

# A note on powers of the characteristic function

Saulius Norvidas

Institute of Data Science and Digital Technologies, Vilnius University, Akademijos str. 4, Vilnius LT-04812, Lithuania  
(e-mail: norvidas@gmail.com)

**Abstract** Let  $CH(\mathbb{R})$  denote the family of characteristic functions of probability measures (distributions) on the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ . We study the following question: given an integer  $n > 1$ , do there exist two different  $f, g \in CH(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $f^n \equiv g^n$ ? For positive even  $n$ , well-known examples answer this question in the affirmative. It turns out that the same is true also for any odd  $n > 1$ . For  $f \in CH(\mathbb{R})$  and integer  $n > 1$ , set  $C_n(f) = \{g \in CH(\mathbb{R}) : g^n \equiv f^n\}$ . In this paper, we give an estimate for cardinality (or cardinal number) of  $C_n(f)$ . In addition, we describe such  $f$  for which our estimate is sharp.

MSC: 42A38 - 42A82 - 60E10

Keywords: Characteristic function; Fourier transform; probability measure.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $M(\mathbb{R})$  denote the Banach algebra of bounded regular Borel measures on the real line  $\mathbb{R}$  with the total variation norm  $\|\mu\|$  and convolution as multiplication. We define the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$\hat{\mu}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itx} d\mu(t),$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

If  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{R})$  is positive on the whole  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\|\mu\| = 1$ , then in the language of probability theory, such an  $\mu$  is called a probability measure. If  $\mu$  is a probability measure, then  $f(x) := \hat{\mu}(-x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , is called the characteristic function of  $\mu$ . In the sequel,  $CH(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the multiplicative semigroup of characteristic functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  denote the usual group of integers. Given  $f \in CH(\mathbb{R})$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , we define

$$C_n(f) = \{g \in CH(\mathbb{R}) : g^n \equiv f^n\}.$$

We say that  $c_n(f)$  is trivial if  $c_n(f)$  do not contains elements of  $CH(\mathbb{R})$  other than  $f$ . The purpose of this paper is to study the structure of  $c_n(f)$ . Our interest in  $c_n(f)$  is inspired by the following question in [7, p. 334] as an unsolved problem:

*Do there exist two different characteristic functions  $f$  and  $g$  such that*

$$f^n \equiv g^n \text{ for some odd } n, n > 1? \tag{1.1}$$

If there  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is positive and even, then the answer to this question is well known. Indeed, let  $f$  and  $g$  be periodic functions with periods 2 and 4, respectively, such that  $f(x) = 1 - |x|$ , for

$|x| \leq 1$ , and  $g(x) = 1 - |x|$ , for  $|x| \leq 2$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  are characteristic functions (see, e.g., [7, p. 265]) such that  $|f| \equiv |g|$ . Therefore,  $f^{2k} \equiv g^{2k}$  for all positive  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

If  $n > 1$  and  $n$  is odd, then an affirmative answer to (1.1) comes from the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** (see [5, theorem 1.1]). *There exists  $f \in CH(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $C_n(f)$  is non-trivial for all integer  $n > 1$ .*

In this paper, we will study the structure of  $C_n(f)$  in more detail. It turns out that in such a problem, the significant role plays the (geometrical) construction of the null set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) = 0\}$  of  $f$ .

For  $f \in CH(\mathbb{R})$ , let us define the essential support of  $f$  by  $S_f = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \neq 0\}$ . Obviously,  $S_f$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . It is known that for any open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $-U = U$  and  $0 \in U$ , there exists  $f \in CH(\mathbb{R})$  with  $S_f = U$  (see, e.g., [2]). Next,  $S_f$  can be represented as a finite or infinite union  $\bigcup_j E_j$ , where  $\{E_j\}_j$  is the family of all open connected components (intervals) of  $S_f$ . The cardinal (or cardinal number)  $\text{card}(\{E_j\}_j)$  of  $\{E_j\}_j$  we denote by  $\text{comp}(S_f)$ . Of course, if  $\{E_j\}_j$  is an infinite family, then  $\text{comp}(S_f) = \infty$ . Since any  $f \in CH$  satisfies

$$f(-x) = \overline{f(x)} \quad (1.2)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , it follows that if  $\text{comp}(S_f) < \infty$ , then there is  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , such that  $\text{comp}(S_f) = 2k + 1$ . Moreover, then there exists a finite sequence of pairwise disjoint open intervals  $E_0 = (-b_0, b_0)$  and  $E_j = (a_j, b_j)$ ,  $E_j = -E_{-j}$ ,  $j = -k, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, k$ , such that

$$S_f = \bigcup_{j=-k}^k E_j \quad (1.3)$$

with

$$b_0 < a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2 < \dots < a_k < b_k. \quad (1.4)$$

Note that in (1.3) also might be  $b_k = +\infty$ .

**Proposition 1.2** *Let  $f \in CH(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Suppose that  $\text{comp}(S_f) = 2k + 1$  with certain  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k \geq 0$ . Then*

$$\text{card}(C_n(f)) \leq n^k. \quad (1.5)$$

**Theorem 1.3** *Under the conditions of Proposition 1.1, for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \geq 2$  and any sequence of pairwise disjoint open intervals  $\{E_j\}$  satisfying (1.3) and (1.4), there exists  $f \in CH(\mathbb{R})$  such that*

$$\text{card}(C_n(f)) = n^k. \quad (1.6)$$

**Remark 1.3.** Note a particular case of Proposition 1.1 when  $\text{comp}(S_f) = 1$ , i.e., if  $k = 0$ . For example, the characteristic functions of the following frequently used probability measures (distributions) satisfy this condition ( $k = 0$ ): Normal, Laplace, Poisson, Cauchy and some other (see, for example, [7, p.p. 282-329]). Therefore, (1.5) shows that these  $f$  generate the trivial classes  $C_n(f)$  for all integer  $n > 1$ .

## 2 Preliminaries and proofs

The Lebesgue space  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  can be identified with the closed ideal in  $M(\mathbb{R})$  of measures absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure  $dx$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Namely, if  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\varphi$  is associated with the measure

$$\mu_\varphi(E) = \int_E \varphi(t) dt$$

for each Borel subset  $E$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $\widehat{\varphi}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itx} \varphi(t) dt$ . In particular, if  $\mu = \varphi(t)dt$ , where  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\varphi$  is such that  $\|\varphi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = 1$  and  $\varphi \geq 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $\varphi$  is called the probability density function of  $\mu$ , or the probability density for short.

We define the inverse Fourier transform by

$$\check{\psi}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itx} \psi(x) dx,$$

$t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the inversion formula  $\widehat{(\check{\psi})} = \psi$  holds for suitable  $\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . A function  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be positive definite if

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m F(x_j - x_i) c_j \overline{c_i} \geq 0$$

holds for all finite sets  $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}$ . The Bochner theorem (see, e.g., [4, p. 71]) states that a continuous function  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is positive definite if and only if there exists a positive measure  $\mu_F \in M(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $F = \widehat{\mu_F}$ . Hence, any  $f \in CH(\mathbb{R})$  is positive definite with  $f(0) = 1$ . In the case if  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is continuous and  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\varphi$  is positive definite if and only if  $\check{\varphi}(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof of Proposition 1.1.** Let  $g \in c_n(f)$ . Then it is immediate that  $S_f = S_g$  and

$$|f(x)| = |g(x)|, \tag{2.1}$$

$x \in S_f$ . Fix any  $E_j \subset S_f$  in (1.3). Using the fact that  $E_j$  is an open connected component of  $S_f$ , we have that there are two continuous functions  $u_{f,j}, u_{g,j} : E_j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f(x) = |f(x)| e^{iu_f(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = |g(x)| e^{iu_g(x)} \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $x \in E_j$ . Since  $f^n \equiv g^n$  and  $u_{f,j}$  together with  $u_{g,j}$  are continuous on  $E_j$ , it follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$u_{g,j}(x) = u_{f,j}(x) + 2\pi m_j \tag{2.3}$$

for certain  $m_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  and all  $x \in E_j$ . Therefore,

$$g(x) = \sum_{j=-k}^k f(x) \chi_{E_j}(x) e^{i2\pi m_j}, \tag{2.4}$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\chi_{E_j}$  is the indicator function of  $E_j$ . (1.2) implies that  $m_{-j} = -m_j$  for each  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ . Next, we claim that  $m_j = 0$ . Indeed, this claim follows from (2.3) and equalities  $u_{f,j}(0) = u_{g,j}(0) = 0$ , since  $f(0) = g(0) = 1$ . Hence, we conclude from (2.4) that

$$g(x) = f(x) \chi_{E_0}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^k f(x) \left( \chi_{E_j(-x)}(x) e^{-i2\pi m_j} + \chi_{E_j(x)}(x) e^{i2\pi m_j} \right) \tag{2.5}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Keeping in mind that each  $m_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , may take any value in  $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ , we obtain from (2.5) the estimate (1.5). Proposition 1.1 is proved.

**Remark 2.1.** In Remark 1.3 and in the proof of Proposition 1.1 (see (2.1)) we concerned with certain aspects of the phase retrieval problem, i.e., with the problem of recovery of a measure  $\mu$  (not necessarily a probability measure) when are given the amplitude  $|\widehat{\mu}|$  of its Fourier transform. This problem is well known in various fields of science (see survey [6]). The question about uniqueness of the solution for such problem arises in quantum mechanics (see [3]).

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.**

Let us split the proof into two cases. First we consider the case when all  $E_j$  in (1.2) are finite intervals. Let us denote by  $|E_j|$  and by  $2\rho$  the length of interval  $E_j$  in (1.3) - (1.4) and the minimal of these lengths, respectively. Since  $a_0 = -b_0$ , i.e.,  $E_0 = (-b_0, b_0)$ , it follows from (1.4) that

$$2\rho = \min\{2b_0; \min_{1 \leq j \leq k} (b_j - a_j)\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Now fix any  $\varphi \in CH(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\text{supp } \varphi \subset [-\rho, \rho] \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x) > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in (-\rho, \rho). \quad (2.7)$$

Since, in addition,  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , we have that  $\check{\varphi} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  (see, e.g., [1, p. 409]). Also the second condition in (2.7) shows that  $\varphi$  is an even function.

$\check{\varphi} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  with Now fix any  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ . It follows immediately from (2.6) and (2.7) that there exists a positive number  $m = m(j)$  and a family of points  $\{\tau_{j_p}\}_{p=1}^{m(j)}$  satisfying

$$\tau_{j_1} = a_j + \rho < \tau_{j_2} < \dots < \tau_{j_m} = b_j - \rho \quad (2.8)$$

such that the function

$$F_j(x) = \sum_{p=1}^{m(j)} \varphi(x - \tau_{j_p}) \quad (2.9)$$

is supported on  $[a_j, b_j] = \overline{E_j}$ . Moreover,  $F_j$  is strictly positive on  $(a_j, b_j) = E_j$ . Since  $\varphi$  is even, it follows that the function

$$F_j(-x) = \sum_{p=1}^{m(j)} \varphi(x + \tau_{j_p}) \quad (2.10)$$

is supported on  $\overline{E_{-j}} = -\overline{E_j}$  and strictly positive on  $-E_j$ .

Now take an arbitrary sequence of positive numbers  $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=0}^k$  such that

$$\alpha_j \leq \frac{1}{2m_j(k+1)}, \quad (2.11)$$

$j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ , and define

$$F(x) = \varphi(x) + \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j (F_j(x) + F_j(-x)). \quad (2.12)$$

According to (2.6), (2.7), (2.8) and properties of the functions  $F_j$  mentioned above, we see that

$$S_F = \bigcup_{j=-k}^k E_j. \quad (2.13)$$

We claim that  $F$  is continuous and positive definite. Indeed, since  $F$  is continuous and compactly supported, we it follows that  $F$  and  $\check{F}$  are in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Then we deduce from (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$\check{F}(t) = \check{\varphi}(t) + \sum_{j=0}^k \left( \alpha_j \check{\varphi}(t) \sum_{p=1}^{m(j)} 2 \cos(\tau_{j_p} \cdot t) \right) = \check{\varphi}(t) \left[ 1 + 2 \sum_{j=0}^k \left( \alpha_j \sum_{p=1}^{m(j)} \cos(\tau_{j_p} \cdot t) \right) \right]. \quad (2.14)$$

By combining that  $\varphi \in CH(\mathbb{R})$  with condition (2.11), we get that  $\check{F}(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence, Bochner's theorem proving our claim.

Next, let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . For any  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ , let us define

$$G_\omega(x) = \varphi(x) + \alpha_0 \left( F_0(x) + F_0(-x) \right) + \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \left[ e^{i2\pi\omega_j/n} F_j(x) + e^{-i2\pi\omega_j/n} F_j(-x) \right]. \quad (2.15)$$

Then analogous to (2.10), we obtain

$$\check{G}_\omega(t) = \check{\varphi}(t) \left( 1 + 2\alpha_0 \sum_{p=1}^{m(0)} \cos(\tau_{0_p} \cdot t) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k \left[ \alpha_j \sum_{p=1}^{m(j)} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi\omega_j}{n} + \tau_{j_p} \cdot t\right) \right] \right). \quad (2.16)$$

Therefore, using again (2.11), we see that each such an  $G_\omega$  is also continuous and positive definite.

Now we claim that

$$G_\omega^n \equiv F^n \quad (2.17)$$

for all  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ . This follows immediately from definitions  $F$  in (2.12) and  $G_\omega$  in (2.15). Indeed, at first,  $\text{supp } F = \text{supp } G_\omega = \cup_{j=-k}^k E_j$ . Next, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{cases} G_\omega(x) = \alpha_j e^{i2\pi\omega_j/n} F_j(x) = e^{i2\pi\omega_j/n} F(x), & \text{for } x \in E_j, j = 1, \dots, k, \\ G_\omega(x) = \varphi(x) + \alpha_0 (F_0(x) + F_0(-x)) = F(x), & \text{for } x \in E_0, \\ G_\omega(x) = \alpha_j e^{-i2\pi\omega_j/n} F_j(-x) = e^{-i2\pi\omega_j/n} F(x), & \text{for } x \in E_j, j = -1, \dots, -k. \end{cases}$$

In particular, from here and from (2.15) we obtain

$$G_\omega(0) = F(0) = 1 + 2\alpha_0 \sum_{p=1}^{m(0)} \varphi(\tau_{0_p}) > 1.$$

Set

$$f(x) = \frac{F(x)}{F(0)} \quad \text{and} \quad g_\omega(x) = \frac{G_\omega(x)}{G_\omega(0)}.$$

Then  $f, g_\omega \in CH$  and  $g_\omega \in C_n(f)$  for all  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ . Moreover, (2.13) implies that  $S_f = S_F = \cup_{j=-k}^k E_j$ . Finally, it is easy seen that  $G_\omega = G_\theta$  for some  $\omega$  and  $\theta$  from  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ , if and only if  $\omega - \theta \in n\mathbb{Z}^k$ . Therefore, when  $\omega$  runs in  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ , then the family (2.14) and corresponding family  $\{g_\omega\}_{\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^k}$  contains exactly  $n^k$  different characteristic functions. This shows (1.6).

Now we consider the second case when  $E_k = (a_k, \infty)$ . Using the same  $\varphi \in CH$  satisfying (2.7), let us define by (2.9) the functions  $F_j$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ . Next, take an arbitrary sequence of positive numbers  $\{\gamma_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$  such that

$$\sum_{l=1}^\infty \gamma_l = 1$$

and define

$$F_k(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \gamma_l \varphi(x - a_k - l\rho).$$

Now for any sequence of positive numbers  $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=0}^k$  satisfying (2.11) for all  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$  and such that

$$\alpha_k \leq \frac{1}{2(k+1)},$$

we have that  $F_k$  is supported on  $\overline{E_k}$  and is strictly positive on  $E_k$ . The following proof in this case exactly the same as that of the first case.

## References

- [1] Hewitt, E., Stromberg, K.: Real and Abstract Analysis. A modern treatment of the theory of functions of a real variable. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg (1975).
- [2] Ilinskiĭ, A. I.: The zeros and the argument of a characterisitic function. (Russian. English summary). Teor. Verojatnost. i Primenen. 20, no. 2, 421-427 (1975).
- [3] Klivanov, M.V., Kamburg, V. G.: Uniqueness of a one-dimensional phase retrieval problem. Inverse Problems. 30, no. 7, 075004, 1-10 (2014).
- [4] Lukacs, E.: Characteristic Functions, 2nd edn. Hafner Publishing Co., New York (1970).
- [5] Norvidas, S.: On powers of the characteristic function. Mediterr. J. Math. V. 17 , Issue: 2, Article no. 72, 1-12 (2020)
- [6] Shechtman, Y., Eldar, Y.C., Cohen, O., Chapman, H.N., Miao, J., Segev, M.: Phase retrieval with application to optical imaging. IEEE signal processing magazine. May, 87- 109 (2015).
- [7] N. G. Ushakov: Selected Topics in Characteristic Functions. Modern Probability and Statistics., VSP, Utrecht (1999).