Intercomponent entanglement entropy and spectrum in binary Bose-Einstein condensates
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We study the entanglement entropy and spectrum between components in binary Bose-Einstein condensates in d spatial dimensions. We employ effective field theory to show that the entanglement spectrum exhibits an anomalous square-root dispersion relation in the presence of an intercomponent tunneling (a Rabi coupling) and a gapped dispersion relation in its absence. These spectral features are associated with the emergence of long-range interactions in terms of the superfluid velocity and the particle density in the entanglement Hamiltonian. Our results demonstrate that unusual long-range interactions can be emulated in a subsystem of multicomponent BECs that have only short-range interactions. We also find that for a finite Rabi coupling the entanglement entropy exhibits a volume-law scaling with subleading logarithmic corrections originating from the Nambu-Goldstone mode and the symmetry restoration for a finite volume.

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the last two decades, the concept of quantum entanglement has been extensively applied to quantum many-body problems [1–3]. A useful measure of entanglement for a many-body state |Ψ⟩ is the entanglement entropy (EE). By partitioning a many-body system into a subregion A and its complement A, the EE is defined as the von Neumann entropy S_A = −Tr ρ_A ln ρ_A of the reduced density matrix ρ_A = Tr_A |Ψ⟩⟨Ψ|. The dependence of S_A on the size of A can exhibit a universal scaling that reflects the long-distance properties of the system. When the ground state |Ψ⟩ contains only short-range correlations, the EE scales with the size of the boundary of A (boundary law) [4, 5]. The deviation from a boundary law signals the presence of certain nontrivial correlations. In one-dimensional (1D) quantum critical systems, for example, the EE exhibits a universal logarithmic scaling with a coefficient determined by the central charge of the underlying conformal field theory [6–9]. In 2D topologically ordered systems, the EE obeys a boundary law, but there appears a universal subleading constant that reflects the underlying topological order [10–13].

More detailed information about bipartite entanglement can be investigated by using the entanglement spectrum (ES) [14]. By rewriting the reduced density matrix in the form of ρ_A = e^{−H_e}, where H_e is referred to as the entanglement Hamiltonian, the ES is defined as the full eigenvalue spectrum of H_e. The EE then corresponds to the thermal entropy in the canonical ensemble given by H_e at the fictitious temperature T = 1. The ES finds particularly useful applications in gapped topological phases—the ES has been found to exhibit the same low-energy features as the edge-mode spectrum in such diverse examples as quantum Hall states [14–20], fractional Chern insulators [21], topological insulators [22–25], and symmetry protected topological phases [26–29]. Several physical arguments have been put forth in support of this remarkable edge-entanglement correspondence [30–33]. Among them, the argument by Qi, Katsura, and Ludwig [30] is based on the following illuminating idea, which is referred to as the “cut and glue” picture (see also Refs. [34–38]). Suppose we cut a 2D topological state into two pieces A and Â by switching off the interaction between A and Â. This leads to the appearance of gapless edge states at the 1D boundary of each piece. We can then study the ES of the original system by analyzing how the 1D edge states are entangled as we recover the interaction between A and Â.

In parallel with this development, there have been active studies on the entanglement between two systems coupled in parallel such as ladders [34, 39–48], bilayers [49–51], and d-dimensional two-component field theories [52–54]. In a pioneering work, Poilblanc [39] has numerically calculated the ES in gapped phases of a spin-1/2 Heisenberg ladder, where the entanglement cut is placed between the chains, and found that the ES remarkably resembles the gapless energy spectrum of a single Heisenberg chain (see also [40–42] for related results). This chain-entanglement correspondence is an interesting analogue of the edge-entanglement correspondence in topological phases, and these are intimately related through the “cut and glue” picture of Qi et al. [30]. Field theoretical analyses of the ladder problem have been conducted by describing the system as two coupled Tomonaga-Luttinger liquids (TLL) [34, 43, 44]. It has been shown that the ES exhibits a variety of dispersion relations depending on the type of the interchain coupling. Specifically, when the two TLLs (each described
by a scalar field) are coupled only by marginal interactions, both the symmetric and antisymmetric channels of the scalar fields remain gapless; in this fully gapless case, the ES shows a gapped spectrum [34, 44]. When one of the two channels becomes gapped owing to a relevant interaction (i.e., the partially gapless case), the ES shows an anomalous square-root dispersion relation [34]. Finally, when both channels are gapped, the ES shows a linear dispersion relation [30, 34], which is consistent with the numerical result by Poilblanc [39]. In the fully and partially gapless cases, the ES is thus qualitatively different from the energy spectrum of a single TLL with a linear dispersion relation. It has been argued that the unique features of the ES in the gapless cases are associated with the emergence of certain long-range interactions in the entanglement Hamiltonian [34].

In this paper, we consider a system of binary (pseudospin-1/2) Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC) in d spatial dimensions, and study the entanglement between the two components. This setup allows us to naturally extend the results of the ladder models in Refs. [34, 43, 44] to more general d-dimensional systems. By means of effective field theory, we show that the intercomponent ES exhibits an anomalous square-root dispersion relation in the presence of an intercomponent tunneling (a Rabi coupling $\hbar \Omega$) and a gapped dispersion relation in its absence. We relate these features of the ES with the emergence of long-range interactions in terms of the superfluid velocity and the particle density in the entanglement Hamiltonian. We thus demonstrate that unusual long-range interactions can be emulated in a subsystem of multicomponent BECs that have only short-range interactions. We discuss how the emergent long-range interactions are related with the properties of intracomponent correlation functions. We also find additive logarithmic contributions to the intercomponent EE that originate from both the Nambu-Goldstone mode and the restoration of the global U(1) symmetry for a finite volume in the presence of the Rabi coupling $\hbar \Omega$. As we discuss later, our findings have close similarities with the behavior of the subregion EE [55–59], the subregion ES [57, 60–62], and the participation (Shannon-Rényi) entropy [63–65] in systems with spontaneously broken continuous symmetry.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the model that we study in this paper, and formulate the low-energy effective field theory in terms of the density and phase variables. The ground state is then obtained as the vacuum of the Bogoliubov excitations. In Sec. III, we derive the expression of the reduced density matrix $\rho_T$ for the spin-$\uparrow$ component by introducing a Gaussian ansatz. In Sec. IV, we calculate the intercomponent ES and the entanglement Hamiltonian in the long-wavelength limit. We also analyze the scaling behavior of the intercomponent EE. Results are qualitatively different between the cases of $\Omega > 0$ and $\Omega = 0$. In Sec. V, we calculate some intracomponent correlation functions and discuss their connections with the emergent long-range interactions in the entanglement Hamiltonian. In Sec. VI, we present a summary and an outlook for future studies. Some technical details of Sec. IV are described in Appendices A and B.

II. MODEL AND EFFECTIVE FIELD THEORY

We consider a system of binary BECs in d spatial dimensions, which has extensively been studied in the context of ultracold atomic gases [66–68]. The Lagrangian density of the system is given by

$$L = \sum_{\alpha=\uparrow,\downarrow} \left[ \frac{i\hbar}{2} \left( \psi_{\alpha}^\dagger \dot{\psi}_{\alpha} - \dot{\psi}_{\alpha}^\dagger \psi_{\alpha} \right) - \frac{|\psi_{\alpha}^\dagger \psi_{\alpha}|^2}{2M} \right] - \sum_{\alpha,\beta=\uparrow,\downarrow} \frac{g_{\alpha\beta}}{2} |\psi_{\beta}|^2 |\psi_{\alpha}|^2 + \frac{\hbar \Omega}{2} (\psi_{\uparrow}^\dagger \psi_{\downarrow} + \psi_{\downarrow}^\dagger \psi_{\uparrow}),$$

where $\psi_{\alpha}(r,t)$ is the bosonic field for the spin-$\alpha$ component, $M$ is the atomic mass, and $\Omega \geq 0$ is the Rabi frequency. We assume that the system is confined in a box of volume $V = L^d$ with a periodic boundary condition in every direction.

We assume contact interactions between atoms. For three spatial dimensions, the interaction parameters are given by $g_{\alpha\alpha} = 4\pi \hbar^2 a_{\alpha}\alpha/M$ and $g_{\uparrow\downarrow} = g_{\downarrow\uparrow} = 4\pi \hbar^2 a_{\uparrow\downarrow}/M$, where $a_{\alpha}$ and $a_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ are s-wave scattering lengths between like and unlike bosons, respectively. For simplicity, we set $g_{\uparrow\uparrow} = g_{\downarrow\downarrow} \equiv g > 0$ and $|g_{\uparrow\downarrow}| < g$ in the following; these conditions ensure the stability of the binary BECs [66, 67, 69–72]. While the total number of atoms, $N$, is conserved in this system, the numbers of $\uparrow$ and $\downarrow$ atoms, $N_{\uparrow}$ and $N_{\downarrow}$, fluctuate in the presence of the Rabi coupling $\Omega > 0$. We introduce $n = N_{\uparrow}/(2V) = (N_{\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow})/2V$, which is the average density of $\uparrow$ and $\downarrow$ atoms. We further assume that $N$ is even. For $\Omega = 0$, where both $N_{\uparrow}$ and $N_{\downarrow}$ are conserved, we assume $N_{\uparrow} = N_{\downarrow}$.

To describe the low-energy properties of the BECs, it is useful to decompose the field as $\psi_{\alpha} = e^{-i\theta_{\alpha}} \sqrt{n_\alpha} \alpha$, where $n_{\alpha}(r,t)$ and $\theta_{\alpha}(r,t)$ are the density and phase variables, respectively [73, 74]. The Lagrangian density (1) is then rewritten in terms of these variables as

$$L = \sum_{\alpha} \left\{ \hbar n_{\alpha} \dot{\theta}_{\alpha} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \left[ n_{\alpha} (\nabla \theta_{\alpha})^2 + \frac{(\nabla n_{\alpha})^2}{4n_{\alpha}} \right] \right\} - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{g_{\alpha\beta}}{2} n_{\beta} n_{\alpha} + \frac{\hbar \Omega}{\sqrt{n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow}}} \cos(\theta_{\uparrow} - \theta_{\downarrow}).$$

In the presence of the Rabi coupling $\Omega > 0$, we can assume that the relative phase $\theta_{\uparrow} - \theta_{\downarrow}$ is locked on average [75, 76] (i.e., $\langle \theta_{\uparrow} - \theta_{\downarrow} \rangle = 0$) and its fluctuations acquire a finite mass gap [77–81]. To describe this situation, it is useful to make the approximation

$$\cos(\theta_{\uparrow} - \theta_{\downarrow}) \approx 1 - (\theta_{\uparrow} - \theta_{\downarrow})^2/2$$

for the last term of Eq. (2) [34, 80–83]. Since $|n_{\alpha}(r,t) - n| < n$ in weakly interacting BECs, we may approximate the Lagrangian density
by taking terms up to the quadratic order in $n_\alpha - n$, $
abla \theta_\alpha$, and $\theta_\uparrow - \theta_\downarrow$, obtaining

\[\mathcal{L} = \sum_\alpha \left\{ \hbar n_\alpha \dot{\theta}_\alpha - \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} n (\nabla \theta_\alpha)^2 + \frac{(\nabla n_\alpha)^2}{4n} \right\} - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{g_{\alpha\beta}}{2} n_\alpha n_\beta \text{ } (\nabla \theta_\alpha - \nabla \theta_\beta)^2 - \frac{(n_\uparrow - n_\downarrow)^2}{8n}. \] (3)

Henceforth, we ignore the term $\hbar \Omega (n_\uparrow + n_\downarrow)/2$, which gives only a constant after the spatial integration. We note that the resulting expression can also be used for the case of $\Omega = 0$ since the approximated part then vanishes. Using the Lagrangian (3), the canonical momentum conjugate to $\theta_\alpha$ is found to be $h n_\alpha$. We therefore obtain the Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H} = \sum_\alpha h n_\alpha \dot{\theta}_\alpha - \mathcal{L}$ as

\[\mathcal{H} = \sum_\alpha \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} n (\nabla \theta_\alpha)^2 + \frac{(\nabla n_\alpha)^2}{4n} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{g_{\alpha\beta}}{2} n_\alpha n_\beta \text{ } n \theta_\alpha + \frac{\hbar \Omega}{8n} (n_\uparrow - n_\downarrow)^2. \] (4)

We now quantize the Hamiltonian by requiring the equal-time canonical commutation relation $[\theta_\alpha(r), \nabla \theta_\alpha(r')] = i \hbar \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta(r - r')$. By performing the Fourier expansions, we obtain the Hamiltonian $H = \int \text{d}r \mathcal{H}$ as

\[H = \sum_\alpha \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \left\{ n (\nabla \theta_\alpha)^2 + \frac{(\nabla n_\alpha)^2}{4n} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{g_{\alpha\beta}}{2} n_\alpha n_\beta \right\} + \frac{\hbar \Omega}{8n} (n_\uparrow - n_\downarrow)^2. \] (5)

which satisfy the commutation relation $[\theta_{k,\nu}, n_{-k',\nu'}] = i \hbar \delta_{\nu,\nu'} \delta_{kk'} \text{ } (\nu, \nu' = \pm)$. The Hamiltonian is rewritten as

\[H = \sum_k \sum_{\nu = \pm} \left\{ \frac{n}{2} (\epsilon_k + \hbar \Omega \delta_{\nu,0}) \theta_{-k,\nu} \theta_{k,\nu} + \frac{1}{2n} (\epsilon_k + 2g_{\nu} n_{-k,\nu} n_{k,\nu}) \right\}. \] (6)

We note that for $d = 1$, the Hamiltonian (9) is equivalent, at low energies, to the coupled TLL Hamiltonian studied by Lundgren et al. [34] (see Eq. (47) and (49) therein)

\[H = \int \text{d}x \sum_{\nu = \pm} \frac{\hbar v_\nu}{2} K_\nu (\partial_x \phi_\nu)^2 + \frac{1}{K_\nu} (\partial_x \phi_\nu)^2 \] (7)

through the correspondence

\[\nu_\nu = - \frac{\theta_{\nu}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \text{ } \partial_x \phi_\nu = \sqrt{2\pi} (n_{\nu} - n_{\delta_{\nu,0}},) \] (8)

\[v_\nu = \sqrt{\frac{g_{\nu} n}{M}}, \text{ } K_\nu = \pi \hbar \sqrt{\frac{n}{g_{\nu} M}} (\nu = \pm), \] (9)

\[m_{\nu}^2 = 0, \text{ } m_{\nu}^2 = \frac{2M \Omega}{\hbar}. \] (10)

Here, $v_{\pm}$ are the sound velocities, $K_{\pm}$ are the TLL parameters, and $m_{\pm}^{-1}$ are the correlation lengths in the symmetric (+) and antisymmetric (−) channels. In the following, we separately consider the zero mode ($k = 0$) and the oscillator mode ($k \neq 0$) of the Hamiltonian (9) (see Refs. [34, 35, 57, 65] for analogous treatments in related contexts).

We first consider the oscillator-mode part of the Hamiltonian, $H_{\text{osc}}$. By introducing the annihilation and creation operators as

\[\gamma_{k,\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sqrt{n} \zeta_{k,\nu} \theta_{k,\nu} + \frac{i \eta_{k,\nu}}{\sqrt{n} \zeta_{k,\nu}} \right), \] (11)

\[\gamma_{k,\nu}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sqrt{n} \zeta_{k,\nu} \theta_{-k,\nu} - \frac{i \eta_{k,\nu}}{\sqrt{n} \zeta_{k,\nu}} \right) \text{ } (k \neq 0; \nu = \pm), \] (12)

with

\[\zeta_{k,\nu} \equiv \left( \frac{\epsilon_k + \hbar \Omega \delta_{\nu,0}}{\epsilon_k + 2g_{\nu} n} \right)^{1/4}. \] (13)

$H_{\text{osc}}$ is diagonalized as

\[H_{\text{osc}} = \sum_{k \neq 0} \sum_{\nu = \pm} E_{\nu}(k) \left( \gamma_{k,\nu}^\dagger \gamma_{k,\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \right), \] (14)
where
\[ E_\nu(k) := \sqrt{\left(\epsilon_k + \hbar \Omega \delta_{\nu,-}\right)\left(\epsilon_k + 2g_\nu n\right)} \]

The excitation spectrum \( E_\nu(k) \) obtained here reproduces the results of Refs. [77–81]. For \( \Omega = 0 \), both the symmetric and antisymmetric channels exhibit gapless linear dispersion relations \( E_\nu(k) \approx (g_\nu n/M)^{1/2} \hbar k \) at low energies; for \( \Omega > 0 \), a finite energy gap \( 2\sqrt{g_\nu \hbar \Omega} \) opens in the antisymmetric channel. The ground state \( |0^{osc}\rangle \) of \( H^{osc} \) is specified by the condition that \( \gamma_{\nu,-}|0^{osc}\rangle = 0 \) for all \( k \neq 0 \).

We next consider the zero-mode part of the Hamiltonian
\[ H^{zer0} = \frac{\hbar \Omega}{2} \theta_{0,-} + \sum_{\nu=\pm} g_\nu n_\nu^2, \]

where \( n_{0,\pm} \) is related to the atom numbers as \( n_{0,\pm} = (N_\uparrow \pm N_\downarrow)/(2\sqrt{N}) \). For \( \Omega > 0 \), the \( \nu = - \) part of this Hamiltonian can be diagonalized by introducing annihilation and creation operators, \( \gamma_{0,-} \) and \( \gamma_{0,+}^\dagger \) in the same way as Eq. (13). Therefore, \( H^{zer0} \) is rewritten as
\[ H^{zer0} = \frac{g_+}{4V} N^2 + E_{0,-} \left( \gamma_{0,-}^\dagger \gamma_{0,-} + \frac{1}{2} \right). \]

We consider the ground state of this Hamiltonian for fixed \( N \). By analogy with a harmonic oscillator, the ground state in the \( n_{0,-} \) basis is given by a gaussian
\[ \langle n_{0,-}|0^{zer0}\rangle \propto \exp\left( -\frac{n_{0,-}^2}{2n_{0,-}} \right) = \exp\left( -\frac{\delta N^2}{N_{0,-}^2} \right), \]

where \( \delta N = (N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow)/2 = \sqrt{N} n_{0,-} \). In terms of the \( (N_\uparrow, N_\downarrow) \) basis, this ground state is expressed as
\[ |0^{zer0}\rangle = \sum_{\delta N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \exp\left( -\frac{\delta N^2}{N_{0,-}^2} \right) \times \left| N_\uparrow = N/2 + \delta N \right| \left| N_\downarrow = N/2 - \delta N \right\rangle, \]

where \( z := \sum_{\delta N} \exp\left( -\frac{2\delta N^2}{N_{0,-}^2} \right) \) is the normalization factor. For \( \Omega = 0 \), in contrast, \( H^{zer0} \) is given by
\[ H^{zer0} = \frac{g_+}{4V} N^2 + \frac{g_-}{4V} (N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow)^2. \]

In this case, both \( N_\uparrow \) and \( N_\downarrow \) are conserved and thus the ground state of the Hamiltonian \( (21) \) for the zero mode is given by
\[ |0^{zer0}\rangle = |N_\uparrow = N/2\rangle |N_\downarrow = N/2\rangle. \]

We note that the effective field theory approach presented here is useful for describing finite-volume BECs in which the global symmetry due to particle-number conservation is restored. In the mean-field approach, in contrast, the U(1) or U(1) \( \times \) U(1) symmetry of the original system is completely broken in the ground state for \( \Omega > 0 \) and \( \Omega = 0 \), respectively. In the latter approach, there is no intercomponent entanglement in the zero-mode ground state. Therefore, the entangled ground state as obtained in Eq. (20) for \( \Omega > 0 \) is a consequence of the global U(1) symmetry restoration.

For later purposes, we calculate some correlators in the oscillator-mode part of the spin-\( \uparrow \) component. From Eqs. (8) and (13), we find
\[ \theta_{k,\uparrow} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\hbar}} \sum_{\nu=\pm} \gamma_{\nu} + \gamma_{\nu}^\dagger, \]
\[ n_{k,\uparrow} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\nu=\pm} \gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{-\nu}^\dagger, \]

The correlators of these operators in the ground state \( |0^{osc}\rangle \) of \( H^{osc} \) are then calculated as
\[ \langle 0^{osc}|\theta_{k,\uparrow}^\dagger \theta_{k,\uparrow}|0^{osc}\rangle = \frac{1}{\delta N} \sum_{\nu=\pm} \gamma_{\nu}^2, \]
\[ \langle 0^{osc}|n_{k,\uparrow}^\dagger n_{k,\uparrow}|0^{osc}\rangle = \frac{n}{\delta N} \sum_{\nu=\pm} \gamma_{\nu}^2 \quad (k \neq 0). \]

### III. REDUCED DENSITY MATRIX

We now consider the reduced density matrix \( \rho_\uparrow \) for the spin-\( \uparrow \) component, which is defined by starting from the ground state \( |0^{zer0}\rangle \otimes |0^{osc}\rangle \) of the total system and tracing out the degrees of freedom in the spin-\( \downarrow \) component. Because the zero and oscillator modes are decoupled, the reduced density matrix takes the form \( \rho_\uparrow = \rho_\uparrow^{zer0} \otimes \rho_\uparrow^{osc} \). The calculation of the zero-mode part \( \rho_\uparrow^{osc} \) is rather straightforward as we explain later in Sec. IV. Here we calculate the oscillator-mode part \( \rho_\uparrow^{osc} \) and the associated ES.

For \( \rho_\uparrow^{osc} \), we introduce the following Gaussian ansatz [34, 44, 57, 85, 86]:
\[ \rho_\uparrow^{osc} = \frac{1}{Z_\uparrow^{osc}} e^{-H_\uparrow^{osc}}, \quad Z_\uparrow^{osc} = \text{Tr} e^{-H_\uparrow^{osc}}, \]
\[ H_\uparrow^{osc} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq 0} \left( n n_{k,\uparrow}^\dagger + \frac{G_k}{n} n_{-k,\uparrow}^\dagger n_{k,\uparrow} + \frac{G_k}{n} n_{-k,\uparrow}^\dagger n_{-k,\uparrow} \right), \]

where \( F_k \) and \( G_k \) are positive dimensionless coefficients to be determined later. Here, we assume \( F_k = F_{-k} \) and \( G_k = G_{-k} \) without loss of generality. By introducing annihilation and creation operators as
\[ n_{k,\uparrow} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \sqrt{n} \left( \frac{F_k}{G_k} \right)^{1/4} \theta_{k,\uparrow} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{n}} \left( \frac{G_k}{F_k} \right)^{1/4} n_{k,\uparrow} \right], \]
\[ n_{-k,\uparrow} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \sqrt{n} \left( \frac{F_k}{G_k} \right)^{1/4} \theta_{-k,\uparrow} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{n}} \left( \frac{G_k}{F_k} \right)^{1/4} n_{-k,\uparrow} \right] \quad (k \neq 0), \]
we can diagonalize the entanglement Hamiltonian $H_e^{\text{osc}}$ in Eq. (25) as

$$H_e^{\text{osc}} = \sum_{k \neq 0} \xi_k \left( \eta_k^\dagger \eta_k + \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

where $\xi_k := \sqrt{T_k} G_k$ is the single-particle ES.

Using the relations in Eq. (26) and the Bose distribution function

$$\text{Tr} \left( \eta_k^\dagger \eta_k \rho^{\text{osc}} \right) = \frac{1}{e^{\xi_k} - 1} =: f_B(\xi_k),$$

we obtain phase and density correlators as

$$\text{Tr} \left( \theta_{-k,\uparrow}^\dagger \theta_{k,\uparrow} \rho^{\text{osc}} \right) = \frac{1}{2n} \left( \frac{G_k}{F_k} \right)^{1/2} \text{Tr} \left[ \left( \eta_k^\dagger + \eta_{-k} \right) \left( \eta_k + \eta_{-k}^\dagger \right) \rho^{\text{osc}} \right]$$

$$= \frac{n}{2} \left( \frac{F_k}{G_k} \right)^{1/2} \text{Tr} \left[ \left( \eta_k^\dagger - \eta_{-k} \right) \left( \eta_k - \eta_{-k}^\dagger \right) \rho^{\text{osc}} \right]$$

$$= n \left( \frac{F_k}{G_k} \right)^{1/2} \left[ f_B(\xi_k) + \frac{1}{2} \right].$$

By requiring these to be equal to the correlators (24) calculated for the oscillator-mode ground state $|0^{\text{osc}}\rangle$, we obtain

$$f_B(\xi_k) = \sqrt{\langle 0^{\text{osc}}| \theta_{-k,\uparrow}^\dagger \theta_{k,\uparrow} |0^{\text{osc}} \rangle \langle 0^{\text{osc}}| n_{-k,\uparrow} n_{k,\uparrow} |0^{\text{osc}} \rangle} = \frac{\xi_k^2 + \xi_{-k}^2}{4 \xi_k + \xi_{-k}} - \frac{1}{2};$$

$$(30)$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{T_k}{G_k}} = \frac{n}{\sqrt{\langle 0^{\text{osc}}| n_{-k,\uparrow} n_{k,\uparrow} |0^{\text{osc}} \rangle / \langle 0^{\text{osc}}| n_{-k,\uparrow} n_{k,\uparrow} |0^{\text{osc}} \rangle}} = 2 \xi_k + \xi_{-k},$$

from which we obtain the single-particle ES $\xi_k$ and the coefficients $F_k$ and $G_k$ as

$$\xi_k = \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{f_B(\xi_k)} \right) = 2 \ln \frac{\xi_k + \xi_{-k}}{\xi_k + \xi_{-k}},$$

$$F_k = 2 \xi_k \xi_{k,+} \xi_{-k}, \quad G_k = \frac{\xi_k}{2 \xi_k + \xi_{-k}.}$$

IV. ENTANGLEMENT PROPERTIES

In this section, we perform the long-wavelength (i.e., small-$k$) expansion of Eq. (32), and discuss the properties of the ES and the entanglement Hamiltonian. Using the obtained ES, we also analyze the scaling behavior of the intercomponent EE. Results are qualitatively different between the cases of $\Omega > 0$ and $\Omega = 0$, which we discuss separately in Secs. IV A and IV B. We introduce scaled coupling constants

$$\tilde{g}_\nu := \frac{2M}{h^2} \times 2g_o n = \frac{4g_o n M}{h^2} (\nu = \pm),$$

$$\tilde{\Omega} := \frac{2M}{h^2} \times \hbar \Omega = \frac{2M \Omega}{\hbar}$$

so that $\zeta_{k,\pm}$ defined in Eq. (14) can be expressed simply as

$$\zeta_{k,\nu} = \left( \frac{\Omega \delta_{k,-} + k^2}{\tilde{g}_\nu + k^2} \right)^{1/4}. \quad (34)$$

A. Case of $\Omega > 0$

1. Entanglement spectrum and Hamiltonian

Let us first consider the case of a finite Rabi coupling $\Omega > 0$. Since $|0^{\text{zero}}\rangle$ in Eq. (20) is already written in the form of the Schmidt decomposition, the reduced density matrix for the zero mode is obtained as

$$\rho^{\text{zero}} = \sum_{\delta N} \frac{1}{2} \exp \left( -\frac{2 \delta N^2}{N G_0} \right) \times \rho = \frac{N}{2 + \delta N} \left( N = \frac{N}{2 + \delta N} \right).$$

The associated entanglement Hamiltonian is thus given by

$$H_e^{\text{zero}} = \frac{2 \delta N^2}{N G_0^2} = \frac{2 \left( \tilde{g}_- / \tilde{\Omega} \right)^{1/2} (N - N/2)^2}{N},$$

$$= \frac{G_0}{2nV} (N - N/2)^2, \quad (36)$$

where $G_0 = 2 \left( \tilde{g}_- / \tilde{\Omega} \right)^{1/2}$ as defined in Eq. (41) below.

For the oscillator-mode part, we perform the long-wavelength expansion of $\xi_k, F_k$ and $G_k$ in Eq. (32) by assuming $\xi_k \ll 2 g_o n, \hbar \Omega$. From Eq. (34), we find

$$\zeta_{k,+} = \frac{k^{1/2}}{\tilde{g}_+^{1/4}} \left[ 1 + O(k^2) \right],$$

$$= \left( \frac{\tilde{\Omega}}{\tilde{g}_-} \right)^{1/4} \left[ 1 + O(k^2) \right].$$

The expansion of $\xi_k$ in terms of $k$ is then obtained as

$$\xi_k = 2 \ln \frac{1 + \zeta_{k,+}/\zeta_{k,-}}{1 - \zeta_{k,+}/\zeta_{k,-}} = 4 \left( \frac{\zeta_{k,+}}{\zeta_{k,-}} + \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{\zeta_{k,+}}{\zeta_{k,-}} \right)^3 + \ldots \right)$$

$$= c_{1/2} k^{1/2} + c_{3/2} k^{3/2} + O(k^{5/2}) \quad (38)$$
with the coefficients
\[ c_{1/2} = 4 \left( \frac{\hat{g}_-}{\hat{g}_+ + \Omega} \right)^{1/4}, \quad c_{3/2} = 4 \left( \frac{\hat{g}_-}{\hat{g}_+ + \Omega} \right)^{3/4}. \] (39)

We further obtain
\[ F_k = 2 \xi_k \zeta_{k,+} \zeta_{k,-} = F_1 k + F_2 k^2 + O(k^3), \]
\[ G_k = \frac{\xi_k}{2 \zeta_{k,+} \zeta_{k,-}} = G_0 + G_1 k + O(k^2) \] (40)

with the coefficients
\[ F_1 = 2 c_{1/2} \left( \frac{\tilde{\Omega}}{\hat{g}_+ + \hat{g}_-} \right)^{1/4} = \frac{8}{\hat{g}_+^{1/2}}, \]
\[ F_2 = 2 c_{3/2} \left( \frac{\tilde{\Omega}}{\hat{g}_+ + \hat{g}_-} \right)^{1/4} = \frac{8 \hat{g}_-^{1/2}}{3 \hat{g}_+^{1/2}}, \]
\[ G_0 = \frac{c_{1/2}}{2} \left( \frac{\hat{g}_+ - \hat{g}_-}{\tilde{\Omega}} \right)^{1/4} = \frac{2 \hat{g}_-^{1/2}}{\tilde{\Omega}^{1/2}}, \]
\[ G_1 = \frac{c_{3/2}}{2} \left( \frac{\hat{g}_+ - \hat{g}_-}{\tilde{\Omega}} \right)^{1/4} = \frac{2 \hat{g}_-^{1/2}}{3 \tilde{\Omega}^{3/2}}. \] (41)

Interestingly, the single-particle ES \( \xi_k \) is proportional to \( \sqrt{k} \) in the long-wavelength limit. This anomalous dispersion relation is associated with emergent long-range interactions in the entanglement Hamiltonian as we explain in the following.

The total entanglement Hamiltonian \( H_e \) in the long-wavelength limit is given by the sum of the zero-mode part \( H_e^{\text{zero}} \) [Eq. (36)] and the oscillator-mode part \( H_e^{\text{osc}} \) [Eq. (25) with Eq. (40)]. Using the real-space representation of the fields \( \theta_+(\mathbf{r}) \) and \( n_+(\mathbf{r}) \), it can be expressed as
\[
H_e = \int d^d \mathbf{r} \int d^d \mathbf{r}' \frac{n F_1}{2} U_d(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \nabla \theta_+(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \nabla \theta_+(\mathbf{r}')
+ \int d^d \mathbf{r} \frac{G_0}{2n} |n_+(\mathbf{r}) - n|^2 + \int d^d \mathbf{r} \frac{n F_2}{2} |\nabla \theta_+(\mathbf{r})|^2
+ \int d^d \mathbf{r} \int d^d \mathbf{r}' \frac{G_1}{2n} U_d(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \nabla n_+(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \nabla' n_+(\mathbf{r}')
+ \ldots. \] (42)

Here, we introduce the long-range interaction potential
\[
U_d(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') := \lim_{\alpha \to 0^+} U_d(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'; \alpha),
U_d(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'; \alpha) := \sum k \neq 0 \frac{1}{|k|} e^{-\alpha |k|} e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')}, \] (43)

where we use the convergence factor \( e^{-\alpha k} \) to regularize the infinite sum. As described in Appendix A, this potential is calculated for \( d = 1, 2, 3 \) as
\[
U_1(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = -\frac{1}{\pi} \ln \frac{2 \pi D(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') L}{L},
U_2(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = \frac{1}{2 \pi^2 |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^2},
U_3(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = \frac{1}{2 \pi^2 |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^2}. \] (44)

Here, we introduce the chord distance \([87]\)
\[
D(x - x'|L) = \frac{L}{\pi} \left| \sin \frac{\pi(x - x')}{L} \right| = \frac{L}{2 \pi} \left| e^{i \frac{2 \pi x}{L}} - e^{i \frac{2 \pi x'}{L}} \right|. \] (45)

which is the length of the chord between two points separated by an arc length \(|x - x'|\) on a ring of circumference \( L \); for \(|x - x'| \ll L \), \( D(x - x'|L) \approx |x - x'| \). It is interesting to compare Eq. (42) with the spin-up part of the original Hamiltonian (4). In addition to local terms, the entanglement Hamiltonian (42) has long-range interactions in terms of the superfluid velocity \( v_{s,+}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \nabla \theta_+(\mathbf{r}) \) and the density gradient \( \nabla n_+(\mathbf{r}) \). In particular, the long-range interaction in terms of \( v_{s,+}(\mathbf{r}) \) is crucial for the emergence of the anomalous dispersion relation \( \xi_k \propto \sqrt{k} \) in the ES. For \( d = 1 \), the same long-range interaction \( U_1(x - x') \) has also been found in the entanglement Hamiltonian for coupled TLLs [34] and the ground-state wave functional of the TLL [43, 88, 89].

2. Entanglement entropy

Using the ES obtained above, we proceed to calculate the intercomponent EE \( S_c \). As shown in B2 [see Eqs. (B6) and (B13) therein], the oscillator-mode part gives the contribution
\[
S_c^{\text{osc}} = \frac{L^d}{\pi^2} \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon_{1/2}^d} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{L}{(2 \pi)^d \epsilon_{1/2}^d} + O(1). \] (46)

Here, the leading contribution is given by the first term, which is proportional to the volume \( L^d \) with a non-universal coefficient \( \sigma \). Such a volume-law contribution is standard for an extensive cut as discussed here, and has also been found in other systems [34, 43, 44, 52, 53]. Besides, there is a subleading logarithmic contribution with the universal coefficient \(-1/2\), which is identified through a careful examination of small-\(k \) contributions as described in Appendix B2 and therefore originates from the Nambu-Goldstone mode.

We next calculate the zero-mode contribution \( S_c^{\text{zero}} \) to the EE. To this end, we consider the canonical ensemble given by the zero-mode entanglement Hamiltonian (36) at a fictitious temperature \( T \), and calculate the partition function as
\[
Z_c^{\text{zero}} = \sum_{\delta N = -\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[ -\frac{G_0}{2nVT} (\delta N)^2 \right] \approx \sqrt{\frac{2 \pi nVT}{G_0}}, \] (47)
where we approximate the sum by a Gaussian integral. The contribution to the EE is then calculated as
\[
S_{e}^{\text{zero}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} (T \ln Z_{e}^{\text{zero}}) \bigg|_{T=1} = \frac{d}{2} \ln \left( \frac{2\pi e n V}{G_0} \right)^{1/d} L.
\]

The total EE is given by the sum of Eqs. (46) and (48), i.e.,
\[
S_{e} = S_{e}^{\text{zero}} + S_{e}^{\text{esc}}
= \frac{\sigma L^d}{c_{1/2}^2} + \frac{d}{2} \ln \left( \frac{2\pi e n V}{G_0} \right)^{1/d} L - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{L}{(2\pi)^{d/2} c_{1/2}^2} + O(1).
\]

This contains the leading volume-law term from the oscillator mode as well as the subleading logarithmic terms from both the zero and oscillator modes. The coefficient of the logarithmic contribution is \((d - 1)/2\) in total. The intercomponent EE per volume in the thermodynamics limit (i.e., the EE density) is given by
\[
\lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{S_{e}}{L^d} = \frac{\sigma}{c_{1/2}^2} = \frac{\sigma}{4^{d/2} \left( \frac{\tilde{g}_+ + \tilde{\Omega}}{\tilde{g}_-} \right)^{d/2}},
\]
where we use Eq. (39). Aside from the non-universal coefficient \(\sigma\), which is expected to depend only weakly on the system’s parameters, Eq. (50) is a monotonically increasing function of \(g_{+1}/g_\Omega\) and \(\tilde{\Omega}\). Interestingly, an intercomponent attraction \(g_{+1} < 0\) leads to a reduction in the EE density.

It is interesting to compare the present results with the behavior of the subregion ES and EE in systems with spontaneously broken continuous symmetry. We have seen a clear decoupling of the zero- and oscillator-mode contributions to the entanglement Hamiltonian and thus an analogous decoupling in the EE. This behavior is similar to those found in the subregion EE [57, 60–62]. In particular, a quadratic dependence of the zero-mode entanglement Hamiltonian (36) on the subsystem particle number, which is reminiscent of a “tower of states” spectrum, has also been found in the subregion ES of the Bose-Hubbard model [60]. In the intercomponent EE, we have found a subleading logarithmic contribution with a coefficient \((d - 1)/2\). Similar logarithmic contributions have also been found in the subregion EE in Refs. [55–59] (see also Refs. [63–65] for similar behavior in the participation entropy). In particular, Metlitski and Grover [57] have shown that such a contribution has the universal coefficient \(N_{NG}(d - 1)/2\) with \(N_{NG}\) being the number of Nambu-Goldstone modes when the subregion boundary is smooth (i.e., has no corner). Here, the coefficient \(N_{NG}(d - 1)/2\) arises solely from the restoration of symmetry for finite volume, and is related to the fact that the subregion has the boundary size \(R^{d-1}\), where \(R\) is the length scale of the subregion; the oscillator modes do not give a logarithmic contribution as there is no other length scale as far as the subsystem boundary is smooth. In contrast, the coefficient \((d - 1)/2\) obtained for the intercomponent EE in this section is contributed from the zero mode and the oscillator mode. From Eq. (46), we find that the emergence of the logarithmic oscillator-mode contribution is related to the additional length scale \(c_{1/2}^2 = 16(\tilde{g}_- / \tilde{g}_+ \tilde{\Omega})^{1/2}\) caused by the intercomponent couplings.

B. Case of \(\Omega = 0\)

1. Entanglement spectrum and Hamiltonian

Let us next consider the case in which the Rabi coupling \(\Omega\) is not present. In this case, the ground state (22) of the Hamiltonian (21) for the zero mode is a product state, and thus gives no contribution to the entanglement Hamiltonian. For the oscillator-mode part, we perform the long-wavelength expansion of \(\xi_k, F_k\) and \(G_k\) in Eq. (32) by assuming \(\xi_k \ll 2g_{\pm n}\). From Eq. (34), we find
\[
\xi_{k,\nu} = \frac{k_{1/2}^1}{g_{\nu}^{1/4}} \left( 1 + \frac{k_{3/4}^2}{g_{\nu}^{1/4}} \right)^{-1/4} = \frac{k_{1/2}^1}{g_{\nu}^{1/4}} \left[ 1 - \frac{k_{3/4}^2}{4g_{\nu}^4} + O(k^4) \right],
\]
The expansion of \(\xi_k\) in terms of \(k\) is then obtained as
\[
\xi_k = 2 \ln g_{+1}^{1/4} + g_{-1}^{1/4} - \frac{1}{4} \left( g_{+3/4}^{1/4} + g_{-3/4}^{1/4} \right) k^2 + O(k^4)
= 2 \ln g_{+1}^{1/4} + g_{-1}^{1/4} - \frac{1}{4} \left( g_{+3/4}^{1/4} + g_{-3/4}^{1/4} \right) k^2 + O(k^4)
= \xi_0 + c_2 k^2 + O(k^4)
\]
with the coefficients
\[
\xi_0 = 2 \ln g_{+1}^{1/4} + g_{-1}^{1/4},
\]
\[
c_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{g_{+1}^{1/4} + g_{-1}^{1/4}}{g_{+3/4}^{1/4} + g_{-3/4}^{1/4}} - \frac{g_{+1}^{3/4} - g_{-1}^{3/4}}{g_{+3/4}^{1/4} - g_{-3/4}^{1/4}} \right)
= \frac{g_{+1}^{1/2} + g_{-1}^{1/2}}{(g_{+} g_{-})^{3/4}}.
\]

We further obtain
\[
F_k = 2\xi_k \xi_{k,+} + \xi_{k,-} = F_1 k + F_3 k^3 + O(k^5),
\]
\[
G_k = \frac{\xi_k}{2\xi_{k,+} + \xi_{k,-}} = G_{-1} k^{-1} + G_1 k + O(k^3)
\]
with the coefficients
\begin{align}
F_0 &= \frac{2\xi_0}{(\tilde{g}_+ - \tilde{g}_-)^{1/4}}, \\
F_3 &= \frac{2}{(\tilde{g}_+ - \tilde{g}_-)^{1/4}} \left[ c_2 - \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{g}_+} + \frac{1}{\tilde{g}_-} \right) \xi_0 \right], \\
G_{-1} &= \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{g}_+ - \tilde{g}_-)^{1/4} \xi_0, \\
G_1 &= \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{g}_+ - \tilde{g}_-)^{1/4} \left[ c_2 + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{g}_+} + \frac{1}{\tilde{g}_-} \right) \xi_0 \right].
\end{align}
(55)

Interestingly, the single-particle ES (52) is gapped for \( \Omega = 0 \) in contrast to the gapless ES (38) for \( \Omega > 0 \).

Using Eqs. (25) and (54) and focusing on the leading contributions, we obtain the real-space representation of the entanglement Hamiltonian as
\[
H_e = \int \! d^d \mathbf{r} \int \! d^d \mathbf{r}' \ U_d(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \left[ \frac{nF_1}{2} \nabla \theta_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \nabla \theta_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}') + \frac{G_{-1}}{2n} [n_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}) - n] [n_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}') - n] \right] + \ldots
\]
(56)

We thus find that long-range interactions in terms of the superfluid velocity \( \mathbf{v}_{s\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{\hbar}{m} \nabla \theta_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}) \) and the density \( n_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}) \) emerge in the entanglement Hamiltonian, which are crucial for the emergence of the gapped ES. In contrast to the case of \( \Omega > 0 \) shown in Eq. (42), the entanglement Hamiltonian (56) contains neither \( F_2 \) nor \( G_0 \) present in the original Hamiltonian (4).

2. Entanglement entropy

As there is no intercomponent entanglement in the zero mode, we focus on the oscillator-mode contribution \( S^\text{osc}_e \) to the EE. As described in Appendix B1 [see Eqs. (B3) and (B4) therein], the gapped dispersion relation (52) in the ES leads to a volume-law scaling followed by the negative universal constant:
\[
S^\text{osc}_e = s_1 V - s_0.
\]
(57)

Here, \( s_1 \) depends on the ultraviolet cutoff of the theory and is thus non-universal. The negative constant contribution \( -s_0 \) is due to the lack of entanglement in the zero-mode ground state. The constant \( s_0 \) is a universal function of the coupling ratio \( g_-/g_+ \), and is given by
\[
s_0 = \frac{\xi_0}{e^{\xi_0} - 1} - \ln \left( 1 - e^{-\xi_0} \right).
\]
(58)

For \( d = 1 \), this expression is consistent with the universal constant obtained for coupled TLLs [34, 43, 44] through the correspondence \( g_-/g_+ = (K_+/K_-)^2 \), where \( K_\pm \) are the TLL parameters [see Eq. (12)].

V. INTRACOMPONENT CORRELATION FUNCTIONS

In this section, we calculate some intracompontent correlation relations, and discuss their connections with the long-wavelength entanglement Hamiltonian \( H_e \) obtained in Sec. IV. Let \( \langle O \rangle \) denote the expectation value of an operator \( O \) with respect to the ground state \( |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \) of the total system. If \( O \) acts only on the spin-\( \uparrow \) component, \( \langle O \rangle \) should be equal to \( \text{Tr} \left( O e^{-H_e} \right) / \text{Tr} e^{-H_e} \) as far as the long-distance properties are concerned. Our purpose here is to investigate how the unusual long-range interactions in \( H_e \) manifest themselves in the correlation properties of the system.

A. Case of \( \Omega > 0 \)

Owing to the gapless ES \( \xi_k \), we can approximate the Bose distribution function (28) as \( f_B(\xi_k) \approx \xi_k^{-1} = (F_k G_k)^{-1/2} \) for sufficiently small \( k \). Then, in the long-wavelength limit, Eq. (29) gives
\begin{align}
\langle \theta_{-k,\uparrow} \theta_{k,\uparrow} \rangle &\approx \frac{1}{nF_k} \approx \frac{1}{nF_1} k, \\
\langle n_{-k,\uparrow} n_{k,\uparrow} \rangle &\approx \frac{n}{G_k} \approx \frac{n}{G_0} (k \neq 0),
\end{align}
(59a, 59b)
where we use Eq. (40). Therefore, the phase and density fluctuations are directly related to the coefficients \( F_k \) and \( G_k \), respectively, in the entanglement Hamiltonian. Equation (59) also indicates that an increase in \( F_k \) (\( G_k \)) leads to a suppression of the phase (density) fluctuation, which can be understood naturally from the expression of \( H^\text{osc}_e \) in Eq. (25).

We also discuss the \( k = 0 \) component of the correlations. Using Eq. (36), we can calculate the variance of the spin-\( \uparrow \) atom number \( N_\uparrow \) around its mean value \( N/2 \) as
\[
\left\langle (N_\uparrow - N/2)^2 \right\rangle \approx \frac{1}{\xi} \sum_N (\delta N)^2 \exp \left( -\frac{G_0 (\delta N)^2}{2nV} \right) \approx \frac{nV}{G_0},
\]
(60)
where we approximate the sum by a Gaussian integral. Using \( N_\uparrow = \sqrt{V} n_{0,\uparrow} \) and \( N/2 = nV \), we equivalently have
\[
\left\langle \left( n_{0,\uparrow} - n \sqrt{V} \right)^2 \right\rangle = \frac{V}{n} \left\langle (N_\uparrow - N/2)^2 \right\rangle \approx \frac{n}{G_0},
\]
(61)
where the connection with the \( k \neq 0 \) case in Eq. (59b) can be seen more clearly. Equation (60) indicates that an increase in \( G_0 \) also leads to a suppression of the fluctuation of \( N_\uparrow \). We note that the correlation related to \( \theta_{0,\uparrow} \) cannot be determined as \( \theta_{0,\uparrow} \) fluctuates completely for fixed \( N \).

We proceed to analyze the one-particle density matrix
\[
\langle \psi_\uparrow(\mathbf{r})^\dagger \psi_\uparrow(\mathbf{0}) \rangle = \left( \int n_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}) e^{i(\theta_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}) - \theta_\uparrow(\mathbf{0}))} \sqrt{n_\uparrow(\mathbf{0})} \right),
\]
(62)
which plays a key role in the characterization of the Bose-Einstein condensation \[66, 90, 91\]. Its long-distance behavior is determined dominantly by the phase fluctuation as seen in the small-\(k\) behavior of Eq. (59). Using Eq. (59a), the phase correlation function in real space is obtained as

\[
\langle \theta_\tau (\mathbf{r}) - \theta_\tau (\mathbf{0}) \rangle^2 = \frac{2}{V} \sum_{k \neq 0} e^{-\alpha k} [1 - \cos (k \cdot \mathbf{r})] \langle \theta_{-\mathbf{k}, \uparrow} \theta_{\mathbf{k}, \uparrow} \rangle
\]

\[
\approx \frac{2}{n F_1} \left[ U_d(\mathbf{0}; \alpha) - U_d(\mathbf{r}; \alpha) \right],
\]

where we introduce the convergence factor \(e^{-\alpha k}\) to regularize the infinite sum and the function \(U_d(\mathbf{r}; \alpha)\) is defined in Eq. (43) and calculated in Appendix A. The one-particle density matrix is then obtained as

\[
\langle \psi_\tau (\mathbf{r}) \psi_\tau (\mathbf{0}) \rangle \approx n \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \langle \theta_\tau (\mathbf{r}) - \theta_\tau (\mathbf{0}) \rangle^2 \right\}
\]

\[
= n \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2n F_1} \left[ U_d(\mathbf{r}; \alpha) - U_d(\mathbf{0}; \alpha) \right] \right\}.
\]

(63)

For \(d = 1, 2, 3\), we specifically have

\[
d = 1: \langle \psi_\tau (x) \psi_\tau (0) \rangle \approx n \left( \frac{D(x|L)}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\pi}{k T}} (|x| \gg \alpha);
\]

\[
d = 2: \langle \psi_\tau (\mathbf{r}) \psi_\tau (\mathbf{0}) \rangle \approx n \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2 \pi n F_1} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau^2 + \alpha^2}} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right] \right\};
\]

\[
d = 3: \langle \psi_\tau (\mathbf{r}) \psi_\tau (\mathbf{0}) \rangle \approx n \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2 \pi n^2 F_1} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau^2 + \alpha^2}} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right] \right\}.
\]

(64)

Here, \(D(x|L)/\alpha\) is the chord distance defined in Eq. (45) [92]. We note that use of the convergence factor in the present approach leaves the ambiguity of \(\alpha\) in the final results, and that a more precise calculation of this correlation function reveals a more careful analysis of the phase correlation \(\langle \theta_{-\mathbf{k}, \uparrow} \theta_{\mathbf{k}, \uparrow} \rangle\) up to large \(k\) [93, 94]. Yet, the present simple approach can still provide a qualitative picture.

The results in Eq. (65) show a quasi-long-range order for \(d = 1\) and a long-range order (LRO) for \(d \geq 2\). The long-range interaction in terms of the superfluid velocity (i.e., the \(F_1\) term) in the entanglement Hamiltonian (42) plays a key role in the emergence of this (quasi-)LRO in a canonical ensemble \(\langle \cdot \rangle = \text{Tr} (\cdot e^{-H_c}) / \text{Tr} e^{-H_c}\), especially, for \(d = 1\) and 2. If \(H_c\) did not have such a term but had a form similar to a scalar Bose gas (i.e., the spin-up part of the original Hamiltonian), the one-particle density matrix would show an exponential decay for \(d = 1\) [87] and a quasi-LRO for \(d = 2\) [93] at nonzero fictitious temperatures. Equation (65) also indicates that an increase in the coefficient \(F_1\) leads to a slower spatial decay of the one-particle density matrix, which can be understood as a consequence of the suppression of the phase fluctuation discussed above. For \(d = 1\), in particular, \(F_1\) appears in the decay exponent \(1/(\pi n F_1)\).

B. Case of \(\Omega = 0\)

Using the gapped ES \(\xi_k\) in Eq. (52) and the coefficients \(F_k\) and \(G_k\) in Eq. (54), we can calculate the phase and density fluctuations (29) in the long-wavelength limit as

\[
\langle \theta_{-\mathbf{k}, \uparrow} \theta_{\mathbf{k}, \uparrow} \rangle \approx \frac{1}{nk} \left( \frac{G_{-1}}{F_{1}} \right)^{1/2} \left[ f_U(\sqrt{F_1 G_{-1}}) + \frac{1}{2} \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{\tilde{g}_+^{1/2} + \tilde{g}_-^{1/2}}{8nk},
\]

(66a)

\[
\langle n_{-\mathbf{k}, \uparrow} n_{\mathbf{k}, \uparrow} \rangle \approx nk \left( \frac{F_1}{G_{-1}} \right)^{1/2} \left[ f_U(\sqrt{F_1 G_{-1}}) + \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{n}{2} \left( \tilde{g}_+^{-1/2} + \tilde{g}_-^{-1/2} \right) k \ (k \neq 0).
\]

(66b)

We notice that these correlations are related to the coefficients \(F_1\) and \(G_{-1}\) in the entanglement Hamiltonian in a manner more complicated than in Eq. (59); yet, we again find that an increase in \(F_1\) \((G_{-1})\) leads to a suppression of the phase (density) fluctuation. Furthermore, different dependences of \(\langle n_{-\mathbf{k}, \uparrow} n_{\mathbf{k}, \uparrow} \rangle\) on \(k\) in Eqs. (59b) and (66b) indicate that in the long-wavelength limit, the density fluctuation is qualitatively more suppressed for \(\Omega = 0\) than for \(\Omega > 0\); for \(d = 1\), this suppression leads to a quasi-LRO in the string correlation as we explain later.

Equation (66a) indicates

\[
\langle 0^\text{osc} | (-i \mathbf{k} \theta_{-\mathbf{k}, \uparrow} \cdot (\mathbf{i} \mathbf{k} \theta_{\mathbf{k}, \uparrow}) | 0^\text{osc} \rangle \approx \frac{\tilde{g}_+^{1/2} + \tilde{g}_-^{1/2}}{8n} k.
\]

(67)

The fact that both Eqs. (66b) and (67) show linear behavior for small \(k\) explains why the same type of long-range interactions appear in the superfluid velocity \(\mathbf{v}_{s, \uparrow} = -\frac{\alpha}{\theta_\tau} \nabla \theta_\tau\) and the density \(n_\tau\) in the entanglement Hamiltonian (56). For \(d = 1\), a similar behavior in terms of \(\partial_x \theta_\tau\) and \(n_\tau - n\) can be understood as a consequence of the duality between the fields \(\phi_{\pm}/\sqrt{K_{\pm}}\) and \(\sqrt{K_{\pm}} \phi_{\pm}\) in the TLL Hamiltonian (11) with \(n_\tau^\dagger = 0\).

Using Eq. (66a), the one-particle density matrix can be calculated in the same manner as in Sec. VA. The resulting expressions are given by Eqs. (64) and (65) with the replacement of \(1/F_1\) by \(\left( \tilde{g}_+^{1/2} + \tilde{g}_-^{1/2} \right) / 8\). We thus again have a quasi-LRO for \(d = 1\) and a LRO for \(d \geq 2\), for which the long-range interaction in terms of \(\nabla \theta_\tau\) in \(H_s\) plays a crucial role. We note that for \(d = 1\), the decay exponent is given by \(\left( \tilde{g}_+^{1/2} + \tilde{g}_-^{1/2} \right) / (8\pi n) = (K_+^{-1} + K_-^{-1})/4\), where \(K_{\pm}\) are introduced in Eq. (12).
It is then natural to ask what role the long-range interaction in terms of \( n^{↑} - n^{↓} \) in He plays in the correlation properties. To answer this question, we focus on the 1D case, and introduce the field \( \phi_\ell(x) \) such that \( \partial_x \phi_\ell = \sqrt{\pi} (n_\ell - n) \). In analogy with the phase part of Eq. (62), we consider

\[
\langle e^{i2\sqrt{\pi} \lambda (\phi_\ell(x) - \phi_\ell(0))} \rangle = \langle \exp \left[ i2\pi \lambda \int_0^x \mathrm{d}x' (n_\ell(x') - n) \right] \rangle.
\]

where \( \lambda \) is a real constant. This can be viewed as a string order parameter as it involves the integration of the density over the interval \([0,x]\). It can also be viewed as a characteristic function of the atom-number statistics in the interval \([0,x]\) [95]. For \( \lambda = 1/2 \), this quantity has been used to characterize the Mott insulator phase in optical lattices [96] and measured experimentally [97]. To calculate Eq. (68), we first use Eq. (66b) to obtain the fluctuation of the Fourier component \( \phi_n^{\ell,\uparrow} \) of \( \phi_\ell(x) \) as

\[
\langle \phi_{-n,\uparrow} \phi_n^{\ell,\uparrow} \rangle \approx \frac{\pi n}{2k} \left( \hat{g}_+^{-1/2} + \hat{g}_-^{-1/2} \right) = \frac{K_+ + K_-}{4k} \quad (k \neq 0).
\]

Following a similar line of calculations as in Sec. V A, we obtain

\[
\langle e^{i2\sqrt{\pi} \lambda (\phi_\ell(x) - \phi_\ell(0))} \rangle = \exp \left\{ -2\pi \lambda^2 \left( |\phi_\ell(x) - \phi_\ell(0)|^2 \right) \right\} \approx \left( \frac{D(x|L)}{\alpha} \right)^{-\lambda^2(K_+ + K_-)} \quad (|x| \gg \alpha).
\]

We thus obtain a quasi-LRO in the string correlation. From the viewpoint of the entanglement Hamiltonian (56), this is a consequence of the suppression of the density fluctuation due to the long-range interaction in terms of the density.

VI. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

We have studied the intercomponent ES in binary BECs in \( d \) spatial dimensions. By means of effective field theory, we have shown that the ES exhibits an anomalous square-root dispersion relation (38) for a finite Rabi coupling \( \Omega > 0 \) and a gapped dispersion relation (52) in its absence (\( \Omega = 0 \)). We have related these intriguing spectra with the emergence of long-range interactions in terms of the superfluid velocity and the particle density in the entanglement Hamiltonian [see Eqs. (42) and (56)]. We have discussed how these unusual interactions manifest themselves in the properties of intracomponent correlation functions. Using the obtained ES, we have also calculated the intercomponent EE. The result for \( \Omega > 0 \) in Eq. (49) shows a volume-law scaling followed by subleading logarithmic terms. The coefficient of the logarithmic contribution is \((d - 1)/2\) in total, where \(d/2\) originates from the restoration of the global U(1) symmetry for a finite volume and \(-1/2\) from the Nambu-Goldstone mode. The result for \( \Omega = 0 \) in Eq. (57) shows a volume-law scaling accompanied by a negative universal constant \(-8_0\). Here, the constant \( s_0 \) is given by a universal function (58) of the effective coupling constants \( g_\pm \) in the symmetric and antisymmetric channels.

It will be interesting to extend the present work to other types of multicomponent systems such as spinor BECs [98] and spin-orbit coupled gases [99–102]. In particular, Po et al. [101] have shown the emergence of a non-TLL quantum liquid with a quadratic energy dispersion relation in 1D spin-orbit-coupled Bose gases. It is worth examining how the intercomponent ES behaves in this unusual case. We can further expect rich behavior in two coupled systems with higher continuous symmetry beyond U(1), where the intercomponent coupling can be induced by a generalized Josephson coupling [103].
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Appendix A: Long-range interaction potential \( U_d(r - r') \)

For \( d \) spatial dimensions, we have introduced the long-range interaction potential \( U_d(r - r') \) in Eq. (43), which emerges in the entanglement Hamiltonian. Here we derive its expressions (44) for \( d = 1, 2, 3 \). In the following, we set \( r' = 0 \) and calculate

\[
U_d(r; \alpha) = \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{|k|} e^{-\alpha |k| + ikr}.
\]

For \( d > 1 \), we can take the infinite-volume limit \( V \rightarrow \infty \) to rewrite this in the integral form as

\[
U_d(r; \alpha) = \int \frac{d^d|k|}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{|k|} e^{-\alpha |k| + ikr}.
\]

After calculating Eq. (A1) or Eq. (A2), we take the limit \( \alpha \rightarrow 0^+ \) to obtain \( U_d(r) \).

For \( d = 1 \), the sum in Eq. (A1) is taken as

\[
U_1(x; \alpha) = \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{|k|} e^{-\alpha |k| + ikr}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n = 1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left( e^{\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}(\alpha + ix)n} + c.c. \right) \quad (A3)
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \left| 1 - e^{rac{2\pi}{\alpha}(\alpha + ix)} \right|^2.
\]
where we use $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n/n = \text{Li}_1(z) = -\ln(1-z) (|z| < 1)$. Taking $\alpha \to 0^+$, we obtain

$$U_1(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \ln \left| e^{2\pi x} - 1 \right| = -\frac{1}{\pi} \ln \frac{2\pi D(x-x'|L)}{L},$$

(A4)

where $D(x-x'|L)$ is the chord distance (45).

For $d=2$, we can introduce the polar coordinate $(k, \theta)$ to rewrite the integral (A2) as

$$U_2(r; \alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} dk \frac{1}{\alpha - i r \cos \theta} = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \frac{1}{\alpha - i r \cos \theta}.$$  

Defining $z = e^{i\theta}$, the last integral can be rewritten as a contour integral along the unit circle:

$$U_2(r; \alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_0^{2\pi} dz \frac{1}{z^2 + 1} = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_0^{2\pi} dz \frac{1}{(z - z_+)(z - z_-)},$$

(A6)

where $z_+ = i(-\alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 + r^2})/r$ are the locations of poles. Since $|z_+| < 1 < |z_-|$, the integral picks up only the residue at $z = z_+$, leading to

$$U_2(r; \alpha) = \frac{i}{\pi r (z_+ - z_-)} = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{r^2 + \alpha^2}} \to \frac{1}{2\pi r} (\alpha \to 0^+).$$

(A7)

For $d=3$, we can introduce the polar coordinate $(k, \theta, \phi)$ to perform the integral (A2) as

$$U_3(r; \alpha) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^1 r \cos \theta \int_0^{2\pi} dk \frac{e^{-\alpha k + ikr \cos \theta}}{\alpha - i r \cos \theta} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 r} \int_0^{2\pi} dk \left( e^{-\alpha k + ikr} - e^{-\alpha k - ikr} \right) \to \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{\alpha}{r^2} (\alpha \to 0^+).$$

(A8)

Appendix B: Oscillator-mode contribution to the entanglement entropy

Here we calculate the oscillator-mode contribution $S_e^{\text{osc}}$ to the EE for the cases in which the ES shows gapless and gapped dispersion relations $\xi_k$ as in Eqs. (38) and (52). To this end, it is useful to consider the canonical ensemble given by $H_e^{\text{osc}}$ at the fictitious temperature $T$. In this ensemble, the number operator $\eta_k$ in Eq. (27) obeys the Bose distribution $\langle \eta_k^\dagger \eta_k \rangle = (e^{\xi_k/T} - 1)^{-1} = f_B(\xi_k/T)$, from which the internal energy can be calculated. The EE $S_e^{\text{osc}}$ is then obtained as the thermal entropy at $T = 1$.\hfill

1. Case of the gapped ES

We first consider the case in which the ES shows the gapped dispersion relation $\xi_k = \xi_0 + ck^\gamma$ with $\xi_0, c, \gamma > 0$. The internal energy $E_e^{\text{osc}}(T)$ (relative to the zero-point energy) at the fictitious temperature $T$ is calculated as

$$E_e^{\text{osc}}(T) = \sum_{k \neq 0} \xi_k f_B(\xi_k/T) = \sum_k \xi_k f_B(\xi_k/T) - \xi_0 f_B(\xi_0/T)$$

(B1)

where

$$e(T) = \int \frac{d^dk}{(2\pi)^d} e^{\xi_k/T} - 1 = \frac{S_d}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^{2\pi d} k^{d-1} dk \frac{\xi_0 + ck^\gamma}{e^{(\xi_0 + ck^\gamma)/T} - 1} = \frac{S_d}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^{2\pi d} k^{d-1} \left[ \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{\gamma}\right) \text{Li}_{d/\gamma} \left( e^{-\xi_0/T} \right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{\gamma} + 1\right) \text{Li}_{d/\gamma+1} \left( e^{-\xi_0/T} \right) T^{d/\gamma + 1} \right].$$

(B2)

Here, $S_d := 2\pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(d/2)$ is the surface area of the unit $d$-sphere. The EE is then calculated as

$$S_e^{\text{osc}} = \int_0^T \frac{dT}{T} \left( \frac{\partial E_e^{\text{osc}}}{\partial T} \right) = s_1 V - s_0.$$  

(B3)

where

$$s_1 = \int_0^1 \frac{dT}{T} e^{-\xi_0/T}, \quad s_0 = \frac{\xi_0}{e^{\xi_0} - 1} - \ln \left( 1 - e^{-\xi_0} \right).$$

(B4)

2. Case of the gapless ES

We next consider the case in which the ES shows the gapless dispersion relation $\xi_k = ck^\gamma$ with $c, \gamma > 0$. A calculation similar to Eq. (B1) yields

$$E_e^{\text{osc}}(T) = \frac{S_d \Gamma(d/\gamma + 1) \zeta(d/\gamma + 1) VT^{d/\gamma + 1}}{(2\pi)^d}$$

(B5)

The second term $-T$ gives a divergent contribution to $S_e^{\text{osc}}$ when performing an integration over $T \in [0, 1]$ as in Eq. (B3). Since Eq. (B5) is based on the approximation of the $k$ sum by integration, it may be invalidated at low $T$ where the discrete nature of $k$ becomes important; the above divergence can be interpreted as a consequence of using such an invalid expression at low $T$. Yet, we can still use Eq. (B5) in an integral over $T$ above a certain temperature, and find a contribution $-\ln T$ to the thermal entropy $S_e^{\text{osc}}(T)$. This contribution should appear in the form $-\ln(L^3T/c)$ as the entire energy spectrum is
proportional to $c/L^\gamma$. By including the extensive contribution from the first term of Eq. (B5) and setting $T = 1$, we obtain

$$S_{e}^{\text{osc}} = \frac{\sigma_d L^d}{c^{d/\gamma}} - \ln \frac{L^\gamma}{c} + O(1),$$  

(B6)

where

$$\sigma_d := \frac{S_d \Gamma(d/\gamma + 2)\zeta(d/\gamma + 1)}{(2\pi)^d d}.$$  

(B7)

The logarithmic term in Eq. (B6) can also be obtained in the following way. We first express $S_{e}^{\text{osc}}$ as a discrete sum

$$S_{e}^{\text{osc}} = \sum_{k \neq 0} \left[ \frac{\xi_k}{e^{\xi_k} - 1} - \ln (1 - e^{-\xi_k}) \right],$$  

(B8)

where the summand is the entropy of a harmonic oscillator with the energy-level spacing $\xi_k$ at $T = 1$. We introduce an ultraviolet cutoff for the wave vector $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_d)$ in such a way that each element $k_i$ runs over $k_i = 2\pi n_i/L$ with $n_i \in \{-\Lambda, -\Lambda + 1, \ldots, \Lambda - 1\}$, where $\Lambda$ is proportional to $L$. Then Eq. (B8) becomes a finite sum. We can rewrite it as

$$S_{e}^{\text{osc}} = \sum_{k \neq 0} \left[ \frac{\xi_k}{e^{\xi_k} - 1} - \ln (1 - e^{-\xi_k}) \right] - \sum_{k \neq 0} \xi_k,$$  

(B9)

and treat the first and second sums separately. In the first sum, the summand is convergent in the limit $k \to 0$, and we can apply the Euler-Maclaurin formula (at the order of the trapezoid formula) $d$ times to rewrite this part as

$$L^d \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \left[ \frac{\xi_k}{e^{\xi_k} - 1} - \ln \frac{1 - e^{-\xi_k}}{\xi_k} \right] - 1,$$  

(B10)

where $\lambda := 2\pi \Lambda/L$. The second sum in Eq. (B9) requires a careful treatment as the summand diverges for $k \to 0$. We can rewrite this part as

$$- \sum_{k \neq 0} \ln \xi_k = - \left[ \left( \frac{\Lambda L}{\pi} \right)^d - 1 \right] \ln c - \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{k \neq 0} \ln k^2,$$  

(B11)

and focus on the calculation of $\sum_{k \neq 0} \ln k^2$. Introducing $k_\perp = (k_2, \ldots, k_d)$ and $\delta = 2\pi/L$ and applying the Euler-Maclaurin formula, we have (see Ref. [65] for a related calculation)

$$\sum_{k \neq 0} \ln (k^2)$$

$$= \sum_{k_\perp \neq 0} \ln (k_1^2 + k_\perp^2) + \sum_{k_1 \neq 0} \ln k_1^2$$

$$= \frac{L}{2\pi} \sum_{k_\perp \neq 0} \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} dk_1 \ln (k_1^2 + k_\perp^2) + \frac{L}{\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} dk_1 \ln k_1^2 + \ln \delta^2$$

$$= \frac{L}{2\pi} \sum_{k_\perp \neq 0} \left[ 2\lambda \ln (\lambda^2 + k_\perp^2) + 4|k_\perp| \arctan \frac{\lambda}{|k_\perp|} - 4\lambda \right]$$

$$+ \frac{2L}{\pi} \left( \lambda \ln \lambda - \lambda - \delta \ln \delta + \delta \right) + \ln \delta^2$$

$$= \frac{L^d}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{[-\lambda, \lambda]^{d-1}} \left| \frac{d^{d-1}}{d^{d-1}} \frac{2\lambda \ln (\lambda^2 + k_\perp^2)}{|k_\perp| \arctan \frac{\lambda}{|k_\perp|} - 4\lambda} \right| - 2 \ln \delta + 4.$$  

(B12)

Combining these results, we obtain

$$S_{e}^{\text{osc}} = \frac{\sigma L^d}{c^{d/\gamma}} - \ln \frac{L^\gamma}{(2\pi)^d c} - 2\gamma - 1,$$  

(B13)

where the coefficient $\sigma$ of the extensive part depends on $\lambda$ or, more generally, on the way of introducing the ultraviolet cutoff. In the limit $\lambda \to \infty$, $\sigma$ is expected to converge to $\sigma_d$ in Eq. (B7).

Focusing on the case of $\gamma = 1/2$, which is relevant to the case of Sec. IV A, we have performed a numerical check of Eq. (B13). We set $c = 1$, vary $L$ over $L \in \{100, 200, \ldots, 1000\}$, and calculate the finite sum in Eq. (B8). We fit the obtained data to the form $S_{e}^{\text{osc}} = \sigma L^d - \beta \ln \sqrt{L/(2\pi)} - s_0$. For $d = 1$, we obtain the following results for $\lambda/(2\pi) = \Lambda/L = 10$ and 100:

$$\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} = 10 : \sigma = 2.27516, \beta = 0.99938, s_0 = 1.92018;$$

$$\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} = 100 : \sigma = 2.29576, \beta = 0.99938, s_0 = 1.92018.$$  

(B14)

For $d = 2$, we obtain the following results for $\lambda/(2\pi) = 5$ and 10:

$$\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} = 5 : \sigma = 7.32834, \beta = 0.99935, s_0 = 1.68177;$$

$$\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} = 10 : \sigma = 9.21249, \beta = 0.99935, s_0 = 1.66113.$$  

(B15)

In both cases, the coefficient $\beta$ of the logarithmic term agrees excellently with the expected value $\beta = 1$. As for the leading extensive contribution, the coefficient $\sigma$ monotonically increases with an increase in $\lambda$. For $d = 1$ and 2, this coefficient is expected to converge, in the $\lambda \to \infty$ limit, to $\sigma_1 = 2.29576$ and $\sigma_2 = 9.90193$, respectively, which are given by Eq. (B7); for $d = 1$, we find a
good convergence to this value already for $\lambda/(2\pi) = 100$. The constant term $s_0$ deviates from the expected value $s_0 = 2$ in Eq. (B13); even if we change the range of $L$ to larger values, we find that this constant stays almost constant (not shown). We therefore conclude that Eq. (B13) obtained from the Euler-Maclaurin formula at the order of the trapezoid formula acquires a constant correction beyond this order.