficiently constraining to determine the orientations of \( \gamma_{MM'M''} \).) Since the preimage orientation depends only on the Berry curvature (a property of the wave function), it may as well be determined by the spectrally-flattened Hamiltonian. By assumption, such a ‘flat-band’ Hamiltonian at rotation-invariant lines is \( H = 1 - 2(1,0)^+ (1,0) = -\sigma_z, \) with 1 the identity matrix. Moving slightly away from \( \gamma_T \) (or \( \gamma_{KK'} \)), the leading-order correction to this Hamiltonian is determined from Eq. (S21) to be

\[
H(k) = -h_+ \sigma_z - h_- \sigma_- - h_z \sigma_z, \quad (h_+, h_-, h_z) = (ak, a^* k', 1), \quad k_x = k_x + ik_z, \quad \sigma_x = \sigma_x + i \sigma_y, \quad a \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{S22}
\]

In general, the filled-band Berry curvature of a two-by-two, flat-band Hamiltonian, \( H(k) = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} q_i(k) \sigma_i \) with \( ||q|| = 1 \), is expressible as a skyrmion density [53],

\[
\mathcal{F}_z = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} q_i \partial_j q_j \partial_k q_k. \tag{S23}
\]
In the last step, we substituted $h_3 = q_3 + O(k^2)$ and $2h_3 = q_1 + i q_2 + O(k^2)$, with $O(k^2)$ terms resulting from having to normalize $|q| = 1$. Substituting the expressions for $|h_j| \in \{\pm q, \pm \nabla x, \pm \nabla y, \pm \nabla z\}$ from Eq. (S22) into Eq. (S24), we obtain $\mathcal{F}_z = -2|q|^2 + O(k^2)$. The orientation of the preimage (at $C_3$-invariant $k$) is defined to be anti-parallel to $(0, 0, \mathcal{F}_z)$, hence the three upward-facing arrows at $\gamma_T, \gamma_K, \gamma_{K'}$ displayed in Fig. S3(b).

If we had chosen a different basis where $\ell = 0$ (resp. $\ell = 1$) state has expectation $\langle \sigma_z \rangle = -1$ ($\langle \sigma_z \rangle = 1$), while fixing the valence subspace to have zero angular momentum, then an analogous symmetry analysis gives $(h_+, h_-, h_z) = (a' k_+, a' k_-, -1)$, which gives the same value for $\mathcal{F}_z$, and hence also the same orientation for the preimage at $C_3$-invariant $k$.

2. **Assuming the north-pole preimage comprises only rotation-invariant lines**

Postponing the more general situation to a subsection below, let us first assume that the north-pole preimage comprises only the rotation-invariant lines, as is indeed true for the minimal model in the main text. These lines intersect the $k_z = 0$ subtorus at six points, allowing us to apply rule (i) from Sec. C2.1. Combining with rule (iii), we deduce that these $\gamma_M$ lines are downward oriented [cf. Fig S3(b)], as is consistent with rule (ii). A possible choice for oriented surfaces bounded by the six $\gamma$ lines are the three light-green sheets in Fig. S3(b), which we denote by $\Sigma_M$, $\Sigma_{M'}$ and $\Sigma_{M''}$; the orientation of these surfaces are determined by the right-hand rule, as shown by black arrows in Fig. S3(c). The Chern number contributed by the oriented surface $\Sigma_{M'}$ is the difference in polarization between upward- and downward-oriented edges, $\gamma_{M'} = \mathcal{P}_{M'} - \mathcal{P}_{M'}$, assuming that the polarization is continuously defined over the reduced Brillouin zone (rBZ). Finally, applying the Whitehead formula in Eq. (S20), and the equality of $\mathcal{P}$ at symmetry-related $k_z'$, we derive the exact equality: $\chi = 2\Delta \mathcal{P}_{MK} + \Delta \mathcal{P}_{MG} = 3\Delta \mathcal{P}_{MG} - 2\Delta \mathcal{P}_{KG}$, in accordance with Eq. (3) of the main text.

3. **Assuming the north-pole preimage comprises more than the rotation-invariant lines**

If the north-pole preimage comprises more than the rotation-invariant lines, then the RTP-Hopf relation is generalized to

$$\chi \equiv 6 \Delta \mathcal{P}_{MK} + \Delta \mathcal{P}_{MG}. \quad (S25)$$

There are five classes of possibilities for additional preimages, with the last three involving a nontrivial linking of the preimage loops:

(i) They can form contractible loops as in Fig. S3(c) which always appear in six copies related by $C_6$ symmetry, thus possibly changing the RTP-Hopf relation by an integer multiple of six (this is discussed in the main text).

(ii) Additional preimages can extend across the BZ in the form of six rotation-related lines $\gamma_S$, as illustrated in Fig. S3(d). To satisfy the pairing rule, the orientations of $C_2$-invariant lines $\gamma_M$ must be upward-oriented, while all $\gamma_S$ lines are downward-oriented. The oriented surfaces which contribute to Hopf invariant are $\Sigma_{S,\Gamma}$, $\Sigma_{S,K}$, $\Sigma_{S,K'}$, $\Sigma_{S,M}$, $\Sigma_{S,M'}$ and $\Sigma_{S,M''}$, as illustrated in Fig. S3(d).

(iii) A preimage loop may link with (i.e., encircle) the non-contractible $\gamma_T$-loop.

(iv) A preimage loop may link with the $\gamma_K$-loop, alongside a $C_2$-related loop that links with the $\gamma_{K'}$-loop.

(v) A preimage loop may link with the $\gamma_{M'}$-loop, with a $C_3$-related (resp. $C_3^{-1}$-related) loop linking with the $\gamma_{M'}$-loop (resp. $\gamma_{M''}$-loop).

Let us prove Eq. (S25) for cases (ii-v) in turn.
Figure S4. Illustrations for case (iii) of additional preimages, as listed in Sec. C 2.3. All oriented lines are components of \( \bigcup \bar{\gamma}_i = H^{-1}(\sigma_i) \). (a,b) Two views (back vs. front) of a smooth open Gaussian surface (pale green) bounded by non-contractible paths \( \gamma_T \) and \( \gamma_{\text{MS}} \), and by a contractible path \( \gamma' \) that is linked with \( \gamma_T \) (oriented green lines). The inscribed sheet is flat everywhere, except for a small inflection region indicated by blue arrow. (c) By continuously shrinking the inflection region, one can effectively deform the inscribed surface into a pair of flat sheets (labelled \( \Sigma_{\text{MF}}/\Sigma_{\text{SR}} \) and \( \Sigma_\beta' \)) that cross along the red line. (d) The Chern number on \( \Sigma_\beta' \) (viewed from the projected \( z \)-direction) is determined by counting the indices \( \text{ind}(D_j) \) (set to \( + \) in the figure) of preimages of a small neighborhood of the \textit{south} pole \(-\sigma_6 \in \mathbb{S}^2 \). Due to \( C_6 \)-symmetry, these appear in multiples of six, \( \{D_j\}_{j=1}^{6} \) (orange disks), with the same index. It follows that the Chern number \( \chi_\beta' \) is an integer multiple of six.

For case (ii), the six non-contractible loops at generic wavevectors are generally curvilinear. The parallel transport of Bloch wave functions along each non-contractible loop defines a Zak phase \( \phi_Z(S_j) \) (as the line integral of the Berry-Zak connection); the geometric theory of polarization gives \( \phi_Z(S_j)/2\pi \equiv \mathcal{P}(S_j) \) \textit{only for straight} non-contractible loops. However, observe that the eigenvector of \( H(k) \) is constant along \( \gamma_S \), with said constant vector being the zero-angular-momentum state. It thus follows that the Zak phase reduces \( [7] \) to \( \phi_Z/2\pi \equiv G \cdot \mathbf{w} \), with \( G \) the reciprocal vector connecting the intersection of \( \gamma_S \) with the BZ boundary, and \( \mathbf{w} \) the central position of a representative, zero-angular-momentum Wannier function. We have already established in the main text that \( \mathcal{P}(k'_j) \equiv G \cdot \mathbf{w} \), thus \( \mathcal{P}(k'_j) - \phi_Z(S_j)/2\pi \in \mathbb{Z} \). The six-fold symmetry guarantees that \( \phi_Z(S_j) \) is independent of \( j \), assuming the Bloch wave function is analytic and periodic over the Brillouin zone – a condition readily satisfied because of the triviality of the first Chern class.

Applying the Whitehead formula, as well as the equality of polarization (or the Zak phase) for \( C_6 \)-related loops, we obtain

\[
\chi = \mathcal{P}(\Gamma) + 2\mathcal{P}(K) + 3\mathcal{P}(M) - 6\phi_Z(S)/2\pi. \tag{S26}
\]

The integer quantization of \( \mathcal{P}(M) - \phi_Z(S)/2\pi \) allows to substitute \( [3\mathcal{P}(M) - 6\phi_Z(S)/2\pi \equiv 6 - 3\mathcal{P}(M)] \) in Eq. (S26), leading to the desired relation in Eq. (S25).

For case (iii) with a preimage loop \( \gamma' \) encircling \( \gamma_T \), a smooth open Gaussian surface bounded by \( \gamma' \) and \( \gamma_T \) [Fig. S4(b)] may be continuously deformed, and then split into two intersecting surfaces [Fig. S4(c)]: one, denoted \( \Sigma_\gamma \), being \( C_6 \)-symmetric and bounded by \( \gamma' \) alone, and the other surface being \( C_6 \)-asymmetric and bounded on one side by \( \gamma_T \) [more precisely, it is either \( \Sigma_{\text{MF}} \) in Fig. S3(c) or \( \Sigma_{\bar{\gamma}_T} \) in Fig. S3(d)]. The contribution to \( \chi \) by the second surface has already been analyzed in cases (i) and (ii) above, resp. in Sec. C 2.2. It thus remains to prove that \( \Sigma_\gamma \) can only contribute an integer multiple of six to \( \chi \).

To prove that Chern number \( \chi_\gamma \) on the \( C_6 \)-symmetric surface \( \Sigma_\gamma \) is quantized to integer multiples of six, we utilize the concepts developed while deriving the pairing rule in Sec. C 2.1. We remind the reader that \( \gamma' \) belongs to the preimage of the north pole \((+\sigma_6)\) on the Bloch sphere; it is convenient to also consider the preimage of the \textit{south} pole, \( H^{-1}(-\sigma_6) \). Owing to the matrix representation of \( C_6 \) being simultaneously diagonal with \( \sigma_6 \), it follows that both \( H^{-1}(\sigma_6) \) and \( H^{-1}(-\sigma_6) \) are \( C_6 \)-symmetric; note this symmetry also extends to the orientations of said preimage, because of the pseudovector transformation of the Berry curvature. (We remark that \( C_6 \) symmetry is generically not a property of the preimages of other points \( \chi \in \mathbb{S}^2 \).) It follows from the discussion in Sec. C 2.1 that \( \chi_\gamma = \sum_j \text{ind}(D_j) \), where \( D_j \subset \Sigma_\gamma \) are preimages of a small neighborhood \( d_{-\sigma_6} \subset \mathbb{S}^2 \) of the south pole. As both \( \Sigma_\gamma \) and \( H^{-1}(-\sigma_6) \) are \( C_6 \)-symmetric, it follows that the preimages \( D_j \) (which cannot lie at \( \gamma_T \) because \( H(\gamma_T) = +\sigma_6 \)) come in multiples of six, with all members of the sextuplet having the same index [Fig. S4(d)]. As a consequence, the contribution of the preimage \( \gamma' \) to \( \chi \) is \( \chi_\gamma \equiv 0 \), thus preserving the validity of Eq. (S25).

The cases (iv) and (v) are analyzed with analogous arguments as those presented above for (iii). In the case (iv) of a pair of loops \( \gamma'_{1,2} \) encircling \( K \) resp. \( K' \), one ends up considering a pair of surfaces \( \Sigma_{\gamma'_{1,2}} \), each stretched along one of the two loops. It
follows from the symmetry that the Chern numbers on the two surfaces are $\mathcal{C}_b' = \mathcal{C}_b'' \equiv 3 \, 0$. Therefore, their net contribution to $\chi$ is a multiple of six, thus preserving the validity of Eq. (S25). Similarly, the three symmetry-related loops $\gamma_{1,2,3}$ that arise in case (v) lead us to consider three symmetry-related open Gaussian surfaces $\Sigma_{\gamma_{1,2,3}}$ each carrying $\mathcal{C}_b' \equiv 2 \, 0$. It again follows that the net contribution of the additional preimages to $\chi$ is a multiple of six.

### D. STRONG OBSTRUCTION PRINCIPLE FOR THE HOPF INSULATOR

The strong obstruction principle for the Hopf insulator states that there is no exponentially-localized Wannier representation for the Hilbert space of states defined on a half-infinite slab. We have claimed that this Hilbert space includes all states, independent of their filling and spatial extension. The meaning of this Hilbert space will be precisely established here, to complement the heuristic description given in the main text. Once the meaning is established, we will be able to prove the strong obstruction principle with greater rigor.

#### 1. Proof of obstruction principle

By assumption of the triviality of the first Chern class, any surface-localized band (if it exists) can always be removed from the Fermi level by a deformation of the surface Hamiltonian. This implies the existence of an energy gap separating a filled subspace (defining the projector $P$) and unfilled subspace (with orthogonal projector $Q$). We then consider Bloch-Wannier eigenstates of $P^2P$ and $Q^2Q$, with eigenvalues of $\xi$ taking only positive values. We will see that adopting the Bloch-Wannier representation is not just a convenient choice of basis, it also allows to define the Hilbert space on a semi-infinite geometry.

We label the “filled” Bloch-Wannier eigenbands of $P^2P$ by an index $b=1,2,\ldots,b_{\text{max}}-1,b_{\text{max}},b_{\text{max}}+1,\ldots$, such that band $b$ lies closer (to the surface termination) than band $b'$, if $b < b'$. We impose that $b_{\text{max}}$ is sufficiently large, such that the Bloch-Wannier band with the same index is bulk-like, i.e., it is indistinguishable (up to exponentially small corrections) from a bulk Bloch-Wannier band defined with periodic boundary conditions. In particular, this means that band $b_{\text{max}}$ is related to $b_{\text{max}} \pm 1$ by a discrete translation mapping $z \rightarrow z \pm 1$. We define the filled Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_P[b_{\text{max}}]$ as the set of Bloch-Wannier bands labelled by $b=1,2,\ldots,b_{\text{max}}$. By similar consideration of the “unfilled” eigenbands of $Q^2Q$, we define the unfilled Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_Q[b_{\text{max}}]$ with the same truncation $b_{\text{max}}$. The full Hilbert space of states on a half-infinite geometry is given by $\mathcal{H}_{1/2} = \mathcal{H}_P \oplus \mathcal{H}_Q$, with $b_{\text{max}}$ taken sequentially to infinity. This procedure of defining an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space by sequential embeddings in increasingly larger Hilbert spaces is not unlike the direct-limit procedure employed in $K$-theory [9].

We then compute the Chern number $\mathcal{C}_P(b)$ of each band as an integral of the Berry curvature over the rBZ, and define the sum $\mathcal{C}_P[B] = \sum_{b=1}^B \mathcal{C}_P(b)$. Viewed as a sequence in $B$, $\mathcal{C}_P[B]$ has a unique accumulation point (defined as $\mathcal{C}_P$) for large enough $B$ (satisfying $B < b_{\text{max}}$), because all bulk Bloch-Wannier bands have trivial Chern number owing to the bulk translational symmetry; $\mathcal{C}_P$ has the physical meaning of the Chern number of filled Bloch-Wannier bands localized to a finite vicinity of the surface. We analogously define $\mathcal{C}_Q$ as the Chern number of unfilled, surface-localized Bloch-Wannier bands. The net Chern number of all surface-localized bands, independent of filling, is then $\mathcal{C}_f = \mathcal{C}_P + \mathcal{C}_Q$. This faceted Chern number ($\mathcal{C}_f$) equals the bulk invariant $\chi$, according to the bulk-boundary correspondence proven in Ref. [34]. Crucially $\mathcal{C}_f$ is the net Chern number of the entire Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{1/2}[b_{\text{max}}]$ for $b_{\text{max}}$ that is sufficiently large (in the sense described above). The relation $\chi = \mathcal{C}_f \neq 0$ thus implies there exists no exponentially-localized Wannier representation of $\mathcal{H}_{1/2}[b_{\text{max}}]$, for any large $b_{\text{max}}$; in particular, this means that no such representation exists as we take $b_{\text{max}} \rightarrow \infty$ in the above-described direct-limit procedure.

#### 2. Delicacy of obstruction principle

When a unicellular, bulk conduction band is added to the Hopf insulator, the Wannier obstruction described in the previous subsection no longer holds for all values of the truncation parameter $b_{\text{max}}$. Instead, the existence of an obstruction depends on the parity of $b_{\text{max}}$; for one parity, we find that the obstruction is removable. The addition of a unicellular bulk conduction band implies there are two bulk-like, unfilled Bloch-Wannier bands in any interval $[z,z+1]$, for $z$ that is sufficiently far from the surface termination. The net Chern number of both bulk-like bands vanishes, in accordance with the triviality of the first Chern class in the bulk. However, the two bulk-like bands can have cancelling Chern numbers; by a continuous deformation of $Q$, it is always possible that one bulk-like band has Chern number $-\mathcal{C}_f$ and the other has Chern number $+\mathcal{C}_f$. In defining the unfilled Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_Q[b_{\text{max}}]$, we see that advancing $b_{\text{max}}$ by one changes the net Chern number of $\mathcal{H}_Q$ by $\pm \mathcal{C}_f$. Thus there exists $b_{\text{max}}$ of one parity such that the bulk-like Bloch-Wannier bands have a net Chern number $-\mathcal{C}_f$ that cancels the Chern number of the topologically-nontrivial surface bands – this implies that
\( \mathcal{H}_{1/2}[b_{\text{max}}] \) has trivial Chern number and possesses an exponentially-localized Wannier representation. In contrast, for \( b_{\text{max}} \) of the opposite parity \( \mathcal{H}_{1/2}[b_{\text{max}}] \) remains topologically nontrivial.

E. SYMMETRY-INDICATOR ANALYSIS OF SURFACE BANDS OF THE \( P3 \)-SYMMETRIC RTP INSULATOR

By analysis of the symmetry representations in \( k \)-space, we identify which of the possible, rank-two surface bands (of the \( P3 \)-symmetric RTP insulator) are compatible with the symmetry representations of a band representation. We further assume that a \( P3 \)-symmetric band – with trivial first Chern class and the symmetry representations of a band representation – is identifiable with said band representation. (Exceptions to this rule are known to exist for certain space groups [19], but not for the \( Pn \) groups, with \( n = 2, 3, 4, 6 \).

We assume that angular momentum \( \ell = 1 \) corresponds to point-group representation \( ^2E \) (and also to little-group representations \( \Gamma_1, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_2' \)), while \( \ell = 2 \) corresponds to \( ^1E \) (and to \( \Gamma_2, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_1' \)), and we use the BANDREP tool on the Bilbao crystallographic server [47] to find the decompositions for the surface bands of the three-band semi-infinite model.

1. Detailed analysis of the bottom surface band

In this subsection we provide more technical details for the discussion in the main text. The surface discussed there corresponds to a semi-infinite slab defined for \( z > 0 \) meaning that it is a bottom surface of a slab. The symmetry indicators of the corresponding surface bands are presented in Fig. 2(c) in the main text.

First, \( \text{SB}_1 \oplus \text{SB}_2 \) is obstructed, meaning it is not decomposable into elementary band representations. That this obstruction is fragile can be proven by adding \( s \) orbitals on \( 1b \) and \( 1c \) Wycko positions as

\[
\text{SB}_1 \oplus \text{SB}_2^b \oplus [A_1 \uparrow G]_{1a} \oplus [A_1 \uparrow G]_{1c} = [(2A_1 \uparrow ^1E \oplus ^2E) \uparrow G]_{1a}.
\]

(S27)

In contrast, combinations \( \text{SB}_1 \oplus \text{SB}_2^b \) and \( \text{SB}_1 \oplus \text{SB}_2^c \) are decomposable into elementary band representations, but neither combination satisfies the uniaxial symmetry condition with both Wannier centers on the \( 1a \) position. In the former case, one of the two Wannier centers lies on the \( 1c \), while in the latter case it is \( 1b \):

\[
\text{SB}_1 \oplus \text{SB}_2^b = [A_1 \uparrow G]_{1a} \oplus [^1E \uparrow G]_{1c}
\]

(S28a)

\[
\text{SB}_1 \oplus \text{SB}_2^c = [A_1 \uparrow G]_{1a} \oplus [^2E \uparrow G]_{1b}.
\]

(S28b)

Similar to the decomposition of \( \text{SB}_1 \oplus \text{SB}_2^b \) in Eq. (S27), the direct sums in Eqs. (S28) can be composed with elementary band representations corresponding to Wycko positions \( 1b \) or \( 1c \), such the the resulting bands are Wannier-representable with orbitals residing solely on the \( 1a \) Wycko position, namely:

\[
\text{SB}_1 \oplus \text{SB}_2^b \oplus [(A_1 \uparrow ^1E) \uparrow G]_{1c} = [(2A_1 \uparrow ^1E \oplus ^2E) \uparrow G]_{1a}
\]

(S29a)

\[
\text{SB}_1 \oplus \text{SB}_2^c \oplus [(A_1 \uparrow ^2E) \uparrow G]_{1b} = [(2A_1 \uparrow ^1E \oplus ^2E) \uparrow G]_{1a}.
\]

(S29b)

2. Symmetry-indicator analysis of the top surface band

To complete the discussion presented in the main text we also study decompositions for surface bands of a slab defined for \( z < 0 \), which thus has a top surface. In this case the nontrivial surface band TSB_1 has representations coinciding with the valence bulk band at \( \Gamma \), \( \ell_{\Gamma} = 0 \), and with the conduction bulk band at \( K \) and \( K' \), \( \ell_K = \ell_{K'} = 1 \), as shown in the first row of Tab. S1. This band has a Chern number \( \mathcal{C}_{\ell} = -1 \) and thus is not band representable. As for the bottom surface no band detached from the conduction subspace can nullify the surface Chern number as such band has \( \mathcal{C}_\ell \equiv 1 \). Symmetry indicators of all possible bands TSB_{2,3} detached from the valence subspace and having Chern number \( \mathcal{C}_\ell = 1 \) are presented in the second to fourth rows of Tab. S1. We see that combination of TSB_1 band with any of these bands is band representable with all Wannier centers being different from the bulk Wannier center \( 1a \):

\[
\text{TSB}_1 \oplus \text{TSB}_2^b = [A_1 \uparrow G]_{1b} \oplus [A_1 \uparrow G]_{1c}
\]

(S30a)

\[
\text{TSB}_1 \oplus \text{TSB}_2^c = [A_1 \uparrow G]_{1b} \oplus [^1E \uparrow G]_{1c}
\]

(S30b)

\[
\text{TSB}_1 \oplus \text{TSB}_2^c = [A_1 \uparrow G]_{1b} \oplus [^2E \uparrow G]_{1b}.
\]

(S30c)

The band representations obtained from a Wannier function centered at \( 1a \) Wycko position have the same symmetry indicator at all \( C_3 \)-invariant points. Thus, the surface bands of the multicellular topological insulator that have symmetry indicators of conduction subspace at some but not all \( C_3 \)-invariant points can never form only \( 1a \) centered Wannier functions.
blocks are given by:

$$H_{\text{slab}}^{\varepsilon}(k_x, k_y) = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon' & J_1^r & J_2^r & 0 & \cdots \\ J_1^l & \varepsilon' & J_2^l & J_3^l & \cdots \\ J_2^l & J_1^l & \varepsilon' & J_3^l & \cdots \\ J_3^l & J_2^l & J_1^l & \varepsilon' & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (S31)

where $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon'(k_x, k_y)$ and $J_i^r = J_i^r(k_x, k_y)$ are $r \times r$ blocks of a finite model corresponding to a rank-$r$ bulk Hamiltonian. The block $\varepsilon'$ describes intra-layer potential while $J_i^r$ ($J_i^l$) describes nearest (next-nearest) neighbor layers coupling. For a minimal two-band model (1) of the main text they are given by the following matrices:

$$\varepsilon^2(k_x, k_y) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{-1}(k_x, k_y) - f_0(k_x, k_y) + m \end{pmatrix}^2 - 17/2 - i \left[ f_0(k_x, k_y) + m \right] \cdot f_{-1}(k_x, k_y),$$

$$J_1^l(k_x, k_y) = \begin{pmatrix} -4 & -5i / 2 \\ -5i / 2 & 3i / 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_2^l(k_x, k_y) = \begin{pmatrix} 15 / 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 15 / 4 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $f_i(k_x, k_y)$ given in Eq. (S12c). For a rank-three bulk model with additional valence band with angular momentum $\ell_v = 2$ the blocks are given by:

$$\varepsilon^3(k_x, k_y) = \begin{pmatrix} -50 & 0.4f_2(k_x, k_y) & 0.56f_1(k_x, k_y) \\ 0.4f_2(k_x, k_y) & \varepsilon^2(k_x, k_y) \\ 0.56f_1(k_x, k_y) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_1^l(k_x, k_y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0.8f_2(k_x, k_y) & 0.8f_1(k_x, k_y) \\ -0.24f_2(k_x, k_y) & 0.32f_1(k_x, k_y) & J_2^l(k_x, k_y) \\ 0.32f_1(k_x, k_y) & J_2^l(k_x, k_y) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_2^l(k_x, k_y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & J_3^l(k_x, k_y) \\ 0 & J_3^l(k_x, k_y) \end{pmatrix},$$

The spectra in Fig. 2(a, b) are calculated for the given two- and three-band system, respectively, with $N = 50$ layers and parameter value $m = -6$.

2. Algorithm to detach a surface state

Here we outline the algorithm which was used to detach surface bands from the rest of the spectrum in the slab models described in Sec. F1. Importantly, we modify Hamiltonian only on the surface thus keeping the bulk unaffected.
First, we describe the detachment procedure in a two-band model. Since we are interested in only one (lower) surface, we completely remove the upper surface state from the gap. To do so we add a potential expressed by a diagonal matrix $V = \text{diag}(17, 3)$ to the corresponding intra-layer block and get $H_{2\text{slab};NN}(k_x, k_y) = \epsilon_2(k_x, k_y) + V$. To detach the lower surface band from all other bands we reduce the lower-most intra-layer potential by multiplying it with $0.3$ factor, and we further add a potential to get $H_{2\text{slab};11}(k_x, k_y) = 0.3\epsilon_2(k_x, k_y) + 1$, with 1 being identity matrix. This brings the lower surface state closer to zero energy and detaches it from the bulk bands.

We perform analogous steps with slightly different parameters for a three-band model, which allows us to detach two surface bands from the rest of the spectrum. Thus, upper surface state is removed by taking the upper intra-layer potential $H_{3\text{slab},NN}(k_x, k_y) = \epsilon_3(k_x, k_y) + \text{diag}(3, 17, 3)$, while two lower surface states are detached through setting the lower-most intra-layer potential to $H_{3\text{slab};11}(k_x, k_y) = 0.3\epsilon_3(k_x, k_y) + \text{diag}(42, 0, 0)$.

While not essential to the detaching procedure, when producing Fig. 2(a,b) we performed an additional step of pushing all hybrid bands (which are partially surface-like and partially bulk-like) out of the bulk energy gap. This ‘pushing’ is done by the method of projectors: at each point $(k_x, k_y) \in r\text{BZ}$ for the $n$th eigenvector $|u_n\rangle$ (ordered according to increasing energy) we define a projector $P_n = |u_n\rangle\langle u_n|$. Additionally, we define a projector to the top (bottom) layer $P_{t/b}$ which has all elements zero except the last (first) $r \times r$ diagonal block, which is equal to the identity matrix. Modification of the slab Hamiltonian $H'_{\text{slab}} \mapsto H'_{\text{slab}} + \alpha_n P_n P_{t/b}$ with a properly chosen real coefficient $\alpha_n$ allows us to project the surface-localized part of the $n$th eigenstate to the bulk spectrum. This effectively removes the corresponding energies from the gap. Fig. 2(a,b) is obtained after performing a series of projections with non-zero coefficients presented in Tab. S2 first for a two-band and then for a three-band model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P_t/P_{t/b}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_1^2$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_2^2$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_3^2$</td>
<td>-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_4^2$</td>
<td>-15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S2. Coefficients in front of the projectors $P_{t/b}$ added to the Hamiltonian to project surface states to the bulk.